JOHN WISDEN'S CRICKETERS' ALMANACK FOR 1894

WILLOWS BEPRINT

WBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1893.

lv

龖

~~) SUM

W.B

ŵ

w

Ш,

n N N

986 1. 8

500

"J

:8.J

"W

ndt Sac

lh C. R. Thù.

Ever year there is much, perhaps maproficable, discussion ; to which of the great schools not furly claim pre-columner for en representatives. A study of statistics in many cases leads an colinely zonazers opinion shout the respective verses of tem erens who have not played one another. Home schools who evo ow annually a heary list of viriories and high individual averyes hall to produce as many really good players as others whose conts are comparatively moderate. The responsis that their powerty cars very much in class. The results of M.U.T. and sensibility instations give fair data by a comparison. d rven these arr in cour, cases misteading. In fact, and a gantic regue system is established arrang the arbods, or a puble judge sent round to watch the play of all the beams creasically, she operator will never be satisfactorily decided. every, as jar as can be seen. Eton, Uppingham, and Uppinge are last acason better than any of the others. The eleven of 93 was certainly the nest Elsen has had for some time. H. H. ephensor, thinks last sesson's benu the strongest there has an at Eppinghean since Lanas's time. – Fonimilge, which has aintained is high standard of excellence for several wasons, was ry ancressful, and would probably have been a formulatile ponentior effor Elion or Uppingham. On the whole the Elion even was probably the best. Uppingham new layer had as od a batting side, but the former had the advantage in how Eng. arow fill below its standard of late years, and was an asteb r Rhon at Lord's – Winchester, 50, lad a disapptitting season. my began well but fell of towards the latter part of their agranme. Both Marlbornegis and Chiton had good sides. abren and Rosall seen so have been wal above the average. d Charterboose, also, bad a strong, derea

To pass on to individuals, there can be no doubt that J. B. ason, the Winebester captuin, was the best public school but of e year. This true that Wilson of Deployhout had an average of against Massa's 55, but the hotor's success to first-class rights. lvi

for Kent stamps him as a performer of phenomenal merit. To step from school into county cricket and secure an average of 27 by consistently good play was a triumph. He also bowled successfully for his school, taking forty-five wickets for 16 runs apiece, and for his county in their match with Middlesex at Lord's. He is unfortunately not going up to either of the Universities, but as he has bridged the gulf between school and county cricket so successfully, Oxford and Cambridge will be the only losers. With his assured position, fine physique, and undoubted powers, he ought to have a splendid future before him. Wilson of Uppingham is also a batsman quite out of the common. He is, we believe, going up to Cambridge. He has played no first-class cricket, but his school record is wonderfully good. He totalled 722 runs, with an average of 90, his highest score being 183 not out against Repton. Though he does not hit with Mason's freedom, he plays very hard all round the wicket. He certainly ought to come to the front at the University. Alexander of Shrewsbury had a fine average of 52, and was much the best bat in his eleven. He hits hard on the off side, timing the ball very well, but he is not quite so stylish as he might be. Bannon of Tonbridge was one of the most successful school bats of the year, his average being 48. Bromley-Martin, Cunliffe, and Pilkington of Eton were all very good, and Nicholls of Rugby, with an average of 37, Curtis of Clifton, Rhodes of Malvern, and Peers of Charterhouse also deserve mention.

It is difficult, as a rule, to speak of Public School bowlers with any certainty, but last season the one who came most to the front was Townsend of Clifton. He was remarkably successful for Gloucestershire. His hat-trick, all three victims being stumped, was one of the most curious incidents of the season. He bowls very slow, and has a wonderful command over the ball. He is not particularly strong yet, and ought to improve considerably during his next school season. His brother-Cliftonian, Grace, did extremely well for his school, taking fifty-one wickets for 11 runs each; but he hardly came up to expectations when tried for his Harrison, of Eton, who bowled capitally against both county. Winchester and Harrow, is very fast. Cunliffe, too, was useful. Edwards of Dulwich had the remarkably good average of 8.8 for fifty wickets. He is a fast left-hand bowler with a decided legbreak. Some of his performances were very good, and there seems no doubt that he was quite one of the best school bowlers. Jordan, also of Dulwich, was almost as successful as Edwards, but is not nearly so dangerous. Andrew of Rossall, Neville of Malvern, and Hartley of Tonbridge have good records.

An exhaustive account of the cricket season at each of the

more famous schools would be beyond the compass of this article even if sufficient information could be obtained. The following short notices, arranged in alphabetical order, are necessarily in many cases founded on second-hand information from head quarters :—

BRIGHTON started the season under rather difficult circumstances, as only two choices—Belcher and Jacques—remained over from the eleven of 1892. Next summer they ought to do much better with a nucleus of six to begin with. Out of fourteen matches they won four, drew one, and lost nine. Ames, who headed their averages with 21, was their best bat. He is a good hitter, and gives promise of improving into a fine player. Marshall and Jacques did most of the bowling. The former took thirty-eight wickets for 15 runs each; the latter thirty-two for 17. Their fielding was good, especially in the Lancing match. Their batting collapsed against Tonbridge and Dulwich, by both of whom they were easily beaten.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL have a much higher standard in footbal¹ than they have in cricket; but lately their interest in the latter game has shown a marked increase. They succeeded in defeating Merchant Taylors' and St. Paul's, but went down before Dulwich. H. Beasley, C. L. Beasley, and Harris had averages of over 20 for them; the first-named headed the list with 37. All three of them scored centuries. Harris, we believe, will be at Sandhurst next season. In Warner they had a promising lefthand bowler, who took thirty-four wickets for 15 runs each.

The BRADFIELD eleven showed some improvement upon the team of 1892, but they cannot be congratulated on their performances. They have one solitary victory to their credit. By Radley they were badly beaten, and at Sherborne, in spite of a promising beginning, they failed completely. The weakness of Bradfield in cricket is probably entirely due to the shocking condition of their practice wickets. Tyndall was a good captain, and their wicket-keeper, Anderson, did very well. In Hinde they had the best bowler they have produced for some time. He failed against Radley, but was on the whole highly successful.

Of the sixteen matches played by CHARTERHOUSE seven were won, three lost, and six drawn. Seven of their eleven will be available next season, so they have very bright prospects. No less than nine of them had averages of double figures. Peers was at the top with 31; Barrington, Bray, Crabtree, and Garnett all exceeded 20. None of them had a century to their credit, but they were consistent scorers all through. Crabtree acquitted himself admirably as captain, though he might with advantage have taught his men to field better. They had plenty of bowlers,

lv

Anderton being the best with forty-nine wickets at a cost of 16 runs each. Towards the end of the season, however, he seemed to tire. Baker, too, was better at the beginning of the summer. Smith and Dyne were both useful change bowlers, while Austen late in the season got a lot of wickets. Both Westminster and Wellington were defeated. Against the former Crabtree and Peers batted well, and Baker was the most deadly bowler. In the Wellington match Peers played finely in the first innings, and Garnett scored 90 in the second, while Dyne took three wickets for 3 runs. The match was very exciting. Crabtree and Bray, who kept wicket, are both at Cambridge.

CHELTENHAM were throughout a fair batting side, but in bowling and fielding they were weak. The Clifton match was drawn, owing to bad weather, in their favour. Champain, who will be captain next summer, was their best bat; he has a nice style and is very promising. Their best bowler was Stratton. Quinton was a good hitter, and Bignell (who has gone to Woolwich) was a useful steady bat and field.

With Grace and Townsend to bowl their opponents out CLIFTON were a successful eleven. They won five matches, lost two, drew one, and tied one As above mentioned, rain cut short their match with Cheltenham. Curtis with 31, Grace with 29, and Townsend with 28 were their best batsmen. Grace has gone to Cambridge, but the other two are still at school. Curtis, who is a fine bat, will be their captain next season. Townsend and Grace seem to have bowled practically unchanged through most of the matches. The former's success for his county has already been n ticed. He should become a splendid bowler unless he is overworked.

DULWICH won seven matches, including those with Brighton and Bedford, but suffered defeat at the hands of Tonbridge, M.C.C., and Quidnuncs. Tonbridge beat them by seven wickets. The Quidnunc match was a good one, and the Dulwich eleven may be congratulated on a fine struggle against a strong team. Douglas, their captain, was their chief scorer, though Arthur and Barry helped him materially. The strength of the team lay undoubtedly in the bowling of Edwards and Jordan. The former, as I have said already, was certainly one of the best school bowlers in 1893. Five of the team will be gone before next season. Jordan is at Oxford; he is a medium right-hand bowler and a fine field.

ETON turned the tables upon Harrow and Winchester in a very decided fashion. At the beginning of the season they were only moderately successful, but they ended up exceedingly well. Bromley-Martin, their captain, was a very capable leader and a good bat, Harrison turned out a good fast bowler, though inclined to be erratic; in the two school matches he was very destructive. Pilkington, with an improved defence, should levelop into a really excellent bat. Cunliffe proved himself a most reliable all-round man. The 1893 team was certainly a dangerous and dependable side, with a capacity for rising to the occasion. Success at Lord's sometimes lends an Eton team a reputation in excess of its merits, but taking everything into consideration their last representatives may be regarded as having proved themselves the best of the school elevens.

HAILEYBURY won five matches, lost five, and drew one. In some respects they were very unlucky. Reid, their captain, was hurt, and could only help them in their last two matches, and two of the other three old choices with whom they began the season were incapacitated. The usual match with Uppingham was not played, and they lost to Wellington somewhat easily. Snell headed their batting, and Barton their bowling averages.

The cricket at HARROW last season was not up to the usual high standard of excellence. With the exception of Vibart, who is young, and very promising as a bat and field, their new choices were not a success. They had no Jackson or MacLaren to make their runs. Of those who played in 1892, Woodward and Ferris were fairly good ; their captain, Rome, improved wonderfully as a bat. The bowling was inclined to be weak, Rome having a damaged hand, which seemed to deprive him of his power. The fielding of the eleven was exceptionally good. Next summer they will have six old choices left. Rome and Ferris have preferred the Army to the Universities.

LANCING were not a very good eleven. Their solitary victory over their old boys saved them from a season of unbroken disaster. Much their best bat was Brydone, who showed up well on several occasions. Carter, who will be captain next season, was top of the bowling averages, but Floyd, who is a slow left-hander, was probably their best man in this department. They were defeated by Tonbridge, Brighton, and Hurst College.

MARLBOROUGH had a good eleven, though their strength would have been much increased if they had found a fast bowler. Their best bat was Mortimer, who scored 98 against Rugby. Druce, also, who was their captain, and a good one, played several stylish innings. Both he and Mortimer are at Cambridge. They had a free hitter and fine field in Graham. Of their bowlers, Beloe, a slow left-hander, was the most useful. Johnson, the wicket-keeper, was hurt before the meeting with Rugby at Lord's, but previously he performed very creditably. Their fielding was consistently good. The Rugby match ended in an evenly balanced draw.

lviii

MALVERN played eleven matches, of which they won five, lost two, and drew four. They were a very fair side, though not as strong as in 1892. Both Repton and Sherborne went down before them. In Rhodes they possessed a free, dangerous bat, and a good wicket-keeper. Next to him in the averages come Marriott and Simpson. Bowling and fielding were their strong points, the batting as a whole being rather weak. Neville and Lowe were the stock bowlers, and both did very well. The former had an average of 12 for forty-five wickets, the latter o e of 12 for thirty-five.

RADLEY were unfortunate in their earlier matches, but came on with a rush at the end. Of their last eight matches, six were won and one drawn. After the middle of June they were quite a good though not very dangerous side. They had some steady bowling backed up by good fielding. Their batting seemed to be plucky when occasion demanded it, and Webb was an excellent captain. He will be succeeded next season by Bailey, who is a promising player. Chinnock was a useful man. They beat Bradfield.

REPTON were distinctly better than in 1892. Some of their performances were creditable, but they were no match for Malvern, much less for Uppingham. Davenport headed their averages with 30. He was a persistent scorer but inclined to be slow. Both Beasley and Busby played some plucky innings. Bryant, a slow bowler with a leg break, was fairly successful, but he was not sufficiently collected under punishment. Shepstone, who was fast, did some good things. Busby was disappointing as a bowler.

ROSSALL had a strong batting side. Their most conspicuous performance was against Liverpool, when they scored 272 for three wickets; two of them, Newett and Stone, made centuries on this occasion. The Shrewsbury match was a draw in favour of Rossall; Loretto beat them. Newett will be at school next season, so they will retain their best bat. Wilson is a young and promising allround player. Andrew was a good bowler; he took fifty-eight wickets for 14 apiece. King also did some useful work. The cricket of the school seems in a promising condition, and they have good prospects for next season.

At RUGBY the batting was good, but the bowlers without exception proved expensive. Nicholls, who headed the batting averages with 37, was a good man. Marshall 24, Slater 23, and Eckersley 20 were the next best. They had two left-hand bowlers in Rhodes and Christopherson, the former being fast, the latter slow. Sample and Gowers also bowled. Their fielding was good on the whole and their wicket-keeping efficient. There is every prospect of a good eleven in 1894. SHERBORNE were seriously handicapped by the enforced absence of their captain, and in addition to this had great difficulty with the practice wickets, which were rough and bad. Painter, their coach, was continually away playing in county matches. Their record, therefore, of three wins, one draw, and five losses, was as good as could be expected. They were beaten by Malvern, but defeated Bradfield. Stevens was much their best bat. He was very successful in July, and heads the average list with 36. Holberton, a slow left-hander, and Radford, medium right, were a good pair of bowlers. Stevens, too, was useful as a change. Prichard was the best of a smart fielding side.

SHREWSBURY had a very fair eleven, but it was not nearly so strong as in 1892. They won four matches, lost two, and drew three, including the Rossall match. Alexander had the first-rate average of 52. He was far i + advance of the others, Rose with 25 coming next to him. Alexander is a strong hitter on the off, with an excellent eye, but his style is as yet rather rough. He remains on for next season with five others, so there is every prospect of a good eleven. Salt was the best bowler, though Rose and Roberts were very useful. The fielding was only moderate, especially against Rossall.

TONBRIDGE, as has been remarked, produced one of the best elevens of the year. They will have to work hard to equal it next season, as only two of this year's team are remaining. All three school matches were won. Brighton and Lancing were practically outclassed, and the Dulwich match ended successfully after a good game. The side improved steadily through the season. Bannon, who was captain and is staying on, was the most successful bat with an average of 48. Clark (33), Hill (24), and Hartley (23) were all good. Ronald, a very useful bat, was only able to play a very little. Their bowling was strong. Hartley and Body did most of it, while Hubbard and Carlton were good changes.

UPPINGHAM had a splendid eleven. They were beaten by a strong team of Quidnuncs, which included C. M. Wells, and by Liverpool. One match was drawn, the remaining four won. Repton were easily leaten, and the Old Boys, M.C.C., and Christopherson's XI., all strong teams, fell before them. Of Wilson and his wonderful performances we have already spoken. Everyone who saw him was very much struck by his play. His 183 not out against Repton was a faultless and finished innings. At Uppingham he is regarded as equal if not superior to any batsman the school has had. When we remember the number of splendid cricketers Uppingham has produced, this is indeed high praise; but it seems quite deserved. Wilson's success in first-class cricket seems a certainty. Besides their champion the school had a sound batting team from beginning to end. The fielding perhaps was somewhat below the usually high standard, chiefly owing to slowness in several instances; but the inevitable wicket-keeper was found in Cowan, who was decidedly good. Bardswell made a fine slip. Of the bowlers, Wilson, Taylor, and Bardswell were the best. The latter should develop into a really good bowler. He goes to Oxford.

WELLINGTON improved considerably during the term. They won four matches, lost six, and drew one. Their most meritorious performance was their victory over an M.C.C. team containing Mead, Barnes, and G. G. Hearne. They beat Haileybury but lost to Charterhouse. Livesay scored 107 in the former and 73 in the latter school match. He is a stylish bat. Beard, however, was top of the averages. He is a dangerous bat, but is inclined to be rash. The fielding was inaccurate at the beginning of the season, but latterly it improved much. Beard took thirteen wickets for 45 runs against Haileybury. Dashwood, who succeeded Forbes this year on the latter's resignation, will be captain again next season.

WESTMINSTER were not successful. They only won two matches out of thirteen. Their victories were over the Masters and Lords and Commons. They were probably a better team than statistics would indicate, as, owing to their ground being in town, everyone is ready to play in the elevens they meet, which are consequently always very strong. Again, Sherring, their captain, seems to have mismanaged the bowling, especially in the Charterhouse match, which they had a good chance of winning. In Fisher, a young and promising player, they had a good bowler and bat. Sherring was top of the batting averages. Severn also was a fair bat. Shearme was a fairly good bowler.

WINCHESTER had not such a good eleven as last year. Though successful at first they went off considerably. They seem to have been liable to batting collapses, probably because Mason was expected to make all the runs. Stephens, Henderson, and Rowe scored occasionally. The fielding was very good. Gibson, a medium-pace left-hander, could bowl fairly, but was very variable. Mason was the best bowler : he took forty-five wickets. Of his batting it is almost unnecessary to speak; everyone knows how successful he was for Kent. He is a beautiful bat, and scores at a tremendous rate. In 1894 he will probably be high up in the first-class averages.

An and a second se

M.C.C. Matches.

	2nd inn. Result. *9 Won by ten wickets. 261 * No wicket down.
July 8, {M.C.C. AND GROUND 79	*74 } Lost by 114 runs.
Wellington {Wellington College 193	
July 10, 11, M.C.C. AND GROUND 99 Nottingham (NOTTS AMATEURS 198	
July 10, 11, {M.C.C. AND GROUND 213	*89) Won by seven wickets.
Rugby {RUGBY SCHOOL 151	149) * Three wickets down.
July 10, 11, { M.C.C. AND GROUND 234 Brighton { SUSSEX CLUB AND GROUND 50	$\begin{array}{c}\\ 132 \end{array} \} \begin{array}{c} \text{Won by an innings and} \\ 52 \text{ runs.} \end{array}$
July 12, { M.C.C. AND GROUND 68	*42 Lost by 40 runs.
Radley 108	
July 12, 13, {M.C.C. AND GROUND *91 Portsmouth {UNITED SERVICES CLUB †216	 → Drawn. → Five wickets down. → Nine wickets down. Innings closed.
July 13, {M.C.C. AND GROUND 50	- } Lost by 10 runs.
Upper Clapton {UPPER CLAPTON 60	*75 } * Six wickets down.

ETON V. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.-The Eton and Harrow match last season was even less remarkable than the contest between the two Universities, the cricket being distinguished by few features of more than ordinary interest. For the lack of brightness and freedom in the play, however, the weather was in a large measure responsible, the wicket being considerably affected by heavy rain in the early part of the week. Eton proved themselves by far the stronger side at every point, and won easily by nine wickets, their victory-the first they had gained since 1887-giving rise to the most enthusiastic demonstration we have seen at Lord's for a long time past It was a pity the match could not have been played on a hard, lively pitch, as we are inclined to think under such circumstances the Eton team would have given a very fine display of cricket. Only having seen them on this one occasion we would not care to say too much in their praise, but we believe it was the opinion of Mr. R. A. H. Mitchell, who had certainly better means of judging than anyone else, that the school had not for several years put so strong a team into the field. On the other hand, it was freely admitted by those most interested in Harrow that the latter school had by no means so good a side as usual. Uncertain weather and the strong counter-attraction of the meeting of Orme and La Flêche in the Eclipse Stakes at Sandown considerably affected the attendance on the opening day, the company scarcely numbering more

M.C.C. Matches.

than 12,000, of whom 4,500 paid for admission at the gates. The only old choices in the match were Bromley-Martin, Pilkington, and Bircham, for Eton; and Rome, Ferris, and Woodward, for Harrow. The two captains were Bromley-Martin and Rome. Harrow, who won the toss and went in first, were batting for exactly two hours, their innings commencing at five minutes after eleven and ending at five minutes past one for a total of 125. On the slow and rather heavy ground this did not seem a bad score, but subsequent play showed that it was scarcely a good one. The batting was singularly uneven, some fairly good play in the early part of the innings and just at the close being contrasted with a series of failures in the middle. Fifty went up before twelve o'clock with only two wickets down, and with but four men out the score reached 80, Harrow's prospects then seeming quite hopeful. At this point, however, Harrison (right hand fast), who was bowling from the Pavilion end, became for the time irresistible and took four wickets-three of them in four balls-with the total at 81. He bowled Gore and Stogdon, got Munro out leg before wicket first ball, and bowled down Bullock's leg stump, after that batsman had been missed at point. Nine wickets were down for 97, but the last wicket added 28 runs, Sandilands hitting out with plenty of resolution. Harrison had an extraordinary average, taking six wickets for 29 runs. He kept up his pace very well and was evidently not afraid to pitch up. Eton's innings was started in a remarkable way by Egerton and Meeking, who went in at twenty-five minutes past one and were not separated till twenty minutes to four. Deducting the luncheon interval, their partnership lasted rather more than two hours, during which time 71 runs were scored, this number including a liberal share of extras. With such extreme, caution did they play before luncheon that in twenty-five minutes they only made 11 runs, four of these coming in one hit. The way in which they resisted the temptations offered them by the bowlers made the cricket at times decidedly tedious to watch, but the two young batsmen deserved every credit for the patience and judgment with which they played on the slow ground, and the easy victory of their side was certainly due in a large measure to their exertions. Meeking was batting nearly three hours and a half for his invaluable fifty, the total, when he was at last got rid of, standing at 141. His hits were one 4, three 3's, ten 2's, and seventeen singles. Well as he played, however, his innings was by no means free from mistakes. When he had made 28 he gave a sharp chance of being caught and bowled, and with his score at 36 he was missed at mid-off. Moreover, we believe that he ought once to have been caught by the wicket-keeper. Decidedly the brightest cricket of the innings was shown by Pilkington, who, during his partnership with Meeking, scored in forty minutes 30 runs out of 42. At ten minutes past six rain came on and stopped further play. Eton's score being 166 for eight wickets.

On the Saturday the match came to an unexpectedly rapid conclusion, the end being reached soon after half-past three. Thanks to the vigorous play of Cobbold, the last man, Eton raised their score to 199, and it was felt that with a lead of 74 they had the match pretty well in hand. So carefully were the runs obtained that the Harrow bowlers sent down no fewer than 158 overs. Just before twelve o'clock Harrow went in for the second time, and in a little over two hours of actual cricket they were all out for 105, the last wicket falling immediately after the luncheon interval. Thanks to Rome, Ferris, and Woodward. 40 went up with only one wicket down, there seeming at this point some prospect of a good finish to the game, but there were seven men out before the balance on the first innings had been hit off, and it was clear that Harrow had no possible chance. Harrison followed up his fine bowling on the first day by taking three wickets for 26 runs, and thus had the fine record for the whole match of nine wickets for 55 runs. Eton only wanted 32 to win, and for the loss of one wicket the runs were hit off in half an hour, Egerton, who had played so carefully on Friday, showing that he was quite capable of forcing the run-getting when occasion served. His 17 not out was a really capital innings.

252

254

M.C.C. Matches.

HARROW.

Mr. G. G. Dome a Cobhold h Cupliffo	18 — c and b Pilkington	15
Mr. C. S. Rome, c Cobbold, b Cunliffe		
Mr. R. F. Vibart, b Pilkington	3 - c Meeking, b Cunliffe	0
Mr. K. A. Woodward, b Harrison	26 — b Harrison	16
Mr. J. T. Ferris, b Cobbold	5 — c Bircham, b Cunliffe :	19
Mr. C. D. Williams, not out	19 c Meeking, b Cunliffe	1
Mr. G. P. Gore, b Harrison	15 - c Meeking, b Cobbold	4
Mr. J. H. Stogdon, b Harrison	0 — st Meeking, b Cobbold	0
Mr. R. M. C. Munro, 1-b-w, b Harrison	$0 - not out \dots$	20
Mr. J. H. Bullock, b Harrison	0 – c Meeking, b Pilkington	6
Mr. J. A. Haliday, b Harrison	15 — b Harrison	4
Mr. J. W. Sandilands, c Kettlewell, b		
Pilkington	16 c Gosling, b Harrison	6
В 6, 1-b 2	8 B 8, 1-b 5, w 1	

ETON. 00

125

105

66 runs.

7 runs.

48 runs.

Hon. F. W. H. Egerton, c Stogdon, b Munro	30 - not out	11
Mr. H. F. Meeking, b Williams	50 — b Sandilands	6
Mr. G. E. Bromley-Martin, c Rome, b	and the second second second second second	0
Munro	7 — not out	9
Mr. F. H. E. Cunliffe, 1-b-w, b Sandilands	3	
Mr.C.C. Pilkington, c Munro, b Williams	30	
Mr. H. W. Kettlewell, c Stogdon, b Rome	14	
Mr.H.R.E.Harrison, cWilliams, bVibart	1	
Mr. H. F. W. Bircham, b Sandilands	2	
Mr. R. H. Mitchell, b Rome		
Mr. L. D. Gosling, not out	3	
Mr.P.W.Cobbold, cBullock, bSandilands	24	
B 20, 1-b 3, n-b 2	25	
D 20, 1 0 0, 1 0 2		
	199	32
	and the state of t	

ETON BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	0	vers	Mdns.		Wkts.	
Pilkington	14.4	6	23	2		14.2	5	22	2	
Cunliffe		11	49	1		17	9	23	3	
Cobbold		4	16	1		13	5	20	2	
Harrison		6	29	6		18	8	26	3	

HARROW BOWLING.

Rome	50	30	39	2	 9	5	11	0
Vibart		17	26	1				
Woodward	20	13	16	0				
Gore	8	1	6	0	 antin'i	11110		
Sandilands	22.3	11	41	3	 8	2	21	1
Munro	15	5	20	2				
Williams	15	4	26	2				

Umpires-T. Mycock and J. Phillips.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES.

1805. Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs. 1860. Unfinished. 1818. Harrow won by 13 runs. 1861. Unfinished. 1822. Harrow won by 87 runs. 1862. Eton won by 64 runs. 1823. Eton won by an inns. and 32 runs. 1863. Unfinished. 1824. Eton won by nine wickets. 1825. Eton won by seven wickets. 1827. Eton won by six wickets. 1864. Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs. 1865. Harrow won by an innings and 1828. Eton won by three wickets. 51 runs. 1832. Eton won by an inns. and 156 runs. 1866. Harrow won by an innings and 1833. Harrow won by eight wickets. 136 runs. 1834. Harrow won by 13 runs. 1867. Unfinished. 1835. Eton won by 165 runs. 1868. Harrow won by seven wickets. 1836. Harrow won by nine wickets. 1869. Eton won by an inns. and 19 runs. 1837. Eton won by eight wickets. 1870. Eton won by 21 runs. 1871. Eton won by an inns. and 77 runs. 1872. Eton won by six wickets. 1838. Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs. 1839. Eton won by eight wickets. 1840. Eton won by 31 runs. 1873. Harrow won by five wickets. 1841. Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs. 1874. Eton won by five wickets. 1842. Harrow won by 65 runs. 1875. Unfinished. 1843. Harrow won by 20 runs. 1876. Eton won by an inns. and 24 runs. 1844. Eton won by an inns. and 69 runs. 1845. Eton won by an inns. and 194 runs. 1877. Unfinished. 1878. Harrow won by 20 runs. 1879. Unfinished. 1846. Eton won by an inns. and 135 runs. 1847. Eton won by nine wickets 1880. Harrow won by 95 runs. 1848. Harrow won by 41 runs. 1881. Harrow won by 112 runs. 1849. Harrow won by 77 runs. 1882. Unfinished. 1850. Eton won by seven wickets. 1883. Unfinished. 1851. Harrow won by eight wickets. 1884. Unfinished. 1852. Harrow won by 71 runs. 1853. Harrow won by three wickets. 1885. Harrow won by three wickets. 1886. Eton won by six wickets. 1887 Eton won by five wickets. 1854. Harrow won by 98 runs. 1855. Harrow won by an innings and 1888. Harrow won by 156 runs. 1889. Harrow won by nine wickets. 1858. Harrow won by an innings and 1890. Unfinished. 1891. Harrow won by seven wickets. 1859. Harrow won by an innings and 1892. Harrow won by 64 runs. 1893. Eton won by nine wickets.

Sixty-eight matches have been played, of which Eton have won twenty-eight. Harrow twenty-nine, and eleven have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 for boys under twenty, which has been rejected. R. B. Hoare's 108 in 1888 was the ninth three-figure innings in the matches between the schools, the previous great scorers having been E. Bayley 152 in 1841; A. K. Watson 135 in 1885; A. W. Ridley 117 in 1871; C. P. Foley 114 in 1886; W. F. Forbes 113 in 1876; A. W. T. Daniel 112 not out in 1860 ; C. J. Ottaway 108 in 1869 ; and E. Crawley 100 in 1885.

July 14, 15, Malvern { M.C.C. AND GROUND MALVERN COLLEGE		n. 2nd i $^{*116}_{124}$	Won by ten wickets.
July 15, {M.C.C. AND GROUND	. 103	53 }	Won by 22 runs.
Streatham {STREATHAM	. 81		* Five wickets down.

M.C.C. Matches.

July 17, East Acton	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND PALLINGSWICK	. 90	2nd inn. Result. —) Won by 22 runs. *34) * Six wickets down.
July 17,	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	. 157	- Won by 89 runs.
Hampstead	HAMPSTEAD	. 68	(60) * Two wickets down.
July 18, 19, Rickling Green	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	. 139 . 83	$-$ Won by an innings and $20 \int 36$ runs.
July 18, 19,	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 20\end{array}$	} Won by an innings and
Shrewsbury	SHREWSBURY SCHOOL		84) 103 runs.
July 19,	. (M.C.C. AND GROUND	·· *121	 Won by seven wickets. + Three wickets down.
Purley	CANE HILL	·· 83	
July 19, Beckenham	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	: 277	
July 19, 20,	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	. 99	_} Drawn.
Bickley	BICKLEY PARK	. 221	
July 20, Plaistow	. (M.C.C. AND GROUND PLAISTOW	11	} Drawn.

M.C.C. AND GROUND V. LEICESTERSHIRE.

M.C.C. AND GROUND V. LEICESTERSHIRE. Played at LORD'S, Thursday, Friday, Saturday, July 20, 21, 22.—After having all the worst of the opening stages of this match with the M.C.C., Leicestershire played up superbly on the last day, and only just failed to save the game—the Club, who began Saturday's cricket with every prospect of an easy victory, winning by the narrow majority of 10 runs. Some excellent batting by Storer, Barnes, Davidson, J. H. Roberts, and Attewell for the M.C.C., and by Holland for the county, and some capital bowling by Pougher, Hillyard, Davidson, and Mee, marked the cricket of Thursday and Friday, and when play ceased on the latter evening, Leicestershire, with three men out in the fourth innings for 40, wanted 216 more to win. As we have suggested, the last few batsmen played up with great spirit, and nearly succeeded in pulling the match out of the fire. out of the fire.

M.C.C. AND GROUND.

IL O. ILLE GARGESTE	
Mr. J. S. Russel, b Woodcock 2 - c and b Pougher	0
W. Storer, c Whiteside, b Hillyard 57 - c Holland, b Woodcock	0
W. Barnes, c Pougher, b Hillyard 19 - b Hillyard	58
W. Darnes, Ciougnor, Sinthy and the start in the hard soll	35
	25
	18
Mr. J. H. Roberts, cWoodcock, b Pougher 42 - run out	0
Mr. A. Worsley, c Whiteside, b Hillyard 3 - b Hillyard	
W Attewell, c Hillyard, b Pougher 5 — c Pougher, b Woodcock	35
R I Wee c Pougher, b Hillyard \dots 4 – b Woodcock \dots	
Mr. E. Rodriguez, b Pougher $1 - c$ Marriott, b Finney	9
Dr. Barton Smith, not out	-1
B 1, 1-b 4, w 1 6 B 14, 1-b 4	
D 1, 10 4, W 1	
145	210
140	

M.C.C. Matches.

ian. Result.		EICEST	ERSHIR	E.				
Mr. C. E. De Trafford,					1 10 2 10			
Davidson			0 -	c Ri	issel, b	Davidso	on	16
T. H. Warren, c Stone, b	Attewe.		8 -	c St	orer, b	Attewel	1	0
Chapman, c Storer, b Da	vidson.		1-	c Re	odrigue	z, b Att	ewell .	16
J. Holland, c Mee, b Dav	idson		47	b B	arnes			31
W. Tomlin, c Roberts, b	Mee		8	c Ro	oberts,	b Attew	ell	34
A. D. Pougher, c and b M	Lee		0	b Al	ttewell			23
Mr. G. W. Hillyard, b Me	ee		5 -	b Al	ttewell			10
Finney, c Attewell, b Ban	nes		4	b Da	avidson			12
Mr. C. Marriott, 1-b-w, b	Attewer		10	not	out			
A. Woodcock, b Davidson	1		8-	c Ro	oarigue	z, b Atte	ewell .	58
J. P. Whiteside, not out B 8, n-b 1			9	run	out			10
D 8, 11-0.	L		9			ьэ,	w1	6
			100					345
			100					340
	LEICE	STERSH	TRE BO	WLU	NG.			
Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.		Overs	M '15.	Runs	Wkts.
Pougher 21.4	10	46	4			12	24	1
Woodcock 8	2	23	1		23	7	62	4
Hillyard 18	3	47	4			7	72	2
Finney 5	1	23	0		6	0	34	2

		M.C.C.	AND GR	OUND	BOWL	ING.			
Davidson	36	23	26	4		34.2	16	68	2
Attewell	26.3	13	39	2		48	18	95	6
Mee	15	6	18	3		16	3	48	0
Barnes	6	3	8	1		8	2	28	1

Umpires-T. Mycroft and V. A. Titchmarsh.

Is July 20, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Bath	t inn. 117 82	2nd inn. Result. —) Won by 35 runs. *62 / * Three wickets down
July 20, 21, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Ipswich {IPSWICH & EAST SUFFOLK	198 110	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 56 \end{array} \} \begin{array}{c} \text{Won by an innings and} \\ 32 \text{ run}^{\circ}. \end{array}$
July 22, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Southgate	$\begin{array}{c} 210\\ 49 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -\\ *65 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \text{Won by 161 runs.} \\ * \text{ Four wickets down} \end{array}$
July 22, Upper Tooting { M.C.C. AND GROUND UPPER TOOTING	136 72	$\left.\begin{array}{c} -\\ *63 \end{array}\right\}$ Won by 64 runs. * Three wickets down.
July 22, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Kensington Prk. { KENSINGTON PARK	*249 †113	Drawn. —) * Eight wickets down. —) Innings closed. † Six wickets down.
July 24, 25, Tunbridge Wells { M.C.C. AND GROUND TUNBRIDGE WELLS	89 115	147 Lost by six wickets. *124 * Four wickets down
July 24, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Hitchin	87 104	$1\overline{39}$ Lost by 17 runs.
July 24, 25, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Lincoln	49 89	$174 \\ 119 $ Won by 15 runs.

256

257

R

M.C.C. Matches.

	an internet	st inn.		nn. Result.
July 25, Charterhouse Square.	M.C.C. AND GROUND MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL	*290	}	Won by 129 runs. * Five wickets down.
July 25, Lee	M.C.C. AND GROUND			Won by 6 runs. * Six wickets down.
July 26, Croydon	M.C.C. AND GROUND WHITGIFT GRAMMAN SCHOOL	93 73	*60 †111	Won by 20 runs. * Six wickets down. Innings closed † Seven wickets down.
	(M.C.C. AND GROUND (UXBRIDGE	$\begin{array}{c} 112\\106\end{array}$	${}^{74}_{*61}$	Won by 6 runs. * Eight wickets down.
July 27, 28, Hopton Heath.	(M.C.C. AND GROUND HOPTON HEATH CLUB	111 77	$\begin{array}{c} 70 \\ 61 \end{array}\}$	Won by 43 runs.
July 27, 28, Lord's	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND	429 242	*29) 212)	Won by seven wickets. * Three wickets down.
July 28, 29, Northampton .	. {M.C.C. AND GROUND NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	251 172	$\stackrel{94*}{-}\}$	Drawn. * Eight wickets down.
July 29, Surbiton	M.C.C. AND GROUND	70 135	=}	Lost by 65 runs.
July 29, Godalming	. { M.C.C. AND GROUND CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL	. 154 *155	=}	Lost by one wicket. *Ten wickets down.

GOVERNMENT V. OPPOSITION.

Played at LOAD'S, Saturday, July 29.—A match between members of Parlia-ment representing respectively the Government and Opposition was played, but did not prove the attraction expected. However, the weather was most unfavourable. The Opposition, which included several well known cricketers, won very easily, declaring their innings at an end when three wickets had fallen for 242 runs. for 243 runs.

GOVER	NMENT.
Mr. J. A. Pease, b Forster	4 — c Whitelaw, b Forster 7
Mr. J. F. Leese, b Beckett	22 — c Chelsea, b Forster 6
Hon. Mark F. Napier, c Walrond, b	
Forster	40 — not out 14
Mr. H. J. Gladstone, b Chelsea	12
Mr. George Newnes, st Davenport, b	
Forster	4
Mr. A. E. Hutton, c Walrond, b Forster	4
Mr. William Allen, c Chelsea, b Forster	3
Mr. R. K. Causton, b Forster	2
Mr. C. E. H. Hobhouse, st Davenport,	and the second second second second
b Forster	4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Mr. J. M. Paulton, not out	1
Mr. R. T. Reid, absent	0 - b Chelsea 11
B 5, 1-b 2, w 1	8 L-b 1, w 1, n-b 1 3
	Transferra and an in the 26 statest
	104 41

M.C.C. Matches.

OPPOSITION.

Ar. H. W. Forster, c Allen, b Napier	81
Viscount Curzon, st Reid, b Allen	
viscount Chelsea, c Reid, b Napier	
Ar. Walter Long, not out	
B 5, 1-b 1, w 6	

The following did not bat :--Mr. W. Bromley-Davenport, Mr. Ernest W. Beckett, Hon. Sidney Herbert, Mr. A. F. Jeffreys, Captain Grice-Hutchinson, Mr. G. Whitelaw, and Sir William Walrond.

* Innings declared closed.

OPPOSITION BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Forster				7			17	2
Beckett	 . 11	2	32	1	 6	2	12	0
			15	1	 6	4	9	1

Chelsea bowled one wide in the first innings.

Chelsea bowled one wide and Forster one no-ball in the second innings.

GOVERNMENT BOWLING.

Gladstone	12	1	44	0	
Napier	15	2	78	2	
Pease	16	1	85	0	
Allen	23	0	10	1	
Hobhouse	4	0	14	0	
HODHOUSE	100 D. 10	DEL M. LL.			

N

Gladstone bowled three wides, Allen two, and Pease one.

Umpires-W. Price and T. Mycroft.

ls July 31, Aug. 1, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Sunderland { DURHAM	146	2nd inn. Result. 108 Lost by an innings and $-\int$ 38 runs.
July 31, Aug. 1, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Lord's	$\begin{array}{c} 266\\ 217 \end{array}$	173 ≀ Drawn. *129∫ * Two wickets down.
August 2, 3, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Worcester { WORCESTERSHIRE		Drawn.
August 2, 3, (M.C.C. AND GROUND Cheltenham	132 198	53 Lost by an innings and $-$ 13 runs.
August 2, 3, (M.C.C. AND GROUND Neweastle NORTHUMBERLAND	96 68	$ \begin{array}{c} 149\\ 91 \end{array} \right\} \qquad \text{Won by 86 runs.} $

*243

RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at LORD'S, Wednesday, Thursday, August 2, 3.-Two exceedingly well-matched teams represented Rugby and Marlborough in this annual Schools match, which, favoured by fine weather, attracted a capital attendance at Lord's on both days. On Wednesday each side completed an innings, Marlborough making 165 and Rugby 228. Druce and Mortimer for the former and Dowson, Slater, and Marshall for the latter showed to the best advantage in batting, while Rhoades, a fast left-handed bowler, displayed promising form with the ball, and the fielding of both teams was extremely good. The second day's cricket was remarkable for the splendid batting of Mortimer, Graham, and Ainsworth, who between them contributed 216 out of the Marlborough total of 308. Mortimer, who will be remembered as having made 106 in the match of 1892, scored 98 without a mistake, and was unlucky in just failing to reach his hundred. He was batting two hours and thirty-five minutes, and hit fourteen 4's, four 3's, and seven 2's. Rugby, with 246 to win, had no time to get the runs, but they might have lost had not Nicholls and Marshall stayed together over an hour and added 73 runs, after three wickets had gone down for 19. This stand saved them from disaster, and when stumps were pulled up and the match left drawn, very little advantage could be claimed by either school.

MARLBOROUGH.

W. Mortimer, c Lee, b Dowson	38 — c Allen, b Christopherson	98
J. Graham, c Gowers, b Rhoades	20 — c Lee, b Rhoades	63
G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Marshall, b	and the second second	
Rhoades	7 — b Rhoades	55
N. F. Druce, c Dowson, b Rhoades	53 — st Allen, b Christopherson	13
J. Milnes, b Gowers	20 - b Christopherson	10
F. O. Houseman, b Rhoades	6 - b Christopherson	4
G. H. Beloe, b Rhoades	0 — b Rhoades	5
H. G. Moir, st Allen, b Christopherson.	9 — b Marshall	19
A. F. Mullins, c Slater, b Christopherson	4 - b Sample	30
G. W. Johnson, not out	0 - not out	1
E, H, B. Skimming, b Rhoades	0 — c Marshall, b Sample	2
B 4, 1-b 4	8 B 6, 1-b 2	8

RUGBY.

165

308

A. O. Dowson, c Beloe, b Graham	39 — b Beloe 7
A. E. Slater, 1-b-w, b Beloe	32 — b Skimming 8
J. F. Rhoades, b Skimming	$10 - run out \dots 0$
R. W. Nicholls, c Druce, b Skimming.	6 - c Druce, b Mullins
J. F. Marshall, b Druce	32 — c Ainsworth, b Mullins
D. Christopherson, b Beloe	4 - b Skimming 7
W. H. Eckersley, b Mortimer	$22 - not out \dots 28$
P. Lee, c Johnson, b Mortimer	20
T. N. Sample, b Beloe	20
W. F. Gowers, b Mullins	7
W. L. Allen, not out	4
B 30, 1-b 2	32 B 6, 1 b 1 7
68 91 Wen by 26 mas.	998
	228 165

M.C.C. Matches.

RUGBY BOW'ING. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. 13 6 28 1 17 3 49 0

Gowers	13	6	28	1		17	3	49	0
Sample	22	4	42	0		19	5	23	2
Rhoades	20.1	6	37	6		30	10	84	3
Marshall	2	0	4	0		7	1	25	1
Christopherson	18	9	30	2		24	4	61	4
Dowson	9	3	16	1		14	5	26	0
			Nicho	lls .		4	1	15	0
			Ecker	sley		2	0	17	0
		MARLE	BOROUGH	Во	WLING				
Beloe	28.2	12	53	3		27	13	39	1
Skimming	25	10	46	2		20	0	43	2
Mullins	15	5	36	1		18.4	6	44	2
Graham	17	9	25	1		6	3	16	0
Mortimer	7	2	16	2		2	0	9	0
Druce	6	1	20	1		3	1	7	0

Umpires-W. Price and W. Hearn.

1s	t inn.	2nd inn.	Result.	
M.C.C. AND GROUND BANSTEAD	86 72	90]	Won by 14 runs.	

CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, August 4, 5.—Following the decision of the Rugby and Marlborough match, another public school fixture was arranged for the same week. Owing to the inclement weather on the first day only an hour and three quarter's cricket was possible, and in that time Cheltenham scored 95 for the loss of five wickets, while on Saturday rain had so seriously affected the ground that the bowlers nearly always held the upper hand. The game produced a remarkably close and interesting finish, and when time expired and the match was left drawn, Haileybury, with one wicket to fall, wanted 10 runs to win. It seemed a great pity that the captains did not agree to go on for a few minutes and bring the contest to a definite issue.

CHELTENHAM.

F. B. Champain, b Stenning	20 — c and b Jackson	19
B. H. Bignell, b Stenning	14 — b Barton	4
H. B. Jeffrey, c Burnaby, b Stenning	7 – c Burnaby, b Jackson	14
H. C. Manners, c Giles, b Barton	7 — b Barton	6
J. W- Stratton, b Barton	12 — c Giles, b Jackson	0
J. M. Quinton, c and b Jackson	31 — c Snell, b Barton	5
E. H. B. Stanley, b Jackson	0 - c Reid, b Stenning	8
P. J. Bird, b Jackson	5 - 1-b-w, b Burnaby	15
F. R. Coates, c Stevenson, b Stenning.	1 - 1-b-w, b Barton	0
H. D. Bowly, 1-b-w, b Barton	5 — not out	22
R. E. Edmondson, not out	4 – c Outram, b Burnaby	1
B 11, 1-b 3	14 B 6, 1-b 1	

120

M.C.C. Matches.

HAILEYBURY.

J. K. Stenning, b Champain	11 — not out	21
E. F. Long, b Coates	5 — run out	6
N. W. Jackson, c Jeffrey, b Coates	6 — b Coates	4
W. J. Barton, c Bowly, b Coates	6 — c Quinton, b Bowly	17
C. J. Reid, c Bignell, b Champain	13 - c Bowly, b Stratton	29
H. B. F. Burnaby, c Bird, b Coates	3 — run out	33
H. W. Snell, not out	5 — c Quinton, b Coates	1
W. A. Giles, c Bowly, b Stratton	1 - b Champain	16
W. Outram, b Champain	2 — c Edmondson, b Champain	0
G. H. Stevenson, c Bowly, b Champain.	7 - b Bowly	0
H. C. Broadrick, b Coates	1 — not out	0
B 6, w 1	7 B 19, 1-b 1, w 1	21
250 Summer, Bo was balling this hand		
	67	145

MAILEYBURY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Jackson	17	6	33	3	18	6	33	3
Barton	17.2	6	43	3	18	9	27	4
Stenning	24	14	20	4	16	9	23	1
Snell	2	1	6	0	1	0	7	0
Stevenson	. 1	0	4	0				
			В	urnaby	1.3	0	4	2

CHELTENHAM BOWLING.

Stretton	14	10	20	1	 11	5	23	1
Champain		6	24	4	 21	8	37	2
Coates		6	10	5	 15	6	24	2
Quinton		0	6	0	 9	3	19	0
				Bowly	 11	4	21	2

Umpires-J. Wheeler and W. Hearn.

ls August 4, 5, (M.C.C. AND GROUND Carlisie	t inn. 75 124	$2nd inn. \\ 130 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ \}$	Result. Won by 26 runs.
August 4, 5, {M.C.C. AND GROUND Bedford	$\begin{array}{c} 137\\152 \end{array}$	*203 } *	Drawn. Eight wickets down.
August 5, High Wycombe { M.C.C. AND GROUND HIGH WYCOMBE	297 73		Won by 224 runs.
August 5, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Leatherhead { LEATHERHEAD	60 86	$\frac{-}{148}$	Lost by 26 runs.

LORETTO SCHOOL V. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Friday, Saturday, June 9, 10.-Won by Loretto by ten wickets.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1893;

338

WE give below the full scores of the leading public school matches of last summer, with the exception of those games played at Lord's, which will be found in the M.C.C. Review.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL V. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, June 3.-Tonbridge won by seven wickets.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

Mr. E. S. Hurlbatt, run out Mr. S. Douglas, b Hartley Mr. L. H. Abbott, b Body	0 — b Hartley 0 — b Body	4 2
Mr. L. H. Abbott, b Body Mr. G. Jordan, b Body	17 — b Body	3
Mr. L. Jackson, c Hartley, b Body	3 — c Hubbard, b Hartley 2 — c Airey, b Hartley	10
Mr. J. Barry, b Body	0 - st Hill, b Hartley	0
Mr. C. S. Campbell, b Body Mr. C. H. Ransford, st Hill, b Hartley	$1 - \text{not out} \dots$	5
Mr. W. H. Edwards, b Body	$6 - b Body \dots \\ 6 - b Body$	27
Mr. H. Arthur, b Hartley	$6 - b Body \dots$ $5 - c Hill, b Carlton \dots$	1 22
Mr. H. C. Lloyd, net out	0	
W	1 B	1
	a second se	

*(nnings declared closed.

41

*87

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Mr. B. D. Bannon, c Jackson, b Edwards Mr. J. C. Hartley, b Edwards Mr. W. F. Cooper, b Edwards Mr. H. C. B. Cummings, b Jordan Mr. K. Clarke, b Jordan Mr. K. Clarke, b Jordan Mr. G. M. Hill, b Edwards Mr. G. M. Hill, b Edwards Mr. R. B. Airey, b Jordan Mr. W. O. Hubbard, c Jackson, b Jordan Mr. J. Body, not out Mr. E. N. Carlton, b Edwards B 4. 1-b 3. n-b 1	5 0 6 11 0
B 4, 1-b 3, n-b 1	8 B 9, 1-b 2, n-b 2 13
	71 58

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Mr. F. B. Newett, c Browne, b Swanston	38 — b Woodcock	26
Mr. E. D. Matthews, b Marshall Mr. T. W. Stone, b Browne	14 — b Marshall 48 — b Woodcock	32
Mr. A. J. Bearney, c Marshall, b Swan-	48 - D WOOLCOCK	4
ston	8 — b Woodcock	0
Mr. E. A. Royds, c Thomson, b Browne	29 — b Marshall	
Mr. B. W. V. King, c Waterhouse, b		
Swanston	9 — c and b Marshall	0
Mr. G. P. Wilson, b Swanston	8 — run out	2
Mr. D. H. Kentish, b Browne	0 - c Swanston, b Woodcock	13
Mr. E. F. Fellows, b Browne	0 — not out	14
Mr. C. Andrews, not out	9 — b Marshall	0
Mr. G. U. Hampaline, b Woodcock	0 – b Woodcock	0
B 5, 1-b 2, w 3	10 L-b 2, n-b 1	3
	- i to see a Transferra to sheet part and	-
	173	68

LORETTO SCHOOL.

Mr. R. W. A. Marshall, c Hampaline, b	
King	13 — not out
Mr. D. H. Smith, b King	14 — not out
Mr. H. A. Browne, c and b Andrews	105
Mr. W. Drysdale, run out	10
Mr. K. Waterhouse, c Fellows, b Newett	50
Mr. J. C. Swanston, b Newett	1
Mr. A. D. J. H. Woodcock, b Newett	0
Mr. F. H. Dunn-Pattison, run out	17
Mr. A. R. Smith, c Matthews, b Stone	8
Mr. G. W. Orr, c Stone, b Andrews	0
Mr. W. J. Thomson, not out	4
B 10, 1-b 4	14 B
·	
	236

LANCING COLLEGE V. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at TONBRIDGE, Saturday, June 10.-Tonbridge won on the first innings by 270 runs.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Mr.B.D. Bannon, c Foster, b Austin	65 [Mr. W. O. Hubbard, b Cave	34	
Mr. J. C. Hartley, run out	55	Mr. R. D. Airey, cG.T. Floyd, b Cave	29	
Mr. W. F. Cooper, b Austin	19	Mr. J. Body, b Cave	0	
Mr. H. C. B. Cummings, b Austin	12	Mr. E N. Carlton, not out	0	
Mr. K. Clarke, c G.T. Floyd, b H. D.		Planed at WRIGHAMMY (manade		
Floyd	56	B	24	
Mr.M.O.Hodson, c Fowler, b Carter	36			
Mr. G. M. Hill, run out	21		351	

339

2 3

4

LANCING COLLEGE.

Mr. G. T. Floyd, b Body		191
Mr. W. W. Underwood, b Body Mr. H. D. Floyd, run out	6 — b Body 33 — c Hodson, b Hartley	0
Mr. J. M. Brydone, not out	27 - not out	11
Mr. C. W. Hope, c Hill, b Body	0 - not out	19
Mr. G. C L. Howell, b Carlton	0 - c Carlton, b Hartley	0
Mr. R. C. Fowler, b Carlton Mr. C. C Carter, c Hartley, b Carlton	0 - c Cummings, b Hartley	2
Mr. D. J. Banks, b Body	10 0 h Body	0
Mr. E. M. Austin, b Body	$\begin{array}{c} 0 - b \text{ Body} \dots \\ 3 \end{array}$	0
Mr. E. H. C. B. Cave, b Body	0	
В	2 B	4
	D. H. Kantish, b Browns, and .	
	81	42

FETTES SCHOOL V. MERCHISTON SCHOOL.

Played at FETTES, Saturday, June 10.—Merchiston won by 10 runs. Prichard did the hat trick.

FETTES SCHOOL.

Mr. B. H. Winterbotham, b Forman Mr. W. E. B. Monypenny, b Forman Mr. J. A. Campbell, b Forman Mr. W. Duguid McCombie, b Mann	7	Mr. H. V. Prichard, c Guise, b Mann Mr. T. Gowans, b Forman Mr. A. M. Adamson, b Forman	0 0 0
Mr. F. G. Maclaren, 1-b-w, b Forman Mr. R. H. Moore, c Fasson, b Mann Mr. T. E. Moir, c Guise, b Forman Mr. F. H. Todd, not out	3 0 3 3	В	$\frac{4}{89}$

MERCHISTON SCHOOL.

Mr. J. A. Guise, c Monypenny, b Prichard 0 Mr. F. H. Fasson, c Monypenny, b Todd 0	Mr. R. H. Fasson, b Prichard Mr. W. G. Neilson, b Prichard Mr. L. Holland, b Prichard Mr. H. B. Scott, b Prichard	0 0 0 4
Mr. C. E. Mann, 1-b-w, b Todd 4 Mr. G. O. Turnbull, not out 48 Mr. O. F. Lumsden, run out 9	Serrer.	
Mr. B. G. Forman, b Prichard 21 Mr. J. L. Neilson, c Maclaren, b	В	8
Todd 5	Player, whether Hills and the post of the second and the second s	99

WELLINGTON COLLEGE V. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at WELLINGTON COLLEGE, Wednesday, Thursdoy. June 14, 15.— Wellington won by an innings and 48 runs. Livesay played an admirable innings of 107, which included sixteen 4's. Beard's bowling was excellent, his full analysis being thirteen wickets for 45 runs.

Public Schools Matches.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

WELLINGTON	Conditional
Mr. E. C. Forbes, b Stenning 0 Mr. T. H. Dashwood, b Graves 1 Mr.R.O'H. Livesay, c and b Stenning 107 Mr. B. F. Beard, c Broadrick, b Jackson 12 Mr. H. C. Armstrong, c Stenning, b 12 Jackson 0 Mr. E. H. V. Weigall, c Outram, b 3	Mr. T. A. Headlam, c Jackson, b Stenning
HAILEYBURY	
Mr. W. Outram, b Benson Mr. W. S. Cowper, run out Mr. H. B. F. Burnaby, c and b Beard Mr. J. H. Stenning, c Livesay, b Beard Mr. W. J. Barton, b Weigall Mr. W. Snell, 1-b-w, b Beard Mr. N. W. Jackson, 1-b-w, b Beard Mr. G. H. Stevenson, b Beard Mr. E. V. Ford, b Beard Mr. C. H. Broadrick, b Beard Mr. P. P. Graves, not out B	9 - b Beard 0 $8 - b$ Beard 1 $0 - not$ out 11 $15 - 1$ -b-w, b Beard 1 $1 - b$ Beard 7 $6 - b$ Beard 10 $0 - c$ English, b Benson 0 $0 - b$ Benson 0 $1 - c$ English, b Weigall 2 $1 - c$ English, b Weigall 11

BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

62

Played at BRIGHTON, Tuesday, June 20.-Tonbridge won by an innings and 121 runs.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Mr. A. H. Belcher, b Body Mr. J. H. Furmedge, c Airey, b Hartley Mr. C. G. Ames, c Ronald, b Hartley Mr. E. B. Jacques, b Hartley Mr. R. B. Marshall, b Body Mr. R. W. Harris, c Hill, b Body Mr. A. J. Adam, b Hartley	0 - c Body, b Hubbard 6 - b Hartley 4 - b Carlton 3 - c Body, b Hubbard 2 - b Carlton 0 - c Bannon, b Hubbard 6 - run out	$ \begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $
Mr. B. L. Simpson, c Hill, b Body Mr. B. Strachan, c Body, b Hartley Mr. P. Le Febvre, not out Mr. E. E. Fort, c Hodson, b Hartley	$\begin{array}{l} 4 & - \text{ not out } \dots \\ 0 & - \text{ run out } \dots \\ 1 & - \text{ c Hodson, b Hubbard } \dots \\ 0 & - \text{ b Carlton } \dots \\ & B 11, 1\text{-}b 4 \dots \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 15 \end{array} $

26 TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Mr. B. D. Bannon, b Belcher Mr. J. C. Hartley, b Jacques Mr. D. Ronald, b Marshall Mr. K. Clarke, b Harris	73	Mr. W. O. Hubbard, b Jacques Mr. B. B. Airey, not out Mr. J. Body, b Marshall Mr. E. M. Carlton, b Jacques	$ \begin{array}{c} 14\\ 0 \end{array} $
Mr. W. F. Cooper, b Marshall Mr. G. M. Hill, st Belcher, b Mar- shall Mr. M. O. Hodson, b Marshall		B 14, 1-b 5, w 1, n-b 1	

341

56

84

ETON V. WINCHESTER.

Played at ETON, Friday, Saturday, June 23, 24.—For the first time since 1837 the Eton boys were able to beat Winchester, gaining a highly creditable victory on their own Playing Fields by five wickets. Mr. J. R. Mason, who after-wards made such a successful appearance for Kent, was, as in 1892, by far the best all-round cricketer in the match, as he scored 79 runs and took eight wickets for 61 runs; but, apart from their captain, none of the Wykehamists showed form of more they average ability. For the winners the h pours were showed form of more than average ability. For the winners the h nours were carried off by Mr. Pilkington, who scored 85 and 4, and Mr. Cunliffe, a left-handed bowler of great promise, who took eleven wickets at a cost of 74 runs. It is satisfactory to note that the fielding on both sides was excellent.

Emor	
Hon. F. W. G. Egerton, c T. Leese, b Gibson 8 – c H Mr. G. E. Bromley-Martin, c. T. Leese, b Mason 24 – c H	M. Leese, b Gibson 2 Lenderson, b Mason 17 Tunt, b Mason 6
Mr. F. H. E. Cunliffe, c Festing, b Hunt 10 - b G	ibson 0
	Leese, b Mason 4
Mr. W. H. Greenly, c Rowe, b Mason. 6 — not Mr. P. W. Cobbold, c Henderson, b Mason 0 — not	out 12
Mr. H. F. W. Bircham, c Mason, b Gibson 6	out 9
Mr. H. W. Kettlewell, c Rowe, b Mason 13	
Mr. A. E. B. Ind, c T. Leese, b Mason . 1	
Mr. H. R. E. Harrison, not out 13	
B 25, 1-b 1, w 3 29	B 4
	_
212	54
WINCHESTER.	
Mr. J. R. Mason, c Greenly, b Harrison 43 – c H	arrison, b Pilkington 36
Mr. G. H. Rowe, b Cunliffe 0 - b H	larrison 40
Mr. K. Wigram, c Pilkington, b Cunliffe 0 – b F Mr. G. B. Stephens, c Cunliffe, b Cobbold 26 – b H	Pilkington
Mr. H. W. Kaye, b Cunliffe	Iarrison5reenly, b Cunliffe14
Mr. T. B. Henderson, b Harrison $\dots 0 - c E$	gerton, b Cunliffe 1
Mr. C. M. Leese, not out 26 - c al	nd b Cobbold 19
Mr. J. H. Hunt, b Harrison $\dots 16 - c C$	obbold, b Cunliffe 0
Mr. T. Leese, b Cunliffe $\dots 7 - c$ at	nd b Cunliffe 0
Mr. G. H. Gibson, c Meeking, b Cunliffe $1 - b C$	unliffe 2
MIT. F. L. Festing, b Cunliffe $\dots 0$ — not	out 3
B 4, 1-b 2 6	B 3, 1-b 1, w 2, n-b 1 7
130	135
WINCHESTER BOWLIN	The P. La Echygen mole one
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.	Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
Magon 20 17 95 5	10 10 D2 9

Mason 32 5 18 10 17 35 26 24 Gibson 30 97 3 17.2 10 Henderson 8 29 0 1 Hunt..... 7.4 3 11 2

3

2

WHEN THE REAL PROPERTY OF

Stephens 3 0 11 0 Mason, Hunt, and Stephens each bowled a wide in the first innings.

ETON BOWLING.

Cobbold			49	1		26	12	42	1
Cunliffe			30	6		24	12	44	5
Pilkington	14	7	33	0		17	5	34	2
Harrison	19	12	12	3		9	4	8	2
Cobbold bo	wled a	no-ball and	d Cunliffe	two	wide	s in	the second	innings.	

Public Schools Matches.

WESTMINSTER V. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, WESTMINSTER, Friday, Saturday, June 23, 24.— Charterhouse won by 105 runs. H. Crabtree played a splendid innings of 86 not out for Charterhouse, and W. B. Baker took eleven wickets for 55 runs.

CHARTERHOU	SE SCHOOL.	
Mr. E. H. Bray, c Shearme, b Fisher	5 — c Sherring, b Fisher	0
Mr. F. L. Fane, run out	15 — c Sherring, b Shearme	22
Mr. W. L. B. Barrington, c and b Fisher	10 — b Shearme	3
Mr. H. Crabtree, 1-b-w, b Fisher	$1 - not out \dots$	86
Mr. E. Anderton, not out	19 — 1-b-w, b Shearme	0
Mr. E. Garnett, b Shearme	5 — b Rivaz	
Mr. J. B. Dyne, b Shearme	4 — b Shearme	29
Mr. W. B. Baker, c and b Shearme	0 — run out	1
Mr. F. J. Peers, b Fisher	1 - c Sherring, b Fisher	45
Mr. W. A. E. Austen, c Ladell, b Fisher	0 — b Fisher	1
Mr. L. K. Smith, b Fisher	0 - b Fisher	0
L-b 1, n-b 2	3 L-b 3, n-b 1	4
11 N 1 N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The search is the second s	

63

WESTMINSTER	SCHOOL.
-------------	---------

THE DESIGNATION AND A		10
Mr. E. H. Cox, b Baker	6 — b Baker	
Mr. H. S. Ladell, c Garnett, b Baker	3 - c and b Austen	3
Mr. W. L. Armstrong, c Dyne, b Ander-		
MI. W. D. Almstrong, C Dyne, D Ander-		0
ton	5 - c Smith, b Austen	0
Mr. F. B. Sherring, b Anderton	0 - b Anderton	14
Mr. J H. Alderson, st Bray, b Baker	3 — b Peers	8
Mr. A R. Severn, b Baker	5 — b Baker	25
		0
Mr. J. F. More, b Baker	4 — b Baker	0
Mr. C. D. Fisher, c Bray, b Austen	12 — b Baker	10
Mr. F. Rivaz, run out	3 — b Baker	0
		8
Mr. T. H. Newman, c Fane, b Austen	6 - c and b Baker	0
Mr. D. Shearme, not out	$0 - \text{not out} \dots$	0
		16
B 3, 1-b 2, n-b 1	6 B 4, 1-b 8, n-b 4	10
	S- GHTTLY COLLERS	
	53	96

MALVERN COLLEGE V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at MALVERN, Tuesday, June 27.-Malvern won by nine wickets.

MALVERN COLLEGE.

Mr. G. H. Simpson, 1-b-w, b Holberton	16 - b Holberton	18
Mr. H. H. Marriott, c and b Holberton .	15 — not out	21
Mr. W. W. Lowe, h w, b Holberton	11 — not out	
Mr. T. B. Rhodes, c Powys, b Holberton	15	
Mr. R. E. Foster, b Stevens	5	
Mr. C J. Burnup, c Prichard, b Hol-		
berton	54	
Mr. R. B. Porch, b Radford	20	
Mr. G. H. Nevile, c Holberton, b Stevens	5	
Mr. E. H. Simpson, run out	3	
Mr. A. E. B. Manders, c Dixon, b Hol-	Ma D. Handhim, h. Edwards, A	
berton	0	
Mr. C. W. B. Elliott, not out	4	
B	. 6 L-b	
	Played at Maconston, Fulland	
	154	51

191

.... 18

344

Public Schools Matches.

Public Schools Matches.

言語で

MERCHISTON SCHOOL.

	SHERBORNE SCHOOL.	
	Mr. F. E. Stevens, c Elliott, b Nevile 0 - run out	28
	Mr. L. C. Powys, b Nevile 7 - b Nevile	0
	Mr. A. C. C. Wright, b Lowe 9 - 1-b-w, b Burnup.	19
	Mr. H. Laurie, not out 22 - c Rhodes, b Ellio	ott 38
	Mr. A. Dixon, b Nevile 0 - c Simpson, b Ell	iott 0
-	Mr. C. A. S. Ridout, b Lowe 1 — b Nevile	
	Mr. T. H. Prichard, c Rhodes, b Lowe 3 - c Rhodes, b Low	
	Mr. C H. Wigan, b Lowe 8 - b Lowe	
	Mr. E. T. Holberton, b Burnup 1 - b Lowe	0
	Mr. W. A. McEnery, 1-b-w, b Lowe 0 - run out	
	Mr. A. D. Bradford, c Rhodes, b Lowe 0 - not out	4
	B 15, 1-b 1 16 B 12, 1-	
	67	135
	states of the second states and the second states and the second states at the second states	

Mr. G. O. Turnbull, st Thomson, b Abercromby	0
Services in the second of the	-
157	50
LORETTO SCHOOL.	T.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE V. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at MARLBOROUGH, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 4, 5.-Marlborough won by seven wickets.

CHELTENH	AM COLLEGE.	
Mr. H. B. Jeffrey, b Beloe	0 — c Beloe	0
Mr. P. J. Bird, b Skimming Mr. F. H. Bateman-Champain, c and b	0 — b Skimming	4
Graham	30 — b Skimming	36
DIT. H. C. Manners, c Druce, b Skimming	$24 - run out \dots$	1
Mr. J. W. Stratton, c Johnson, b Graham Mr. E. H. B. Stanley, c Houseman, b	5 — b Skimming	11
Skimming	0 — c Beloe, b Graham	24
Mr. J. M. Quinton, c Johnson, b Skim-		~1
ming Mr. E. C. O. Graham, b Skimming	0 — c Johnson, b Druce 0 — st Johnson, b Skimming	22
Mr. F. Coates, b Skimming	0 - b Graham	0
MIT. H. D. Bowly, not out.	8 — c Houseman, b Skimming	9
Mr. R. E. Edmondson, c Johnson, b Graham	6 — not out	2
В	4 B	$\frac{2}{12}$
	Discourse of debring of Management of	_
	77	131
Mr. W. Montineer Libert h. MARLBOROU	GH COLLEGE.	131
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out	26
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b	GH COLLEGE.	
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates	26
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates	26 9 13 4
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out	26 9 13
 Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. C.B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 1	26 9 13 4
Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. G. B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out	26 9 13 4
 Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. G. B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton Mr. F. G. Sandford, b Stratton 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 18	26 9 13 4
 Mr. W. Mortimer, I-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. C. B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton Mr. F. G. Sandford, b Stratton Mr. G. W. Johnson, c Stratton, b 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 18 0 0	26 9 13 4
 Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. C.B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton Mr. F. G. Sandford, b Stratton Mr. G. W. Johnson, c Stratton, b Champain Mr. E. H. Skimming, not out 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 18 0	26 9 13 4
 Mr. W. Mortimer, I-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. C. B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton Mr. F. G. Sandford, b Stratton Mr. G. W. Johnson, c Stratton, b 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 18 0 0 4	26 9 13 4
 Mr. W. Mortimer, 1-b w, b Manners Mr. G. Graham, c Champain, b Quinton Mr. G. W. B. Ainsworth, c Stratton, b Manners Mr. N. F. Druce, c Bowly, b Coates Mr. J. Milnes, b Stratton Mr. C.B. Cheales, c Edmondson, b Quinton Mr. G. H. Beloe, b Stratton Mr. F. O. Houseman, b Stratton Mr. F. G. Sandford, b Stratton Mr. G. W. Johnson, c Stratton, b Champain Mr. E. H. Skimming, not out 	GH COLLEGE. 30 — not out 37 — c Champain, b Quinton 8 — c Champain, b Coates 6 — c Bowly, b Coates 16 — not out 18 0 0 4 4	26 9 13 4

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE V. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 11, 12.—A draw, owing to rain. Champain played a fine innings of 78 for Cheltenham, and Townsend, for Clifton, took nine wickets for 96, the tenth batsman being run out.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Mr. F. H. B. Champain, run out	78	Mr. P. J. Bird, b Townsend	31
Mr. H. B. Jeffery, c Tagart, b		Mr. F. R. Coates, 1-b-w, b Townsend	14
Townsend	12	Mr. H. D. Bowley, not out	0
Mr. B. H. Bignell, c Van-Soweren,	1214	Mr. R. E. Edmondson, st Tagart.	
b Townsend	29	b Townsend	0
Mr. H. C. Manners, b Townsend	1	Mr. T. W. Morrison, st Tagart, b	
Mr. J. W. Stratton, st Tagart, b	1336	Townsend	0
Townsend	15	B 11, 1-b 2	13
Mr. E. H. B. Stanley, c Tagart, b	THE 3		_
Townsend	53		246
			410

Public Schools Matches.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Mr. J. H. Curtis, c Edmondson, b	
Stratton	26 — c and b Champain 10
Mr. C. L. Townsend, b Champain	17 - c Stanley, b Champain 0
Mr. W. G. Grace, c Edmondson, b	
Stratton	0 - not out 18
Mr. T. R. Eccles, c and b Stratton	0 - not out 3
Mr. J. H. F. Mills, b Champain	11
Mr. S. Hudleston, b Stratton	0
Mr. A. S. Jackson, not out	0
Mr. C. Bonham-Carter, b Bowley	14
Mr. W. Van-Soweren, b Coates	18
Mr. H. R. Crosthwaite, b Bowley	2
Mr. E. V. Tagait, c Morrison, b Bowley	1
B 4, 1-b 4, w 2	10 L-b 1
a second second and the a second	
	99 <u>32</u>

REPTON SCHOOL V. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at REPTON, Friday, Saturday, July 7, 8.-Malvern won by eight wickets.

REPTON SCHOOL.

Mr. H. J. Davenport, b Elliott	18 — b Burnup
Mr. G. H. Busby, b Nevile	5 — c Pullan, b Nevile
Mr. E. H. Beesley, c Pullan, b Burnup	17 - c and b Nevile
Mr. G. F. Abell, cG. H. Simpson, b Nevile	12 — run out
Mr. F. H. Bryant, b Elliott	19 — c Foster, b Pullan
Mr. A. Eccles, c E. H. Simpson, b Elliott	14 — 1-b-w, b Elliott
Mr. S. W. Marshall, b Elliott	8 — b Pullan
Mr. H. J. Bryant, c Rhodes, b Burnup.	11 — b Pullan
Mr. E. S. Clegg, b Pullan	$5 - not out \dots$
Mr. G. H. Shepstone, run out	0 — c Marriott, b Nevile
Mr. G. W. Heasman, not out	5 - c Pullan, b Nevile
В	31 B

MALVERN COLLEGE.

145

 Mr. G. H. Simpson, c Marshall, b Heasman Mr. H. H. Marriott, c Busby, b Bryant. Mr. W. W. Lowe, c Heasman, b Bryant Mr. T. B. Rhodes, b Eccles Mr. C. J. Burnup, b Busby Mr. R. E. Foster, b Shepstone 	38 — not out 72 4 — b Busby 0 28 — c F. H. Bryant, b Shepstone 7 25 — not out 49 38 22
Mr. R. B. Porch, c Clegg, b Busby	21
Mr. G. H. Nevile, b Busby	0
Mr. E. H. Simpson, c Clegg, b Shepstone	4
Mr. C. W. B. Elliott, not out	о
Mr. H. S. Pullan, c Heasman, b Shepstone	о
B	10 В б
	130 133

346

M. E II D CL.

18 5 51

 $2 \\ 4 \\ 27 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 7$

----176

ROSSALL SCHOOL V. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at S IREWSBURY, Wednesday, Thursday, July 12, 13.-Drawn.

ROSJALL SCHOOL.

Mr. F. B. Hewett, b Smith Mr. E. D. Matthews, b Salt Mr. A. J. Berney, b Cramer-Roberts Mr. B. W. V. King, b Salt Mr. E. A. Royds, c Vernon, b Cramer-	21 — b Salt 9 — c and b Smith 0 — 1-b-w, b Cramer-Roberts 2 — b Rauthmell	21 9
Roberts Mr. H. Thwaites, b Salt Mr. G. P. Wilson, b Rose Mr. G. F. Tetloe, b Rose Mr. O. Andrews, b Salt Mr. G. N. Hampshire, c Smith, b Leg-	32 — c Cramer-Roberts, b Salt 13 — c Thornton, b Salt 21 — b Alexander 3 — c and b Alexander 0 — b Leggett	10
gett Mr. E. Gibt, not out B 3, 1-b 6	14 — c Leggett, b Rauthmell 4 — not out 9 B 2, 1-b 1	
	128	173

128

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Mr. C. Alexander, b Andrews Mr. F. Cramer-Roberts, c Matthews, b	77 — c Hampshire, b Andrews	37
Andrews Mr. E. Rose, b King Mr. A. Leggett, b Matthews Mr. A. Vernon, c Royds, b Andrews Mr. R. T. Smith, c Royds, b Tetloe	3 — c Newett, b Wilson 2 — b Andrews 6 — b Andrews 19 — c Wilson, b Berney 0 — c and b Andrews	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 17 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 0 \end{array}$
 Mr. H. A. Rauthmell, c Wilson, b Andrews Mr. P. Cartman, c Royds, b Andrews Mr. W. M. Salt, b Matthews Mr. A. F. Lawrence, c Hampshire, b 	$\begin{array}{c} 0 - \text{ not out } \\ 0 - \text{ not out } \end{array}$	14 7
Berney Mr. C. Thornton, not out B	5 0 2 B 4, l-b 2, w 1 115	7

LORETTO SCHOOL V. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at PINKIE, Thursday, Friday, July 13, 14.-Loretto won by an innings and 3 runs. For Fettes, Prichard took seven wickets for 38. In the first innings of Fettes, Abercromby obtained four wickets for 18, and Marshall five for 9; while in the second, Abercromby took four for 43, Marshall four for 30, and J. C. Swanston two for 7.

Public Schools Matches.

FETTES C	OLLEGE.
Mr. B. H. Winterbotham, b Abercromby	0 — b Marshall 4
Mr. W. J. Monypenny, b Abercromby.	1 — 1-b-w, b J. C. Swanston 38
Mr. R. H. Moore, run out	9 - c Orr, b Abercromby 2
Mr. W. H. Smith, b Abercromby	0 — 1-b-w, b Marshall 2
Mr. W. M'C. Duguid McCombie, c Orr, b	A STATE OF A
Abercromby	13 — b J. C. Swanston 15
Mr. T. E. Moir, st Thomson, b Marshall	2 - b Abercromby 0
Mr. D. Millar, 1-b-w, b Marshall	0 - c Marshall, b Abercromby 13
Mr. T. Gowans, c Drysdale, b Marshall.	0 - b Marshall 0
Mr. H. V. Prichard, not out	7 — c Woodcock, b Marshall 3
Mr. F. H. Todd, c Browne, b Marshall.	6 - b Abercromby
Mr. A. M. Adamson, c Woodcock, b	Mr. W R. Kabes e Vincent b W. SW.
Marshall	$1 - not out \dots 2$
B 2, 1-b 2	4 B 5
If an	
	43 91
LORETTO	SCHOOL.
Mr.F.H. Dunn Pattison, b Prichard 5	Mr. J. C. Swanston, c Smith, b
Mr. R. W. A. Marshall, run out 0	Prichard 25
Mr. H. A. Brown, c Moir, b Prichard 20	Mr. G. W. Orr, b Adamson 1
Mr. W. Drysdale, c Moir, b Prichard 12	Mr. W. J. Thomson, b Prichard 20
Mr. R. Waterhouse, c and b Smith 5	Mr. J. A Abercromby, not out 1
Mr. J. F. A. Swanston, b Prichard 8	B 6, 1-b 3, n-b 3 12
MIL O T TI TI - Josh - Main h	ALL ALL LAYOURY C THERE A LAND ALL ALL

BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. LANCING COLLEGE.

Mr. A. S. J. H. Woodcock, c Moir, b

*3311111*18

Prichard 28

Played at BRIGHTON COLLEGE, Thursday, July 13.—Brighton College won on the first innings by 63 runs. Jacques took five wickets for 13, and Whish five for 17. BRIGHTON COLLEGE

BRIGHTON	COLLEGE.	
Mr. E. B. Jacques, c H. Floyd, b Austin 3	Mr. P. Le Febvre, 1-b-w, b G. Floyd Mr. B. Strachan, c H. Floyd, b G.	0
IL CLOUIN INTERNET	Floyd	4
Mr. J. H. Furmedge, c Austin, b G.		
Floyd 8	Mr.R.E. James, cH. Floyd, bAustin	
Mr. C. G. Ames, c Hope, b G. Floyd 9	Mr. J. R. Whish, not out	5
Mr. A. J. Adam, c H. Floyd, b Carter 26		
Mr.A. H. Belcher, c Fowler, b Carter 7	В	10
Mr. R. B. Marshall, b G. Floyd 2	THE MEDILE SEVERITIES AN ADDRESS	
		93
Mr. R. W. Harris, b Carter 6		00
LANCING	COLLEGE.	
Mr. J. M. Brydone, c Jacques, b Whish	7 - st Belcher, b Wh'sh	6
Mr. C. E. W. Hope, st Belcher, b Whish	9 — b Jacques	
	0 - b bacques. h Monshell	20
Mr. H. D. Floyd, b Whish	0 — c Jacques, b Marshall	
Mr. R. C. Fowler, c Whish, b Jacques	5 — st Belcher, b Jacques	
Mr. W. W. Underwood, c Harris, b Whish	1 — c Jacques, b Belcher	12
Mr. G. T. Floyd, c Harris, b Jacques	4 - b Jacques	4
Mr. C. C. Carter, b Jacques	4 - c Whish, b Marshall	
Mr. M. D. Cooper, b Jacques	0 - c Ames, b Whish	
Mr. E. M. Austin, c Adam, b Jacques	0 - c Whish, b Harris	
Mr. J. L. Jeese, c Belcher, b Whish	0 - b Le Febvre	15
Mr. E. H. Cave-Brown-Cave, not out	0 — not out	
mi. E. H. Ouve Brown cure, net outer	В	
	D	
		100
	30	126

348

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL V. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHARTERHOUSE, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.—Charterhou:e won by 104 runs.

CHARTERHO	USE SCHOOL.	
Mr. F. L. Fane, c Vanderbyl, b Bens in Mr. E. Garnett, run out Mr. E. H. Bray, b Weigall Mr. W. L. B. Barrington, c Beard, b	8 — run out 4 — not out 15 — b Benson	14
Weigall Mr. H. Crabtree, b Beard Mr. J. B. Dyne, b Beard Mr. F. J. Peers, not out Mr. W. B. Baker, c Vincent, b Weigall Mr. E. Anderton, b Beard	12 — b Benson 2 — c Dashwood, b Vanderbyl 10 — b Livesay 59 — b Weigall 19 — c and b Beard 27 — b Beard	47 7 14
Mr. L. K. Smith, c Armstrong, b English Mr. W. A. E. Austen, b Benson B	11 — b Beard 0 — c Vanderbyl, c Beard 29 B	0

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

196

213

85

Mr. B. F. Beard, c Smith, b Anderton.	14 — c Bray, b Austen	0
Mr. W. Mortimer, b Anderton	7 - 0 Anderton	5
Mr. T. H. K. Dashwood, c Baker, b Smith	42 - c Baker, b Austen	11
Mr. R. O'H. Livesay, c Peers, b Dyne	73 - c Smith, b Anderton	1
Mr. H. C. Armstrong, c Austen, b Baker	21 - b Austen	5
Mr. E. E. Forbes, c Anderton, b Smith.	1 — not out	21
Mr. E. H. V. Weigall, b Smith Mr. E. R. M. English, c Baker, b Austen	0 - c Anderton, b Dyne	0
Mr. C. F. Vanderbyl, c Fane, b Austen.	28 — c Peers, b Dyne	22
Mr. A. R. Vincent, c Bray, b Austen	23 — b Dyne	1
Mr. F. R. Benson, not out	$\begin{array}{l} 1 - b \text{ Smith } \\ 1 - b \text{ Smith } \end{array}$	0
B	9 B	1
	D	18

SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

220

Pla, ed at SHERBORNE, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.—Sherborne won by 73 runs.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL

SHIIIDOI	LILL NOTIOOL.	
Mr.L. C. Powys, b Hinde Mr. J. E. Stevens, c Stopford, b Hinde Mr. A. C. C. Wright, b Cautley Mr. A. Dixon, run out Mr. W. J. Bensly, c and b Hinde Mr. C. A. S. Ridout, c King-Pierce, bHinde	$\begin{array}{l} 8 & - c \text{ and } b \text{ Haig.} \\ 22 & - 1 \text{-b-w, } c \text{ Cautley} \\ 2 & - c \text{ Page, } b \text{ Hinde} \\ 3 & - c \text{ Stopford, } b \text{ Hinde} \\ 0 & - c \text{ King-Pierce, } b \text{ Hinde} \\ 15 & - b \text{ Packer.} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 6 \\ 33 \\ 9 \end{array} $
Mr. J. A. Prichard, c Tyndall, b Hinde Mr. A. Wyatt-Smith, c Tyndall, b Hinde Mr. C. H. Wigan, b Hinde Mr. E. J. Holberton, c Packer, b Dahse Mr. A. D. Radford, not out B	12 - c Tyndall, b Hinde 6 - c King-Pierce, b Hinde 0 - c Stopford, b Haig 3 - c Dahse, b Hinde 0 - not out	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 6 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 0 \end{array} $
	74	150

Public Schools Matches.

BRADFIEL	D COLLEGE.	~
Mr. W. E. M. Tyndall, run out	0 — b Radford	0
Mr. H. C. Page, b Radford	27 — run out	0
Mr. F. I. Anderson, c Stevens, b Radford	6 - c and b Radford	17
Mr. A. Hinde, b Radford	50 - c Bensly, b Holberton	5
Mr. G. H. Hewetson, c Bensly, b Stevens	3 — st Bensly, b Holberton	2
Mr. H. F. Stopford, 1-b-w, b Stevens	0 — 1-b-w, b Holberton	1
Mr. G. D. Dahse, b Stevens	0 - st Bensly, b Holberton	0
Mr. W. G. King-Pierce, b Stevens	0 - c Ridout, b Holberton	G
Mr. W. E. Haig, not out	4 — b Radford	1
Mr. C. H. Packer, run out	0 — b Radford	9
Mr. W. O. Cautley, c Ridout, b Radford	$0 - not out \dots$	3
B	13 B	5
of the Factor of the state state and	. Gray 1 21 21	-
	103	48

For Reports of ETON V. HARROW, RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH, and CHELTEN-HAM V. HAILEYBURY, see M.C.C. Review.

AVERAGES.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

			J	lost in	Times	
In	nings	Runs	an	innings	not out	Average
H. C. Potter		442		116*	 3	 55.2
A. J. Turner		391		94*	 3	 48.7
B. C. N. Knight		204		52	 1	 22 6
W. C. D. Turner		179		68	 0	 16.3
A. Francis		162		54	 2	 16.2
C. G. Ridsdale	4	64		32	 0	 16
C. A. Pickwood	8	72		15*	 3	
H. W. Hodgson	10	101		43*	 2	
C. A. C. Argles	6	59		16	 1	 11.4
A. Foulkes	4	30		27*	 1	 10
C. H. Turnbull	5	18		7	 3	
G. W. R. Stedman	9	67		18	 0	 7.4
	* Si	gnifies n	ot out			

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	T	Vickets	Average
C. H. Turoball	89	 34		156		20	 7.16
H. W. Hodgson		 4		80	0	9	 8.8
W. C. D Turner	252	 85				41	
A. J. Turner	158	 37		308		22	 14
G. W. R. Stedman				288		16	 17.14
C. A. C. Argles		 6		164		5	 32.4
A. Francis	31	 7		86		2	 43
A. Francis	31	 7					

350

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL BATTING.

Tm	nings		Runs		Most in innings	Times ot out	Average
J. Cameron	3		66		36*	 1	33
F. N. Townsend	24		557		108	 2	 25.7
C. H. Lenton	20		427		96	 3	 25.2
E. D. Jarrad	14		249		53	 2	 20.9
H. E. Kingston	24		383		77*	 4	 19.3
D. M'Laurin	16		212		59	 2	 15.2
C. V. Reynolds	20		254		62*	 3	 14.16
E. W. Fichardt	22		200		36*	 2	 10
P. O. Turnbull	9		61		28	 2	 8.5
N. B. Gray	21		159		30	 0	 7.12
E. J. Leith	2		4		4*	 1	 4
		* Si	gnifies n	ot or	ıt.		

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	P	ickets	Average
E. J. Leith	13	 5	 21		4	 5.1
D. M'Laurin	287	 111	 441	·	50	 8.41
C. H. Lenton	221.2	 66	 431		47	 9.8
E. W. Fichards	62.4	 20	 140		14	 10
H. E. Kingston	355.4	 43				
N. B. Gray	18	 4				11.2
E. Spence	21	 9	 36		3	 12
P. O. Turnbull	46	 9	 145		12	 12.1
F. N. Townsend	27	 0	 106		8	 13.2

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

						Most in		Times	11.15
	II	nings		Runs	21	n innings	6	not out	Average
C. G. Ames		20^{-}		406		104*		1	 21.7
A. H. Belcher		20		295		54		1	 15.10
A. J. Adam		18		256		60		0	 14.4
E. B. Jacques		20		201		65		1	 10.11
R. B. Marshall .		17		103		19		1	 67
P. Le Febvre		11		50		21		2	 5.5
R. W. Harris		19		89		21		2	 5.4
B. Strachan		17		58		14		6	 5.3
J. H. Furmedge .				96		26		0	 4.16
R. E. James				33		13		2	 4.1
J. R. Whish		6		6		5		3	 2
			*	Signifies	not of	ut.			

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	Vicket	s	Average
P. Le Febvre	12	 2	 59		5		11.4
J. R. Whish	52	 10	 193		16		12.1
R. B. Marshall	199	 45	 603		38		15.33
E. B. Jacques	161.1	 25	 567		32		17.23
A. H. Belcher	89	 22	 251		12		20.11
R. W. Harris	150.2	 35	 472		23		20.12

Public Schools Matches.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inn	ings	Runs				Times not out	;	Average	
F. J. Peers	15	· · ·	316		62*		5		31.6	
W. L. B. Barri	ington 17		335		73		3		23.13	
E. H. Bray	19)	394		62		1		21.16	
H. Crabtree	19)	322		86*		4		21.7	
E. Garnett	17		316		90*		2		21.1	
J. B. Dyne	18	i	297		60		0		19.12	
F. L. Fane	18	3	314		40		1		18.8	
E. Anderton	17		196		38*		3		14	
W. B. Baker	18	3	143		40		0		11	
L. K. Smith	8)	56		17		3		9.2	
W. A. E. Aust	en 12	2	13		8		3		1.4	

* Signifies not out.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	5	Runs	-	ot out		Average
F. H. B. Champain			471		0		29.7
B. H. Bignell		0	195		1		27.6
E. H. B. Stanley			304		2		25.4
J. M. Quinton			203		0		20.6
H. C. Manners			280		1		20
H. D. Bowley			51		4		17
H. B. Jeffery	13		202		1	1	16.10
J. W. Stratton	16		206		1		13.11
F. R. Coates	14		139		2		11.7
P. J. Bird	7		79		0		11.2
R. E. Edmondson			30		2		3.6

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wicke	ts	Average
H. D. Bowley	38.2	 18	 66		6		11
J. W. Stratton			 409		37		11.2
J. M. Quinton	156	 54	 388		22		17.14
F. H. B. Champain	155.2	 46	 347		19		18.5
H. C. Manners	30	4	 96		5		19.1
F. R. Coates	181.2	 77	 407		20		20.7
						Z	

352

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	2	Runs		fost in innings		imes ot out	Average
J. H. Curtis		3	373	an	111	inc	0	. 31.1
		•••	and the second se	••			0	
W. G. Grace			290		91		2 .	
C. L. Townsend			310		92		1 .	28.2
T. R. Eccles	. 6		85		60		1 .	17
J. H. F. Mills	. 11		166		86		1 .	16.6
G. Hudleston	. 11		168		65		0.	15.3
A. W. Van-Soweren .	. 7		60		28*		2 .	. 12
E. O. Tagart			94		38*		2 .	. 11.6
C. Bonham-Carter			93		29		0.	. 10.8
H. R. Crosthwaite	. 10		80		28		0 .	8
A. S. Jackson	. 11		64		28		2 .	7.1
		* Si	gnifies 1	not out				A R L

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

				Runs		ts	Average		
W. G. Grace	262.1		69		608		51		11.47
C. L. Townsend	269.4		62		638		55		12.8
H. R. Crosthwaite	67		23		147		12		12.3
J. H. Curtis	16		3		37		2		18.1
A. S. Jackson	69		17		149		6		24.5

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in	Times	
0.02 90.6	Innings		Runs	a	n innings	not out	Average
H. C. Pretty	. 10		315		117*	 2	 39.3
W. T. Mullings	. 5		118		61*	 1	 29.2
C. R. Sutherland			324		85	 0	 29.5
C. T. Booth			135		57*	 1	 19.2
F. H. Pitt	9		102		37*	 2	 14.4
D. Jeaffreson	. 9		82		22*	 2	 11.5
C. O. Gray	. 10		94		30	 0	 9.4
R. W. H. Meredith .	. 3		28		15	 0	 9.1
R. C. Pitt			20		6*	 2	 6.2
W. A. H. Chessall	. 4		9		5*	 2	 4.1
W. J. Bryant	. 2		3		3	 0	 1.1
12 W Lawrence		* S	ignifies	not or	at.		

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	IS	Runs	1	Wicke	ts	Average
R C. Pitt	36.4	 9		109		10		10.9
H. C. Pretty	45	 14		109		8		13.5
D. Jeaffreson	58	 16		168		9		18.6
F. H. Pitt	133	 31		358		17		21.1
W. T. Mullings	57	 13		166		7		23.5
W. J. Bryant	36	 7		123		5		24.3
C. R. Sutherland	131,1	 40	••	433		15		29.3

Public Schools Matches.

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

				1	Most in		Time	es	
In south In	nnings		Runs	an	inning	s	not o	ut	Average
H. W. Kettlewell	10		272		75*		2		34
Hon.F.W.H.Egerton	14		377		78		1		29
W. H. Greenly	7		103		31		3		25.3
G.E.Bromley Martin	15		326		81		2		25.1
H. R. E. Harrison	7		125		4 8*		2		25
F. H. E. Cunliffe	13		214		45		2		19.5
H. F. W. Bircham	10		164		78		1		18.2
P. W. Cobbold	11		166		40		1	Q.8	16.6
H. F. Meeking	13		165		50		0		
R. H. Mitchell	10		59		13		0		5.9
L. G. Gosling	3		7		3*		1		31
6-6		*	Signifies	s not o	ut.				

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	5	Average
H. R. E. Harrison	130.4		49	 240		22	no.en	10.20
C. C. Pilkington	179.4		61	 331		30		11.1
F. H. E. Cunliffe		83	80	 514		40		12.34
P. W. Cobbold			63	 518		31		16.22
H. W. Kettlewell	16		2	 39	· · · ·	2		19.1

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

				N	fost in		Times	
Int	nings		Runs	an	innings	n	ot out	Average
W. Duguid McCombie	15		201		59		2	 15.6
B. H. Winterbotham	17		221		32		2	 14.11
W. E. B. Monypenny.	17		190		42		2	 12.10
J. A. Campbell	13		119		61		0	 9.2
R. H. Moore	14		96		31		1	 7.5
T. Gowans	15		96		24*		1	 6.12
H. V. Prichard	15		57		10*		6	 6.3
W. H. Smith	8		49		23		0	 6.1
T. H. Todd	11		45		14		1	 4.5
D. Miller	6		26		13		0	 4.2
A. M. Adamson	14		32		8		6	 4
it, iii, itutainoir	100	* S	ignifie	s not or	ut.			

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	DSI.]	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	5	Average
H. V. Prichard	247			70	 471		40		11.31
W. H. Smith	35			6	 112		9		12.4
F. H. Todd				34	 354		27		13.3
J. A. Campbell	16			0	 60		4		15
A. M. Adamson	120			19	 275		15		18.5
D. Miller	65			23	 136		7		19.3
W. E. B. Monypenny	73			14	 181		8		22.5

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

				Most in		Times		
I	nnings	Runs	an	inning	,s	not ou	G	Average
H. W. Snell		 253		73*		2		
J. H. Stenning		 248		50		2		19.1
W. A. Giles		 94		26		0		15.4
C. J. Reid		 58		26		0		14.2
N. W. Jackson		 187		77		0		13.5
H. B. F. Burnaby		 175		44		0		12.7
W. Outram		 147		45		0		10.7
E. F. Long		 75		56		0		10.5
W. J. Barton		143		44		0	1.17	10.3
C. H. Broadrick		 43		12*		5		5.3
G. H. Stevenson		 33		11		0		3.3
u. 11. Stevenson	10	gnifies n		t.				~

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average
W. J. Barton	152.4		35		381		36	
N. W. Jackson			39		273		26	 10.13
J. H. Stenning					339		20	 16.19
H. W. Snell			6		123		7	 17.4
W. Outram			õ		59		4	 14.3
W. A. Giles			1		33		1	 23
H. B. F. Burnaby			ĩ		15		1	 15
		•••	Ô	••	25		0	 0
G. H. Stevenson	4	••	0	••	40	•••		

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

no di protev 2				D	Most in	Т	imes		
Multiness 1	nning	s	Runs	ar	inning	gs no	ot out		Average
R. M. C. Munro	0		62		38*		2		31
C. S. Rome	9		273		75		0		30.3
K. A. Woodward	12		272		66		1		24.8
J. T. Ferris			191		38*	· · · · ·	2		19.1
R. F. Vibart			220		72	*****	1		18.4
C. D. Williams			101		28		3		12.5
J. A. Halliday	4		42		23	* * • * *	0		10.2
C. P. Gore	10		87		25		0	+ + +	8.7
J. W. Sandilands			55		16		2	••	6.7
J. H. Stogdon			73		22		0		6.7
J. H. Bullock	9		41		20		1	• •	5.1
U. II. Duridour IIIIII		* 9	ignifies	not or	nt.				

* Signifies not out.

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs]	Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
K. A. Woodward	88.2		32		139		14		9.13
R. M. C. Munro	and the second sec		5		20		2		10
R. M. C. Mullo	146 4	•••	41		307		28		10.27
C. P. Gore	140.4	••	45	•••	178	OPT.	15		11.13
R. P. Vibart		••	40	••	85		7		12.1
C. D. Williams	34		1	••			01		13.6
J. W. Sandilands	200.4		62		448	••	34	••	A TANK AND A TANK A
C. S. Rome	237.3		84	••	336	••	23	•••	14.14

THE PARTY OF

Public Schools Matches.

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

Times	. 0				Most in		Times			
IL of out A waraya	ining	rs	Runs	a	n innin	gs	not ou	lt	Average	
J. M. Brydone	13		265		65		2		24.1	
C. E. W. Hope	17	0.1.	214		32		4		16.6	
J. L. Jeese	13		138		57		0		108	
M. D. Cooper	5		33		12		1		8.1	
W. W. Underwood	18		117		32		3		7.12	
	9		54		22		2		7.5	
R. C. Fowler	18		114		44		3		7.9	
E. M. Austin	15		96		19		2		7.5	
C. C. Carter	14	G	95		26		0		6.11	
G. T. Floyd	15		77		21		1		5.7	

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	М	aidens	3	Runs	V	Vickets	1	Average
W. W. Underwood	3		0		14		2		7
E. Cave-Brown-Cave	52	11.18	14	1	141	3.10	11		129
J. L. Jeese	16		3		39		3		13
C. C. Carter	114		24		336		23		14.14
G. T. Floyd	218.1		48		624		37		16 32
E. M. Austin	200		47		483		25		19.8
H. D. Floyd			4		93		4		23.1
C. E. W. Hope	22		6		58		2		29

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		n innings		not out	Average
W. Mortimer			457		98		1	 30.7
J. Graham	. 16		400	1.18	151*		1	 26.10
N. F. Druce	. 15	0.	345	1.	81		0	 23
G. H. Beloe	. 14		214	50.50	29*		3	 19.5
J. Milnes	. 16		233	2.0	39*		2	 16.9
G. W. B. Ainsworth.	. 15		247	1.52	55		0	 16.7
F. O. Houseman		1.2	174	256 1	66		4	 15.9
H. G. Moir	. 9		101		21*		1	 13
A. F. Mullins	. 4		34	1.1	30		0	 8.2
C. B. Cheales	. 13		66	1.5.0 %	14	1.	1	 5.6
G. W. Johnson	. 11		25		6		4	 3.4
E. H. B. Skimming .	. 11		29		13		2	 3.2
		* ;	Signifies	nto	ut.			

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	N	Iaidens	3	Runs	1	Vicket	s	Average
E. H. B. Skimming	228.3		67		504		45		11.9
N. F. Druce			12		102		8		12.6
A. F. Mullins			28		154		12		12.10
J. Graham			37		229		16		14.5
G. H. Beloe			76		549		35		15.24
W. Mortimer	18		5		49		3		16.1
H. G. Moir	12		()		38		2		19

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

In out A second In	ning		Runs		Most in		Times not out		Amonomo
II C Obienest	minga			a	n inning	38	notou		Average
H. S. Chinnock	15		345		66		0		23
B. A. Bailey	10		216		49		0	0.00	21:6
A. F. Grames	15		275		45		1		19.9
C. O. Sich	15		268		38	·	1		19.2
C. J. B. Webb	15		240		50		0		16
A. D. Bradford	11		102		39*		3		12.6
H. H. Sich	12		117		26*		2		11.7
H. L. Wethered	10		81		33*		3		11.4
E. B. T. Lee	9		63		19		2		9
H. R. Blunt	13		75		19	G.	0		5.10
F. J. Portman	11		32		14		2		3.5
		* S	ignifies	not ou	.t.				

WUR HURLIUG D

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

Elastan	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
E. B. T. Lee	111	 32	 216		18		12
H. S. Chinnock	239.2	 56	 613		40		15.13
A. F. Soames	150.2	 23	 443		25	0	17.18
F. J. Portman	84	 8	 278		15		18.8
C. J. B. Webb	103	 17	 405		18		22.9

ARLEOROUGH COLLINGE BATT

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in		Times		
I	nnings		Runs	a	n innings		not out		Average
H. J. Davenport	19		481	345	90				30.1
G. H. Busby	18		329	312	54	21	0		18.5
A. Eccles	17		269	82.9	62	81			
H. J. Bryant	15		163	947	28*		5		
E. H. Beasley	16		256	174		21			
G. W. Heasman	4		41	101	20	0	1		
G. F. Abell	15	0:	176		49		ō		
S. W. Marshall	16		150	88		ni.	2		
E. S. Clegg	13		99		31*	i.			9
G. H. Shepstone	12								
F. H. Bryant	11			office		•••	1	•••	7.6
		*	Signifies			•••	-	•••	1.0

ABLEORAD FROM COLLERA

REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	-	Maidens	Runs	V	Vicket	ts	Average
H. J. Bryant	265		54	 666		48		13.44
G. H. Shepstone	123.2		32	 280		18		15.10
A. Eccles	96.3		18	 297		18		16.9
G. H. Busby	319		78	 898		49		18.16
G. W. Heasman	86	1 25	27	 255		7		36.3

Public Schools Matches.

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

					MOSt II	Leers T	Times		
I	nnings	5	Runs	aı	1 inning	rs	not ou	t	Average
F. B. Newett	19		495		137		0	and the second	26.1
G. P. Wilson	12		200		61*	-	3		22.2
H. Thwaites	13		169		39	-	5		20.1
G. W. Stone	15		229		106*		3		19.1
A. J. Berney	19		230		74		õ		14.14
E. D. Matthews	20		264		49		2		14.14
B. W. V. King	17		233	-	88		1		14.9
E. A. Royds	17		192		33		Ĩ	10.00	12
G. F. Tetloe	11		92		26		Ĩ	Sec. 1	9
0. Andrews	14		74		17*		4		7.4
G. N. Hampshire	13		77		35		1		6.5
A W. LARDER		* Sic	nifies n	ot or			-		0.0

signifies not out

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average
A. J. Berney				12		2	
F. B. Newett	14	 2	10	41		3	
G. F. Tetloe	14.3	 2		28		2	 14
O. Andrews	435.3	 79		818	0	58	 14.6
G. P. Wilson				219		12	 18.3
E. D. Matthews				147		8	 18.3
B. W. V. King	225.2	 49		705		31	 22 23
G. W. Stone	143	 21		424		16	 26.8

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

				Most in	Times		
I	unings		Runs	an innings	not out		Average
R. W. Nicholls	14		492	106	1		37.11
J. F. Marshall	14		344	62			
A. E. Slater	11		255	110	0	110170	23.2
W. H. Eckersley	8		141	64	1	in the	20.1
T. N. Sample	13		118	33	5		14.6
J. F. Rhoades	14		166	36	2		13.10
P. Lee	13		176	69	0		13.7
W. F. Gowers	9		71	19	3		
D. Christopherson	15		113		0		
A. O. Dowson	13		89	39	0		
A. L. Allen				9*	3		
	*	Sign	ifles not	out.			

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	s	Runs	11	lickets		Average
T. N. Sample	246.1	 67		482	100	32		15.2
J. F Rhoades	171.1	 48		383		21	0122	18.5
D. Christopherson	95	 21		289	00	14		20.9
W. F. Gowers	150	 41		370		17		21.13
A. O. Dowson	101.4	 30		242	1.00	11		22
J. F. Marshall	86	 16		259		10	11000	25.9
R. W. Nicholls	15	 1		56	1.11	1	- 111	56

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

		2076	Runs		Most in innings	-	Times not out	Average
1	nning	5		au			nououu	
C. E. M. Wilson	9	ALC: LINE	722		183*		1	 90.2
L. Birley			224		59		1	 28
E. Cowan			221		128		1	 24.5
	9		215		118		0	 23.8
S. P. Bell	11	101	201		85		2	
C. M. Baker			98		28*		3	 19.3
S. A. Sharp			174		47		0	 19.3
G. R. Bardswell	9	8.	112		39		0	 12.4
E. F. R. Holroyd	10	2	124		37		0	 12.4
J. Taylor.		2.	66		26*		2	 9.3
A. W. Watson		11	31		10		1	 5.1
	2	* Sigi	nifies no	t out.	1 20 8			

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

20	Overs		Maid n	S	Runs		Wickets	Average
C. E. M. Wilson	203.1		66		377	1.1	38	 9.35
S. A. Sharp	38	EL.	13		86		6	 14.2
J. Taylor			67		464		32	 14.12
G. R. Bardswell			82		591	S.J.J.	35	 16.31
C. M. Baker			3		138	6.2.5.8	6	 23
S. P. Bell			2	62	43	10.	2	 21.1
G. S. Clover			3		2	12.	0	 0

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

Ir	nnings	Runs		Most in inning	3	Times not ou		Average
F. B. Sherring	16 .	. 250		37		2		17.12
A. R. Severn	16 .	. 210		49		3		$16\ 2$
E. H. Cox		. 217		50		1	0118	13.9
C. D. Fisher		. 211		73		0		13.3
J. H. Alderson		127		44*		3		12.7
W. L. Armstrong		. 172		32*		2	reter	12.4
H. S. Ladell		. 112		19		ō		8.8
J. F. More		. 106		44		1		8.2
		. 55		15	•••	õ		5.5
T. H. Newman				20				10
F. C. Rivaz	10 .	. 43		6*			modi	2.2
A. M. Whittow	5.	. 8	••		• •			2.4
D. Shearme	12 .	. 16		10	• •	4		Z
	*	Signifies 1	not ou	t.				

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. D. Fisher	299	 70		738	 51	 13.36
D. Shearme	207	 54		501	 36	 13.33
W. L. Armstrong	92.1	 11		253	 14	 181
A. M. Whittow	66	 19		179	 9	 198
F. C. Rivaz	111	 20		298	 14	 21.6
J. F. More	46.1	 5		174	 7	 24.6
T. H. Newman	27	 1		124	 4	 31
A. R. Severn	77.1	 11		289	 8	 36 1

Public Schools Matches.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

					lost in		Times		
Ir	nning	3	Runs	an	innings	1	not out		Average
J. R. Mason	14		719		132		1		55.4
G. B. Stephens	14		353		90		1		27.2
T. B. Hen ⁴ erson	15		312		70*		3		26
K. Wigram	10		198		57		0		19.8
C. M. Leese	15		268		77		1 .		19.2
J. H. Hunt	14		210		82		3		19.1
G. H. Rowe	9	19	170		46		0		18.8
H. W. Kaye	9		131		25		0)	14.5
J. Leese	15		194		50		0		12.14
G. H. Gibson	13		129		41*		2		11.8
F. L Festing	10		47		16		3		6.5
C. W. Turner	11	00.06	70		26		0		6.4

* Signifies not out.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING

DER I VY IIV	TITOI	TTT.		unn	un n	Uni	11110	•	
	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average
T. B. Henderson	61.3	.,	11	0.0	236		16		14.12
J. R. Mason	. 293.4		105		746		45		16.26
G. H. Gibson			73		830		43		19.13
J. H. Hunt			32		366		16		22.14

Aurorat 2: 21 bi Dublin ve Vice Meral Club. I M. 184 7 Woodbara Club, 21 and 122 for five wickets. Drayn. Aurorat 4: 2 al Dublin, V Gentlemen of Ireland-1.Z. 24 and 101; Gentlemen of Ireland, 307 for hine wickets (Inning, Rocharod almer) Lost, by an Inning and 127 runs. A gravit 6 at Dublin, v. Milikara of Ireland-1.Z. Mir and Different august 6 at Dublin, v. Milikara of Ireland-1.Z. Mir and Different august 6 at Dublin, v. Milikara of Ireland-1.Z. Mir and Different and 129 runs.

reacts; Military of Iroland, 194 and 205 for bing wickets (mullage declar react). Drawing will be and 205 for bing wickets (mullage declar

RAASE

