

JOHN WISDEN'S
CRICKETERS' ALMANACK
FOR 1897

WILLOWS REPRINT

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1896.

By W. J. FORD.

BEFORE plunging into the subject of this article, I should first like to thank the many friends who have furnished me with the necessary statistics, and with much valuable criticism of the various school elevens, for without the latter this article could hardly have been written, as personal observation has been unfortunately impossible. There is one point which has been brought somewhat prominently before my attention in several cases, and that is the strength of some second elevens. This seems to me to be a feature which is much neglected in school organisations, and yet to be one which must have a very important bearing in the first elevens of the future, as it is from the second elevens and twenty-twos of one year, that the next year's first eleven is generally recruited; and I cannot help thinking that a great impetus would be given to school cricket if second eleven matches were more frequently played—if, for instance, when Clifton meets Cheltenham at Clifton, the second elevens fought it out at Cheltenham. In some cases, *e.g.* the sacred Eton v. Harrow at Lord's, this would not be possible, but if such matches were regularly arranged, the competition for a second eleven cap would be as keen as for the school colours. Yet another suggestion I should like to make, inspired by the remark of a parent, a keen cricketer himself, of an equally keen youngster: said he: "I was so sorry to have "to send my boy to———(a famous public school) at Easter, because he "will lose so much of his cricket." Inquiry elicited the fact that the boy, aged about 14, had shown much promise last year, had been carefully coached, and would certainly under similar tuition have made great strides, whereas in the large world of a large school, his identity would be swamped, he would receive no coaching, he would have to practice on rough wickets with a superfluity of bowlers, and his games would be the ordinary half-holiday "pick-up," when he might or might not get a chance of distinguishing himself. This set me thinking whether it would be impossible for public school authorities to establish a sort of *kindergarten* for the young and promising, where good wickets would be provided as well as experienced teaching; for, to tell the truth, the ordinary professional bowler knows little or nothing about coaching. He bowls very well and is a very good fellow, but he has probably been bowling at the nets all his life, and has no practical knowledge of batting. He would generally, if it were possible, bowl himself out a great deal oftener than the boys he endeavours to coach. Such a nursery for colts would, I am

sure, be invaluable if the scheme could be properly organised and adequately supervised. What school will try it first? As far as I know, such an institution does not exist at present; yet it is between 14 and 16 that a boy's cricket is made, and if he is really full of cricket he ought to have a chance of getting his school colours at the latter age. Yet one word more; while you are coaching the batting, don't forget the bowling. Boys are allowed to pound away at the nets with never a hint from an old hand as to the subtleties of the art, and if they are to learn those subtleties, each bowler at a net—say two boys and a good professional—should bowl in overs—say four balls consecutively. As things are generally managed, A, B and C bowl a ball alternately, or according as the ball is thrown back to them, and there is no opportunity of experimenting as to the effects of a change of pace or pitch, or of finding out the weak point of a batsman. It is not surprising, that, with such a desultory system of bowling at practice, amateur bowlers of any merit, should be so scarce. But let A bowl four balls, coached by the professional as to what to do, or try to do, with each, while the batsman's weakness is pointed out to him, and let B and C follow in turn, and I will guarantee that both batsman and bowler will have a very profitable and interesting half-hour's practice, under, to some extent, match conditions. I had hardly written the last sentence when a letter reached me from an old "light-blue," a master at a famous cricketering school, imploring me to "fulminate, with all my power against the system of bowling at *private* schools, as nine out of ten promising young bowlers are spoiled there by having to bowl too much at the ages of 12, 13 and 14, just when they are most unfit, physically, to undergo any strain. My experience (he continues) is that I have never seen a youngster, who was reported to be a great bowler at his private school, produce any result afterwards. They ought to be made to bowl under-hand, and not try over-hand till they are 15 or 16." Without absolutely endorsing the last sentence, I cannot help thinking that there is something in it, agreeing also with my correspondent that a promising youngster is often dreadfully overworked, even when a small ball is used, as is now generally the case.

Of all the jobs I ever tackled, I never came across a harder one than the task of finding out to which school may be assigned the honour of being, probably, the best school of the year. The "in-and-out-running" has been extraordinary, and the way strong sides showed their worst form, and weak sides their best form in inter-school matches, provides a riddle which might puzzle an *Œdipus*. For example, Harrow was apparently stronger than Eton, but developing an inability to play some lobs which did not look very ferocious, had the worst of the encounter. Westminster had a capital side, but was unmercifully beaten by the Charterhouse eleven, which a good judge describes as decidedly mediocre. Cheltenham had a beautiful eleven, and easily disposed of Clifton and Marlborough, though the second innings against Clifton was anything but glorious; yet Haileybury, only a moderate side, played a drawn game with them at Lord's, which either side might have won. Yet Wellington defeated Haileybury with consummate ease, and if this result was accurate, Wellington was one of the strongest schools, though judging from figures, *i.e.*, results and averages, it was nothing out of the way. The most glowing reports came from Cheltenham and Uppingham, and as

many good judges have told me what powerful sides they were, I cannot help thinking that in a "League Competition" they would have headed the list. Both schools have beautiful grounds which lend themselves to high scoring—the Uppingham average per innings working out at about 350, and the Cheltenham at about 330, but the Midland school has the better bowling average. Both beat Haverford (U.S.A.) pretty easily. Cheltenham had the more consistent side in batting, with five averages between 34 and 52; Uppingham two most brilliant averages of 84 and 71, with a 49 to follow. Under these conditions, I am just inclined, if I *must* give a verdict, to back steadiness against brilliancy, and support Cheltenham. Tarry a moment; there is something else. Cheltenham had nothing the best of the draw with Haileybury, and Uppingham beat that learned school by seven wickets. If this line is a true one, Uppingham must have the verdict, and to Uppingham I assign the first place, and with the shortest of intervals, Cheltenham. Neither school lost a match; Uppingham had a wicket-keeper (Taylor) described as invaluable; while Cheltenham's (Best) was first-rate. What a pity the two schools did not meet on a perfect wicket! Eton, Harrow, Rugby and Winchester seem to be the next batch of examinees.—Winchester beat Eton handsomely, and Eton had the best of the draw with Harrow, which facts seem to simplify matters—but they don't! Eton's severe defeat was due to the big first innings of the Wykehamists, whom the Eton captain sent in to bat first, a policy which I, for one, regard as more suicidal in a school match than in any other, because the question of nerve is never more important. Apart from this, however, the Winchester figures are much better than Eton's as far as batting is concerned, and much inferior with regard to bowling, the result of the splendid Winchester wickets, described to me as so good that you may take 30 per cent. off the scores, and a bit, I presume, off the bowlers' analysis, in their favour. Haverford again gives no line, so Winchester may fairly go third, and Eton shall be bracketed with Harrow in Wisden's as at Lord's, for the Harrow boys were not beaten in the great match, have a very good record all round, and a very handsome list of averages. If they had only been able to play lobs—and this inability is rare in Harrow boys—they would hardly have had the worst of the match in the end. The Rugby team were so slow in showing their true form, that they must be placed behind this trio, and be content with the sixth place, though they came with a rush at the finish and gave poor Marlborough a merciless drubbing. Their earlier matches by the way were marked by some very eccentric catching, or rather missing—the improvement in this department seems to have been the turning point in their fortunes. Clifton may fairly claim to be equal with Rugby, though if their match with Cheltenham, and the Cheltenham-Marlborough, and Rugby-Marlborough games be collated, Rugby has the best of the deal. In Noton the Clifton boys had a superb wicket keeper, and a sound batsman, while Steintall had an average of over 70, backed by seven others of more than 20; uncertain fielding weakened the team, and the Cheltenham match was lost through dropped catches. I bracket them with Rugby, and Rugby need not blush for their compeers. For the eighth place there is an alarming number of competitors, but I give it, as last year, to Marlborough, for the team was thoroughly useful and did some good performances, though Rugby, as my

correspondent writes, "humiliated them;" yet a side which plays good matches, and has seven men with averages varying from 29.86 to 20.1, with three more double figures to follow, must have had some batting, and Lewis was undoubtedly a good bowler. Now for No. 9; Wellington beat Charterhouse; Charterhouse beat Westminster; *ergo*—No, the syllogism does not hold good with regard to cricket. Westminster had three sound bats, two excellent and successful bowlers, and a good wicket-keeper, besides some useful stuff in the tail, and I cannot believe that the hammering they got from Charterhouse—a medium team, at the best—was true form. With a new ground-man and a much improved ground, Westminster cricket has made a new and improved start, and their eleven is hereby bracketed with Malvern and Wellington, and is not improbably the best of the three. Good fielding, and brilliant catching gave the Wellingtonians a fine record, and it does a cricketer's heart good to hear of marked brilliancy in this department; but, with the exception of Elliot who made a century against Haileybury, the batting was not remarkable. Malvern had two good bats in White and Short, two *very* good bats in Day and Foster, besides a capital team of four bowlers; they beat Haverford and "made hares" of Repton, but in view of their other performances and the news I have of the team I cannot rank them any higher, though Foster, I am told, is sure to follow in the steps of his brother H. K., and get his "blue" at Oxford. The Haileybury side was good, and like the guinea-pig, tail-less; yet as Wellington and Uppingham beat them easily, and Haverford (U.S.A.) had quite the best of a drawn game, I cannot help thinking that the draw with Cheltenham was a little bit of a fluke, especially as tight fits are getting proverbial in this match. Charterhouse must not be offended at being put as low as thirteenth. Their new captain writes that "they have lost their score book" (this is a fairly common epidemic), so that there are no figures forthcoming to guide one, and I gather from other sources that they prefer "pleasant punching to assiduous drudgery," during the hours of practice; yet weak as the side was it had two excellent batsmen in Wreford-Brown and Barrington.

Repton, Rossall, Sherborne and Tonbridge may be considered next. Repton, with only two old choices, were a very young lot full of promise, and the season opened hopefully, but the team fell to pieces and suffered disastrously at the hands of Malvern, Haverford, and Uppingham; still the young hands will be old hands next year, and there is every hope that some new Frys and Palairets may come to the fore. Rossall beat Shrewsbury with ease, and drew with Loretto, but the side was not strong, save for the powers of Wilson the captain, who not only handled his forces well, but headed both bowling and batting averages, having excellent figures in each. Sherborne too had a very decent side, containing two good bats and a good bowler, but, while defeating Bradfield, they fell before St. Paul's, which school by the way must be added to this group, having one first-rate bat in Littlejohn, and defeating Lancing and Bedford Grammar School as well as Sherborne. The bitter cry of Tonbridge is that they can get none of the better schools to play them, which is to be deplored, as the Tonbridge cricket has been of a very good class lately, and in their non-school matches they play really strong sides, and generally render a good account of themselves. It is so hard to differentiate among the schools of this class that I give up the task and place them in a bunch, as they seem to

have been pretty much of a muchness, and at the same time inferior to the former batch.

Bedford Grammar School had a useful side, Twiss, with an average of 67, being a more than average bat, and Palmer an equally successful bowler. Consequently the season was a satisfactory one for them while from the Modern School of the same town comes news of a really sound bat in Richmond, of whom good judges speak very highly. The record of inter-school matches, however, seems to show that there was a limited number of Richmonds in the field. Nothing very awe-inspiring comes from Bradfield, and losses predominated over wins, but the bowling figures worked out pretty well; it is rather hard, however, to judge of a school side that only plays one two-day match. It is hard under these conditions to recover from a bad start. Brighton College played an equal number of wins and losses, defeating St. Paul's and Lancing, but falling a prey to Dulwich. Smith was their best bat and their second best bowler, but Macleod had a very happy knack of "coming off" in the school matches. Dulwich strikes my fancy as being the best of this group, and should perhaps have been promoted to the previous one, as they beat St. Paul's and Brighton, and had the best of the draw with Tonbridge, scoring 190 before the first wicket fell; still the averages rule low, though Gibson was a bowler of more than ordinary merit. In the Lancing eleven were, apparently, three good bats and one good bowler, but the side had to succumb both to Brighton and St. Paul's, and of its other engagements no news has arrived. Merchant Taylor's School had to put up with a good many defeats in their school engagements, but had a nice little side for all that; it was, however, rather a "two-man" side, as Crawford and Hosken headed both batting and bowling averages, and were a long way ahead of their comrades. Crawford, a left-hander, is spoken of very highly in all departments of the game, and ought to be very valuable to his school next year. From Radley comes news of a weak side, especially in batting, though useful fielding helped to pull them through, but Portman, who, as I know from experience, is quite a good cricketer, was head and shoulders above the rest of the side, and his bowling is really excellent. The same applies to Crawford (V.F.S.), of Whitgift, whose name is so well known in cricket *côteries*. With so powerful a chieftain to lead them, Whitgift had a very successful year, Heinman, Sheppard and Humble giving Crawford plenty of useful support. I leave these schools in alphabetical order.

With these somewhat desultory remarks we may glance at some of the individual performers of the year. Batsmen naturally had a fine time, and we find eight averages of over 50, fifteen of over 40, nineteen above 30, and seventy-two between 20 and 30; there has clearly been plenty of leather hunting this year. The top average (84.6) falls to Taylor of Uppingham, his schoolmate, Parkes, and Steinthall of Clifton running up with 71. Twiss, of Bedford Grammar School, averaged 67, and Kingston, of Blair Lodge, 64. These are the actual biggest, but to say who was the crack bat of the year would be hard. Barratt and Champain, both of Cheltenham, not only did well at school, but also for Hants and Gloucestershire respectively. Vibart, of Harrow, is also spoken of as a first-rate bat, and of course Crawford's—the Whitgift Crawford's—claims to the premiership are very high. Gibson (Winchester) has a fine average (55.6) and was un-

doubtedly a splendid *school* bat, but he failed when he was tried for Leicestershire; and one might go on with this list for some time, as both Malvern and Westminster had two men apiece who are spoken of as first-rate. But the test to be applied in all these cases is—what sort of bowling have the batsmen had to meet, and on what kind of wickets have they played? Bearing this in mind I should be tempted to group Barrett, Vibart, Taylor and Parkes as the pick of the basket; with Champain, Foster (Malvern), Crawford (Whitgift), and Steinhall to follow, refusing to pry more curiously into the question.

The bowlers have not such good figures, as is natural in a year of high scoring, but figures in this case are very misleading, as the large and well-known schools are always visited by a higher class of batsmen than the others. Crawford of Whitgift, for instance, secured 80 wickets for $7\frac{1}{4}$ runs a-piece, but there was seldom any really good batting against him, and had he played against Uppingham or Cheltenham a different tale might have been told, though it is equally possible the batsmen's averages might have suffered. I regard the performance of Ward of Eton (11.05) as distinctly better; likewise those of the Uppingham boys, Hind (49 for 13.63) and Wilson (55 for 13.65), and it is a real treat to hear that the latter is a lob-bowler with most of his fields on the on-side. Dowson (Harrow) had not trained much, owing to ill-health, but his school-fellow Cole is very well spoken of, as are Fisher and More of Westminster, Lewis of Marlborough, Du Bouley of Cheltenham, Wright of Malvern, and especially Spencer of Rugby. These are probably the best bowlers of the year, but it is impossible to give more than a general verdict. Of the fielding, good reports arrive, but there seems to have been some shaky catching, due no doubt to the hardness of the hitting on the fast wickets. Wicket-keepers deserve a word, as they have had many a hard knock this year. Noton (Clifton) is spoken of as admirable; also Best (Cheltenham), Singh (Rugby), Henley (Harrow), and Taylor (Uppingham). I have heard such glowing accounts of Noton, Best and Taylor that they are probably not merely the best of the year, but also a long way above the usual school standard.

Of the Scotch schools Loretto proved the champion, but their success over Blair Lodge—probably the better school—was lucky. Loretto had 11 runs to the good on the first innings; Blair Lodge forced the pace at the second attempt, closed their innings with one wicket down for 73, and had nine of the enemy out for 43 when time was called. Though the result of the game lost Blair Lodge the championship—the luck being against them all through the match—it is very pleasant to hear them speak highly of the chivalrous way in which Loretto played the game. The Loretto batting does not seem strong on paper but the bowling was good and Orr's average—86 wickets for 7.4—is really remarkable, and he had some useful coadjutors. Blair Lodge had two especially excellent batsmen in Kingston and Elborough, their averages being 64 and 50 respectively, and they made runs in almost every match and made them well, Kingston being a capital bowler into the bargain. Merchiston comes third, Fasson (who goes to Cambridge) being a good defensive bat, and Jones and Duncan good bowlers, the former variable, but on his day very difficult. Fettes takes the fourth place, and with four batsmen who have averages of over 25 and a couple of good bowlers in Lindsay and Lumsden

might well have expected to be higher. Mitford is spoken of as a really fine bat, though inclined to be impatient, and Turner was a useful defensive player. Edinburgh Academy beat Glenalmond, but succumbed to the other four schools, and as their best batsman had not a higher average than $16\frac{1}{2}$ and there was nothing remarkable in the bowling, fifth is probably their right place. They do not seem to play as many matches as the other schools. As happened last year, no answer has been sent me from Glenalmond; since however, they lost all their games for the championship, they may have reflected on the golden quality that belongs to silence.

The following will probably be in residence at the Universities for next season:—

OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
F. H. Hollins (Eton).	R. F. Vibart (Harrow).
C. T. Allen (Eton).	H. M. Blair (Harrow).
F. H. B. Champain (Cheltenham).	W. B. Barber (Repton).
C. D. Lyon (Wellington).	H. E. Kingston (Blair Lodge).
E. W. Grubb (Wellington).	A. W. White (Wellington).
F. R. Monro (Repton).	G. B. Metcalfe (Wellington).
F. R. Henley (Harrow).	H. R. Holland (Wellington).
C. E. Barry (St. Paul's).	J. F. Carter (Haileybury).
T. C. G. Sandford (Marlborough).	T. Jackson (Fettes).
E. A. H. Blunt (Marlborough).	W. M. Gordon (Rossall).
E. Barlow (Rossall).	G. D. Hignett (Rossall).
C. D. Fisher (Westminster).	H. F. Severn (Rossall).
W. F. Fox (Westminster).	L. J. Moon (Westminster).
S. L. Taylor-Taswell (Westminster).	H. O. C. Beasley (Westminster).
G. H. Rowe (Winchester).	O. W. Wright (Malvern).
E. C. Lee (Winchester).	F. H. Fasson (Merchiston).
R. L. G. Irving (Winchester).	F. L. Taylor (Uppingham).
J. T. Weatherly (Winchester).	K. E. M. Barker (Uppingham).
F. H. Latham (Winchester).	A. Lee (Uppingham).
C. S. Awdry (Winchester).	J. Stanning (Rugby).
C. P. Nickalls (Rugby).	S. Singh (Rugby).
C. Martin (Sherborne).	W. N. Pilkington (Clifton).
H. W. de Rougemont (Sherborne).	A. M. Simpson (Clifton).
R. E. Foster (Malvern).	F. D. Nicholson (Clifton).
T. W. Mappen (Malvern).	R. Hall (Lancing).
H. R. Parkes (Uppingham).	C. B. S. Collin (Lancing).
J. Chapman (Uppingham).	
J. C. M. Canning (Uppingham).	
L. B. Fyffe (Clifton).	
A. H. Goodall (Lancing).	
T. H. Fowler (Lancing).	
H. Portman (Radley).	

	1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 8, Isleworth	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 226	—	Won by 94 runs.
	BATH ROAD COLLEGE.. 132	—	
July 9, Forest Hill	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 341*	—	Drawn. *Eight wickets down. Declared closed. †Seven wickets down.
	FOREST HILL..... 213†	—	
July 9, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 169	—	Lost by 204 runs.
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE.. 373	—	
July 9, Streatham	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 269*	—	Won by four wickets. *Six wickets down.
	STREATHAM..... 265	—	
July 9, Blackheath	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 180	—	Won by an innings and 14 runs.
	BLACKHEATH 57	109	
July 10, Rugby	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 114	213	Won by 146 runs.
	RUGBY SCHOOL 100	81	
July 10, 11, Tisbury	M.C.C. AND GROUND .. 217	—	Won by an innings and 147 runs.
	PYT. HOUSE 22	48	

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—For the third year in succession and for the seventh time in the last 15 years, the Eton and Harrow match at Lord's had to be left unfinished. It is a thousand pities that a game so eagerly looked forward to at both schools, should so frequently be drawn, but the only remedy is to allot three days to the game instead of two, and to this there seems to be some insuperable objection. The change, however, if practicable, would be in every way an improvement, the boys under present arrangements being subjected to a strain to which mature players would never consent. Cricket from eleven o'clock in the morning until seven in the evening, with the boys at high tension all the time, is really too much of a good thing. The game so far as it went, was by no means in accordance with expectation. Inasmuch as Harrow possessed six old choices and Eton only two, the chances at the start of play were thought to be considerably in Harrow's favour, especially as Eton had only a fortnight before, suffered a severe defeat at the hands of Winchester. As it turned out, the Eton eleven had the best of the match from start to finish, the Harrow boys having on the second afternoon to fight desperately hard to avoid being beaten in a single innings. Though Eton gained such a great advantage however, it is not likely that there was much to choose between the two sides, the Harrow team being such an even lot in batting that nearly every one of them could with some confidence be looked to for runs. With the good luck to win the toss on a true and very fast wicket, Eton gave a remarkable display of batting, staying in from just after eleven o'clock on the first day until ten minutes past five, and running up the huge score—in a school match—of 386. Lubbock and Pilkington put on 141 for the second wicket in one hour and 40 minutes, and by mastering the Harrow bowling laid the foundation of the big total. Both batted in true Eton form, depending almost entirely on their beautiful forward play. Pilkington, it may be mentioned, is a younger brother of the Eton captain of 1895, and Lubbock is a son of the once-famous Eton batsman, Mr. Alfred Lubbock. For the second time in the history of the match—the previous occasion being in 1885—two individual hundreds were hit in the same innings. Pilkington making 101 and Bosanquet 120. In point of method there was a very marked difference between the two batsmen, Bosanquet, though a fine natural hitter, having no grace of style to recommend him. Pilkington

was at the wickets about two hours and 50 minutes, and Bosanquet half an hour less. At the close of the first day's play Harrow had lost four wickets for 64, and thus on the Saturday had an altogether thankless task before them. They had no chance of winning the game and every probability of losing it. Thanks to the fine hitting of Wyld and the steady defence of Dowson, 101 runs were put on for the ninth wicket, but for all that Harrow's first innings was finished off by quarter to two for 218. The chief cause of this breakdown was the bowling of Mitchell, who had the truly remarkable average, with lobs, of six wickets for 44 runs. He bowled with great judgment and was never afraid to pitch up. Harrow followed on after luncheon against a balance of 168, and when just before four o'clock, there were four wickets down for 65, a victory for Eton seemed pretty certain. However, Vibart and Studd made an invaluable stand at the critical moment. Restraining every tendency to hit, they took an hour and a half to put on 58 runs, and, by their stubborn defence, went far towards saving the game. After they were separated, Rattigan, by brilliant hitting, scored 72 in little more than as many minutes, and at the drawing of stumps Harrow were 87 runs ahead with two wickets to go down. Vibart had never before done himself justice at Lord's, and his success was very welcome.

ETON.

Mr. R. Lubbock c Vibart b Cole	56	Mr. F. H. Hollins lbw, b Dowson	8
Mr. C. K. Hutcuison c Vibart b Cole	5	Mr. A. D. Legard b Vibart	37
Mr. H. C. Pilkington c Matthews b Dowson	101	Mr. G. L. Tryon c Vibart b Dowson	33
Mr. C. T. Allen b Cole	10	Mr. C. H. Browning not out	0
Mr. B. J. T. Bosanquet c Henley b Blair	120	Hon. G. Ward lbw, b Vibart	0
Mr. F. H. Mitchell b Dowson	11	L-b 3, w 1, n-b 1	5
			386

HARROW.

Mr. P. T. Maw c Browning b Ward	11	— b Allen	23
Mr. T. G. O. Cole run out	6	— run out	23
Mr. W. P. Robertson c Tryon b Bosanquet	3	— b Bosanquet	0
Mr. R. F. Vibart b Mitchell	42	— b Allen	35
Mr. H. L. Matthews c and b Mitchell	10	— b Bosanquet	7
Hon. F. R. Henley st Browning b Mitchell	1		
Mr. E. T. B. Studd c Pilkington b Mitchell	5	— b Tryon	50
Mr. W. F. A. Rattigan c Browning b Tryon	19	— c and b Mitchell	72
Mr. H. J. Wyld b Mitchell	81	— c and b Tryon	0
Mr. E. M. Dowson b Mitchell	26	— not out	12
Mr. H. M. Blair not out	1	— not out	22
	13	B 7, l-b 1, w 4, n-b 4	11
		B 6, w 5	218
			255

HARROW BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Cole	61	17	141	3				
Dowson	64	29	110	4				
Vibart	30.1	12	66	2				
Wyld	18	6	57	0				
Blair	5	3	7	1				

ETON BOWLING.

Ward	25	7	54	1	7	2	21	0
Mitchell	40	17	44	6	37	12	70	1
Bosanquet	27	12	40	1	27	14	44	2
Tryon	15	10	32	1	24	10	40	2
Allen	5	0	25	0	11	1	36	2
Legard	2	1	10	0	7	2	22	0
					Pilkington	3	0	11
								0

Umpires : W. Hearn and W. A. J. West.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES.

1805.	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs.	1861.	Unfinished.
1818.	Harrow won by 13 runs.	1862.	Eton won by 64 runs.
1822.	Harrow won by 87 runs.	1863.	Unfinished.
1823.	Eton won by an inns. and 32 runs.	1864.	Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs.
1824.	Eton won by nine wickets.	1865.	Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs.
1825.	Eton won by seven wickets.	1866.	Harrow won by an innings and 136 runs.
1827.	Eton won by six wickets.	1867.	Unfinished.
1828.	Eton won by three wickets.	1868.	Harrow won by seven wickets.
1832.	Eton won by an inns. and 156 runs.	1869.	Eton won by an inns. and 19 runs.
1833.	Harrow won by eight wickets.	1870.	Eton won by 21 runs.
1834.	Harrow won by 13 runs.	1871.	Eton won by an inns. and 77 runs.
1835.	Eton won by 165 runs.	1872.	Eton won by six wickets.
1836.	Harrow won by nine wickets.	1873.	Harrow won by five wickets.
1837.	Eton won by eight wickets.	1874.	Eton won by five wickets.
1838.	Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs.	1875.	Unfinished.
1839.	Eton won by eight wickets.	1876.	Eton won by an inns. and 24 runs.
1840.	Eton won by 31 runs.	1877.	Unfinished.
1841.	Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs.	1878.	Harrow won by 20 runs.
1842.	Harrow won by 65 runs.	1879.	Unfinished.
1843.	Harrow won by 20 runs.	1880.	Harrow won by 95 runs.
1844.	Eton won by an inns. and 69 runs.	1881.	Harrow won by 112 runs.
1845.	Eton won by an inns. and 194 runs.	1882.	Unfinished.
1846.	Eton won by an inns. and 135 runs.	1883.	Unfinished.
1847.	Eton won by nine wickets.	1884.	Unfinished.
1848.	Harrow won by 41 runs.	1885.	Harrow won by three wickets.
1849.	Harrow won by 77 runs.	1886.	Eton won by six wickets.
1850.	Eton won by seven wickets.	1887.	Eton won by five wickets.
1851.	Harrow won by eight wickets.	1888.	Harrow won by 156 runs.
1852.	Harrow won by 71 runs.	1889.	Harrow won by nine wickets.
1853.	Harrow won by three wickets.	1890.	Unfinished.
1854.	Harrow won by 98 runs.	1891.	Harrow won by seven wickets.
1855.	Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs.	1892.	Harrow won by 64 runs.
1858.	Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs.	1893.	Eton won by nine wickets.
1859.	Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs.	1894.	Unfinished.
1860.	Unfinished.	1895.	Unfinished.
		1896.	Unfinished.

Seventy-one matches have been played, of which Eton have won twenty-eight, Harrow twenty-nine, and fourteen have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 for boys under twenty, which has been rejected. H. C. Pilkington's 101 and B. J. T. Bosanquet's 120 in 1896 were the eleventh and twelfth three-figure innings in the matches between the schools, the previous great scorers having been E. Bayley 152 in 1841; A. K. Watson 135 in 1885; A. W. Ridley 117 in 1871; C. P. Foley 114 in 1886; W. F. Forbes 113 in 1876; A. W. T. Daniel 112 not out in 1860; C. J. Ottaway 108 in 1869; E. Crawley 100 in 1885; R. B. Hoare 108 in 1888; and J. H. Stogdon 124 in 1895.

		1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 11,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	152	—	Won by 19 runs.
Camberley.....	{ STAFF COLLEGE	133	72*	* Three wickets down.
July 11,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	249	—	Won by 184 runs.
Radley	{ RADLEY COLLEGE	65	—	

		1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 13, 14,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	440	—	Won by innings and 252 runs.
Westbury	{ LEIGHTON	107	81	
July 13, 14,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	252	—	Won by innings and 88 runs.
Maidstone.....	{ MOTE PARK	114	50	
July 13, 14, 15	{ GENTLEMEN	268	224*	Gentlemen won by six wickets.
Lord's.....	{ PLAYERS	116	273	* Four wickets down.
	(See Gentlemen v. Players.)			
July 15, 16,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	209	218*	Drawn.
Malvern.....	{ MALVERN COLLEGE....	234	—	* Eight wickets down.
July 15,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	142	—	Won by 35 runs.
Northbrook	{ NORTHBROOK.....	107	64*	* Two wickets down.
July 15,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	302	—	Won by 98 runs.
Uxbridge	{ UXBRIDGE	204	—	
July 16, 17,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	119	187*	Won by 82 runs.
Lord's.....	{ CAMBRIDGESHIRE	103	121	* Two wickets down. Declared closed.
July 16,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	141	58*	Won by seven wickets.
Horsham	{ HORSHAM	100	98	* Three wickets down.
July 17, 18,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	126	186	Drawn.
Brighton	{ SUSSEX CLUB & GROUND	131	161*	* Eight wickets down.
July 17,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	247	—	Won by 173 runs.
Nutfield.....	{ HOLMESDALE.....	74	22*	* No wicket down.
July 18,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	133	—	Lost by 76 runs.
Bushey Park ..	{ TEDDINGTON	209	—	
July 18,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	103	—	Lost by 117 runs.
Vincent Square	{ WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	220*	—	* Six wickets down.
July 18,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	107	—	Lost by two runs.
Southgate	{ SOUTHGATE.....	109	—	
July 20, 21,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	182	116*	Won by three wickets.
Hereford	{ HEREFORDSHIRE	189	168	* Seven wickets down.
July 20, 21,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	174	90*	Won by five wickets.
Bish'p'sStortford	{ BISHOP'S STORTFORD ..	65	198	* Five wickets down.
July 20, 21,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	354	215*	Drawn.
Houghton.....	{ LORD GREY DE WILTON'S	—	—	* Eight wickets down.
	{ ELEVEN	315	—	
July 21,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	121	—	Won by 17 runs.
Charterhouse	{ MERCHANT TAYLORS'	—	—	* Nine wickets down.
Square	{ SCHOOL	104	107	

		1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 22, 23,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	157	80	Lost by an innings and 63 runs.
Cardiff	{ GLAMORGANSHIRE	300	—	
July 22, 23,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	302	—	Drawn. * Six wickets down.
Goddington	{ GODDINGTON	100*	—	
July 22,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	191*	—	Drawn. * Seven wickets down.
Chipstead	{ CHIPSTEAD	240	—	
July 23, 24,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	367	99*	Drawn. * Three wickets down. (See Australian Review.)
Lord's	{ AUSTRALIANS	202	331	
July 23, 24,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	113	—	Won by innings and four runs.
Royston	{ ROYSTON	73	36	
July 24, 25,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	207	134	Drawn. * Four wickets down.
Newport	{ MONMOUTHSHIRE	298	7*	
July 24,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	245	—	Won by 78 runs.
Acton	{ PALLINGSWICK	167	—	
July 24, 25,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	306	—	Won by innings and 64 runs.
Rickling Green..	{ RICKLING GREEN	180	62	
July 25,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	256	—	Won by 225 runs. * Two wickets down.
Wellington	{ WELLINGTON COLLEGE..	31	105*	
July 27, 28,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	114	325	Won by 92 runs.
Lord's	{ GRANGE CLUB	122	225	
July 27,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	155	—	Won by 65 runs. * Two wickets down.
Hampstead	{ HAMPSTEAD	90	124*	
July 27, 28,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	92	128	Won by 48 runs.
Lincoln	{ LINCOLN LINDUM	108	64	
July 27, 28,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	209	—	Won by innings and 21 runs.
Oswestry	{ SHROPSHIRE	34	154	
July 28,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	127	—	Won by 61 runs.
Oxted	{ OXTED	66	95	
July 29,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ..	42	69*	Lost by 139 runs. * One wicket down.
Croydon	{ CROYDON	181	—	

RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, July 29.—To the list of important matches commenced and concluded in a single day, that between these famous public schools has to be added. Marlborough were outplayed at all points, and victory rested with Rugby in an innings with 35 runs to spare. The result was chiefly brought about by the batting of Walford, and the bowling of Fletcher and Wilson. The latter a slow right-handed bowler, took nine wickets for 43 runs, and Fletcher, right-hand fast, dismissed eight batsmen for 53.

		MARLBOROUGH.		
Mr. T. C. G. Sandford	b Spencer	9	—	c Nickalls b Wilson..... 10
Mr. C. M. H. Howell	b Fletcher	0	—	b Wilson
Mr. W. Prest	b Wilson	32	—	c Walford b Fletcher
Mr. L. P. Collins	b Wilson	14	—	c Parton b Wilson..... 0
Mr. L. N. Rogers	c Wilson b Fletcher	2	—	c W-Johnston b Wilson
Mr. L. G. Moir	b Fletcher	0	—	b Fletcher
Mr. H. Etlinger	hit wkt, b Fletcher	2	—	c Cobb b Wilson
Mr. E. A. H. Blunt	b Spencer	16	—	c Maffey b Fletcher..... 1
Mr. A. H. O. Streatfeild	b Spencer	13	—	c and b Wilson
Mr. F. S. Lewis	b Wilson	0	—	b Fletcher
Mr. J. F. Gaskell	not out	0	—	not out..... 0
	B 11, 1-b 3	14	—	

102

44

RUGBY.

Mr. E. R. Wilson	lbw, b Lewis	17		Mr. E. G. Parton	b Lewis	6
Mr. W. E. Wilson-Johnston	b Lewis	4		K. S. Singh	c Sandford b Howell	0
Mr. C. P. Nickalls	c Streatfeild b Gaskell	14		Mr. H. V. Spencer	b Lewis	11
Mr. J. Stanning	b Lewis	0		Mr. J. L. Maffey	not out	2
Mr. W. G. Cobb	run out	21			B 15, 1-b 5, w 1, n-b 1	22
Mr. G. H. Walford	c Sandford b Moir	71				181
Mr. N. Fletcher	c Sandford b Gaskell	13				

RUGBY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Fletcher	18	6	28	4	19	11	25	4
Spencer	11.2	4	36	3				
Wilson	13	4	24	3	18	10	19	6

MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.

Lewis	29.1	11	54	5
Gaskell	20	6	39	2
Streatfeild	8	3	23	0
Moir	4	0	16	1
Blunt	3	2	4	0
Etlinger	4	1	17	0
Howell	6	3	6	1

Umpires: F. Farrands and T. Mycroft.

		1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 30,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	107	—	Lost by 51 runs.
Weybridge	{ OATLANDS PARK	158	—	
July 30,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	257	—	Won by 207 runs.
Croydon	{ WHITGIFT SCHOOL	50	—	
July 31, Aug. 1,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	92	109*	Won eight wickets. * Two wickets down.
Cheltenham	{ EAST GLOUCESTERSHIRE.	95	105	
July 31, Aug. 1,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	134	—	Won by 49 runs.
Surbiton	{ SURBITON	85	—	
July 31, Aug. 1,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	9	276	Won by eleven runs.
Stamford	{ BURGHLEY PARK	122	152	
July 31, Aug. 1,	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	144	157	Lost by ten wickets. * No wicket down.
Swindon	{ WILTSHIRE	254	49*	

CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 31, August 1.—A wonderfully keen and even match resulted from the meeting between these Schools at Lord's. Haileybury, after being apparently outplayed on Friday—when they lost eight wickets for 118, against Cheltenham's innings of 288—made a splendid struggle on the second day. Ultimately the game was drawn, Cheltenham at the finish wanting 14 runs to win, with only two wickets to go down.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Mr. R. Thornton b Jupp	30	— b Jupp	12
Mr. J. N. B. Champain b Giles	10	— b Giles	26
Mr. E. I. M. Barrett b Carter	37	— c Spencer b Giles	0
Mr. F. H. B. Champain c Spooner b Jupp	50	— b Giles	0
Mr. F. Kershaw b Carter	9	— c Spooner b Giles	18
Mr. A. H. Du Boulay b Giles	9	— not out	22
Mr. A. B. Skinner not out	108	— c Smith b Jupp	16
Mr. F. M. Luce c Kennedy b Carter	5	— lbw, b Jupp	10
Mr. R. F. Finke b White	16	— b Giles	0
Mr. D. Robertson c Fraser b Carter	3	— not out	8
Mr. C. Best c White b Jupp	0		
B	11	L-b 1, n-b 4	5
	288		117

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Mr. J. F. Carter b Luce	1	— c Luce b Best	45
Mr. A. H. Spooner b Luce	14	— b Du Boulay	1
Mr. C. B. Smith b Luce	4	— b F. H. B. Champain	18
Mr. J. H. R. Fraser run out	26	— b Luce	10
Mr. F. C. Smith run out	14	— c F. Champain b Du Boulay	9
Mr. N. S. A. Harrison st Best b Du Boulay	6	— b Luce	55
Mr. W. T. White b Barrett	21	— st Best b Du Boulay	68
Mr. C. H. Jupp b F. H. B. Champain	1	— c and b Luce	4
Mr. J. Kennedy not out	52	— b Luce	9
Mr. F. E. G. Talbot b Barrett	0	— not out	15
Mr. W. T. Giles st Best b F. H. B. Champain	12	— b F. H. B. Champain	1
B 5, 1-b 1	6	B 22, 1-b 2, n-b 2	26
	157		261

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Jupp	43.2	13	86	3	27	11	49	3
Giles	26	6	66	2	26	6	58	5
Carter	27	3	90	4	1	0	5	0
Talbot	7	1	18	0				
Harrison	4	0	11	0				
White	2	0	6	1				

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

F. H. B. Champain.	14.2	2	46	2	28.1	8	63	2
Luce	3	4	24	3	19	8	42	4
Du Boulay	21	5	56	1	26	3	66	3
Barrett	11	5	25	2	10	3	30	0
			Robertson	6	1	18	0	
			Best	2	0	16	1	

Umpires: T. Mycroft and V. A. Titchmarsh.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1896.

The following are the full scores in the principal Public School matches played last summer, except those that took place at Lord's, which are given in the M.C.C. Review.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Monday, May 25.—The home eleven won by 110 runs.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL.		UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.	
M. H. Ormsby not out	151	N. W. Edwards c Bayley b Shanks	5
H. P. Phillips b Gibb	0	B. H. Ormsby c Bayley b Shanks	12
R. H. Williams c and b Carpenter	11	E. Sharp c Locwenthal b Emmet	6
R. E. Osborne b Kennedy	7	L. J. Driffield not out	13
J. S. Thomas b Shanks	37	B, &c.	12
R. Marle b Shanks	1		
E. H. Robertson b Shanks	3		
			*258

*Innings declared closed.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.		ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL.	
S. C. Carpenter b Sharp	7	P. M. Shanks b Sharp	4
T. T. Gibb b Driffield	9	L. C. Brown c Phillips b Sharp	5
J. P. Kennedy c and b Sharp	39	J. R. Cocke c Edwards b Robertson	0
E. Bayley c and b Sharp	0	V. Bayley c and b Sharp	12
E. A. Emmet st Phillips b Sharp	9	B, &c.	19
C. F. Stone c and b Sharp	26		
F. K. Locwenthal not out	18		
			148

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Wednesday, May 27, and won by Bedford by eight wickets.

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.		BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.	
A. E. Fernie b Marshall	7	— run out	0
J. H. Franklin b Marshall	12		
J. F. Morris b Marshall	4	— run out	1
E. T. Cooke c Thorpe b Marshall	19	— not out	15
H. J. Symons c H. Yeats b J. Hearn	2	— c Mapleson b Marshall	0
E. B. Johnson c Sherwell b Marshall	2		
F. W. Pilot b C. Hearn	8	— b C. Hearn	22
A. E. Clayton b C. Hearn	3		
C. H. Fernie c E. Yeats b C. Hearn	1	— not out	3
W. Bain b C. Hearn	4	— b C. Hearn	0
J. M. C. Coles not out	0		
B, &c.	7	B, &c.	12
	69		*53

*Innings declared closed.

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.		WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.	
P. Sherwell b Symons	18	— b A. E. Fernie	12
G. Thorp b Morris	0	— b Cooke	12
J. R. Hearn c C. H. Fernie b A. E. Fernie	10	— not out	10
H. B. Marshall c Pilot b A. E. Fernie	7	— not out	6
E. Yeats lbw, b Symons	8		
F. Hewett b Morris	11		
H. Yeats b A. E. Fernie	10		
B. Mapleson c Coles b Morris	9		
C. Hearn b Morris	0		
W. E. Morby not out	5		
A. E. McConnell b A. E. Fernie	1		
B, &c.	3	B, &c.	3
	82		48

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Wednesday, May 27.—Thanks to the admirable batting of Harris and the effective bowling of Palmer, Bedford won easily by 110 runs.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

R. Joyce b Haines	42	C. M. Iuman	0
A. G. Hemsley b Crawford	0	J. Maclear	0
J. S. Swallow lbw b Niederheitman	28	F. H. Palmer	0
J. W. L. J. Twiss not out	53	J. C. Montero	0
E. C. Harris c Hanken b Crawford	98	B &c	37
R. C. Grellett b Hosken	17		
G. Cotching not out	1		
			*276

*Innings declared closed.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

A. H. Haines b Palmer	0	N. C. Fletcher b Palmer	0
M. Allard b Hemsley	4	F. H. Hays c Maclear b Palmer	2
J. F. Hosken c and b Hemsley	23	G. F. Hanken not out	8
J. W. Crawford b Montero	64	M. B. Tasker b Palmer	0
F. H. Marshall c Joyce b Palmer	18	B &c	43
Z. Niederheitman c Hemsley b Palmer	4		
A. D. Hays b Palmer	0		166

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played at FELSTED, Wednesday, June 3.—Capital batting by Partridge and Mullins and a smart bowling performance by Morrell, enabled Felsted to gain a handsome victory by 160 runs.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

K. J. McEwen b Docker	5	H. W. Gwyther b Freston	3
R. G. D. Howell c R. M. Turnbull		P. G. Denson c Durrant b Docker	16
b Docker	32	B. M. Downton b Docker	7
E. W. Dunn b S. Turnbull	19	H. L. Mullins not out	50
P. W. Partridge not out	76	B 23, 1-b 3, w 1	27
R. A. W. Archer b S. Turnbull	6		
G. E. Morrell c Allen b S. Turnbull	3		
			*244

*Innings declared closed.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. M. K. Turnbull c Downton b Denson	9	L. M. T. Clark b Chapman	16
G. A. M. Docker c Howell b Downton	10	P. T. Allen b Morrell	9
N. H. Tubbs c and b Denson	1	S. Turnbull b Morrell	14
J. D. Finch c Mullins b Downton	5	C. Freston not out	6
J. M. Figgis b Morrell	7	N-b	1
A. E. Burnie c Partridge b Morrell	6		84
R. B. Durrant b Morrell	0		

R.M.C. SANDHURST v. R.M.A. WOOLWICH.

Played at WOOLWICH, Friday, Saturday, June 5, 6.—A splendid innings of 128 by R. O'H. Livesay was the chief cause of the College winning by an innings and 14 runs.

R.M.A. WOOLWICH.

H. F. Stopford b Gordon	4	— b Gordon	12
P. S. Greig lbw, b Crossman	4	— c Van Someren b Gordon	7
A. J. Turner c Festing b Smith	74	— st Festing b Crossman	29
K. G. Campbell c and b Gordon	11	— c Baird b Crossman	14
G. U. Wyatt b Gordon	7	— b Smith	15
A. H. Harrison c Van Someren b Gordon	0	— b Gordon	6
J. A. Garstin b Smith	5	— lbw, b Crossman	2
H. C. Szczepauski b Van Someren	5	— not out	3
W. C. E. Twidale c Gordon b Smith	6	— c Wethered b Crossman	3
H. F. Nevill c Wilson b Smith	7	— b Gordon	0
G. H. F. Tailyour not out	0	— b Gordon	1
B 20, 1-b 2, w-b 2	24	B 17, 1-b 3	20
	147		112

R.M.C. SANDHURST.

G. L. Crossman b Tailyour	10	F. D. T. Wilson b Turner	11
M. E. MacConaghey b Tailyour	3	F. L. Festing c Tailyour b Turner	6
R. O'H. Livesay, b Tailyour	128	R. S. Gordon not out	11
C. G. Ames st Harrison b Turner	5	L. K. Smith b Turner	13
H. L. Wethered b Turner	56	B	2
H. H. C. Baird c Harrison b Tailyour	13		
W. W. Van Someren lbw, b Turner	15		273

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at GODALMING, Friday, Saturday, June 5, 6.—Charterhouse won decisively by 185 runs. For the winners, Bishop took seven wickets for 16, and Barrington and Ryder batted exceedingly well.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

R. E. S. Barrington c Beasley b Fisher	8	— b Fisher	53
G. A. Bishop c Moon b More	0	— c and b More	9
C. F. Ryder b Fisher	2	— c More b Fisher	82
O. E. Wreford-Brown c Moon b More	19	— b Fisher	3
A. R. Haig-Brown b More	7	— c Moon b Fisher	0
C. B. Houlton lbw b More	11	— b More	6
W. L. H. Moss c Beasley b Murray	50	— b More	0
E. W. H. Pain b Whitton	6	— b Fisher	8
A. D. Buzzard run out	2	— b Fisher	32
H. W. Green b Fisher	0	— not out	18
P. S. Scott not out	19	— c Moon b Fisher	2
B, &c.	14	B, &c.	19
	138		232

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

W. F. Fox c Haig-Brown b Moss	4	— b Scott	0
L. J. Moon b Bishop	53	— b Scott	17
C. D. Fisher b Moss	3	— b Moss	6
R. E. Moore c Buzzard b Moss	0	— b Bishop	28
C. Van der Gucht b Scott	16	— c Hulton b Scott	2
H. O. C. Beasley lbw b Scott	7	— c Haig-Brown b Buzzard	12
R. N. R. Blaker b Bishop	0	— run out	0
A. Whitton c Green b Scott	1	— b Bishop	0
R. E. Murray b Bishop	0	— b Bishop	14
F. Young b Scott	0	— b Bishop	0
A. Berens not out	0	— not out	0
B, &c.	6	B, &c.	16
	90		95

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at BRIGHTON, Saturday, June 6.—The home team won by 26 runs.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

J. Gilman c Giffard b Simpson	45		
H. P. Gibb c Hampson b Simpson	4	— not out	4
E. S. Littlejohn c Keernander b Hampson	12		
C. E. Barry c Young b Simpson	14		
E. C. Smith c Hampson b Simpson	3	— c King b Smith	3
H. J. Cundell lbw, b Simpson	7	— b Hampson	6
H. S. Keigwin c McLeod b Simpson	3	— not out	26
J. R. Hart c Giffard b Smith	0	— b Hampson	14
L. D. Bailey b McLeod	29	— c Holmes b Hampson	0
C. S. Alexander not out	9	— b Hampson	0
L. T. Dodd b McLeod	0	— lbw, b Hampson	0
B, &c.	6	B, &c.	9
	132		62

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

C. L. A. Smith c and b Dodd	23	F. L. King b Hart	5
R. A. B. Henry c Gilman b Dodd	35	C. S. Young b Littlejohn	6
G. R. Hampson c Barley b Dodd	0	C. L. Simpson not out	0
H. R. J. Holmes b Hart	3	D. J. Crump absent hurt	0
B. H. R. Giffard c Barley b Hart	7	B, &c.	31
M. C. Keernander c Smith b Hart	18		—
C. C. McLeod b Hart	30		158

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at TONBRIDGE, Saturday, June 6.—Thanks to a splendid start by Lobb and Knox, Dulwich scored heavily, but could not get their opponents out, the result being a draw.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

W. A. Lobb not out	109	P. Anthony not out	37
F. P. Knox b Mason	88	B 2, 1-b 4, n-b 1	7
B. S. Moss-Blundell b Mason	0		*241
C. J. Gilson, H. de Selincourt, S. M. Douglas, F. G. Treadgold, J. Whitehead, W. W. Godfrey, and W. Bell did not bat.			

* Innings declared closed.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

G. P. Fox b Gilson	0	F. V. Hutchings not out	42
L. J. Le Fleming b Gilson	12	F. W. Orr c Godfrey b Knox	1
S. C. A. Cossier, retired	18	E. T. Langdale not out	31
E. Beeching b Gilson	11	G. A. Mason, did not bat.	
B. M. T. Gale c Lobb b Gilson	6	B 7, 1-b 4	11
T. A. D. Langhorne b Gilson	3		—
J. Postlethwaite b Gilson	5		140

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. LORETTO SCHOOL.

Played at MUSSELBURGH, Friday, Saturday, June 12, 13.—An interesting game, in which Harper and Swanston batted well for their respective sides, ended in a draw.

ROSSALL.

D. D. Wilson b McFadden	2	—	run out	8
E. Barlow c J. H. Orr b Ross	15	—	b J. H. Orr	28
C. R. Darlington b McFadden	0	—	c Swanston b J. H. Orr	6
L. V. Harper c Wordsworth b McFadden ..	46	—	st Henderson b J. H. Orr	23
F. F. Burgess b Swanston	27	—	b Wordsworth	8
W. M. Gordon b Ross	29	—	c Glenny b Wordsworth	41
G. D. Hignett lbw, b McFadden	0	—	not out	7
B. Webb not out	34	—	c J. H. Orr b Wordsworth	21
F. W. Loveday b McFadden	0	—	c and b Wordsworth	0
H. F. Severn b Ross	1	—	c Glenny b Wordsworth	0
H. F. Adkins b McFadden	9	—	c Swanston b Wordsworth	5
B 12, 1-b 6, w 1, n-b 6	25		B 5, 1-b 1, w 1, n-b 7 ..	14
	188			161

LORETTO.

G. C. Glenny lbw, b Loveday	9	—	c Barlow b Loveday	6
J. F. A. Swanston run out	31	—	not out	59
P. G. McFadden c Webb b Burgess	4	—	b Adkins	8
G. Addison-Scott c Barlow b Adkins	10	—	b Hignett	0
J. B. Kerr run out	1	—	b Adkins	31
J. A. Orr not out	40	—	c Barlow b Loveday	3
J. H. Orr b Loveday	4	—	not out	14
F. W. Henderson c Severn b Adkins	0			
C. W. Wordsworth c Burgess b Adkins	8			
R. Ross c Loveday b Hignett	23			
G. R. Ritchie b Burgess	2			
B 3, 1-b 3, w 1	7		B 6, w 3, n-b 4	13
	139			134

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. LEY'S SCHOOL, CAMBRIDGE.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 13, and resulted in favour of Ley's School by 22 runs.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

R. D. Richmond run out	4	J. H. Cantrell run out	0
E. H. Philbrick c Wyatt b Walker ..	0	F. H. Bull not out	9
P. von W. Foulkes c Wyatt b Walker ..	25	E. C. Knox b Brown	0
F. C. Evans c and b walker	9	D. Ridsdale b Walker	4
B. J. Hastings b Brown	37	B &c.	11
G. G. Warder run out	4		
W. Owen b Walker	12		

LEY'S SCHOOL.

W. S. A. Brown c Knox b Foulkes ..	16	H. A. Morgan b Owen	0
A. B. Horsley lbw b Foulkes	11	L. J. Willis b Foulkes	2
L. Walker b Owen	3	H. D. Brown not out	36
H. D. Wyatt c Ridsdale b Foulkes ..	8	C. A. Wicks c Philbrick b Bull ..	0
J. T. Tulloch st Philbrick b Bull ..	47	B &c.	6
W. B. Beckett b Owen	6		
N. Spicer c Knox b Owen	2		

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL V. TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND.

Played at BLAIR LODGE, Saturday, June 13.—Blair Lodge won in the easiest way by 258 runs.

GLENALMOND.

T. E. Keyden b Kingston	5	R. M. Lawson b Currie	0
R. C. Hamilton b Currie	15	H. E. Scott c Kingston b Currie ..	0
R. S. Wilson b Kingston	2	R. A. H. Robertson b Currie	5
H. R. Tweedie run out	3	T. E. A. Dalvell not out	0
H. F. Skrine b Currie	8	B, &c.	5
W. D. Hill b Currie	0		
C. T. Graham b Kingston	1		44

BLAIR LODGE.

H. E. Kingston st Wilson b Hamilton	104	W. E. Scott c Tweedie b Skrine	6
A. C. E. Elborough st Wilson b Hamilton	60	I. Sinclair not out	26
A. B. Mitchell b Hamilton	38	H. Mackinnon not out	6
J. B. Logan b Graham	6	H. Scott did not bat	0
C. W. S. Scott c Graham b Hamilton	33	B, &c.	5
D. Currie b Hamilton	18		302
H. Lecky b Hamilton	0		

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, June 20.—After a keenly contested game, St. Paul's won by 10 runs, a result largely due to the good cricket shown by Littlejohn, Barry, and Bailey.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

A. G. Hemsley run out	37	C. W. Shirreff b Alexander	3
R. C. Grellet c Carlile b Littlejohn ..	28	H. J. Hemman c Dodd b Alexander	28
R. Joyce c Hart b Dodd	36	J. C. Montero c and b Dodd	19
J. S. Swallow run out	5	G. Cotching not out	6
C. M. T. Hogg c Carlile b Barry	2	B, &c.	26
B. Maclear c and b Alexander	9		
F. H. Palmer b Dodd	17		216

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

E. S. Littlejohn lbw, b Montero	47	H. S. Keigwin b Maclear	24
J. Gilman lbw, b Palmer	3	J. R. B. Hart b Maclear	1
E. Clementi-Smith b Swallow	18	C. S. Alexander c Montero b Palmer	16
H. P. Gibb c and b Montero	4	L. T. Todd not out	2
C. Carlile b Montero	5	B, &c.	18
C. E. Barry b Hemsley	36		
L. D. Bailey b Palmer	52		226

BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at LANCING COLLEGE, Saturday, June 20.—A well contested game resulted in a victory for Brighton by 20 runs.

LANCING COLLEGE.

T. H. Fowler b Hampson	20	R. H. C. Mertens not out	15
R. Wilson b Hampson	5	R. E. Hall c Smith b Simpson	0
W. H. Follett c Holmes b Smith	44	G. B. Lancaster c Smith b Simpson.	0
R. F. Cardale c McLeod b Smith ..	20	C. B. G. Collier run out	0
S. H. Dankes lbw, b Hampson	13	B, &c.	10
A. H. Goodall b Hampson	0		
H. B. Drake run out	16		143

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

C. L. A. Smith b Follett	14	F. L. King c Fowler b Goodall	2
D. J. Crump lbw, b Goodall	12	H. B. Neame hit wicket, b Goodall ..	9
R. A. B. Henry run out	30	L. de Smidt not out	9
G. R. Hampson b Cardale	24	C. L. Simpson b Follett	2
C. C. McLeod run out	45	B, &c.	10
H. R. J. Holmes lbw, b Hall	3		
B. H. R. Giffard st Fowler b Goodard	3		163

LEY'S SCHOOL v. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, June 20.—Leys won by 59 runs, W. S. A. Brown playing a splendid innings of 102.

LEY'S SCHOOL.	
W. S. A. Brown not out	102
A. B. Horsley c McEwen b Denson ..	6
H. D. Wyatt b Denson	15
L. Walker c Partridge b Morrell ..	2
J. T. Tulloch c Denson b Morrell ..	2
J. E. Walker c Dann b Morrell	32
N. Spicer lbw b Howell	3
	200

FELSTED SCHOOL.	
K. G. McEwen c H. D. Brown b Spicer	50
R. G. D. Howell c and b L. Walker ..	75
G. W. Dann b J. E. Walker	0
P. W. Partridge b W. S. A. Brown ..	7
G. E. Morrell b W. S. A. Brown	0
E. A. Prout b W. S. A. Brown	0
R. A. W. Archer c H. D. Brown b W. S. A. Brown	0
	141

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGBOROUGH, Wednesday, June 24, and resulted in a win for Wellingborough by 79 runs.

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.	
A. E. Fernie b E. Yeats	63
E. B. Johnson run out	19
J. F. Morris c Marshall b C. Hearn ..	8
E. T. Cooke b J. Hearn	10
F. W. Pilot not out	73
	*212

G. A. Hopkins, J. H. Franklin, J. M. C. Coles, W. C. Gaymer, and C. H. Fernie did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.	
J. R. Hearn hit wkt. b A. E. Fernie ..	23
G. Thorp b A. E. Fernie	30
P. W. Sherwell b A. E. Fernie	55
F. Hewett b Symons	5
E. Yeats run out	2
H. P. Marshall c Pilot b A. E. Fernie ..	4
W. E. A. Morby not out	3
	133

WINCHESTER v. ETON.

Played at WINCHESTER, Friday, Saturday, June 26, 27.—The match between these schools last season furnished an instance of the risk run in putting the opposing side in first. The Eton captain, hoping that the wicket would improve, sent Winchester in, and the first few men did so well that the Light Blues with a much weaker team than in the previous year when they were victorious, had to play an uphill game, and did not prove quite equal to the occasion. Winchester thoroughly deserved their eight wickets' victory, the advantage gained by the fine batting of Noel and Rowe being splendidly followed up.

WINCHESTER.

J. T. Weatherby c Browning b Mitchell ..	22	— c Mitchell b Allen	19
E. B. Noel c Hutchinson b Ward	61	— c Hutchinson b Allen	24
A. L. Gibson b Mitchell	0	— not out	5
R. L. G. Irving c Hollins b Allen	23	— not out	7
G. H. Rowe b Bosanquet	82		
E. C. Lee b Bosanquet	6		
F. H. Latham c Browning b Legard	0		
R. A. Williams not out	11		
C. S. Awdrey b Mitchell	0		
A. B. Reynolds st Browning b Mitchell ..	2		
R. C. Hunter b Bosanquet	0		
B 2, 1-b 3, w 1	6	B	1
	213		56

ETON.			
A. D. Legard c and b Gibson	2	— b Lee	16
C. K. Hutchinson c Noel b Gibson	13	— c Reynolds b Latham	37
H. C. Pilkington run out	3	— run out	2
C. T. Allen b Latham	15	— st Reynolds b Gibson	2
F. H. Mitchell b Latham	0	— b Williams	4
F. H. Hollins b Williams	18	— c Gibson b Williams	0
R. Lubbock not out	36	— b Williams	37
B. J. T. Bosanquet b Williams	0	— not out	29
C. H. Browning c Gibson b Williams	9	— c Gibson b Hunter	5
Hon G. Ward c and b Williams	0	— c Lowe b Latham	0
G. L. Tyron b Lee	25	— c Lee b Latham	6
B 2, 1-b 2, w 1	5	B 1, 1-b 2, w 1	4
	126		142

REPTON SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at REPTON, Friday, Saturday, June 26, 27.—The feature of the match—won easily by Uppingham in a single innings and 276 runs—was the grand batting of C. E. Wilson, who scored 201 not out.

REPTON.			
F. R. D. Monro c Chapman b Wilson	5	— b Wilson	5
F. T. Galloway c Chapman b Hind	18	— c and b Wilson	0
W. T. Lumsden c Bishop b Wilson	4	— c Bishop b Hind	12
E. S. Smallwood st Taylor b Wilson	4	— st Taylor b Wilson	1
L. B. Bigg c and b Wilson	4	— b Wilson	1
H. Dumbell not out	23	— st Taylor b Hind	5
J. G. L. Searight b Wilson	18	— b Wilson	4
J. Eccles b Hind	0	— not out	4
G. K. Allen b Hind	0	— b Hind	0
G. C. Beloe c Taylor b Wilson	5	— b Hind	0
R. C. Franklin b Hind	1	— c Bishop b Hind	0
B, &c.	0	B, &c.	6
	82		38

UPPINGHAM.			
H. R. Parkes c Dumbell b Franklin ..	36	H. F. Feny	} did not bat.
L. E. M. Barker b Beloe	20	A. S. Blew	
J. Chapman c and b Beloe	2	E. C. Bishop	
A. E. Hind c Dumbell b Lumsden ..	20	J. C. M. Canny	
C. E. Wilson not out	201		
T. L. Taylor c Eccles b Galloway ..	100		
A. M. Lee not out	4		
	4	B 8, 1-b 4, w 1	13
			*396

* Innings declared closed.

ST. JOHN'S, LEATHERHEAD V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, June 27, ending in a draw.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL.

M. H. Ormsby c Hawkins b Clapham	0	E. H. Reberton c Lawrence b Hill	29
R. H. Williams b Clapham	25	B. H. Ormsby b Clapham	4
T. S. W. Thomas b Chessall	51	E. E. Sharp not out	17
S. E. Osborne b Blasson	27	E. A. Broad b Chessall	3
N. W. A. Edwards b Blasson	4	B, &c.	22
R. Marle b Chessall	0		—
H. B. Phillips lbw, b Blasson	1		183

EPSOM COLLEGE.

W. A. H. Chessall not out	89	J. H. Napper	} did not bat
C. A. Lawrence b Williams	9	H. B. Hill	
W. L. Hawkins run out	3	A. Hallowes	
J. C. Swayne lbw, b Williams	0	R. S. Mutch	
C. J. Blasson c Edwards b Sharp	34	A. D. Murray	
M. V. Clapham not out	10	B, &c.	11
			156

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 30, July 1.—Rossall won by 106 runs. G. P. Wilson took six wickets for 24 runs and Loveday three for 0.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

G. P. Wilson b Humphreys	68	— not out	101
D. D. Wilson c Kenrick b Humphreys	0		
E. Barlow lbw b Moser	7		
L. V. Harper c Humphreys b Moser	3		
N. V. Jacob run out	35	— b Bowring	26
W. M. Gordon b Kenrick	19	— not out	8
D. B. Webb b Humphreys	14	— b Humphreys	19
P. G. Campbell b Moser	11	— c Druce b Westby	4
H. F. Adkins not out	5		
H. F. Severn b Moser	0		
F. W. Loveday b Humphreys	0		
B 9, 1-b 5, w 1, n-b 1	16	B 6, 1-b 1	7
	178		*165

*Innings declared closed.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

R. M. Druce b Adkins	2	— c and b G. Wilson	5
H. Lloyd-Jones c sub., b Adkins	11	— c D. Wilson b G. Wilson	11
H. Westby b Adkins	44	— c and b G. Wilson	2
G. Moser c Jacob b Loveday	1	— b G. Wilson	6
C. Kenrick c Campbell b Adkins	15	— b G. Wilson	0
F. H. Humphreys c sub., b Adkins	33	— c D. Wilson b Adkins	1
A. B. Leather b Adkins	11	— c Adkins b Loveday	10
R. L. Evans c G. Wilson b Adkins	13	— lbw b G. Wilson	2
E. G. Jones c D. Wilson b Campbell	11	— c G. Wilson b Loveday	24
W. P. Salt c sub., b Campbell	14	— c sub., b Loveday	0
F. Bowring not out	7	— not out	0
B 2, 1-b 4, w 1	7	B 4, 1-b 2, w 1	7
	169		68

DULWICH COLLEGE V. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at DULWICH, Thursday July 2.—Harris was the highest scorer in the match, and it was mainly due to his 70 and the splendid bowling of Palmer, that Bedford won by 20 runs.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

W. A. Lobb b Palmer	15	J. Whitehead c Twiss b Harris	25
F. P. Knox lbw, b Palmer	4	F. G. Threadgold not out	49
P. Anthony b Palmer	0	W. W. Godfrey b Palmer	6
B. S. Moss-Blundell b Palmer	15	E. B. Howell b Palmer	6
C. J. Gilson b Palmer	15	B &c.	40
S. M. Douglas b Joyce	9		—
H. de Selincourt b Palmer	7		191

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

A. G. Hemsley b Gilson	4	F. H. Palmer c Knox b Selincourt	3
E. C. Harris c and b Howell	70	C. M. Inman b Selincourt	15
J. S. Swallow run out	4	J. C. Montero not out	2
R. Joyce lbw, b Selincourt	26	J. A. Cameron b Selincourt	9
W. L. Twiss b Selincourt	34	B &c.	15
R. C. Grellett b Selincourt	0		—
C. M. Hogg b Selincourt	29		211

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 3, 4.—Cheltenham gained a brilliant victory by eight wickets—a result chiefly due to consistently good batting.

MARLBOROUGH.

T. C. G. Sandford lbw, b Luce	33	— b F. Champain	27
C. M. H. Howell b F. Champain	94	— c Champain b Du Boulay	0
W. Prest c and b F. Champain	59	— c Robertson b F. Champain	3
L. P. Collins b F. Champain	0	— b Du Boulay	9
L. G. Moir b Du Boulay	16	— c Finke b Du Boulay	0
L. N. Rogers c Robertson b Du Boulay	4	— c Best b F. Champain	13
F. S. Lewis, c Robertson b F. Champain	2	— b Du Boulay	11
H. Etlinger b Du Boulay	0	— c and b F. Champain	9
E. A. H. Blunt c Luce b F. Champain	7	— b F. Champain	35
A. H. O. Streatfield c Kershaw b Du Boulay	3	— not out	53
J. F. Gaskell not out	0	— c Luce b F. Champain	0
B &c.	3	B &c.	17
	221		177

CHELTENHAM.

R. Thornton c Collins b Lewis	0		
E. I. M. Barrett b Lewis	45	— b Lewis	0
J. N. B. Champain run out	47	— not out	21
F. H. B. Champain b Blunt	28	— lbw, b Streatfield	3
F. Kershaw c and b Lewis	38		
A. H. Du Boulay c and b Blunt	23		
A. B. Skinner b Lewis	18	— not out	40
R. F. Finke c Gaskell b Moir	17		
F. M. Luce b Streatfield	71		
D. Robertson not out	17		
C. D. Best not out	6		
B &c.	21	B &c.	4
	*331		68

*Innings declared closed.

MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at MALVERN, Friday, Saturday, July 3, 4. Malvern won by 10 wickets.

REPTON.			
E. S. Smallwood b Wright	0	— b Wright	7
J. G. L. Searight c and b Gibbons	12	— b Wyatt	48
F. R. D. Monro b Wright	8	— c White b Wright	13
W. T. Lumsden c Short b Wright	9	— c Foster b Wyatt	4
F. T. Galloway b Gibbons	7	— b Wyatt	2
G. C. Beloe c Short b Gibbons	1	— not out	8
L. B. Bigg b Wyatt	21	— b Gibbins	17
H. R. Dumbell run out	16	— run out	7
W. B. Barber b Gibbons	52	— b Gibbons	10
R. C. Franklyn c Gibbons b Wright	1	— b Gibbons	1
W. Blackburn not out	27	— b Gibbons	13
B & c	13	B & c	4
	167		134

MALVERN COLLEGE.

A. H. Johnston b Franklyn	16		
D. V. H. Short c Smallwood b Franklyn	54	— not out	12
S. H. Day c Smallwood b Munro	106		
W. N. White not out	20		
R. E. Foster c Beloe b Franklyn	71	— not out	18
R. S. Cochrane not out	0		
E. E. Apthorp	} did not bat.		
J. M. Gibbons			
C. W. Wright			
E. W. N. Wyatt			
T. W. Mappin			
B & c	7		
	*274		30

*Innings declared closed.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, July 4.—The visitors won on the first innings by nine runs, the chief feature of the game being the bowling of Mariner.

WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

V. F. S. Crawford b Mariner	0	— b Newnham	16
C. H. R. Henman c Floud b Mariner	6	— c Floud b Mariner	1
A. R. Neligan b Mariner	2	— c and b Mariner	21
A. F. West b Mariner	0	— c and b Mariner	38
J. L. Hampton c Lucas b Newnham	21	— run out	3
W. H. Sandell b Mariner	16	— not out	24
G. C. Hampton c Walker b Newnham	2	— b Mariner	2
G. V. B. Rose c Tunyah b Dyer	16		
R. A. Sheppard b Mariner	4		
D. Brodie c Mariner b Dyer	12		
D. T. G. Lawson not out	7		
B 6, 1-b 1	7	B 4, 1-b 3	7
	93		*112

*Innings declared closed.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

E. C. Mariner b Crawford	7		
E. F. Dyer b Lawson	32		
H. A. Floud b Lawson	12	— not out	13
L. C. A. Newnham b Crawford	11	— not out	22
R. J. Ward b Lawson	0	— b Crawford	0
C. P. Walker run out	0		
W. Tunyah c Rose b Crawford	1	— lbw b Lawson	10
E. F. Wyer c Brodie b Crawford	6		
J. E. Dodds b Crawford	11	— run out	0
W. S. Lucas not out	12	— b Lawson	0
P. C. A. Slade c Rose b Lawson	1		
B 5, 1-b 3, w 1	9	B 4, 1-b 2	6
	102		51

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 7, 8.—Wellingborough's decisive victory by an innings and 174 runs was mainly due to the batting of Pilot, Symons, and Morris, and the bowling of A. E. Fernie.

WELLINGBOROUGH.

A. E. Fernie b Groves	9	L. Gillett c Groves b Richmond	0
E. B. Johnson c Foulkes b Ridsdale	9	W. C. Gaymer lbw, b Bull	12
E. T. Cooke st Philbrick b Richmond	49	C. H. Fernie not out	20
F. W. Pilot st Philbrick b Richmond	63	J. M. C. Coles c Foulkes b Richmond	4
H. J. Symons b Cantrell	83	B, & c	29
J. H. Franklin c Hastings b Groves	41		
J. F. Morris b Richmond	67		386

BEDFORD.

R. D. Richmond c Pilot b A. E. Fernie	10	— c Gillett b A. E. Fernie	33
F. C. Evans c Pilot b A. E. Fernie	0	— b Symons	9
P. von W. Foulkes c Cooke b A. E. Fernie	24	— lbw, b Symons	7
E. H. Philbrick c Cooke b A. E. Fernie	12	— c Coles b A. E. Fernie	2
B. J. Hastings c A. E. Fernie b Morris	4	— b A. E. Fernie	8
G. G. Warder c C. H. Fernie b Morris	0	— not out	15
E. C. Knox b Symons	22	— run out	7
F. H. Bull run out	17	— c Cooke b Morris	0
J. H. Cantrell b A. E. Fernie	4	— b Symons	4
E. J. C. Groves c Pilot b Symons	0	— b Symons	5
D. W. Ridsdale not out	2	— run out	5
B & c	13	B, & c	9
	108		104

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON, Wednesday, Thursday, July 8, 9.—The fine batting of Du Boulay and Luce chiefly contributed to Cheltenham's victory by five wickets.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

R. M. Thornton lbw, b Nicholson	35	— c Noton b Benson	4
J. N. B. Champain b Fyffe	7	— st Noton b Benson	8
E. I. M. Barrett c Noton b Fyffe	33	— c Tagart b Fyffe	5
F. H. B. Champain c Garnett b Nickolson	14	— c Tagart b Fyffe	1
F. Kershaw c Daniell b Benson	21	— c Fyffe b Benson	4
A. H. Du Boulay run out	143	— not out	5
A. B. Skinner c Garnett b Fyffe	3	— not out	1
R. F. Fincke c Steinthall b Nicholson	0		
F. M. Luce c Steinthall b Nicholson	90		
D. Robertson not out	1		
C. A. D. Best b Tagart	0		
B, &c.	18	B, &c.	7
	365		35

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

G. H. Noton b Barnett	41	— c Best b Du Boulay	2
N. O. Tagart lbw, b Robertson	9	— c Best b Du Boulay	24
E. H. L. Steinthall c Robertson b F. Champain	50	— c Thornton c Du Boulay	23
W. N. Pilkington c and b F. Champain	12	— b Du Boulay	0
A. M. Simpson st Best b F. Champain	21	— run out	6
J. Daniell c Robertson b Du Boulay	43	— b F. Champain	55
G. H. Garnett c Skinner b F. Champain	3	— c J. Champain b Du Boulay	0
S. V. Williams c and b F. Champain	18	— c Skinner b Du Boulay	45
F. Fyffe c and b F. Champain	5	— run out	5
C. M. Benson b Du Boulay	2	— c and b Du Boulay	1
E. D. Nicholson not out	0	— not out	13
B, &c.	14	B, &c.	7
	218		181

FETTES v. LORETTO.

Played at FETTES, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—The bowling of J. H. Orr and J. B. Kerr was chiefly responsible for Loretto winning by 48 runs.

LORETTO.

J. F. A. Swanston b Lindsay	7	— b Mitford	37
G. C. Glenny b Lumsden	0	— c Glover b Lindsay	28
J. B. Kerr b Lumsden	1	— run out	8
J. A. Orr run out	18	— c Thomson b Lindsay	11
J. H. Orr run out	7	— c Turnbull b Mitford	3
F. W. Henderson b Lumsden	0	— c Blacklock b Mitford	14
C. W. Wordsworth c Maclaren b Lindsay	27	— c Glover b Mitford	5
R. Ross c Monypenny b Lindsay	2	— b Mitford	0
G. R. Ritchie lbw b Lindsay	5	— run out	1
J. H. Gillespie b Lumsden	1	— not out	0
A. C. Cunningham not out	0	— b Mitford	20
B, &c.	8	B, &c.	16
	76		143

FETTES.

W. G. Glover c Henderson b Orr	42	— c Cunningham b Kerr	0
E. C. Maclaren c Kerr b Orr	7	— b Kerr	3
P. Mitford b Kerr	0	— b Kerr	2
J. A. Campbell run out	9	— c Ross b Kerr	11
T. W. Jackson b Orr	3	— st Henderson b Orr	0
G. Turnbull c Ross b Orr	9	— not out	30
W. B. Tomson c Wordsworth b Orr	11	— st Henderson b Orr	0
B. Blacklock b Koss	6	— c Gillespie b Orr	2
D. B. Monypenny not out	5	— b Ross	6
J. A. Lumsden c Swanston b Orr	1	— c Orr b Wordsworth	1
J. R. Lindsay c Henderson b Wordsworth	3	— b Kerr	3
B &c.	9	B &c.	8
	105		66

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, July 11, resulting in a win for St. Paul's by 16 runs with four wickets in hand.

LANCING COLLEGE.

T. H. Fowler c Gilman b Alexander	20	A. H. Goodall c A. Littlejohn b Turquand	35
R. Wilson b Dodd	2	R. H. C. Mertens b E. Littlejohn	4
W. H. Follett c Turquand b Dodd	45	G. E. Greene b Turquand	2
R. F. Cardale b Turquand	2	R. E. Hall not out	0
S. H. Dankes c E. Littlejohn b Turquand	48	B, &c.	8
H. B. Drake b Turquand	3		
R. E. Drake b Turquand	4		173

ST. PAUL'S.

E. S. Littlejohn not out	100	C. S. Alexander not out	3
H. S. Keigwin b Goodall	0	A. R. Littlejohn	
E. Clementi-Smith b Follett	19	W. A. Turquand	} did not bat.
C. E. Barry c Gardale b Mertens	41	L. T. Dodd	
H. P. Gibb b Hall	0	B, &c.	10
J. Gilman c R. Drake b Hall	16		
L. D. Bailey b Follett	0		189

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at WELLINGTON, Saturday, July 11.—Wellington won on the first innings by 26 runs. White batted in most promising style.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

A. W. White b Hulton	59	— not out	42
E. W. Elliott b Wreford-Brown	40	— c Barrington b Buzzard	16
W. M. Turner b Moss	5	— c Wreford-Brown b Scott	19
G. B. T. Metcalfe c Wreford-Brown b Hulton	15		
E. W. Tandy c Wreford-Brown b Hulton	0	— c Barrington b Hulton	12
H. R. Holland not out	23	— b Scott	0
H. F. Elliott c Wreford-Brown b Hulton	0	— b Scott	1
E. W. Grubb run out	9	— st Green b Scott	18
H. W. Atchison b Buzzard	17	— b Buzzard	12
P. B. Warburton b Buzzard	2	— not out	1
C. D'A. Crofton c Wingfield b Wreford-Brown	11		
B, &c.	9	B, &c.	7
	190		128

CHARTERHOUSE.

O. E. Wreford-Brown c White b Turner	47	C. F. Ryder b Elliot	1
R. E. S. Barrington c Grubb b Warburton	23	W. Renshaw c White b Metcalfe	33
M. F. Wingfield b Turner	11	A. D. Buzzard c Crofton b Turner	33
G. A. Bishop b Warburton	4	H. W. Green b Turner	1
C. B. Hulton c Grubb b Elliot	1	P. S. Scott not out	2
W. L. H. Moss c Crofton b Turner	0	B, &c.	8
			164

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

Played at BRADFIELD, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—Showing superior form at all points, Sherborne won by nine wickets. Score:

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

L. Partridge b Tapsfield	18	— not out	18
C. F. Stanger-Leathes c Tapsfield b Pratt	36		
C. Martin b Tapsfield	4	— b Sandbank	11
H. F. Whinney b Nicholl	61		
H. W. de Rougemont b Nicholl	42		
W. O. Prichard b Nichol	0	— not out	4
A. J. Crawford b Pratt	24		
A. C. Temperley b Sandback	16		
J. G. Winch b Sandback	1		
A. H. Moberley c Goldsmid b Pratt	27		
H. F. Pinckney not out	6		
B 4, 1-b 3, w 2, n-b 4	13	B	5
	248		38

BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

W. F. B. Warman b Prichard	3	— c Temperly b Whinney	3
C. T. Barton b Martin	12	— c Pinckney b Martin	12
V. J. Higgon b Prichard	12	— c Crawford b Rougemont	0
B. Sandback, b Martin	22	— b Rougemont	8
G. H. Tapsfield b Prichard	7	— b Prichard	18
T. S. F. Pratt b Martin	6	— b Whinney	34
A. M. C. Nicholl c Winch b Martin	0	— not out	24
F. J. Reiss c and b Prichard	0	— b Rougemont	10
L. F. Goldsmid c Winch b Prichard	34	— c Stranger-Leathes b Rougemont	24
R. H. Pooley b Prichard	0	— c Whinney b Rougemont	3
C. La Trobe not out	1	— run out	8
B 8, 1-b 2, w 1	11	B 24, 1-b 4	22

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

H. R. Parkes b Jupp	43		
T. L. Taylor c F. C. Smith b Giles	38		
L. E. M. Barker c Fraser b Jupp	25	— not out	1
J. Chapman c Harrison b Giles	85		
C. E. Wilson b Giles	13	— b Giles	10
A. E. Hind c Harrison b Jupp	24	— not out	3
H. F. Terry c Fraser b Jupp	17		
A. M. Lee b Giles	6		
A. S. Blew b Talbot	3		
R. Watson b Carter	15	— b Jupp	0
J. C. M. Canny not out	1	— b Jupp	9
B 4, 1-b 1	5		
	275		23

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

J. F. Carter b Wilson	12	— b Wilson	3
A. H. Spooner c Taylor b Hind	22	— c Taylor b Wilson	7
J. Kennedy c Blew b Wilson	11	— c Chapman b Wilson	19
J. H. R. Fraser b Chapman	73	— b Hind	1
F. C. Smith st Taylor b Wilson	13	— c Taylor b Hind	2
N. S. A. Harrison b Barker	1	— b Barker	23
W. T. White b Wilson	1	— c Taylor b Wilson	18
C. B. Smith not out	39	— b Wilson	7
C. H. Jupp b Chapman	0	— not out	10
F. E. G. Talbot b Wilson	6	— c Hind b Barker	4
W. T. Giles c Hind b Wilson	0	— b Barker	4
B 4, 1-b 6	10	B	11
	188		109

BLAIR LODGE v. MERCHISTON.

Played at POLMONT, Saturday July 11, Blair Lodge winning by 27 runs.

MERCHISTON.

J Kox c Craik b Kingston	0	J. Duncan c Elborough b Currie	4
E. R. Jones c C. W. Scott b Logan	33	J. W. Smith b Kingston	0
R. Neilson b Kingston	4	J. R. Simpson not out	2
F. H. Faston c Elborough b Mitchell	20	A. Duncan b Currie	1
W. Hardisty c W. E. Scott b Currie	12	B, &c.	9
W. Rutherford b Currie	0		
A. S. Drybrough c Lecky b Kingston	17		102

BLAIR LODGE.

H. C. Kingston c Knox b Rutherford	22	P. B. Donaldson c J. Duncan b Jones	4
A. C. E. Elborough run out	11	I. Sinclair lbw b A. Duncan	15
		H. Lecky b Jones	0

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

R. H. Pickworth c Symons b A. E. Fernie	0	— b A. E. Fernie	13
W. C. Nimmo b A. E. Fernie	1	— b A. E. Fernie	22
R. Sugden c Hopkins b Morris	31	— lbw, b A. E. Fernie	33
C. D. Pye-Smith b A. E. Fernie	0	— b A. E. Fernie	0
H. L. Michell c Johnson b Morris	9	— c Cooke b Morris	7
D. C. A. Morrison c Symons b Morris	3	— c Morris b A. E. Fernie	12
W. A. Pearce b A. E. Fernie	0	— c Gillitt b Morris	10
H. O. Carroll b A. E. Fernie	1	— b Hopkins	7
M. H. Shaw b A. E. Fernie	0	— c Johnson b A. E. Fernie	5
H. M. Shaw b A. E. Fernie	0	— b A. E. Fernie	0
B. M. Carroll not out	7	— not out	2
B, &c.	7	B, &c.	1
	59		106

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

A. E. Fernie b B. M. Carroll	20	L. Gillitt lbw, b B. M. Carroll	1
E. B. Johnson lbw, b Sugden	1	W. C. Gaymer b B. M. Carroll	0
E. T. Cooke b Sugden	2	C. H. Fernie b H. O. Carroll	18
J. F. Morris b Pye-Smith	10	J. M. C. Coles not out	25
H. J. Symons b B. M. Carroll	87	B, &c.	18
G. A. Hopkins st Morrison b B. M. Carroll	0		182
J. H. Franklin b B. M. Carroll	0		

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at HAILEYBURY, Friday Saturday, July 17, 18, Wellington winning by nine wickets.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

A. W. White c Fraser b Jupp	55	— c White b Jupp	5
E. W. Elliott c Spooner b Harrison	122	— not out	21
W. M. Turner c C. B. Smith b Harrison	24	— not out	10
G. B. T. Metcalfe c Spooner b Carter	93		
H. R. Holland c Giles b Harrison	9		
E. N. Tandy c C. B. Smith b Giles	23		
G. H. Walker lbw, b Giles	30		
E. W. Grubb c Talbot b Giles	6		
H. W. Atchison c Harrison b Giles	20		
P. B. Warburton not out	12		
C. D'A. Crofton c and b Jupp	9		
B, &c.	19	B.	1
	422		37

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

J. F. Carter c Grubb b Elliot	58	— c Crofton b Atchison	25
A. H. Spooner c White b Warburton	0	— c Atchison b White	8
C. B. Smith b Warburton	0	— c Elliot b Turner	2
J. H. R. Fraser c Tandy b Warburton	0	— b Warburton	16
F. C. Smith b Elliot	31	— b Warburton	11
N. S. A. Harrison c Holland b Elliot	36	— c White b Warburton	23
W. T. White c Holland b Elliot	49	— c Atchison b Warburton	1
J. Kennedy c and b Elliot	19	— b Warburton	20
C. H. Jupp c Elliot b Metcalfe	16	— b Holland	56
F. E. G. Talbot not out	21	— not out	0
W. T. Giles c and b Warburton	36	— run out	5
B, &c.	12	B, &c.	12
	278		179

LEY'S SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, July 18.—Ley's School won by 101 runs. For Bedford, Foulkes took seven wickets for 89 runs.

LEY'S SCHOOL.

W. S. A. Brown c Knox b Fuller	32	H. A. Morgan b Foulkes	32
A. B. Horsley c Bull b Groves	18	N. Spicer c Bull b Foulkes	39
L. Walker b Groves	0	F. C. Trapnell c Groves b Foulkes	7
H. D. Wyatt c Owen b Groves	49	W. B. Beckett not out	8
J. T. Tulloch b Foulkes	0	B 13, w 2	15
H. D. Brown lbw b Foulkes	4		—
J. E. Walker b Foulkes	9		214

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

R. D. Richmond c and b W. S. A. Brown	24	— c Horsley b L. Walker	3
E. H. Philbrick b Beckett	19	— not out	4
P. Von W. Foulkes lbw b Beckett	0	— not out	46
E. C. Knox c Morgan b W. S. A. Brown	6		
F. C. Evans run out	15		
F. H. Bull c Horsley b J. E. Walker	6		
G. G. Warder c H. D. Brown b J. E. Walker	1		
W. Owen not out	23	— b Wyatt	32
J. H. Cantrell b W. S. A. Brown	1		
E. J. C. Groves b W. S. A. Brown	0		
O. L. Finlinson b L Walker	1		
B 13, 1-b 3, w 1	17	B 4, 1-b 2	6
	113		91

For Reports of ETON v. HARROW, RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH, and CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY, see M.C.C. Review.

AVERAGES.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
R. D. Richmond	12	411	120	1	37.4
P. von W. Foulkes	13	343	83	1	28.7
W. Owen	11	224	45	2	24.8
F. C. Evans	12	217	58	1	19.8
E. H. Philbrick	13	184	45	2	16.8
B. J. Hastings	10	160	77	0	16
F. H. Bull	9	101	44	1	12.5
E. C. Knox	11	87	30	0	7.10
G. G. Warder	10	54	24	1	6
E. J. C. Groves	9	33	22*	3	5.3
J. H. Cantrell	10	35	15	2	4.3

* Signifies not out.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
R. D. Richmond	117.4	10	434	25	17.9
E. J. C. Groves	72	19	211	12	17.7
W. Owen	99.4	24	301	16	18.13
F. C. Evans	38.2	9	100	5	20
P. von W. Foulkes	153.4	41	465	22	21.3

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
B. Sandbach.....	12	272	96*	3	30.2
L. F. Goldsmid.....	8	169	38	1	24.1
T. S. F. Pratt.....	9	173	45	0	19.2
L. de V. Sadleir-Jackson ..	9	158	67	0	17.5
G. H. Tapsfield.....	11	189	8	0	17.2
F. J. Reiss.....	12	150	47*	2	15
C. T. Barton.....	11	126	27	2	14
V. J. Higgon.....	7	89	26	0	12.5
A. M. C. Nicholl.....	11	113	29	1	11.3
W. F. B. Warman.....	9	77	25	0	8.5
C. de M. La Trobe.....	12	87	17	1	7.10
R. H. Pooley.....	12	25	72	2	7.2

* Signifies not out.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs	Wkts.	Average
R. H. Pooley.....	224	20	11.4
B. Sandbach.....	305	20	15.5
T. S. Pratt.....	296	18	16.8
G. H. Tapsfield.....	315	17	18.9
F. J. Reiss.....	283	12	23.7
A. M. C. Nicholl.....	219	8	27.3

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
C. L. A. Smith.....	20	591	145	0	29.11
C. C. McLeod.....	20	328	76	1	17.5
D. J. Crump.....	17	263	76	1	16.7
R. A. B. Henry.....	20	298	67	0	14.18
G. R. Hampson.....	20	264	85	1	13.17
B. H. R. Giffard.....	20	150	32*	3	8.14
H. R. J. Holmes.....	17	125	32	1	7.13
C. S. Young.....	12	90	24	0	7.6
M. C. Kiernander.....	16	95	26	0	5.15
C. L. Simpson.....	18	53	12*	8	5.3
H. B. Neame.....	18	53	9	3	3.8

* Signifies not out.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
G. R. Hampson.....	299.4	90	705	64	11.1
C. L. A. Smith.....	254.4	91	612	42	14.24
C. C. McLeod.....	70	14	204	14	14.8
H. B. Neame.....	46	8	151	8	18.7
C. L. Simpson.....	110	22	352	18	19.10
H. R. J. Holmes.....	9	2	26	1	26

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. I. M. Barrett.....	17	838	147	1	52.6
A. H. Du Boulay.....	14	448	143	5	49.7
A. B. Skinner.....	10	259	108*	4	43.1
F. H. B. Champain.....	16	502	172*	3	38.8
F. M. Luce.....	9	241	90	2	34.3
F. Kershaw.....	15	313	53	1	22.5
R. M. Thornton.....	15	326	53	0	21.9
J. N. B. Champain.....	15	294	76	1	21
D. Robertson.....	11	88	17*	5	14.4
R. F. Finke.....	10	113	31*	2	14.1
C. D. Best.....	7	42	18	1	7

* Signifies not out.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
A. H. Du Boulay.....	447	113	954	61	15.39
C. D. Best.....	2	0	16	1	16
F. M. Luce.....	163.2	46	426	22	19.8
D. Robertson.....	116	36	258	13	19.11
F. H. B. Champain.....	372.3	111	785	37	21.8
E. I. M. Barrett.....	113	35	244	9	27.1

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. H. L. Steinhall.....	9	503	216*	2	71.6
W. N. Pilkington.....	9	242	113*	2	34.4
N. O. Tagart.....	5	155	67	0	31
G. H. Noton.....	9	264	104	0	29.3
A. M. Simpson.....	9	201	53*	1	25.1
J. Daniell.....	7	156	55	0	22.4
S. V. Williams.....	5	109	45	0	21.4
G. H. Garnett.....	8	140	34*	1	20
E. D. Nicholson.....	6	45	20*	3	15
F. Fyfe.....	6	36	12*	2	9
C. M. Benson.....	5	34	26*	1	8.2

* Signifies not out.

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
F. Fyfe.....	153.1	59	271	19	14.5
E. D. Nicholson.....	99.4	24	251	15	16.11
C. M. Benson.....	129	48	392	14	28
G. H. Garnett.....	21	5	72	2	36
S. V. Williams.....	26	5	78	2	39
W. N. Pilkington.....	30	4	119	2	59.1

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
W. A. H. Chessall	8	348	133	1	49.5
J. C. Swayne	11	223	62	2	24.7
J. H. Napper	8	104	39	3	20.4
W. L. Hawkins	11	194	102*	1	19.4
C. A. Lawrence	11	142	59	0	12.10
C. J. Blasson	10	127	34	0	12.7
A. D. Murray	3	24	20*	1	12
A. Hallows	3	14	13*	1	7
M. V. Clapham	9	47	23	1	5.7
H. B. Hill	4	17	7	0	4.1

* Signifies not out.

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
M. V. Clapham	114	32	309	16	19.5
W. L. Hawkins	67.2	18	220	11	20
W. A. H. Chessall	129.3	45	345	10	34.5
C. J. Blasson	74	15	264	7	37.5
J. H. Napper	118	28	397	6	66.1
H. B. Hill	46	2	167	1	167

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
R. Lubbock	12	388	62	4	48.4
H. C. Pilkington	13	360	101	0	27.9
B. J. T. Bosanquet	11	232	120	1	23.2
G. L. Tryon	9	155	37*	1	19.3
F. H. Hollins	10	155	71*	1	17.2
C. K. Hutchinson	12	206	41	0	17.2
A. D. Legard	11	183	38	0	16.7
C. T. Allen	14	197	49	2	16.5
E. Penn	6	91	39	0	15.1
F. H. Mitchell	12	145	40	1	13.2
C. H. Browning	8	58	16	3	11.3
Hon. G. Ward	7	24	18*	3	6

* Signifies not out.

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Hon. G. Ward	175.4	53	387	35	11.2
F. H. Mitchell	178.2	66	272	23	11.19
B. J. T. Bosanquet	158	60	279	20	13.19
G. L. Tryon	219.1	87	447	24	18.15
C. T. Allen	54.2	13	186	9	20.6
A. D. Legard	54	14	198	7	28.2
H. C. Pilkington	3	0	11	0	—

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
P. Mitford	16	452	113*	2	32.4
J. T. Turner	12	290	104*	2	29
E. C. MacLaren	20	437	93	3	25.12
J. A. Campbell	19	460	91	1	25-10
G. Turnbull	8	117	38	2	19.3
W. G. Glover	20	339	42	0	16.19
T. W. Jackson	8	89	35*	2	14.5
B. Blacklock	14	117	29*	4	11-7
D. B. Monypenny	15	117	30*	4	10.7
J. A. Lumsden	12	102	35*	2	10.2
J. R. Lindsay	9	48	14	1	6

* Signifies not out.

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
J. R. Lindsay	339.3	119	556	69	8.4
J. A. Lumsden	309.1	94	647	50	12.47
P. Mitford	159.2	37	388	28	13.24
D. B. Monypenny	15	4	52	3	17.1
J. A. Campbell	91.3	32	194	10	19.4

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. H. R. Fraser	16	446	126*	1	29.11
N. S. A. Harrison	16	408	79	1	27.3
W. T. White	12	304	68	0	25.4
J. F. Carter	16	347	58	0	21.11
F. C. Smith	14	273	79*	1	21
J. Kennedy	9	144	52*	1	18
F. E. G. Talbot	12	137	41	3	15.2
C. H. Jupp	15	181	56	1	12.13
W. T. Giles	13	116	36	3	11.6
A. H. Spooner	15	141	47*	1	10.1
C. B. Smith	15	126	39*	2	9.9

* Signifies not out.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
W. T. Giles	321.1	85	785	46	17.3
C. H. Jupp	360	93	966	43	22.20
N. S. A. Harrison	62.3	9	272	8	34
F. E. G. Talbot	73	11	250	7	35.5
J. F. Carter	155	22	449*	10	49.9

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. A. M. Docker	16	388	76*	1	25.13
N. H. Tubbs	16	225	45	1	15
S. Turnbull	9	69	26	4	13.4
L. M. T. Clark	12	133	39*	2	13.3
J. D. Finch	16	194	58	0	12.2
P. T. Allen	12	82	22*	5	11.5
R. B. Durrant	16	155	53	1	10.5
C. Freston	15	82	29	7	10.2
A. E. Burnie	15	132	25	0	8.12
J. M. Figgis	13	70	15	0	5.5

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average.
A. E. Burnie	64	7	208	19	10.18
P. T. Allen	18	4	65	5	13
G. A. M. Docker	321.2	79	791	52	15.11
S. Turnbull	95.2	27	257	12	21.5
R. B. Durrant	29	4	68	3	22.2
C. Freston	173.2	43	579	25	23.4
L. M. T. Clark	11	2	35	1	35

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.—BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. M. Toulmin	18	499	102*	2	31.3
G. C. Valpy	14	327	74	0	23.5
C. M. Skinner	18	349	73	2	21.13
A. S. Athawes	15	218	56*	2	16.10
T. B. Wachter	15	138	44	4	12.6
C. H. Bodington	11	85	24	3	10.5
A. J. Fenn	11	75	33	2	8.3
C. H. G. Clarke	14	85	21	3	7.8
J. B. Hart-Davies	11	76	20*	1	7.6
R. M. Helmore	12	75	23	1	6.9
C. F. Nicholas	11	52	14	3	6.4

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.—BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
G. C. Valpy	239.2	82	499	47	10.29
E. M. Toulmin	400.4	103	937	86	10.77
C. M. Skinner	240.1	68	553	47	11.36
A. J. Fenn	69.2	14	183	14	13.1

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
T. H. Fowler	12	457	116	2	45.7
S. H. Dankes	11	232	48	4	33.1
W. H. Follett	11	291	99	0	26.5
R. E. Drake	3	49	35	0	16.1
R. Wilson	11	157	60*	1	15.7
A. H. Goodall	11	171	89	0	15.6
R. F. Cardale	10	100	31	0	10
H. B. Drake	5	37	16	0	7.2
R. H. C. Mertens	8	37	15*	1	5.2
C. B. G. Collier	6	17	14	2	4.1
R. E. Hall	8	18	13*	3	3.3

* Signifies not out.

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
C. B. G. Collier	19 3	7	59	7	8.3
W. H. Follett	158.3	40	457	33	13.28
R. H. C. Mertens	5	0	33	2	16.1
A. H. Goodall	162	37	486	24	20.6
R. E. Hall	95	23	294	14	21
R. F. Cardale	83.4	10	306	11	27.9

LEY'S SCHOOL, CAMBRIDGE.—BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
W. S. A. Brown	16	1032	154*	4	86.2
H. D. Brown	13	178	37*	9	44
H. D. Wyatt	15	384	101*	5	38.3
J. E. Walker	13	377	123*	1	31.5
L. Walker	16	391	141	0	24.7
A. B. Horsley	12	239	115*	1	21.8
J. T. Tulloch	12	164	47	0	13.8
F. C. Trapnell	3	26	19*	1	13
H. A. Morgan	6	58	53	1	11.3
N. Spicer	12	87	39	0	7.5
W. B. Beckett	6	35	10	1	7
L. J. Willis	4	8	4	1	2.2

LEY'S SCHOOL, CAMBRIDGE.—BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
W. S. A. Brown	241.1	74	593	57	10.23
N. Spicer	37.2	10	87	8	10.8
W. B. Beckett	49.4	7	111	10	11.1
J. E. Walker	123.3	34	346	28	12.10
L. Walker	196.3	42	546	39	14
H. D. Wyatt	86	13	231	9	25.6

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
J. B. Kerr	16	277	66*	1	18.7
G. C. Glenny	19	309	62	1	17.1
J. F. A. Swanston	19	268	65	1	14.16
J. H. Orr	18	166	41*	5	12.10
J. A. Orr	14	157	40*	1	12.1
C. W. Wordsworth	9	88	19*	1	11
F. W. Henderson	16	116	18	2	8.4
R. Ross	15	105	26	3	8.9
A. C. Cunningham	11	52	20	4	7.3
G. R. Ritchie	13	40	11*	3	4
J. H. Gillespie	8	16	9	4	4

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
J. H. Orr	312	73	641	86	7.39
R. Ross	130	55	160	20	8
C. W. Wordsworth	75	27	108	12	9
J. B. Kerr	119	47	185	16	11.9
J. F. A. Swanston	45	9	92	8	11.4
A. C. Cunningham	21	8	36	1	36

G. R. Ritchie took two wickets in twelve overs for twelve runs.

* Signifies not out.

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
R. E. Foster	18	693	106	3	46.3
S. H. Day	17	721	106	1	45.1
W. N. White	17	413	60	4	31.10
D. V. H. Short	15	385	102*	2	29.8
C. W. Wright	13	154	38	3	15.4
J. M. Gibbons	12	125	32	3	13.8
A. H. Johnston	18	227	37	0	12.11
E. W. N. Wyatt	11	86	30	1	8.6
T. W. Mappin	10	60	21	3	8.4
E. E. Apthorp	15	94	20	1	6.10
H. Wadlow	8	41	17*	1	6.6

* Signifies not out.

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Innings	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. M. Gibbons	17	618	42	14.3
E. W. N. Wyatt	13	402	24	16.18
C. W. Wright	18	975	50	19.25
T. W. Mappin	15	594	30	19.24

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
W. Prest	15	448	69	0	29.13
L. N. Rogers	15	408	98	1	29.2
T. C. G. Sandford	17	330	57	2	22
E. A. H. Blunt	12	186	53	3	20.6
L. P. Collins	14	266	60*	1	20.6
C. M. H. Howell	16	283	94	2	20.3
A. H. O. Streatfield	13	201	66*	3	20.1
H. Etlinger	15	180	37	1	12.12
L. G. Moir	14	174	92	0	12.6
F. S. Lewis	14	128	25*	2	10.8
J. F. Gaskell	10	12	8*	8	6

* Signifies not out.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
F. S. Lewis	399	132	779	48	16.11
A. H. O. Streatfield	267	76	623	30	20.23
J. F. Gaskell	191	41	546	25	21.21
E. A. H. Blunt	142	37	339	13	26.1
L. G. Moir	45	5	175	5	35
C. M. H. Howell	69	19	190	3	63.1

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. W. Crawford	24	731	144	1	31.18
J. F. Hosken	24	623	66	3	29.14
A. H. Haines	23	433	67	2	20.13
L. Niederheitman	23	297	55*	3	14.3
A. D. Hays	19	176	30	2	10.6
F. H. Marshall	23	221	34	1	10.1
G. F. Hanken	21	167	26	4	9.14
M. Allard	19	180	56	0	9.9
F. H. Hays	21	160	29*	4	9.9
N. C. Fletcher	18	81	13	3	5.6
J. A. Barratt	16	43	10	6	4.3

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
J. W. Crawford	458.3	114	1112	87	12.68
J. F. Hosken	368.4	71	1084	68	15.64
A. H. Haines	97.2	17	431	23	18.17
A. D. Hays	80	11	237	10	23.7

OUNCLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
A. E. King-Stephens	13	514	116	0	39.7
H. R. Palmer	13	300	66*	1	25
G. C. Morphet	12	193	58	2	19.3
W. J. G. Winn	13	180	26	2	16.4
J. H. Winn	13	153	26*	1	12.9
E. C. Palmer	9	63	20*	4	12.3
G. Cooper	13	160	80	0	12.4
F. H. Argyll	8	71	26*	1	10.1
S. F. Edmonds	3	6	4*	2	6
F. C. Taylor	6	19	5	2	4.3
H. W. Taylor	9	32	10	0	3.5

OUNCLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
G. C. Morphet	247.1	76	502	50	10.2
E. C. Palmer	243.2	73	772	46	10.12
H. W. Taylor	67.4	12	231	9	25.6

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. J. Portman	18	344	50	2	21.8
G. T. Lee	17	358	85	0	21.1
V. H. Wilson	19	259	49	0	13.12
H. M. Worsley	8	96	38	0	12.2
C. E. Bagot	12	90	21*	4	11
M. Burr	19	190	28*	2	11.3
A. G. Biden	17	132	45*	5	11
E. A. T. Bayley	16	150	29	1	10
R. H. Cotter	14	91	21	3	8.3
R. M. T. Gillson	19	126	24	2	7.7
A. M. Hilton	14	70	12	3	6.4

* Signifies not out.

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
F. J. Portman	261.1	75	700	67	10.30
R. M. T. Gillson	159.1	22	591	31	19.2
A. G. Biden	42.1	4	143	7	20.3
H. M. Worsley	135	22	416	18	23.2
E. A. T. Bayley	47	5	194	4	48.2

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
J. G. L. Searight	17	341	62	1	21.5
F. R. D. Monro	18	372	74	0	20.12
H. R. Dumbell	14	130	37	7	18.4
L. B. Bigg	16	256	65*	1	17.1
W. T. Lumsden	18	271	59	0	15.1
W. B. Barber	15	207	57*	1	14.11
E. S. Smallwood	18	229	62	0	12.13
F. T. Galloway	18	228	49	0	12.12
G. K. Allen	13	105	25	2	9.6
G. C. Beloe	14	92	23	2	7.8
J. Eccles	14	81	17	2	6.9

* Signifies not out.

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Average
G. P. Wilson	10	412	41.2
L. V. Harper	11	174	15.9
D. B. Webb	10	157	15.7
W. M. Gordon	11	162	14.8
H. F. Adkins	6	85	14.1
C. R. Darlington	10	145	14.5
E. Barlow	9	114	12.6
D. D. Wilson	13	154	11.11
N. V. Jacob	7	83	11.6
J. F. Burgess	12	84	7
G. D. Hignett	9	62	6.8
H. F. Severn	10	42	4.2

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. P. Wilson	169	35	394	34	11.20
H. F. Adkins	301	94	604	38	15.34
J. F. Burgess	122	27	306	13	23.7
C. D. Hignett	132	23	329	10	32.9
D. B. Webb	52	16	129	3	43

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. Stanning	17	461	126	1	28.13
C. P. Nichols	17	482	143	0	28.8
E. R. Wilson	14	323	59*	1	24.11
N. Fletcher	15	268	51	4	24.4
G. H. Walford	11	231	71	1	23.1
W. E. Wilson-Johnston ..	14	252	59	0	18
H. V. Spencer	12	138	34	3	15.3
W. G. Cobb	14	168	63	1	12.12
E. G. Parton	14	88	22*	5	9.7
S. Singh	10	65	29	2	8.1
J. L. Maffey	8	24	9*	2	4

* Signifies not out.

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. R. Wilson	146	46	345	23	15
H. V. Spencer	361.3	104	885	53	16.40
J. L. Maffey	30	11	77	4	19.1
N. Fletcher	323	81	707	34	20.26
E. G. Parton	119	35	333	12	27.9
W. G. Cobb	67	18	181	6	30.1

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD.—BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
R. W. Neale	13	406	77	1	33.10
C. R. Wetherall	13	386	125	0	29.9
R. C. P. Blyth	13	288	125	0	22.2
B. Ussher	13	185	44	2	16.9
F. T. Neale	12	156	62	1	14.2
D. Moor	8	41	19*	5	13.2
B. V. Edwards	10	98	25	2	12.2
A. S. Wetherall	12	107	26	1	9.8
A. H. Wilkinson	9	52	28*	3	8.4
H. S. Adair	10	74	28*	1	8.2
E. H. U. Draper	11	50	13	0	4.6

* Signifies not out.

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD.—BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
C. R. Wetherall	48.3	13	133	12	11.1
R. C. P. Blyth	87.2	19	320	23	13.21
B. Ussher	177.3	58	432	28	15.12
E. H. V. Draper	143	35	528	32	16.16
R. W. Neale	71.2	16	268	13	20.8

ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, HURSTPIERPOINT.—BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
R. H. Nixon	18	385	50	1	22.11
W. S. Coombe	16	275	91	0	17.3
H. S. Cadman	18	287	87	1	16.15
H. H. S. Upton	17	198	26*	3	14.2
W. S. Rutherford	16	140	31	3	10.10
G. B. Champion	16	146	34	0	9.2
W. R. Adams	9	78	24	0	8.6
H. E. Moffatt	17	134	20*	1	8.6
J. O. Miles	4	27	15	0	6.3
C. White	11	47	17*	4	6.5
B. Westmacott	15	37	12	6	4.1

* Signifies not out.

ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, HURSTPIERPOINT.—BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
G. B. Champion	16.1	3	78	7	11.1
R. M. Nixon	131	24	394	28	14.2
B. Westmacott	227.3	54	625	43	14.23
H. S. Cadman	113	23	362	22	16.10
H. H. S. Upton	107	23	362	19	19.1
W. S. Rutherford	17	2	70	2	35
C. White	22	3	95	1	95

HERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
H. F. Whinney	12	390	71	1	35.5
C. F. Stanger-Leathes	12	346	92	0	28.10
A. J. Crawford	12	150	43	5	21.3
H. W. de Rougemont	12	213	50	0	17.9
L. Partridge	13	198	54	1	16.6
W. O. Prichard	13	181	46*	2	16.5
C. Martin	13	209	71	0	16.1
A. C. Temperley	12	149	36	0	12.5
H. C. Pinckney	5	21	9	3	10.1
A. H. Moberly	4	40	27	0	10
J. G. Winch	11	49	16	1	4.9

* Signifies not out.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
W. O. Prichard	293.2	79	692	49	14.6
H. W. de Rougemont	58.2	10	187	12	15.7
C. Martin	227.2	71	518	29	17.25
H. F. Whinney	35.0	2	128	7	18.2
H. de Pass	24.1	6	66	2	33

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
R. M. Druce	13	241	65	1	20.1
G. Moser	15	268	70	0	17.13
F. H. Humphreys	14	214	37	0	15.4
H. Lloyd-Jones	15	187	41	0	12.7
H. Westby	15	175	62	1	12.7
A. B. Leather	13	137	34*	2	12.5
C. Kenrick	15	119	33*	3	9.11
R. L. Evans	12	97	24	2	9.7
E. G. Jones	6	45	24	1	9
W. P. Salt	12	83	38	1	7.7
F. Bowring	11	38	11	4	5.3

* Signifies not out.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
F. H. Humphreys	268.3	70	644	42	15.14
G. Moser	198.3	58	443	26	17.1
F. Bowring	126.4	36	295	15	19.10
H. Westby	35	12	105	3	35
C. Kenrick	77	20	244	4	61

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
L. J. LeFleming	15	429	80	0	28.9
W. E. C. Hutchings	13	316	51	1	26.4
S. C. A. Cossier	14	316	100	0	22.8
F. V. Hutchings	12	174	44*	4	21.6
E. Beeching	13	267	54	0	20.7
A. R. Power	12	226	115	1	20.6
E. T. Langdale	14	202	63	2	16.10
F. W. Orr	12	66	17	7	13.1
J. Postlewaite	10	86	21	3	12.4
C. T. Scott	14	109	43	1	8.5
G. A. Mason	7	35	16*	2	7

* Signifies not out.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
S. C. A. Cossier	161.4	40	465	23	20.5
F. W. Orr	106.3	11	468	20	23.8
G. A. Mason	242.4	53	796	29	27.13
J. Postlewaite	141.2	29	477	16	29.13
L. J. LeFleming	138.4	30	461	12	38.5

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. W. Pilot	7	202	73*	1	33.4
H. J. Symons	10	262	87	1	29.1
A. E. Fernie	10	245	63	1	27.2
J. M. C. Coles	6	59	25*	3	19.2
E. T. Cooke	10	162	49	1	18
J. F. Morris	10	137	67	0	13.7
C. H. Fernie	6	54	20*	2	13.2
J. H. Franklin	8	70	41	2	11.4
E. B. Johnson	8	74	22	0	9.2
W. C. Gaymer	5	20	12	2	6.2
G. A. Hopkins	4	21	12	0	5.1

*Signifies not out.

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
A. E. Fernie	302.3	70	652	57	11.25
H. J. Symons	79	25	192	14	13.10
J. F. Morris	174.1	36	418	31	13.15
G. A. Hopkins	40.4	6	124	8	15.4
E. T. Cooke	68	14	153	7	21.6
W. C. Gaymer	16.2	2	50	2	25

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. W. Elliott	14	442	122	4	44.2
A. W. White	14	330	59	2	27.6
G. B. T. Metcalfe	10	209	93	0	20.9
W. M. Turner	12	222	56	1	20.2
H. R. Holland	10	83	29*	4	13.5
E. W. Tandy	7	90	33	0	12.6
P. B. Warburton	10	101	39	2	12.5
H. W. Atchison	4	49	20	0	12.1
E. W. Grubb	12	103	26	2	10.3
C. D. G. Lyon	8	66	29	1	9.3
C. D'A. Crofton	3	22	11	0	7.1

*Signifies not out.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. B. Warburton	168.2	27	497	28	17.21
E. W. Elliott	129.1	31	412	21	19.13
W. M. Turner	142.3	47	383	17	22.9
H. R. Holland	21	4	90	4	22.2
A. W. White	150	28	487	21	23.4
H. W. Atchison	4	0	26	1	26
G. B. T. Metcalfe	90.3	18	278	8	34.6

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. D. Fisher	13	568	113	1	47.4
L. J. Moon	13	607	80	0	46.9
R. E. More	13	439	109	0	33.10
S. L. Taswell-Taylor	6	76	42	2	19
H. O. C. Beasley	13	165	35*	3	16.5
F. Young	7	50	23*	3	12.2
R. N. R. Blaker	13	108	39*	3	10.8
C. Van der Gucht	11	104	36	1	10.4
W. F. Fox	12	112	27	1	10.2
R. E. Murray	8	57	14	2	9.3
A. Whitton	8	60	25*	1	8.4
A. Berens	4	6	4*	3	6
W. A. E. Stamp	1	4	4	0	4
H. R. Flack	1	20	20*	1	—

*Signifies not out.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. F. Fox	32	7	89	6	14.5
C. D. Fisher	278	61	728	49	14.42
R. E. More	348	111	744	50	14.44
A. Whitton	37	12	82	3	27.1
R. E. Murray	47.4	13	134	4	33.2
A. Berens	32.2	6	76	2	38
S. L. Taswell-Taylor	37	10	88	2	44
H. R. Flack	38.2	7	121	2	60.1

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
V. F. S. Crawford	15	721	189	0	48.1
C. H. R. Henman	24	669	106*	3	31.18
R. A. Sheppard	20	551	143*	1	29
J. L. Hampton	18	225	43	2	14.1
D. G. Humber	17	190	48	4	14.8
A. F. West	25	298	51*	3	13.12
A. R. Neligan	23	225	33*	3	11.3
W. H. Sandell	21	147	24*	4	8.11
G. V. B. Rose	17	84	21*	4	6.6
G. C. Hampton	14	79	22	1	6.1
D. T. G. Lawson	13	36	7*	6	5.1

*Signifies not out.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
V. F. S. Crawford	229.1	97	576	80	7.16
D. G. Humber	194	63	414	46	9
D. T. G. Lawson	161.4	53	426	36	10.30
C. H. R. Henman	38.2	15	140	11	12.8
R. A. Sheppard	96.2	24	275	20	13.75
G. C. Hampton	88.3	14	325	12	27.1

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
A. L. Gibson	16	556	114*	6	55.6
R. A. Williams	11	235	58*	5	39
G. H. Rowe	12	415	83	1	37.8
E. C. Lee	12	342	83*	1	31.1
J. T. Weatherly	16	403	101	0	25.3
C. S. Awdrey	10	195	91	2	24.3
F. H. Latham	10	188	44*	2	23.4
E. B. Noel	8	185	65	0	23.1
R. L. G. Irving	14	280	83	2	23.4
R. C. Hunter	7	58	28*	1	9.4
A. B. Reynolds	7	36	16*	1	6

Also batted: E. D. C. Lake, 7 and 2*; F. D. H. Joy, 12, 2* and 14; B. W. Bentinck, 0.

* Signifies not out.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
R. A. Williams	106.3	30	258	19	13.11
F. H. Latham	125	28	335	17	19.12
E. C. Lee	319	111	601	31	19.12
A. L. Gibson	391.1	103	823	40	20.23
C. S. Awdrey	24.1	10	42	2	21
R. C. Hunter	103	24	223	10	22.3

THE HAVERFORD COLLEGE TOUR.

THE Haverford College team came over to England towards the end of June, and in the course of a five weeks' tour took part in fifteen matches. They met all the chief schools, and of the fifteen games they won four, drew four, and left seven unfinished. The trip—largely undertaken with the idea of comparing American school cricket with that of England—was in all respects pleasant and successful. Far and away the best feature of Haverford's cricket was the batting of the captain, J. A. Lester, who in 14 completed innings scored 1185 runs, with the splendid average of 84. On his return home this young batsman played in one of the three matches between America and the Australians. Bowling was not the strong point of the Haverford team, E. H. Adams, who was the most successful, averaging nearly 20 runs a wicket.

		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
June 27.	Shrewsbury	HAVERFORD	181*	Drawn. *Innings closed, four wickets down. †Three wickets down.
		SHREWSBURY	72†	
June 29, 30,	Cheltenham	HAVERFORD	154	Lost by six wickets. *Four wickets down.
		CHELTHENHAM	230	
July 1, 2,	Winchester	HAVERFORD	119	Drawn. *Two wickets down. †Innings closed, five wickets down.
		WINCHESTER	133	
July 4.	Rugby	HAVERFORD	263*	Drawn. *Innings closed, five wickets down. †Eight wickets down.
		RUGBY	177†	
July 6.	Haileybury	HAVERFORD	245*	Drawn. *Three wickets down. †Three wickets down.
		HAILEYBURY	137†	
July 7, 8.	Marlborough	HAVERFORD	273	Drawn.
		MARLBOROUGH	230	
July 9.	Lords	HAVERFORD	373	Won by 204 runs.
		M.C.C.	169	
July 15.	Uppingham	HAVERFORD	131	Lost by 215 runs. *Innings closed, six wickets down.
		UPPINGHAM	346*	
July 16, 17.	Repton	HAVERFORD	281	Won by an innings and 27 runs.
		REPTON	123	

The Haverford College Tour.

		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
July 18.	{ Haverford.....	81	33	Lost by an innings and 116 runs. *Innings closed, four wickets down.
Harrow	{ Harrow	230*	—	
July 22.	{ Haverford.....	174	—	Won by 92 runs. *One wicket down.
Godalming	{ Charterhouse	82	163*	
July 23.	{ Haverford.....	152	—	Drawn. *Two wickets down.
Eton	{ Eton	118*	—	
July 24, 25.	{ Haverford.....	145	—	Drawn. *Innings closed, two wickets down.
Clifton	{ Clifton	400*	—	
July 27, 28.	{ Haverford.....	188	92	Lost by 35 runs.
Malvern	{ Malvern	149	166	
July 31, Aug. 1.	{ Haverford.....	334	—	Won by an innings and 23 runs.
Cambridge.....	{ Long Vacation C.C. ..	202	109	

RESULTS.—ALL MATCHES.

MATCHES PLAYED, 15.—*Won 4, Lost 4, Drawn 7.*

HAVERFORD COLLEGE BATTING AVERAGES.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. A. Lester	18	1185	136	4	84.9
D. H. Adams.....	19	553	123	0	29.2
J. H. Scattergood	16	322	88	2	23
A. F. Coca.....	7	57	18*	3	14.1
A. B. Mifflin.....	17	241	86	0	14.3
C. R. Hinchman.....	18	198	46	2	12.6
C. H. Howson.....	19	229	42	0	12.1
T. Wistar.....	17	142	32	2	9.7
A. Haines.....	12	50	13	3	5.5
C. G. Tatnall.....	8	42	17	0	5.26
L. H. Wood.....	9	38	26	1	4.6
A. G. Scattergood	12	23	10	5	3.2

Also batted: A. C. Thomas, 7—0—21, A. M. Collins, 6—0—24, S. M. Rhoads, 1—0—9, and H. H. Lowry, 1—0—5*.

*Signifies not out.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE BOWLING AVERAGES.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Average
D. H. Adams.....	468.3	105	1233	63	19.26
J. A. Lester.....	420.3	147	928	40	23.8
C. R. Hinchman.....	237	58	640	24	26.16
A. B. Mifflin.....	93	17	309	9	34.3

Also bowled: A. Haines, 32—7—97—1, T. Wistar, 15—3—63—1, L. H. Wood, 10—0—32—0, A. F. Coca, 2—1—9—0; C. H. Howson, 2—0—10—0; and C. G. Tatnall, 1—1—0—0.

NO. 98 OF 500