JOHN WISDEN'S CRICKETERS' ALMANACK FOR 1904

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1903.

By W. J. FORD.

First of all, my thanks to all those whose kindly help has made this article possible; without such assistance an article "of sorts" might have been evolved, but the difficulty would have been huge

and the results unsatisfactory.

Before taking the dive I should like to say a word about a new venture made in 1903 by the Marylebone C.C., viz.: the institution of a match which it is hoped will prove a hardy annualeleven of the Public Schools v. M.C.C. The club paid me the compliment of asking me to get up the Public Schools' side, a task which I accepted with diffidence, fearing that the date, the August Bank Holiday, would find few public school players in town, and that the exigencies of country house and other cricket, which thrive in early August, would militate against the chance of assembling a representative side. However, diffidence was misplaced. The invitations were accepted readily, in fact they seemed as popular as hot cakes, so much so that I was beguiled into a snare; for, having two or three vacancies to fill a fortuight before the match, I issued, as a wise manager would five invitations, fully expecting that three at the most would accept, and that as some one would probably "chuck" at the last minute I should have a reserve man ready. All five accepted! I can only apologise to those whose invitation I had to withdraw after its acceptance - which is somewhat of a paradox. Eventually we had an eleven consisting of twelve men -another "bull"-in which ten of our leading schools were represented, three men hailing from Eton, and one man from each of nine others. One cou d not always get the particular player one wanted, but refusals were very few. I hope to get a side for next year that will be even more representative, but that is on the knees of the gods. The main deficiency in the team was the lack of a good fast bowler, a commodity that is sufficiently rare, for unhappily Knox of Dulwich College could not accept, but as the match was played on the practice-ground at Lords, and on a wet wicket, he might have found it hard to get a footing. The issue of the game, played as it was under awkward conditions, was a fairly stiff beating for the Public Schools, who made 78 and 85, as against 132 and 99,

but on a fast wicket I would have backed the boys. who, one critic declared, played as well as either Oxford or Cambridge. As a matter of fact the two professionals, Thompson and Tarrant, upset the balance of the sides on that slough of sludge and "stickphast." They were practically unplayable, breaking right across the wicket time after time in such a fashion that Grace, Webbe, or Shrewsbury would have had to work hard to make 50 runs. Crankshaw (Eton) hit away in spirited fashion for 36, but had a couple of "lives," while Maxwell (Cheltenham) made 17, and Crawford (Repton) 15. These were the only double figures. As to the other side, Thompson scored 36 and 20, thereby showing how much he did for the win, his fourteen wickets only costing 59 runs. J. M. Hulton made 17 and 26, and J. Howard 20 and 16. Tarrant had eight wickets for 62 runs The Public Schools' fielding was very excellent. Bevington being admirable at mid-off, and the other people everywhere, but in regard to Payne's wicket-keeping a curious question arose, though it was not submitted to the umpires. During the little preliminary gesticulation in which all "keepers" indulge before the ball actually arrives in their clutch, he undoubtedly got his hands over or in front of the wickets; several of us went out in a line with square-leg to watch The law says "If any part of his (the wicket-keeper's) person be over or before the wicket, the striker shall not be out, etc., etc.," but it does not specify any time-for instance, after the delivery of the ball, or, after the bowler has started to deliver the ball. However Payne "kept" with much cleverness, though on a tricky wicket byes were bound to come, the ball beating "striker" and "keeper" in equal ratio. Hatfeild (Eton) bowled his "slow left" with good effect, but he seems to me to be a fast-wicket bowler who relies on subtle variations of the slower paces rather than to extravagance of break. Bowling against Harrow on a fast true wicket, he seemed hitable, but wasn't, and those who have "gone for him" have found it hard to make hay of his bowling. I fancy he would do well to add a medium-paced ball to his repertoire, and if it comes with his arm there'll be no harm done. I trust to be acquitted of partiality for my old school if I write down Crawford (Repton), brother of V.F.S. and R.T., as one of the best school bowlers I have seen for a long time. In delivery he resembles Jack Hearne very closely, with a similar open-shouldered swing, though he has not Jack's gallop up to the crease, but his varieties of pace are good, he breaks back cleverly, and bowls a good fast ball that comes with the arm. As compared with Hatfeild, though the comparison does not amount to much, he got eight wickets for forty-nine, and his rival seven wickets for seventy-seven, the wicket being, as I have hinted, not quite in Hatfeild's favour. At the same time I have seen Crawford bowl excellently on a plumb pitch,

and shall be surprised if he does not make his mark in high-class cricket, should he get the chance, for he is quite a good batsman and an excellent field in the cover-point direction. Mugliston (Rossall) was also successful with the ball-five wickets for fiftybut his medium-paced bowling with some leg-break on it would probably have been better, relatively, on a faster pitch. In fact, that "faster pitch" would have suited my boys -if they'll allow me the paternal term-better than the slow one, both as to bowling and as to batting. Crankshaw hit gaily, but was lucky in being missed twice, once by his father if my memory serves me, and hitting was the right game under the circumstances. I think Nicholl is the better bat of the pair, but he starts just a little too soon; however, as his style is unimpeachable and his power undeniable, he ought to make a batsman of a very high class, the same terms applying to Crankshaw. Nicholl, by the way, captained the side, and with much discretion. Bevington (Haileybury), who failed in this match, is a fine powerful batsman, as the Cheltonians ascertained, and so fine a field at midoff as to deserve a place in many a good side. Pemberton (Charterhouse) is all right when he has got going, but he is a bad starter; he got started against Westminster, however, in an hour when all seemed black for Charterhouse, and "the pinks" can tell the rest of the tale, how they lost the match, and how B.P.—not the only Charterhouse "B.P."—took 103 not out. In eight other innings for his school he only scored 74 runs; still, he won the big school match for his side, and that covers a multitude of sins. Bevington, by the way, played capitally against Cheltenham, and also, I hear, against a good M.C.C. team, though he is apt to get his leg in front of the wicket. I have had more than one hint-I digress for the moment—that this class of play, to step in front and push the ball for two instead of driving it for four, is gaining favour at some public schools, and am asked to inveigh against it. certainly agree that it is a most undesirable style of cricket, for though Ranjitsinhji can use the stroke and use it with the utmost effect, yet it is not given to all to be Ranjitsinhjis, while further I have often noticed that whereas the ordinary player makes two runs off the stroke Ranjitsinhji makes four, thanks to his special quickness of elbow and, perhaps, wrist; further, Ranjitsinhji will drive to the boundary for four the very ball that he played to leg for four in the last over, whereby he keeps an extra gap open in the field for himself. In all honesty, however (to say nothing of gladness of heart), I can record that in none of the public schools whose matches or players I have watched did there seem to be any trace of systematic leg-play, or of jumping in front of the wicket to "glide" or "glance." Long may this state of things last! Gorell-Barnes, who headed the Harrow averages,

Public School Cricket in 1903.

thanks to some not out innings, is a fine slashing hitter, but "lets go" too soon. I have not had the luck to see him at his best. Mugliston, of Rossall, could bat as well as bowl: he captains his school for 1904. Of Fyffe, of Winchester, I received the news: "He's a most amusing bat: you'll enjoy him if he gets going;" he bowls fastish medium and swings across the wicket well, but, as it happened, he was not wanted to bowl at Lord's. Cozens-Hardy, of Rugby, is an excellent and sound player, though his style is not very attractive, but he has strokes all round the wicket, is hard to dismiss, and is a bowler to boot, with a useful and fastish "yorker", which often did execution. Maxwell (Cheltenham) was the best player in his school, possessed of a good cut, and of some first-class drives and half-volley forces on the on-side, being a good fielder as well. Payne (Wellington) was a sound and useful batsman apart from his excellent wicketkeeping, and he came out second in the Wellington averages, which however were more useful than flashy.

Passing to the other good players of the year who did not take part in the match under question. I note that Eton had a third batsman of great merit in Williams. He is not the stylish slasher that Nicholl and Crankshaw are, but played what seemed to me the sounder cricket against Harrow, though he dealt out plenty of vigorous punishment to the dark-blue bowling and with no light hand, but hard hitting was an attractive feature of all the Eton batsmen. We did not see the Harrow batting at its best in the Eton match, though Baily (son of E. P. Baily, also of Harrow, and my contemporary at Cambridge) played plucky and careful cricket at a very dark period of the Harrow fortunes, and got some good help from Bolton who had, however, only left the sick-room recently, and had to play when dead out of practice. Rattigan, who was regarded as the best Harrow batsman, injured himself seriously a few days before the match, and played, it was rumoured, against his doctor's orders. Apart from Gorell-Barnes, none of the Harrow bowlers showed much form, for Foster though very fast, was equally erratic. Under the circumstances the Harrow breakdown was not unnatural, but Baily, though suffering from an injured finger, kept wicket admirably, for while he missed Crankshaw early-he himself is my only informant-he captured three victims and only gave away twelve byes, stopping a lot of awkward balls on the leg-side too. Winchester possessed one really fine player in Weatherby; he is not quite the schoolboy wonder that Mason was, but is a particularly sound and punishing batsman on the off-side; unfortunately he has no strokes, as one critic remarks, between short-leg and mid-off, and the Etonians, discovering this, crowded the off-side with men and thereby kept cramped his run-getting. But Weatherby, scoring civ.

43 and 83 gave them a bad fright, and was unlucky in being on the losing side. Wright of Winchester on the contrary was a fine driver especially to the on, but weak in strokes behind "cover." If the opinion of critics may be quoted here, there was probably no sounder batsman in the public schools than Branston of Charterhouse. He had a snug little average of 78 and more, and bowled uncommonly well, getting as many wickets practically as all his comrades put together. His runs are acquired mainly by drives -O si sic omnes!-and as a bowler he bowls fast, but it is hinted to me that he tries to bowl too fast and does not bowl his slow ball often enough. The Notts committee selected him for several of the August county matches, and though he did nothing notable in that watery month, the fact that he was invited to play for so strong a county stamps him as a player of remarkable merit. I hear that in the last two years he has only once failed to get a century in house-matches, and that his one failure produced 46 runs! Young, of Repton, need not fear comparison with any one. even though he is handicapped by having to play in spectacles; in the two school matches he picked up 89 runs against Ma'vern, and 129 not out (going right through the innings) against Uppingham. His average for the year was 60, and his wicket-keeping was both safe and sound. Cheltenham had a thoroughly sound and safe batsman in Maxwell, as has already been said; Marsden is a fine hitter who, however, begins too soon, and Salter is a stylish batsman who will probably turn out to be the best of the trio; unluckily we did not see him at Lord's, as illness had a prior claim on his services. Logan of Westminster did not strike form till the season began to wear on, but when he found his legs he was a really brilliant batsman, powerful all round the wicket, and punishing the bowlers unmercifully; he is apt to "have a go" a little too soon, wherein I have a sneaking sympathy with him, while he can pick up any catch that comes within range. That is the sort of cricketer that is after my own heart. The Marlborough batting was not strong, especially as Colbeck, the captain, did not run into form till late in the season; against Rugby he played admirable cricket, being cool and collected at a time when nerve was being highly tried; but for him, Marlborough must have lost Scott was a good player and a gallant trier, but suffered as a bowler from the lack of a good stumper. I see that I have inadvertently omitted to mention the name of Payne (Charterhouse), who suffered by comparison with Branston, but was really fit for any school eleven, and who, luckily for Charterhouse, will be available next year. Snowdon, the Rugby captain, was one of the best school bowlers of the year, and a cricketer of much cunning; his slow left hand bowling brought him in a goodly crop of wickets, while he is no mean batsman of the "free" type. Rogers, a steady and useful player, heads the Clifton averages, which do not

run high, but I gather that Ramulu was really the best player. iust as Meakin, the captain, was the best bowler, though I am bound to say that his leg-breaks were expensive. In Imlay the Cliftonians had a capital wicket-keeper. Of the Haileybury players, Bevington, as aforesaid, was the best batsman, but Heymann was a most valuable ally as a left-hand medium bowler who could send down a really nasty ball, and who will be on the spot-in one sense of the word at least-in 1904, but Howard, a left-handed player also, was easily top of the batting averages. Uppingham had a very useful cricketer in Hurst, who drives with power and precision, but is apt to be in a hurry. He can bowl as well as bat-leg-breaks with a good length; but the bright particular star was Franklin-Smith, the captain, whose wicketkeeping is absolutely first-class, as I have heard from many outside sources. As he goes to Cambridge I hope the Light-Blue captain may read this and make a note of it. No news has reached me direct from Malvern, but I hear through other information that G. N. Foster is really a good player, though he failed for Worcestershire, and that A. P. Day runs him fairly close; at the same time Cornelius and Mellin must have had the right stuff in them, as in the hour of their school's necessity they got a century apiece against the strong Repton bowling. There was no Hutchings at Tonbridge this year, but "the bright spot was the wicket-keeping of Waggett," who goes to Oxford this year. I should like to call attention, by way of parenthesis, to the special excellence of the school wicket-keepers of 1903. Slow wickets help a wicketkeeper to some extent by keeping down the pace of the ball, but they handicap him in the bewildering amount of break that the bowler can develop. It is clear, however, that the "keeping" was wonderfully good in the cases of Aspinall (Eton), Baily (Harrow). Payne (Wellington), Franklin-Smith (Uppingham), Waggett (Tonbridge), Imlay (Clifton), Young (Repton), and others. I was besieged with suggestions as to the merits of rival "keepers" for the Public Schools XI. Sherborne owned a capital and careful player in its captain, Phillip Smith, who acquired an average of 32, with a highest score of 49; this argues consistent cricket with a vengeance. He was outdone, however, in mere figures by Lang. "the brightest and best of the bats, and the pick of the basket as a coming cricketer," while a "pocket cricketer," Jesson, did good service with the bat, and turned out to be an excellent leg-break bowler. In the Rossall team Jobson, the captain, was quite the best batsman, and Mugliston, next year's captain, was a good bowler and a good batsman, though as a bowler he was outdistanced by Powell, a high, fast bowler, and by Jobson as a batsman; still it is a good performance to "run up" in each department. Dulwich was fortunate in having so good a player to preside over its fortunes as N. A. Knox, who was not only a fast

bowler of much success and of much promise, but a fine powerful batsman, who knew how to "throw his arms away from him;" but apart from Knox there was not much talent to be found, except in Wigg, a slow left-hand bowler, who was very fatal against school sides. H. H. K. Worsley, of Radley, was undoubtedly one of the best of school batsmen, and what is more will be able to help Radley in 1904. Those who know his play regard him as better than either of his brothers, who have been tried for Oxford, and hope that the "blue" is not beyond his reach, if, and when, he "goes up;" he is a capital bowler as well as batsman. St. Paul's found a valuable and capable player in Wakeling, who will be captain next year, and was far ahead of his colleagues as a run-getter. I gather that he is a careful player, as he only made 102 out of 293 v. Brighton College, but as he went in first and carried out his bat the feat was highly commendable. M. B. Elderton was the chief luminary of the Merchant Taylors' XI. being a good batsman and a capital wicket-keeper, but I note that he got nearly half of his runs in two innings out of the fifteen that he played. Muirhead was the best batsman that Bedford Grammar School produced, being quite a consistent scorer, with plenty of scoring strokes on the off-side, though he is inclined to shirk the risks of cutting. Peel was an excellent bowler, especially when the wicket was friendly, as witness his nine wickets for seven runs v. Wadham College! Bedford Modern School was particularly fortunate in having so good an all-round cricketer as Oliver, who headed both averages and was well ahead of his confrères, while he put the seal on his abilities by some sound cricket for Bedfordshire. I have heard so much of his cricket from good judges that I regret that he is not going to either "Varsity." Again, Turner of Hurstpierpoint must have been a pretty good player; in sixteen innings, twice not out, he made 503 runs, with a highest total of 69. The intelligent reader will at once deduce the fact that he was a very consistent getter of runs; add to this that he could bowl, and that he was captain in 1903, and will resume the post in 1904, and it will be gleaned that he was and will be invaluable. Eastbourne College had a batsman of whom to be proud in J. V. Young, brother of the Repton player. He was only 53 short of 1000 runs, made an innings of 205 not out, and had an average of 43; as a bowler he annexed 63 wickets, the price paid being 23 runs apiece. But apart from figures I have private information to the effect that he got his runs well, and bowled with much precision. He will be captain again next year, and should have an even greater season. King's School, Bruton, is sending two excellent players to Cambridge—I hope the lightblue captain reads this article as well as the rest of his Wisden's-in the persons of W. W. H. Nash and A. F. Bland. The former is a fine player and a fine hitter, with plenty of defence,

and has made his mark outside school cricket, while the latter-Bland-bowls fast with a good high action, and a concealed slow ball that breaks from leg. I hear that the Somerset secretary thinks very highly of both these players, so I hope to see their names in the "Freshers" match. Just in the nick of time, nearly a month after my circular went forth, comes information from Lancing, though the Sussex school is not much later than others. Unfortunately the secretary does not answer my questions in order, or at all, but I dig the following facts out of the Cricket Retrospect viz., that Mathieson kept wicket well, that the bowling and fielding were respectable, and that Howell was a sound and patient performer with the bat. Three school matches were won, but Brighton triumphed, since some "simple slow bowling and moderate lobs" were too much for the batters. I beg to repeat the hackneyed remark that no school eleven is complete without a lob-bowler, even if he is only a lob-bowler of sorts.

I have been asked to say a few words on two subjects connected with school cricket. They are of a rather delicate nature, but that is no reason why they should be shirked. The first one is the question of umpiring in the matches which are played on the school ground, in which matches the school coach habitually officiates, though in inter-school matches the umpires generally come from Lord's. This last fact is so pregnant with meaning that it is needless to dilate on it; but there are innumerable grumbles, wellfounded grumbles, I fear, in the air, to the effect that the umpiring is so one-sided that it is not worth a man's while to play in matches against schools, as he is more likely to be got out by the umpire than the bowler, and can only get the boys out by bowling them clean. I put the visitor's plea in an extreme form, but the visitor, I regret to say, has justification for his statements. Of course the school 'pro' is very keen that his alumni should do him credit, and when competition runs close for "colours" is very anxious that his own particular protégé should get them. Hence his decisions are often biassed, and his conscience is appeased by the reflection than it doesn't matter to I.Z. or the M.C.C. whether they win or lose but it does matter to "my boys" or perhaps to "my boy." Umpires, even the very best, make mistakes, but I fear that in school matches the mistakes are many, and generally made in favour of the school; yet Law 3 says that "two umpires shall be appointed; one for each end," not "for each side" This wording is often forgotten or disregarded, and the mere fact that "foreign" umpires are engaged for inter-school matches is painfully significant. Can nothing be done to put matters on a satisfactory footing?

The next point is illustrated by the following dialogue, which is not given verbatim, but is sufficiently accurate for my purpose.

"Will you play against X school for me on Saturday?" "No." "Why not?" "Well, I went down last year; one of the masters was captain. I went on to bowl first and got two wickets in my first three overs, so I was taken off and sent to field in the country. The boys got 320, and I never had another bowl. I was put in eighth because I might knock the school bowlers about, and never got a knock at all as things went. Not me, thank you!" Now this dialogue is exaggerated to the smallest extent. In many foreign matches the "cricket master," or an "old fellow," selects and captains the opposing side, and thinks that he is doing the best he can for his school if he "coddles" the boys to the last degree, and arranges that the batsmen shall get runs and the bowlers wickets. My good friends, the cricket masters, who give me so much invaluable help, believe me that you are wrong all down the line! And for these reasons, with an intelligent limit. The boy who is really a cricketer, heart and soul, doesn't want to be coddled in this fashion; he would far sooner play a few overs from Trott and Hearne, let us say, and score fifteen or twenty runs, than make 50 or 100 off third-rate amateurs; he will not break his heart if he is bowled out first ball, knowing that he has died honourably. Nor, if he be a bowler, will his bowling be ruined or his courage damped because a Jessop or a Thornton has hit his bowling all over and out of the ground. That he expected when they came to the wicket, and he will be well pleased to have got them out in the end. Boys' hearts are not so fragile as some people think. A brother of mine once went down to play against a public school and knocked up some 120 runs in characteristic fashion. The boys were delighted, they enjoyed the smacking, did not mind the running about, and doubtless learned something; but it was quite a different matter after dinner, when over the claret the hapless smiter received a severe lecture from the head master for having "done his best to ruin some promising bowlers!" I can understand the tenderness that the nurses feel for their young charges, but as the young charges fully appreciate that cricket is cricket, I would never let nurse command the opposing side; some ruthless, merciless outsider, who plays to win and to win by a big majority, if possible, and to play the game up to the hilt, is the right man to command a side that takes on a school. I am not solitary in my belief.

I gladly pass from an unpleasant fact to a pleasant one. Rumours, which I hope and trust are founded on fact, reach my ear to the effect that the match between Harrow and Winchester is to be revived. If the rumours are true, the thanks of all cricketers are due to Drs. Wood and Burge, good sportsmen both, for the revival; it has long been an anomaly that these two great schools should not meet each other, and could only be compared on

the form they could show against Eton. However, the meeting has not, as I write, been formally amnounced. Again, many of us would like to hear that a third match was arranged at Lord's for the first two days of the week in which Rugby meets Marlborough, and Haileybury Cheltenham. As a "beak" of experience I know that "Certificates" and "Prize Days" present a difficulty, but is the difficulty so inseparable that we could not see at Lord's, in that week, a match between some two of the following schools:—Charterhouse, Wellington, Westminster, Clifton, Malvern, Uppingham, Repton, or even the Harrow-Winchester match, to which allusion has been made? I am sure that the M.C.C. would welcome an innovation of that kind.

Here is the annual table of the performances of the leading schools.

SCHOOLS.			
	Won.	Lost.	Drawn.
Eton	Winchester	ON THE PART OF	
Eton	Harrow	gler <u>e</u> geldstef	
Harrow	miles	Eton	Strate Tellfold
Winchester	THE STATE STATE	Eton	BOTH TARBER
Rugby	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN T	дооц	M. 11 ,
Marlborough		Cheltenham	Marlborough
Cheltenham	Marlborough	Cheftennam	Rugby
Cheltenham	Hailoulough		
Haileybury	Haileybury		
Hailowhum		Wellington	Uppingham
Haileybury		Cheltenham	
Charterhouse	Westminster	A TOP A TOP	
Westminster		Charterhouse	SE BY FO ASSE
Wellington	Haileybury		
Uppingham		Repton	Haileybury
Uppingham		The transfer of the state of the	Malvern
Repton	Uppingham	of the state of	Maivern
Repton	Malvern	Maria da Entrone	best law sait
Malvern	Ray Land Catalog College	Repton	Unningham
Clifton. No s	chool match in 1903.	портоп	Uppingham
	MAGOOM III 1300.		

The match between Charterhouse and Wellington was abandoned in consequence of the bad weather.

I have no satisfactory answer ready to the question often asked, "Which was the best school of the year?" I defy any one to answer it satisfactorily, except perhaps to himself, since the only data one has are the results of inter-school matches—foreign elevens are very variable in strength—and the opinions of those who are far more familiar with the doings of their own particular school than with those of others. However, coûte qui coûte, I give my own opinion, which is worth little or nothing, though I've

watched a fair number of school matches, and have heard much from Others. Personally I believe that Eton, Rugby, and Repton were the three best schools; on a good hard wicket I think Eton would have been first, owing to the strength of the batting; on a bowler's wicket I would have backed Repton; and on either sort of wicket Rugby would have been severely formidable. Eton was hard pressed by Winchester, but circumstances were against the Etonians; for, first, they had not discovered Hatfeild; second, they were short of practice, owing to floods and inundations; third, the sad and fatal fire in one of the houses naturally re-acted on the cricketers' nerves. As things went, Eton did well to win. Harrow, of course, had bad luck in regard to illness and accident, but the defeat was so severe that one cannot write off very much on that account, though the Harrow XI. was far below its mark when it appeared at Lord's. But the thing that guides one most is the fact that Eton was exceedingly strong in every way; heaps of batting, a capital wicket-keeper, one very good bowler-Hatfeild-and a very useful one in Schofield, and good fielding throughout, especially Boles in the slips. Eton wanted a lot of beating. Rugby was a very sound side, full of batting and well "ribbed up" in bowling; Eton would have had to work hard to win, and but for Colbeck's batting Rugby would have beaten Marlborough, a poor side, in a single day. Repton were very strong, and beat both Malvern and Uppingham in an innings, the Malvernians, however, put up a rare good fight, and had not Foster been badly hurt, would have saved the game perhaps; they could never have won it. Uppingham were weak. From what I saw of the Reptonians it seemed that the batting was so good that they could hardly lose a match in two days on a sound wicket, and that on a bowler's wicket they were dreadfully formidable, thanks mainly to Crawford's bowling, though the batting was good enough to get 120 to 150 runs under poor conditions. On a rather nasty wicket the Rugbeians, playing a very "open game" in the hope of winning, scored with ease and freedom off the Marlborough bowling, and though they did not win success, deserved it. Cheltenham won both their school matches, beating Marlborough by two wickets in one day, and scoring a good win over Haileybury. For all this I don't think Cheltenham were really very strong; they ought to have done more with Marlborough, for one thing; for another it did not seem to me that the Haileyburians played up to form in their second innings; but that is all in the day's work. The Haileyburians hit rashly at the slow bowling-there was no need to hurry-the ball flew in the air, and the Cheltonians held the catches with great composure; wherefore the Cheltonians deserved to win, especially as their left-hander, Taylor, played remarkably well for 75; but I must say that I fancied Haileybury. However, Wellington beat

Haileybury handsomely by eight wickets, so that when all's said and done they cannot have been very strong, though, as a small Haileyburian said to me "They did beat us, but they weren't the best!" Charterhouse were a side hard to defeat, and should be grouped, probably, with Eton and Co., but for the fact that so much depended on Branston, and in a less degree on Payne. The pair failed against Westminster, but luckily Bence Pembroke played his one great innings of the season at the critical moment. On the whole I put the Carthusian side below the first three, good as some of the individuals showed themselves to be. Rain prevented all play in the match between Wellington and Charterhouse, the result of which, had any play taken place, might have given us a line as to the comparative strength of the several sides, while, owing to a mysterious reticence on the part of the Wellington people all I know about the eleven is that Payne is an excellent wicket-keeper, and that the College beat Haileybury. I can't make bricks without straw, any more than the Hebrews could. The fielding of the Clifton eleven was remarkably good, but in other respects, with the exception of Imlay's "keeping," the side was disappointing, and never got going after the wet wickets of early summer. The match with Cheltenham was not played, owing to illness at Cheltenham. The Westminster XI. is not ticketed as very good, but at the same time it managed to get a lot of runs, the difficulty being to get the opponents out; pretty strong opponents too do the "Pinks" face. Besides Logan, who was very excellent, there were plenty of fellows who could get runs, and Powers was very useful as a fast slinging bowler, but there was no one who could utilise a bad wicket as it ought to be utilised. The fielding was good, and Coleby's wicketkeeping very fair, though unluckily he missed Bence-Pembroke in the Charterhouse match. Logan was a wonderfully good catcher, especially in the deep field. Uppingham did not win a single match, but though severely beaten by Repton, drew favourably with Haileybury, and very unfavourably with Malvern. Franklin-Smith's admirable wicket-keeping I have alluded, but he batted well into the bargain, though he was some way behind Hurst, a powerful hitter, who is too often in a hurry, but is a legbreak bowler of parts. Johnson is quite a promising fast bowler, swinging a bit from leg. I have no news from Malvern. Rossall lost to Shrewsbury, and could not play Loretto, as the latter school was a victim to that painful and humiliating malady, mumps. The Rossall side, however, was a pretty good one, and was not lucky in meeting Shrewsbury on a sticky wicket after five weeks of hot weather! What a land Rossall must have been to live in during 1903! Jobson batted well through out the season, and Powell, a fast bowler with a high action, was quite successful. "In fact," says my correspondent, "cricket is very flourishing

here." So mote it be! Shrewsbury had a decent side, Forman being the best batsman, Humphrys the best bowler, and Craig a wicket-keeper possessed of "a good eye and great quickness of hand." The fielding, good on the whole, was marred by nervousness, which led to missed catches. Sherborne was particularly unlucky in having both school-matches knocked off owing to mumps. To the good play of Phillip Smith and Lang I have already alluded, but Cole and Jesson were two excellent players of small size, Jesson being a capital bowler of "tosh," as well as a batsman. Bamberger is another promising bowler, and the general cricket of the side was quite up to sample. Tonbridge beat Dulwich fairly comfortably, but the eleven, without a Hutchings in it, was weak in batting, though pretty strong in bowling, and very strong in .Waggett's wicket-keeping. It was curious that, notwithstanding plenty of practice, the catching was sometimes badly at fault, but Sadler and Colley did good work, the former "in the deep," and the latter at "cover." Price, Finch, and Soloman all had success with the ball. The Dulwich team is described as "rather a one-horse affair;" in fact it was Knox. et praeterea nihil, Knox being lengths ahead of anyone else both in batting and bowling; however, in the latter line, he had good help from Wigg, whose slow left hand bowling was a good foil to the "fast right" of the captain. The fielding was only fair, but the general promise is good. Radley, headed by Worsley (vide supra) had a very promising side, coached by Law, the old Warwickshire "pro." Bowling was a weak point, but hard practice did much for the fielding. "This year we had a one-man side, but next season it will be a different thing." Mark this, O ye opponents of Radley! St. Paul's beat Brighton, but fell before Dulwich. Judging from the statistics, my only source of information, the batting depended largely on Wakeling, and the bowling on Stamper and Smyth, but Bromley, Critchley, and Scott all got past the rubicon of 50, which is creditable in such a beastly year -no apology for the vulgarism-as 1903. My correspondent at Eastbourne College writes "Our season was a great improvement on last year, the results being due less to our batting, which was good at times, than to general all round play. We had three capable bowlers (Brooker, Young, and Cole) who were well supported by unusual keenness in the field, and by a good wicketkeeper." Young was lengths ahead of anyone else in the batting, though Jackson and Elliott had fair figures Young returns to captain again in 1904, and should prove a particularly tough customer if he gets his eye in. Merchant Taylors' had a one-horse side as far as batting went, M. B. Elderton doing most of the rungetting and being in great form as a stumper. The bowling of Hammond, Wells, Vince, and Sayer pans out well against other schools of moderate calibre, but was not supported by fielding of

a high class, while, outside Elderton, the batting was very mediocre; consequently results were distinctly moderate, not to sav unsatisfactory; there was certainly no Raphael on the side. Nor had Felsted any great player; S. K. Mathews was quite the best batsman, but had a good following in Phillips, Ward, and C. B. Mathews; weak bowling and moderate fielding kept the side back, though it played a good game against a team of M.C.C. The Brighton College team was hardly up to the average, as the side fell before Dulwich and St. Paul's, drew with Christ's Hospital on pretty even terms, and defeated Lancing. The side had quite a good bowler in Rose, who got more wickets than all the rest of the eleven put together, and was a good batsman as well. Belcher, who captains for 1904 and was captain in 1903, should make a player if he gains strength; de Smidt is sound, and Shallow, small but good, made the only century of the year. To the Lancing cricket allusion has been made, as well as to Mathieson's good play, but a wail goes up as to some dropped catches which marred fielding that was otherwise good. I firmly believe that if every member of a school eleven had to catch twenty catches a day, easy ones, he will do well before the season is a month old; it is merely a case of training the muscles of the eye and hand, and of teaching them how to co-operate. Hurstpierpoint was not strong and not lucky, but the matches were crowded in so closely that the team got stale. Turner was a right good batsman, who scored 503 runs with a highest of 69 and an average of 36, while Verver and Smiles were quite good bowlers. Bedford Modern School, notwithstanding the presence of Oliver, who was best with the bat and best with the ball, and did great things with each, had a bad season as far as winning matches went, but the reason may be found as usual in uncertain fielding, added to wicket-keeping that was only moderate. Oliver got the best help from Willett with the bat-his figures are quite creditable -and from Pickwood with the ball. As to Bedford Grammar School, I cannot do better than quote the ipsissima verba of an old 'Varsity player who knows more about the side than I do. "Excepting that we won both school matches played, we have had but a moderately successful season. Contrary to precedent, our batting was the weak point. This was largely due to the fact that neither the captain nor Joyce 'came on' as was expected. Muirhead was the best and most consistent player on the side, and Alexander and Brander both did very fairly well. The averages show that there was no tail to the team. Peel's bowling results are the best we have had for some time, and Joyce got through a lot of work very creditably. The fielding-with two or three exceptions -was excellent, Alexander and Atkinson being much above the average. Both school matches were won by excellent cricket.'

OXFORD. - Continued.

Of the six Scottish schools that compete for the championship, only three have sent me a report. Fettes, however, readily achieved the blue ribbon by drawing one match and winning three matches, our old friend the rain causing one to be abandoned. Blairlodge won two matches and lost one, "abandoned" being written against two. Trinity College, Glenalmond, had a neutral season as far as school matches went, but for the two loses bad batting was mainly responsible. As things went on. Malcolm proved himself a good scorer, likewise Clarke and Jenkinson, Daintrey being the best of the bowlers with Verel, who was especially successful in school-matches, a good second. From the others no report comes, but I note that Edinburgh Academy lost at least three games. Fettes is, however, the champion school for the second year in succession, not having lost a match in 1902 or 1903. "The chief strength of the team lay in the quality of its batsmen. Turner is undoubtedly the best all-round cricketer, but Johnstone won the proud distinction of scoring two successive centuries in school matches. The fielding, generally, was really good, the large majority having safe hands and throwing well." So "The Fettesian," and who shall gainsay it? The Blairlodge side was not strong in fielding, but rose to the occasion against Glenalmond, and improved as the year rained onwards. Russell was the best all-round player, though Noon, six times "not out," beat him in the batting averages, and Walker out-did him as a bowler. Apart from Noon and Russell the batting was only feeble, none of the rest averaging as many as 10: Hence it is not surprising to read that only to Noon, Russell, and Walker were

The following members of the Public Schools elevens will probably. I am informed, be in residence at Oxford or Cambridge in 1904:-

OXFORD.

- K. I. Nicholl, Eton. P. F. C. Williams, Eton. R. Gorell-Barnes, Harrow.
- T. R. Clutterbuck, Harrow.

first-eleven "colours" awarded.

- F. Weatherby, Winchester. A. H. Fyffe, Winchester.
- Ll. A. F. Jones, Winchester.
- G. Marsden, Cheltenham.
- G. W. Parker, Cheltenham.
- terhouse. H. J. B. Fry, Charterhouse. I. E. Snell, Charterhouse.
- G. R. T. Round, Marlborough. R. A. Bence-Pembroke, Char-G. T. Branston, Charterhouse.
- J. C. D. Tetley, Charterhouse.
- A. T. Coleby, Westminster. A. O. Snowden, Rugby.

- B. Cozens-Hardy, Rugby. W. J. Pearson, Rugby.
- A. C. Don, Rugby.
- G. J. W. Holloway, Clifton. R. F. B. Littlewood, Haileyburv.
- J. C. Ford, Haileybury.
- P. J. Reiss, Uppingham.
- J. C. Moore, Uppingham.

- A. H. Kidd, Wellington.
- E. Gordon, Rossall.
- J. L. Waggett, Tonbridge.
- J. F. Gore, Radley.
- C. B. Critchlev, St. Paul's.
- E. G. Mitchell, Shrewsbury.
- K. Perks. Merchant Taylors'.
- H. B. S. Northcote, Dulwich.

CAMBRIDGE.

- G. Aspinall. Eton.
- C. B. W. Maguay, Harrow.
- J. M. Weddell, Cheltenham.
- L. G. Colbeck, Marlborough.
- H. Logan, Westminster.
- C. Powers, Westminster.
- L. J. Spilsbury, Rugby.
- B. Meakin, Clifton.
- J. T. Brown, Clifton.
- N. C. Franklin-Smith, Uppingham.
- M. W. Payne, Wellington.
- C. L. Cameron, Wellington.
- A. V. Stanfield, Wellington.
- A. F. Morcom, Repton. J. S. Jobson, Rossall.
- E. C. Jubb, Rossall.
- J. W. Adams, Tonbridge.

- L. Bromley, St. Paul's.
- R. D. Craig, Shrewsbury. H. W. C. Tinker, Shrewsbury.
- F. P. Scott. Shrewsbury.
- M. B. Elderton, Merchant Tay-
- lors'. S. K. Mathews, Felsted.
- H. M. A. Ward, Felsted.
- W. H. Phillips, Felsted.
- D. L. Gray, Felsted.
- L. M. McLeod, Fettes.
- D. Johnstone, Fettes.
- W. H. Nash, K. S. Bruton.
- A. F. Bland, K. S. Bruton.
- H. McI. Kemp, Dulwich.
- E. D. Gregory, Dulwich. A. Bartram, Lancing.

M.	C.	C.	Matches
41-4	0.	0.	111 00001000

July 7, Epsom	M.C.C. AND GROUND	1st Inns. 209 76	2nd Inns. 	Result. Won by 133 runs. *Six wickets down.
July 7, Tottenham {	M.C C. AND GROUND TOTTENHAM	199 317*	= }	Lost by 118 runs. *Six wickets down.
July 8, Vincent Square.	M.C.C. AND GROUND . WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.	140 99	108 }	Won by 41 runs.
July 8, { Forest Hill {	M C.C. AND GROUND FOREST HILL	209 191	= }	Won by 18 runs.
July 8, Bedford	M.C.C. AND GROUND . GRAMMAR SCHOOL	309* 139	- 69†}	Won by 170 runs. *Five wickets. Deld. †Four wickets down.
July 9, Bedford	M C.C. AND GROUND . MODERN SCHOOL	. 271* . 202	= }	Won by 69 runs. *Six wickets down.
July 9, Streatham {	M.C.C. AND GROUND . STREATHAM	. 156 . 231	= }	Lost by 75 runs.
July 9, Croydon	M.C.C. AND GROUND . WHITGIFT GRAMMA SCHOOL	. 218 R . 70	- 66}	Won by an innings and 82 runs.
July 10, Bedford	M.C.C AND GROUND . COUNTY SCHOOL	. 216 . 115	= }	Won by 101 runs.
July 10, Shrewsbury	M.C.C. AND GROUND	. 336 . 84	- }	Non by an innings and 29 runs.

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—In gaining the most decisive victory in the history of games between the two Schools for nearly sixty years, Eton were mainly indebted to C. E. Hadfeild, a slow left-handed bowler. This young cricketer, who had not been given a place in the eleven that met Winchester, bowled with a nice easy action, kept a really remarkable length for a school

boy, frequently made the ball come with his arm and in the two innings of Harrow took a dozen wickets at a cost of less than eight runs apiece. Even at the one time that he met with any approach to punishment, he never became at all loose. Not since E. M. Dowson was at his best for Harrow, had so promising a bowler appeared in the Eton and Harrow match. Considering how much skill and judgment he showed, he ought—unless given too much work on plumb wickets—to have a big future before him. Eton's superiority was quite as marked in batting, for although Harrow certainly possessed a fine player in Bailey, the Dark Blues had no such set of run-getters as their opponents possessed in Nicholl, Crankshaw and Williams. Nicholl only scored 44 but he batted in most attractive style. Crankshaw, who enjoyed the distinction of making 100 in an hour and fifty minutes, did not cut much but hit finely to leg and drove in rare form while Williams, whose 89 was in some respects the best innings of the day, scored all round the wicket in delightful fashion and was especially strong on a stroke between cover-point and mid-off. In addition to the brilliant batting and skilful bowling shown for Eton, there was some very smart fielding, so the side acquitted themselves admirably at all departments of the game.

The match was practically won on the first day when Eton dismissed their opponents in two hours and a half for 115, and then in just over four hours ran up a total of 425. Harrow, who enjoyed the assistance of four old choices in Baily, Bolton, Barnes, and Phelips, never looked like putting a good score together, fully an hour passing by before a single noticeable hit was made off Hadfeild. Six wickets fell for 78 runs and though Crake and Forster by capital cricket added 35 in half-an-hour, the last three wickets went down for two runs. Only Nicholl. Williams and Campbell of the Eton eleven had previously appeared at Lord's. From the first the Light Blues played most brilliant cricket, forcing the pace to such excellent purpose that they were more than 150 runs ahead before the Harrow bowlers had any chance of settling down To begin with, Nicholl and Crankshaw hit up 87 in the first forty-five minutes. The Eton captain was then dismissed by a very fast ball from Foster, but by most punishing and attractive cricket Crankshaw and Williams added 123 runs in an hour for the second wicket, and Boles assisted Williams to put on 62 more in thirty-five minutes. Barnes (medium left) bowled with some success during the next half-hour. The game changing from 272 for three wickets to 294 for six. The batting regained the upper hand with Tod and Lucas together, these two making 64 in three quarters of an hour for the seventh wicket. Tod played most refreshing cricket but, of course, by the time he went in the Harrow bowling had lost any sting it originally possed. The last wicket yielded 30 runs in less than ten minutes, Scholfield hitting four 4's in one over. With the close of the innings stumps were drawn for the day.

Wanting 310 runs to escape a single innings defeat, Harrow on Saturday morning at first collapsed in such deplorable fashion before the bowling of Hadfeild, that within fifty minutes of the start of play, six wickets were actually down for 27 runs. To add to the desperate nature of the position Baily, receiving a severe blow on the knee, had been compelled to retire and it was not known at the moment whether he would resume his innings. Happily, he was not only able to return to the wicket but played such fine cricket that in company with Bolton he added 101 runs in an hour and a half. The spirited and skilful work displayed by these two batsmen robbed the defeat sustained by Harrow of much of the bitterness which would have attached to it had the side gone down without the semblance of a struggle. Bailey hit all round the wicket with excellent judgment but at last fell a victim to Hadfeild, driving one ball splendidly to the on and skying the next to mid off where Lambert-to the obvious relief of his colleagues—brought off the catch. Bolton, after showing strong defence for a couple of hours, was easily taken at slip and just before lunch time, with Harrow all out for 156, Eton could claim a splendid victory by an innings and 154 runs. Eton's success gave general satisfaction for the Light Blues had not beaten Harrow since 1893, while prior to that encounter their most recent success was gained in 1887, A. C. Maclaren and F. S. Jackson making their first appearance on Lord's

ground on that occasion. Among the company present on Saturday was Mr. R. J. P. Broughton, one of the trustees of the Marylebone Club, who, celebrating that day his eighty-seventh birthday, had captained Harrow against Eton, sixty-nine years previously.

HARROW.

Mr. C. B. W. Magnay b Hatfeild Mr. C. S. Ratigan c Nicholl b Scholfield Mr. W. S. Bolton b Hatfeild Mr. R. E. H. Baily c Boles b Tod Mr. R. W. P. Henery b Hatfeild Mr. R. Gorell Barnes b Boles Mr. E. H. Crake c and b Lambert Mr. F. Forster b Hatfeild Mr. T. R. Clutterbuck c Aspinall b Lambert Mr. G. A. Phelips b Hatfeild Mr. R. Foster not out	5 — b Hatfeild 1 7 — c Boles b Hatfeild 38 23 — c Lambert b Hatfeild 67 4 — b Hatfeild 7 18 — c Boles b Scholfield 1 17 — run out 0 2 — b Hatfeild 14 0 — not out 10 0 — c and b Hatfeild 0
Mr. R. Foster not out	0 — c and b Hatfeild 0 10 B 8, 1-b 2, w 1, n-b 1 12
and blight hard being a specific cost hester and blights he came as the circular	- want leaded, how seemed and the

ETON.

Mr. K. I. Nicholl b Foster 44 Mr. E.N. S. Crankshaw b Clutterbuck 100 Mr. P. F. C. Williams lbw, b Barnes 89	Mr. A. F. Lambert c Baily b Clutterbuck
Mr. D. C. Boles b Barnes 24	Phelips 26
Mr. G. C. Campbell b Barnes 10	Mr. C. E. Hatfeild not out 8
Mr. G. Aspinall b Phelips 3	B 12, 1-b 9, w 3, n-b 4 28
Mr. W. N. Tod c Clutterbuck b Barnes 59	- marging from \$12 for three wildreds to
Mr. C. E. Lucas st Baily b Magnay 23	425

ETON BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	(Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Scholfield	. 15	2	42	1		17	6	35	2
Hatfeild	. 22	8	33	5		25.3	7	58	7
Tod	. 11	4	22	1		3	1	11	0
Boles	. 6	4	7	1		4	2	6	0
Lambert	. 2.1	1	1	2		10	0	34	0

HARROW BOWLING.

Phelips	21.5	2	85	2
Barnes	18	3	81	4
Foster	15	4	70	1
Crake	8	0	42	0
Magnay	10	0	48	1
Rattigan	8	0	15	0
Clutterbuck	11	1	56	2

Umpires: W. Hearn and J. Moss.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES.

1805.	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs.	1865.	Harrow won by an innings and
1818.	Harrow won by 13 runs.		51 runs.
1822.	Harrow won by 87 runs.	1866.	Harrow won by an innings and
1823.	Eton won by an inns, and 32 runs.		136 runs.
1824.	Eton won by nine wickets.	1867.	Unfinished.
1825.	Eton won by seven wickets.	1868.	Harrow won by seven wickets.
1827.	Eton won by six wickets.	1869.	Eton won by an innings and 19
1828.	Eton won by three wickets.		runs.
1832.	Eton won by an inns. and 156 runs.	1870.	Eton won by 21 runs.
1833.	Harrow won by eight wickets.	1871.	Eton won by an innings and 77
1834.	Harrow won by 13 runs.		runs.
1835.	Eton won by 165 runs.	1872.	Eton won by six wickets.
1836.	Harrow won by nine wickets.	1873.	Harrow won by five wickets.
1837.	Eton won by eight wickets.	1874.	Eton won by five wickets.
1838.	Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs.	1875.	Unfinished.
1839.	Eton won by eight wickets.	1876.	Eton won by an innings and 24
1840.	Eton won by 31 runs.	and the same	runs.
1841.	Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs.	1877.	Unfinished.
1842.	Harrow won by 65 runs.	1878.	Harrow won by 23 runs.
1843.	Harrow won by 20 runs.	1879.	Unfinished.
1844.	Eton won by an innings and	1880.	Harrow won by 95 runs.
	69 runs.	1881.	Harrow won by 112 runs.
1845.	Eton won by an innings and	1882.	Unfinished.
	194 runs.	1883.	Unfinished.
1846.	Eton won by an innings and	1884.	Unfinished.
	135 runs.	1885.	Harrow won by three wickets.
1847.	Eton won by nine wickets.	1886.	Eton won by six wickets.
1848.	Harrow won by 41 runs.	1887.	Eton won by five wickets.
1849.	Harrow won by 77 runs.	1888.	Harrow won by 156 runs.
1850.	Eton won by seven wickets.	1889.	Harrow won by nine wickets.
1851.	Harrow won by eight wickets.	1890.	Unfinished.
1852.	Harrow won by 71 runs.	1891.	Harrow won by seven wickets.
1853.	Harrow won by three wickets.	1892.	Harrow won by 64 runs.
1854.	Harrow won by 98 runs.	1893.	Eton won by nine wickets.
1855.	Harrow won by an innings and	1894.	Unfinished.
	66 runs.	1895.	Unfinished.
1858.	Harrow won by an innings and	1896.	Unfinished.
	7 runs.	1897.	Unfinished.
1859.	Harrow won by an innings and	1898.	Harrow won by nine wickets.
	48 runs.	1899.	Unfinished.
1860.	Unfinished.	1900.	Harrow won by one wicket.
1861.	Unfinished.	1901.	Harrow won by ten wickets.
1862.	Eton won by 64 runs.	1902.	Harrow won by eight wickets.
1863.	Unfinished.	1903	Eton won by an innings and
1864.	Harrow won by an innings and	dilles	154 runs.
	67 runs		TAWEDRAR A

Seventy-eight matches have been played, of which Eton have won twentynine, Harrow thirty-three, and sixteen have been drawn. This is the generally
published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being
treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no
more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857, for boys under twenty, which
has been rejected. Fourteen three-figure innings have now been played in
matches between the schools, as follows:—E. Bailey, 152 in 1841; T. G. O. Cole,
142 in 1897; A. K. Watson, 135 in 1885; A. W. Ridley, 117 in 1871; C. P. Foley,
114 in 1886; W. F. Forbes, 113 in 1876; A. W. T. Daniel, 112 not out in 1860; C. J.
Ottaway, 108 in 1867; E. Crawley, 100 in 1885; R. B. Hoare, 108 in 1888; J. H.
Stogden, 124 in 1895; and H. C. Pilkington, 101; B. J. T. Bosanquet, 120, both
in 1896; and E. N. S. Crankshaw, 100 in 1903.

July 11, Brentwood {	M.C.C. AND GROUND Brentwood	1st inns. 196 111	2nd inns }	
July 11, Wellington {	M.C.C. AND GROUND WELLINGTON COLLEGE	266 150*	= }	Drawn. *Three wickets down.
July 13, 14, Market Drayton {	M.C.C. AND GROUND MARKET DRAYTON	114 136	$\frac{195}{263}$ }	Lost by 90 runs.
July 13,	M.C.C. AND GROUND BATH COLLEGE	261* 89	58 †	Won by 172 runs. *Five wickets. Deld. †Two wickets down.
July 14, Weybridge {	M.C.C. AND GROUND OATLANDS PARK	181 89	- 96 }	Won by 92 runs.
$\begin{array}{c} \text{July 14,} \\ \text{Canterbury } \dots \end{array} \bigg\{$	M.C.C. AND GROUND KING'S SCHOOL	228* 331†	=}	Drawn. *Four wickets down. †Nine wickets. Declared.
July 15, 16, Chelford	M.C.C. AND GROUND GENTLEMEN OF CHESHIRE	106 147	89*}	Drawn. *Three wickets down.
July 15, Hendon	M.C.C. AND GROUND MILL HILL SCHOOL	306* 96	= }	Won by 210 runs. *Two wickets down.
July 15, { Uxbridge	M.C.C. AND GROUND UXBRIDGE	296* 250	=}	Won by four wickets and 46 runs. *Six wickets down.
July 16, Bellingham {	M.C.C. AND GROUND MERCHANT TAYLOR'S SCHOOL	223* 54	- ,	Won by 169 runs. *Eight wkts. down.Dcld.
July 16, 17, St. Albans	M.C.C. AND GROUND HERTFORDSHIRE	135 195	24 }	Lost by an innings and 36 runs.
July 17, 18, Sandiway {	M.C.C. AND GROUND SANDIWAY	195 358	99*}	Drawn. *One wicket down.
	M.C.C. AND GROUND ROYAL NAVY	316 69	101*}	Drawn. *Seven wickets down.
July 18, Willesden Green {	M.C.C. AND GROUND SOUTH HAMPSTEAD	49*	= }	Drawn. *Three wickets down.
July 18, Horley	M.C.C. AND GROUND	224 167*	= }	*Eight wickets down.
	M.C.C. AND GROUND BANSTEAD	130 53	25*}	Won by 77 runs. *Two wickets down.

		1st	2nd	Result.
July 20, 21, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND ROYAL ARTILLERY			Won by three wickets. *Seven wickets down.
July 20, 21, 22, Crystal Palace {	M.C.C. AND GROUND LONDON COUNTY	360 204	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 86\\245*\end{array}\right\}$	Lost by seven wickets. *Three wickets down.
	See London Coun	aty Ma	tches.	
July 20, 21, Bury St. Edmunds {	M.C.C. AND GROUND BURY AND W. SUFFOLK	49 124	74 150	Lost by 151 runs.
July 20, 21, ∫	M.C.C. AND GROUND WARWICKSHIRE GEN- TLEMEN	194	138	Drawn.
warwick	TLEMEN	108	-)	
July 22, 23, Leamington {	M.C.C. AND GROUND LEAMINGTON TOWN	254 95	= }	Drawn.
July 22, 23, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND HOUSEHOLD BRIGADE	314 172	210*}	Drawn. *Nine wickets down.
July 22, Woodford Wells. {	M.C.C. AND GROUND WOODFORD WELLS	316* 148	=}	Won by six wickets and 168 runs. *Four wickets down.
July 22, Sideup	M.C.C. AND GROUND SIDCUP	264 164	=}	Won by 100 runs.
July 23, 24, Stamford {	M.C.C AND GROUND BURGHLEY PARK	2 69 50	<u>-</u> }	Won by an innings and 101 runs.
July 23 Orpington	M.C.C. AND GROUND GODDINGTON	176* 88†	<u></u> }	Drawn *Seven wickets. Dcld. *Three wickets down.
July 24, Coventry {	M.C.C. AND GROUND . COVENTRY AND N WAR- WICKSHIRE	76 71	36* _ }	Won by five runs. *No wicket down.
July 24, 25, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND ROYAL ENGINEERS	152 237		Lost by nine wickets. *One wicket down.
July 25, Rugby	M.C.C. AND GROUND RUGBY TOWN	261* 90		Won by five wickets and 171 runs. *Five wickets down.
July 25, Hampstead {	M.C.C. AND GROUND	55 197	77 }	Lost by an innings and 65 runs.
July 25,	M.C.C. AND GROUND	249* 110	=}	Won by 139 runs. *Seven wkts.down. Deld.

71.4	N	0	77
LVI.	U.	U.	Matches.

July 25, Windsor {	M.C.C. AND GROUND	inns.		Result. Won by 43 runs
July 27, 28, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND GRANGE CLUB	12* 69	= }	Drawn. *No wicket down.
July 27, Colchester {	M.C.C. AND GROUND COLCHESTER CLUB	77*	= }	Drawn. *Five wickets down.
July 27, 28, Lincoln	M.C.C. AND GROUND LINCOLN LINDUM	98 125	103*}	Drawn. *Four wickets down.
July 28, Norbury {	M.C.C. AND GROUND NORBURY PARK	63*	= }	Drawn. *Four wickets down.
July 29, Croydon {	M.C.C. AND GROUND CROYDON		}	Drawn. *Two wickets down.
July 29, Beddington {	M.C.C. AND GROUND BEDDINGTON	85* 100		Drawn. *One wicket down.
July 29, Cheltenham {	M.C.C. AND GROUND . EAST GLOUCESTERSHIRE	245 88	${59}$ }	Won by an innings and 98 runs.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 29, 30.—As rain prevented any ringed to LORD'S, wearnessely, Thursday, July 29, 30.—As rain prevented any cricket on the Wednesday there was never much chance of this Schools' game being played to a finish, but in the full day's play that took place on Thursday all the honours went to Rugby, and at the end Marlborough with only a couple of wickets to fall were but 53 runs on. Cozens-Hardy, Harrison and Tripp batted admirably for Rugby, who declared their innings with a lead of 97, but failed to get their rivals out in the last two hours. Snowdon, the captain, carried off the bowling honours for Rugby who were assisted by six old choices, while of Marlborough's team ten were new to the match.

MARLBOROUGH.

Mr. G. R. J. Round b Harrison	15 - c Harrison b Snowdon	2
Mr. N. W. Milton lbw, b Snowden	8 — c Cozens-Hardy b Harrison	22
Mr. L. G. Colbeck c Owen b Cozens-Hardy	45 — not out	62
Mr. E. O. Evans b Tripp		
Mr. A. P. Scott c Stutchbury b Snowden	. 16 — b Harrison	12
Mr. H. M. Butterworth b Snowden	0 — b Snowden	3
Mr. D. V. Cote not out	. 20 — b Snowden	5
Mr. H. J. Goodwin b Snowden		
Mr. L. Parker c Harrison b Snowden		8
Mr. N, T. White c Pearson b Snowden		
Mr. L. Woodroffe b Snowden		00
B 1, 1-b 1	2 B 12, 1-b 6, w 4	22
	, the production of the profession in	-
	118	150

RUGBY.

Mr. A. O. Snowden c Celbeck b	Mr. W. J. Pearson b Colbeck 7
Woodroffe	Mr. L. J. Spilsbury b Colbeck 4
Mr. R. M. Chadwick b Goodwin 2	Mr. A. L. S. Owen not out 4
Mr. B. Cozens-Hardy c Goodwin b	Mr. A. C. Don b Scott 2
Evans 32	B 10, 1-b 2 12
Mr. H. N. P. Sloman c Milton b Evans 11	Hamust of three mades are a con-
Mr. W. P. Harrison b Scott 55	*215
Mr. G. C. Tripp c and b Colbeck 63	Mr. G. H. Stutchbury did not bat.
* Innings deci	lared closed.

RUGBY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	. (vers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Cozens-Hardy	. 7	2	17	1		11	4	20	0
Snowden	17.4	4	42	7		18	7	33	3
Harrison	. 10	2	22	1		14	2	42	2
Tripp	. 4	0	20	1		2	0	14	0
Owen	4	0	12	0		4	1	9	1
Spilsbury	1	0	3	0		5	1	10	1
		MARI	BOROUG	H Boy	TING				

Scott	16	3	45	2	
Woodroffe	14	4	36	1	
Goodwin	10	1	55	1	
Colbeck	9	1	26	3	
Evans	10	2	34	2	
Parker	4	2	7	0	

Umpires: J. Carlin and W. Attewell.

July 30, Chiswick {	M C.C. AND GROUND CHISWICK PARK	1st inns. 133 97*	2nd inns }	Result. Drawn. *Eight wickets down.
July 31, Surbition {	M.C.C. AND GROUND SURBITON	117 75	=}	Won by 42 runs.
July 31, Aug. 1, { Lichfield {	M.C.C. AND GROUND	126 111	95 115*}	Lost by five wickets. *Five wickets down.
July 31, Aug. 1, { Hunstanton {	M.C.C. AND GROUND	83 123	43 5*}	Lost by ten wickets. *No wicket down.

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 31, August 1.—By scoring 206 against 122 and then dismissing one Cheltenham boy for 30 runs in the third innings on the opening day, Haileybury obtained so great an advantage that their victory seemed assured on the Saturday, but thanks to Taylor and Weddell, and later on to Marsden, the captain, the western school fairly pulled the game round, and Haileybury were set 127 to win. This, as it happened, proved too great a task, for, though 40 went up with only one man out, Weddell's bowling was altogether too good for the others.

Assisted by the supert wicker-keeping of Taylor gether too good for the others. Assisted by the smart wicket-keeping of Taylor, he dismissed seven batsmen for 21 runs, and was largely instrumental in Cheltenham's meritorious victory by 49 runs.

Mr. H. R. Malden b Heym Mr. H. C. B, Taylor c and Mr. E. B. Maxwell b Bigne Mr. J. I. Piggottibw, b H Mr. J. M. Weddell c Bevir Mr. P. B. Wallis c Bignell Mr. V. F. Samuelson c and Mr. G. Marsden c Frewer Mr. R. T. H. MacKenzie b Mr. C. T. Brett c Bond bl Mr. G. W. Parker not out. B 5, 1-b 1, w 2	b Heymann ell b Grylls eymann gton b How b Grylls b Grylls	1 21 0 13 13 25 24	- c Bond - b Ford. - c and b - c Bigne - c Bond - c Frewe - c Grylls	Bignell ll b Heyman b Heyman er b Heyma	annannann	78 7 35
		122				210
	Пл	LEYBURY				210
Mr. H. V. Bevington c Ma Mr. A. F. B. Littlewood b Mr. A. Howard c Taylor b Mr. W. G. Heymann run o Mr. G. N. Bignell c Taylor Mr. E. Lee b Marsden Mr. I. R. B. Bond b Marsd Mr. C. H. Frisby c Samue Mr. J. C. Ford c Taylor b Mr. F. Frewer c Taylor b Mr. W. M. Grylls not out	lden b Marso	den 56 -	- c Taylor	r b Wedde r b Wedde ell	lllldellckenzie.	22 11 8 0 0 3 14 7 0 5 4
		-				
		200				77
	HAILEYBU	RY Bow	LING.			
Overs	1 18	5 2 5 0 2 1	28 19 17 14	10 4 1 3 3 5	67 32 18 49 16	Wkts. 5 1 1 1 1 1 1
	~	Frewe	F Z	0	5	1
Brett 18	CHELTENE 4 61	AM ROW	LING.			
Weddell 4 Marsden 22.1 Mackenzie 12 Malden 7 Parker 2	0 26 5 63 6 21 0 23 0 11	0 6 2 0 0	18 13. 5	.3 2 2	4 21 41 7	0 7 1 1
o in prior	S. W. LLOUELL	dilu v. 2	a. Hoomin	141 511.		
Mr. L. O. Calback of Verses	idh raalke	10	t 2nd	Ho		
		1s inr	is. inns.	P	Result.	
August 1, M.C.C. High V	AND GROUND VYCOMBE	21	8 149* 1	Won b	by 94 run ickets do	
August 1. M.C.C. MAIDE	and Grouni	20	00 - }	Won h	y 18 run	s.
August 3, 4, M.C.C.	AND GROUND SCHOOLS	18	32 99 78 85 }	Won k	oy 68 run	s.

Angust 8 4	M C C AND GROUND	1st 2nd inns. inns. 220 8*)	Result. Won by ten wickets.
Bournemonth {	M.C.C. AND GROUND BOURNEMOUTH	90 136	*No wicket down.
August 3,	M.C.C. AND GROUND	187 —	Won by 54 runs.
Henley-on- Thames	HENLEY	133 -	Won by 34 runs.
Thamos	1 1 000 100	ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	
August 5, 6,	M.C.C. AND GROUND	308 —)	Drawn.
Bath	Lansdown	340 64* 5	*Eight wickets down.
Amonat E C (M C C AND GROUND	144 160)	Lost by 112 mins
Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND HERTFORDSHIRE	174 252*	Lost by 113 runs. *Seven wickets declared.
1	M C C C	004 005#)	Drawn.
Righen Stortford	BISHOP STORTFORD	279 76+	*Eight wickets declared.
) promotor de	M.C.C. AND GROUND BISHOP STORTFORD	210 101)	†Five wickets down.
	Note that the same of the same		
Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND DORSET	$\{28 - 238 \mid 193\}$	and 2 runs.
Dord's			SERVE A STATE OF STREET
August 7, 8,	M.C.C. AND GROUND NORFOLK	107 94 }	Lost by 100 runs.
Norwich \	Norfolk	183 118 5	host by 100 raiss
A au a t 7 0 (M C C AND CROUND	129 220)	Lost by nine wickets
Egerton Park	M.C.C. AND GROUND EGERTON PARK	200 152*	Lost by nine wickets. *One wicket down.
		,	
August 10, 11, f	M.C.C. AND GROUND ISLE OF WIGHT	109 -)	Drawn. *Eight wickets down.
Newport \	ISLE OF WIGHT	178* - }	*Eight wickets down.
Angust 10 11 (M C C AND GROUND	137 —)	Won by 79 runs.
Huntingdon	M.C.C. AND GROUND HUNTINGDON	58 72*	*Three wickets down.
		TO TO TA	
August 10, 11, ∫	M.C.C. AND GROUND FOLKESTONE	166 -)	Won by an innings
Folkestone \	FOLKESTONE	37 72	and 57 runs.
Anomat 11 (M C C AND GROUND	184* -)	Won by 146 runs.
Witham	M.C.C. AND GROUND WITHAM	38 -	*Four wickets down.
August 12, 13, [M.C.C. AND GROUND WILTS.	143 135 96 187	Lost by five runs.
Lord's	WILTS	96 187	RHEQ To four charges and those
August 13,	M.C.C. AND GROUND	268 10*)	Won by ten wickets.
Hereford	HEREFORDSHIRE	119 158	*No wicket down.
The state of the s	100	7	Drawn.
August 14, 15,	M.C.C. AND GROUND NORFOLK	93 105*	*Nine wkts. Declared. †Eight wickets down.
Lord's	NORFOLK	61 71+ 5	†Eight wickets dowh.
Coleman			
August 14, 15,	M.C.C. AND GROUND SIDMOUTH	136 236*	Drawn.
Sidmouth	SIDMOUTH	135 121†	Prawn. Six wickets. Declared. Three wickets down.
G. J. Thomason			The same of the sa
August 14, 15,	M.C.C. AND GROUND	171 69*	Drawn.
Littlehampton	M.C.C. AND GROUND LITTLEHAMPTON	145 143† /	*Four wickets down. †Five wickets. Declared.
the total conservation	4 4-4	,	TATO HICKORS. DOCIATOU.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1903.

The following are the principal Public School Matches played last summer, with the exception of those that took place at Lord's, which are given in the M.C.C. review.

EPSOM COLLEGE v. LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

Played at Epsom, Saturday, May 16.—The match was left drawn.

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

H. H. A. Cooke c Cooper b Cannell 6 W. Manning b Neild 1 E. R. Stagg c and b Cannell F. G. de B. Edwards b Neild 1 H. V. Noble c Neild b Cooper 2 J. F. Barton b Neild R. E. A. Ingram b Cannell *Innings of	Cannell
EPSON	College.
F. S. Cannell c Evans b Parr 2	6 . A Richardson
W. Eggar b Edwards 1 G. E. Neligan b Cooke	T. W Cooper did not bat.
C. Gibson b Cooke	T G Gibson
C. F. O. Sankey b Cooke S. Stretton not out.	
C. W. Neild not out	4
Byes, &c	
to the state of th	

LANCING COLLEGE v. LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

Played at LANCING, Wednesday, May 20.—Leatherhead failed to make any stand before Campbell's bowling, and were easily beaten, Lancing obtaining the necessary runs to win for the loss of five wickets. Campbell took eight wickets for 42 runs.

LEATHERHEA	D SCHOOL.
H. H. A. Cooke c Mathieson b Campbell	0 — not out 97
w. manning b Campbell	13
F. G. de B. Edwards lbw. b Campbell	4 — run out.
H. V. Noble b Campbell	16
E. R. Stagg b Campbell	0
R. R. R. Fletcher c Mathieson b Campbell	3
J. F. Barton b Campbell	5 - h Wede
D S A Ingram was and	0 - D Wall 2
R. S. A. Ingram run out	4 — not out 4
E S. Turner c Mathieson b Campbell	18
A. O. Evans b Greenfield	12
E. A. Parr not out	O a Mathiagan h Danton
D	0 — c Matmeson o Bartram 1
Byes, &c	4 Byes, &c 12
	79 51
	01

LANCING COLLEGE.

C. E. Brisley c Noble b Cooke 3 B. E. Mathieson c Manning b Cooke 73 T. A. Campbell b Edwards 1 H. C. Greenfield run out 4 K. H. M. Macalaster c Manning b	H. Bartram c Ingram b Evans 16 M. Custance c Fletcher b Evans 1 F. W. Ground lbw, b Evans 0 L. C. Sprake not out 19 Byes, &c. 5
Cooke 0	nd sal sin walwollor sal -
C. H. Wade c Edwards b Cooke 3 P. A. Chasemore lbw, b Evans 10	185

FOREST SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Saturday, May 23.—Merchant Taylors won by 39 FOREST SCHOOL

CHOOL	
14 — run out 1 — c Elderton b Viner 6 — lbw, b Viner 5 — st M. Elderton 1 — c Wells b Reeves 6 — st M. Elderton 24 — run out	1 14 34 7 2 4
0 T)	
	14 — run out 1 — c Elderton b Viner 6 — lbw, b Viner 5 — st M. Elderton 1 — c Wells b Reeves 6 — st M. Elderton 24 — run out 2 1 4 — lbw, b Reeves

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

LEYS SCHOOL v. BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.

Played at Cambridge, Tuesday, May 26.—Bedford declared their innings with seven wickets down, but lost the match, Leys hitting off the runs in fine style.

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.

L. Parbury b Pepper	21 P. 22	Grant not out	b Brown	3
G. B. Steytler b Tebbutt E. H. Saffery c Hosken b Brown G. Claridge not out	32 11 R.	THOMAS TROITS	*1 } did not bat.	84
111111112	a acciaron	CIUBCU		

LEYS SCHOOL.

J. E. Meller b Holmes-Brown 60 H. E. Tebbutt st Money-Kent b Parbury 57 W. A. Pepper c Steytler b Parbury 29 D. Brown b Holmes-Brown 27 H. Hoskin b Holmes-Brown 4 J. G. Bennett not out 22 Byes, &c. 14	O. M. Edwards R. J. Arnold R. A. Roes F. G. Curson H. H. Scott
--	--

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Croydon, Wednesday, May 27.—Cranleigh won by 122 runs, Stride batting splendidly for nearly two hours.

WHITGIFT SCHOOL

WHITCHI	SOHOOD.	
A. R. Henman c Young b Chandler	34 — not out	26
W. B. Bannerman b Burnett		
M. C. W. Mason lbw, b Chandler	5 — c Stride b Gale	37
G. A. Ellis c Young b Gale	18 — b Burnett	2
J. Bentham c Young b Chandler	8 — b Gale	0
T. Bentham b Chandler		
W. H. Barley b Gale	0 — c Stride b Young	10
H. Johnson c Gutteridge b Chandler	13 — not out	0
T. H. Towell b Gale		
E. H. Carr b Chandler		
R. Bannerman not out	0	
Byes, &c	8 Byes, &c	12
		_
	94	91
CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL.	

CRANLEIGH	School.
E. T. Gale b W. B. Bannerman 6 F. Winsloe lbw, b R. Bannerman 1 W. O. Stride b J. Bentham 110 R. Baskett b W. B. Bannerman 4 P. C. Burnett c R. Bannerman b W. B. Bannerman 1 R. G. Chandler b Mason 29 W. H. Young c Ellis b W. Bannerman 16	H. B. G. Russell b T. Bentham

MERCHISTON SCHOOL v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at Merchiston, Saturday, May 30.—Rain caused the match to be left drawn. Johnstone played a fine innings, and K. Macleod hit well.

FETTES C	COLLEGE.	
G. Turner run out 39 D. Johnstone not out 103 J. A. Herriot b Tennaut 10 T. E. M'Intyre c Milne b Tennaut 4 K. G. Macleod not out 52 Byes, &c. 20	L. M. Macleod D. J. T. Jamieson H. B. Lumsden W. J. Peters G. Macdonald J. Burt-Marshall	did not bat.

F	ublic	School	Matches.
-		~ Croot	LIA CUUCIOCO.

MERCHISTON SCHOOL.

A. K. Tennant G. Makay G. Milne A. Macdonald H. Cook W. M'Millan J. Hamilton

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Lancing, Saturday, May 30.—Lancing College won by 152 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL.

C. G. Toone c Briseley b Campbell H. G. Landeschooten b Campbell A. D. Ellis c Mathieson b Campbell H. T. Wickham b Campbell	5 — b Wade	11
G. B. Jackson b Wade	6 — c Chasemore b Wade	0
H. C. Partridge b Campbell R. J. Allen not out	2 — not out 2 — run out	15 10
J. Phillp b Campbell H. R. Ford c Mathieson b Campbell Byes, &c.	6	1
	10	99

	10	99
C. E. Briseley c Ellis b Wickham A. E. Mathieson c Gurd b Wickham J. A. Campbell b Phillp L. C. Sprake c Toone b Ford H. Wade c Wickham b Ford H. Bartram c Ellis b Phillp H. W. McAllister c Landeschooten b Ford	M. Castans c Allen b Phillp 6 7 F. W. Ground not out E. F. Bentley c Wickham b F Byes, &c.	2 6 lord 5 12

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, May 30.—Aldenham won by five wickets.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

K. Perks b Brachi	T. H. Elderton b Whitaker 0 A. L. Anderton lbw, b Brachi 6 C. W. Reeves b Brachi 19 M. W. Sayer not out 0 Byes, &c. 21
The state of the s	125

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

S. E. Christie b Wells	W. J. Balcombe W. L. Whitaker H. Sayler L. Brachi did not bat.
126	

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at Bedford, Wednesday, June 3 .- Bedford won by 101 runs.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

J. M. Joyce c Kiddle b Kemp 0 M. S. Brander c Kiddle b Knox 63 T. S. Muirhead c Bain b Knox 19 B. H. Peel lbw, b Kiddle 15 J. G. Milton c Knowles b Wigg 30 E. O. Mackwood c Northcote b Knox 24 E. B. Atkinson st Northcote b Wigg 18 G. P. Alexander st Northcote b Wigg 0	L. A. Carter not out
--	----------------------

DULWICH COLLEGE.

- 01112012	00112110111
H. B. S. Northcote b Joyce 18 N. A. Knox c McCullum b Webb 17 V. J. Stack c Mackwood b Webb 13 A. C. Kiddle b Webb 5 B. W. Bain c McCullum b Joyce 0 C. N. Pike b Joyce 4 R. B. Firth c McCullum b Webb 1	J. B. Krabbe b Joyce

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Leatherhead, Wednesday, June 3.—St. John's won very easily, being 66 runs on with three wickets in hand at the finish.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL

ORANDEIGH	SCHOOL
E. T. Gale run out	H. W. Young b Cooke
R. G. Chandler b Cooke	120

Public	School	Match	res.

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

H. H. A. Cooke b Burnett 0 A. O. Evans b Young 50 W. Manning c Taylor b Burnett 12 H. V. Noble c Stride b Young 36	E. R. Stagg not out			
F. G. de B. Edwards c Taylor b	J. F. Barton			
Chandler	W. J. C. Armstrong did not bat.			

DULWICH COLLEGE v. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, June 6, and resulted in a win for Tonbridge by 46 runs.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

T. B. C. Piggott b Knox H. S. Watford b Knox C. F. Sadler b Kemp N. L. Colley e Wigg b Knox W. B. Lethbridge b Kiddle G. S. Bryon-Brown b Knowles J. L. Waggett lbw, b Wigg D. R. Soloman b Knox J. W. Adams not out E. T. Price b Kemp	23 — b Kemp
H. S. Finch b Kemp Byes, &c.	9 Byes, &c
	.31

DULWICH COLLEGE

DULWICH	COLLEGE,
M. R. Yeo b Solomon 7 N. A. Knox c Colley b Solomon 22 H. B. S. Northcote b Solomon 0 W. J. Stack c Waggett b Price 11 J. A. C. Kiddle b Price 4 J. H. Forbes not out 7 J. M. Wigg b Solomon 0	C. F. Krabbé run out

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at Mill Hill, Saturday, June 6.—Mill Hill won by 32 runs.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

H. Willitt b Ewen G. Paterson b Bramall	22	— lbw, b l	Ewen		27
W. Pickwood lbw, b Bramall					
R. E. Oliver b Bramall	1				
K. R. B. Walker b Bramall	5				
R. Marsden c Bramall b Penny					
E. C. Oliver b Ewen	49	- not out			6
J. Osbourn b Penný	2				
J. T. Webb b Bramall	2				
N. D. T. Oliver b Bramall	0	- not out			69
O. Major not out	7				
Byes, &c		Byes,	&c.		4
	_				
A PARTIE D. WEITER P.	133			Od rollbaseff) . 5 1	06

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

IPSWICH SCHOOL v. NORWICH SCHOOL.

Played at Ipswich, Saturday, June 6.-Ipswich won by 112 runs.

NORWICH SCHOOL.

NORWICH	
F. N. Davis c Quilter b Wood. 12 N. W. Stevens b Raynor 68 P. D. Latham b Wood 0 B. Morgan c Wrinch b Raynor 24 R. M. Jacob b Wrinch 30 K. Campbell b Dunnett 12 E. Downton c Quilter b Wrinch 17	G. A. Stevens st Gooding b Wrinch H. Grimwade b Wood
IPSWICH	School.
C. F. Quilter b Davis 47 F. P. Wood b Davis 18 H. S. Wrinch run out 4 K. Raynor b Davis 6 J. Bellamy c Davis b Latham 71 S. C. T. Gooding b Davis 67 W. H. Dunnett run out 0	C. P. Badshah b Latham 20 C. J. Brown b Jacob

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, June 9.—Canterbury won by an innings and 86 runs.

DOVER COLLEGE.

E. J. B. Tagg c Hitchcock b Prest T. A. Digby b Hawkes D. D. Napper b Hawkes E. G. Munns b Prest W. E. Digby c Huyshe b Hawkes L. Dawes b Strahan J. Nicholson c Tuke b Hawkes J. Vance b Strahan J. L. Laurence b Hawkes D. H. Crassy not out	15 1 1 6 3 3 0 3 4	- c Bovenschen b Tuke. 7 - c Bovenschen b Tuke. 7 - b Green 5 - not out 4 - st Huyshe b Tuke 13 - c Anderson b Gillibrand 2 - b Prest 6 - c Green b Hawkes 6 - c Anderson b Hawkes 14 - c Anderson b Hawkes 14	3 3 2 0 0 1
D. H. Creasy not out	2	Byes, &c	7
	50	89	9

KING'S SCHOOL

KING'S	SCHOOL.
F. C. Bovenschen lbw, b Munns 3 J. L. Tomlin c Vance b Munns 15 F. R. Hawkes c Napper b Munns 9 J. M. Tuke c Nicholson b Tagg 42 H. J. Prest c Champion b Tagg 2 E. C. Green b Dawes 20 D. K. Anderson c Napper b Tagg 12	O. F. Huyshe c Creasy b Dawes

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at Uppingham 27 runs.	, Thursday,	June	11.—Repton	won	by an	innings	and
------------------------------	-------------	------	------------	-----	-------	---------	-----

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

N. C. Franklin-Smith c Parkin b Greswell L. Carr c Morcom b Greswell B. W. Dent c Morcom b Crawford G. S. Hurst c Greswell b Price P. J. Reiss c Longbourne b Price H. Tufnell b Crawford H. F. R. Hearson b Crawford J. Swindells b Crawford H. R. Wimble b Crawford B. A. Johnson c Young b Crawford H. Martia not out Byes, &c.	16 — c Mark b Crawford 0 — c Young b Price 38 — b Price 50 — b Crawford 0 — c Morcom b Price 0 — c Mark b Greswell 0 — c Morcom b Greswell 0 — c Meyer b Crawford	26 1 0 1 5 11 1 4 2
if Jr. Sadles h Kamp and parti.	Too and the same of the same o	
	126	48

1011101	SCHOOL.
R. H. Longbourne b Hurst 17 R. A. Young not out 129 J. N. Crawford b Johnson 18 E. C. Greswell c Martin b Hurst 33 N. V. C. Turner c Carr b Reiss 27 A. F. Morcom b Reiss 15 C. S. Meyer b Swindells 24	E. W. Page c Franklin-Smith b Reiss 0 A. L. Mark b Swindells 11 R. C. Parkin b Swindells 0 W. L. Price lbw, b Swindells 4 Byes, &c. 18

IPSWICH SCHOOL v. BURY ST. EDMUNDS SCHOOL.

Played at IPSWICH, Thursday, June 11.—Ipswich won by 30 runs.

IPSWICH SCHOOL.

C. F. Quilter c Taylor b F. Wise 32 F. S. Wood b Scott	C. P. Badshah lbw, b Taylor 0 C. J. Brown c Taylor b F. Wise 10 P. R. S. Walford not out 2 E. C. Kaufmann b Scott 8
W. M. Dunness D. F. W186 23	115

BURY SCHOOL

A. D. Taylor run out	F. J. Wise not out 24 A. S. Clarke st Gooding b Wrinch 2 R. T. Oakley b Raynor 1 C. W. Callis b Quilter 0 Byes, &c. 4

FELSTED SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at Felsted, Friday, Saturday, June 12, 13.—The match was left drawn.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

F. B. Hitchcock b Wells	G. Stoddart st Elderton b Hammond
-------------------------	-----------------------------------

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

L. F. Hammond b Gray	3 0 26 10	E. H. Elderton R. H. Wells F. Sanders R. Wimbush N. W. Sayer	did not bat.
Byes, &c.		dilwol d ans	

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL v. GLENALMOND COLLEGE.

Played at Polmont, Saturday June, 13.—Blair Lodge won by 72 runs.

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL.

C. Noon c Watson b Vérel	H. Hollway b Malcolm 16 W. H. Pallett b Malcolm 0 W. C. A. Gray not out 3 F. Beattie lbw, b Vérel 0 Byes, &c. 4
GLENALMOND A. H. P. Clark c Russell b Walker. 16 1	COLLEGE. H. H. Smith c Pallett b Russell 0
H. W. Malcolm c Renny b Russell. 0 V. A. McKillop run out 0	G. M. H. Ogilvy c Noon b Russell 0 R. Vérel not out 2
R. C. Cunningham run out	G. R. Allen run out 0
A. J. Wilson b Russell	Byes, &c
5. II. Johnmon C Gray D Walker 4	41

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Wednesday, June 17.—There was an exciting finish Cheltenham winning by two wickets.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

G. R. J. Round lbw, b Weddell A. P. Scott b Marsden D. V. Coote hit wkt, b Lamotte L. E. Colbeck c Peel b Mackenzie H. M. Butterworth b Parker J. H. Baines c and b Parker E. O. Evans c Lamotte b Parker N. W. Milton not out C. H. Fair c Taylor b Parker N. T. White c Marsden b Parker W. H. Jowitt c Taylor b Parker	19 24 3 7 8 12 0 3 4	- b Weddell - c Parker b Weddell - b Weddell - b Weddell - c Taylor b Peel - c Peel b Parker - c and b Weddell - not out - b Lamotte - c and b Lamotte	3 0 0 10 1 0 6 8 0
Byes, &c.	1	Byes, &c.	2
	95	E. Anderton b C. H. Matthews.,	65

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

M. G. Salter b Jowitt	0 - c White b Scott	28
H. C. Tennant c Evans b Jowitt	3 — b Fair	5
E. B. Maxwell c White b Scott	21 - c and b Evans	6
G. Marsden c Milton b Jowitt	0 — c Scott b Evans	9
V. P. Samuelson lbw, b Scott	17 — b Scott	5
J. M. Weddell run out	2 — c Scott b Fair	2
G. W. Parker b Evans	0 — hit wkt. b Scott	4
L. Lamotte b Evans	10 — c and b Scott	0
R. J. M. Mackenzie b Evans	1 — not out	4
E. J. Taylor c and b Evans	8 not out	4
D. R. Peel not out	0	-
	Byes, &c	5
		_
	62	12

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Dunstable, Wednesday, June 17.—Dunstable won by seven wickets.

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

J. Knight b Walker 0 E. B. Buttemer b Walker 2 F. Ward b Hyne 0 D. Macpherson lbw, b Hyne 2 A Brawn b Hyne 18 A. Barton b Walker 24 B. A. Goodman b Walker 2	H. Chalcroft not out
--	----------------------

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

C. D. K. Seaver b Wardle C. H. G. Smith not out W. M. Hyne b Brawn R. H. Walker b Brawn Byes, &c.	13 26 9	H. T. E. Butler F. Bunker T. R. Roberts F. S. Wilkins T.R.M. Shervington R. E. P. Sneyd S. D. Reid	did not bat.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at West Horsham, Saturday, June 20.—A good match ended in a win for Epsom by two runs.

CHRIST'S D	IOSPITAL.	
C. G. Toone b Cannell	H. C. Partridge b King. 1 V. M. Smith c C. Gibson b Neild J. R. Phellp not out. J. Ford b Neild Byes, &c. 8	3 1 0

Epsom Co	OLLEGE.
F. S. Cannell c Gurd b Ford 1 C. W. Neild b Ford 38 G E. Neligan b Ford 20 C. Gibson hit wkt, b Ford 1 S.H. Stretton st Partridge b Wickham 13 A. Richardson b Ford 1 L. K. Cooper c Gurd b Ford 8	T. G. Gibson st Partridge b Wickham W. H. Eggar b Wickham R. King b Ford G. T. Sholl not out Byes, &c. 1 87

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at Polmont, Saturday, June 20.—L. MacLeod and Jamieson hit brilliantly for Fettes, who declared with six wickets down and won by 187 runs.

FETTES COLLEGE.

D. Johnstone b Ross 38	Byes, &c 5	
G. Turner c Renny b Russell 20	The Interest of Service of Kieles or	
J. A. Herriot c Gray b Walker 27	*245	•
J. E. McIntyre c Noon b Walker 37	H. B. Lumsden	
K. G. MacLeod b Russell 9	W. J. Peters did not bat.	
L. M. MacLeod not out 69	G. MacDonald	
D. J. T. Jamieson c Hollway b Russell 40	J. Burt-Marshall	
*Innings dec	lared closed.	
BLAIR LODG	E SCHOOL.	
C. Noon b L. M. MacLeod 16	W. H. Pallett b Jamieson 0)
C. Renny b L. M. MacLeod 0	W. C. A. Gray c and b Turner 3	,
		20

DLAIR	LODGE	SCHOOL.	
C. Noon b L. M. MacLeod	0 0 15 0 3	W. H. Pallett b Jamieson W. C. A. Gray c and b Turner J. A. Ross b Jamieson F. Beattie not out Byes, &c.	3 2 0 13

MALVERN COLLEGE v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Malvern, Tuesday, Wednesday June 23, 24.—The match was left drawn, time saving Uppingham from defeat. At every point the Malvernians played well, Day's batting being admirable.

MALVERN	COLLEGE.	
N. S. Cornelius c and b Swindells F. A. Powell b Reiss	1 — c Hearson b Reiss	
G. N. Foster b Reiss E. L. Mellin b Reiss	59 — not out	95 38
R. M. Werlock c Franklin Smith b Reiss A. E. Chambers c Carr b Reiss	13 SS 0	
C. H. Bird c Hearson b Hurst. G. Fellowes c Reiss b Hurst.	14 — b Reiss 2 — c and b Martin	
I I I Paelin not out.	23 Byes, &c	6 226
	clared closed.	20
UPPINGHAM	M SCHOOL.	
L. Carr c Foster b Chambers H. F. P. Hearson b Fellowes B. W. Dent c Pearson b Chambers C. S. Hurst st Bird b Mellin N. C. Franklin-Smith b Chambers P. J. Reiss b Mellin	57 — b Chambers	
H. C. Tuffnell b Fellowes. J. Swindells run out	U — D Fellowes	0 10
	7 — c Foster b Mellin	39 8
H. R. Wimble c Foster b Pearson H. F. Martin b Chambers B. A. Johnson not out Byes, &c.	7 — c Foster b Mellin 0 — not out 0 — b Fellowes 0 — not out	39 8 0

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Wednesday, June 24.—On a slow wicket the home team won by 33 runs.

MERCHANT TAY L. F. Hammond c Duncan b Kirke 0 A. L. Anderton b Kirke 9 G. Viner b Kirke 0 C. W. Reeves lbw, b Kirke 11 H. Perks b Kirke 5 F. Saunders b Kirke 3 F. Elderton c King b Eldridge 6	E. N. Fuller st Baker b Eldridge. 11 R. H. Wells c and b Kirke 4 R. Wimbush b Kirke 2) N. W. Sagar not out 9 Byes, &c. 3
HIGHGATE HIGHGATE	SCHOOL.
H. A. Kirke c Fuller b Hammond 32 A. M. Neal c Perks b Hammond 20 A. M. Daniels c Sagar b Viner 15 A. H. Eldridge b Wells 17 A. B. Lushington c Saunders b Viner 7 H. L. Knollys b Wells 3 A. P. Sayer b Wells 3	D. C. Maclure run out 2 R. King b Wells 0 D. R. C. Duncan b Viner 3 A. Baker not out 0 Byes, &c. 12

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Cranleigh, Wednesday, June 24.—Epsom gained an easy victory.

CRANLEIGH	School.
E. T. Gale lbw, b King	H. W. Young c Evans b Neild
Epsom Co	OLLEGE.
F. S. Cannell c Burnett b Irving 13 C. W. Neild b Burnett 1 W. H. Eggar run out 12 G. E. Neligan b Young 20 C. Gibson b Young 2 S. H. Stretton c Winslow b Taylor 30 G. T. Sholl not out 35	A. Richardson c and b Taylor 4 L. K. Cooper not out 1 Byes, &c 4 122 R. King D. G. Evans did not bat.

ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at Eton, Wednesday, Thursday, June 24, 25.—After a capital match in which, on the second day, the fortunes often changed, Eton won by 43 runs. They secured an advantage on the first afternoon, getting a lead of 45 runs and then scoring 9 without loss. Winchester were ultimately set 218 to get to win, and when they had 160 up with only four men out they looked to have the game in hand. Upon Weatherby's dismissal, however, the Winchester boys completely lost their heads, and the last six wickets fell in half-an-hour for 14 runs. For Eton Nicholl batted extremely well, several of his off-drives being very powerful, while Evansfast right-hand—bowled with effect. Weatherby showed by far the best form for Winchester, making his runs in admirable style in both innings. Owing to the wet state of the Playing Fields, the match was played on the new ground called Agar's Plough. Agar's Plough.

ETON.	
K. I. Nicholl c Gordon b Evans 78 — c Pringle b Fyffe 0 J. E. E. Bankes b Evans 3 — c and b Younger 66 L. F. Lambert c Weatherby b Fyffe 13 — b Gordon 13 P. F. C. Williams b Evans 35 — c Morris b Fyffe 9 E. N. S. Crankshaw c Morrls b Fyffe 0 — c Wright b Evans 24 G. C. Campbell c Gordon b Fyffe 5 — c Morris b Evans 60 D. C. Boles lbw, b Evans 22 — c Morris b Fyffe 4 G. Aspinall c Moore-Gwyn b Younger 8 — c Wright b Fyffe 30 W. N. Todd c Morris b Evans 20 — c and b Evans 10 R. B. Scholfield c and b Evans 4 — lbw, b Evans 66 G. Brooks not out 4 — not out 0 Byes, &c. 10 Byes, &c. 10	
202	

WINCHESTER.

F. Weatherby b Tod	43 — c Nicholl b Boles 83 2 — st Aspinall b Lambert 9
E. L. Wright lbw, b Tod	6 — c Boles b Tod 29
J. H. Gordon b Tod	9 - c Crankshaw b Tod
A. V. Morris not out	53 — b Lambert
W. M. Parker c and b Lambert	7 — c Brooks b Boles
D. M. Evans c Aspinall b Scholfield	12 — not out
R. S. Pringle b Scholfield	5 — lbw. b Lambert 0
H. C. Moore-Gwyn c Williams b Scholfield	8 — c Scholfield b Lambert 0
A. H. Fyffe c Boles b Scholfield	0 — c Crankshaw b Scholfield 30
C. F. Younger c Nicholl b Lambert	3 — run out 0
Byes, &c	9 Byes, &c 9
	- 342(
The Part of the Control of the Contr	174

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

		Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	. (Overs	Mdns.	R uns	Wkts
Younger	. 26	6	48	1		30	14	42	1
Evans	. 11	6	42	6		16	7	24	4
Fyffe	. 27	13	49	3		27	11	62	1
Morris		1	18	0		-	0	14	Ô
Gordon		0	21	0		6	2	20	1
Parker		1	14	0				20	. 11
		I	ETON B	OWLING	Э.				
Scholfield	. 23	5	42	4		13	1	42	1
Brooks	. 11	2	33	1		4	1	10	Ô
Tod	. 18	4	40	3		17	4	47	9
Lambert		8	9	2		24	4	64	1
Boles		1	- 11	0		3	î	9	9
Williams		0	13	0				4	4

Of the 74 matches played Eton have won 45, Winchester 22, six have been drawn, while in 1845 the match resulted in a tie.

Umpires: J. Moss and A. Pike.

WESTMINSTER v. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at Westminster, Friday, Saturday, June 26, 27.—Thanks to a finely played innings by Bence-Pembroke, Charterhouse won by six wickets.

WESTMINSTER.

75	A. T. Coleby c Buchanan b Branston G. L. Crowe c Branston b Hooman H. Logan c Buchanan b Branston O. H. Walters b Branston R. W. Gardner c Buchanan b Allen F S. Fleuret c Snell b Branston W. J. W. Bonser b Allen L. G. Kirkpatrick c and b Allen C. Powers st Fry b Buchanan E. W. D. Colt-Williams b Buchana J. K. Hepburn not out Byes	40 - c Growse b Branston	5 8 1 8 0 1
170		170	1

CHARTERHOUSE.

a m p	or h Domons 5
G. T. Branston c Kirkpatrick b Gardner	21 — 0 Powers
C. A. L. Payne c and b Powers	37 — b Powers 0
C. V. L. Hooman b Fleuret	
R. A. Bence-Pembroke c and b Crowe	33 — not out103
H. E. Growse c Powers b Gardner	38
E. S. Cripps b Hepburn	0 — run out 45
J. N. Buchanan b Coleby b Powers	8 — b Powers 16
T. E. Snell b Gardner	1 THE S MAIN O DISWOIL A
R. H. Allen b Powers	3
J. C. D. Tetley b Powers	C. H. Friedry b Minister
H. J. B. Fry not out	2
Byes, &c	6 Byes, &c 19
Of traverse and the state of th	- Constitution of the State of
tikog 10 russ on with a militari promite 1	56 196

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Friday, Saturday, June 26, 27.—Shrewsbury won by five wickets. Humphrys in both innings bowled very successfully.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

F. H. Mugliston b Humphrys	8 — c Craig b Humphrys 19
A Peltzer c Craig b Humphrys	13 — b Humphrys 16
J. S. Jobson c Craig b Humphrys	7 — c Best b Humphrys 2
E. C. Jubb c Hall b Harrison	10 — c Horlick b Tinker 6
V. Edwards c Schute b Humphrys	
A. E. Hirman c Schute b Harrison	0 — c Horlick b Tinker 21
L. C. Vernon not out	
J. K. Lane c Horlick b Harrison	
R. C. Cutter c Best b Humphrys	
E. Gordon b Humphrys	$1 - \text{not out} \dots 1$
R. Powell st Craig b Tinker	8 — c Schute b Tinker 0
Byes, &c	0 Byes, &c 10
SOUR Y TANK TRANSPORTED	TACTION MATTER THE
	61 128

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.	
G. Steer c Jubb b Mugliston 2 — b Powell	5
E. G. Mitchell b Powell	20
R. D. Craig b Powell 6	0
J. A. Best b Mugliston 0 — not out H. W. Tinker not out 59 — b Powell	. 0
O. P. Horlick b Powell	8
T. D. Harrison b Powell 0	
G. Humphrys c Cutter b Mugliston 26	97
R. Schute c Lane b Mugliston 9 — c Gordon b Powell	21
R. S. Hall c Jubb b Mugliston 10 — b Powell Byes, &c. 13 Byes, &c. 13	1
	_
127	63

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at WELLINGTON COLLEGE won by eight wickets.	Friday, Satrday, June 26, 27.	Wellingtonn
--	-------------------------------	-------------

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

H. V. Bevington b Atch son	5
1. R. Bond b Muir 5 — c Payne b Muir	1
G. N. Bignell c Sandeman b Walsh 16 — c Payne b Atchison	4
A. Howard c Walsh b Muir 62 — b Muir	10
W. G. Heymann b Muir	0
C. H. Frisby b Mules	8
J. C. Ford b Mules	4
A. F. Littlewood b Muir 0 — c Walsh b Atchison	8
F. Frewer not out 2 — c Walsh b Muir	10
W. M. Grylls c Hunt b Atchison 14 — not out	7
J. D. Munby b Muir 9 b Muir	4
Byes, &c 11 Byes, &c	16
154	77

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

M. W. Payne c and b Bignell	51 11	- c Frewer b Heymann	5
F. A. Atchison c Beyington b Heymann	16	HOS ANOUGHNINE	
A. H. Muir b Heymann	62	Contract of the second second second	
W. H. Hunt c Bond b Heymann	68	- 5 Bevington b Bignell	4
M. A. Frisby b Bignell	1		
D. R. Hannay b Bignell	0		
J. R. Wynter c Frewer b Bignell	2		
T. F. Sandeman b Bignell	11	— not out	7
H. M. Mules c Grylls b Heymann	6		
A. H. Kidd not out	6		
Byes, &c	21	Byes, &c	0
	_	Edwards e Schute b Humphrys	-
	209		23

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, June 27.—St. Paul's won by 200 runs Wakeling batted extremely well.

ST. PAUL'S. SCHOOL.

B. M. Wakeling not out 102 R. H. Scott b Morrice 59 H. Power b Rose 17 E. C. Reid b Rose 0 L. Bromley b Morrice 18 G. B. Critchley not out 51 V. R. Munton c and b Shallow 21 Byes, &c. 25	R. W. Harding E. P. Stamper G. C. Smyth L. S. Waterall
*293	

*Innings declared closed.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

G. E. de Smidt b Stamper 4	J. S. Morrice b Smyth
D. S. Shallow b Stamper	E. Bates b Smyth 1
A. L. Rose b Smyth 8 W. B. Blatch lbw, b Waterall 22	C. A. Crawford not out 0 Byes, &c 12
F. P. Klinkhardt b Waterall 0 F. J. Bartley b Stamper 7	93

MERCHISTON SCHOOL v. GLENALMOND COLLEGE.

Played at Merchiston, Saturday, June 27.—Glenalmond won a good match being 20 runs on with a wicket in hand at the close.

MERCHISTON SCHOOL.

J. G. Stewart c Wilson b Malcolm. 1 R. S. Sanderson c Wilson b Daintrey 5 M. Walter b Vérel. 43 J. Hamilton b Vérel 59 A. Tennent b Daintrey 22 G. Mackay b Malcolm 7 A. Macdonald c Clarke b Vérel 1	J. Tennent b Daintrey 0 A. Mackill c Daintrey b Vérel 8
---	---

GLENALMOND COLLEGE.

GLENALMONI	COLLEGE.
S. N. Jenkinson c Cook b Stewart. 11 G. M. H. Ogilvy run out 10 A. H. S. Clarke c Walter b Stewart 55 H. W. Malcolm c Cook b Hamilton. 36 V A McKillop c Cook b Stewart 6 R. C. Cunningham run out	J. M. S. Daintrey c Mackay b Hamilton
	210

ST. DUNSTAN'S COLLEGE v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at Catford, Saturday, June 27.—St. Dunstan's were much the better team and won by 69 runs.

ST. DUNSTAN'S COLLEGE.

A. E. Davies b Lipscombe 1 E. J. Riches not out	S. R. Ryder b Smith
H. G. Nettleton c Point b Lipscombe 3 J. C. Muriel b Lipscombe 46	Byes, &c 16
F. J. Covell b Lipscombe 0 N. W. Matthews b Borbver 2 D. J. Blomfield b Lipscombe 1	W. R. Crocker E. N. Andrade did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL.

G. M. Rose b Riches 0	Corelli lbw, b Covell	5
Manby run out 4	French b Nettleton	0
Brewer lbw, b Covell 1	Smith run out	3
Blundell not out 19	Lee c Muriel b Covell	
Borbyer c Riches b Covell 0	Byes, &c	20
Lipscombe b Riches 1	C. Rousmer mot out	_
Russel c Matthews b Covell 1		58

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL.

Played at Leathernead, Saturday, June 27.—Leatherhead won by 41 runs. Cooke took eight wickets for 41 runs.

CHRIST'S H	IOSPITAI	
C. G. Toone c Noble b Edwards		19
H. G. H. Landeschoofen c Noble b	0	
A. D. Ellis b Cooke. H. T. Wickham b Cooke	3 — not out	36 7
G. B. Jackson c Turner b Cooke	12	i
R. H. Gurd b Cooke H. C. Partridge c Stagg b Cooke	5 1 — not out	
F. W. Stewart lbw, b Cooke V. M. Smith b Cooke	1 — not out	15
J. de R. Phillp b Cooke H. R. Ford not out.	0	
Byes, &c	10	- 5
	99	77
LEATHERHEA	D SCHOOL.	
H. H. A. Cooke b Phillp 10 E. S. Turner run out 10	A. O. Evans not out	12
J. F. Barton b Phillp 3 H. V. Noble c Phillp b Gurd 35	W. J. C. Armstrong b Wickham 1	15
F. G. de B. Edwards c Ellis b Wickham	Byes, &c.	
R. R. R. Fletcher b Wickham 18	W. Malcolm c Ocols in Hamilton, 36	40
E. R. Stagg run out 2	C. Cormingham ron but	ŧU

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 27.—The match was left drawn.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

H. Willett st Bourner b Berry 47	R. M. Marsden b Marris 33
G. Paterson b Simpson 67	K. Walker not out 7
W. A. Pickwood b Berry 10	T. Osborne not out 2
E. Oliver lbw, b Berry 12	Byes, &c 30
N. D. T. Oliver c Bourner b Berry 23	O , Milliosegue d Isria B. A. C
R. E. H. Oliver run out 13	*269
O. F. Major b Berry 25	F. Wedgewood did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

C. C. Simpson b N. D. T. Oliver 8 H. Litchfield c Paterson b Marsden 18	H. A. Palfreyman b Pickwood 20 S. P. Wood b N. D. T. Oliver 1
C. M. Barton b Paterson 0	J. A. Clouston not out 4
R. W. Marris lbw, b N. D. T. Oliver 53	Byes, &c 3
H. A. Taylor b Pickwood 27	La byer a Riches b Covell 0
A. C. Bourner not out 52	188
P. K. Berry b Walker 2	C. E. Lears did not bat.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL.

Played at RAEBURN PLACE, EDINBURGH, Saturday, June 27.—Blairlodge won by 15 runs. EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

E. G. Graham run out N. G. Paulin b Walker J. L. Mounsey c and b Russell J. H. Milligan c Ross b Russell J. H. B. Snith b Russell C. Anderson c Walker b Dickinson F. G. Falconer c Noon b Russell L C. M. Bell b Dickinson H. S. Dickson not out R. T. Weir c Renny b Walker A. Burn-Murdock c Ross b Walker Byes, &c.	\$ — b Walker 6 — run out 0 — c Renny b Walker 0 — c Gray b Walker 8 — not out 17 — c Pallett b Walker 0 — c Noon b Walker 8 — c Noon b Walker 0 — c Bealtee b Walker 0 — not out 6 — Byes, &c.	6 14 1 17 5 0 10 1 0 5
	71 Danieli a Anderson b Tullest	62
BLAIR LODG	E School.	
C. Noon lbw, b Mounsey	J. A. Ross c Dickson b Mounsey W. A Gray hit wkt, b Falconer. W. H. Pallett c Paulin b Falconer F. Bealtee c and b Mounsey E. Barlow not out	1 6 1 7 0 — 86

BRADFIELD COLLEGE v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Bradfield, Saturday, June 27, and won by the home team by 180 runs.

Bradfield College.				
P. Nesbitt b Worsley 1 J. P. Head c Baker b Phipps 23 G. V. Lindsell b Worsley 89 R. W. Ling c Phipps b Bradshaw 18 C. M. Thornhill b Worsley 3 H. A. Robinson b Phipps 30 R. Bannerman b Worsley 13 G. B. Howell not out 32	F. C. L. Robertson c Bradshaw b Phipps			
RADLEY	COLLEGE.			
H. H. K. Worsley b Thornhill J. W. Bradshaw lbw, b Nesbitt J. R. Hartwell b Nesbitt F. N. Fox c Head b Nesbitt R. H. J. Williams b Lindsell E. B. A. Wood c Howell b Thornhill J. F. Gore b Thornhill H. J. Merriman b Nesbitt A. Clover b Thornhill	0 9 — not out			
R. N. Baker not out				
P. C. Phipps b Nesbitt				

76

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. SUTTON VALENCE SCHOOL.

Played at Canterbury, Tuesday, June 30.-King's School won by 183 runs. Prest batted superbly, and the bowling of Tullock was admirable.

KING'S	SCHOOL.	CANTERBURY.

J. L. Tomlin c Urmston b Champion 8 C. R. Lermit c Tabrum b Sauer 5 F. R. Hawkes c Sauer b Champion 5 F. C. Bovensehen b Champion 0 J. R. Prest not out 120 E. C. Green b Champion 16	O. F. Huyshe b Pitt 82 D. K. Anderson lbw, b Pitt 9 J. R. Tullock b Pitt 2 A. Gillibrand b Sanderson 20 Byes, &c. 23
J. Bassett c Sauer b Champion 6	296

SUTTON VALENCE SCHOOL.

P. W. Tabrum c Prest b Tullock L. Sanderson c Prest b Tullock H. S. Champion b Tullock J. S. Daniell c Anderson b Tullock T. G. Pitt b Tullock D. G. S. Urmston b Tullock F. A. Thew c and b Tullock R. H. Richardson b Tullock E. Hamblock run out J. M. Druce b Green P. Sauer not out	23 0 23 — c Huyshe b Green 11 — Green 5 16 6 — not out 0 — c Tullock b Lermit 14 — b Bassett 5 — not out	10 21 6
1. Batter not out	5 — not out 10 Byes	17
Weekness 50	118	65

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played on the County School Ground, Wednesday, July 1.—The County School gained a substantial victory by 197 runs. Steytler batted well, and Parbury bowled effectively.

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL.

L. Parbury c Willett b Pickwoad	G. Claridge c and b Pickwoad 44 G. Horwitz c Webb b Pickwoad 4 P. Allen c N. Oliver b Marsden 6 A. Cores not out 2 W. G. Rossell c Patterson b Marsden 2 Byes, &c 15
E. H. Saffery b Pickwoad 18	287

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL

BLODERN CCHOOL.	
K. Walker b Bainbridge J. T. Webb c Bainbridge b Parbury O. F. Major c and b Parbury J. Osborn not out Byes, &c. Byes, &c.	9 1 6 2
2	9 K. Walker b Bainbridge 5 J. T. Webb c Bainbridge b Parbury 9 O. F. Major c and b Parbury 9 J. Osborn not out 2 Byes, &c.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

Played at Highgate, Wednesday, July 1.—St. John's gained a capital victory, Edwards bowling well, and Stagg playing a good innings.

HIGHGATE	School.
A. M. Neal lbw, b Edwards 4	N. J. Cox b Edwards 0
D. S. Maclure c Fletcher b Cooke 13	J. R. S. Duncan c Stagg b Edwards 13
R. King b Edwards 34	B. W. Thurlow not out 1
E. H. M. Eldridge c Stagg b Edwards 6	A. Barker b Edwards 0
A. B. Lushington b Cooke 15	Byes, &c 4
G. R. Sayer c Stagg b Cooke 6	_
H. L. Knollys b Edwards 17	113
LEATHERNE	AD SCHOOL.
H.H.A. Cooke cMaclure bLushington 11	W. Manning c Knollys b Eldridge 10
E. R. Stagg not out 96	E. S. Turner b Eldridge 7
R. E. A. Ingram lbw, b Eldridge 6	A. O. Evans not out 1
H. V. Noble b Eldridge 8	Byes, &c 9
F. G. de B. Edwards st Barker b	
Eldridge 0	163
R. R. R. Fletcher b Duncan 15	Wells
J. F. Barton b Duncan 0	F. C. Lawrence did not bat.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Played at West Horsham, Saturday, July 4.—After some heavy scoring the match was left drawn.

Brighton	COLLEGE.	
A. L. S. Rose b Partridge	R. A. Smith W. B. Blatch T. J. Bartley J. D. Fuller F. P. Klinkhardt J. S. Morrice C. A. Cranfurd	did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

CHRI	ST'S	HOSPITAL.	
	3	G. B. Jackson	
	37	F. W. Stewart	
	81	V. M. Smith	

did not bat. P. W. Spendlove H. G. Landeschooten not out 25 J. de R. Phillips Byes, &c. 15 H. R. Ford 173

BATH COLLEGE v. WEYMOUTH COLLEGE.

Played at BATH, Saturday, July 4, and left drawn.

BATH C	
P Richards c Sill b Durden 40	Wilton c Rose b Bragge 0
Jones b Bragge	Bartelt b Patey
Kemble b Durden 4	Earwaker not out 0
Vere-Erewalwyn c Mackintosh b	Byes, &c 28
Patey 61 Martin b Howard 0	I I ywati d baso velyst O M 3
May b Bragge 22	265

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE.

C. P. G. Rose c Vere-Erewalwyn b Hull	Byes, &c 23
J. Sill b Richards 16 E. C. Durden c Kemble b Richards 6	187
R. T. Howard b Bartelt 6 M. R. Patev b Richards 38	C. Beal R. W. Howard
W. Mackintosh not out. 24 W. Bragge not out 2	N. Meeson L. Hilditch

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, July 4.—Bedford Grammar School won, after an exciting finish, by 11 runs.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

M. S. Brander c M. B. Elderton b Wells 2 T. S. Muirhead b Wells 17 A. J. Weller run out 13 F. M. Joyce b Wells 20 E. O. Mackwood c Hammond b Wells 12 J. G. Milton lbw, b Wells 0	G. P. Alexander c Fuller b Reeves 79 C. B. Atkinson lbw, b Reeves 6 D. H. Peel b Sayer 13 J. E. N. Webbe not out 22 M. McCullum c Sayer b Wells 8 Byes, &c. 19 211
MERCHANT TAY	LORS' SCHOOL.
K. Perks b Joyce	R. H. Wells st Milton b Joyce 1 R. Wimbush run out 1 M. W. Sayer b Peel 17 Byes, &c 9

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at Cranleigh, Wednesday, July 8 .- Cranleigh won by 57 runs.

L. F. Hammond c Muirhead b Peel 9
T. H. Elderton not out 19
C. W. Reeves c Brander b Peel . . . 3

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

F. A. Briggs b Burnett 0 K. W. Leslie b Chandler 37 C. D. V. Bussy c Stride b Chandler 45 E. A. Knight lbw, b Blake 8 C. C. Bliss c Burnett b Blake 8	B. King b Burnett
W. F. R. Hardman b Chandler 0 L. D. Leuw c Winsloe b Burnett 25 P. W. Schutter c and b Chandler 11	HW .v HOELLOO HTAG
CRANLEIGE	SCHOOL.
E. T. Gale run out	E. E. Smart not out

REPTON SCHOOL v. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at Repton, Thursday, Friday, July 9, 10.—Repton won by an innings and 58 runs. They laid the foundation of their success on the first day, when, after making 458, they dismissed five of their opponents for 68.

REPTON SCHOOL.

R. A. Young c and b Fellowes 89 H. R. Longbourne c Mellin b Chambers 26 J. W. Crawford c Chambers b Mellin 27 E. A. Gresswell b Day 158 N. Y. C. Turner c and b Fellowes 3	E. W. Page b Day 63 A. L. Mark c Chambers b Day 6 P. C. Parkin c Bird b Day 7 W. L. Price not out 10 Byes, &c. 36
C. S. Meyer st Bird b Fellowes 25 A. F. Morcom b Fellowes 8	458

MALVERN COLLEGE.

F. A. Powell b Crawford	0 — c Young b Gresswell 19
J. O. B. Saunders lbw, b Morcom	20 — c Morcom b Gresswell 2
A. P. Day b Crawford	0 — b Morcom 40
G. N. Foster retired, hurt	14 — absent —
N. S. Cornelius b Crawford	2 — b Gresswell108
A. E. Chambers b Morcom	19 — c Young b Crawford 1
E. L. Mellin b Crawford	17 — c Parkin b Crawford104
C. H. Bird b Crawford	0 — b Morcom 8
R. R. Byas b Crawford	10 — c Parkin b Crawford 3
H. Simms b Crawford	0 — b Morcom 5
G. Fellowes not out	
Byes, &c	9 Byes, &c 16
	92

LORETTO SCHOOL v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at Pinkie, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—Fettes gained a splendid victory by an innings and 119 runs. For the winners the brothers McLeod batted finely, their partnership realising 167 runs, while Jamieson had the remarkable bowling record for the match of eleven wickets for 27 runs.

FETTES COLLEGE.

G. Turner b Kerr 10 D. Johnstone b Kerr 4 J. A. Herriot c Gillespie b Kerr 26 J. E. McIntyre b Kerr 31 K. G. McLeod c Gillespie b Kerr 84	G. Macdonald b Kerr
L. M. McLeod b Kerr	291

Pu	11	in	Sa	ha.	7	14	Tai	101	600
I W	UU	w	DU	noo	6	W	cel	UI	les.

LORETTO SCHOOL	
M. J. D. Kerr c Turner b Muir 15 — b Muir R. J. Dunderdale c Harper b Jamieson 12 — b Jamieson J. Ure b Jamieson 1 — b Jamieson P. L. Hunting c and b Muir 4 — c Johnstone b Jamieson J. H. Farmer b Jamieson 2 — st Harper b Muir D. L. Gillespie b Jamieson 6 — b Jamieson N. MacNeill c Burt-Marshall b Muir 3 — b Jamieson T. A. Godby b Jamieson 16 — b Turner G. H. Heywood b Jamieson 13 — st Harper b Muir T. R. King st Harper b Muir 0 — not out S. G. Millar not out 0 — run out Byes, &c. 7 Byes, &c	6 0 0 2 0 87 0 30 2
	~

ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

Played at Aldenham, Saturday, July 11.—The match ended in a draw.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

E. G. Hewetson not out 76 W. A. Gray c Turner b Edwards 27 J. A. Slater b Edwards 5 A. P. Gray lbw, b Edwards 42 A. G. Seymour c Ingram b Edwards 10 L. T. Reid not out 32 Byes, &c 19	W. T. Balcombe G. M. Allman W. L. Whitaker L. Brachi A. H. Sale
*211	Byes, &c

* Innings declared closed.

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL.

H. H. A. Cooke b Whitaker	E. S. Turner not out
F. C. Lawrance c W. Gray b Brachi 23 R. E. A. Ingram b W. Gray	W. T. C. Armstrong C. F. Dawson-Smith did not bat.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Brighton, Saturday, July 11, and resulted in a win for the home team by 35 runs.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

D. S. Shallow c Howell b Greenfield 39 G. Belcher c Ground b Greenfield 12 G. E. de Smidt c Mathieson b Greenfield	F. J. Bartley run out F.P.Klinkhardt c Sprake b Stephens J. D. Fuller not cut C. A. Craufurd c Stephens b Green- field Byes, &c.	11 0

C. B. Howell lbw, b Rose C. E. Brisley b Rose B. E. Mathieson c and b Rose H. C. Greenfield b Rose J. A. Campbell c Rose b D. Shallow K. H. Macalaster st Klinkhardt b	24 0 6 13 25	COLLEGE. L. Stevens c de Smidt b Rose 0 F. W. Ground c Klinkhardt b Fuller 14 L. C. Sprake not out 8 H. Bartram b de Smidt 1 Byes, &c
D. Shallow		117

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 22.—Mill Hill won by 62 runs.

WELLINGBOROUGH	GRAMMAR SCHOOL.
C. C. Simpson b Bramwall	. 15
H. Litchfield b Peill	17
H. W. Tayler b Bramwall	. 2 — st Bramwall b Peill 10
R. W. Marris lbw, b Bramwall	0 - c and b Peill
A. C. Bourner b Bramwall	8 — c Dare b Penny 30
C. M. Barton b Bramwall	1 — b Penny 12
P. K. Berry c Morgan b Ewen	. 21 — not out 0
T. P. Wood lbw, b Ewen	5 — c Dare b Peill 6
H. W. Palfreyman b Ewen	12 - b Peill 0
J. W. Clouston b Ewen	7 — b Peill 0
C. E. Sears not out	0
Byes, &c	
	- 13 9 9
	97 83
MILL HII	LL School.
J. L. Macalpine c and b Berry 1	R. E. T. Peill lbw, b Berry 0
K. Bramwall st Bourner b Berry 15	J. F. Ewen c Taylor b Sears 20
L. W. Taylor st Bourner b Berry 4	W. M. Penny b Marris 21
W. A. Dare c and b Berry 24	W. B. Carmley not out 1
J. R. Dick e Bourner b Sears 8	Byes, &c 8
D. L. Morgan c Bourner b Berry 44	
R. C. Taylor b Marris 13	159
I two for maplified Pl. L.	J. L. Mounear v. K. to defined by

WATSON'S COLLEGE, EDINBURGH v. BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL.

Played at Myreside, Edinburgh.—Blair Lodge won quite easily.

WATSON'S COLLEGE.

J. J. M'Naughton c Noon b Walker J. D. Wallace c Barlow b Walker J. K. Will c Beattie b Russell A. W. Angus run out H. Wright b Dickinson A. H. Forbes b Ross A. F. Wilson b Russell	6 A. H. Porter b Ross 2 5 I. M. Morris c Dickinson b Ross 0 12 D. T. Angus not out 0 15 Byes, &c. 2
C Noon not out	Lodge School.

C. Noon not out	76 12 25 53 10	SCHOOL. J. A. Ross W. C. A. Gray F. Beattie J. W. Imrie F. S. Barlow E. Martin	did not be	at.
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	90	E. Martin		

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at West Kensington.—Dulwich won by 144 runs.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

B. M. Wakeling b Knox	9 — b Wigg	1
E. C. Reid b Knox	9 — b Wigg	6
H. Power b Wigg		
V. R. Munton b Knox	2 — c and b Knowles	3
R. H. Scott b Knox	0	
L. Bromley st Purves b Knox	9 c Kiddle b Wigg	17
C. B. Critchley c Wigg b Knox	4 — c Kiddle b Wigg	5
R. W. Harding st Purves b Wigg	0 — not out	4
G. C. Smyth c Purves b Knox	0	
E. P. Stamper run out	7 Shanning of the rest of the learning of	
L. S. Waterall not out	7	
Byes, &c		4
01 111	Mawinarit d nordining to the	_
	67	60
10 - 2 - et Bramwall b Palli 10	a	

DULWICH COLLEGE.

W. J. Stack c Harding b Stamper . 2 N A. Knox st Harding b Stamper 63 H. B. S. Northcote b Waterall . 30 G. T. Gillespie run out 3 T. A. C. Kiddle b Wakeling 24	R. Thorburn b Stamper 0 K. Mc. J. Kemp run out 4 A. B. Knowles not out 3 P. F. Purves b Stamper 13 Byes, &c. 39
T. M. Wigg b Stamper	211

FETTES COLLEGE v. EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

Played at Fettes.—Thanks to a fine innings by Johnstone, Fettes won very EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

E. Graham c and b L. M. McLeod 15 N. G. Paulin c Herriot b Jamieson 7 J. L. Mounsey c K. G. McLeod b	A. L. Urquhart b Burt-Marshall . 22 J. C. M. Bell run out
Jamieson 20 F. L. Falconer b Jamieson 6 H. S. Dickson b Jamieson 0 J. H. B. Smith b Burt-Marshall 12 C. Anderson c Turner b L. M. McLeod 1	F. R. Fraser b Burt-Marshall 0 Byes, &c

FETTES C	College.
G. Turner c Paulin	D. J. T. Jamieson c Graham b Smith 0 W. J. Peters c Paulin b Smith 11 G. Macdonald c Fraser b Smith 0
J. E. McIntyre c Bell b Mounsey 28 K. G. McLeod b Graham 17 H. B. Lumsden lbw. b Anderson 4	J. Burt-Marshall not out
L. M. McLeod b Anderson 9	236

AVERAGES.

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings.		Runs.		st in an nings.	Times ot out.	Average.
L. Parbury	. 7		243		70	 0	 84.71
A. E. Holmes-Brown .			180		52	 1 .	30.00
G. C. Claridge			142		44	 2	 28.40
J. Bainbridge	. 7		180		72	 0	 25.71
G. B. Steytler			172		85	 0	 24.57
G. H. Milton			97		32	 0	 16.16
C. Houvitz			33		13	 1	 11.00
A. Cores			80		16	 1	 10.00
E. H. Saffery			47		18	 2	 9.40
P. J. Allen		h	22	157.50	6	 0	 4.40
W. G. Rossell			2		2	 2 .	1.00

BEDFORD COUNTY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wickets		Average
C. Harwitz	32.3	 3	 78	 9		8.66
J. Bainbridge		 14	 114	 7		16.28
L. Parbury	186	 43	 503	 29		17.34
A. E. Holmes-Brown .	. 134.2	 39	 354	 16	• •	22.12
G. B. Stevtler	30	 1	 139	 2		69.50

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs]		Times not out.		Average.
T. S. Muirbead			256		44 .			25.60
G. P. Alexander	. 9		177			. 1		22.12
J. G. Milton			184	• • •		. 1		16.72 15.91
F. M. Joyce		***	191 174		63 .	. 2		14.20
J. E. N. Webb			83	•	28* .			18.83
C. B. Atkinson			97			. 1		12.12
E. O. Mackwood		0.10	80		24 .	. 1	••	10.00
D. H. P eel			66	• •	15	. 0		7.33
	*	Sig	nifies n	ot or	it.			

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	M	aidens	Runs	V	Vickets	O	Average
D. H. Peel	122		36	 312		25		12.48
J. E. N. Webb			4	 209		12		17.41
F. M. Joyce			38	 548		24		22.83
J. G. Milton			9	 179		6		29.83

Public	School	Averages.

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	lost in	an I	imes	
	Innings		Runs		innings	s no	ot out	Average
C. Noon	. 18		361		76		6 .	. 30.08
C. W. Russell	. 16		351		79		2	. 25.07
F. Dickinson	. 11		87		25		2 .	. 9.66
H. E. Walker	. 14	-	102		23	-CA	0	. 7.28
C. Renny			112		49			. 6.58
H. Hollway	. 13	100	66		18		1	. 5.50
N. A. Coward	. 13		66		28	1	0 .	. 5.07
F. Beattie	. 11		27		7			. 3.00
W. C. A. Gray	. 16		37		8	87	2	. 2.06
W. H. Pallett	. 13		27		15		0 .	. 2.07
J. A. Ross			15		4	* * * * * *	2 .	1.50

BLAIR LODGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	M	Taidens	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
F. Dickinson	40		7	 101		10		10.10
J. A. Ross			6	 149		13		11.46
C. W. Russell			14	 563	7.15	32	772.0	17.59
H. E. Walker			36	 582		37		15.72
N. A. Coward	81		13	 252		10		25.20

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

In	nnings	Runs	ost in an	Times not out	Average
R. G. Cruwys	13	484	 103*	 1	 40.33
H. C. Brooks	14	425	 104*	 2	 35.41
C. T. A. Wilkinson	14	365	 79	 0	 26.07
E. A. Seymour		228	 82	 2	 22.80
R. L. Bryant		101	 49	 2	 14.42
F. W. Little		136	 54*	 1	 11.33
J. W. Hearn		107	 20	 1	 9.72
L. C. C. Rogers		43	 11	 0	 6.14
	10		 11	 3	 5.85
H. P. Nell	10	37	 11	 3	 5.28

* Signifies not out.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	N	Laide	ns	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
R. G. Cruwys	. 101		17		281	 23		12.21
H. C. Brooks	. 187		38		532	 37	1009	14.38
C. T. A. Wilkinson	. 107		13		361	 23		15.69
H. P. Nell	. 99		16		364	 19	10.0	19.15
F. W. Little	58		9		159	 8	and in	19.87

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	ost in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. E. de Smidt		 300	 48	 2	 27.27
G. Belcher		 216	 96	 0	 27.00
D. S. Shallow		 305	 105	 0	 23.46
A. L. S. Rose		 255	 74	 1	 21.25
R. A. Smith		 191	 58	 1	 19.10
A. W. Shallow		 134	 34	 0	 10.30
F. J. Bartley		 85	 19*	 1	 8.50
W. B. Blatch		 89	 31	 1	 8.09
J. D. Fuller		 33	 18	 8	 6.60
F. P. Klinkhardt		 35	 11	 8	 5.00
C. A. Cranfurd	. 7	 9	 4	 1	 1.50

^{*} Signifies not out.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	M	laider	ıs	Runs	V	Vicket	3	Average
A. L. S. Rose			49		776		50		15.52
G. Belcher	. 111		13		423		15		28.20
R. A. Smith	. 35		1		151		5		30.20
J. D. Fuller			3		255		6		42.50
G. E. de Smidt	. 62		10		265		6		44.16
A. W. Shallow	. 59		2	00.00	270		4		67.50

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

			Mo	ost in an		Times		
	Innings	Runs	j	innings	1	not out		Average
G. T. Branston	. 12	 708		144		3		78.66
C. A. L. Payne	. 12	 408		112		2		40.80
H. E. Growse	. 8	 157		85		1		22.42
C. V. L. Hooman	. 8	 134		71		2		22.23
R. A. Bence-Pembroke.		 177		103*		1		22.12
E. S. Cripps	. 8	 133		45		1	1.0	19.00
I. E. Snell	. 2	 17		16*		1		17:00
J. N. Buchanan		 124		32		1		15.50
J. C. D. Tetley	. 3	 43		36		0		14.33
H. J. B. Fry	. 5	 25		17		1		6.25
R. H. Allen	. 5	 17		9		1		4.25

^{*} Signifies not out.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

				The same of the same of	1		-	
	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	W	ickets		Average
J. N. Buchanan	63	 13		167	11.0	11		15.18
G. T. Branston	199.3	 29		666		30		22.20
J. C. D. Tetley	58.3	 6		233		7		32.28
R. H. Allen	94.3	 24		270		8		33.75
C. V. L. Hooman	19	 2		105		3		35.00
I. E. Snell	30	 5		81		2		40.50

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

In	nings		Runs	Times 1	not out	Average
E. B. Maxwell	12		376		1	34.18
H. C. B. Taylor	4		131		0	32.75
M. G. Salter			304		0	30.40
G. Marsden	12		262		0	21.83
C. T. Brett		100	66		2	16.50
J. M. Weddell	12		143		1	13.00
V. F. Samuelson			151		0	12.58
R. T. H. Mackenzie	10		91		2	11.37
G. W. Parker	10		64		2	8.00
J. E. J. Taylor	7		40		1	6.66
H. R. Malden	3		20	A	0	6.66

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs		Wickets		Average
J. M. Weddell	405		33		12.27
G. Marsden	303		15		20.29
R. T. H. Mackenzie	192		9		21.33
C. T. Brett	326		15	The second	21.73
G. W. Parker	162	*	7		23.14

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Most in an Times											
	Innings		Runs		innings	ne	ot out	Average				
W. O. Stride	. 13		507		150	936.776	0 .	39.00				
E. T. Gale	. 13		240		71		0 .	. 18.46				
P. C. Burnett			170		72*		1 .	. 14.16				
G. M. C. Taylor	. 12		137		47		0 .	. 11.41				
H. W. Young			110		35		0 .	. 11.00				
T. E. H. Hewitt			107	0	31		0 .	. 10.70				
F. Winsloe			117		38		0 .	. 10.52				
R. G. Chandler			124		44*		1 .	. 10.33				
	*	Si	gnifies 1	not on	t.							

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING

	Overs	M	faidens	3	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
R. G. Chandler .	279.1		63		706		55		12.83
P. C. Burnett	192.5		22		573		28		20.46

DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.

semiT I	nings		Runs		lost in an innings	n	Cimes ot out		Average
N. A. Knox	12		373		105		1		33.90
W. J. Stack	12		207		50		0		17.25
A. B. Knowles			101		37		6		14.42
H. B. S. Northcote			124		30		0		11.27
J. A. C. Kiddle			134	4.	27		0		11.16
R. Thorburn		-	28		22		2	0	9.33
E. D. Gregory			58		21		0		8.28
K. Mc I. Kemp			66		37		1		8.25
M. R. Yeo			67		16		0		6.70
G. J. Gillespie			26		19		0		6.50
J. M. Wigg			45		17		1	bl. m	6.42

DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	licket	S	Average
J. M. Wigg	67.2	 15	 230		19		12.10
N. A. Knox		58	 622		47	dou.	13.23
A. B. Knowles	65	 9	 246		17		14.47
J. A. C. Kiddle	42.1	 4	 157		8		19.62
K. Mc I. Kemp	118.2	 23	 354		15		23.60
W. J. Stack		 2	 146		5		29.20

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

				M	ost in a	n '	Times		
	Innin	gs	Runs	i	nnings	11	ot ou	t	Average
G. C. Campbell	6		190		60		2		47.50
E. N. S. Crankshaw	5		203		100		0		40.60
W. N. Tod	4		115		59		1		38.33
K. I. Nicholl	. 8		275		78		0		34.37
P. F. C. Williams	6		169	4	89		0		28.18
D. C. Boles	. 8		156		47		2		26-00
A. F. Lambert			187		98		0	1	23.37
G. Aspinall	. 5		94		49		0		18.00
C. E. Lucas	. 2		27		23		0		13.50
C. E. Hatfeild			10		8*		1		10.00
R. B. Scholfield	. 5		46		26	. • •	0		9.20

* Signifies not out.

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. E. Hatfeild	69.3	 16	 165	 16	 10.03
W. N. Tod	77.4	 13	 219	 16	 13.68
D. C. Boles		 8	 68	 3	 22.66
R. B. Scholfield	146	 23	 430	 18	 23.88
A. F. Lambert	102,1	 19	 343	 14	 24.53

Public School Averages.

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

				M	ost in an	1	Times	
In In	nning	S	Runs	_ j	innings		not out	Average
D. Johnstone	17		540		109		4	 41.53
G. Turner	18		518		75*		2	 32.37
J. E. McIntyre	18		374		51		4	 26.71
L. M. McLeod			279		77	٧	2	 23.25
J. A. Herriot	15		288		75		1	 20.57
K. G. McLeod	15		260		84		2	 20.00
H. Harper	4		41		21		1	 13.66
J. Burt-Marshall	S		48		21*		4	 12.00
D. J. T. Jamieson	13		127		40		1	 10.58
G. M'Donald	5		35		22		0	 7.00
J. H. Muir	4		6		2*		2	 3.00
		*Sign	ifies not	out.				

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets		Average
D. J. T. Jamieson		 110	 529	 69	13.	7.66
L. M. McLeod	238.2	 75	 587	 37		15.86
G. Turner		 14	 167	 10		16.70
J. Burt-Marshall		 14	 306	 18	1.0	17.00
J. H. Muir	52	 1	 190	 11		17.27

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

UNI	Innings	Runs	ost in ar	Times not out	Average
G. J. Willans		 831	 186	 1	 55.40
T. V. Somerville	. 16	 425	100*	4	 05 47
G. Aylward	. 6	 91	 31	 3	 00 00
P. Golding	. 10	 172	 50	 1	 19.11
C. G. Russell	. 12	 184	 39	 1	 16.72
R. E. Lincoln	. 13	 197	 46	 1	 16.41
R. D. Inskip	. 5	 78	 37	 0	 15.60
S. May	. 8	 83	 31*	 2	 13.83
H. Hoyland	. 11	 88	 21*	 2	 9.77
R. Whymper	. 10	 49	 18	 2	 6.12
N. B. Challenor	. 9	 44	 16	 1	 5.50

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
N. B. Challenor	72	 16	 210	 18	 11.60
C. G. Russell	115.2	 32	 238	 20	 11.90
R. D. Inskip	63.2	 10	 182	 16	 11.37
G. J. Willans	482	 25	 527	 36	 14.63
R. Whymper	21	 4	 92	6	 15.33
P. Golding	66.4	 14	230	 14	 16.42
H. Hoyland	35.2	 4	 124	 7	 17.71
G. Aylward	24	 7	 66	 2	 33.00

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		st in an nings	Cimes ot out		Average
A. Howard	. 12		308		62	 0		25.66
E. Lee	. 5		94		33*	 1		23.50
G. D. Munby	. 6		106		57*	 1		21.20
H. V. Bevington	. 12		204		56	 0		17.00
G. N. Bignell			181		62	 1		16.45
W. G. Heymann		1	162	100	61	2		16.20
I. R. B. Bond	. 12		186		55	 0	1. TO	15.50
F. Frewer	. 7		74		23*	 2		14.80
H. F. B. Littlewood .			126		28*	 2	Billy 18	14.00
C. H. Frisby	. 12		131		80	 0		10.91
W. M. Grylls	. 8		80		18	 0		7.50
J. C. Ford	. 8		58		30	 0		7.25
		* Si	gnifies n	ot or	ıt.			

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average	
W. G. Heymann	257	 68	 518		43		12.04
G. N. Bignell	. 195	 25	 378		21		18.00

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nnings		Runs	N	Iost in an innings	Times not out	Average
R. G. Barnes	11		273		86* .	. 4	 39.00
R. E. H. Baily	10		250		61 .	. 0	 25.00
C. B. W. Magnay	10		189		53 .	. 0	 18.90
W. S. Bolton	8		148		68 .	. 0	 18.50
R. W. P. Henery			144		69 .	. 1	 18.00
C. S. Rattigan			176			. 0	 17.60
T. R. Clutterbuck			105		37 .	. 2	 13.12
E. H. Crake			34		18 .	. 0	 11.33
R. Forster			37			. 2	 9.25
G. A. Phelips	8		45		12*	. 3	 9.00
F. Forster	6		50		26 .	. 0	 8.33
		*Sign	nifies not	out.			

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

			-			
	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. B. W. Magnay	22	 0		118	 7	 16.85
R. G. Barnes	108.2	 19		336	 18	 18.66
T. R. Clutterbuck		 3		144	 7	 20.57
G. A. Phelips		22		398	 15	 26.53
R. Forster		15		323	 12	 26.91
C. S. Rattigan	15.3	 1		52	 1	 52.00
E. H. Crake	9	 4		68	 1	 68.00

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL BATTING.

egmevA dee dee Ir	nings		Runs	ost in an	n	Times not out		Average
			345	 76				20.29
H. V. Noble			288	 47*		3		18.00
E. R. Stagg			214	 96*		4		15.18
A. O. Evans			159	 50		4		12.23
F. C. Lawrance	4		35	 23		1		11.66
E. S. Turner	18		125	 17*		6		10.41
R. E. A. Ingram	19		153	 33		3		9.56
W. J. C. Armstrong	3		25	 15		0		8.33
W. Manning	17		120	 18		1		7.50
R. R. R. Fletcher	17		122	 29		0		7.17
J. F. Barton	16		107	 30*		1		7.17
F. G. de B. Edwards	18	11.1	113	 29		1	• •	6.64
E. A. Parr	6	• •	9	 5*	• •	3	• •	3.00

*Signifies not out.

LEATHERHEAD SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	Wickets	3	Average
R. E. A. Ingram	. 16	 2		62	 6		10.33
H. H. A. Cooke		 67		829	 67		12.38
A. O. Evans	58.4	 8		136	 16		14.75
F. G. de B. Edwards	239.4	 35		820	 43		19.00
H. V. Noble	14.2	 0		93	 3		31.00
E. R. Stagg	. 14	 0		78	 2		39.00

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in		Times	
	Innings		Runs	ar	inning	S	not out	Average
G. N. Foster	. 14		550		119*		5	 61.11
A. P. Day			537		104*		3	 44.75
E. L. Mellin			310		104		1	 28.18
N. S. Cornelius			422		108		0	 28.13
R. M. Worlock	. 6		133		38		0	 22.16
F. A. Powell	. 12		234		52		0	 19.50
A. E. Chambers	. 12		209		51		1	 19.00
J. O. B. Saunders	. 6		99	0	63		0	 16.50
C. J. Pearson	. 9		61		23*		8	 10.16
G. Fellowes			40		16		5	 10.00
C. H. Bird			64		24		0	 5.33
Will A Testario		Sign	ifies not	out.				

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. J. Pearson	. 83	 9	 354	 22	 16.09
A. E. Chambers		 31	 484	 25	 19:36
G. Fellowes		 42	 678	 34	 19.94
E. L. Mellin		 13	 252	 10	 25.20

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

			M	lost in	Times	
In the party A versen	nnings	Runs	an	innings	not out	Average
A. P. Scott	14	 367		108	 1 1	 28.23
L. G. Colbeck		 307		78	 2	 25.58
D. V. Coote	12	 249		73	 1	 22.63
G. R. J. Round	14	 285		56	 0	 20.35
E. O. Evans	14	 247		93	 0	 17.64
H. M. Butterworth	11	 147			0	
L. Parker	9	 101			1	
H. J. Goodwin	10	 83			0	
N. W. Milton	12	 80			1	
N. T. White	6	 25		17	 1	
L. Woodroffe	6	 27		18	 0	 4.20

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	.07	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
1	V. W. Milton	54	 7	 151	 7	 21.57
Ī	. Woodroffe	45	 6	 172	 7	 24.57
Ī	G. Colbeck	104.3	 9	 463	 18	 25.72
	. P. Scott		 17	 494	 18	 27.44
	C. O. Evans		 15	 313	 11	 28.45
	. Parker		 13	 227	 5	 45.40
	I. J. Goodwin		 7	 336	 7	 48.00

R.M.C., SANDHURST, BATTING.

URRANG	nnings		Runs	_	Most in innings	Times not out		Average
A. C. Johnston	12		459		112	 1	• •	41.72
M. M. Carlisle	8		285		142	 0		35.62
P. D. Banks	8		281		82	 1		33.00
G. Middlemass	4		91		29	 1		30.33
C. Edwardes	11		187		49	 2		20.77
V. G. McArthur	9		186		80	 0		20.66
Hon. P. Bowes-Lyon	2		34		24	 0		17.00
E. Dallas Smith	5		65		33	 1		16.25
A. Thompson	8		110		36	 1		15.71
E. C. Bowen			71		24*	 1		11.83
J. C. Hunter			80		21	 1		8.88
L. S. Farquharson	9		47		17	 3		7.83
M. B. Stow	9	1.0	37	luy.i.	11	 1		4.62

R.M.C., SANDHURST, BOWLING.

TI Wichelman Average	Runs		Wickets		Average
M. M. Carlisle	196		17	Teq	11.52
E. Dallas Smith	100	el.	7		14.28
A. C. Johnston	454	8	26	00	17.46
J. C. Hunter	296		16		18.50
Hon. P. Bowes Lyon	121		5		24.20
V. G. McArthur	158	81.	5	118	31.60
E. C. Bowen	226		7	La. prill	32.28

Public School Ave	rages.
-------------------	--------

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in		Times	
	Inning	8	Runs	an	innings	1	not out	Average
W. J. Pearson	. 5		169		73*		1	 42.25
W. P. Harrison	. 15		449		72*		4	 40.81
B. Cozens-Hardy	. 15		450		94*		2	 34 61
G. C. Tripp	. 11		281		63		1	 28.10
H. N. P. Sloman	. 6		114		55*		1	 22.80
A. O. Snowden	. 15		299		52		0	 19.93
L. J. Spilsbury	. 10		109		33		1	 12.11
G. H. Stutchbury	. 8		(0		15*		3	 12.00
A. C. Don	. 8		72		19		1	 10.28
R. M. Chadwick	. 13		132		32		0 .	 10.15
A. L. S. Owen	. 11		81		36		1	 8.10
		*Sign	ifies not	out.				

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	าร	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. O. Snowden	212.2	 42		669	 41	 16.31
G. C. Tripp	50.1	 4		195	 8	 21.87
A. L. S. Owen	83.3	 15		244	 11	 22.18
B. Cozens-Hardy				584	 26	 22.46
L. J. Spilsbury				182	 8	 22.75
W. P. Harrison	125	 17		472	 18	 26.22

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	N	Iost in an innings	I	Times not out		Average	
B. M. Wakeling	8		239		102*		2		39.83	
L. Bromley	9		158		61		1		19.75	
C. B. Critchley	8		134		51*		1		19.14	
H. Power			124		34		1		15.50	
R H. Scott	7		89		59		0		12.71	
E. C. Reid			94		39		0		10.44	
V. R. Munton			80		39		0		10.00	
L. S. Waterall	6		19		7*		4		9.50	
R. W. Harding	6		37		26*		2	000	9.25	
G. C. Smyth			37		17		1		7.40	
E. P. Stamper	2		7		7		0		3.50	
*Signifies not out.										

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	V	Vickets	Average	
E. P. Stamper	47.4		9		117		11	ale.In	10.63
G. C. Smyth	66.2		16		163		15		10.86
V. R. Munton	46		8		130		8		16.25
C. B. Critchley	13		2		52		3		17.33
R. H. Scott	68		17		214		10		21.40
L. S. Waterall	101.1		15		320		14		22.85
B. M. Wakeling	22		2		70		3	200	23.33

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in		Times		
	Inning	3	Runs		inning	s.	not out	5	Average
H. S. Walford	16		391		85		0		24.43
C. F. Sadler		99.	267		47		2		20.53
J. L. Waggett			216		52*		2		18.00
T. B. C. Piggott			247		57		0	76	15.43
G. S. Bryan-Brown		30	132		30*		2		12.00
A. E. H. Killick		08	48	2.03	32*		2		12.00
E. T. Price		22	74		26*		4		10.57
N. L. Colley		96	126		35		1		10.50
J. W. Adams		16	63	101	20*	91.	4	2.5	10.50
H. S. Finch		68	37	00	12		3	F - JE	6.16
D. R. Solomon	_	1.	39		20*		2		5.57
		*8	ignifies	not o	out.				

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	1	Wicket	Average	
E. T. Price	128.4		25		417		31		13:45
H. S. Finch			39		380		24		15.83
D. R. Solomon	180.5		39		508		25		20.32
J. W. Adams	53.4		9		211		10		21.10

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	Most in ar	1	Times	
was a set work?	Inning	rs	Runs		innings		not out	Average
C. S. Hurst			408		58*		3	 31.38
N. C. Franklin Smith			338	2.0	91		1	 22.53
B. W. Dent			357		82		0	 22.31
L. Carr			325		60		0	 20.31
P. J. Reiss			311		55		0	 19.43
T. C. Moore			72		31		0	 18.00
J. Swindells		84	223		48		2	 17.15
H. R. Wimble			101		42		3	 14.42
H. F. P. Hearson	12		166	8.9.	58		0	 13.83
H. C. C. Tufnell	14	P. P. C.	146		32		1	 11.23
B. A. Johnson		00	39		18		3	 6.50
Great Same and the		*8	ignifies	not o	ut.			

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	Maidens		Wickets			Average
B. A. Johnson	120.5		11		434		22		19.72
C. S. Hurst	138.4		5		579		27		21.44
J. Swindells		100	21		384	101	14		27.42
P. J. Reiss		801	32	11	580		21		27.61
H. R. Wimble			6		138		4		34.50

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	Most in ar	1	Times	
	Innings				innings		not out	Average
H. Logan	14		643		189		0	 45.92
G. L. Crowe	14		408		85		1	 31.38
P. T. Rawlings	10		283	8.00	97		0	 28.30
F. S. Fleuret	9		148		66*		2	 21.14
A. T. Coleby	14		263		84		0	 18.78
R G. Gardner	14		238		80		0	 17.00
C. Powers	12		133		44		3	 14.77
L. G. Kirkpatrick	13	25.	141		34		2	 12.81
O. H. Walters	10		104		31		1	 11.55
E. W. D. Colt-Williams	6	24.	50		25		1	 10.00
E. W. Lane-Claypon	5		89		21		0	 7.80
W. J. W. Bonser	7		50		26		0	 7.14
J. K. Hepburn	9		9	00	3		6	3.00
		* 5	signifies	not c	nt.			

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	ns	Runs	v	Vickets	3	Average
H. Logan	13		2	noble	32	Overts	4		8.00
G. L. Crowe	56.5	N.E	6	. 45	259	100.4	14		18.63
C. Powers	179.4		16		737	139.4	36		20.53
R. G. Gardner			14	01	424	190.5	19		22.33
J. K. Kepburn			9	0	330	1-6-1	12		27.50
F. S. Fleuret	49		2		199		7		28.42
L. G. Kirkpatrick	21		0		113		3		37.66

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

				20150	Most in a				
	Innir	Innings			innings		not out		Average
F. Weatherby	11	1.0.	513		101		1		51.30
A. V. Morris	7	- 22.	186		82	01.	1		31.00
E. L. Wright	11	-00.	249		110	az.	1		24.90
G. P. R. Toynbee	10		243	110	67	1.	0		24.30
H. G. Moore Gwyn	4	HIB.	54		25*		1		18.00
A. H. Fyffe			121		56		1		17.28
J. H. Gordon	10	- 2.5	152	- 10.0	45*		1		16.88
W. M. Parker	8		86		29		1		12.28
D. M. Evans	11	- 48.	105		28		2		11.66
R. S. Pringle	4		34		20	0	0		8.50
C. F. Younger	7		21	0.0	8*		1		3.50
		* 8	ignifies	not o	out.				

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs		Wickets	S	Average
C. F. Younger	155	 56		819		24		13.29
D. M. Evans	101	 19		288		19		15.15
J. H. Gordon	49	 11		158		10		15.80
A. H. Fyffe	182	 45		540	9	23		23.47