JOHN WISDEN'S CRICKETERS' ALMANACK FOR 1889

	OVAL.

Year 1860 1861 1864 1867 1868 1870 1870	Batsman Total Robert Carpenter . Robert Carpenter . H. H. Stephenson . Mr. A. Lubbock . Mr. I. D. Walker . Mr. W. G. Grace . Mr. W. B. Money .	117 107 not out 165 215	1881 1884 1885	Batsman Total Mr. W. G. Grace Mr. W. G. Grace Mr. A. N. Hornby Mr. W. G. Grace George Ulyett Mr. W. W. Read Arthur Shrewsbury	. 117 , 158 . 144 . 100 . 134 . 159
		AT PRI	NCE'S.		
1873 1874	Mr. A. N. Hornby. Mr. W. G. Grace	104 110	1887 1887	Mr. G. F. Grace George Ulyett	. 134

GENTLEMEN V. PLAYERS OF ENGLAND MATCHES OF 1,000 RUNS.

The 1883 match at Lord's, wherein 1,098 runs were made; the 1864 match on the Oval, wherein 1,025 runs were scored; the 1878 match at Lord's, wherein 1,066 runs were totalled; the 1884 match at Lord's, wherein exactly 1,000 runs were made, and the 1885 match at the Oval, wherein 1,044 runs were scored, are the only Gentlemen v. Players of England Matches of 1,000 runs yet played.

July 11, AM.C.C. AND GROUND Blackheath BLACKHEATH	inns. *211	2nd inns. Result.
July 12, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Windsor { BEAUMONT COLLEGE	193 31	— M.C.C. won on the first innings by 162 runs.
July 12, { M.C.C. AND GROUND SOUTH LYNN	233 159	—) M.C.C. won on the first innings by 74 runs.

ETON V. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 13, 14.—The Schools' match of 1888 resulted in a victory by Harrow by 156 runs, and on the cricket shown at Lord's there can be no question whatever that the better side proved successful. We believe, however, that the most faithful supporters of Harrow cricket were surprised at the nature of the victory, and we shall probably not be far outside the truth in suggesting that, while the Harrow boys played above their form, the Etonians fell below what might reasonably have been expected of them. Up to a certain point it was a very even and interesting game, but before the drawing a certain point it was a very even and interesting game, but before the drawing of stumps on the first day Harrow had gained such an advantage that little doubt could be felt as to the ultimate result. Before going into any details of the play it may be well to say that Eton had only three "old choices" available—Bromley-Davenport, the captain, Tollemache and Bathurst; while in the Harrow team there were five members of the side which had been beaten in 1887—the two MacLarens, Watson, Ramsay, and Jackson.

Harrow's first innings commenced at five minutes past eleven on the Friday, the wicket being very slow and difficult from the effects of recent rains, and was all over by one o'clock for the paltry total of 80. Five wickets fell for 17 runs, and only some plucky play by Jackson, Gilroy, and Roffey averted a complete collapse. There was every excuse for failure, but the total was certainly discouraging. H. W. Studd—one of the youngest members of the famous family—bowled with conditions. bowled with great success. Bowling medium pace, with rather a slinging action, he seemed to have considerable command over the ball. Pechell, a very slow

I I I I I I I

left-handed bowler, was on most of the time with him, and we may take this opportunity of saying that Eton was sadly handicapped by the fact that Bromley-Davenport had almost entirely lost the bowling of which so much was thought in the two previous seasons. There was nothing to account for his decline, but his arm was lower, and he had become quite ineffective. Eton went in at twenty minutes past one, and started so badly that three good wickets were lost for 9 runs. At lunch time the score was 22, and afterwards the team did sufficiently well to pass Harrow's total with six wickets down, Yate-Lee hitting in capital style for 37—so far the best innings in the match. However, when the last wicket fell the total was only 106, or 26 runs to the good. Jackson (right-hand fast) bowled admirably.

When Harrow's second innings commenced at ten minutes to five the wicket had improved wonderfully, and the last two hours' cricket practically decided the match. Two wickets fell for 4 runs, and then the batting gained the upper hand. Hoare and Watson carried the score to 74—an invaluable stand—and then Hoare and Jackson punished the bowling so severely that when seven o'clock came the total had been raised to 167, Hoare being not out 80, and Jackson not out 50. Both boys played admirably, and in the last three-quarters of an hour scored 67 runs, the fielding, as was not unnatural after such a long day, becoming rather loose towards the close. On the Saturday morning the innings was finished off in rather less than an hour and a half for a total of 234. Hoare and Jackson were parted at 188, having put on 114 for the fourth wicket. Hoare had the great distinction of scoring over 100, following among Harrow batsmen the late A. W. T. Daniel, A. K. Watson, and Eustace Crawley, and except that he was badly missed at point when he had scored 73, and gave a chance low down at mid-off with his total at 76, his innings, in respect both of hitting and defence, was a very fine one. He was at the wicket three hours and a quarter, and his 108 comprised ten 4's, nine 3's, ten 2's, and 21 singles. Jackson's capital 59 included seven 4's, a 3, and seven 2's.

Eton had 209 to get to win—a formidable task for a school eleven—and all doubts as to the result were soon at an end. In a bad light the batsmen seemed to lose all their nerve in playing Jackson's bowling, and so complete was the failure that four wickets fell for nine runs and eight for 17. Goad and Hodgson afterwards made something of a stand, but for a total of 52 the innings was all over, Harrow winning by 156 runs. Jackson and Hoare had an enormous share in gaining the victory, the former scoring 21 and 59, and taking eleven wickets for 68 runs, and Hoare, in addition to his innings of 108, taking five wickets for 58 runs. The only Etonian who could look back upon the match with any great amount of personal satisfaction was H. W. Studd, who worked very hard for his side, and took in all fourteen wickets. Jackson's performance for Harrow was all the more remarkable, as he had been ill a little time before the match.

	The state of the s
HAR.	ROW
Mr. A. C. MacLaren, c Ward, b Studd Mr. W. E. Greaves, c Tollemache, b	0 — b Studd 4
Studd	4 — b Studd 0
Mr. H. D. Watson, c and b Pechell	1 b Studd 26
Mr. R. B. Hoare, b Studd	4 — b Jones 108
Mr. F. S. Jackson, b Studd	21 — b Studd 59
Mr. J. A. MacLaren (captain), st Hodg-	
son, b Pechell	2 — b Studd 0
Mr. C. E. Gilroy, b Bathurst	3 — b.Studd 2
Mr. G. W. Roffey, b Studd	24 — c Goad, b Studd 16
Mr. R. D. Cheales, not out	12 — c Bathurst, b Studd 0 6 — b Jones 3
Mr. N. Ramsay, c and b Studd	6 — b Jones
L-b	3 B 8, 1-b 2, w 1 11
	80 234

Hon. M. G. Tollemach Jackson	e	 	0 - 1 $1 - 1$ $3 - 1$	Jack	son .			9
Mr. C. Yate-Lee, c Giffo Mr. H. W. *tudd, b Jack Mr. H. Bromley-Davet 1-b-w, b J. MacLaren flon. R. A. Ward, c Roff Mr F. E. Goad, b Jacks Mr. F. R. H. Bathurst, b Mr. E. T. Hodgson, 1-b- Mr. C. A. K. Pechell, no	ey, b Jacks fey, b Jacks on b Ramsay v, b Rams	otain), kson .	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hoa Jack Jack Hoa K Rof Gilr	son, lason re fey, b	Hoare .		$\begin{array}{cccc} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 22 \\ & 0 \\ & 14 \\ & 2 \end{array}$
		1	06					92
Oýer		TON BO Runs	Wkts.	0	vers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Studd 27.3 Pechell 19		27 31	6 2		45 11	17 2	40	0
Bromley - Daven- 6 port	4 2 2		0 1 Ward		12 19 34 7	5 11 14 1	24 23 41 23	0 2 0 0
Bromley-Da	avenport 1	owled a	wide:	in the	secor	nd inning	gs.	
		ARROW						
Hoare		37 40	6		23 21	$\frac{12}{7}$	21 28	5
J. A. MacLaren 9 Gilroy 6 Ramsay 7.	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$	11 11 6	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$		2.3 1	2 0	0	1 0
namady	Umpires-	-Wheele	r and	Т. Му	croft.			

Sixty-three matches have been played, of which Eton have won 27, Harrow 26, and 10 have been drawn. Mr. Hoare's 108 was the ninth three-figure innings in the matches between the schools, the previous great scores having been E. Bayley 152 in 1841; A. K. Watson 135 in 1885; A. W. Ridley 117 in 1871; C. P. Foley 114 in 1886; W. F. Forbes 113 in 1876; A. W. T. Daniel 112 not out in 1860; C. J. Ottaway 108 in 1869; and E. Crawley 100 in 1885.

14, (M.C.C. AND GROUN	1st	inns. 59 218	2nd inr) H) fi	ns. Result, Brentwood won on tharst innings by 159 run	ie s.
7, 18, M.C.C. AND GROUN		260 65	*33}	*Five wickets down. Drawn.	
18, M.C.C. AND GROUN EALING		*15 39	=}	No wicket down. Drawn.	
18, { M.C.C. AND GROUN HIGHGATE SCHOOL		*83	=}	*Five wickets down. Drawn.	
18, M.C.C. AND GROUP		*87	=}	*Six wickets; down. Drawn.	

PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1888.

THE batting and bowling averages of the principal public schools will be found in the pages which follow, and so also will the scores of some few of the most interesting games between the best-known elevens. By the courtesy and kind assistance of Mr. O. R. Borradaile we are enabled to make some remarks upon the form shown at the different schools, and to draw attention to the most conspicuous of the boys who have played. In his communication to the editor, Mr. Borradaile, who has seen a great deal of this class of cricket for some years past, says that, while there has been some very promising play, there has been little that was sensational. The batting averages have naturally, on the slow wickets, come out below the figures of recent years, but there have been two remarkable exceptions-M. R. Jardine, of Fettes School, averaging over 77 runs per innings, with an aggregate of 623 runs, and H. B. Champain, of Cheltenham College, with an aggregate of 419, averaging just over 45. These boys should certainly be heard of in first-class cricket. Jardine is going to Cambridge, where his career will be watched with interest, but Champain has already entered Sandhurst, and therefore will probably have but little time for important play.

With regard to the bowlers E. C. Streatfeild, of Charterhouse, comes out far above everyone else. Our correspondent considers it not too much to say that he is the best school bowler who has come out for years. His analysis shows seventy-two wickets, at an average of 5.67 per wicket. As Streatfeild is also a good bat he must probably be considered the bestall-round school boy of the year. H. W. Studd, of Eton, did well on occasions, and especially against Harrow at Lord's. C. B. Combes, of Bedford Grammar School, was very successful, securing sixty-six wickets for less than 8 runs each. F. R. Harvey-Bathurst, of Eton, did well for a lob bowler. F. S. Jackson, for Harrow, took thirty-two wickets for less than 11 runs each; but, save for these few, boy bowlers did not do so well as they should have done considering the advantage the condition of the ground often gave them. As to fielding there is the same old story of neglect to tell. Boys want to be great either as batsmen or bowlers, and school authorities and the professionals engaged do not sufficiently insist upon the importance of good fielding. There is too much net practice, and captains of school

teams, with few exceptions, do not insist upon the team learning

to field methodically and regularly. The value of net practice. properly understood, is to give the professional his best chance of coaching individual batsmen whom he wants to bring on or to cure defects in style or method. When a boy is not being coached. ten minutes at the nets is quite enough, and the rest of the time he should be in the field. There is another matter that should be mentioned, and that is that every school now tries to do away with the long-stop, just because in first-class cricket the great wicketkeepers of the day are able to dispense with that highly important fieldsman. It takes years of practice to become a Sherwin or a Pilling, and many school matches have been lost lately owing to the extras being needlessly heavy. There are very few wicket-keepers equal to the task of taking all sorts of bowling, and a boy of moderate ability is handicapped by the knowledge that if the ball passes him it will go to the boundary. Mr. Borradaile is quite right when he says that not in one case in a hundred is the school captain wise in doing away with the long-stop, except of course to very slow bowling. A run saved is a run earned, and the equally old saying is equally true that it is easier to save runs than to make them at the pinch of the game. The remarks on the schools which follow must be read in conjunction with the averages :-

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL were fortunate in possessing a very good all-round man in their captain, H. S. Briggs, who had an average of 31 for twenty-two innings; his batting is very patient, and he made an excellent captain. A. D. Piper is a most consistent cricketer, and has a better average than his captain, but is not quite such a good bat. W. F. Surtees started the season well, but fell off towards the end. C. B. Combes is by far the best bowler in the school, and is also an excellent field. R. F. G. Chaldecott is a useful bat with plenty of pluck, can bowl very well at times, but must be careful not to over-bowl himself. The fielding of the team is above the average.—Bedford Modern School had A. O. Jones as their captain, who was a useful man, having a batting average of 20, and securing thirty-nine wickets for 12 runs each. L. G. Brown had a fine average, is an excellent bat, and ought to be very useful to the school next year; he stands well up and hits hard, his driving being very effective; is also a good wicket-keeper. G. E. Smythies is a good medium pace bowler. H. P. Buchan has improved as a bat, and is a fair fast bowler. R. C. Chevne is a careful bat, but does not hit sufficiently. The team should pay more attention to their fielding, which is very unequal.—CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL possessed in E. C. Streatfeild the finest school bowler of the year; an excellent bat, and a successful captain. H. Price is a good bat and has an excellent average. R. Gatehouse, a useful cricketer, fell off at

- your Million

the end of the season.—CHELTENHAM COLLEGE were unfortunate in having seven of their thirteen matches unfinished. H. B. Champain, one of the best public school bats of the year, has sound defence and splendid driving powers. He can also keep wicket fairly well, and he made a most successful captain. C. V. Davey, a good left-hand bat and bowler, has been of great service to the eleven. L. G. Mayers is a free scorer, though apt to hit too much, and is a verifical service.

hame was the best all-round man, a free bat, an excellent field, and a fair change bowler. J. B. Wood, who must get over his anxiety to score too quickly, is a good bat when set. A. J. L. Hill is the best bowler in the team. L. G. A. Collins has good defence, but is too slow.—RADLEY COLLEGE showed a decided improvement, winning six matches as against two in 1887. According to accounts they are very slow between the wickets, especially over the

College, with another half-hour, would probably have beaten Eton. V. F. Leese is an excellent bat. A. H. C. Barker was successful, and was well supported by C. W. Little. In bowling A. J. Boger does not seem to have been tried enough. - Fettes SCHOOL claim, in M. R. Jardine, one of the best public-school bats of the year, and it is not only in batting that he excels, for he took twenty-four wickets at an average cost of 6 runs. G. T. Campbell, who comes next, is a good bat, but he is too fond of wasting his time at the nets in vigorous hitting T. E. Bayley is the only other batsman having a double-figure average. -LORETTO SCHOOL have always had good men. This year they had a capital all-round man in F. E. Woodhead, who has been in the team since 1884. D. L. Evans is a plucky and a lucky bat, a most useful man to have on your side. R. H. Dunn is an excellent lob-bowler. -MERCHISTON SCHOOL had a fairly successful year. They owe this almost entirely to their good bowling, the batting being very moderate. Like their opponents, Fettes and Loretto, this school had a long tail.

Mr. Borradaile, after thanking his correspondents at the various schools for sending him information, makes the following suggestion, which seems to us to be a good one-that a match should be arranged every year, about the first week in August, at Lord's, between a fairly strong M.C.C. and Ground team and an eleven chosen from the public schools who never play at Lord's. This team should be under the captaincy of some player of great experience like I. D. Walker or A. J. Webbe, or at least someone who has seen a good deal of boy cricket, and knows its weak points as well as its strength. During the first week in August all the schools are in vacation, and the proposed match would give many young fellows an opportunity of playing under more public conditions than they otherwise enjoy. Several schools would, of course, be excluded from this match, but a very good team could have been made up last year of, say, V. F. Leese, Winchester; M. R. Jaidine, Fettes; R. H. Dun, Loretto; C. J. Richards, Lancing; L. L. Reid, Tonbridge; E. C. Streatfeild, Charterhouse; H. S. Briggs and C. B. Combes, Bedford Grammar School; C. R. H. Gresson, Lancing; C. J. Kortright, Tonbridge; and H. H. Gray, Merchiston.

| ETON v. HARROW. | S0 | 181 | Harrow won by 150 | Lord's | | ETON | 106 | 56 | Funs. |

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.
No play owing to rain.

ETON V. WINCHESTER.

Played at Winchester, Friday, Saturday, June 29, 30, and ended in a draw greatly in favour of Winchester, Eton at the finish wanting 75 runs to win with only two wickets to fall. For Winchester Clegg took nine wickets for 51 runs, and for Eton Jones obtained six for 34.

WINCHESTER.

Mr. D. Carlisle, c Tollemache, b Ward 7 — c c Mr. C. W. Little, c and b Pechell 4 — c ' Mr. T. B. Case, b Ward 3 — b Mr. A. J. Boger, c and b Pechell 12 — c a Mr. V. F. Leese, b Studd 30 — c l Mr. V. T. Hill, b Studd 3 — c l Mr. J. H. Weatherby, c and b Jones 15 — c a Mr. W. G. Clegg, c Gosling, b Pechell 0 — b Mr. E. J. Neve, b Jones 0 — st Mr. H. F Fuller, not out 0 — no	nes
ETON.	
Mr. R. T. Jones, b Clegg 12 — st Mr. W. S. Gosling, b Clegg 1 — rt Mr. F. C. Yate-Lee, not out 51 — b Mr. H. R. Bromley-Davenport, c Hill, b Clegg 0 — b Hon. R. A. Ward, b Boger 13 — b Mr. H. W. Studd, c and b Boger 1 — c Hon. G. O. Cadogan, b Clegg 1 — b Mr. E. T. Hodgson, b Clegg 0 — n Mr. F. R. Hervey-Bathurst, c Fuller, b	oger 2 dittle, b Boger 3 out 0 uller 21 loger 1 loger 8 ase, b Clegg 7 legg 1 cout 1 cout 4 B 5, l-b 2, n-b 1 8 56

CHELTENHAM V. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at CHELTENHAM, July 13, 14, and won by the home team by an innings and seventy-one runs.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

CHELTI	ENHA	M COLLEGE.	
Mr. W. Hodges, c Moir b Robinson	0	Hon. W. G. Thesiger, b Hill	ō
Mr. C. V. Davy, b Hill	58	Mr. W. A. Baker, c Cunningham,	
Mr. H. B. Champain, b Chambers	6	b Robinson	40
Mr. L. I. Biggs, b Hill		Mr. B. M. Young, b Hill	17
Mr. G. E. Swire, c Moir, b Robinson	1	Mr. L. H. Palmer, not out	4
Mr. R. Thompson, c Moir, b Robin-	birs .	В, &с	13
son	3	are three the splended bandless	-
Mr. L. G. Mayers, b Chambers			340

TITLETT

MARLB	OROUGH.
MARLB Mr. B. A. Cunningham, b Mayers Mr. C. H. Stone, b Davy Mr. L. G. A. Collins, b Palmer Mr. C. W. Lindsay, run out Mr. G. G. Moir, b Mayers. Mr. A. J. Hill, b Mayers Mr. A. J. Hill, b Mayers Mr. A. B. Robinson, c Young, b Davy. Mr. W. B. Pease, c Young, b Davy Mr. H. W. Yeates, b Davy Mr. H. M. Rogers, b Davy Mr. H. M. Rogers, b Davy Mr. C. G. Chambers, not out	OROUGH. 9 — not out 1 — b Davy. 122 — run out 18 — b Baker 13 — b Davy. 8 — b Young 21 — b Young 2 — b Davy. 3 — b Young 6 — b Young 1 — c Thompson, b Mayers
В, &е	11 — 11 — B 10
	109

CHELTENHAM V. CLIFTON.

Played at CLIFTON, and resulted in a very easy victory for Cheltenham by ten wickets. The chief feature of the match was the splendid batting of the

for 41 runs.	RHOUSE.	
Mr. R. Gatehouse, b Street Mr. W. F. Stanbrough, c Barwell, b Paget Mr. C. M. Rayner, b Street Mr. H. Price, c Street, b Paget Mr. E. C. Streatfeild, c Daniel, b Paget Mr. A. Foster, hit wkt, b Paget Mr. W. E. Gilliatt, 1-b-w, b Street Prince Albert, c Street, b Clapham Mr. E. F. Halstead, run out Mr. G. H. Woodbridge, not out	10 — b Street 0 — not out 3 — b Oliver 6 — c and b Oliver 7 — c Street, b Paget 4 — c and b Oliver 0 — c Barwell, b Street 0 — c Oliver, b Paget 18 — b Paget 4 — c and b Street 3 — b Street B 1, l-b 1	12 27 43 14 2 24 25 6 2
	55	169

	D	DI	N	C	н	A	M	

Mr. A. D. Alderson, b Marshall Mr. B. E. Figgis, c Fry, b Marshall Mr. E. Castle, b Arnall Mr. G. E. Hemmingway, b Arnall	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 15 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array}$	Mr. H. G. Peachey, b Arnall, Mr. F. W. Hemmant, 1-b-w, b Arnall F. S. Smith, b Arnall	1 0
Mr. G. M. Hannay, not out Mr. F. A. How, b Arnall		B	8
Mr. C. A. P. Wolferston, b Arnall Mr. A. S. Hogg, c Burney, b F. Schwind		M. of Streetheald, o Daniels lidlingst.	118
Don't mid	U		110

WELLINGTON V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Haileybury, July 10 and 11, and won by Wellington by eight wickets.

WELLI	NGTON.
Mr. S. T. Hankey, 1-b.w, b Wimbush Mr. G. F. H. Berkeley, c Keith, b	9 — not out
Bartley	13 — c Hamilton, b Wimbush 10 39 — c Blaber, b Wimbush 0
Mr. E. C. Mordaunt, b Scriven Mr. G. F. V. Wiegal, c Snell, b Wim-	22
bush	30 — not out 22
Mr. F. H. Browning, b Hamilton Mr. J. N. Wright, b Stubbs	
Mr. G. J. Mordaunt, c Blaber, b Stubbs Mr. A. L. Hine-Haycock, b Stubbs	5
Mr. A. B. Johnstone, not out	0 27 B 3
MARIOZIGU	172
	or the state of th

HAIL	EYBURY.	
Mr. C. R. Higgens, b E. C. Mordaunt Mr. A. L. Keith, c Weigall, b E. C. Mor-	4 — b Berkeley	19
daunt	5 — 1-b-w, b Berkeley	

daunt	5 - 1-b-w, b Berkeley 0
Mr. A. P. Snell, c Browning, b Berkeley	3 — c Browning, b E. C. Mor-
	daunt
Mr D. C. Bartley, c and b Berkeley	4 — c Weigall, b V. R. Hine-Hay-
	cock 8
Mr. E. Blaber, b E. C. Mordaunt	1 — c G. J. Mordaunt, b V. R.
	Hine-Haycock 27
Mr. J. Howard, b Berkeley	6 — b Berkeley 2
Mr. G. B. Scriven, c Weigall, b Berkeley	2 — 1-b-w, b Berkeley 6
Mr. B. Hamilton, b Berkeley	23 — c Langton, b V. R. Hine-Hay-
El Heminingway h Smith 18	cock
Mr. A. Finch, b E. C. Mordaunt	6 — c Johnstone, b Hankey 18
Mr. A. G. Studds, b Johnstone	18 — not out
Mr. G. V. B. Wimbush, not out	2 — b Berkeley 4
	9 B 8. 1-b 2 10

AVERAGES.

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

		-	Cime	S		N	lost in	1			
	Inning	s no	ot ou	t	Runs	an	inning	g's	Average		
F. C. Yate-Lee	6		1		182		58		36.2		
H. R. Bromley-Davenport			1		231		40		21		
Hon. M. G. Tollemache			0		189		83		15.9		
W. S. Gosling			0		178		57		14.10		
H. W. Studd			0		169		33		14.1		
F. E. Good			0		134		24		13.4		
R. T. Jones			0		138		34		12.6		
Hon. R. A. Ward			1		128		25		11.7		
C. A. K. Pechell			3		21		10*		7		
Hon. G. O. Cadogan (12th man			1		59		10		6.5		
E. T. Hodgson			2		47		18		5.7		
F. R. Hervey-Bathurst			2		36		16		4		
* Signifies not out.											

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	V	licket	S	Average
Hon. M. G. Tollemache	20.4	 3	 63		7		9
R. T. Jones	82	 50	 108				
H. W. Studd	150.2	 57	 274		24		11.10
F. R. Hervey-Bathurst			 338		25		14.11
C. A. K. Pechell			 276		17		16.4
Hon P A Ward	120	 43	 252		12		
Hon. G. O. Cadogan (12th man)	21	 7	 47		2		23.1
H. R. Bromley-Davenport	135	 55	 267		10		26.7

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in		Times	
I	nning	S	Runs	ar	innings	5	not out	Average
C. E. Gilroy	10	.,	200		66*		2	 25
W. J. F. Gifford	8		151		97		1	 21.4
	10		212		59		0	 21.2
R. B. Hoare	13		224		108		0	 17.3
G. W. Roffey	11		61		23*		6	 12.1
J. A. MacLaren	14		143		34		1	 11
A. C. MacLaren	14		132		28		0	 9.6
W. E. Greaves	12		97		19 [×]		1	 8.9
H. D. Watson	13		97		26		0	 7.6
R. D. Cheales	12		71		17		1	 6.5
M. Ramsay	12		26		6		2	 2.6
The state of the s		* Sion	ifies no	at out				

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	N	Laidens	Runs	1	Wickets	Average
Jackson	. 192		67	 352		32	 11
Hoare			61	 371		30	 12.11
Watson			4	 66		5	 13
Ramsay			36	 322		21	 14.1
J. A. MacLaren			25	 165		9	 18.3
A. C. MacLaren			0	 46		2	 23
Gilroy	. 94		21	 218		9	 24.2
Cheales			11	 128		4	 32

THE PROPERTY OF

148

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

31.2
24.6
20.4
13.4
11.8
10.1
10
9.6
7.11
7.1
6.4
8

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Balls]	Maider	ıs	Runs	Average	
A. J. Boger	416		49		127	 16	 7.9
V. T. Hill	1145		81		499	 40	 12.5
T. B. Case	85		4		39	 3	 13
B. H. B. Stephens	35		0		24	 1	 14
H. F. Fuller	322		17		177	 12	 14.75
W. G. Clegg	829		49		358	 17	 21.5
V. F. Leese	105		1		63	 0	 1-18011
D. Carlisle	22		1		13	 0	 -

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Times not out	No. of runs	a	Most in inning	S	Average
A. W. Dixon	. 9	 1	 220		59		27.5
E. F. Rutter	. 12	 1	 242		70		22
H. S. Garraway	. 11	 0	 223		59		20.3
F. J. Nicholls	. 11	 0	 224		132		20.3
E. J. Whitting	. 9	 0	 145		51		16.1
J. R. Bowden-Smith	. 6	 0	 86		46		14.3
W. E. Oakshott	. 8	 1	 72		45		10.3
E. W. Moss	. 9	 2	 54		17		7.7
C. O. V. Owen	. 11	 2	 63		16		6.9
W. C. Dunkerley		 2	 29		10		9.8
V. H. Rendall	. 6	 0	 6		9		1

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Runs	1	I aidens	Wickets	Average
A. W. Dixon	200	 388		85	 31	 12.5
V. H. Rendall	191	 337		83	 22	 15.3
E. F. Rutter	20	 43		9	 2	 21.5
F. J. Nicholls	57	 135		14	 6	 22.6
H. S. Garraway	116	 244		34	 10	 24.4
C. O. V. Owen	94	 198		22	 8	 24.5
E. T. Whitting	49	 149		10	 6	 24.8
E. W. Moss	6	 30		0	 1	 30

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most i	n	Times		
	Innings		Runs		an innin	gs	not out		Average
			335		73		2		27.11
B. A. Cunninghame	14						0		17.7
J. B. Wood (Capt.)	11		194	1	62		0	• •	15.7
L. G. A. Collins	12		172		*79		1		
A. J. L. Hill	13		172		45		1	• •	14.8
A. J. H. Hill			142		*40		2		14.2
A. B. Robinson		• •			64		1		12.9
G. G. Moir	14		165	• •			î		11.2
H. R. Blore	4		35		*21	• •.	1		10.1
C. G. Chambere	12		91		28		3		
H. M. Rogers	9		84		54		0		9.3
	10		103		23		1		8.7
C. W. Lindsay		• •	13		8		0		6.1
G. Lewis	2		19		0		.,		
	44	Sign	nifies n	ot or	ıt				

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	M	aidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
A. J. L. Hill	246		80		359		28	••	12.23 16.20
A. B. Robinson	262.1	,,	80		532		32	• •	17.9
B. A. Cunninghame.	110		21		213 157		6		26.1
C. G Chambers G. Lewi	is bowled	1 5 ov	ers for	12 ru		a wic	ket.		

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in		Times		
	Innings		Runs	an	inning	S 1	ot ou	ıt	Average
H. B. Champain			419		167		3		46.5
C. V. Davy			399		58		1	• •	24.15
L. G. Mayers			281		97		1	• •	21.8 20
L. J. Bigg			280		96		0		18.9
W. Hodges			261		71		3		17.9
G. C. Swire			196		72		3		15.1
W. A. Baker	. 9		121		53	• •	1		12.1
R. Thompson	. 13		157		47	• •	4		9
L. H. Palmer			54		23		9		8.2
E. M. Young			82		30 32*		1		6.12
Hon. W. G. Thesiger	. 14		90		52"	• •			0.25
	*	Sion	rifles not	out.					

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs	Wickets		Average
L. H. Palmer	240	 25		9.15
C. V. Davy	601	 47		12.37
Hon, W. G. Thesiger	130	 9		14.4
L. G. Mayers	530	 36		14.26
E. M. Young	398	 21		18.20
W. A. Baker	210	 11	• •	19.1

THE PROPERTY OF

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING.

				N	fost in		Times		
In	nings		Runs	an	inning	S 1	not out	5	Average
C. J. Orr	14		179		34		2		14.11
E. Orams	14		204		44		0		14.4
W. H. Brain	14		182	I	65		1	4.771	14
B. W. Bradford	13		164		42		0	100	12.8
N. E. Bieber	12		136		23		1		12.4
E. Field	12		96		40*		4	10000	12
F. C. S. Norrington	13		106		29		4		11.7
B. F. Hanson	14		152		67		. 0		10.6
C. A. Hooper	15		106		30		3		8.5
H. N. Wethered	12		75		31		0		6
C. V. Wansborough	3		6		a		0	A NEW	2
A. F. Bogge V. P.		*	Signifies	not c	ut.				

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	,	Wickets	Average
Wethered	287.1		106		346		27	 12.22
Bradford	240	aa	101	17.	367		24	 15.7
Orr	253		84		489		29	 16.25
Norrington			66		202		11	 18.4
Wansborough	16		5		19		0	 19
Field			2	'	22		0	 22
Hooper			16		194		8	 24.2
W Class		Orr b	owled six	no-l	alls.			

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

				10.8	Most i	n	Times		
	Inning	S	Runs	a	n innir	igs	not ou	t	Average
J. Street	15		266		54*		2		20.4
C. Barwell	16		201		35		1		13.4
E. J. Clapham	16		179		44		0		11.2
R. E. Oliver	16		130		21		3		10
J. G. Oliver	15		118		57		0		7.9
P. Armitage	14		67		13		4		6.7
E. V. Rutherford	12		41	11. 9	9×		1		3.7
E. C. Daniel	10		28		8*		2		3.5
C. W. Ash	2		7		7		0		2.6
J. C. Paget	11		19		6		4		2.6
A. E. Balfour	9		17	1117.5	6		1		2.1
		* Sig	nifies n	ot out.					

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

11 11	J I III I I								
	Overs	N	Laiden	S	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
Clapham	88		16		243	Tem	15		16.3
Street	278.3		80		623		38		16.15
Barwell	38.1		5		83	14.00	5		16.3
Paget	151		17		442		26		211
Oliver	100		17		313		13		24.1

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings.		Runs	Most in innings	Times not out		Average
H. Price	12	0.01	363	 100	 0		30.3
R. Gatehouse	13		283	 69	 0		21.19
E. C. Streatfeild			260	 80	 0		21.8.
C. M. Rayner			191	 51	 0		14.9
W. E. Gilliat			120	 33	 3		13.3
Prince Albert			91	 23*	 . 1		11.3
D. Crossman			99	 25	 0		9.9
A. Foster			107	 41	 2		9.8
W. F. Stanbrough			115	 32	 1		9.7
E. F. Halstead			65	 20	 2		8.1
G. H. Woodbridge			26	 14"	 4	. ,	6.2
u, ii, ii ondorjugo		* Si	ignifles n				

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	M	laidens		Runs	W	ickets.	Average .
E. C. Streatfeild	275		102		427		72	 5.67
E. F. Halstead	15.4		5		43		4	 10.3
C. M. Rayner	253.4		80		500		33	 15.20
R. Gatehouse	67.1		15	.,	202	.,	9	 20.4
G. H. Woodbridge	43	••	14		118	• •	5	 23.3

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

				N.	lost in	Times	
	Innings		Runs	an	innings	not out	Average
F. A. W. How	15		393		72	 1	 21.9
E. Castle	15		299		81*	 1	 21.5
G. M. Hannay			226		48*	 1	 17.5
A. D. Alderson			226		39	 0	 15.1
B. E. Figgis			166		30*	 2	 12.10
C. A. P. Wolferstan			178		40	 0	 12.10
F. S. Smith			59		17*	 4	 11.4
A. S. Hogg			145		42	 0	 10.5
H. G. Peachey	13		102		31*	 3	 10.2
G. E. Hemingway			78		24	 0	 7.1
F. W. Hemmant			60		29	 3	 6
	*	Sign	ifies not	out.			

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

Ull	TTICITT	LALVA	. Delle	 			
	Overs		Maidens	Runs	Wickets		Average
A. P. Wolferstan.	408		125	 702	 44		15.42
W. Hemmant	446.2		144	 734	 46		15.44
f. S. Smith	107.2		45	 146	 8		18.2
1. S. Hogg	22.2		4	 70	 3	٠.	23.1
F. A. W. How	26		4	 51	 5		25.1

- multill

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Times not out.	Runs.	Most in innings.	Average
E. C. Mordaunt	14	1	260	71	20
F. H. Browning	14	2	227	101	18.11
S. T. Hankey (captain)	15	1	263	52	18.11
G. J. Weigall		2	205	40*	17.1
G. F. H. Berkeley		4	158	36≒	14.4
H. F. Langton	13	0	156	39	12
J. N. Wright	12	0	11.7	46	9.9
V. R. Hine-Haycock	13	1	116	47	9.8
G. J. Mordaunt	12	0	110	33	9.2
A. L. Hine-Haycock	7	1.	47	21	7.5
Hon. A. Stanley	2	ō.	9	9	4.1

WELLINGTON BOWLING.

		Maidens.			
G. F. H. Berkeley	352	116	661	63	10.31
E. C. Mordaunt	342.3	120	598	43	13.39
V. R. Hine-Haycock	67	12	157	11	14.3
Hon. A. Stanley	11.4	0	27	4	6.3
J. N. Wright	15.2	4	41	3	13.2
S. T. Hankey	55,	. 12	88	5	17.3

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in	1	Tim	es		
	Inning	rs	Runs	a	n inning	gs	not o	ut	Average	
C. G. Wilson	5		72		25*		3		36	
A. H. Rhodes			166		57	- 11	0		20.6	
T. G. Castley	9		143		69*		2		20.3	
R. S. Nicholson			147		30		2		18.3	
N. Lloyd-Jones			33		16		1	OF LEE	16.1	
G. R. Wood			51		17*		2		10.1	
G. H. Raikes			51		25		1	11111111	10	
A. Pryce-Jones			27		19		0		9	
H. R. Cobbett			10		6*		2		5	
F. J. Kittermaster	4		14		5		1		4.2	
J. C. Miles			6		5		0		2	
		Si.	gnifies n	ot ou	t.					

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	M	aidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. J. Kittermaster	38.1		11	 80	 7	 11.3
J. C. Miles	112.4		34	 251	 20	 12.11
N. Lloyd-Jones	48.1		11	 93	 6	 15.3
T. G. Castley	111.4		23	 268	 16	 16.12
R. S. Nicholson	63		17	 130	 7	 18.4
A. Pryce-Jones	98		18	 229	 11	 20,9

Wilson took one and Wood two wickets.

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Iost in innings	5	Times not out		Average
H. H. Marriott	9		184	 80		0	• •	20.4
T. A. Higson	5		54	 39*		2		18
H. N. Lowndes	7		117	 57		0		16.7
P. Higson	10		140	 43		0	• •	14
J. C. Mallam	11		137	 26		1		13.7
C. J. H. Barr	8		106	 37		0		13.2
F. V. Heath	8		77	 29		0		9.6
H. A. Hutton	11		94	 29		1		
A. E. Marriott	11		84	 37		1		8.4
B. Lorrimer	8		29	 11*		2		
	7		21	9		1		3.5
T. Hughes		# Sion	nifies not					

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

FIRSTA ATRIBER	Overs	Maiden	ıs	Runs	Wickets		Average
F. V. Heath	228	 66		423	 47		9
A. E. Marriott	163.3	 60		295	 24		12.7
H. H. Marriott	145	 48		281	 22	• •	12.17
T. Hughes	90	 27		224	 16		17
H. A. Hutton	18	 0		51	 		11

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		Most in		Times not out		Average 30.1
J. H. F. Grayson	. 17		481		179*	• •	1	• •	
F. C. V. Bathurst	. 17		400		93		1	• •	25
A. L. Retson	. 16		239		54		0		14.15
H. C. Frith	. 17		182		48		2		12.2
G. E. Mosley			110		20		7	٠.	11
H. S. Laird			136		38*		1		8.1
S. M. Soames			118		41		- 2		7.13
H. E. Sich			79		24*		3		7.2
C. C. Sempriere			103		28		0		6.3
J. P. G. Draper			48		13		1		4.4
W. R. Stratton			38		14		1	• •	4.2
	**	Sig	nifies no	ot out					

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

IMPLET COMMENT DO WELLOW										
	Balls		Maiden	S	Runs		Wickets		Average	
C. C. Sempriere	70		3		41		5		8.1	
H. E. Sich	64		2		40		5		9.4	
J. H. F. Grayson	874		36		420		42		10	
F. C. V. Bathurst	897		40		385		33		11.2	
S. M. Soames	915		66		444		39	• •	11.5	
W. R. Stratton	138		6		72		6	••	12	
H. S. Laird	75		3		39		1.	• •	39	

A THINK

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	ost in innings	S	Times not ou		Average
C. J. R. Richards	10	THE.	241	 74		3		34.3
C. R. H. Gresson	10		266	 55		. 1	OFFIRE	29.5
F. C. Cooper	9		79	 37		0	IT HE	8.7
G. W. Gruggen	9		68	 31		- 1	13.5.4	8.4
H. C. Izard	5		32	10		. 1		8
M. R. Church	7		46	20		1	arris11	7.4
T. L. Nelson	9		59	 37	1	0	Ball	6.5
P. A. Robson	7		35	 9		1	1130	5.5
E. N. N. Woodard	6		29	11		1	(10323)	5.4
F. Pellatt	7		19	 7		2	Labor.	3.4
J. E. M. Carroll	7		13	6	.,	2		2.3

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets.	Average
T. L. Nelson	152.2	W	52	14.	304	0	35	 8.24
F. Pellatt	48		16		95		10	 9.2
J. E. M. Carroll	126.3		39		260		26	 10
G. W. Gruggen	23.1		5		59		4	 14.3
P. A. Robson			16		159		9	 17.6
	7		2		20		1	 20
C. J. R. Richards	42		9		113		2	 56.1

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	rg	Runs	9.	Most in		Times not out		Average
R. L. Aston	11	,	263		58		3		32.6
C. C. Hatt	11		193		59		2		21.4
L. L. Reid	11		165		37*		3		20.6
C. J. Kortright	11		177		45		1		17.7
H. H. Perry	13		187		103		2		17
R. A. Arnold	12		174		71		0		14.5
C. McTandy	10		137		33		0		13.7
E. E. Bryant	11		90		32*		2		10
W. A. White	8		46		17		3		9.2
H. Lovegrove	11		69		18*		2		7.7
E. S. Cardell	4	• •	12		10	• •	0	• •	3
		* Sign	nifles no	t out.					

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

		0 0 0		_, •	
	Wickets		Runs		Average
C. J. Kortright			383		7.2
L. L. Reid			441		8.5
R. A. Arnold			108	a same	9
H. H. Perry	9		191		21.2
C. C. Hatt	5	••	110		22

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		Tost in innings	3	Times not out	Average
C. R. Higgins	12		277		70		1	 25.2
A. G. Stubbs	11		102		24		4	 14.4
A. P. Snell		-	208		59		0	 13.13
G. B. Scriven			119		18		4	 13.2
B. Hamilton			144		35		0	 13.1
E. Blaber			189	1.5	38		0	 12.9
A. Finch			87		34		0	 12.3
J. Howard		10.3	131		59		1	 11.10
D. C. Bartley			93		37		1	 11.5
E. C. Bradford			31		12*		2	 10.1
G. V. B. Wimbush		450	39		14	.,	5	 6.3
u, it is introdom it is		Sign	ifies not	out.				

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Balls	N	faidens	5	Runs	Y	Vickets	5	Average
G. V. B. Wimbush	1217		93		478		30		15.28
A. G. Stubbs	639	Wir r	41		370	10:15	24		15.10
D. C. Bartley		154 11	27		259		14		18.7
G. B. Scriven	593		40		283	11	15		18.13
B. Hamilton		AG	14	35	110		5		22
E, Blaber			25		211		9		23.4

BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	Iost in	1	Times				
	nnings		Runs	an	innin	gs	not out		Average		
S. Briggs		g. g	537		93	11	5		31.10		
A. D. Piper			487		117		2		37.6		
C. B. Combes		11.	129		28		0		7.10		
R. F. G. Chaldecott			243		41		2		14.5		
A. G. Baines			108		37*		3		8.4		
W. F. Surtees			290		61		2		17.1		
E. H. D. Sewell			135		21		2		9.9		
G. Hart-Smith	. 10		49		16		2		6.1		
F. H. G. Hutchinson	. 6		21		3*		3		7		
C. A. C. Plowden	. 13		125		28		0		9.8		
T. A. Francis			18		14		1		3		
* Signifies not out.											

BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

1/13			O.L. C						
	Overs	Maidens			Runs	V	Vickets	1	Average
C. B. Combes	303.1		98		512		66		7.50
A. D. Piper	57.2	576.35	8		155		15		10.5
R. F. G. Chaldecott			30		381		16		23.13
E. D. H. Sewell			32		312	11.0	28		11.4
G. Hart-Smith			1		42		2		21
A. L. Anderson			107		110		4		27.2
T. A. Francis			4		73		3		24.1

MERCHISTON SCHOOL BATTING.

			Runs	Most in an innings			Times not out	Average	
C. E. Dunlop	20		302		81		5	 20.1	
H. M. Gray	17		217		82*		3	 15.5	
G. T. Neilson	13		111		33*		3	 11.1	
W Neilson	19		204		23		0	 10-7	
T. O. Mathieson	20		191		25		2	 10.6	
J. Lamont	18		146		37		1	8.5	
W. M. Gow	14		104		24		ī	 8	
F. F. Duffus	14		50		18*		4	 5	
N. W. Fraser	7		29		112		0	 4.1	
J. Lamb	11		31		7*		3	 3.8	
D. M. Strath	10		12		5		4	 2,4	
	*	Sign	ifies not		1			-11	

MERCHISTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	N	faidens	Runs		Wickets	Average
H. M. Gray	403		183	 574	9.1	65	8.8
F. F. Duffus	295		110	 498		50	 9.9
C. E. Dunlop	46		24	 64		6	 10.6
D. M. Strath			23	 273		23	 11.8
J. Lamb	40		17	 51		4	 12.7

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

				M	ost in	Times		
	Inning	rs.	Runs	an	innings	not out	1	Average.
M. R. Jardine	13		623		115	 5		77.7
G. T. Campbell	13		300		133	 2		27
T. E. Bayley	11		93		32	 2		10.1
G. H. Normand	9		89		51	 0		9.8
H. T. Methuen	12		111		39	 0		9.1
R. Todd	13		105		27	 0		8.1
A. R. Kay	13		93		30	 0		7.2
A. N. Fleming	8		23		12	 5		7.2
W. N. Boase	6		33		17	 0		5.1
C. F. Marshall	5		13		9	 1		3.1
W. M. Landale	8		17		13	 1		2.3

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

M	Laidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. R. Jardine	44	 147	 24	 6.3
W. N. Boase	44	 160	 21	 7.13
N. N. Fleming	62	 236	 24	 9.20
T. E. Bayley	18	 105	 6	 17.3

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		fost in innings	3	Times not ou	Average
F. E. Woodhead	18		488		75		2	 30.8
D. L. Evans			300		67*		3	 23.1
R. H. Dunn			197		68		2	 12.5
A. P. Smeaton			190	MI SI	56		1	 10.10
S. Gedge			165		33		0	 8.13
P. C. Cochran			140		39		1	 8.4
M. Rose			124		26*		2	 8.4
N. A. M'Leod			80		31*		1	 7.3
S. Paton		340	49	1011.83	18		1	 7
G. J. Gibson			20		12*		3	 6.2
J. L. Henderson			32		17		2	 3.2
J. L. Honderson			nifies not	tout.				

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

		100							
	Balls	Maidens				W	ickets	Average	
S. Gedge	74		2		35		5		70.00
R. H. Dunn	1190		31		593		57		10.23
F. E. Woodhead	996		84		282		27		10.12
P. C. Cochran	130		5		68		6		11.2
	808		53	7	317	1777	23	0.17	13.18
M. Rose	158		11		44		3		14.2
S. Paton					104		7		14.6
D. L. Evans	345	0 ***	40	100			2	100	25.1
A. P. Smeaton	130		3		76		9	• •	
N. A. M'Leod	153		7		80		9		20.2
N. A. M'Leod	153		7		80		3		26.2

