JOHN WISDEN'S CRICKETERS' ALMANACK FOR 1911

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1910.

By C. TOPPIN.

"Murmur at nothing; if our evils are reparable, it is ungrateful; if remediless, it is vain." A wise precept this. Yet I am afraid that in point of fact among the players and spectators at Lord's and elsewhere, from the beginning of May to the end of August. there was an almost unprecedented amount of murmuring. Vain doubtless, as it lies not within the power of mortal man to control the eccentricities of the clerk of the weather. But ungrateful? Well, possibly so from the farmer's point of view, for rumour says that the root crops are portentous, but hardly so from the cricketer's. For when the game is played on sodden wickets and under a gloomy sky, when neither batsmen, bowler, nor fielder can get fair foothold, when, in short, the winning or losing of a match depends too much upon the luck of the toss, and too little upon the respective merits of the opposing side, even the optimistic Mr. Jingle might hesitate to describe cricket as a "capital game," "smart sport," or "fine exercise."

And yet when all is said that can be said of the iniquities of last summer's weather, we cannot but admit that we have been provided with an extraordinary number of really sporting games. County cricket gave us a quite remarkable crop of fighting games and tight finishes, and the Public Schools supplied their quota too. Such matches, for instance, as the Eton and Harrow, Clifton and Rugby, Bradfield and Radley, or Brighton and Lancing will live long in the memories of those who were the fortunate spectators, and longer still in the recollections of those who took part in them. And there were other redeeming features in the cricket, if not in the season. Even though the slow and medium-paced bowlers had things all their own way, as might be expected under the conditions which prevailed, and though the veteran brigade more than held its own, another proof, if one is needed, that the cricket was not of the fast and furious kind, still once again after an unduly long interval there has been not only a marked improvement in the standard of University cricket, but, even better omen for the future of English cricket, a notable influx into the arena of first-class matches of really promising school-boy players. While the Gentlemen could not be held to be properly represented without the inclusion of at least

two or three undergraduate cricketers, three of our strongest county elevens found places in August for cricketers fresh from their school elevens, and both with bat and in the field each one of the débutants did yeoman service for his side, and amply justified his selection. Nor are there wanting those who say that the best all-round boy cricketer of the season, Fowler, the Eton captain, who seems to possess beyond his fellows the happiest of all gifts, that of rising to the occasion, might not have been found very much out-classed had he been invited to represent the Gentlemen at Lord's. Further than this trials were given by Notts to Carr of Sherborne, by Somerset to Critchley-Salmonson of Winchester, by Lancashire to Bardsley of last year's Shrewsbury side, and by Middlesex to Crutchlev and Anson of last year's Harrow eleven. All this is as it should be, and for the good of the game. In this promise shown by young cricketers and even more, perhaps, in the recognition of that promise by the selectors of county teams lies the hope that the visit of an Australian Eleven in 1912 will not find us in such a hopeless muddle as we were eighteen months ago. "Let the boy win his spurs," Edward III is reported to have said on an historic occasion, and until we give our young cricketers occasional opportunities for winning their spurs, farewell to every chance of recovering our lost supremacy. Past experience should have taught us that ultra conservatism and sentimental attachment to old favourites are mistaken principles as applied to the selection of a representative Eleven, and it is a self-evident fact that several counties are at this time paying the penalty of having allowed middle-aged cricketers to block the way of the rising generation, while others in their turn are profiting by timely application of the pruning knife.

Taking this year's School elevens as a whole, it would not be an unfair criticism to say that the bowling was weak, the fielding not so good as it should have been, and the batting exceptionally strong, a curious verdict, surely, when the climatic conditions are taken into account. In connection with the batting I am not thinking enly of two or three who are obviously marked out for future distinction, but of the fact that practically every School of importance had two or more members of its Eleven, who, with fortune and added experience, may win names for themselves. If I am correct in my opinion that the bowling was weak, it will not be easy to assign a reason for it. I do not believe that it was due to lack of interest. I prefer to think that it was due to accidental lack of material. But for weakness in fielding there can be no attempt either for excuse or explanation. I believe I should have many supporters if I were to say that no School side has any business to be weak in its fielding. It is all a matter of constant and sensible practice in covering the ground, picking up the ball, and returning it quick and true to the

wicket, and above all in catching. And I should add, further, that any boy, who has an eye for the game at all, can make himself into a good fielder. I well remember the case of a boy in a School, in which I am interested, who was an excellent batsman, but one of the worst fielders I ever saw in any company. Yet in the University match he was acknowledged to be the finest fielder on either side, a result which was accomplished by unceasing practice. If School captains ever take the trouble to read these remarks, I beg of them, if they want to win their matches and turn out a side which is a credit to their School, to consider no effort excessive, which would ensure precision and activity in the field, and to realise that nothing affects the whole morale of an Eleven so deeply as indifferent fielding.

Eton were a side whose capabilities as a combination it is not easy to gauge. It would not be true to say that they were a great Eleven. I doubt whether they could even be described as a good one. And yet, with a cricketer of the calibre of Fowler, they could never be other than dangerous. By this I do not mean to say that they were a "one horse" affair. Far from it. But what I do mean is that without Fowler they would only have been mediocre, with him they commanded respect. Columns have been written on that wonderful game at Lord's explaining the "why" and the "wherefore," and it is hardly necessary for me, who did not see the match. to say more than that it was simply a case of a fine cricketer rising to the occasion and dominating the proceedings. They were unlucky in not actually beating Winchester, for another half hour's play would almost certainly have settled the match in their favour. Of Fowler I have already spoken. He is a fine all-round cricketer whom most people would pick first on their side from the School cricketers of the year. Like more than one other prominent boy cricketer of this season, the Army will claim his services. More's the pity. If heredity counts for anything, Steel should have a future before him. His bowling performance of seven wickets for 17 runs in the second innings of the Winchester match was a great one, and be it remembered that the ball was wet and the wicket easier for the batsmen than for the bowler. Moreover he is full of skill as a batsmen, if a bit too impatient to get going at once. Probably the best and soundest batsman on the side was Lubbock, and a capital wicketkeeper as well. He should come very near his 'Blue' at Oxford before he goes down. Nor must Manners be forgotten, if for no other reason than for his plucky partnership with Boswell at Lord's; a fine field and courageous batsman he was of great service to his side. Tufnell, who takes charge of the side next year, is a promising wicket-keeper, and has the makings of a good bat. In a word they were a side of great possibilities. Judged by results the Winchester Eleven must be written down as a weak lot. It is true that they had

a really good bowler in Wells-Cole, and another, Fleming, who is quite good enough for most School sides, and their fielding was quite sound, but their batting was very mediocre, only two of them producing averages over 20. Can it be that that insidious game, golf, is playing havoe with the Wykehamist style of batting? If, as I believe to be the case, the Winchester boys are allowed to mix the two games to any great extent, the cricket will undoubtedly suffer. The batting broke down badly in the Eton match, and the collapse in the second innings was dreadful. It is true that they had to defend the situation late in the day, when the light was none of the best, but even so a little more resolution would have put a different complexion on the drawn game. Of the bowling of Wells-Cole it is impossible to speak too highly. His 63 wickets for 11 runs each was a fine performance, and the Wykehamists would have fared badly without him. He bowls slow left-hand with both swerve and break-back and keeps a capital length. Fleming did good service both with bat and ball, but he is not a really class player, while Woosman was probably the soundest batsman among them, and ended up with a capital average. Salmonson, who is young and very tall, should make a good bat when he has filled out more physically. If I might venture to proffer advice to those Winchester boys who hope to be good cricketers, my counsel would be to eschew golf, or at least leave it to the holidays or maturer years. Harrow were a side who did well in their early matches, and then made history, though not to their own satisfaction, in their great game at Lord's. Many of the criticisms levelled against them after their débâcle were harsh and unjustifiable. "One of the best sides seen at Harrow for some years before the Lord's match, and after it one of the worst" was one which I heard from a cricketer who should have known better: and other criticisms in similar vein. It is impossible to put down their extraordinary collapse to nerves only, for in their previous games they had shown any amount of grit. I prefer to think that they simply met their master in Fowler, who had a wicket which exactly suited him. The question of nerves did not preponderate, and they met the same fate which has overtaken many another good side. They were just as powerless as another fine batting side was on the same ground four days before. And who will venture to say what was the proper game for the side to play under the circumstances? Their season's cricket was full of incident. After losing the first match by two runs, they did not again experience defeat till they found their Sedan at Lord's, and five days after that they again collapsed against the very moderate bowling of Haverford. The batting was as a whole not sound: there seemed to be no one of real merit, while the bowling was pretty dependable, if undistinguished. The best player on the side was undoubtedly Earle, whose fast bowling would of course have been more successful

in a finer summer. It seems almost a pity that the Army will claim his future services. Useful cricketer too was Hillyard, who played a capital innings at Lord's, and did good service as a bowler during the season. Hopley and Wilson are batsmen rather of the careful order.

If the merits of the Rugby Eleven rested only on their performance against Marlborough, they might well be reckoned a great side, for, weakened though their opponents were by the absence of their best bowler, it could with truth be pleaded that Rugby lacked the service of Thorne, who was at least one of their best change bowlers, and the innings victory was a fine performance. The fact is, that by the end of July the Midland School, who had gone on improving all the term, were somewhat of the nature of a surprise packet, and if not a great side were at least a very good one and at the top of their form. Their performances in their preliminary games were nothing remarkable, while it did not betoken great strength to do no more than 'tie' their game with Clifton. A study of their averages is interesting, for it points to the fact that, while there was no cricketer on the side of outstanding merit, nine of them had batting averages ranging from 20 to 34, and there were two or three bowlers, who, as the Marlburians found to their cost, had a happy way of rising to the occasion. The best batsman was Fraser, a nervous starter, but very good when he got going. Le Gros was a good all round player, who seldom failed to get runs, and, as a fast bowler, was irresistible at Lord's. Useful player too was Linnington, while Andrews, who was responsible for a fine century at Lord's, is distinctly promising. Keen must have been the disappointment of the Marlborough supporters at the result of their match at Lord's. The eleven had shown such great power in their preliminary games, that even the absence of their crack bowler, Woodroffe, ought not to have affected their morale as it clearly did. But their bowling was clearly weak in comparison to their batting, and the side was therefore imperfectly balanced. Up to their Lord's match their record was unbroken by defeat, though it must be confessed that, except in one case, they never really swamped their opponents. And yet, even in spite of the loss of Woodroffe, they ought to have been capable of accounting for Rugby, but that School seldom fails in grit and determination, and on this occasion was in a winning postion well before the end of the first day's cricket. That the Marlburians were extremely strong in batting is beyond dispute, seven at least of them being capable of runs in good company. Patteson's consistent record and final average speak for themselves, and he must be written down as one of the best school batsmen of Nor was Shuldham far behind him either in merit or results. Woodroffe is a really good fast bowler with a high delivery, who, if he maintains his form, should do great execution for his side next year. Womersley and Lagden both with bat and ball were extremely useful. With eight of this year's lot back again next summer, the Marlborough boys should be in a position to make history next year, but they must train on another really good bowler, Perhaps Branson may before then have acquired more spin on his deliveries.

With every prospect of turning out a good side the Westminster boys, like many other schools, could never settle down owing to the succession of wet wickets for both practice and matches. As a result their record is disappointing, only three matches being won and the majority of the rest lost. Perhaps there was some reason beyond the knowledge of the outside critic which induced the Carthusian skipper to put in his opponents after winning the toss. But, without this knowledge, it does seem a rash experiment with the ground soft, and rain in immediate prospect. It was a bowler's wicket throughout, and, if the weather had held up, the Charterhouse defence might have been very severely tested. There was a considerable amount of batting among the Westminster lot, the pick of the bunch being Rawson, a sound and correct left-hander, and Rudd, who gives one the impression of being a natural game player, and who, as he is likely to have three more seasons at school, should do great things for his side before he leaves. Of the bowlers Clarke was easily the best, though he hardly maintained his form of last year. He is also a slashing left hand bat of no mean order, as witness his innings for the Public School eleven at Lord's. On the whole his Charterhouse friends will not be sorry to see the last of him. I notice a grumble in the Carthusian which almost seems justifiable. It is suggested that another School match would be an advantage, I cannot help thinking that, when one of the two old standing fixtures is reduced to a one day match, the allowance is scanty. This year they beat Wellington fairly easily, thanks to some effective bowling by King but they had a really tough fight with Westminster on the sodden wicket. In a low scoring game the Carthusians had 117 to get, having only made 69 in the first effort. When the down-pour eventually stopped the game, they had scored 40 without loss, and so looked like winning. But it is only fair to the Westminster boys to say that it was drizzling all the time they were bowling. Distinctly the feature of this season's cricket at Charterhouse was the performance of their lob bowler Leatham. How useful he was in school matches is shown by the fact that he captured twenty wickets for eight runs apiece, while in all matches he took forty-one wickets for under ten runs apiece - a fine piece of work. Besides the lobs they had in Hudson a goodish slow left hander, whose bowling was not so simple as it looked, and King, a medium fast bowler of

some promise. In the batting line Denison was able to introduce some of his brilliant racket strokes into his cricket, but he was hardly sound, and Dowling and Morison were of the distinctly useful type. The fielding was good and the catching safe. Altogether they were an improving side, which was well up to the mark, and I hear that things look rosy for next season. My informant from Wellington sums up the season pessimistically, when he writes. "Of eleven matches we won none, losing five, and somewhat luckily drawing six." If the latter part of the story is literally true, he has some grounds for despondency. And, on examining the records, I am not sure that he was not fairly near the mark. They were a very weak side in every department with the possible exception of the fielding, which, I believe, was quite good. Both their school encounters were lost, and in each case so decisively as to cause no misgivings, and reflections on possibilities. Pank, as a batsman, started the season well, but fell off later, while Hinde ended up with a fair bowling analysis, but with these solitary exceptions it seems not easy to pick out any one else worthy of praise. I heartily wish that I could criticise the side less unkindly, but there it is, the season was not a success, and I wish them better luck next year.

Malvern amply fulfilled the prediction which I ventured to make last year, for they turned out a redoubtable side, who would have taken a lot of beating. They did not meet their masters during the season, and the three games which were left drawn would, in two cases at least, have been victories, if time had allowed. Apart from the school matches, their best win was against Worcestershire Club and Ground who were as usual very strongly represented, including Arnold, Burrows, and Cuffe. To account for such a side on a perfect wicket for 115 runs, and then pass this score with but two wickets down, was a fine performance. The School matches were all won with considerable ease,-Repton by an innings and 45 runs, Clifton by nine wickets, and Haverford by eight wickets. The strength of their batting lay in the fact that any one of the side was prepared to make 50 runs, while no one compiled a century; in other words all could bat, but there was no one who towered above his fellows. The pick of the batsmen were F. G. Naumann, Knight, and Burton, in this order. The bowling brigade were probably the strongest seen at Malvern for a great many years, and they were well backed up by an admirable stumper in Arnold, and, on the whole, excellent fielding. The pick of the bowlers were Burton and Scott, both right hand medium to fast. Burton goes up to Oxford this year, and should be carefully watched. The one thing which may prevent his proving a first-class player is his temperament, which is at present too excitable and easily upset. The side was admirably led by Kevill-Davies, who could do nothing right in the batting line,

but he ended up his school cricket with the 'hat trick' in each innings of the Haverford match, and, curiously enough, with the last three balls he delivered in the first innings and the first three of the second innings. The Reptonians had a very moderate, not to say disappointing, season. If the members of the side had developed as they were reasonably expected to do, they might have proved a very fair combination. But no one greatly distinguished himself, and the general results were humdrum. It was not very spirited of Uppingham to decline to pay a visit to Repton because there were cases of measles in the school, and the result was that, apart from the Haverford match, which the Repton boys won in great style, their only School match was with Malvern, whom they found at the top of their form, and very much too strong for them. The batting struck me as spiritless and lacking in enterprise, while, if we except Franklin's excellent wicket-keeping, the attack was moderate and without variety. Of the bowlers I should say that Calthorpe was the most likely, and should be quite useful next year. The batting, I repeat, was somewhat tame, and even Campbell, who played with such distinction for Surrey in August, never produced his real form. Indeed, it is a curious fact that his average for his School was lower than for his County. That he has the makings of a fine bat I firmly believe, but I cannot help thinking that he would be a still finer bat, if he stood up to his full height and used his shoulders more and his forearms less. Popham, Moses and Calthorpe were quite useful batsmen, and it was a distinct misfortune that Ellis, from whom much was expected, was hors de combat nearly all the term owing to an injured knee.

The Uppingham boys had only a moderate season, winning but three of their fixtures. They beat Shrewsbury, after a good game, by eight wickets, after being set to get 178 in an hour and three-quartersa capital performance—and easily accounted for the Haverford eleven in what was, for the latter, the opening match of their tour. But their bowling was weak and unreliable, and the fielding might have been better. The redeeming feature of their attack was the wicket-keeping of their excellent captain, Tomlin, who goes up to Cambridge with high credentials, and should be looked after by the Light Blue authorities. The batting, on the contrary, was thoroughly good, and the side never failed to make a respectable score. Out and away the best performer was Harper, who had a very successful season. His début for Middlesex was full of promise, though he failed later on the slow wickets: he has any amount of brilliant strokes, but he is a bit too reckless. Palmer and Crosthwaite did capital service, especially in school matches, and both came out with very creditable figures. As most of this year's 'cracks' are leaving, Uppingham will have to look after its laurels next year.

Cheltenham had a highly successful season, losing but two games as against eight wins, but I judge that they were not a great side.

It is true that there were a number of run-getters among them, but there was no real class batsmen, while the bowling, if steady, was lacking in sting, and somewhat of the plain order. The success of their attack was due to consistently good catching credibly informed that not a single chance was missed in their School matches, an enviable record. They were pretty easily beaten by Marlborough, whom they caught at the top of their form, but they comfortably disposed of Clifton, and decisively routed Haileybury with an innings to spare. The Cheltonians scored at a great pace at Lord's against some very moderate Haileybury bowling, the 400 being up by 3.30, with more to follow. It was a stern chase for their opponents, and they never looked like saving the game. Indeed. but for Vincent, who scored 172 for once out, they would have cut a sorry figure. G. E. C. Wood headed the batting figures, but he is hardly a sound player, and yet his defence must be better than it appears, for he made runs regularly, and often when the others failed. Probably the most likely batsmen on the side were Fawcett and Wise, both of whom were at their best in School matches. As I have remarked the bowling was nothing out of the common, with G. D. Wood their best and most reliable performer. The Haileybury boys had only a moderately successful season. Until they met Cheltenham at Lord's their performances in School matches were distinctly encouraging. They decisively beat Wellington and Haverford, while they had a good deal the best of the drawn game with Uppingham. By the way, the Wellington match was a short business. No play was possible on the first day, and on the following day the game was over and won by 4 o'clock. But the Cheltenham boys showed up the weak bowling of the Haileyburians by some fine resolute play, and, giving nothing away, won handsomely in an innings. It seemed hard luck that Vincent should have been on the losing side, for his scores of 54 and 118 not out were fine efforts. He and Sloane-Stanley were the pick of the batsmen, the latter strong on the off-side, but apt to start pulling before he settles down. Geen is described as a first-rate wicketkeeper, and is also a dangerous, if impatient, bat. The brunt of the bowling was borne by Gardiner and Munt, both of whom end up with very creditable figures. The former is a leg swerver of considerable merit, who seldom failed to secure his fair crop of wickets, and the latter a slow left arm bowler, who performed with some success during the earlier games, when the wickets were slower. The Clifton boys had a very moderate season, and yet, if for no other reason, the summer will be remembered for the wonderful 'tie' match with Rugby after nearly 900 runs had been scored. It was a great game, for Rugby headed them by a comfortable margin in the first innings but Richardson, of whom I have a high opinion as a batsmen, came to the rescue with an excellent century, and gave the enemy 184

runs to get. A missed catch, difficult it is true, which resulted in the run that made the game a 'tie' robbed the Cliftonians of victory. In their other School matches, they were pretty easily defeated by Cheltenham, after putting their opponents in on a soft wicket, a dangerous proceeding, surely, with a fourth innings in view, they lost their game to Haverford by two runs, thus reversing the verdict of their previous encounter, when they won by three runs, and they ended up by being decisively beaten by Malvern, who were much too strong for them. In the latter game the margin of defeat by nine wickets seemed at one time to be likely to be much greater, for Clifton followed on in a minority of 170, and lost five wickets for 21, when drizzling rain came on, and severely handicapped the Malvern bowlers. The two best batsmen on the side were Rissik and Richardson, the former of whom played consistently well, and is quite promising, but I believe that the latter, if he has the opportunity, will go further. The bowling figures are not impressive, though Murray did some sterling work.

The doings of the Tonbridge eleven centre almost entirely around that wonderful cricketer F. H. Knott. Seldom, if ever, have the doings of a school boy caused so much interest in the cricket world. An aggregate of over 1,100 runs, containing six centuries, for his school season, with an average of 80, and a jump straight into the strongest county side of the year, where he was not on mere trial, but seemed rather indispensable to its success, would seem to predict that some day in the near future he will take his place among the giants of the game. He appears to have most of the known strokes at his command, but the two points, which I consider are the strongest features of his play, are his watchfulness and the strength of his back play. He seems to be one of very few batsmen who now-a-days, in playing back, attempt to force the ball past the bowler to the boundary. His future as a cricketer will be a matter of great interest. But without Knott the Tonbridge side would have been only moderate The bowling was ordinary, not to say weak, while the catching was bad; indeed, I have it on good authority that, in the Sherborne and Haverford matches, at least ten more or less easy catches were missed in each game, a poor record this, and one unworthy of Tonbridge traditions. Overshadowed as they were by Knott, Marzetti and Sherwell on more than one important occasion showed that they were no mean batsmen, and both came out with creditable figures. The Bradfield season was disappointing in results, though there was a good deal of material for a respectable side. The run-getting was apt to be left to two or three players, and, if these failed, the rest were likely to collapse. Undoubtedly their best player was Lindsell, a batsman of considerable punishing power and useful bowler, who mixes them well. Pope played two or three hard hit innings, but he is inclined to take too many liberties; indeed, I should judge that a certain vis consilii expers was rather too frequent among the Bradfield players. The bowling was nothing remarkable, though it possessed considerable variety. They had a fine game with Radley. which ended in a victory for the latter by the narrow margin of three runs. The Radley record was only moderate. It is curious how often the Radley ground has promised a sporting finish in the Bradfield match and this year was no exception, for the Radleians just got home, thanks to some excellent all-round cricket by Ames, and brilliant short slip catching by Nugee, when the game was in its most critical stage. But they were not a great side. The most likely player among them was Ames. He bowls fast, and brings the ball down from a great height with plenty of spin and some swerve, and, further, he is a dangerous bat and fine field. Reid, young as vet, is described as a very promising stumper. The batting of the side in general is described as pusillanimous, which, being interpreted in a kindly spirit, means that the majority of the side could not do themselves justice when they got to the wicket. Dulwich had indeed an unfortunate season, winning but one game out of thirteen played and that against their own masters. Their bowling was very weak, and it was not backed up in the field as it should have been, in fact, had it not been for Coleman's excellent work behind the wickets, the attack generally would have been in a sorry way. They lost their games with Tonbridge, Bedford Grammar School and Brighton, in each case by a considerable margin, but they were unfortunate in not accounting for St. Paul's. They had a pair of pretty good bats in Greenwood and Green, the former of whom played some capital cricket in School matches. I hear high praise of Coleman as a stumper, "the best the School has ever produced," says the Alleynian. The Sherborne representatives were a young lot, and, owing to nervous batting, seldom did themselves justice. As most of them will be back next year, it is to be hoped that they will give a better account of themselves. Their two best performances were a level draw with Tonbridge, and the capital fight they put up against a good M.C.C. side, which included Tarrant, J. W. Hearne, and Murrell. Three players stand out conspicuously above their fellows. Carr, if a bit reckless, is an excellent bat with powerful strokes, and quite a useful fast bowler. Notts gave him a trial during August, and. though he did not greatly distinguish himself, I hope that they will not lose sight of him. Read is a dangerous batsmen, and an excellent captain, and Mason's wicket-keeping is described as of high class. With the exception of Corfe, a steady medium paced bowler, the other members of the side call for little comment.

The Rossalians had every reason to be satisfied with their season's work. They turned out a capital side, probably one of the best

which has ever represented the school. They easily won their international match with Loretto, thanks to some very level batting, and fine bowling by Edge. Bad weather, which allowed very little play on the first day of the match, robbed them of almost certain victory over Shrewsbury, and they ended up by defeating Haverford with some ease. There were plenty of run-getters among them, they had two good bowlers in James and Edge, while the fielding and stumping were above the average. In fact they were a well balanced side. Edge's batting figures, with an average of 54 and highest score 82, speak for themselves, and he must have been an extremely useful player. The latter remark applies also to James, who heads the bowling list, and played a notable innings against some strong M.C.C. bowling. Davies, though less consistent than Edge, was little inferior to him as a batsman, and, furthermore, he is described as a fine field in the slips. Coldwell was a useful change bowler, and hit up an excellent century against Shrewsbury. Altogether I judge them to have been an eleven worthy to take a high place among the school sides of the year. Shrewsbury had an uneven season. All went well for their first half dozen games, and afterwards they seemed to fall to pieces, a collapse in form which seemed to be due to their weak bowling giving out. This certainly was the case in the fourth innings of the match which gave Uppingham the victory, assisted, it may be, by some weak catching. They certainly had the worst of the deal with Rossall, who, after declaring their first innings, got their opponents out for 95; and they lost their game with Haverford. The most successful batsman was Parry, though it must be confessed that he owes his position at the head of the table largely to his scoring 112 and 95 not out against the Free Foresters. But I should judge that Boumphrey is the most likely to have a future. He has a good notion of defence, and, when stronger, he should be a fast scorer. As I have suggested the bowling was very weak, and, so far as statistics go, calls for no comment. Sedbergh had a very poor season, winning but one of their ten fixtures. There was plenty of material for quite a good side, but it did not come on as it should have done, owing to inadequate net bowling and coaching. Nevile was the best all-rounder. He captured forty wickets for about ten runs apiece, and made a few useful scores. There is, I am told, considerable promise for next year, and I trust that the authorities will be able, before the summer comes round, to arrange for some competent coaching and professional bowling.

Three out of four School matches were won by Bedford Grammar School, but they were lucky to escape defeat at the hands of Merchant Taylors. The defeated Schools were Dulwich, Leys, and St. Paul's, in each case with plenty to spare. Though the batting was hardly so strong as last year, their bowling and fielding were well up to the

mark, and they were altogether a very fair side. Beyond a doubt their best performer was their captain, Grierson, who for two or three seasons has been their best bowler, and this year he added to his laurels by heading the batting averages. He bowls medium-fast left hand, and has quite an extraordinary record in school matches. It will be interesting to see what he does at Cambridge next summer. Leus School had only a poor season, winning but five and losing eight of their sixteen fixtures. Among the latter were Felsted, Bedford Grammar School, and Oundle, though, in the case of the latter game, it is only fair to say that Leys were badly represented. Their best cricketer was Bullen, and Sutcliffe was very useful both with bat and ball. I notice in the School paper a criticism to the effect that the older members of the eleven seem wedded to incorrect methods of batting, which are taking time to eradicate, but that there is promise of better things lower down. The Brighton boys had an excellent season, winning nine matches and only losing two; indeed, I am assured that they were the best side the school has had for twenty years. They easily vanquished four out of their five rival schools, while the fifth encounter, against Lancing, resulted in a 'tie,' 228 all. It was a fine game, chiefly remarkable for the pluck of the tail of each side. Their most successful batsman was Read, not very stylish, but consistent and effective. The brunt of the attack was borne by Davies and Baker, both of whom did extremely well, and they were well backed up by some good fielding and stumping. Eastbourne were again unfortunate in having two of their three School matches scratched owing to illness, but they achieved a triumph in defeating King's School, Canterbury, for the first time, thanks to an undefeated century by Maynard, who, by the way, must have been an extremely useful member of his side, for he heads both batting and bowling tables. In the matter of general results they were impartial, six games being won, five lost, and six drawn. Their captain, Tudor, must be a pretty good batsman, but his official 'character' is not encouraging. "Too much wrapped up in himself and his house to make a really good school captain." It is clear that he should try more to 'think imperially.' Felsted, with some very level batting and adequate bowling, came through the season with some credit. The batting, I say, was level, rather than distinguished, and it is not easy to pick out the salient features or individual performances, and the bowling may be dismissed with the same comment. They beat Levs school after a poor game on a slow wicket, but they could only draw with King's School, Canterbury. Serve them right, I say, for their fielding is described as extremely bad! I notice a good win over Essex Club and Ground, and not at all a bad show against a strong M.C.C. side. The Lancing team played 'in and out' cricket, some of their performances beingquite promising, and others unaccountably weak. They were, at best,

132

a patchy side, with two very fair batsmen in Liddelow and Clarke, little variety among the bowlers, good fielding, but very moderate wicket-keeping. They had reason to complain that one or two of their number, from whom much was expected, did not help them more. to wit, their captain, Selby, who was very useful to them last year, and Simms, but the fast bowling of the latter could not be expected to come off in such a season. Their School matches were not the most satisfactory part of their programme, for they collapsed against Christ's Hospital, the Eastbourne game was not played, and the 'tie' match with Brighton, exciting enough in all conscience, must have caused many searchings of heart, and 'if onlys.' Nothing of great importance seems to have occurred in the City of London School season. They were obviously not a very remarkable side, and still handicapped by the distance from their ground, and consequent scanty practise. Of the nine School matches they played, five were lost, three won, and one drawn, the latter with Berkhampsted much in the Citizens' disfavour. With the exception of Robbins, who seems to have bowled well, there is little individual comment possible. The St. Paul's School boys started with the nucleus of a good side, which included many of their best players from last year, but somehow their season was disappointing in its results. I gather that weakness in attack was the main cause of their want of success. But it must be remembered that they fly high, and that the list of their opponents includes, Eton Ramblers, Authentics, Zingari, Incogniti, and M.C.C., and a side, which emerged with credit from such encounters, would have some cause for self-congratulations. As it was, their losses preponderated their wins, and their solitary School victory was at the expense of Mill Hill, whom they beat decisively. Two players, Buckley and Pender, stand out from the rest, and it was unfortunate that the latter's services were not available all the term. Berkhamsted had a poor season, winning but one game out of fifteen. They were left with but two of last year's choices, and, with a young lot at their disposal, they possibly could not hope for great things. West was easily their 'star' performer, and his average of 41 with a highest score of 74 was an extremely good performance. As he is still well under seventeen, much may well be expected from him before he leaves school. Epsom lost their game with St. John's School, Leatherhead, otherwise little fault could be found with their performance against rival Schools. Evans, their crack bowler of last year, again did well, though he did not approach his last year's figures, and Hudson, Macphail, and Handfield-Jones did some useful work with the bat. I note that in one match the boys were set to make 140 in fifty-five minutes, and that they accomplished the feat on the stroke of time. That must have been a really sporting game. Merchant Taylors vastly improved on their last year's form, and had quite a good season, losing but two

games out of twelve. They found Brighton College too much for them, as did several of that School's rivals, and were beaten by a good M.C.C. side, which included the ever-famous W.G. It gives one almost a pang to see that the boys ran him out for three! The School owed its strength to two capable batsmen, Greenhill and Roe, the former of whom, I gather, will captain the side again next year, and two excellent bowlers Wadham and Isaac, the best pair they have had for many years. I am sorry however, to hear a grumble about the general fielding, and I trust that 'Captain' Greenhill will see that this censure is not deserved next year. No very startling news reaches me from Christ's Hospital. There seems to have been nothing very distinguished in their batting, with the possible exception of Pennington, who again heads the list. The bowling honours were well divided. Against their rival Schools honours were fairly evenly divided, with three wins, two losses, and a draw not in their favour. After several seasons of comparative failure, Forest School experienced a welcome return to form, and turned out a very creditable side. But here, as at many other schools, it is necessary to point out that the fielding was not so good as it ought to have been. They were distinctly strong in batting, every man on the side having a double-figure average. S. R. Martyn, the captain, must be an extremely useful cricketer, for he heads the batting list, and is virtually top of the bowling brigade too, while R. V. Martyn is very little inferior to him in either direction. Nicholas and Beech are also worthy of mention, the former a reliable stumper as well as run-getter. Oundle, on the whole, had a successful season, with six wins and four losses, and, be it noted, no drawn games. Their one School game with Leys School, was won handsomely by some level batting and bowling. But I again venture to suggest that one School match, and that confined to a single day, is a small allowance. The success of the team was due mainly to the consistent batting of Smith, the wicket-keeping of Matthews, and the bowling of Coombs.

The competition for chief honours among the Scotch Schools produced a keen struggle, though, owing to the fact that three Schools, Fettes, Loretto, and the Academy shared the honours, the result can hardly be called satisfactory. They can be said to have scored off the majority of English Schools in having a really fine summer, with the inevitable result that the bat beat the ball all the season. But I imagine that this would have held good even if the weather had continued 'soft,' for there seems to have been very little bowling talent, while there were an unusual number of excellent batsmen. Further, a wet summer would hardly have improved the fielding, which, I am assured, was in the main very indifferent. Which of the three schools was the best it would be difficult to say. Loretto were beaten by Fettes and the latter by



July 5, Mill Hill	M.C.C Mill Hill School	1st inns. 199*	2nd inns. — }	Result. Drawn. Rain. *Hight wickets down.
July 7,	M.C.C	189 62	156	Won by 127 runs.
July 7, { Bradfield {	M.C.C. BRADFIELD COLLEGE	245* 158	= }	Won by 87 runs. *Eight wkts down. Deld.
July 7, Hanwell	M.C.C,	177 * 219	-)	Drawn, *Six wickets down.
July 7, Hornsey {	M.C.C. Hornsey	113 63	210*	Won by 50 runs. *Seven wickets down.
July 8, Streatham	M.C.C. Streatham		= }	Rain.

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—Eton and Harrow have been meeting on the cricket field for over a hundred years, but they have never played a match quite sò remarkable as that of 1910. Indeed in the whole history of cricket there has been nothing more sensational. After following their innings Eton were only four ahead with nine wickets down, and yet in the end they won the game by nine runs. The nearest parallel to this finish that one can recall was one between Lancashire and Oxford University in 1888. On that occasion the county followed-on, and managed to win although when their eighth wicket fell they were still 17 runs behind. The struggle between the two public schools last season will be known for all time as Fowler's match. Never has a school cricketer risen to the occasion in more astonishing fashion. Harrow went in with only 55 to get, Fowler took command of the game, secured eight wickets—five of them bowled down—for 23 runs and brought off

what might fairly be described as a forty to one chance.

Until the second afternoon was far advanced the match proved one-sided to a degree. On the first day Harrow going in on a soft, but by no means difficult pitch, ran up a total of 232, and when bad light caused stumps to be drawn, five of Eton's best wickets had fallen for 40 runs. By far the best batting for Harrow was shown in different styles by Wilson and Hillyard. In first and out fifth wicket down at 133 Wilson took two hours and a quarter to get his 53, his play all the time being very patient and watchful. Hillyard, more vigorous in his methods, scored 62 in an hour and three-quarters, among his hits being a six to square leg and half-a-dozen 4's. On Saturday morning, Eton's first innings was soon finished off for 67, and a follow-on against a balance of 165 was involved. At first things went so badly that half the wickets were down for 65, no one being able to get the ball away on the slow pitch. The first change in the game came with the partnership between Fowler, and Wigan, 42 runs being added for the sixth wicket in fifty minutes. When Wigan left, Boswell, who had been last man in the first innings, joined Fowler and another good start was made, three-quarters of an hour's play producing 57 runs. Still despite Fowler's heroic efforts—his 64 was the highest innings in the match—the position was reached of Eton being only four runs ahead with a wicket to fall. Then began the cricket which will for ever make the match memorable. Kaye joined Manners, and so finely and fearlessly did Manners hit that in less than twenty-five minutes 50 runs were put on, the total being carried from 169 to 219. A remarkable catch in the slips at last brought the innings to an end, Hopley just reaching the ball and turning it to Jameson, who held it a few inches from the ground. There can be no doubt that Earle, the Harrow captain, who had made many changes in the early part of the innings, was at fault in keeping himself and Hillyard on too long. In the case of any ordinary match the ground would have been half empty before the Eton innings closed, but an Eton and Harrow crowd is a law to itself and when Harrow went in with 55 to get about 10,000 people watched the cricket. Whatever their feelings, they must have been glad they stayed as they may never see such

Boswell

a finish again. Probably Harrow made a mistake in having the heavy roller put on. At any rate Fowler was able at once to bowl his off-break with deadly effect. He bowled Wilson in the first over; at eight he bowled Hopley; and at the same total, Turnbull, the left-handed hitter, was caught in the long field. Earle seemed likely to win the match easily enough for Harrow, but after he had hit up 13 runs, a catch at slip sent him back at 21. Without the addition of a run, Monckton was bowled and Hillyard well caught low down at short mid-on. In this way, as the result of half an hour's cricket, six wickets were down for 21, Fowler having taken them all. Blount was caught and bowled at 26 by Steel, who had just gone on for Kaye, and then Jameson, who had been batting for nearly forty minutes without getting a run, was so badly hurt that for a few minutes the game had to be delayed. With victory in sight, the Eton team played the keenest possible cricket, nothing being thrown away in the field. A yorker bowled Straker at 29, and, after Graham had hit a three, Jameson was bowled by Fowler. It was not to be expected that Graham and Alexander would get the 23 runs still required, but they made a desperate effort, carrying the score to 45 or only ten to win. Then a catch low down in the slips got rid of Alexander and a wonderful match was over. The scene of enthusiasm at the finish was quite indescribable. From the time he went on at 21, Steel with his leg breaks gave Fowler excellent support and the Eton fielding all round was magnificent.

HAI	
Mr. T. O. Jameson c Lubbock b Fowler Mr. T. B. Wilson b Kaye Mr. G. W. V. Hopley b Fowler Mr. T. L. G. Turnbull lbw, b Fowler Mr. G. F. Earle c Wigan b Steel Mr. W. T. Monckton c Lubbock b Stock Mr. J. M. Hillyard st Lubbock b Fowler Mr. C. H. B. Blount c Holland b Steel Mr. A. C. Straker c Holland b Steel Mr. O. B. Graham c and b Steel Hon. R, H. L. G. Alexander not out	53 — b Fowler 0 35 — b Fowler 8 2 — c Boswell b Fowler 0 20 — c Wigan b Fowler 13 20 — b Fowler 0 62 — c Kave b Fowler 0
	$\frac{-}{45}$
Mr. R. H. Lubbock lbw, b Earle Mr. C. W. Tufnell b Hillyard Mr. W. T. Birchenough c Hopley b	9 — c Straker b Hillyard 9 5 — lbw, b Alexander 7
Graham	5 — c Turnbull b Jameson 22 2 — st Monckton b Alexander 5
Mr. A. I. Steel b Graham. Mr. D. G. Wigan c Turnbull b Jameson . Mr. A. B. Stock lbw, b Alexander Hon. J. N. Manners c Graham b Alex-	21 — c Earle b Hillyard 64 0 — c Hopley b Hillyard 6 8 — b Graham 16 2 — lbw, b Earle 0
ander	4 — not out 40
Mr. W. G. K. Boswell not out	0 — c Jameson b Earle 13 0 — b Earle 32 11 B 2, w 3 5
ETON BO	67 219 OWLING
Orrows Mdma Dama	Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
Fowler 37.3 9 90	4 10 2 23 8
Steel 31 11 69	$4 \dots 6.4 1 \qquad 12 2$
Kaye 12 5 23	$1 \dots 3 0 9 0$
Stock 7 2 12	d- I was enough to at the utily as had

17

		HA	RROW F	BOWLING.			BYB-Y	****	
	Overs	Mdns.		Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	
Earle	12	9	4	1	17.8	3	57	0	
Hillyard		9	38	2	23	7	65	3	
Fraham		7	3	2	8	12	33	1	
Jameson		1 100	4	2	9	1	26	1	
llexander		8 1 0	7	3	14	4	33	2	
Mexaduct		100	Wilso	n	2	2	0	0	

Umpires: J. Moss and J. P. Whiteside.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES.

Eton won by an inns, and 2 runs Harrow won by 13 runs		RESULTS OF TAST ETON A	IND II	MILLOUID.
Harrow won by 13 runs Harrow won by seven wickets Eton won by an inns. and 156 runs Harrow won by 13 runs Eton won by 165 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns. and 20 runs Eton won by 18 runs Eton won by 19 runs Eton won by 10 runs Eton won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Eton won by nine wickets Eton won by six wickets Eton won by 112 runs Unfinished Unfin	805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1867	
Harrow won by 87 runs Eton won by an innings and 19 runs Eton won by nine wickets Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by six wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by 18 runs Eton won by 18 runs Eton won by 18 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an innings and 30 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by an inns and 30 runs Eton won by an innings and 60 runs Eton won by an innings and 60 runs Eton won by an innings and 60 runs Eton won by 11 runs Eton won by 12 runs Eton won by 13 runs Eton won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 195 runs Eton won by 11 runs Et			1868	Harrow won by seven wickets
Eton won by an inns, and 32 runs Eton won by nine wickets Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by six wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by an inns, and 156 runs Eton won by five wickets Eton won by 165 runs Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by an innings and Eton won by 31 runs Iton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1884 Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by an innings and 1886 Eton won by an innings and 1887 Eton won by 17 runs Iton won by 160 runs Iton won by 40 runs Iton won by 17 runs Iton won by 180 runs Iton won by 1			1869	Eton won by an innings and 19
Eton won by nine wickets 1870 Eton won by six wickets 1871 Eton won by six wickets 1871 Eton won by an innings and 77 1872 Eton won by an innings and 178 1872 Eton won by an innings and 178 1873 1874 Harrow won by 165 runs 1875 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by an innings and 30 runs 1876 Eton won by 31 runs 1879 Harrow won by 45 runs 1871 Harrow won by 45 runs 1872 Harrow won by 46 runs 1873 Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs 1874 Inninished 1875 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1877 Inninished 1877 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1877 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1877 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1876 Inninished 1877 Inninished 1878 Inninished 1879 Inninished				
Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by three wickets Eton won by an inns, and 156 runs Harrow won by 13 runs Eton won by 165 runs Eton won by five wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by 31 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 50 runs Eton won by 50 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 1884 Harrow won by 31 runs Eton won by 50 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Eton won by 50 runs Eton won by 120 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Eton won by 120 runs Unfinished Unfinished Eton won by 120 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 4 runs Unfinished Unfini		Eton won by nine wickets	1870	
Eton won by six wickets Eton won by an inns, and 156 runs Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by nine wickets Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Harrow won by 31 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 31 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 57 runs				Eton won by an innings and 77
Eton won by three wickets Eton won by an inns, and 156 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by 18 runs Eton won by 165 runs Eton won by hine wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by an inns, and 30 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 65 runs Harrow won by 60 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and 60 runs Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 195 runs Eton won by an innings and 196 runs Eton won by inte wickets Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Infinished			1011	
Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by ine wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs Harrow won by 40 runs Harrow won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by 11 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by 23 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 195 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 195 runs Harrow won b		Eton won by six wickers	1879	
Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by nine wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 23 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 156 run				
Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by 165 runs Harrow won by nine wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by 31 runs Harrow won by 31 runs Harrow won by 31 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1884 Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Harrow won by an innings and 135 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1885 Harrow won by an innings and 69 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1885 Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 112 r				
Eton won by 165 runs Harrow won by nine wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by aninns. and 30 runs Eton won by alruns Harrow won by 31 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1881 Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Harrow won by an innings and 135 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 47 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by 10 runs Harrow won by 110 runs Harrow wo				
### Reform won by nine wickets Eton won by eight wickets 1878 1878 1878 1878 1878 1879 1878 1879 1878 1879				
Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 195 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by 41 runs Eton won by 41 runs Eton won by 156 runs Eton won by 164 runs Eton won by 17 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by 1889 Harrow won by 198 runs Eton won by 198 runs Harrow won by 198 runs Eton w			1876	
Eton won by aninns, and 30 runs Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by aninns, and 175 runs Eton won by aninns, and 175 runs Eton won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 185 Eton won by an innings and 186 Eton won by 112 runs 1882 Unfinished 1883 Unfinished 1884 Harrow won by three wickets Eton won by six wickets Eton won by five wickets 1885 Harrow won by 41 runs 1886 Harrow won by 41 runs 1887 Harrow won by 57 runs 1881 Harrow won by 112 runs 1882 Unfinished 1883 Unfinished 1884 Harrow won by three wickets 1885 Harrow won by 156 runs 1886 Harrow won by 41 runs 1889 Harrow won by seven wickets 1890 Harrow won by seven wickets 1891 Harrow won by 82 runs 1880 Unfinished 1880 Harrow won by 112 runs 1881 Harrow won by three wickets 1882 Unfinished 1883 Harrow won by 112 runs 1884 Harrow won by twe wickets 1885 Harrow won by 156 runs 1886 Harrow won by 41 runs 1889 Harrow won by seven wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890			*	
Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by an inning. and 175 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 1886 Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 17 runs Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 1889 Harrow won by 195 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by three wickets Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 1880 Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by tire wickets Eton won by tire wickets Harrow won by 1881 Harrow won by five wickets Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by seven wickets Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by seven wickets Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by seven wickets Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by three wickets Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by one wickets Unfinished Harrow won by nine wickets Harrow won by nine wic	1837	Eton won by eight wickets		
Eton won by eight wickets Eton won by 31 runs Eton won by 31 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 195 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 82 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 1885 Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by 195 runs Harrow won by 195 runs Harrow won by 100 runs Harrow won by 110 runs Harrow won by 111 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 11880 Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 118 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow won by 118 runs Harrow won by 112 runs Harrow	1838	Eton won by an inns. and 30 runs		
Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1884 Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 1885 Harrow won by three wickets Eton won by an innings and 1885 Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 1899 Harrow won by 1904 Funs Harrow won by 1899 Unfinished Harrow won by 1890 Unfinished Harrow won by 1804 runs	1839	Eton won by eight wickets		
Eton won by an inns. and 175 runs Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1884 Harrow won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by an innings and 1886 Harrow won by an innings and 1887 Eton won by an innings and 1887 Eton won by an innings and 1888 Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 17 runs 1891 Harrow won by 1890 Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Harrow won by 64 runs 1894 Harrow won by 17 runs 1895 Harrow won by 17 runs 1896 Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs 1900 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by inne wickets 1903 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1905 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1906 Harrow won by 10 runs 1892 Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by 19 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1907 Harrow won by 10 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by an innings and 1906 Harrow won by 10 runs 1906 Harrow won by 20 runs 1906 Harrow won by 41 runs 1882 Unfinished 1885 Harrow won by 156 runs 1889 Harrow won by seven wickets 1899 Harrow won by 64 runs 1885 Harrow won by 64 runs 1886 Harrow won by 156 runs 1889 Harrow won by 64 runs 1889 Harrow won by 64 runs 1880 Harrow won by 156 runs 1889 Harrow won by 64 runs 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by 64 runs 1890 Harrow won by 10 runs 1890 Harrow	1840	Eton won by 31 runs		
Harrow won by 65 runs Harrow won by 20 runs Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by 4 runs Harrow	1841		1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
Harrow won by 20 runs He Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by nine wickets Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 177 runs Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by 19 runs Harrow won by 18 run		Harrow won by 65 runs	1882	Unfinished
Eton won by an innings and 69 runs Eton won by an innings and 1885 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by six wickets Eton won by five wickets Eton won by 156 runs 1889 Harrow won by 166 runs 1890 Harrow won by 1890 Harrow won by seven wickets Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by nine wickets 1890 Harrow won by seven wickets Eton won by nine wickets 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Harrow won by 64 runs 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Harrow won by 64 runs 1893 Unfinished 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1893 Harrow won by an innings and 1896 Harrow won by an innings and 1897 Harrow won by one wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Harrow won by 64 runs 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by one wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Harrow won by one wickets 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by one wickets 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Harrow won by one wickets 1893 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Harrow won by one wickets 1895 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by one wickets 1899 Harrow won by one wickets 1899 Harrow won by one wickets 1899 Harrow won by one wickets			1883	Unfinished
Eton won by an innings and 194 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by an innings and 1885 Eton won by five wickets Eton won by 156 runs 1889 Harrow won by 156 runs 1890 Unfinished Eton won by seven wickets 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Harrow won by seven wickets 1893 Eton won by seven wickets 1894 Harrow won by 64 runs 1895 Harrow won by 98 runs 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Harrow won by seven wickets 1893 Unfinished 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1899 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1891 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Harrow won by nine wickets 1893 Eton won by nine wickets 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1899 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1891 Harrow won by nine wickets 1893 Eton won by nine wickets 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1899 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1891 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Harrow won by nine wickets 1893 Eton won by nine wickets 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1899 Unfinished 1890 Unfini			1884	Unfinished
Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by nine wickets Eton won by 125 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 13 runs Harrow won by 14 runs Harrow won by 15 runs Harrow won by 150 runs Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 150 runs Harrow won by 1899 Unfinished Harrow	0.000		1885	Harrow won by three wickets
Eton won by an innings and 135 runs Eton won by nine wickets Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Eton won by 12 runs Harrow won by 13 runs Harrow won by 14 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 1890 Harrow won by 1890 Harrow won by 1891 Harrow	210			Eton won by six wickets
135 runs Eton won by nine wickets Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Harrow won by 12 runs Eton won by 12 runs Harrow won by 13 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 17 runs Harrow won by 1892 Harrow won by 1893 Harrow won by 1894 Hunfinished Harrow won by 1895 Harrow won by 1895 Harrow won by 1894 Hunfinished Harrow won by 1895 Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Harrow won by 156 runs Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 64 runs Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets Harrow won by sev	1846			
Eton won by nine wickets Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 41 runs Eton won by 77 runs Eton won by seven wickets Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by 8r runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs Unfinished Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by one wicket 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1901 Harrow won by eight wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1500 Unfinished 1500 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1550 Unfinished 1550 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1550 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1550 Unfinished 1590 Unfinished 1590 Harrow won by one wickets 1680 1889 Harrow won by 64 runs 1891 Harrow won by 64 runs 1891 Harrow won by seven wickets 1892 Unfinished 1893 Unfinished 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1892 Unfinished 1893 Unfinished 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1900 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Harrow won	1010			
Harrow won by 41 runs Harrow won by 77 runs Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by 64 runs Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by 11 runs Harrow won by 8 runs Harrow won by 18 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and Unfinished Harrow won by nine wickets Unfinished Harrow won by nine wickets Harrow won by one wickets Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by one wickets Harrow won by ten wickets Harrow won by one wickets Harrow won by ten wickets Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by one wickets Harr	10.47			
Harrow won by 77 runs Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 1 runs Harrow won by 8 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and Truns Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and Truns Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and Truns Harrow won by an innings and To runs Harrow won by ten wickets Harrow won by an innings and To runs Harrow won by ten wickets Harrow won by ten w		Homow won by 41 runs		
Eton won by seven wickets Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by nine wickets 1893 Harrow won by nine wickets 1894 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Unfinished 1896 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Unfinished 1899 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by ten wickets 1902 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by nine wickets 1895 Unfinished 1896 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1895 Unfinished 1897 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1909 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs				Harrow won by seven wickets
Harrow won by eight wickets Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by three wickets Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by inne wickets 1895 Harrow won by nine wickets 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by nine wickets 1899 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by nine wickets 1890 Unfinished 1890 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1900 154 runs 1590 Unfinished 154 runs 1590 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1590 Unfinished 1890 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Har				
Harrow won by 71 runs Harrow won by three wickets Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4 runs 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4 runs 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4 runs 1907 Harrow won by 57 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4 runs 1908 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1895 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1898 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1896 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1896 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1896 Unfinished 1897 Unfinished 1896 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1896 Eton won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1896 Eton won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1905 Unfinished 1906 Unfinished 1907 Harrow won by one wickets 1909 Unfinished 1898 Harrow won by one wickets 1909 Harrow won by one wic		Tamer was by seven wickets		
Harrow won by three wickets Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 12 1905 Unfinished 1907 Harrow won by ten wickets 1908 Harrow won by four wickets 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1901 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1905 Unfinished 1907 Harrow won by ten wickets 1908 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by ten wickets 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Unfinished 1908 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by one wickets 1900 Harrow won by eight wickets 1901 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by an innings and 1907 Unfinished 1908 Unfinished 1909 Unfini				
Harrow won by 98 runs Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by ten wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 154 runs 154 runs 167 runs 1705 1896 Harrow won by nine wickets 1897 Harrow won by one wickets 1908 Harrow won by eight wickets 1908 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1506 Unfinished 154 runs 167 runs 1706 1707 1708 1708 1708 1708 1708 1708 1708				
### Harrow won by an innings and 66 runs ### Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs #### Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs ###################################				
66 runs Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Harrow won by an innings and Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Harrow won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs Harrow won by an innings and Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by an innings and 1906 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by nine wickets 1900 Harrow won by innings and 1901 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 12 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Harrow won by four wickets 1906 Harrow won by an innings and 1907 Harrow won by four wickets 1908 Harrow won by nine wickets 1900 Harrow won by one wicket 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1905 Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by nine wickets 1900 Harrow won by one wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1905 Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by eight wickets 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1908 Harrow won by nine wickets				
Harrow won by an innings and 7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished 1900 Harrow won by ten wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by an innings and 1905 Tuns Harrow won by an innings and 1906 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4n innings and 1909 Unfinished 1906 Unfinished 1907 Unfinished 1908 Unfinished 1909	855			
7 runs Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs Unfinished Unfinished Unfinished Eton won by 64 runs Unfinished Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs Harrow won by an innings and 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets Eton won by an innings and 154 runs Unfinished Tous Unfinished 1905 Harrow won by an innings and 1906 Eton won by an innings and 12 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished Tuns Unfinished 1906 Harrow won by ten wickets 1907 Harrow won by one wicket 1908 Eton won by an innings and 12 1909 Unfinished 1901 Harrow won by one wicket 1902 Harrow won by one wicket 1902 Harrow won by one wickets 1903 Unfinished 154 runs 1904 Harrow won by one wickets 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1906 Unfinished 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by one wickets 1908 Harrow won by eight wickets 1908 154 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 154 runs 1908 Harrow won by one wickets 1908 Harrow won by one wickets 1908 Itarrow won by one wickets				
Harrow won by an innings and 48 runs 1901 Harrow won by ten wickets 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 1904 Eton won by an innings and 1904 Eton won by an innings and 1905 Unfinished 1906 Eton won by four wickets 1907 Harrow won by ten wickets 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1909 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished	358	Harrow won by an innings and		
48 runs Unfinished 1902 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 154 runs 154 runs 154 runs 154 runs 156 Harrow won by an innings and 157 runs 167 runs 1790 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by an innings and 12 1904 Eton won by an innings and 12 1905 Unfinished 1906 Eton won by four wickets 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished				
S60 Unfinished Unfinished 1903 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1504 Eton won by an innings and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by an innings and 12 runs 1905 1906 Eton won by an innings and 1907 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4n innings and 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by 4n innings and 154 runs 1907 Harrow won by 100 runs 1908 Harrow won by 100 runs 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfinished 150 runs 1909 Unfinished 1909 Unfin	359	Harrow won by an innings and		
S61 Unfinished Eton won by 64 runs 1904 Eton won by an innings and 12		48 runs		
S62 Eton won by 64 runs Unfinished S64 Harrow won by an innings and S65 Harrow won by an innings and S66 Harrow won by an innings and S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S66 Harrow won by an innings and S67 runs S67 runs S68 Lton won by an innings and S79 runs S69 Harrow won by an innings and S79 runs S69 Harrow won by an innings and S79 runs S60 Unfinished		Unfinished	1903	Eton won by an innings and
S03 Unfinished S04 Harrow won by an innings and 67 runs S05 Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs S06 Harrow won by an innings and S06 Harrow won by an innings and S07 runs S08 Unfinished S08 runs S09 Unfinished S09 Unfinished S09 Pruns S09 Eton won by four wickets S09 Harrow won by an innings and S09 Unfinished S09 Unfinished S09 Unfinished		Unfinished		
Sign Unfinished Sign Harrow won by an innings and sign Harrow won by an in	862	Eton won by 64 runs	1904	Eton won by an innings and 12
67 runs 1906 Eton won by four wickets 1907 Harrow won by an innings and 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished	863			runs
67 runs 1906 Eton won by four wickets Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1909 Unfinished	864		1905	Unfinished
Harrow won by an innings and 51 runs 1908 Harrow won by an innings and 1909 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished				Eton won by four wickets
51 runs 1908 Harrow won by ten wickets 1909 Unfinished	365			
866 Harrow won by an innings and 1909 Unfinished	1 79			
	366			
Too totto	100			
		rao tanta	1010	and a tide of arise a seed

Eighty-five matches have been played, of which Eton have won thirty-two Harrow thirty-five, and eighteen have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857, for boys under twenty, which has been rejected. The result of a match in 1800, won by Eton, has recently been discovered and some other matches are known to have been played but no records of them have yet been found.

Seventeen three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows:—

183	D. C. Boles	(in 1904), Eton			l (in 1860), Harrow
152	E. Bayley	(in 1841), Eton			(in 1869) Eton
142	T. G. O. Cole	(in 1897), Harrow			(in 1888), Harrow
135	A. K. Watson	(in 1885), Harrow	101	H. C. Pilkingto	on(in 1896), Eton
124	J. H. Stogden	(in 1895), Harrow			(in 1815), Harrow
		et(in 1896), Eton	100	E. N. S. Cranl	k-
		The second of th		shaw	(in 1903), Eton
117	A. W. Ridley	(in 1871), Eton	100*	M. C. Bird	(in 1907), Harrow
	C. P. Foley	(in 1886), Eton	131	M. C. Bird	(in 1907), Harrow
	W. F. Forbes	(in 1876), Eton			

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, now the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie, Bird in 1907 by scoring 100 not out and 131 performed a feat without precedent in the match.

* Signifies not out.

July 8, 9, Grantham	{	M.C.C	1st inns. 294 41	2nd inns. 99* 61	Result. Won by 291 runs *Seven wickets down, Declared.
July 9, Enfield	.{	M.C.C. Enfield	290* 96	=}	Won by 194 runs. *Seven wickets down. Declared.
July 9, Wimbledon	{	M C.C, WIMBLEDON	227 210	= }	Won by 17 runs.
July 9, Esher	{	M.C.C. ESHER	87 96	- }	Lost by nine runs.
July 11, 12, Folkestone	{	M.C.C	237 284	$\frac{123}{77*}$	Lost by ten wickets. *No wicket down.
July 11, 12, Southampton	{	M.C.C. Trojans	215 175	144* 183 }	Won by eight wickets. *Two wickets down.
		M.C.C. OATLANDS PARK		-	Won by one wicket and 50 runs. *Nine wickets down.

Allowett vo		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result. Won by 147 runs.
July 13, Dulwich	M.C.C	265* 118		Won by 147 runs. *Seven wkts.dwn. Dcld. *Three wickets drawn.
July 13, Wanstead	M.C.C	210* 265	= }	Drawn. *Five wickets down.
July 13, 14, Rugby	M.C.C	226 71	- }	Won by innings and 12 runs.
July 14, Lord's	M.C.C. ROYAL ACADEMY OF ARTS	310* s 13 9	89†}	Won by 171 runs. *One wkt. down. Dcld. †Four wickets down.
Jul y 14 , Chiswick {	M.C.C	244 7 3	53*}	Won by 171 runs. *Three wickets down.
July 14, Reading	M.C.C. READING	200* 149	=}	Won by one wicket and 51 runs. *Nine wickets down.
July 15, 16, Lord's	M.C.C. EGYPT AND THE SOUDAN	307 196	291*) 175	Won by 227 runs. *Seven wickets down. Declared.
July 15, 16, Portsmouth	M C.C	458* 207	- 144 }	Won by innings and 107 runs. *Eight wickets down. Deld.
July 15, 16, { Leamington	M.C.C. LEAMINGTON	142 157	162* 145 }	Won by two wickets. *Eight wickets down.
July 16, Hampstead {	M.C.C. HAMPSTEAD	218 245	= }	Lost by 27 runs.
July 16, W. Kensington	M.C.C. St. Paul's School	282* 124	= }	Won by 158 runs. *Eight wickets down. Declared.
July 16, Orpington {	M.U.C. GODDINGTON	205 122	= }	Won by 83 runs.
July 18, Coventry	M.C.C. COVENTRY AND NORTH WARWICKSHIRE	124 1 242	-)	Lost by four wickets and 118 runs. *Six wickets down.
July 19, 20, Warwick {	M.C.C GENTS. OF WARWICK	183 88	- }	Won by innings and six runs.

$\left.\begin{array}{c} \text{July 20,} \\ \text{Radley } \dots \end{array}\right\}$	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 137 — 62* — }	Result. Drawn, *Five wickets down.
July 20, Tufnell Park {	M.C.C. St. Pangras C.C.	$ \begin{bmatrix} 126 & - \\ 142 & - \end{bmatrix} $	Lost by 16 runs.
	M.C.C	100 50*	Lost by 77 runs. *Four wickets down.
July 21, Dunchurch {	M.C.C	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 58 & 64^* \\ 276 & - \end{array} \right\} $	Lost by 218 runs. *Six wickets down.
$\begin{array}{c} \text{July 22, 23,} \\ \text{Lord's} & \dots \end{array} \bigg\{$	M.C.C	$\left\{\begin{array}{cc} 422^{*} & - \\ 181 & 215 \end{array}\right\}$	Won by innings and 26 runs. *Six wkts. down. Deld.
July 22, 23, Bury St. Edmunds	M C.C	$214 - \\ 206* - $	Drawn. *Four wickets down.
July 22, 23, Burghley Park {	M.C.C	$\begin{array}{cc} 113 & 46* \\ 69 & 86 \end{array}$	Won by six wickets. *Four wickets down.
July 23, Beddington {	M.C.C. BEDDINGTON	$\frac{111}{79} \frac{-}{52*}$	Won by 32 runs. *Three wickets down.
July 23, Sandhurst	M.C.C	0114	Drawn. *Nine wickets down. †Nine wkts. down. Deld.
July 23, Vincent Square	M. C.C	204 - 114 -	Won by 90 runs.
Lord's	ROYAL ARTILLERY ROYAL ENGINEERS	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 214 & 55* \\ 116 & 149 \end{array} $	Royal Artiflery won by nine wickets. *One wicket down.
	M.C.C. LINCOLN LINDUM	86 151 183 58*}	Lost by four wickets. *Six wickets down.
July 25, Windsor	M.C.C	131 87* 33 }	Won by 98 runs. *Nine wickets down.
July 25, Colchester	M.C.C. COLCHESTER AND EAST ESSEX	265* — }	Drawn, *Six wkts, down, Deld. †Eight wickets down.
July 26, Norbury {	M.C.C,	184 — }	Won by 14 runs.
July 26, Maidstone	M.C.C. THE MOTE	209 — }	Drawn. *Nine wickets down.
July 26, {	M.C.C. EPSOM	$\frac{77}{98} \frac{-}{167} $	Lost by 21 runs. *Three wickets down.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 27, 28.—Rugby gained a brilliant victory over Marlborough by an innings and seven runs—a result brought about by the dashing batting of Andrews and the highly effective bowling of Linnington and Le Gros. After Marlborough had been dismissed in two hours and forty minutes for 221, of which number Shuldham contributed 70 out of the first 107 in an hour, Rugby put together 340 for the loss of eight wickets, Andrews making 103 out of 213 in seventy minutes. On the second day thirteen runs were added to Rugby's total, and Marlborough, utterly at sea with Le Gros' fast right handed bowling, were got rid of a second time for 125 and soundly beaten. Linnington carried off the bowling honours on the first day and Le Gros on the second, the latter taking nine wickets for 49 runs. Between them the pair accounted for all twenty wickets. The defeat of Marlborough was a great surprise.

MARLBOROUGH.

	1 1 T C 2
Mr. W. F. Q. Shuldham b Linningto	on 70 — c Boddington b Le Gros 3
Mr. E. A. Shaw c King b Linnington	
MI. D. A. Dilaw C King b Dilling	7
Mr. R. B. Lagden c King b Linning	1 7 0 95
Mr. C. Patteson b Le Gros	23 — b Le Gros 25
Mr. L. D. Womersley c Le Gros b	Lin-
nington	
nington	
Mr. P. C. Vellacott b Le Gros	7
Mr. R. D. Cochrane b Linnington .	5 — b Le Gros 7
Mr. G. T. B. Harvey not out	11 — b Le Gros
Mr. T. E. M. Battersby b Linningto	n 1 — c Selincourt b Le Gros 25
MI. I. M. Davelby o Dining	
Mr. W. H. B. Mirriees b Linnington	
M. D & Brongon e King h Linning	rton 8 — not out
D 11 l h 1 w 1 n-h 1	B 6, l-b 1, n-b 3 10
B 11, 1-0 1, W 1, 11-0 1	17 20,100,
	125
	221

RUGBY.

RUGBY BOWLING.

		1000	DI DO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts. Overs	Mdns. Runs Wkts.
Linnington	23.1	1	79	8 21	
Le Gros		2	78	$2 \dots 17.3$	
Andrews		0	9	0 5	0 34 0
Elliot		1	16	0 4	1 9 0
		MARI	LBOROU	GH BOWLING.	
Lagden	25	- 8	54	4	
Branson		1	57	2	
Womersley		i	107	2	
Mirrlees	8	1	35	0	
Battersby	16.4	3	52	2	
Patteson		0	19	0	

Umpires: W. A. J. West and A. J. Atfield.

RESULTS OF RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

Year.	Won by.	Ground.	Year.	Won by.	Grounds.
1855	Rugby, ten wickets	Lord's	1884	Marlborough, eight	
1856	Rugby, five wickets	11			Lord's
1857	Rugby, inns. and 83	t must blune	1885	Drawn	"
	runs	Oval	1886	Rugby, 37 runs	
1860	Rugby, inns. and 50	tati _entre	1887	Marlborough, 217 runs	,,,
	runs	Lord's	1889	Marlborough, six wkts	, ,
1862	Marlborough inns, and		1890	Marlborough, 145 runs	3
	17 runs		1891	Drawn	,,
1863	Rugby, 83 runs	Oval	1892	Marlborough, inns.	1)
1864	Rugby, inns. and 83	nito redired		and 78 runs	
	runs	Islington	1893	Drawn	"
1865	Rugby, nine wickets	Lord's	1894	Rugby, inns. and 82	,,
1866	Drawn	7	1 2002	runs	
1867	Rugby, six wickets	Oval	1895	Rugby. 70 runs	,1
1868	Rugby, inns. and 133	Ovai	1896	Rugby, inns. and 35	;;
1000	runs	Rugby	1000	runs	
1869	Rugby, 179 runs	Lord's	1897	Marlborough, three	"
1870	Rugby, five wickets	Marlboro	1001	wickets	
1871	Marlborough, 68 runs	Lord's	1898	Drawn	"
1872	Marlborough, nine wk	L	1899	Marlborough, 225 run))
1873	Rugby, eight wickets		1900	Rugby, nine wickets	
1874	Rugby, five wickets	11	1901	Marlborough, five	"
1875	Rugby, inns. and 35))	1001	wickets	
1010	runs	"	1902	Rugby, 29 runs	"
1876	Marlborough, five wkt	"	1903	Drawn	"
1877	Marlborough, 196 runs		1904	Marlborough, nine	"
1878	Rugby, inns. and 24	"	1301	wickets	
1010	runs		1905	Drawn	,,
1879	Rugby, 97 runs	"	1906		"
1880		,,	1907	Rugby, 227 runs	"
1000	Rugby, inns. and 120		1908	Rugby, 84 runs	, ,1
1001	runs Pughar two wiekets	,,		Mariborough, 200 runs	
1881	Rugby, two wickets	11	1909	Marlborough, six wkts	5. ,,
1882	Marlborough, five wkt	s. ,,	1910	Rugby, inns. and 7	
1883	Drawn	"		runs	"

Of the 52 matches Rugby have won 27 and Marlborough 17 The remaining eight were unfinished.

July 27, Horley	M.C.C	1st inns. 275* 63	inns.	Result. Won by six wickets and 212 runs, *Four wickets down,
July 27, Slough	M.C.C. SLOUGH	165 176	= }	Lost by 11 runs.
July 27, Finsbury	M.C.C	141 79	81* 59†	Won by 62 runs. *Four wickets down. Deld. †Seven wkts. down
July 28, East Acton	M.C.C	215 121		Won by 94 runs. *Seven wickets down,

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 29, 30.—There was some brilliant hitting at the opening of this School match, no fewer than 587 runs being scored for the loss of thirteen wickets in the course of a long day's cricket. Cheltenham batted first and declared their innings with nine men out for 471. They made runs at the rate of 110 an hour, Dew and Durand starting by putting together 160 for the first partnership Dew was second out, just before luncheon, with the total at 267. He hit a 5 and eleven 4's, and was betting two hours and twenty-five minutes. Further attractive hitting was before luncheon, with the total at 267. He lift a 5 and eleven 4.5, and was batting two hours and twenty-five minutes. Further attractive hitting was shown by Wise and Fawcett. Haileybury lost three wickets for 116 on the Friday, and on the following day, having to follow against 292, they were put out a second time for 289, and were thus beaten by an innings and three runs. Vincent, their top scorer in the first innings, made a superb effort to lessen the severity of the reverse by contributing 118 not out in three hours—a most praiseworthy

the reverse by con-	tributii	1g 110 .	not out	ill oil	100 110	ars a	1		
display.			CHELTE	MAHA					
Mr. J. F. Dew c Mu Mr. R. H. M. Duran Mr A. V. D. Wise r Mr. B. J. A. Fawcett Mr. G. K. Olliver h Mr. G. E. C. Wood	id c and un out. tst Geer it wkt, c Patry	wle l b Pawl n b Mun b Pawl b Gar	.112 le 64 . 83 t 80 e 0	Mr. I Mr. I Mr. (R. H. S S. D. V A. A. M P. A. S	Smith c Vood no I. Dura helmer	and b I ot out and b Ga dine not	ardiner Munt . ardiner . t out	. 25 . 6 . 1
diner									*471
		* Innii	ngs ded	elared	closed.				
			HAILEY	RITRY					
Mr. F. M. Strawson Mr. H. D. Hake b S	mith .	. Wood		0	c Smi b G. I	th b A. O. Wood	Durand d	l	. 17
Mr. H H. Sloane-	Stanley	y c Faw	cett b	2 —	c R. I	Durand	b Sheln	nerdine	. 11
Mr. H. G. Vincent I Mr. K. P. Smith b	Smith			36	lbw, k	Shelm	erdine.		22
Mr. L. E. Russell c Wood	G. E. \	Wood b	G. D.	11 —	c and	b A. D	urand		. 27
Mr. W. P. Geen rur	out			20 -	bA.]	Durand			. 1
Mr B Pawlec A.]	Durand	b G. D.	Wood						
Mr TT TO Dadwer of	DOTTE h	1 1) W	non	4 —	b R.	Durand	rond		
Mr. H. H. Gardiner	cA. D	urand t	Smith	10 —	h She	lmerdi	ne		. 5
Mr. E. R. Munt not B 22, 1-b 3.	tout.				B 1	5, 1-b 3	, w 1, n	-b 1	. 20
D 22, 1-0 5.						DA DA	10 AS		
				179					289
		HAIL	EYBURY		LING.	1		D . T	X71-4
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	. 0	vers	Mdns.	Runs V	WKIS.
Gardiner	30	2	127	3					
Munt	28	2	113	2					
Pawle	14	0	75	3					
Smith	6	0	37	0					
Russell	3	0	17	0					
Patry	3	0	12	0					
Strawson	2	0	13	0				STEEL BE	
Vincent	8	1	42	0					
	H.CU.	CHE	LTENHA	M Boy	VLING.			BUSHINES CO.	0.17
Smith	26.2	9	52	4		21	5	64	0
G. D. Wood	29	11	67	5		17	5	55	2
A. A. M. Durand.	8	2	24	0		19	6	61	4
A. A. M. Durand.	5	3	7	0		13.5	1	48	3

Umpires: Richardson and A. J. Atfleld.

2

0

0 13.5

9

Shelmerdine

R. H. M. Durand

~ ~	~	~	-			
M.	11	11	/1/2	101	10	200
DIL.	U.	U.	TATE	u	UI	uco.

July 29, 30, Cheltenham {	M.C.C EAST GLOUGESTERSHIRE	1st inns. 277 349	2nd inns. 65* 84† }	Result. Drawn. *Four wickets down. †Eight wkts. down. Deld
July 29, Berkhampstead {	M.C.C	354* 182	= },	Won by 172 runs. *Four wkts.down, Deld.
Sutton {	M C.C. Sutron	145 177	90 *}	Lost by 32 runs. *Three wickets down.
July 30, Maidenhead	M C.C	190 129	= }	Won by 61 runs.
July 30, High Wycombe {	M.C.C. High Wycombe	155 110	- 48*}	Won by 45 runs. *Iwo wickets down.
July 30, Sevenoaks {	M.C.C. SEVENOAKS	148 89	18* }	Won by ten wickets. *No wicket down.

M.C.C. v. PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, August 1, 2.—Outplayed on Bank Holiday despite a fine innings by E. C. K. Clarke of Westminster, the Schools made a brilliant attempt to snatch a victory on the second day, and in the end only lost by two wickets. At the end of Monday's cricket, with an innings apiece completed, the boys had made 22 without loss at their second attempt, and were still 104 runs behind. On resuming on the Tuesday, F. H. Knott of Tonbridge, who afterwards did such brilliant work for Kent, scored 155 out of 242 in less than two hours and a half, hitting one 5 and nineteen 4's—chiefly drives and skilful strokes on the leg side. In the end the M.C.C., put in to make 125 on a difficult wicket had eight men out for 72, but they won without further loss. Fowler of Eton dismissed six batsmen for 49 runs, and by getting rid of Lee. Ricketts, and Christie with consecutive balls did the "hat trick." Lee, Ricketts, and Christie with consecutive balls did the "hat trick."

	SCHOOLS.
I. P. F. Campbell (Repton) c Moorhouse	
b Ricketts	12 — c Fox b Moorhouse 14
F. H. Knott (Tonbridge) b Tharratt	0 — c Leney b Moorhouse155
D. J. Knight (Malvern) c Lee b Ricketts	23 — c Ricketts b White 41
R. K. Simms (Lancing) b Lee	15 — c Wynyard b Leney 0
R. St. L. Fowler (Eton) c Torrens b	to o fight and a Helicy o
Ricketts	6 — b Moorhouse 5
E. C. K. Clarke (Westminster) run out	79 — c White b Moorhouse 1
H. W. Leatham (Charterhouse) c Fox b	1
Ricketts	6 — b Moorhouse 6
O. B. Graham (Harrow) c Fox b Ricketts	27 — run out 6
C. G. Tomlin (Uppingham) c Tharratt b	
Ricketts	2 — b Moorhouse 0
O. W. Sichel (Harrow) not out	15 — c Ricketts b Lee 1
N. W. Wells-Cole (Winchester) b Lee	1 — not out 0
B 3, l-b 1, w 1	
20,101, 111	5 B 16, 1-b 7, W 1, n-b 1 25
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	91 254
That Arbeit . I RESTURN DE BUS-	91 254

			M.	C.C.					
Mr. G. W. Rickett Mr. R. H. Fox e T Capt. H. H. C. Ba H. E. White c Fox Lee b Wells-Cole Mr. J. Christie b V Capt. E. G. Wyny Mr. B. Leney c an LieutCol. H. C. I Clarke Mr. A. A. Torrens A. Tharratt not on B 16, I-b 10	omlin bird b Le vler b Si Vells-Co ard b W d b Fow Moorhou b Simm ut	Clarke atham mms le 'ells-Col 'ler se c Gr	e aham b	32 22 19 14 17 8 2 54 2	- c T - no - lb - c C - c J - no	Comlin b t out w, b Fov Fowler Clarke b Knott b ot out Fowler	Fowler Wells-Cover Fowler Fowler Fowler Fowler Fowler I F	ole	15 12 0 0 5 55
				317					129
			M.C.C.	Bow	LING.				
	Overs	Mdns	Runs	Wkt	S.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Tharratt	6	1	29	1		. 8	3	37	0
Ricketts	20	4	60	6			0	24	0
Lee	14.4	2	56	2		. 5.1	2	24	1
Torrens	4	0	27	0		. 5	1	10	0
Wynyard	4	0	14	0		. 3	1	9	0
A PROPERTY OF SO				rhous			3	57	6
				te			2	41	1
				y			0	24	1
		-							
		PUBLI	c Schoo	or Bo	WLI			_teduA	HOL WEY
Simms	10.4	1	73	3		. 2	1	8	0
Wells-Cole	15	2	54	3		. 16	4	34	1
Fowler	15	0	56	1		. 20.2	9	49	6
Clarke	11	1	42	2		. 6	0	22	0
Graham	6	1	33	0					
Leatham	5	0	33	1					
	1 1						. A starte		
				1	st	2nd	O trool	RESTRIC	
					nns.	inns.		esult.	
August 1,	M.C.C				109	78	Won b	y 36 ru	ns.
Cuckfield	Cucki	FIELD			73	68* 5	*Five w	ickets d	own.
myoh steafolg	· Was	,	g &		250		Won he	. 100	ng
August 1,	M.C.C			• • •	258	79* }	*Four w	y 109 rui	
Finchley	FINCE	ILEY			149	19")	· rour w	ickets c	lown,
August 1,	(1 1	TEST .	- 1 - 1 -)	Won h	y 235 ru	ins.
Henley-on-		D			296*	- 1		ckets do	
Thames	HENL	EY			61	- 1		clared.	
I Hallies	,					,	_	ozuroa,	
Amount 0 4	MO	4	138		970				
August 3, 4,		J			379		I	rawn.	
Lord's	(TORO:	NTO ZIN	GARI		400	-)			
has suntral ve		E 1.70	144				The state of	00	
August 3,	M C.C				121	95*)	Lost b		
East Molesey \	EAST .	MOLESEY			151		*Five w	ickets d	own.

\[\begin{pmatrix} M.C.C. \\ Cane \text{Hill} \\ \\ \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{151} \quad \\ \end{pmatrix}

Won by six wickets and 39 runs.
*Four wickets down.

August 3, Coulsdon

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1910.

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, May 21.—St. Paul's won by an innings and 159 runs. Fender took four wickets for 16 runs, and in his 146 hit four 6's and twenty-one 4's. Buckley hit one 6 and nineteen 4's.

on one 4 s. Duckley into one 6 and 1	aineteen 4's.
R. M. Dexter c Fender b Sellers. G. F. Timson lbw, b Norman H. G. Hawkins b Norman S. E. Parsons b Fender T. A. Higham b Norman D. C. Smith b Fender G. P. Douglas b Norman A. C. Altham c James b Buckley T B. Leicester c Cumming b Fender W. S. Thomas not out C. A. Richardson b Fender	6 - c Boyd b Buckley 9 4 - not out 39 1 - b Sellers 0 0 - run out 13 4 - lbw, b Pollard 4 0 - b James 9
	49 132
ST. PAUL'	S School.
S. I. James c Hawkins b Leicester 38 R. T. Pollard b Timson	Byes, &c

* Innings declared closed. .

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 21 .- Drawn.

C. E. Sellers c Hawkins b Higham 4 J. Norman

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL

P. H. Wells c Cherry b Warton 46 T. C. King c Massie b Mills 38 R. E. Sneath c Hilton b Mills 9 B. V. Susskind c Seymour b Mills 11 K. T. W. Smart c Seymour b Mills 23 D. B. Read c Hilton b Graham 5 C. C. Aston c Newman b Mills 3	G. Goodyear not out. 1 J. D. Mullins b Graham 4 R. K. Morris c Seymour b Mills 0 A. F. Reilly b Graham 1 Byes, &c 9
---	---

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

F. C. W. Newman b King	H. E. Warton A. Harvey F. V. Seymour G Hilton G. W. Massie	did not bat.
C. M. Mills not out. 14 Byes, &c. 8 69	in older mach da	avies, b Crans. 1. Bolton e Gran dye not out. 1. Sarban e Reger

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Croydon, Saturday, May 21.—City of London School won by 27 runs.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

	A. Robertson b Warner 0)
	A. Stahlschmidt lbw, b Warner 0)
F. A. Loveday b Cartwright 5 G.	Robbins run out 8	,
L. M. Bailey c Hayward b Hackney 8 G.	W. Bloomfield not out 4	
J E. Swale c Cartwright b Warner 49	About the Barry habitasw	•
T. C. Austin b Hackney 0	93	,
8. Carte b Warner 1		
WHITGIFT SCHO	OOL.	
	J. Hayward b Robbins 4	
at he discount and out it it it is in the same of the	A. Carreras c Robertson b	
	Bloomfield 4	
	C. Warner not out	50
H. W. Sanders c Carte b Bailey 3	Byes, &c 6	5
S. Cartwright c Mills b Quinney 5	- H. Cooks not one J. C	
W. L. Bond run out 9	66	,
C. H. Carpenter c Quinney b		
Bloomfield 2		
Diodiniola		

FOREST SCHOOL v. ELTHAM COLLEGE.

Played at Forest School Monday, May 30. - The result was a win for Forest School by 35 runs.

ELTHAM COLLEGE. A. M. Pigott not out 32 C. H. F. Wooley b Johnson 7 G. J. C. McClement b Johnson 0 W. L. Briggs b S. R. Martyn 15 A. L. Gace b Robison 11 R. H. Whittall c Freeman b S. R. Martyn 9 A. N. F. Moore b Robison 7 S. Barber b R. V. Martyn 20 F. Rawle b Robison 0 P. L. Catchpole b Cole 42 Byes, &c. 8 M. A. H. Cox b Robison 0

Forest S	CHOOL.
3. R. Martyn run out 16 W. E. Beech c Wooley b McClement 29 17. T. Cole run out 10 R. V. Martyn b Cox 8 F. W. H. Nicholas c Barber b McClement 12	D. Freeman c Moore b Cox
R. E. F. Shaw & Barber b Cox 19 N. D. Robison b Pigott	186

129

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at Rossall, Friday, Saturday, June 3, 4.—Little play was possible on the first day, and the match was drawn in favour of Rossall.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

G. B. Davies, b Grant	G. S. Henderson J. L. Wood J. C. Barry C. Nathan did not bat.
G. L. B. James c Parry b Cardwell 35 W. G. A. Coldwell st Bracewell b Boumphrey	

* Innings declared closed.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL

A OGG WEATHO	of BCHOOL.
I. L. Westlake c Barry b James A. F. P. Grant c Davies b James	17 — not out 16
E. Rogers c Nathan b Coldwell	8
J. H. Parry c and b James	4
D. Boumphrey c Barry b James	8
D. G. Gibson c Barry b Coldwell	9 — not out 4
A. R. A. Dickins b Coldwell	11 the same and
J. F. Sidebotham c Barry b James	5
N. Cardwell c James b Coldwell	8 Manufacture at the above at the
C. H. Bracewell c Duncan b Edge	. 13
J. H. Cooke not out	
Byes, &c	9 Bye 1
	(- H. Carpeniar e Quinney b
	95

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, June 4.- Left drawn.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

R. Knight c Liddelow b Clark 54 H. C. James b Simms 0 G. P. Pavey b Simms 83 W. H. Britton b Simms 1 J. C. de V. Biss c Kemp b Clark 21 R. Jenkins c Liddelow b Sumner 7 E. H. B. Richardson b Sumner 3	L. Stokes c Bulkley b Sumner
---	------------------------------

LANCING COLLEGE.

	O'CLE CONTROL
R. O. Clark b Knight	G. L. Kemp b Knight

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at Brighton, Saturday, June 4.—Brighton won very easily by nine

BRIGHTON C	OLLEGE.	
R. W. Dower c King b Donald P. H. Davis c Green b Donald B. B. Cubitt c Spicer b Waite A. J. Murdock c Arnold b Donald	3 — not out	4
M. Frisch b Donald O. R. Clouston c Coleman b Greenwood N. M. Morris not out W. F. L. Reid a Donald b Greenwood	17 — not out	
E. C. Long b Donald E. L. Anderson st Green b Waite E. C. Baker c Coleman b Greenwood Byes, &c.	10 — b Donald	0

183 DULWICH COLLEGE. E. C. Coleman b Baker 10 — b Murdoch 6 J. E. Greenwood b Davies 1 — c Dower b Davies 5 R. K. Nunes c Cubitt b Baker 12 — c Cubitt b Baker 0 C. W. Lowe b Davies 1 — b Baker 5 Byes, &c. 17 Byes, &c. 14

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Catford, Saturday, June 4.—Cranleigh won by 93 runs.

CRANIEIGH SCHOOL.

CIVALLETOI	1 None of the second
P. W. Armfelt c Stahlschmidt b Robbins	A C. Chudleigh c Quinney b Robbins
Robbins 15 S. V. B. Jones b Robbins 5 T. H. Wilson b Quinney 88 F. A. Cornish b Bloomfield 18	*162 B. W. Mead did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

CITY OF LONI	
L. M. Bailey b Walthew 2 H. Quinney c and b Walthew 9 C. W. Mills b Walthew 0 J. Swale b Wilson 25 A. S. Carte c Cressall b Nowell 11 T. C. Austin st Cressall b Wilson 15 G. Robbins b Nowell 0	H. J. Cammel c Cressall b Wilson. H. A Robinson b Nowell
H. Quinney c and b Walthew 9 C. W. Mills b Walthew 0 J. Swale b Wilson 25 A. S. Carte c Cressall b Nowell 11 T. C. Austin st Cressall b Wilson 15	H. A Robinson b Nowell F. H. Stahlschmidt b Nowell C. W. Bloomfield not out Byes, &c.

ELIZABETH COLLEGE, GUERNSEY, v. VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY.

Played at Guernsey, Saturday, June~4.—Victoria College won by 53 runs on the first innings.

ELIZABETH COLLEGE, GUERNSEY.

E. C. Le Patourel c Kidd b Meade	34 — b Meade	14
G. H. Forty b Meade	3 — b Blandy	4
W. L. Ozanne b Franklin	43 — c and b Blandy	6
T. J. Grant b Meade	6 — b Garrett	10
E. C. Purchas st Latev b Meade	9 - st Latev h Blandy	16
S. W. Hart c Kidd b Meade	5 — not out	3
S K. Collings b Meade	0 — c Meade b Blandy	2
H. Saldanha not out	6 — b Blandy	0
F. D. McCrea c Meade b Garrett	0 — b Blandy	3
H. Draper b Meade	0 — not out	12
J. V. Blad b Meade	0 - c Le Sueur b Garrett	0
Byes, &c	10 Byes, &c	9
		_
The second secon	116	79

VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY.

R. J. Meade b Hart	R. D. Blandy b Hart 14 H. W. Winstanley not out 9 H. B. Franklin b Hart. 2 L. K. Aldridge b Grant 24 Byes, &c. 16
K. A. Garrett b Forty 9 W. R. Kidd c Blad b Forty 29	169

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Wednesday, June 8.—Drawn.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

G. R. Mackay c Leicester b Douglas 85	J. W. Hodge
C. E. R. Heaton-Ellis b Douglas 27	A. M. Ritchie
C. A. Caslon b Higham 99	C. H. Shipton
P. R. Phillips not out 27	G. R. O. Crole-Rees did not bat.
Byes, &c 25	E. W. Maude
*220	L. Johnson
*263	H. M. Read

* Innings declared closed

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

R. M. Dexter c Mackay b Hodge 5	H. A. Link not out 0
A. C. Altham run out	Byes, &c 8
H. G. Hawkins c Shipton b Mackay 0	The second secon
G. F. Timpson c Mackay b Hodge 37	81
W. E. Marler b Hodge 16	D. C. Smith
W. S. Thomas c Shipton b Hodge. 2	T. B. Leicester did not bat.
G. P. Douglas not out 3	T. A. Higham

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Planed at Bellingham, Wednesday, Jun	ne 8.—The Merchant Taylors won by
86 runs.	D. C. Isaac c Knight b Biss 9 F. J. Dove run out 3 S. M. Wadham b James 15 M. W. H. Miles c Knight b James 3 G. E. Burton not out 17 Byes, &c 17 LEATHERHEAD. E. H. B. Richardson c and b Wadham 0 W. H. Britton c Greenhill b Spencer 1 R. Peacock lbw, b Spencer 0 Byes, &c 15 133
The same of the sa	PUREORD MODERN SCHOOL.

ELSTOW SCHOOL, BEDFORD, v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

S5 runs. ELSTOW J. E. Pike c Newman b Warton	School. R. Smith A. J. Webb D. B. Gibson did not bat.
H. R. Rennie b Graham	A RETURN TO A PARTY OF THE BOOK OF THE BOO
Byes, &c*****************************	alored alored

* Innings declared closed.

Thungs doo	
BEDFORD MOD	F. V. Seymore b Gibson 3 C. Whitworth b Smith. 17 F. W. Cherry c Rose b Gibson 12 S. W. Massie run out 0 Byes, &c. 5 118

DULWICH COLLEGE v. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Wednesday, June 8.—Dulwich were beaten by 64 runs.

DULWICH A. C. Green b Phillips	G. Donald c Penrose b Grierson W. E. Hodgson b Grierson E. W. Waite run out Byes, &c.	0 6
E. E. Spicer c Weigall b Griefson.	8	9

SHALL SHALL

D. F. Roberts run out	D A. Wilkins st Coleman b Waite 9	R. E. C. Weigall lbw, b Waite L. G. Phillips c Hodgson b Denal A. F. K. Penrose not out Byes	d (
-----------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-----

DOVER COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at Dover, Wednesday, June	8.—Drawn.
C. T. MacLaren c Gore b Cremer 19 E. de L. W. Rosbuck b West 26 F. S. Clarke b Cremer 1 G. Watson c Cremer b Fluke 19 P. G. Munns b West 54 J. G. Stevens c Gore b Cowrie 17 G. Dixon b Gore 14	COLLEGE. R. H. R. Parminter c West b Fluke 27 A. H. Pollock c West b Fluke 54 D. H. Pratt not out 9 T. L. Mills b Fluke 5 Byes, &c 13
King's	
R. L. Gottwaltz b Munns. 19 F. H. Seabrooke c and b Watson 15 A. C. Fluke not out 103	K. E. Hawkins b Munns

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at Haileybury, Friday, Saturday, June 10, 11.—Play was not possible on the first day, and then on the Saturday Haileybury won by an innings and 16 runs. Munt took eleven wickets for 55 runs.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

E. F. Q. Perkins c Strawson b Munt W. H. R. Hinde c and b Munt C. F. T. Lindsay st Geen b Munt P. E. D. Pank c Gardiner b Munt W. F. R. Schloss c and b Gardiner J. M. Milton lbw, b Munt J. K. Manger not out J. W. Hardy b Gardiner H. Meckler Ferryman b Munt R. D. Pank b Munt W. F. London b Munt	3 — c Lawrence b Munt 6 7 — b Gardiner 9 6 — lbw, b Munt 25 4 — c Sloane-Stanley b Gardiner 0 0 — not out 24 4 — lbw, b Gardiner 11 5 — c Sloane-Stanley b Gardiner 11 6 — c Geen b Gardiner 4 11 — c Geen b Gardiner 4 0 — b Smith 1 0 — st Geen b Munt 6 Byes, &c. 4
	46

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

F. M.	Vincent c Hinde b Perkins 31 Strawson c and b Perkins 20 Sloane-Stanley c Lindsay	H. F. Patry b Hinde B. R. Vincent not out H. H. Gardiner c R. D. Pank b	1

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at by 121 runs.	WELLINGBOROUGH,	Saturday,	June 11 Bedford	Modern won easily
------------------------	-----------------	-----------	-----------------	-------------------

BEDFORD	Monune	g arrant
DEDEORD	MODERN	NCHOOT.

S. V. Graham c Walker b J. White 4 H. E. Warton c Wright b Hazelton 32 A. C. Ingram b Hazelton	A. Harvey c Hannay b Hazelton . 8 F. W. Cherry run out
--	--

WELLINGBOROUGH GRAMMAR SCHOOL

WELLINGBOROUGH (TRAMMAR OCHOOL.
D. C. Sewell b Graham 8 L. S. Osborne b Graham 27 G. Walker b Graham 1 W. A. Hannay c Whitworth b Warton 11 M. G. Barnett b Warton 4	W. E. Hazelton b Graham 6 E. Wright c Cherry b Warton 0 J. Marten b Warton 0 H. G. Dexter not out 1 Byes, &c. 5
J. White c Cherry b Warton 0 K. White b Warton 16	79

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at Mill Hill, Saturday, June 11. — Mill Hill won by 54 runs. Leicester took five wickets for 18 runs.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

R. M. Dexter b Robins	7 — c Swale b Lewis	5
A. C. Altham c Bailey b Bloomfield	1 — lbw, b Mills	23
H. G. Hawkins b Robins	6 — st Stahlshmidt b Mills 8	35
G. F. Simpson c Swale b Bloomfield	14 — b Bloomfield	34
W. E. Marler c Robins b Bloomfield	0 — st Stahlshmidt b Mills	11
H. P. Burton b Bloomfield	0 — not out	14
T. A. Higham c Stahlsmidt b Lewis	35 — c Stahlshmidt b Mills	8
S. E. Parsons b Robins	24 — b Mills	10
D. C. Smith b Lewis	0 — not out	14
G. P. Douglas not out	3 — b Mills	11
S. B. Leicester b Robins	5 — b Lewis	16
Byes, &c.	16 Byes,&c	23
The state of the s	_	
	111 20	14
		/ =

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

H. Quinney b Douglas	G. Robins c and b Leicester 0 F. A. Stahlshmidt lbw, b Leicester 0 C. W. Bloomfield lbw, b Burton 2 M. M. Lewis b Burton 0 Bye 1
S. C. Austin st Altham b Leicester 0 L. M. Bailey c Dexter b Leicester 0	-8 sidestiff of aradiffer a valence of

WYE COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

	ne 14.—Wye College won by 150 runs.
	School.
R. L. Gottwaltz b Edwards	
F- H. Seabrooke c Bell b Hatfeild	
A. C. Fluke c Hood-Daniel b Edwards .	
H. L. Cremer b Hatfeild	
R. E. Gordon b Hatfeild	
P. D. Baker c Gordon b Edwards	
E. F. Honoden b Hatfeild	
K. E. Hawkins not out	. 1 — b Haines 1
C. A. West b Edwards	. 0
D. H. Cowie b Hatfeild	. 4
G. H. C. Gore b Edwards	. 0
Byes, &c	. 8
M. S. Mill C. Bland D. Landingson . W. S.	
	71 29
WYE C	OLLEGE.
A. F. Hood-Daniel b Cremer 0	F. A. Bell c Gottwaltz b Gore 5
A. W. Hastings c Gordon b Cowie 68	A. D. Parkin not out 14
A. H. J. Haines c Fluke b Gore 8	F. W. Page-Roberts c West b
G. M. Gordon lbw, b Cremer 34	Cremer 22
C. E. Hatfeild b Gore 28	C. Sutton-Nelthorpe b Cowie: 0
R. Edwards c Hawkins b Cremer 15	Byes 8
J. S. Rutherford c Gottwaltz b	The state of the s
Cremer 19	221
Played at Marlborough, Tuesday, Win for Marlborough by six wickets. CHELTENHA J. F. Dew c Shaw b Womersley R. M. Durand c Lagden b Womersley A. V. D. Wise c Shaw b Womersley B. J. N. Fawcett c Shaw b Woodroffe G. K. Olliver b Womersley G. Barnes c Shaw b Woodroffe R. H. Smith not out G. E. C. Wood b Womersley	0 — c Shuldham b Womersley. 59 . 52 — b Woodroffe . 35 . 3 — b Woodroffe . 8 . 46 — b Battersby . 59 . 3 — b Woodroffe 5 . 15 — c Womersley b Battersby . 18 . 8 — b Battersby . 55
G. D. Wood c Lagden b Womersley	4 — b Battersby 10
A. A. Durand c Shuldham b Woodroffe	4 — c Battersby b Woodroffe 8
N. W. Lyne b Womersley	11 — not out 6
Byes	6 Byes, &c 9
di ti sumeriow, hi carmerso 22	166 272
	IGH COLLEGE
W. F. Q. Shuldham b G. D. Wood	
	99 — c Fawcett b G. D. Wood 86
E. A. Shaw b G. D. Wood	8 — c Olliver b Smith 3
R. B. Lagden c A. Durand b Smith	14 — not out
	or a Farmontt h C D Wood OR

L. D. Womersley b G. D. Wood 35	- c Fawcett b G. D. Wood 26
R. D. Cochrane b G. D. Wood 15	— not out 5
P. C Vellacott st Olliver b Barnes 33	
A. G. Ponsonby not out 6	
K. H. C. Woodroffe b G. D. Wood 0	
D. C. Branson c Barnes b G. D. Wood 1	
Byes, &c 23	Byes, &c 21
O. Morris e Knight & Petrock	
238	201

406

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played at Bedford, Wednesday, June 15.—Bedford won by 62 runs. Harvey took five wickets for 17 runs.

DUNSTABLE	GRAMMAR	SCHOOL

Gutteridge lbw, b Graham	
C. F. Green lbw, b Graham	
H. B. Gordon c Seymour b Harvey	15 — c Newman b Warton 11
A. J. Hunter c and b Graham	49 — c'and b Warton 17
H M. Johnson b Harvey	10 — c Cherry b Waiton 11
R. G. Pinnock c Cherry b Harvey	3 — not out 1
R. Holyoake b Harvey	0
K. S. Roden run out	4 — st Newman b Ingham 12
R. Crowall not out	
S. Bailey run out	
P. Robinson b Harvey	0
Byes, &c	4 Byes, &c 0
	The statement of the st
	104 72
Dannen Man	ana Carren

BEDFORD I	MODERN SCHOOL.
F. C. W. Newman c Holyoake b	F. V. Seymour b Hunter 0
Hunter 1	2 A. Harvey not out 6
H. E. Warton b Hunter	
A. C. Ingram c Green b Gutteridge	1 R. H. Foster st Roden b Gut-
S. N. Graham c Roden b Green 2	2 teridge 5
G. W. Whiteman st Roden b Gut-	Byes, &c 8
teridge 6	0
F. W. Cherry b Green 3	7 166
F. J. Silvester run out	Picycles Maranorough, Passing RO

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL v. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at Canterbury, Wednesday, June 15.—Munns, in addition to scoring 54, took six wickets for 22 runs, and Dover College won by 133 runs.

DOVER COLLEGE.

C. T. MacLaren b Neal 4 E. de L. W. Roebuck b White 16 J. G. Stevens lbw, b Duggan 26 G. Watson c Darley b Neal 27 P. G. Munns c Neal b Duggan 54	A. H. Pollock c Neal b White
F. S. Clarke c White b Geary 4 R. H. R. Parminter c Darley b Duggan 12	18
Sm Frank	D'a SCHOOT

St. Edmund'	o's School.	
J. G. Shaw b Munns	. 7 — b Clarke 13	,
W E S Napier h Mills		
W. E. Darley b Mills	. 0	
F F Duggen h Munng	7	
D. H. Geary b Munns	. 13	
G C White c Mills h Watson	2	
A. Neal c Stevens b Munns	. 3 — b MacLaren)
G. L. Adams c Pratt b Munns	. 0	
J. D. Neal b Munns	. 4	,
G. V. Pegden not out	. 4 — c and b Pollock 2	
J. P. D. Clarke b Mills	. 8	
Byes, &c	. 6 Byes, &c 2	4
in the Restor of Restor to Parlengton (C. 1)	_	2
	55	4

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, June 17, 18.—After a great finish the game ended in a tie.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

C. Rissik b Linnington	24 -	- b Thorne 31
G. C. Southern b Thorne	19 —	- b Le Gros 0
W. Hillbrook lbw, b Fraser	0 -	- b Thorne 7
C. C. Havnes b Elliot		- b Linnington 45
R. F. T. Foliambe b Linnington	3 -	- lbw, b Thorne 2
P. J. Richardson c and b Linnington	6 -	c Le Gros b Linnington128
A. K. Hickman c Leadward b Elliot	8 -	- c Le Gros b Linnington 50
A R Wallace c Boddington b Elliot		- lbw, b Le Gros 1
R. A. A. Brand not out	17 -	- b Le Gros 0
C. R. B. Murray c Le Gros b Elliot	2 -	- b Le Gros 9
H L Hill c Elliot b Linnington	0 -	- not out 1
Byes, &c	11	Byes, &c 25
25 (25)	_	. Wille d therit o astrott M. A.
The role of the ro	148	299

PHOPY SCHOOL

RUGBY S	CHOOL.
R. A. Boddington lbw, b Murray H. J. T. Neilson b Murray P. S. Fraser b Murray I. F. L. Elliott c Hillbrook b Brand G. T. Jackson b Brand P. A. Leadward b Brand W. R. King c Richardson b Wallace P. W. Le Gros not out J. L. Andrews b Southern A. L. M. Linnington b Southern F. J. M. Thorne Byes, &c.	15 — b Brand 27 2 — c Haynes b Southern 49 17 — c Haynes b Wallace 56— c Richardson b Brand 26 8 — not out 011 — b Brand 3 33 — lbw, b Richardson 20 55 — run out 31 70 — c Wallace b Brand 7
	263 184

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL (LEATHERHEAD) v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL.

Played at Horsham, Saturday, June 18.—St. John's won by 50 runs.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL (LEATHERHEAD).

TO A SECURITION OF A SEMILAR STATE OF THE VOICE OF THE SECURITION	
J. C. de V. Biss b Lewis 8	E. R. B. Richardson b Lewis 20
R. Knight b Lewis 0	G. S. Middlemiss c Partridge b
H. C. James lbw, b Pennington 32	Pennington 0
G. P. Pavey c Lewis b Middlemiss 47	W. A. Rowley b Pennington 15
E. C. Turner c Pennington b	R. Peacock not out 11
Middlemiss 4	Byes, &c 5
R. Jenkins c Cullen b Middlemiess 0	T. O. Hutton b Warner
B. Holgate b Lewis 8	150
	- American at the state of the state of the
CHRIST'S I	dospital.

Byes, &c.	_
	10
	Hyat, do.

LEYS SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE,	Saturday, J	Tune 18	-Leys School	won easily l	by 149 runs.

LEYS S	CHOOL.
W. W. Baird lbw, b Dexter 5	A. R. Dingley run out 4
R E. Bullen lbw, b Dexter 84	R. Hosegood b Burton 46
W. Sutcliffe c Leicester b Burton 19	C. V. Isard c Snell b Smith 31
C. V. T. Hawkins b Burton 0	T. Bletcher not out 1
R. A Airth b Douglas 16	Byes, &c 30
G. Harbottle b Dougles 32	Indn/order12 Wedfriette2 to g t-
J. G. Grant lbw, Burton 1	moderate and a management 269
MILL HIL	L SCHOOL.
G. F. Timpson c Bletcher b Airth 22	T. A. Higham c Hosegood b Airth 54
C. Snell c Baird b Airth 2	T. B. Leicester run out 1
H. G. Hawkins c Izard b Airth 10	G. P. Douglas not out 0
S. E. Parsons e Sutcliffe b Airth 6	H. P. Burton 12
A. C. Altham c Isard b Airth 1	Byes, &c 8
R. M. Dexter c Grant b Airth 4	_
D. C. Smith c Baird b Airth 0	120

OAKHAM SCHOOL v. TRENT COLLEGE.

Played at Oakham. Saturday, June 18.—Resulted in an easy win for Oakham.

TRENT (College.
H E. J. Merry c and b Tanner 0	J. A. Gilbert c Mason b Woolley 6
J. Horseley c Humfrey b Tanner 6	O. G. Stokes b Woolley 0
E. A. Miller b Tanner 3	B. Hoole c Kerrick b Tanner 0
O. A. Cameron b Woolley 31	M. C. Polhill not out 9
H. W. Austin run out 2	Byes, &c 4
E. A. W. Birch c Geeson b Woolley 23	- L. L. Landing of Souther J. L.
H. C. Grace st Snaith b Tanner 0	84
Оакнам	School.
J. W. Kerrick c Horseley b Grace 44	E. G. Snaith c and b Cameron 29
C. C. Sills e Hoole b Cameron 23	E. J. Geeson not out 37
S. H. G. Humfrey c Horseley b	J. L. Woolley c Horseley b Miller 7
Cameron 19	Byes, &c 48
G. W. Tanner b Miller 6	-
G. O. C. Williams b Grace 6	240
J. W. Mason b Cameron 16	E. A. Glover did not bat.
M. A. Neilson b Miller 5	Purple of Boundary and State of the State of

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, Saturday, June 18.—The result was a win for Whitgift Grammar School by 120 runs.

HURSTPIERPOI	NT COLLEGE.	
F. T. Howis lbw, b Warner	0 - c Carpenter b Hackney	2
H. Corbett b Warner	1 — lbw, b Cartwright	0
E. G. Hutton b Warner	0 — not out	31
H. A. Hughes b Warner	6 — b Warner	1
O. Heldmann c Cartwright b Carpenter	18 — c Warner b Cartwright	3
E. Goodhart c Unwin b Hackney	1 — b Cartwright	0
H. W. Yockney b Carpenter	18 — b Cartwright	24
F. Lock b Warner	5 — b Hackney	9
G. Perkins b Warner		
N. E. Bates b Carpenter	6 — c Cartwright b Hackney	
R. M. Denning not out		
Byes, &c	8 Byes, &c	4
	- xocoon a regular a serioli d'a	-
	RA	77

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

W. D. Hackney b Lock. P. S. Groom b Lock C. H. Carpenter b Goodhart J. A. Carter b Lock L. Cartwright b Yockney E. J. Hayward b Yockney K. Savage lbw, b Goodhart	H. W. Sanders c F. C. Warner not L. Carreras run Byes, &c.	Perkins b Goodhart 33 and b Lock
---	---	----------------------------------

FOREST SCHOOL v. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Saturday, June 18.—Resulted in a draw.

FOREST SCHOOL.

S. R. Martyn b Smyth 5 W. E. Beech c and b Smyth 37	D. Freeman not out
J. T. Cole c Dennis b Brewitt. 52 R. V. Martyn c Elwell b Gross 16 F. W. H. Nicholas not out 104 R. E. F. Shaw b Smyth 64 N. D. Robison b Turner 2	J. S. Taylor J. C. Johnson A. E. Hawkins did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

E. R. Elwell b S. R. Martyn 5 A. C. Brewitt c R. V. Martyn b Cole 60	T. H. Leman not out
H. F. B. Turner b Robison 6 L. V. Pearkes c Nicholas b Johnson 43 J. Smyth run out	F. V. Smith F. R. Wallen L. V. Dennis

OUNDLE SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at Oundle, Tuesday, June 21, the home team winning by 79 runs.

LEYS SCHOOL.

R. E. Bullen c and b MacBryan . 40 R. Hosegood run out . 10 C. V. T. Hawkins c Barwell b . 15 R. A. Airth c Coombs b Paterson 4	A. G. McArthur b Trew 0 A. R. Dingley c Buttanshaw b 0 MacBryan 0 T. Bletcher b MacBryan 2 L. S. Gathergood not out 23
G. Harbottle c MacBryan b Trew. 9 B. Ayre b MacBryan. 10 C. V. Isard c Matthews b Paterson. 8	Byes, &c 0 121

D. G. Sundius-Smith c Ayre b Gathergood	M. F. Trew b Hawkins
C. Buttenshaw b Airth	K. R. Paterson not out 19
J C. Wratislaw b Bullen 38	Byes, &c 19
A. B. Matthews b Hawkins 5 P. G. Coombs c Ayre b Hawkins . 28	200
H. W. E. Barwell c Hawkins b	J. F. Klag b Knoth 2

Airth 7

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, June 22.—Rain interfered with this game, which was left drawn.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

J. W. Hodge A. M. Ritchie C. H. Shipton G. R. O. Crole-Rees E. W. Maude J. D. Lee H. M. Reed

* Innings declared closed.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

F. W. Greenhill b Mackay A. J. H. Roe lbw, b Caslon G. W. Benton lbw, b Caslon C. E. Spencer c Maude b Mackay C. H. L. Rawles not out	20 2 7 26	E. D. J. Jones D. C. Isaac S. M. Wadham G. E. Burton M. W. Miles	did not bat.
R. H. Spencer not out Byes, &c.	$\frac{2}{4}$		

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL Y DULWICH COLLEGE

Played at Tonbridge, Wednesday, June 22.—Tonbridge won by 103 runs.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

E. C. Coleman c Sherwell b Molesworth 8 J. E. Greenwood c Marzetti b Knott 76 A. C. Green st Smith c Knott 42 R. K. Nunes c Peiris b Knott 8 B. D. Sullock not out 25 J. F. King b Knott 2 E. G. Shand c Pattisson b Thorley 12	G. C. Hannaway lbw, b Thorley 1 C. Donald b Molesworth 1 W. E. Hodgson c Sherwell b Hilary
---	--

VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY, v. ELIZABETH COLLEGE, GUERNSEY.

Played at JERS	Ex, Wednesday, June 22.	-Victoria College won a very	one-sided
game.	ELIZABETH COLLE	GE, GUERNSEY.	
E. C. Le Patourel	Meade 27	A. N. Davidson run out	

E. C. Le Patourel b Meade	A. N. Davidson run out
S. W. Hart st Latey b Meade 9 F. D. McCrea st Latey b Meade 4	60

VICTORIA COLL	EGE, JERSEY.	
B. G. Keene c McCrea b Hamber	R. D. Blandy b Day Byes, &c	vidson 35
E. J. Meade c and b Le Patourel. 108 E. G. Le Sueur not out	H. W. Winstanley L. K. Aldridge A. A. Oberlé	did not bat.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Hurstpierpoint, Thursday, June 23.—Cranleigh won by seven wickets.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

	F. T. Howis c Gold b Walthew		0	— c Nowell b Wilson	26
	R. M. Denning run out				
	E. G. Hutton c Page b Walthew				
	A. Hughes c Larking b Walthew				
	C. Heldmann c Nowell b Wilson				
	Corbett b Wilson				
H.	W. Yockney c Page b Walthew				
F.	Lock c Cornish b Walthew			b Wilson 4	
	Goodhart b Wilson			not out 17	
	E. Bates not out				
G.	Perkins st Cressall b Wilson				
	Byes, &c	2		Byes, &c 12	
	to them was fer an include and the	-		and the state of the same of the same	
		33		104	

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

H. E. Larking b Lock	
P. W. Armfelt b Goodhart	
O B. Short lbw, b Lock	
P. E. F. Cressall b Goodhart	1 — b Lock 18
L. H. Wilson lbw, b Yockney	20 — b Howis
L. V. B. Jones b Lock	
F. S. Walthew c Lock b Goodhart	16
H. A. Cornish lbw, b Goodhart	7
H. D. Gold c Perkins b Goodhart	10
A. F. N. Page c Hughes b Lock	
F. C. Nowell not out	1
Byes, &c	5 Byes, &c 1
	65 77

WINCHESTER v. ETON.

Played at Winchester, Friday, Saturday, June 24, 25.—Rain robbed Eton of victory, heavy showers on the second afternoon seriously delaying the game when Winchester were in a hopeless position. The great feature of the match was the bowling of A. I. Steel—son of the famous A. G. Steel—who secured eleven wickets for 53 runs. He met with remarkable success when Eton declared and set their opponents to get 228 runs in the last innings. Winchester lost seven batsmen for 31, and Steel dismissed them all at a cost of only 17 runs. Batsmen generally fared badly on the wet wicket, but W. T. Holland played an admirable innings for Eton.

ETON.

R. H. Lubbock c Woosnam b Fleming 1 W. T. Birchenough b Fleming	- c Bonham-Carter b Hunter 11 - c Hunter b Wells Cole 16 - c Fleming b Bonham-Oarter 29
Bonham-Carter	— not out
A. I. Steel c and b Bonham-Carter 8	- c Wells Cole b Hunter
K. Lister-Kaye b Bonham-Carter 13	mosbyatt a tisgett o tiograte A. A.
W. G. K. Boswell b Wells Cole 0	
Hon. J. Manners c Wells Cole b Bonham.	
Carter 9	- b Bonham-Carter 93
A. B. Stock not out 11	
C. L. C. Clarke c and b Wells Cole 0	- not out
Byes. &c 12	Byes, &c
	The suppose of the su
162	*170
	110

* Innings declared closed.

WINCHESTER.

R. B. Carrick lbw, b Fowler	21 — b Steel
M. Woosnam c Lister-Kave b Steel	6 — c Clarke b Steel
H. Uritchley Salmonson b Fowler	0 — c Lubbock b Steel 7
E. L. B. Williams b Fowler	8 — b Steel
A. L. Fleming c Clarke b Fowler	6 - c Manners b Steel 0
J. A. Parke b Lister-Kave	9 — c Lubbock b Steel
Hon. Dawson-Damer b Lister-Kave	2 — not out
M. H. Bonham-Uarter c and b Steel	1 — b Steel
N. W. Wells Cole c Boswell b Steel 1	8 months of the seems of the se
R. B. Beart st Lubbock b Steel	4 — not out 2
H. M. Hunter not out	3
Byes, &c	7 Byes, &c 3
10	5 31

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts
Wells Cole		7	45			14	56	1
Fleming	10	1	33			1	23	0
Beart		3	18	1	 0	3	11	1
Critchley Salmonso		0	9	0	 3	0	6	0
Bonham-Carter		2	36	4	 9	0	35	2
Hunter	4	1	9	0	 8	1	22	2
		E	TON BO	WLING				
Fowler	24	12	41	4	 9	6	9	0
Steel		3	36	4	 11	3	17	7
Lister-Kaye	10	4	21	2	 3	2	2	0

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1871	Winchester won 8 runs
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1872	Eton won by an innings and
1830	Winchester won by eight wickets	100	125 runs
1832	Eton won by an innings and 10	1873	Eton won by five wickets
1004	rans	1874	Eton won by an innings and
1833	Eton won by 14 runs		202 runs
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1875	Eton won by five wickets
	Eton won by five wickets	1876	Eton won by an innings and
1835 1836	Eton won by two wickets	20,0	99 runs
1838	Winchester won by an innings	1877	Eton won by an innings and
1000		2011	121 rnns
1000	and 84 runs	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1882	Winchester won by an innings
1843	Winchester won by eight wickets	1002	and 20 runs
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1845	A Tie	1884	
1846	Eton won by an innings and	1885	Eton won by five wickets Drawn
	55 runs	1886	
1847	Eton won by an innings and	1887	Eton won by eight wickets
	78 runs	1888	Eton won by nine wickets
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1889	Drawn Winghester were by 114 runs
1849	Eton won by an innings and	1890	Winchester won by 114 runs
	27 runs		Drawn
1850	Eton won by five wickets.	1891	Winchester won by five wickets
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1898	Eton won by five wickets
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1854	Winchester won by three wickets	1895	Eton won by an innings and
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1000	87 runs
1856	Eton won by an innings and	1896	Winchester won by eight
	4 runs	1897	Wickets
1857	Eton won by an innings and	1898	Winchester won by 51 runs
	31 runs	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Drawn
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1859	Winchester won by three wickets	1900	Drawn
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1861	Eton won by nine wickets	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1862	Eton won by one wicket	1903	
1863	Eton won by an innings and 194	1904	Winchester won by eight
	runs	1005	wickets
1864	Eton won by nine wickets	1905	
1865	Drawn	1906	Drawn Windowski Prince
1866	Eton won by ten wickets	1907	Winchester won by nine
1867	Eton won by an innings and 10		wickets
10 . 361	runs	1908	Eton won by an innings and
1868	Eton won by eight wickets		14 runs
1869	Eton won by an innings and 36	1909	Drawn
10.15	runs	1910	Drawn
1870	Winchester won by one wicket		

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 81 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 46 and Winchester 24, one has ended in a tie and ten have been drawn.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, v. FELSTED SCHOOL.

	rday, June 24, 25.—Drawn owing to wet
weather. FELSTED	SCHOOL.
T. R. Cox c and b H. L. H. Cremer 3	A. F. H. Wiggin b H. L. H. Cremer 8
D. H. H. Jewell c Gottwaltz b H	C. W. Howlett b H. L. H. Cremer 32
L. H. Cremer 21	A. C. Mortlock b H. L. H. Cremer 33
O. K. Waller b H. L. H. Cremer 17	R. V. Routledge c Hassell b Fluke 10
A. G. Thurlow c Housden b H. L.	D. C. Hasler not out 0
H. Cremer 13	Byes, &c
G. A. F. Campbell lbw, b Fluke 30	Etan won by five wickers
C. C. Spooner c Baker b Fluke 36	216
King's	School,
R. L. Gottwaltz lbw, b Routledge 40	E. F. Housden run out 11
P. D. Baker lbw, b Mortlock 12	L. L. Hassell not out 2
A. C. Fluke b Howlett 12	Byes, &c
H. L. H. Cremer c Mortlock b	TO BUILD BY AG SAM SENSON
Waller 26	141
R. E Gordon b Routledge 8	H. W. Cremer) did not bet
C. V. Snatt b Routledge 0	C. H. C. Gore did not bat.
K. E. Hawkins not cut 12	THE A THE
	DES STREET BE VE BOW DOUG SIGN

MERCHISTON v. GLENALMOND.

Played at Merchiston, Saturday, June 25.—Merchiston won by 33 runs.

GLENA	LMOND.
W. A. Whitelaw c Baxter b Fraser 1 A. V. Lister c C. Hutchison b Mollison	L. McLean b Robertson 6 R. C. Burton c Baxter b Mc- Whirter 29 G. C. M. Miller lbw, b McWhirter 11 R. H. L. Whitelaw b Fraser 3 D. G. M. Wright not out 8 Byes, &c. 4
J. Woodman-Smith e Robertson b Mollison	
MERCH	ISTON.
G. D. Simpson not out. 53 D. Hutchison b Matheson 0 R. Forman c Whitelaw b Matheson 7 A. Fraser lbw, b Matheson 0 C. Hutchison b McLean 3 J. H. B. Roberts b McLean 17 W. T. McWhirter b McLean 3 W. Robertson b McLean 2	W. H. Baxter c Wright b McLean 49 J. P. D. Mollison c R. H. L. White- law b Matheson

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL v. ROYAL NAVAL COLLEGE, DARTMOUTH.

Played at TIVERTON, Saturday,	June	25.—Blundell's School were beaten	by
60 runs. ROYAL I		L COLLEGE.	
G. C. Hatch run out	18	O. W. Cornwallis c Bragg b Rendall	34
F. H. G. Trumble b Lindesay	42	C. A. Kershaw run out	5
J. W. Hallowes c Lindesay b Hamil-	dotte	R. T. Twysden b Rendall	6
ton	23	J. C. Leach not out	
J. Mansfield lbw, b Hamilton	33	L. F. Foley b Rendall	0
A. A. Mackinnon c Abbott b Bragg	18	Byes, &c	14
C. E. Douglas-Pennant c Bragg b		oria harrathrisis malettam 12 unit 100	_
Rendall	27		220

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

E. S. Cameron run out
C. J. Hamilton b Leach 4
U. U. Hallillon D Loadi

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL.

Played at Brighton, Saturday, June 25.—Brighton won very easily. Baker took five wickets for 26. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL

CHAISIS	110SF11AL
H. C. Pennington c Cubitt b Baker 8 A. J. Partridge b Davies	W. J. Cutler b Baker 2 P. Middlemas c Morris b Baker 8 R T. Holder b Davies 3 N. P. Lewis not out 1 Byea, &c. 14 107

Brighton	College.
R. W. Dower b Lewis 23 P. H. Davies c Partridge b 5 Middlemass 25 A. J. Murdoch b Walcott 7 M. Frisch b Walcott 0	W. M. Morris lbw, b Woodhams . 14 O. R. Clouston b Woodhams . 2 E. C. Baker not out
W. E. I. Read not out 81 R. Cadman b Lewis 18 W. S. Ross run out 3	B. B. Cubitt did not bat.

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at GIGGLESWICK, Saturday, June 25.—Sedbergh won by 56 runs.

SEDBERGH SCHOOL.	

G. H. H. P. Maxwell st Platt b
Clarke 9
C. V. Peat c Stewart b Hoffman 19
J. F. Ball not out 4
Byes, &c 6
TO. O. Gibrarian of D. S. A. Anne. J. C. T.
184
-D. Bonmphrey e E. Palmer b G. Pale

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL

GIGGLESWICE	a Sonoon.
F. W. Sykes lbw, b Weville	V. R. Stewart not out

FOREST SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

	, and the same of
Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, School by 30 runs. Forest	June 25.—Resulted in a win for Fores School.
S. R. Martyn lbw, b Peacock	A, O-D. Taylor run out. D. Freeman not out J. S. Taylor b Knight J. C. Johnson b James Byes, &c.
	EAD SCHOOL.
R. Knight b R. V. Martyn	E. H. B. Richardson c Johnson b Robison E. C. Turner st Nicholas b Robison R. Peacock not out Byes, &c.

EPSOM COLLEGE v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at Epsom, Tuesday, June 28.—St. John's College won by 39 runs.

ST JOHN'	s School.
R. Knight c McRoberts b Marshall 62	R. Jenkins c Macphail b Marshall 5
H C. James st Gripper b Marshall 10	G. Middlemiss b Wrightson 1
W. A. Rowley b Marshall 31	H. Richardson b Wrightson 6
E. C. Turner c Williams b Marshall 5	R. Peacock not out 1
G. P. Pavey b Wrightson 3	Byes, &c 5
J. C. de Vere Biss b Wrightson 3	等年。从一步自然转移的推荐。李明山野山州山上。
C. L. N. Roberts run out 2	134
EPSOM C	OLLEGE.
R. N. Hudson c Biss b Knight 10	G. Wrightson b James 8
A. E. Parkes c and b Knight 12	A. Bristowe c Middlemiss b James 2
R. M. A. Jones c Roberts b Knight 12	A. Walker not out
R. M. Williams c Rowley b Knight 5	W. Gripper c Pavey b Knight 4
I. R. Macphail lbw, b James 6	Byes, &c 2
W. Marshall c Roberts b James 20	
R. MacRoberts b James 2	95

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Wednesday won by eight wickets. Shrewsbury	J. Thursday, June 28, 29.—Uppingham
A. N. Westlake c Morris b Moore	17 - c E. Palmer b Morris 55
D. G. Gibson run out	
E. H. Rogers b Morris	7 — c Tomlin b Morris 13
D. Boumphrey c E. Palmer b G. Palmer	19 — c Moore b Morris 76
A. E. P. Grant c Harper b G Palmer	13 — b Moore 10
R. B. Stones b G. Palmer	5 — b Moore 0
A. R. A. Dickins b Morris	83 — c Trubshaw b Moore 0
J. F. Sidebotham c Ray b Moore	3 — b Moore 3
J. H. Cooke c Ray b Galloway	28 - c G Palmer b Trubshaw 11
C. H. Bracewell c and b Morris	0 not out 1
N. Cardwell not out	0 — b Moore 4
Byes, &c	3 Byes, &c 15
	197

Uppingham	SCHOOL.
G. W. Palmer b Cooke J. D. Crosthwaite not out K. B. Harper b Cooke M. S. Ray b Cardwell H. B. Moore b Cooke	107 c D.ckins b Cardwell
J. V. Wilshin b Cooke C. G. Tomlin run out R. C. Galloway c and b Cardwell E. B. Palmer b Cardwell A. R. Trubshaw b Cardwell	. 7 . 4 . 14 . 8 . 6
O. M. Morris lbw, b Sidebotham Byes, &c	. 23 . 9 Byes, &c

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. ELSTOW SCHOOL (BEDFORD).

Played at Dunstable, Friday, June 29.—The result was an easy win for the Elstow School.

DUNSTABLE GRA	MMAR SCHOOL.
G. F. Green b Pike	K. S. Roden b Pike
ELSTOW SCHOO	L (BEDFORD).

R. S. Pinnock b Baker			
	CHOOL	(BEDFORD).	
H. Rose st Roden b Hunter D. M. Grant c Gordon b Gutteridge L. F. Beeson c B iley b Gutteridge H. Rennie not out W. A. Southwell not out Byes, &c.	5 39 72 13	A. Abbiss R. Smith N. S. Baker A. J. Webb	did not bat.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, June '9.—An exciting game ended in a raw.

Merchant Taylors' School.

draw.	MERCHANT TA	YLORS' SCHOOL.
F. W. R. Greenbill S. R. Martyn R. H. Spencer st N Martyn G. W. Benton b John C. E. Spencer b S. F C H. L.Rawlesst Nic F. J. Dove run out	10 icholas b S. R. 3 ason 15 Martyn 0 cholas e Robison 20	D. O'N, HoJson b S, R, Martyn 11 E. D. J. Jones c Nicholas b S, R, Martyn
S. R. Martyn R. H. Spencer st N Martyn G. W. Benton b John C. E. Spencer b S. F C H. L. Rawles st Nic	10 icholas b S. R. 3 ason 15 Martyn 0 cholas e Robison 20	E. D. J. Jones c Nicholas b S. R. Martyn D. G. Isaac c Johnson b R. V. Martyn S. M. Wadham not out S. C. Frost b R. V. Martyn Byes, &c.

FOREST SCHOOL

LOKEST	BCHOOL.
S. R. Martyn e Isaac b Wadham 18 W. E. Beech e Rawles b Isaac 1 J. T. Cole b Isaac 9 R. V. Martyn e Greenhill b Wadham 43 F. W. H. Nicholas e and b Isaac 55 N. D. Robison b Wadham 0 R. E. F. Shaw run out 7	A. O'D. Taylor c Frost b Wadham 2 D. Freeman lbw, b Wadham 6 J. S. Taylor not out 0 J. C. Johnson not out 1 Byes, &c. 14

MERCHISTON.

CHARTERHOUSE v. WESTMINSTER.

Played at Godalming, Friday, Saturday. July 1, 2.—Owing to rain there was little play on the first day, and the match had to be left drawn. For Charterhouse Hudson took seven wickets for 33 runs, and for Westminster E. C. K. Clarke secured eight for 36.

WESTMINSTER.

A POINT	NSIER.	
H. Rawson b Shortt J. Goodall b King M. Holland c Shortt b Leatham G. B. F. Rudd c Dowling b Leatha E. C. K. Clarke b Leatham H. Rawson run out H. M. Wood c Sanderson b Shortt A. R. J. Mellor b Leatham A. K. Gilmour b Shortt S. M. Slubicki not out F. G. Hobson c Sanderson b Hudson Byes, &c.	1 — c and b Hudson 23 — lbw, b Hudson 17 — st Morrison b Hudson 8 — b Hudson 0 — b Hudson 2 — c Morrison b Dowling 4 — c Norrison b Leatham 1 — not out 5 — c Wellesley b Hudson	2 0 0 8 6 6 4 15 17 2
	95	90
CHARTER	HOUSE.	
J. Morrison b Clarke H. S. Sanderson b Clarke R. Boosey b Clarke R. E. Norris b Goodall H. A. Denison c and b Goodall	28 — not out	28 12
G. C. Dowling b Clarke H. A. Wellesley b Clarke H. W. Leatham b Clarke K. King not out R. P. M. Hudson b Clarke	0 0 10	

LORETTO v. MERCHISTON.

 $Played\ at\ {\tt Musselburgh}, Friday, Saturday, July\ 1,\ 2,\ {\tt and}\ \ {\tt resulted}\ \ {\tt in}\ \ {\tt a}\ \ {\tt win}\ \ {\tt for}\ \ {\tt Loretto}\ \ {\tt by}\ \ 149\ {\tt runs}.$

LORETTO.

BOILE	110.
R. F. Guthrie run out	23 — c and b Fraser 23
D. M. Ritchie c Mollison b McWhirter	11 — c Mollison b McWhirter 55
A. B. Paterson b Mc Whirter	2 - b Mc Whirter
G. L. Hunting c Fraser b Robertson	94 - c Hutchison b Fraser 0
L. M. Powell c Roberts b Mollison	20 - c Robertson b McWhirter 4
R. R. Jackson b Mollison	22 c Robertson b McWhirter 3
G. E. Withers b Robertson	11 - b Mollison 13
W. J. M. Beardmore b Fraser	1 - c Hutchison b McWhirter 0
G. Hedderwick b Fraser	0 not out
J. E. Ross D Fraser	0 — b Mollison 19
S. Wenley not out	0 — c Fraser b Mollison 5
Byes, &c	8 Byes, &c 3
	- Leaves of the control of the contr
101	192

G. D. Simpson b Wenley ... 0— not out ... 11 D. Hutchison c Withers b Wenley 3— b Wenley 3 R. Forman c Hunting b Ritchie 9— run out ... 1 A. Fraser lbw, b Hedderwick 33— lbw, b Wenley 27 J. H. B. Roberts b Ritchie 4— c Guthrie b Wenley 8 W. H. Baxter b Wenley 30— b Ritchie 9 W. F. McWhirter b Ritchie 5— c Hunting b Ritchie 4

J. H. B. Roberts b Ritchie	4 c Guthrie b Wenley 8
W H Baxter b Wenley	30 — b Ritchie 9
W F McWhirter b Ritchie	5 — e Hunting b Ritchie 4
J B D. Mollison c Guthrie b Withers	12 b Withers 4
J F Thom not out	7 — b Wenley 0
W. Robertson c Beardmore b Withers	0 — b Wenley 13
C. Hutchinson did not bat.	— b Withers 0
Byes. &c	5 Byes, &c 1
2,00,000	_
	108 81

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at Brighton, Saturday, July 2.—Brighton won by 131 runs. For Brighton Baker took six wickets for 42 runs.

St. Paul's School.	
R. J. Pollard c Cubitt b Baker 7 — c Dower b Baker	4
S. T. James c Cubitt b Davies 9 — b Davies	8
D. W. Jones b Baker	9
J. M. Boyd c Morris b Davies 5 — c Morris b Davies	7
J. E. Sellers b Baker 4 — c Cubitt b Baker	2
P. J. Buckley b Davies 56 — b Baker	5
C. J. Farr c. Dower b Baker	
M. Von Winckler st Cubitt b Read 10 - b Davies	4
R. C. Cumming b Davies 6	
F. C. Hawkes not out 0 - c Morris b Davies	11
J. Norman b Baker 1	
Byes, &c 6 Byes, &c	21
Light State of the	_
109	84
BRIGHTON COLLEGE.	
R. W. Dower lbw, b Buckley 64 Byes, &c	27

BRIGHTON	College.
R. W. Dower lbw, b Buckley 64	Byes, &c 27
P. H. Davies b Sellers 35	*240
A. J. Murdoch & Jones b Norman. 15	2.0
M. Frisch e Jones b Pollard 12	N. M. Morris
B. B. Cubitt b Norman 1	E. L. Anderson did not bat.
W. E. J. Read not out 59	O. R. Clouston

FOREST SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Walthamstow, on Saturday, July 2.—Abandoned owing to rain.

ALDENHAN	1 SCHOOL.	
G. C. Hill c S. R. Martyn b R. V.	E. W. Reading	1
Martyn 1	S. V. White	-
A. R. Hudson c and b R. V. Martyn 5	R. A. Law	121 9 301111112
W. Hobbs not out 4	R. G. Raworth	did not bat
G. A. Mackinnon not out 0	G. Fitzbrown	
Byes, &c 3	W. S. Nolan	
_	T. Frederick	1
18		

FOREST SCHOOL.

S. R. Martyn, J. T. Cole, T. W. H. Nicholas, W. E. Beech, R. E. F. Shaw, R. V. Martyn, N. D. Robison, D. Freeman, J. S. Taylor, J. O. Johnson, A. O'D. Taylor.

DOVER COLLEGE v. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE.

Played at Dover, Saturday, July 2.—Muuns took six wickets for 46 runs, and Dover College won easily.

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE.

F B. Mackenzie c Pollock b Munns 27 W. C. Cassells c Roberts b Watson. 9 C. M. Slaughter b Munns. 15 H. F. Whitfield c Stevens b Munns 12 D. H. S. Mackenzie b Munns. 2 K. S. Mackenzie c Stevens b Watson 0 R. D. Shaw lbw, b Watson 8	W. H. Sealy c and b Watson 0 D. A. Oliphant not out 22 C. G. Burge c Stevens b Munns 0 N. Down c Watson b Munns 0 Byes, &c. 17
---	--

DOVER COLLEGE

DOVING O	OLLEGE.	
C. T. MacLaren run out	M. V. Roberts b Byes, &c.	Shaw 5 17 149
P. G. Munns c Slaughter b Down. 28 F. S. Clarke c Sealey b Burge. 4 R. H. Parminter not out 42	G. Dixon T. L. Mills	did not bat.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V OAKHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, July 2.—Resulted in a draw.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

H. E Warton b Kerrick 37 G. W. Whiteman b Woolley 1 A. C. Ingram st Snaith b Kerrick 8 F. C. W. Newman c Williams b Woolley 21 S. C. Graham not out 57 F. W. Cherry b Williams 8 A. Harvey not out 6 Byes, &c. 14	C. W. Mills C. W. Whitworth R. H. Foster G. Hilton	
152		

* Innings declared closed

OAKHAM SCHOOL.

J. W Kerrick c Hilton b Warton. 10 C C. Sills c Harvey b Warton. 28 M. A. Neilson c Newman b Harvey. 16 E. J. Geeson c Newman b Warton. 16 G. W. Tanner c Ingram b Warton. 4 S. H. G. Humfrey not out. 42 G. O. C. Williams not out. 2	E. G. Snaith J. W. Mason J. L. Woolley G A. Pickering Clarke	- did not bat.
Byes, &c	G A. Pickering Clarke	

DULWICH COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, July 16—Rain stopped play half-an-hour from time, St. Paul's then wanting 66 with two wickets to fall.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

J. E. Greenwood c James b Norman 22 B. D. Sullock b Pollard 32 A. C. Green b Buckley 2 E. C. Coleman b Norman 35 R. K. Nunes c Boyd b Buckley 15 J. F. King b Norman 31 T. E. Russell not out 17	G. C. Harraway b Norman 0 F. W. Gilligan b Norman 0 E. W. Waite c and b Sellers 7 G. Donald b Norman 3 Byes, &c. 19
St. Paul's	SCHOOL.
S. J. James c Coleman b Greenwood 9	R. C. Cumming net out 25

DI. I AUL S	SCHOOL.
S. J. James c Coleman b Greenwood 9 C. J. Farr c Coleman b Russell 15 F. C. Hawkes c Gilligan b Greenwood	R. C. Cumming net out 25 M. Von Winckler b Greenwood 2 D. W. Jones not out 12 Byes, &c. 5 117 J Norman did not bat.

LEYS SCHOOL v. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, July 2. - Felsted won by 31 runs.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

	Cox c Vick b Duller				
				c Harbottle b Bullen 4	
	Waller c Baird b Sutcliffe			b Hawkins	
G. A.	F. Campbell b Bullen	14	_	c and b Hawkins	0
E. W.	Howlett c and b Sutcliffe	35		b Bullen	3
E G.	Fern run out			not out 2	
A. F.	H. Wiggin c and b Bullen	1	-	c Vick b Bullen	6
HF.	Silverwood c Whelpton b Bullen	2		not out	4
H.C.	Mortlake b Sutcliffe	5			
R. V.	Routledge not out	2			
	Hasler b Sutcliffe				
	Byes, &c			Byes, &c 1	2
				- William .	_
	JEST THE CHARLES AND ADDRESS OF THE STREET	124		1:	35

LEYS SCHOOL.

W. W. Baird b Routledge	R. Hosegood c and b Howlett 1 J. G. Grant c and b Howlett 0 C. V. Izard b Waller 1 G. R. Vick not out 7 L. G. Whelpton run out 0 Byes, &c. 4 93

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL (LEATHERHEAD.)

Played at Cranleigh, Saturday, July 2.—A close game was won by Cranleigh by 20 runs.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

P. W. Armfelt c Rowley b James	0	H. A. Cornish lbw, b James 15
H. E. Larking b Peacock 1	10	B. W. Mead c Rowley b James 0
F. S. Walthew c Middlemiss b		A. E. Page b James 0
James 4	47	T. C. Nowell not cut 0
P. E. F. Cressall c Stokes b James 2	25	Byes, &c 2
T. H. Wilson b De Biss	0	es appears in a constant of the second of th
L. V. B. Jones c Rowley b James		el restante d'orotto escuiv. 121
C. B. Short c James b De Biss 1		King b Norman

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL.			
H. C. James Ibw, b Wilson 37 J. C. De V. Biss b Walthew 1 G. P. Pavey b Wilson 8 W. A. Rowley b Walthew 0 E. C. Turner c Short b Wilson 3 R. Jenkins c Page b Wilson 8 R. Peacock run out 31 G. Middlemiss b Walthew 8	E. H. B. Richardson c Short b Wilson 0 L. Roberts not out 3 L. Stokes c Larking b Wilson 0 Byes, &c. 2 101		

ELSTOW SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

Played at Elstow School, Bedford, Saturday, July 2.—The result was an easy win for Wellingborough by 116 runs.

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

F. Montgomery b Rennie 3	J. White not out
T. S. Osborne c and b Rennie 5	W. Hazelton b Rennie 1
W. A. Hannay b Rennie 88	E. Wright lbw, b Baker 11
G. Walker c Grant b Rennie 19	T. Martin c Webb b Rennie 14
K. White b Rennie 0	Byes, &c 15
C. Sewell c Masters b Pike 23	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
M. G. Barnett b Pike 7	205
The same of	Carrors

ELSTOW SCHOOL.

A. Rose c K. White b J. White 10 J. E. Pike c Barnett b J. White 12 L. F. Beeson c Walker b J. White 8 D. M. Masters run out 5	R. Smith c Hannay b Hazelton 1 J. Webb b Hazelton 0 N. S. Baker c and b Hazelton 0 D. B. Gibson b Hazelton 20	
	Byes, &c 7	
D. M. Grant b J. White 12	and the second s	
A. F. Abbiss not out 14	89	

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at Catford, Saturday, July 2.—City of London School won by six runs.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

F. A Loveday lbw, b Howis 7	H. E. Cannel not out 31
A. S. Carte c Denning b Yockney 8	F. A. Stahlschmidt lbw, b Lock 8
C. W Mills b Yockney 7	C. W. Bloomfield c Yockney b Howis 4
J. E. Swayle c Heldmann b Howis 0	N. N. Lewis b Lock 2
H. Quinney lbw, b Lock 27	Byes, &c
G. Robbins b Howis 1	ER Aleiwold d ,wdl firsta .A -
L. M. Bailey c Denning b Yockney 1	B Solla W d are a state of 111

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

GLENALMOND v. EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

Played at RAEBURN PLACE, Saturday, July 2.—Glenalmond won by 183 runs.

GLENALMOND.

A. V. Lister c Anderson b Campbell 16	J.G.G. Gibson c MacIntyre b Noble 16
J. C. Colquhoun c White b Crole 93	R. H. L. Whitelaw run out 13
L. McLean e Bain b Ritchie 74	D. G. M. Wright not out 5
C. B. Matheson c and b Bain 12	Byes, &c 18
J. S. Finlay c Wallace b Ritchie 8	
B. C. Burton b Bain 15	276
G. C. Miller run out 6	P. B. Duffus did not bat
* Innings dec	clared closed.

Edinburg	H ACADEMY.	
J. M. Andrews c Miller b Duffus 38 R. MacIntyre b Matheson 4 G. B. Crole b Matheson 0 G. E. F. Campbell c Lister b Matheson 2 W. M. Wallace b Whitelaw 4 C. D. Ritchie lbw, b McLean 23 D. Noble not out 13	G. M. Black b McLean 2 D. M. Bain b McLean 0 W. K. W. White c Matheson b Duffus 0 H. W. Buchan b Duffus 0 Byes, &c. 7	

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at Eastbourne, Tuesday, July 5.—Eastbourne won by 31 runs.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

R. E. Tudor lbw, b H. L. H. Oremer	R. D. Schneider c	Baker b H. W. 5
H. A. V. Maynard not out100	Byes, &c.	10
Dalpat Singh c and b Gore 25	2500, 600.	
E. H. Muir-Smith b H. L. H. Cremer 7	R. H. King	*193
H. Doughty c Crowther b H. W.	I. L. Coram	
Oremer 10	C. E. Wilson	did not bat.
H. S. Malik run out 30	H. J. Coxhead	A. P. Busherlord o.B.
or ran oad oo .	II. U. OUAHGAU	

* Innings declared closed.

KING'S SCHOOL.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at Uppingham, Tuesday, Wednesday, Ju^jy 5, 6.—The match was drawn there being no play on the second day owing to rain.

UPPINGHAM	AM SCHOOL.
G. W. Palmer b Gardiner J. D. Crosthwaite c Gardiner b Smith K. B. Harper b Munt M. S. Ray b Pawle. H. B. Moore c and b Gardiner	. 55 — not out 36 . 42 . 0
V. Wilshin run out. C. Hopkins b Pawle C. G. Tomlin c Gardiner b Pawle C. M. Morris c Munt b Pawle	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
A. R. Trubshaw c Hake b Pawle M. J. L. Walker not out Byes, &c.	V. Distance A subsected by Compact 10
	153

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

F. M. Strawson c Ray b Moore 17	B. R. Lawrence c Hopkins b Morris 17
A. G. Vincent c and b Moore 75	K. N. Makenzie b Trubshaw 1
H. H. Sloan-Stanley b Moore 2	H. H. Gardiner b Trubshaw 5
H. D. Hake st Tomlin b Moore 25	E. R. Munt not or t 3
B Pawle c Harper b Palmer 22	Byes, &c 13
W. P. Green c Morris b Walker 54	
K. P. Smith b Walker 52	286

MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at Malvern, Wednesday, Thursday, July 6, 7.—Playing fine all-round cricket, Malvern won by an innings and 46 runs.

MALVERN COLLEGE.

H. S. Keville-Davies st Franklin b	C. N. Harding c Franklin b Arden 32
Moses 8	G. C. Lucas c Popham b Arden 55
D. J. Knight b Moses 22	C. J. Mann c Ellis b Rutherford 32
F. G. Naumann b Calthorpe 59	S. W. H. Welsby not out 12
R. C. Burton run out 0	Byes, &c
A.C P. Arnold c Franklin b Calthorpe 25	AND
U. H. Cramer Robert b Calthorne. 1	291
A. H. W. Scott b Arden 26	

REPTON SCHOOL.

I. P. F. Campbell c We'sby b Burton	0 — b Scott 3	16
A. P. Rutherford c Harding b Naumann	33 — b Burton	4
E. C. Moses b Scott	3 — run out	5
F. S. G. Calthorpe b Scott	10 - c Lucas b Naumann	1
D. W. Ellis st Arnold b Scott	2 - b Welsby	1
R. F. Popham b Welsby	28 - c Cramer-Roberts b Burton 1	1
G. L. Rutherford b Welsby		4
G. L. Clark c Cramer-Roberts b Scott	1 - b Welsby	1
W B. Franklin not out		6
E. T. Arden c and b Welsby		7
A. H. Cope lbw, b Welsby	2 — b Scott	0
	17 Bye	1
19/19 Diving Different Landing Committee Commi	link a breatak a rentwere .r.	_
	128	7

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at Sherborne, Wednesday, Thursday, July 6, 7.—An even match ended in a draw.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

F. H. Knott b Corfe	107 — b Carr 54	
C. Patterson c Mason b Simey	38 — c Read b Carey 42	
L. Peiris c Carr b Corfe	53 — b Simey 3	
E. Smith c and b Corfe	3	
L. Marzeth b Coleman	28 — e Mason b Coleman 17	
W. Sherwell not out	28 — not out 4	
S Proll b Carey	0	
F. Musson b Carey	2 — b Corfe 15	
J. Thorley c Mason b Carey	The state of the s	
H. Molesworth st Mason b Coleman	3	
R. Hilary b Coleman	0	
Byes, &c	16 Byes, &c 16	
	*111	
278 *151		
* Innings declared closed.		

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

T. Mason c Smith b Hilary	7		
A. W. Carr c Molesworth b Thorley		- c sub. b Knott 29	
B. Brammall b Hilary	31 -	- not out 3	
A. B. Read b Knott	0 -	- b Knott 29	
A. H. Tuke b Hilary	8		
C. D. Gullick c Peiris b Molesworth			
G. E. Gray c Smith b Hilary			
R. Carey b Knott			
C. Corfe b Knott	2		
P. A. Simey not out			
G. D. Coleman b Thorley	6	-	
Byes, &c	13	Byes, &c 3	
	_	0.4	
	286	64	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—Clifton won the toss and put Cheltenham in with disastrous results. Cheltenham won by 137 runs.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

G. K. Oliver c James b Richardson	6 — b Murray 14
A. V. Wise b Richardson	4 - b Murray 6
R. H. Durand c Morgan b Brand	
B. J. Fawcett c James b Murray	
J. F. Dew c Johnson b Hill	
G. E. C. Wood b Murray	43 — c and b Wallace 13
R. H. Smith c Brand b Murray	8 — run out
H. F. Brown c Southern b Hill	
G. D. Wood b Murray	
A. A. Durand c Rissik b Murray	
E. W. Coren not out	. 0 run out 0
Byes, &c	5 Byes, &c 8
45 F. Comptoll of Mailage b Sheware \$4 11	- L Donne not out
	176

Public	School	Match	res.
--------	--------	-------	------

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

CHILION COLLEGE.
C. Rissik c and b Coren 1 — b G. D. Wood
G. C. Southern c Olliver b G. D. Wood
F. E. Morgen e. A. Durond b. C. D. Wood 4 — c Wise b Smith
2. 3. Morgan CA. Duranti n t II wood
- Prin Out
P. J. Richardson b A. Durand. 20 - b G. D. Wood 12
A R Wellage a Smith b. T
26 Wallace Collins DA. Dilrand 96 - c Down b Conor
a. Dianu CA, Durand h Coren
D. D. Durand.
F. W. Burgovne e Johnson h Smith
F. W. Burgoyne c Johnson b Smith 2 — c and b Smith 4
o. I. D. Mullay C Drown of Coren
2. M. Dames not out () — h Smith
Byes, &c 7 Byes, &c 9
Byes, &c 9
O. M. Morrare Munch b. Paurla
90 44 124
121

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at Dunstable, July 9.—Bedford Modern School won by 106 runs.

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL. R. C. Guttridge c Mills b Graham. 15 + H. M. Johnson b Warton

C. F. Green b Graham 3 H. B. Gordon b Graham 4 A. J. Hunter b Graham 1 R. J. Pinnock c Mills b Graham 11 R. Holyoake b Graham 0 K. S. Roden c and b Graham 0	R. Croall not out
BEDFORD MOI	DERN SCHOOL.
H. E. Warton run out 9 F. C. W. Newman c Green b Hunter 7 A. C. Ingram c Johnson b Guttridge 10 S. V. Graham b Gordon 49 G. W. Whiteman run out 39 F. V. Seymour c Green b Gordon 5 C. M. Wills at Peder. b Gordon 5	A. Harvey b Hunter

RADLEY COLLEGE v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

C. M. Mills at Roden b Guttridge.. 1

Played at RADLEY, Saturday, July 9.—After a close finish Radley won by three runs.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

A. C. G. Lonsdale c Beaver b Gray 9 J. L. Rennie b Donne 3 A. K. Boyd b Gray 39 N. L. Ames b Lindsell 55 L. H. Garnett st Bagot b Lindsell 1 F. J. Nugee c Bagot b Gray 14 W. R. Birch c Gray b Lindsell 2	F. M. C. Hare c Gray b Lindsell 9 T. H. Peace c Dixon b Ward 23 O. A. Reid b Ward 10 L. G. Hill not out 0 Byes, &c 10 175
READELET	Correce

Bradfieli	College.
R. T. B. Pope c Reid b Garnett 6 R. St. G. Brooke c Rennie b Ames 14 J. C. N. Harris b Hill 17 R. S. Lindsell b Hare 48 E. Bagot c Garnett b Hill 0 A C. Donne not out 18 J. D. C. Beaver c Nugee b Ames 18	P. H. Grav c Nugge h Ames

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at West Kensington, $Saturday,\ July$ 9.—Bedford won easily by 160 runs.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

N. S. Maltby b Pollard 24 A. L. Thompson c James b Jones 52' E. H. Holland b Pollard 2 R. L. Williams b Buckley 11 D. B. Candy e Norman b Pollard 3	O. H. Lee-Lum c and b Pollard 10 A. J. Reeves c James b Pollard 9 D. F. Roberts b Pollard 0 L. G. Phillips c James b Norman 48 Byes, &c. 10
D. A. Wilkins b Norman 7 H. Grierson not out 108	284

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

DI. LAULS	DCHOOL,
S. I. James c Williams b Grierson 8 R. T. Pollard b Grierson 1 C. J. Farr b Grierson 0 F. C. Hawkes lbw, b Phillips 8 J. M. Boyd b Grierson 1 P. J. Buckley c Lee-Lum b Grierson 43 G. E. Sellers c Roberts b Wilkins 22	D. W. Jones st Roberts b Grierson 19 R. C. Cumming not out

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played on the Sussex County Ground, Hove, Saturday, July 9.—This game, owing to great efforts by the last few Brighton players, ended in a tie.

LANCING COLLEGE.

H. S. Machin b Baker R. O. Clarke hit wkt, b Davies G. B. Liddledon c Cubitt b Baker L. R. C. Sumner b Baker R. K. Simms c Cubitt b Baker G. L. Selby b Read T. Loder-Symonds b Baker	27 0 1 14 4	W. Longman b Murdoch
---	-------------------------	----------------------

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

211141111111111111111111111111111111111	ocanaca;
R. W. Dower b Simms 0	N. M. Morris run out 11
P. H. Davies c Birkett b Clarke 7	W. S. Ross not out 36
A. J. Murdock c Liddledon b Simms 41	E. C. Baker b Simms 43
M. Fisch b Simms	O. R. Clouston b Selby 25
W. E. I. Read c Longman b	Byes, &c
Liddledon 17	AT BUSINESS
R. Cadman c Mackin b Liddledon 0	228
B. B. Cubitt b Liddledon 0	At J. Roo bow, b Leicester 45

EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. FETTES.

Played at RAEBURN PLACE, Saturday, July 9, and resulted in a win for the Academy by 50 runs.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

J. M. Anderson c Shewan b Glegg 3	R. M'Intyre b Eccles 1
W. M. Wallace c Ovens b Eccles 2	D. M. Bain b Eccles 0
G. B. Crole c Clegg b Shewan107	H. W. Buchan c Wallace b Eccles 0
R. Clark c and b Glegg 50	C. D. Ritchie not out 20
W. K. Whyte b Shewan 0	Byes, &c 25
G. F. Campbell st Wallace b Shewan 24	T. Rragden e Greenhill b Surice 11
J. M. Black c Philip b Benson 9	241

428 Public Sch	ool Matches.
FE	TTES.
H. D. Cumming c and b White 0 W. J. Abitt c Wallace b Bain 39 J. R. Philip c Anderson b Ritchie 63 A. T. Williamson b Bain 10 G. A. Glegg b Crole 4 R. T. Moir b Crole 6 H. S. Wallace b Crole 12	A. G. Eccles b Crole
BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL	v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.
Played at Bedford, Wednesday, Jul	ly 13.—Drawn.
Wellingbor	ough School.
L. S. Osborne c Whiteman b Harvey 41 E. Wright run out	W. E. Hazelton b Graham 0 J. Martin lbw, b Warton 28 Byes, &c. 10 *289 H. C. Dexter S. J. Furse
* Innings dec	lared closed.
Bedford Mo	DERN ECHOOL.
H. E. Warton b J. White	F. W. Cherry b Hazelton 11 F. V. Seymour not out 5 R. H. Foster not out 10 Byes, &c. 12 C. W. Whitworth did not bat.
MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, MERCHANT TAY F. W. Greenhill lbw, b Douglas 5 A. J. Roe lbw, b Leicester 45	July 13.—Drawn.
G. W. Benton run out 9 C. H. Rawles b Leicester 6 G. E. Spencer not out 41 D. N. Hodson c Thomas b Douglas 10 F. J. Dove b Burton 4	S. C. Frost lbw, b Brogden 3 G. E. Burton b Burton 0 Byes, &c. 28 156
MILL HILL	SCHOOL.
R. M. Dexter c Dove b Wadham 8 A. C. Altham c Isaac b Wadham 36 G. P. Douglas b Isaac 6 T. A. Higham b Isaac 6 H. G. Hawkins c Rawles b Isaac 8 F. E. Brogden c Greenhill b Burton 11 S. E. Parsons not out 4	C Snell not out

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON COLLEGE, Friday, Saturday, July 15, 16.—Malven, showing fine all round form, won by nine wickets.

CLIFTON	OLI	EGE.	
C. Rissik e Mann b Scott	37	- b Burton	. 4
A. K. Hickman b Scott	15	- lbw, b Scott	. 2
U. Haynes b Burton	17	- c Mann b F. C. Naumann	64
R J. B. Foljambe b Scott.	10	b Burton	0
P. S. Richardson b Burton	7	— b Burton	. 0
G. C. Southern b Welsby	36	- b Scott	. 2
A. R. Wallace c Lucas b Burton	. 18	- c Kevill-Davies b Welsby .	. 28
R. A. Brand c Harding b Burton	. 6	— lbw, b Scott	. 10
F. W. Burgoyne-Johnson c Mann b Burton	20	- b F. C. G. Naumann	. 25
H. L. Hill b Welsby	. 4	— not out	. 39
C. R. B. Murray not out	0	— bScott	. 22
Byes, &c	12	Byes, &c	. 18
	100		
	182		214
MALVERN		KGE.	
H. S. Kevill-Davies c Southern b Murray	6		
D. J. Knight b Richardson	ET	not out	10
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OI	<u> </u>	. 13
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill	14	— not out	. 13
R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray	14		
R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Arnold c Rissik b Brand	14 10 18		
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern	14 10 18 33		
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N. Harding not out	14 10 18 33 94	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Arnold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N. Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Havnes b Hill	14 10 18 33 94 30	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Arnold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N. Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson	14 10 18 33 94 30 32	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson A. W. H. Scott b Richardson	14 10 13 33 94 30 32 13	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson A. W. H. Scott b Richardson S. W. H. Welsby lbw, b Murray	14 10 18 33 94 30 32 13 21	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson A. W. H. Scott b Richardson	14 10 18 33 94 30 32 13 21	— not out	. 20
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson A. W. H. Scott b Richardson S. W. H. Welsby lbw, b Murray Byes, &c.	14 10 18 33 94 30 32 13 21 35	— not out	. 20 . 2
F. C. G. Naumann c and b Hill R.C. Burton c Burgoyne Johnson b Murray A. C. P. Armold c Rissik b Brand C. J. Mann c Richardson b Southern C. N Harding not out G. C. Lucas c Haynes b Hill J. H. Naumann c Rissik b Richardson A. W. H. Scott b Richardson S. W. H. Welsby lbw, b Murray Byes, &c.	14 10 18 33 94 30 32 13 21 35	— not out	. 20

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, July 16.—Bedford Grammar School won by 107 runs.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR	SCHOOL.
N. S. Maltby b Hawkins 46 A. L. Thompson c Harbottle b Sutcliffe 86 E. H. Holland b Sutcliffe 12 R. L. Williams c and b Sutcliffe 7 D. B. Candy c Bletcher b Bullen 5	L. G. Phillips c Harbottle b Sutcliffe
O. H. Lee Lum b Sutcliffe	A. J. Reeves D. F. Roberts did not bat.

* Innings declared closed.

LEYS So	CHOOL.
R. E. Bullen lbw, b Phillips 18 W. W. Baird b Grierson 0 R. Hosegood b Phillips 3	J. G. Grant b Wilkins 13 G. R. Vick b Phillips 3 G. V. kend bet error
W. Sutcliffe c Maltby b Grierson 8 C. V. T. Hawkins b Phillips 4	C. V. Isard not out 9 T. Bletcher c Roberts b Phillips 5 Byes, &c. 12
R. A. Airth c Roberts b Wilkins 68	THE COMMENT OF STREET

GLENALMOND v. FETTES.

~						
GL	EN	AT	.VI	U.	ND	

A. V. Lister b Eccles	G. C. M. Miller not out 12 Byes, &c
L. McLean st Knox b Benson 99	Fres. de atonis d'anardole. N-
W. A. Whitelaw c Wallace b William-	*36
son 57	J. G. Gibson
J. Goodman-Smith b Williamson 35	D. G. M. Wright \ did not bat.
B. C. Burton st Knox b Benson 0	P. B. Duffus
J. S. Findlay not out 59	S. Wallace o Lucas b Surton
	1 1 1

*Innings declared closed.

FETTES.

A. C. Williamson c Colquhoun b Duffus	R. G. Moir not out
W. J. Ablitt lbw, b McLean 5 A. D. Gordon-Cumming c Findlay b	223
McLean 29	B. Knox
J. A. Glegg not out	A. G. Eccles did not bat.
H. S. H. Wallace c and b Duffus 1	J. M. Benson

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, July 16.—Charterhouse gained an easy victory. K. King took six wickets for 37 runs.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

W. H. R. Hinde c Morrison b King 27	J. W. Hardy b Leatham 27
J. K Manger run out 8	H. Mockler-Ferryman b King 4
C. F. T. Lindsay lbw, b Leatham 23	J. M. Milton not out 18
Æ. F. Q. Perkins b King 4	W. F London b King 0
W. F. R. Schloss b Leatham 0	Byes, &c 12
P. L. Barrow b King 19	
P. E. D. Pank b King 0	142
CHARTERHOU	USE SCHOOL.
J. S. F. Morrison b London 49	H. W. Leatham b London 16
U S Sandargon e Manger h Ferry.	K King not out 38

P. E. D. Pank b King 0	142
CHARTERHOU	ISE SCHOOL.
J. S. F. Morrison b London	H. W. Leatham b London

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 20 .- University College School won easily.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

C. Snell c Mullins b Smart 12
D. C. Smith c Neath b Aston 17
G. P. Douglas b Aston 4
H. P. Burton not out 5
Byes, &c
20 Zitaling si adaodo H p. dista
173

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

T. C. King b Douglas 0 P. H. Wells b Burton 4	J. D. Mullins run out 0 J. C. Bastian lbw, b Dexter 16
R. E. Sneath not out	Byes, &c
C. C. Aston b Burton 0 D. B. Reid b Douglas 31	G. Goodyear did not bat.

MERCHISTON v. EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

Played at Merchiston, Saturday, July 23.—Drawn.

MERCHISTON.

T. B. Lyle run out 12 D. Hutchison b McIntyre 4 A. Fraser b Ritchie 128 R. Roberts c Crole b McIntyre 41 C. Hutchison b Ritchie 18 R. Forman c and b Campbell 23 W. H. Baxter c Crole b Ritchie 4 G. D. Simpson lbw, b C W. McWhirter b Ritchie G. Mollison b Ritchie W. Robertson not out Byes, &c	chie
--	------

Edinburgh	ACADEMY.
J. M. Anderson c Lyle b Fraser 30 R Clark c C. Hutchison b Fraser 24 G. B. Crole c Roberts b Mollison 2 W. K. White c Baxter b Fraser 0 W. M. Wallace c Robertson b	C. D. Ritchie b McWhirter 2 G. M. Black b Fraser 2 D. Noble not out 2 Byes, &c. 6
McWhirter 18 G. E F. Campbell not out 28	114

ELTHAM COLLEGE v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at Eltham, Friday, July 29.—Eltham won by 79 runs.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL

A. S. Carte b Cox	S. C. Austin lbw, b Cox 1 F. A. Stahlsmidt b Rawte 10 C. W. Bloomfield not out 10 M. M. Lewis b Cox 1 Byes, &c. 7
-------------------	---

ELTHAM	COLLEGE.
A. L. Gace c Miles b Lewis 51 C. W. F. Rawte b Robins 2 G. C. McClement b Lewis 9 R. H. Whittall b Robbins 10 S. Barber c Miles b Lewis 10 P. L. Catchpole c Carte b Robins 13 A. N. F. Moore c Bailey b Miles 1	M. A. H. Cox b Robins 3 A. M. Pigott c Swale b Miles 14 C. H. F. Woolley c Miles b Robins 3 R. J. R. Williams not out 0 Byes, &c. 15

AVERAGES.

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times	,	
	Inning	gs	Runs		innings		not ou	t	Average
F. J. Cory	11		370		104*		3	0.1	46.25
A. H. Kneller	11		222		54		0		20.18
H. J. Fredericks	10		160		37		2		20.00
F. J. Huddleston	12		134		40		3		14.80
G. H. Mitchell	10		141		41		0		14.18
F. Steed	9		83		23		3		13.83
A. E. Bishopp	8	A. D.	53	1144	19*	1 11	3		10.60
J. P. Koetz	3		17		16		1		8.50
R. J. Willan	6		33		9		2		8.25
T. J. C. McRow	9		63		27		1		7.87
B. R. Reade			31		10		1		7.75
		* 5	Signifies :	not c	out.				

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	8	Average
F. J. Huddleston	83.1	 20		251		35		7.17
F. J. Cory	47	 5		190		26		7.30
R. J. Willan	64.3	 12		244		25		9.76
A. H. Kneller	32	 4	0.0	131		9		14.50
F. Steed	40.3	 5		188	0.00	10		18.80
T. J. C. McRow	6	 0		18		1		18.00

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BATTING.

				1	Most in a	n	Times	
	Innings		Runs		innings		not out	Average
T. O'Brien	. 12		295		54		0	 24.58
St. J. Considine			200		89*		3	 20.00
M. Guardia	. 13		230		53		1	 19.16
P. Myburgh	. 13		194		42		1	 16.16
G. Clifford			188		109		1	 15.66
V. Perez			98		41*		1	 14.00
R. Firth			135		51*		2	 13.50
R. Doherty		10.18	132		59*		1	 13.20
G. Russell		0	159		79		0	 12.23
J. Mitjans		79.	30		17		3	 5.00
H. Churchill			13		6		1	 1.44
			Signifies	not c	ut.			

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	tis	Average
R. Firth	159.4	 18	 412	 40		10.30
P. Myburgh	33.2	 7	 104	 10		10.40
H. Churchill	135	 17	 398	 38		10.42
J. Mitjans	74	 18	 165	 15		11.00
St. J. Considine	21.1	 0	 70	 6		11.66

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

]	Most in a		Tim		
and the T	nning	28	Runs		innings	3	not or	ut	Average
H. Grierson	15		404		108*	0	5		40.40
N. S. Maltby	14		366		73	01	1		28.15
A. L. Thomson			327		86	0	3		25.25
E. H. Holland	15	4000	346		61	A	0		23.06
		* 0.5	264	17.1.	83	9	2		22.00
D. A. Wilkins	15	0.0	220	30.1.	61	OI.	1		15.71
R. L. Williams	10	2.0	137	10.	29	8	0		13.70
A. J. Reeves	10	000	82	19.	31*	A	3		11.57
L. G. Phillips	12	40.7	104	2	48	A	2		10.40
D. F. Roberts		0.1.	90	F	24		3		8.18
O. H. Lee Lum	4	0.8	14	201	10		0		3.50
O. H. Dee Hull	7	*	Signifies	not					

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	W	ickets	Average
H. Grierson	229.5	 50		617		50	 12.34 14.30
L. G. Phillips	211.3	 31		658		46	 18.73
D. A. Wilkins	79	 10		281		15	 21.50
O. H. Lee Lum	16	 4		43		2	 21.50

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times		
	Innings		Runs		innings		not ou	it	Average
S. V. Graham	- 0	10.	263	1.	106*		2		37.57
A. C. Ingram			280	34.	98		2		35.00
H. E. Warton		ibi	252	365	114	1	0		31.50
		10	143	BEE .	60	1	0		23.83
G. W. Whiteman			117		29*		2		14.62
F. C. W. Newman			36	77	17*		2	11.15	12.00
R. H. Foster		38	74		37		0		9.25
F. W. Cherry			36		17		1	. '19	7.20
C. W. Whitworth			34		8	1	2		6.80
A. Harvey			24		14*		1	0.00	4.80
C. M. Mills			100		5*		1		3.25
F. V. Seymour	. 5	* S	13 ignifies	not		• •			3,20

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	ıs	Runs	ickets	Average
H. E. Warton S. V. Graham A. Harvey	193	$\frac{32}{35}$::	581	 35 29 11	 19.14 20.03 26.90

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BATTING.

rgarayA too ton I	nning	s	Runs		Most in a innings	n	Time not or		A
R. S. Lindsell	10	160%	394				1101 01	.10	Average
R. T. B. Pope	10	150			103		1		43.77
R. C. S. Brooke	10	- 1	297		106		1		33.00
Tr. C. S. Brooke	10	00	266		62	81	0	motor	26.60
A. C. Donne	8	10	74		20*		5	a Tel	24.66
J. C. N. Harris	9	.00	171	100	39*		1		
E. Bagot	10	ra	125	1100			1		21.37
J. D. C. Beaver	0	00			23		1		13.88
D II II Comme	0		94		28	W.	1		13.42
P. H. H. Gray	8		84		28*	01.	1		12.00
C. M. Dixon	6	84	45		18*		1	POL	and the second second
N. A. H. Barlow	. 8		31	00	19		1		9.00
K. P. Henstock	5	44.5					1		7.75
	9	4.00	25		13		1		6.25

* Signifies not out.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BOWLING.

R. S. Lindsell	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average	
A. C. Donne	$\frac{94}{108.2}$	0	5	39	420		26		16.15
P. H. H. Gray	124 1		18 23	16	$\frac{337}{400}$		20		16.85
J. C. N. Harris	69.2	- T	4		377	99	19		21.05
					011		10		23.59

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

W. E. I. Read	nnin 17	gs	Runs		fost in an innings	Time not ou		Average
R. Cadman	11		568		81*	 4		43.69
D. III. D.	1		114		49*	 3		28.50
R. W. Dower	18		435	100	65	1		25.58
A. J. Murdock	17		365	126	153	 1		
P. Havelock-Davies .	18	oa.				 U	110.7	21.47
B. B. Cubitt	10		330		59	 1		19.41
D. D. OHDIO	17		266		86	 1		16.62
N. M. Morris	14		177		56	3	41.00	AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
W.S. Ross	6		61		36*	 1		16.09
E. C. Baker	11					 1		12.20
M Frigah	11		78		43	 3		9.75
M. Frisch	15		119		22	 1		8.50
O. R. Clouston	16		91		35	 0		
						 4		6.50
		T	Signifies	not	Out.			

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

D. T. Ov		Maiden	s	Runs	Runs Wickets				
P. Havelock-Davies E. C. Baker	$213.3 \\ 250.3$	 36		697		67		Average 10.40	
W. E. I. Read	93.5	 50		$\frac{723}{374}$		65		11.12	
O. R. Clouston	26	4		147		22		$17.00 \\ 21.00$	
A. J. Murdock	50.2	 5		210	8	7		30.00	

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

epanova. somiT	nnin	ıgs	Runs		Most in innings	meni	Times not out	Average
H. A. Denison	16		396		100*	81.	3	30.46
J. S. F. Morrison	17	1.	431		72		2	
G. C. Dowling	16	40.	429	69.1	86	81	1	
H. A. Wellesley			230	08.	54*	31.	1	
H. S. Sanderson		000	243	ORL	76		3	18.69
R. E. Norris			239	88.	38	8	1	15.90
K. King			118	201	38*	85.	4	
H. W. Leatham		0.5	150	38.	43		1	10.71
R. Boosey		0.0	78	99.	38	3	0 .	10.11
	3		7		4*	II.	2 .	7.00
R. P. M. Hudson	8		46		23	81	1 .	6.57
10. 1. 11. 11.			Signifies	not	out.			

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	M	Tickets	3	Average
H. W. Leatham	118	 17		404		41		9.85
H. S. Sanderson	24	 2		98		7		14.00
G. C. Dowling	22	 3		136		9		15.11
K. King	194	 61		542	00.	34		15.94
R. P. M. Hudson	168	30		452		23		16.14
W. E. D. Shortt	51	 14		201	05.	10		20.10

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times	
	Innings		Runs		innings		not out	Average
G. E. C. Wood			431	04.	84		2	30.78
A. V. D. Wise			431		83		0	25.35
J. F. Dew		4.5	395	31.	112	÷	1	22.68
R. H. Durand			287	085	64	a	0	22.07
B. J. A. Fawcett			256	1.09	80	a	0	21.33
G. K. Oliver		55	192	201	59	05	1	17.45
H. F. Brown		18	163	81.	74*		2	16.30
G. D. Wood			78	1	29		6	13.72
R. H. Smith			151	V4.	20	1	1 1 1 1 1 1	11.14
A. A. M. Durand			125	1	23*		2	9.61
P. A. Shelmerdine .		00	40	00.	14*		3	6.66
E. W. Coren		0.	17		7*		1	4.25
2. 11. 00202 11.11.		*	Signifies	not	out.			

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

		Runs	W	ickets	Average	
	G. D. Wood	683		45	 15.17	
	R. H. Smith	582		39	 14.92	
	A. A. M. Durand	450		26	 17.30	
	E W. Coren			13	 12.92	
	P. A. Shelmerdine .	213		12	 17.55	

CHRIST COLLEGE, BRECON, BATTING.

Athetey A ine tog 1	MA				Most in a		Times	
		ß	Runs		innings		not out	Average
G. Howell	18		288	80.8	55	84.	0	16.00
S. R. Phillips	17		127	TE.L	29*		4	9.76
J. V. Rees	18	88	159		50*	200	1	9.35
W. R. Thomas	15	=12	139	088	34		0	
J. Cooper	17	26	130	848	29*		0	
M C. M. Denny	18	9.0		0100			3	
H. R. Evans			165		54		0	9.16
E. R. Gibbon	16	• •	125	0	35		0	7.81
	11		36	0	12	0	6	7.20
D. J. Walters	16		82	8	20	7	4	6.83
A. J. Ll. Rees	11		74	T	18	2	0	6.72
H. B. Davies	13		15	al.	10	8	3	1.50
		* Si	gnifies	not c				1.00

CHRIST COLLEGE, BRECON, BOWLING.

A. J. Ll. Rees	Overs	Maiden	Maidens		Wickets			Average
C Homell	10	 1		36		6		6.00
G. Howell	251.2	 61		487		65	March 1	7.49
E. R. Gibbon	60	 12		144		16	DETO	9.00
D. J. Walters	25	 1		125		11	- 301	11.36
H. B. Davies	183.5	 34		512	WIL	39		13.12
J. V. Rees	42.2	 6	94	112	801	7	History	16.00
M. C. M. Denny	30	 3		80	16-	5		16.00

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in an Times			
not out Average	Inning	28	Runs	Prinst.	innings	1	not out	Average
J. E. Swale	17		330	TES	49	05.		20.62
H. F. Cannel	8	2.3	125	181	60		î	
A. S. Carte	14	911	216	808	75		Ô	15.42
F. A. Loveday	15	2.0	160	190-	39			11.42
C. W. Mills	16	00-	139	680	24		1	
H. Quinney	16	07	133	20.5	27		0	
G. Robbins	16	***	113	581	27		0	and the second second second
F. A. Stahlschmidt	16	00	111	001		II.	2	
C. W. Bloomfield	15				23		_	7.40
T. C. Austin	11		67	16.	11*		5	
L. M. Bailey	11	-	73		20		0	
M M Lowin	14		69		29		0	4.92
M. M. Lewis	9		29		9		3	4.83

* Signifies not out.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING.

a 7	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
G. Robbins	205	 53	10.4	523		50		10.46
C. W. Mills	33	 0		143		13	9	11.00
M. M. Lewis		 8		280	113111	21	23	13.33
L. M. Bailey	32	 4		125		9	A	13.88
C. W. Bloomfield	139	 16		495		29		17.06
H. Quinney	43	 4		150	nuiler	6	4	25.00

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING.

				N	fost in a	n	Times		
	Innings	Ind]	Runs		innings		not ou	t	Average
C. C. Haynes	. 9		272		64		2		38.86
C. Rissik			352		66		2		25.14
P. J. Richardson			347	er.	128		1		24.79
H. L. Hill	. 10		101	200 .	39*		5		20.20
A. R. Wallace			201	200.	53		1		15.46
A. K. Hickman			174		50		0		12.43
G. C. Southern	. 15		168		36	or	0		11.00
C. R. B. Murray	. 14		114		34		3		10.34
R. A. Brand	. 10		93		24		1		10.33
R. F. T. Foljambe .	. 9		75		24		0		8.33
F. W. B. Johnson	. 10		78		25		0		7.80
		* Sig	gnifies	not	out.				

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	W	ickets	,	Average
C. R. B. Murray	206.1	 32		625		35		17.86
A. R. Wallace	81.5	 14	111.	301	7.	15		20.07
R. A. Brand	100.2	 14		378	81	18		21.00
P. J. Richardson	162	 34		532		23		23.13
G. C. Southern	43	 5		193		8		24.12
H. L. Hill	116	 21		373		15	africal)	24.87

DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.

				Most in	an	Times	
	Innin	gs	Runs	inning	S	not out	Average
A. J. Wood		ngian	407	101*	anni.	4	58.14
J. W. Knight	. 10	A 7.1.	201	69	ST	3	28.71
T. H. Bowman	. 9	****	227	110		0	25.20
G. R. Ball	. 9		171	66	93	1	21.37
G. A. Anderson	. 8	25	81	32		2	13.50
C. F. Smith	. 6	T	24	9		3	8.00
A. G. Hazell	. 6	00	39	10*		1	7.80
G. O. Paner			59	15		1	7.00
H. L. Chadwick		in	34	21		0	6.80
G. A. Howe	. 2	00.	5	4		0	2.50
C. J. Barton		7	8	6		1	2.00
		* 5	signifies not	out.	VA		

DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs	Wickets	Average.
J. W. Knight	231	 22	
C. J. Barton	283	 24	 11.80
A. J. Wood	84		
T. H. Bowman	159	 8	 19.87
G. A. Howe	221	 10	 22.10
A. G. Hazell	151	 4	 37.75

DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.

esmil in tod]	nnin	gs	Runs	Most in a innings	Times not out		Average	
P. G. Munns	10		245	54		2	24,00	30.62
C. T. MacLaren	13		331	 93	£	0		25.46
G. Watson	10		179	 56		2	0	22.37
A. H. Pollock	10		208	 54		0		20.80
E. de L. W. Roebuck	13	60	235	 60		0		18.07
J. G. Stevens	12		182	 36		0		15.17
R. H. R. Parminter .	12		146	 42*		2		14.60
G. Dixon	10		114	 35		1		12.64
F. S. Clarke	13		151	 30		1		12.58
T. L. Mills	9		52	 21*		2		7.40
M. V. Roberts	4		28	 17		0		7.00

* Signifies not out.

DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	3	Average
G. Watson		8	40	450		36		12.50
P. G. Munns	250.3		47	721	BI	51	h	14.13
T. L. Mills			9	257		14		18.36
A. H. Pollock	45		7	146	IL	6	!	24.33

DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	ß	Runs		ost in an innings	Times not ou	Average		
J. E. Greenwood		90	563	B	113		0	digital	35.19
E. G. Shand		DII.	112		67*		2		28.00
A. C. Green		60	413		73*		1	U	27.53
R. D. Sullock		Y	298		72		1	18.10	21 28
R. K. Nunes			257		61*		1	4	19.76
J. E. Russell	. 4		54		22		1	11.44	18.00
J. F. King	. 12		151		57*		3	196	16.77
E. C. Coleman	. 15	18	217		35		0	in be	14.46
G. Donald	. 14		87		30		2	0.90	7.25
E. W. Waite	. 10		19		7		3		2.71

* Signifies not out.

DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.

OF LEVEL BOY LEVER BOY LINE

	Overs	Maidens			Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
G. Donald	169.5		24	2	635	000	36		17.63
J E. Greenwood	99.1		18		426	TWO IS	22		19.36
J. E. Russell	49		8		137		7		19.57
E. W. White	152.3		14	GI.	754	21871	34		21.88

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BATTING.

	Inning	3	Runs		Most in a	n	Time not ou	No.	Average
G. B. Crole	17		650		118*		2	William	43.33
D. Noble	4		34		13*		3	expertant property and the second	34.00
J. M. Anderson			393		79		0	thos	24.56
R. Clark	16		318		58		3	adigo.	24.45
G. E. F. Campbell	14		219		61		3		19.91
C. D. Ritchie	14		150		54		6	ASDET.	18.75
W. M. Wallace	16		239		101		1	1118	15,93
G. M. Black	10		96		40*		2	1137	12.00
W. K. White			158		45		0		9.87
R. MacIntyre	14		125		39		0	70.0	8.93
D. M. Bain	9		34		13		0		3.78
		*	Signifies 1	ot	out.				

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
		 0		55		5		11.00
R. MacIntyre	128	 16		427		28	0.500	15.25
C. D. Ritchie		 23		576		29		19.86
D. M. Bain		 17		299		15		19.93
W. K. White		 9		629		29		21.34
G. B. Crole		 6		290		13		22.31
G. E. F. Campbell .	143.2	 21		516		22		23.45

ELSTOW SCHOOL BATTING.

			Most in an	Times	Average 36.00			
	Innings	Runs	innings	not out	Average			
D. M. Grant	11	288	91*	3	36.00			
H. R. Rennie	11	320	96	2	35.56			
H. Rose	11	256	87	1	25.60			
J. E. Pike	10	139	96	1	15.44			
L. F. Beeson		89	39	0	14.83			
A. F. Abbiss	6	47	23	1	9.40			
W. Southwell	9	66	22	1	8.25			
J. A. Gibson	5	28	20	1	7.00			
R. Smith	6	33	15	0	5.50			
N. Baker	4	10	8*	1	3.33			
A. J. Webb	5	11	10*	1	2.75			
	* 5	Signifies not	out.					

ELSTOW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	7ickets	3	Average
J. E. Pike	106	 19		293		26		11.26
H. R. Rennie		 41		463		38		12.18
N. Baker	30.5	 3		106		7		15.14
J. A. Gibson	110.3	 9		403		19		21.21

I would believe Averages	ic School Aver	ages.	
--------------------------	----------------	-------	--

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs		Most in a innings	'n	Times not ou		Average
R. V. Hudson	11		346		71		0		31.45
W. F. Marshall	6		88		35*		3		29.33
R. M. Handfield-Jones	11		263		86		1		26.30
J. R. Macphail	11		248		68		0		22.55
A. R. Cutfield	7		123		41*		1		20.50
A. E. Parkes	9		87		22*		3		14.50
D. J. Evans	9		95		36		2		13.57
G. N. Wrightson	6		77		30		0		12.83
R. L. Williams	6		39		29		1		7.80
F. A. Bristow	9		53		13		2		7.57
G. D. Gripper	7		37		13		0		5.28
NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER,		*	Signifies 1	not	out.			•	0.20

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	1	Vicke	ts	Average
A. E. Parkes	9	 2		30		4		7.50
G. N. Wrightson	424	 11		111		14		7.92
R. K. MacRoberts .	44	 4		158		7		22.57
R. L. Williams	60.2	 10		177		7		25.28
D. J. Evans	203.5	 61		603		50	100	12.05
W. F. Marshall	100.4	 18		302		20		15.10

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	nnin	ngs	Runs		Most in a innings	n	Times not out	Average
R. St. L. Fowler	13		312		76*		3	31.20
W. T. Holland	9	39.1	264	9	91		0	29.33
G. T. C. Giles	7	naci	98		54*	11.	3	24.50
Hon. J. N. Manners	12	19	194		40*		4	24.25
C. W. Tufnell	14	96	301		65		0	21.50
W. G. K. Boswell	12	18.	144		32*		4	18.00
D. G. Wigan	5	08	70		19		1	17.50
R. H. Lubbock	13	26	226		42		0	17.38
W. T. Birchenough	14	28	239		61		0	17.07
K. Lister-Kaye	10	£	93		37		3	13.29
A. I. Steel	13	08.	161		60		0	12.38
A. B. Stock	8	65	47		16		2	7.83
		*	Signifies 1	not o	out			

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	s	Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
R. St. F. Fowler	232.2	d	61		586		47		12.48
A. I. Steel	164.1		26		534		42		12.71
A. B. Stock	64.3		10		223	0 7	14		15.93
K. Lister-Kaye	114		37		403		19		21.21
D. G. Wigan			0		26		1	objeto	26.00
W. G. K. Boswell	57		16		149		5		29.80
W. T. Birchenough .	2		0		12		0	DOM:	10

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a		Times		
	Innings		Runs		innings		not out	Ū.	Average
A. G. Thurlow	. 12	SEE	286		79		0		23.86
D M. H. Jewell	. 19	101	183		44		1		22.81
G. A. F. Campbell .		005	241		52		0		18.62
C. W. Howlett			186		35		1		18.60
H. C. Mortlock		18.	196		42		2		17.80
E. G. Fenn		10.	50	9.1.	38		0	TORIS	16.67
J. R. Cox		88.	197		53		0		16.41
C. K. Waller		98	203		52		0		14.50
C. C. Spooner		ad	109	10.			1		12.11
R. V. Routledge		OI.	121	2	41		2		
		18	17		10		6	cos.	
D. C. Hasler	. 10	00 a	11		10	ar.		30	Ivalia I

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ens	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
C W. Howlett	55	 6		235	 14		16.79
C. K. Waller	164.1	 27		558	 32		18.44
R. V. Routledge	203.2	 41		634	 34		18.69
H. C. Mortlock		 9		669	 33		20.03

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in	an	Time	S	
D. B. Gratingsmirt	Innin	gs	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
A. C. Williamson	16		663		109		1		44.20
R. G. Moir	18	93	396		64*		6		33.00
J. A. Glegg	18	88.	406		63		1		25.37
A.P. Gordon-Cumming	17	2.7.	410		83		0		24.12
J. R. Philip	17		303		63		0		17.82
W. J. Ablitt	17	8	276		59	91	1		16.43
H. S. H. Wallace	16		163		37*	A	6		16.30
B. Knox	4	88.	38		20*	2	1		12.66
J. M. Benson	10	8	60		14*		5		12.00
A. G. Eccles	13		134		39	00	0		10.30
A. D. Shewan	12	*78.	91		23		1		8.27
		* 5	signifies	not	out.				

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average		
A. C. Williamson	21.5		5	16.75	78	SVO	5		15.60		
A. D. Shewan			9		430	68.5	24		17.93		
A. G. Eccles	254		28	81	977	00	47		20.78		
J. A. Glegg	38.4		4		155		7		22.14		
J. M. Benson			14	a	843		36		23.42		
W. J. Ablitt	11		2	2	52		2		26.00		
J. R. Phillip	73.5	9	4	D	324		8		40.50		

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

asmiT	Innin	ni dan M	D		Most in		Times		
C D Washington	Inning	38	Runs	3	inning	S	not ou	t	Average
S. R. Martyn	14		461	380.	118*	21	1		35.46
F. W. H. Nicholas	13	3	325	90.	104*	81	3		32.50
W. E. Beech	15	0.3	345	Tie.	136*	81	1		
R. V. Martyn	15	2.0.	355		85		1		24.64
R. E. F. Shaw				00.		11.	U		23.66
	14		249	80.	64	Bl	1		19.15
D. D. Robison	11	88.	185	08	64*	2	1		18.50
D. Freeman	12	2.3.	145	TO.	33	91	4		18.12
J. T. Cole	14	53.	220	200	52		1		
E. G. Payne	5		62			1	1		16.92
A. O'D. Taylor				004	56*		1		15.20
A. O D. Laylor	4	1	58		19		0		14.50
J. C. Johnson	5	0	26		21		3		13.00
J. S. Taylor	13		84		29		2		10.50

* Signifies not out.

FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

J. C. Johnson S. R. Martyn	175.3 156.2	 Maiden 20 27 19	 Runs 187 606 535	V	Vickets 14 37 31	 Average 13.50 16.37 17.29
D. D. Robison	187.4	 49	 28		28	 20.21

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in a	an	Time	S	
US.82 . 4	Inning	ZS	Runs		innings		not ou		Average
M. B. Waddell	20		352	9035	76*		2		19.55
R. A. Markham	17	0.0	220	100	66		4		
G. N. Le May			228	127	76		4		16.92
G. H. Oldham	10	60					1		16.28
J. N. Bennett			296		65		0		15.58
			253		103		0		13.31
W. Ram	18		187		33		2		11.68
F. B. Candy	8		78		55		1		11.14
L. D. Collins	17		167		38	11.	0	doe	9.82
L. E. Holyman	20	68	113	21	22		7	. 105	8.69
E. D. Inskip	16	88	87	10	37*		2		
H. C. Lehmkuhl	17	the same	82				2		6.21
william	11		04		26*		3		5.85

* Signifies not out.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

0.5.00	Overs		Maiden	18	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
W. Ram			26		650	88.	36	1.00	18.05
E. D. Inskip		10	13	- S.	223	125	12		18.58
L. D. Collins	96.3		21		367	38.	19		19.31
M. B. Waddell	81.1	8	15	MI.	306	372	13		23.53
G. H. Oldham	27		2		124		5	•	24.80
R. A. Markham	55		6		233		8	68	29.12

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs		Most in a innings	n	Times not ou		Average
H. G. Vincent	14		460		118*		1		35.38
W. P. Geen			280		54		3		28.00
F. M. Strawson			344		93		2		26.46
B. Pawle			313		62		3		26.08
L. E. Russell			77		39*		1		25.67
H. H. Sloane-Stanley		7.7.	355		112*		1		25.36
K. P. Smith			225		59		0		15.00
H. D. Hake			117		41		0		13.00
H. F. Patry			147	13. 24	31		0	7112	12.25
H. H. Gardiner		-1.10	74	alling.	20*		3		9.25
			26		12		2		3.71
E. R. Munt	10	* 0:				•••			1.13
		* 51	gnifies 1	101 (out.				

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	as	Runs	70	Wicke	ts	Average
E. R. Munt			29		668		43		15.53
H. H. Gardiner	260.5		43		909		58		15.67
B. Pawle	81.2	00.	7		399		24		16.62
K. P. Smith	34		3	23.	154		4		38.50

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

				Most in a	n	Times	
DETERMI	nning	8	Runs	innings		not out	Average
O. B. Graham	11		157	 34		6	 31.40
G. W. V. Hopley	11		299	 83		0	 27.18
G. F. Earle	10		228	 42		1	 22.80
T. B. Wilson	9		191	 53		0	 21.20
T. O. Jameson	10		148	 45		1	 16.40
	11		178	 62		0	 16.18
W. T. Monckton	11		168	 66		1	 15.27
C. H. Blount	12		143	 51		2	 14.30
A. C. Straker	11		157	 94		0	 14.27
T. L. G. Turnbull	11		119	 37		2	 13.20
Hon. H. R. Alexander	9		34	 13		3	 5.66

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	s	Runs	V	lickets	Average
T. L. G. Turnbull	4		2		7		1	 7.00
G. W. V. Hopley	2.2		0		14		2	 7.00
C. H. B. Blount	12.2		2		27		3	 9.00
G. F. Earle	178.3	1	40		467		37	 12.62
T. B. Wilson	9		3		15		1	 15.00
Hon. H. R. Alexander	88.3		11		286		17	 16.82
J. M. Hillyard	215		53		588		34	 17.29
O. B. Graham	93		35		227		13	 17.46
T. O. Jameson	37		4		114		3	 38.00
A. C. Straker	6		1		28		0	 _

Public School Averages.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

one out Average	Inning	s	Runs		Most in a		Times not ou		Average
P. R. Phillips	10	D.L.	305		73*	A	3/		43.57
C. A. Caslon	10	10	327		99	EL .	1		
G. R. Mackay	10		337		85		1		36.33
G. R. O. Crole-Rees		56	97				0	٠.	33.70
C. E. R. Heaton-Ellis	10	98			36		3		32.33
	10		202		70		1		22.44
J. W. Hodge			28		12		3		7.00
C. H. Shipton			22		11	04	0		4.40
A. M. Ritchie	6	18.	16		7		1		
Adh. 9). Taylor &		* Sig	nifies 1	not o	out.				3.20

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs		Wicke	te	Average
C. A. Caslon		 25	98	248	108	21	00	11.81
J. W. Hodge		 7		106	165	7	Tenil	15.14
G. R. Mackay		 18		290	8	17		17.06
P. R. Phillips	4.0	 13		101		3		33.66

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BATTING.

HARROW SOROOD BATTING

	Innir	ngs	Runs		Most in inning		Time		Average
H. W. Yockney	15		164	14.1.	34		- 0	.00	10.93
C. Heldmann	15		147		38	01.	0	2020	9.80
C. F. Lock	14		127	81.	33	11.	. 1	Bin.	9.76
H. A. M. Hughes	15		130	88.5	29	11.	0	chiley	8.66
E. G. Hutton	15		121	148	31	21	1	. Je	8.64
H. Corbett			120	V	31	33	0	393	8.00
F. T. Howis	15		120	61.	26	11	0	do in	8.00
R. M. Denning	14		53		12	0 3	6	old 3	6.62
E. Goodhart	14		77		17		2		6.41
G. Perkins	12		41		17		0	•	3.41
N. E. Bates	10		25		7		1		2.77

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs		Wickets	Average
C. F. Lock	330	60.	26	 12.69
F. T. Howis	526		33	 15.93
H. W. Yockney	532		30	 17.73
E. Goodhart	438		21	 20.85

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

						M	ost in a	n T	imes	3	
N	[atch	es II	ning	S	Runs		innings		t ou		Average
A. C. Fluke	10		10		394		103*		1		39.40
H. L. H. Cremer	12		13		333		66		1		27.65
R. S. Gottwaltz	13		14		353		97		1		27.15
P. D. Baker	13		14		173		26				17.30
E. F. Housden	13		13		140		54*		2		12.73
F. H. Scabrooke	6		7		88		21		0		12.57
C. V. Snatt	7		8		70		23		0		8.75
D. H. Cowie	11		8		59		16		1		8.43
R. E. Gordon	12		12		71		20		1		6.56
H. W. Cremer	10		8		30		21		2		5.00
C. H. C. Gore	8	01.	6		5		4*		1		1.00

* Signifies not out.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vicket	s	Average	
H. L H. Cremer	212.5	 27		571		39		14.64	
C. A. West	27.3	 4		105		6		17.50	
A. C. Fluke	44.1	 5		181		10		18.10	
D. H. Cowie	85.5	 9		187		15		19.13	
H. W. Cremer	138.4	 18		427		22		19.41	
C. H. C. Gore	89	 7		352		16		22.00	
L. L. Hassell	25	 21		105		3		35.00	

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	nit I	Most in inning	Time	Average	
G. B. Liddelow	13		366	80.	82	 1	 30.50	
R. O. Clark	13	WIII.	308	07	51	 0	 23.69	
A. H. Stenhouse	10		78		31*	 6	 19.50	
H. S. Machin	12		174		56	 1	 15.82	
L. R. C. Sumner	13		186		42	 1	 15.50	
V. Churchill-Longman	13		164		44	 1	 13.67	
G. L. Selby	13	08	152		38	 0	 11.69	
R. K. Simms	13	69	119	E	29	 2	 10.82	
H. B. Bulkley	11		93	101	41	 2	 10.33	
R. C. Birkett	9	28	45	6	20	 1	 5.63	
J. W. Hinchcliffe	9	18.	24		9*	 4	 4.80	

* Signifies not out.

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. O. Clarke	465	 34	 13.67
G. B. Liddelow	352	 21	 16.76
L. R. C. Sumner	193	 11	 17.55
G. L. Selby	279	 15	 18.60
R. K. Simms	458	 24	 19.08
R. C. Birkett	180	 3	 60.00

Public School Averages.

LEY'S SCHOOL BATTING.

				Most in an Times							
	nning	33	Runs		innings		not out		Average		
R. E. Bullen	17		476		84		0	200	28.00		
R. Airth	16		383		73		0		23.93		
W. Sutcliffe	16		312		88		0		19.50		
C. V. T. Hawkins	17		285	.:	117		0		16.76		
W. W. Baird R. Hosegood	16		212		60		0		13.25		
G. H. Harbottle	13 17		$\frac{140}{197}$		41		2		12.72		
C. V. Isard	9	- : :	85		$\frac{42}{31}$		1		12.31		
G. R. Vick	8		58		24		9		10.62 9.66		
J. G. Grant	14		105		21*		2	11	8.79		
T. Bletcher	11	*	43		10*		3		5.57		

* Signifies not out.

LEY'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
W. Sutcliffe R. E. Bullen C. V. T. Hawkins R. A. Airth	204.4 230 74 115.2	 38 36 10 5		658 684 252 516		47 49 16 28		13.90 14.04 15.70 18.40

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	gs	Runs		Most in a innings	Times not ou	Average			
G. L. Hunting			1081		122*		1		49.14
R. F. Guthrie		10	701		113*		2		33.37
F. R. Walls		18	132		39		1		16.50
G. E. Withers			250		30*		2		13.89
D. M. Ritchie			257		74		1		12.85
R. R. Jackson			205		33*		2		12.06
A. B. Paterson			228		30		0		10.37
J. A. S. Wenley		W	120		25		5		10.08
J. E. Ross W. J. M. Beardmore			166		23		3		9.76
G. Hedderwick	10	05	87		23		1		9.66
G. Hedderwick	19		98		27		4	100	6.53

* Signifies not out.

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	V	Vicket	s	Average
D. M. Ritchie	342.4	14.	61		1058		75		14.10
G. E. Withers	116.4		13		403		22		18.32
G. Hedderwick G. L. Hunting	$\frac{164}{144.4}$		25		541		30		18.33
J. A. S. Wenley		8.	18 15		469 474		23 21		20.39 22.57

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innin	gs.	Runs		Most in a	Times not ou	Average
A. C. P. Arnold			266	205	63*	 5	 44.33
C. N. Harding			284		94*	 3	 35.50
D. J. Knight			353		79	 1	 32.09
J. H. Naumann			127		42*	 1	 31.75
F. C. G. Naumann		0	299		60	 0	 24.91
S. W. H. Welsby			125		50*	 3	 20.83
A. W. H. Scott		94	183		60	 1	 20.33
G. C. Lucas		12	215		55	 0	 19.54
C. J. Mann			173	2	40	 0	 19.22
R. C. Burton	10		174		53	 0	 17.40
C. H. L. Crammer-							
Roberts			108		66*	 1	 15.42
H. S. Kevill-Davies .	11		149		36	 0	 13.54
		* 8	ignifles	not	out		

* Signines not out

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	as	Runs	01 .	Wicket	ts	Average
F. C. G. Naumann .	50	 8		170		15		11.33
S. W. H. Welsby	59	 14		183		16		11.43
H. S. Kevill-Davies	34	 5		147		12		12.25
R. C. Burton	153	 27		593		33		17.96
A. W. H. Scott	192	 33		730		38		19.21

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

						Most in a	n an Times			
	I	nning	S	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
C. Patteson		17	15.	810	18	138*		2		54.00
W. F. Q. Sh	uldham .	17		563	184.	108*		1	10.00	35.19
L. D. Wome		16	14.	417	100.	74*		1		32.07
G. T B. Ha	rvey	13	II.	210		102		4		23.33
R. B. Lagde	n	17	00.	348		67		2		23.20
E. A. Shaw		17	56.	343	MS.	76		0		20.18
P. C. Vellaco	ott	15		255	20	54		2	0.0	19.62
R. D. Cochra	ane	13	74.	149		43		1		12.41
D. S. Branso		8	d	45	-02	25		4	11.7	11.25
T. E. M. Bat	ttersby	8	88.	53		25		2	20,00	8.66
K. H. C. Wo	odroffe .	8		25	8	14		1		3.57
			* 5	Signifies	not	out.				

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
K. H. C. Woodroffe .	194.2	 34	650 .	41	15.85
D. S. Branson	104.4	 8	333 .	18	18.50
R. B. Lagden	195	 46	563 .	28	20.10
L. D. Womersley	208.2	 13	914	38	24.05
T. E. M. Battersby	92.1	 15	287 .	11	27.00

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a	in	Time	8	
PERSONA JEO JOH	Inning	S	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
F. W. R. Greenhill	13	80	369		111*		1	01.15	30.75
A. J. H. Roe	10	14.	295		105		0	000	29.05
C. E. Spencer	13	99	183		41*		1		15.25
C. H L. Rawles		24.	140		26*		1		12.78
F. J. Dore	9	08.	95		19		1		11.87
S. M. Wadham	12	04	95	8	34*		4		11.87
D. C. Isaac	13	00.	130	84	40		0		10.00
G. W. Benton	14	e	117	B	21*		1		9.00
D. O'N. Hodson	6	04	28		11		1		5.60
M. W. H. Miles	5		15		6		0	50.0	3.00
G. E. Burton	9		8		7*		6	107,3	2.67
		* S	ignifies	not	out.				

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	s	Runs	Wickets	Averages
S. M. Wadham	153	 14		495	 40	 12.37
D. C. Isaac	169.1	 38		450	 36	 12.50
C. E. Spencer	55.5	 8		167	 11	 15.18
G. E. Burton	48	 7		169	 11	 15.36
F. W. R. Greenhill	27	 2		105	 2	 52.25

MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in	an	Time	S	
a Times	Innin	Innings		Runs		innings		ıt	Average
W. S. Thomas	7	rigat	116		57*	ing.	2		23.20
H. P. Burton	9	881	86	BIR.	24	TT	5		21.50
T. A Higham		801	268		54	71	2		17.89
R M Dexter			295	111.	57	ar	1		17.35
F. E. Brogden		201.	112	org.	31	81	1	7 B	16.00
C. Snell		78.	99	. 348	30		2		14.14
G. F. Timpson		87.	200	848.	37	TE	0		11.76
C. A. Altham		46. 6	207	388.	36		1		11.50
S. E. Parsons		24.	171	001.	57	81	. 1		11.40
H. G. Hawkins	19	39.	204		35	8	0		10.73
G. P. Douglas	18	89.	133		33	8	5		10.23
		* Sig	nifies	not	out.				

MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets		Average
H. P. Burton	117	27	313	vO.	31		10.09
F. B. Brogden	58	10	213		13		16.38
G. F. Timpson	35	4	168	01.	10		16.80
G. P. Douglas	149	27	611	0	34		17.97
R. M. Dexter	169	23	627		26		24.11
T. A. Higham	29	1	131		5	400	26.20

OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

Inn	ings	Runs		Most in innings	Times not ou	Average
J. W. Kernick 11	andina.	436	wat.	84*	 1	 43.60
S. H. G. Humfrey 11		327		113	 2	 36.33
J. N. Pickering Clarke		67		28	 3	 32.50
G. W. Tanner 15	2	292		106*	 2	 29.20
E. J. Geeson 10		198		40	 3	 26.85
C. C. Sills 15		277		103	 0	 23.08
M. A. Neilson 10)	200		53	 1	22.22
J. W. Mason	9	111		39		
G. O. V. Williams 19	2	165		55	 1	
E. G. Snaith	3	77		29	 0	 9.62
J. L. Woolley	7	47		12	 0	 6.50
28.6 0	*	Signifies	not	out.		

OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	ns	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
J. W. Kernick	77.4		1		390	217	25		15.60
J. L. Woolley	131.2		24	2	385	11.	24 25	9181	16.04 18.12
G. W. Tanner	145.1		28		453 187	5	9	. 10	20.77
G. O. V. Williams	44 59.3	1	14		214	£	9	1397	23.77
S. H. G. Humfrey E. J. Geeson	26	¥	5	8	139	GI.	5		27.80
11. 0. 0.000011									

OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a	an	Time	8	
	Inning	S	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
D. G. S. Smith		i isoli	246		109*		1		35.14
P. G. Coombs		eimai-	226	111	67*		1		25.11
C. Buttanshaw		BEL	183	- S	69		()		18.30
A. B. Matthews		1.	137		66		0		17.12
D. S. McPhail		001	47		32		0		15.66
J. C. Wratilaw			117		38		()		11.70
M. F. Trew			105		43		()		11.66
W. E. Yockney		1.	61	901.	30*		2		10.13
H. W. E. Barwell			46		24		1		9.20
C. H. Godwin			26		14		3		8.66
E. C. MacBryan	. 9		76		27		()		8.44
K. R. Paterson	. 8		23		14		1		3.33
		* Si	gnifies 1	not or	it.				

OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

00	LIDDI	- ~	, CII C						
	Overs		Maider	ns	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
P. G. Coombs			17		521		36		14.47
K. R. Paterson	43		6		143		9		15.88
M. F. Trew	63		15		211		13		16.23
C. H. Godwin	66		8		207		11		18.81
E. C. MacBryan	39		8		157		8		$\frac{19.62}{28.00}$
W. E. Yockney	26		2		140		9	01.	20.00

15

Public School Averages.

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

A F Boul	Innin	gs	Runs	11 A 3 B	Most in innings		Time not or		Average
A. K. Boyd	12	1	257	1997	55		0		
A. C. G. Lonsdale	12		221						21.42
J. L. Rennie	7				52	9	0	1.00	19.25
N. L. Ames	10	1001	92		36		1		15.35
T T Manage	12	0	162		55	M	1	TYNE	14.73
F. J. Nugee	11	801	117		30			-1.76	
W. R. Birch	12	23.	145	2 300					14.63
F. M. C. Hare				W)	60*		2	0	14.50
J. H. Peach	0	68-	97		25*		1		13.85
o. II. reach	9	6	119	anr.	58		0	E1771.10	
G. H. Garnett	11		97		20		. 0		
L. G. Hill	2	0.1					2		10.78
O. A. Reid	0		12		12		1		6.00
O. A. Reid	8		45		24		0		5.62
		* Si	gnifies	not d			0		0.04

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

P M C II	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	V	Vicket	q	Average
F. M. C. Hare A. K. Boyd	110	 35	80	273		23	Yall	11.87
G. H. Garrett	22 39.5	 5		53		4		13.25
N. L. Ames	154.2	 31	PI	$\frac{148}{469}$		11		13.45
L. G. Hill	12	 12	9	109	10.	32		14.65
F. J. Nugee	117.3	 19		380		15		$15.80 \\ 25.33$

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

I. P. F. Campbell R. F. Popham E. C. Moses F. S. G. Calthorpe A. P. Rutherford G. L. Rutherford G. L. Clark W. B. Franklin E. T. Arden A. H. Cope D. W. Ellis	. 12 . 12 . 12 . 12 . 12 . 12 . 12 . 8 . 11	0.0. 0.0. 20. 20. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1	Runs 328 262 289 252 220 166 78 85 74 18	 Most in innings 118 42 100 92 42 29 32* 31 29 16* 6		Times not out 1 2 0 0 1 1 6 0	Average 29.81 28.20 24.08 21.00 18.33 13.83 13.00 8.50 8.22 6.00 3.75
A. P. Rutherford G. L. Rutherford G. L. Clark W. B. Franklin E. T. Arden A. H. Cope	12 12 8 11 10 9		220 166 78 85 74 18	 42 29 32* 31 29 16* 6	a e	0 2 1 6	18.33 13.83 13.00 8.50 8.22 6.00

REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

E. T. Arden	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	7	Vickets	3	Average
A. H. Cope	$145.1 \\ 100.2$	 32 15		471		29		16.24
F. S. G. Calthorne	201	 51	8	376 586		21		17.90
E. C. Moses	60	6		245		32	03.4.9	18.31
A. P. Rutherford	19.2	 1		121		1	11.	27.22

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	•	Runs		Most in a		Time not or		Average
		55						1.0	
A. S. Edge	12	and the same	433		82		4		54.12
G. B. Davies	14	64.1	435	1.0	130		0		31.07
G. L. B. James	12	P#T	299		80*		2		29.90
W. H. Coldwell	10	788	197		105		1		21,88
R. H. D. Bolton	14	101	252		51		1		19.38
C. Nathan	9	*44	133		77	61.	1		16.62
R. A. Ostrehan	13		174		40*		2		15.81
G. S. Henderson	9	7.	78		60*		2		11.14
H. Goodwin	6	***	41		25*		2		10.25
L. Duncan	12	95	91		32		0		7.58
J. L. Wood	4		29		17		0		7.25
E. 82.5 E.S E		* Si	gnifies	not	out.				

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

SITE THAT WAS	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
G. L. B. James	207	29	AUL III	714		46	 15.52
A. S. Edge	194.3	 48		501		32	 15.65
W. A. Coldwell		 10		252	0	16	 15.75
R. A. Ostrehan	51	 7		149		7	 21.28
H. Goodwin	32	 4		107	(30.0	5	 21.40
G. B. Davies	87.1	 18		240		9	 26.66
THE TRACKS CHOSEN LAND							

ROYAL AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, CIRENCESTER, BATTING.

					Most in a	an	Time	S	
	Inning	S	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
L. F. Stobart	14		586		110		1		45.23
W. D. Ward	15		390		78		0		26.00
H. E. Hippislev	6	1,80	148		33		0		24.66
W. Bretherton	12		171		56*		2		17.10
M. Kershaw		111	195		40		0		13.92
A. C. Arden			159		39		0		13.25
R. W. Wilson			49	***	16*		5		12.25
E. Mansel-Pleydell			144		29	4	1		11.76
H. Lascelles			48	200	16	9	1		9.60
A. H. V. Sudbury			63		21		1		5.72
G. Gibbs			50	95.	11		0		5.55
		*	Signifies	not	out.				

ROYAL AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, CIRENCESTER, BOWLING.

	Overs	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. P. Birchenough	65	 181	 14	 12.92
A. H. V. Sudbury	214	 748	 55	 13.60
M. Kershaw	184	 709	 35	 20.26
W. Bretherton	89	 346	 16	 21.62

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

			2		Most in		Times	
2) (1)	Innin	gs	Runs		innings		not out	Average
P. S. Fraser	15	199	511	123	149	11.	0	34.07
I. F. L. Elliot	14		403	000	143	91	0	28.79
E. W. Mason	2		28	101	28*	10	1 100	28.00
J. L. Andrews	15		362		103	11.	2	27.85
P. W. Le Gros	15	1 44	360		55*	0	2	27.69
A. de Selincourt	5	*0.	122	-01	52		0	24.40
H. J. T. Neilson	9	*00	168	an.	45	Ď.,	2	24.00
W. R. King	12	000	189	14.	49*	a	3	21.00
A. L. M. Linnington .	13	22	245	io.	70	10.0	1	20.42
R. A. Boddington	16	177	322	09.	60		0	20.13
G. G. Jackson	14	(196		44		2	16.33
F. J. M. Thorne	11	* SIN	82		31		2	9.11

* Signifies not out.

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	;	Average
P. W. Le Gros	139.2	-	20	M	533		34	1199	15.68
A. L. M. Linnington	181.1		22		638	10.	40	Hair	15.95
F. J. M. Thorne			26		728	20	38		19.15
J. L. Andrews	76.1		2		359	110	17		21.12
I. F. L. Elliott			15		320		10		32.00
P. S. Fraser	30		0		177		5	7	35.40

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	OL	Runs		Most in a innings	n	Times not out	Average
L. Jenkins			273	988	100*	GI 9	2	22.75
D. Roberts			236		59*		1	19.66
G. A. West			223		49		4 1 100	15.92
F. R. Honeyball		02	181		38	1.	0	13.92
D. F. Neilson		20	109		37		9	12.11
T. Steele			88	04.	26		3	
C. L. Green			31	1.0.1	18*		as labysty	8.80
B. G. Allen		91	50		17*	0	1	7.75
H. B. McCance		• •	85	.0.			4	7.14
H. J. Harris			41	0.0	24		0	7.08
J. L. Hawkesworth	. 8		Control of the Control of the Control	1111	16*	٠.	· · ·	6.83
J. L. Hawkesworth	. 0		12		6		0	2.00
		*	Signifies 1	not.	out			

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		faiden	IS	Runs	W	ickets	Average	
D. F. Neilson H. J. Harris	74 138		6 31		232 355		23	10.08	
T. Steele		103.4		16		300		24	12.5

ROYAL AGRICUL

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BATTING.

						Most in	an	Time	S	
	Inot	nning	gs	Runs		innings		not or	ıt	Average
G. H. Bickley		17		526	988	80		2		35 06
S. B. H. Estcourt.		1	35.71	32	5.00	32		0		32.00
A. G. Sherwell		17		338		96		1	tre .	21.12
R. O. C. Bush		11		125		36*		2		13.88
Q. E M. A. King		14		159		52*		2		13.24
P. R. Goldingham		17	-9.5	197	201	39		0		11.58
F. R. C. Hammond	1 .	13		114		44*		3		11.40
G. P. Morris		14		121		28		3		11.00
L. E. Eyres		13		117		28*		2		10.63
J. W. E. Gegg		15		96		30		4		8.72
H. M. Best		9		41		12		2		5.85

* Signifies not out.

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs	W	ickets	3	Average
F. R. C. Hammond	14	88	0	1,00	50		6		8.30
G. H. Bickley	146.5	.72	10		587		53		11.07
A. G. Sherwell	140	.71	21	1.	483	(SLE	24		20.12
J. W. E. Gegg	140		17	015	477	19.00	23	.4.	20.73
G. P. Morris			7		340		14		24.28

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	I	Most in a innings		Times not out	Average
R. Knight	 12	.111	419	910	74	2.	0	34.91
G. P. Pavey		129.	410	1.7.1	83	0.1	0	29.28
H. C. James		.00	361	8.0	134	0.	1	27.77
J. C. de V. Biss .	 14	.17	260	COL	62		0	18.57
E. C. Turner		.90	152	0.1	49*	7.	2	15.20
W. A. Rowley		18.	160		41	0.0	0	12.30
E. H. B. Richards		.01	132	0.0	50*	5.	2	12.00
G. S. Middlemiss	 7	.91	54	0.0	32*		2	10.80
C. L. N. Roberts	 6	. 78	29	8.	7		3	9.66
R. Peacock	 13		71		31	1.	5	8.87
R. Jenkins			109		33		0	7.78

* Signifies not out.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.

		Overs	Mai	dens	Runs	Wie	kets	A	verag	e
10.7	H. C. James	110		n ws	401	T./1 ·)	20	0016	10	14,00
12.5	D D	k								$\frac{15.64}{24.15}$
12.5	n. reacoc.	к	100	44		000		40		24.10

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times	
	Inning	rs .	Runs		innings		not out	Average
P. G. H. Fender			298		146		0	42.57
P. J. Buckley	16	.08	668	oxe	1 7		0	41.75
G. E. Sellers	16	30	318	203	118*		1	21.12
R. C. R. Cumming	14	.00	202		57		3	17.45
F. C. Hawkes	12	*88	150		50		2	15.00
D. W. Jones	15	1,00	208		57		1	14.80
J. Norman	11	.08	127	ART	68		2	14.11
R. T. Pollard	16		177		36		0	11.06
C. J. Farr	16	.82	167		45		1	11.00
S. I. James	16		164		53		0	10.25

* Signifies not out.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Runs	W	ickets	Average.
R. T. Pollard	296	apin.	20	 14.80
J. Norman	664	0.	36	 18.44
G. E. Sellers	645	0.1	27	 23.88
P. J. Buckley		12	17	 32.80
D. W. Jones	246	7.	5	 49.20

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	Most in a	n	Times	
	nnin	gs	Runs		innings		not out	Average
R. B. Minchin	19	Contraction of the last of the	583		114		1	32.28
L. L. Welman	18	74.	512	0.1	111	9.	0	28.44
S. Burrow	19	. 28	454	OLA	128		1	23.89
C. E. Pearce	19	134.	346	198	98		2	20.35
A. R. Cox	16	.98	163	003	51		4	13.58
W. J. Genge	15	401	110	195	28	21	0	7.33
L. F. Spinney	10		53	001	18		2	6.62
F. G. Minchin	13	*00	62	981	12	0.1	2	5.63
H. H. Shelford	7	908	30	1.	12		1	5.00
E. C. Mozley	15		48	0.0	31		4	4.35
W. H. B. Morgan	11		31		10		2	3.44

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	s	Runs	W	lickets	3	Average
L. F. Spinney		 33	0	110	27770	22		5.00
W. J. Genge	174	 59		299		54		5.53
C. E. Pearce	154.3	 39		369		62		5.95
S. Burrow	37	 7		102	0.001	12		8.66

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	zs .	Runs		Most in a innings	u	Time not or	*	Average
A. W. Carr	15		638		144*	1.	1		45.47
C. E. M. Gray	10		181		39*		4	11000	30.17
A. B. Read	14	124	247	65.5	61*		1	resid	19.00
R. O'D. Carey		0.5	126	1.0	41*		2		18.00
J. N. Mason	13		183		43		1		15.25
A. H. S. Tuke	13	*	195	1.	72		0		14.92
C. D. Gullick	12		158		85		0		13.16
E. H. Bramall	11	1931	86		31		2	10.0	9.55
C. Corfe	12		81	1	22		0		6.75
P. A. T. Simey	13	T.Q.	53		13		3	no	5.30
G. D. Coleman	12		50		26		1		4.54

* Signifies not out.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
C. Corfe	118	 24		362	201	30		12.07
A. W. Carr		 17		482		32		15.06
G. D. Coleman				455	200	25		18.20
P. A. T. Simey	71	 12		202		11		18.37

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Ir	ning	s	Runs		Most in a innings	Times not ou	Average
J. H. Parry		13		471		112	 1	 36.23
H. Rogers		15		398	10.	115	 0	 26.53
D. Boumphrey		15		358		76	 0	 23.87
A. Dickens		15		278	4.5	83	 1	 19.86
D. Gibson		14		238		55	 2	 19.83
A. Grant		14		229		58	 2	 19.08
H. Leake		11		162		63	 2	 18.00
N. Cardwell		12		117		26	 5	 16.71
A. Westlake		13		197		55	 1	 16.42
J. H. Cooke		13		145		28	 3	 14.50
C. Bracewell		11		49		18	 3	 6.13

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ns	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average	
J. H. Cooke	$127.3 \\ 71.1$	81. 87. 116.	27 17 9		490 445 257		25 21 11		19.60 21.19 23.36	
N. Cardwell	284.4		22		1188		47		25.29	

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in		Time			
	Innin		Runs		innings		not ou	t	Average	
F. H. Knott	14	SOTHE	1126	THE PERSON	187		0	,	80.43	
L. Marzetti	14	PIAL	388	800	78*		3		35.27	
O. W. Sherwell	14	*08	317	181	97	O.T.	4		31.70	
C. A. S. Pattison		+1.3	275	21.7	42	1	0		19.64	
S. R. Prall	12	4.1.	227	0.0.0	80		0.0	7.1	18.91	
J. J. Thorley		1.5	130	82.	51*	8.1.	2		18.57	
R. J. Hilary	9	2.5	61	OP.I	57*	8.	5		15.25	
L. J. M. Pieris	13		185	88.1	53	2.1.	0		14.23	
H. W. L. Molesworth			78	8.8.	16*		3		13.00	
E. P. Smith	12	0.0	104		27		2		10.40	
F. W. Musson			65		27	6	0	V. 1	9.28	

* Signifies not out.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	V	Vickets	8	Average
J. J. Thorley	177		25		586		30		19.53
R. J. Hilary	189	BO . H	21	blok	682		31		22.00
F. H. Knott	102	2500	4		418		18		25.22
H. W. L. Molesworth	156		19		547		22		24.84

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times	
CIA A TYPE DIFFE	Inning	ZS ZS	Runs		innings		not out	Average
K, B. Harper	12		621		109		0	51.75
G. W. Palmer	10	03.200	311		64*		2	38.87
J. D. Crosthwaite	13	bullete.	425		107*		2	38.63
M. S. Ray	12		276		99*		2	27.60
H. B. Moore	11		224		48*		2	23.88
J. V. Wilshin	8	.0.61	101		42*	٠.	1	
A. R. Trubshaw	5		34		14	9.	1	8.50
C. M. Morris	9		59		23		2	8.42
M. J. L. Walker	4	.9.9	14		9*		2	7.50
C. G. Tomlin	9		51		19		0	5.66
R. C. Galloway	7		32	200	14		0	5.57

* Signifies not out.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs	W	lickets	3	Average
M. S. Ray	4		0	mo.	18	a raw	3		6.00
H. B. Moore			25		576		27		21.33
A. R. Trubshaw	72.4		6		274		12		22.83
G. W. Palmer	54		4		256		11		23.27
J. D. Crosthwaite		101	1	1.	49	157	2		24.50
C. M. Morris		4.65	19		473	1,0,1	18	793	26.27
R. C. Galloway	54		7		217		7		31.00
M. J. L. Walker		RALL	1	- 33	242	284.5	6		40.33

VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY, BATTING.

	Innin	ıgs	Runs		Most in innings	Time not or	Average
E. G. Le Sueur	12		369		138*	 2	36.90
R. J. Meade		-68	284		108	 1	 35.50
C. C. Labey			243		106	 0	 20.25
W. R. Kidd		64.	170		39	 1	
K. A. Garrett		98.	164		45	 1	 14.91
N. L. Winstanley		83.	155		40	 1	 14.09
H. W. Winstanley		00	107		29*	 3	
R. D. Blandy		12	119		35	 1	 9.92
L. K. Aldridge		111	85		24	 1	 9.44
B. G. Keene		24	95		25*	 1	 7.92
A. A. Oberle			25		14	 3	 4.25
22. 22. 0.00220 11.1.1.			ignifies	not	out.		

VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
R. J. Meade	117.2	 29		322	Mar.	50		6.44
R. D. Blandy	95.2	 18	E	301	011.	32		9.41
A. A. Oberle	23.5	 8		87		7		12.43
E. G. Le Sueur	57	 7		264		16		16.50
K. A. Garrett	86.4	 11		336		18	THE EL	19.66

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in	an	Time	S	
	Innir	igs	Runs		innings	3	not or	ut	Average
P. E. D. Pank	. 10		247		89		1		27.44
W. H. R. Hinde		401	207	000.	48		0		20.70
J. M. Milton	. 6		59		20		2		14.75
Æ. F. Q. Perkins	. 10	GR	142	2	62		0		14.20
C. F. T. Lindsay		68	119		25		0		11.90
J. K. Manger		10	43		11		2		10.75
J. W. Hardy			62		27	A	1		10.03
W. F. R. Schloss			88	Apr.	24*		1		9.77
P. L. Barrow	. 7		66	1	27		0		9.42
H. M. Ferryman	. 9	88.	50	TGI.	14		0		5.55
W. F. London	. 6	0	12		6		3		4.00
		*	Signifies	not	out.				

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	W	lickets	Average
W. H. R. Hinde	61.1	 12	1.76	189		13	 14.53
W. F. London	76	 2		325		15	 21.70
Æ. F. Q. Perkins	102.4	 19		349		15	 23.26
H. M. Ferryman	100	 16		311		12	 25.91
C. F. T. Lindsay	51	 4		227		7	 32.42
P. E. D. Pank	62	 13		271		6	 45.16
W. F. R. Schloss	7	 0		53		1	 53.00

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

					Most in	an	Times		
	Inning	gs	Runs	3	inning	5	not ou	t	Average
W. A. Hannay		Ras.	479	008.	88		0		43.55
J. Martin		20.7.	156	190.	35*		4		26.00
J. White		BIM.	217		55		2		24.11
L. S. Osborne		01.	183	071	55	11	0		20.33
D. C. C. Sewell		24.	215	BR.	39		0	l house	19.54
G. Walker		05.	195		45		1		19.50
K. White		00.	128	706.	22		0	day.	11,63
E. Wright	11	7.5.	106	OII.	27		0		
M. G. Barnett		19	87	79	25	H	0		9.66
W. E. Hazelton	10	20.	72	20	24	EI	0		
H. Montgomery	3	17.	9	25.	6 .	7	0		3.00
		* S	ignifies	not	out.				

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. White		 32		587		45	 13.04
W. E. Hazelton		 25		509		26	 19.23
D. C. C. Sewell		 9		234		12	 19.50
W. A. Hannay	33	 3		129		4	 32.22
M. G. Barnett	40	 2		233		4	 58.25

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

Series Harreston for .	nning	gs	Runs		Most in a		Time not ou		Average
H. F. R. Rawson	12	. 22	348		104		0		29.00
G. B. F. Rudd	12		303		72*		1		27.55
J. F. Goodall	13	94.	272		45	11	1	1.	22.66
J. M. de Slubicki	8		135	11.	45		2		22.50
M. Hammond	12	14.	219		64		0		18 25
E. C. K. Clarke	12		201		74		0		16.75
R. R. Rawson	10	910.	149		44		1		16.55
A. R. I. Mellor	9		115		46		2		16.42
H. N. Wood	12		157		62		0		13.08
A. K. Gilmour	11	A	77		16		2		8.55
F. G. Hobson	9		62		11*		1		7.75
		. ~.							

* Signifies not out.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	18	Runs	7	Vicket	Average	
E. C. K. Clarke	190.5	 26		719		34	Hon	21.14
R. R. Rawson	66.4	 4		358		16		22.37
H. N. Wood	69.5	 4		322		13		24.78
J. F. Goodall	104	 13		399		15		26.60
A. K. Gilmour	63.4	 10		277		Ω		30 77

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	54	Runs		Most in a		Time not ou	Average
A. G. Dobbie	16		547		184*		2	 39.07
J. L. Harvey			318		71		2	 26.50
K. J. Selby			316		75		1	 22.57
G. G. H. Symes			291		71		0	 19.40
J. S. Dobbie			218		45		3	 16 76
H. C. G. Stevens			64		30		2	 12.80
D. C. Roberts	13		113		20*		2	 10.27
E. J. H. Edenborough		ad a	143	B.I.	47*	0.1	1	 9.53
A. S. Hibberd	10		52		21		1	 5.77
H. P. Glover		143.171	30		9		1	 4.28
E. V. Beale			9		4*		2	 1.80
			ignifies	not o	nit			

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

d look (Abbliddeskinskinskie	Overs		Maider	ns	Runs	Wickets			Average
A. G. Dobbie	185		36		577		51		11.31
K. J. Selby	140.5		21		492		39		12.61
G. G. H. Symes	41		4		179		12		14.91
J. S. Dobbie		0.0	11		343		19		18.05

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

					Most in a	n	Times		
	Innin	gs	Runs		innings		not out	,	Average
M. Woosnam			462		113*		3		38.50
A. L. Fleming			412		73*		1		25.75
H. M. Hunter			62		17*		8		20.66
R. B. Beart		1900	106		33*		2		15.14
R. M. H. Bonham-									BA
Carter	17	C	225		35*		1		14.06
H. Critchlev-									O. H. O.
Salmonson	17		223		45*		1		13.93
G. S. Dawson-Damer	15		134		28		5		13.40
E. S. B. Williams	15		169		43		0		11.26
T. N. Hone	12		118		41*		1		10.72
J. A. Parke	13		139		24		0		10.69
R. B. Carrick			170		35		0		9.44
N. W. Wells-Cole	14		92		21		3		8.36
		*	Signifies	not	out.				

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

"" "		 0 0					
	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	11	rickets	Average
N. W. Wells-Cole	302.2	 74		719		63	 11.71
A. L. Fleming	115.3	 36		356	11	30	 11.86
R. B. Beart	59	 8		175		13	 13.46
R. M. H. Bonham-							15 3 31
Carter	62	 7		217		14	 15.50
H. M. Hunter	137.2	 18		450		21	 21.42

HAVERFORD COLLEGE MATCHES.

PLAYED 15-Won 3, Lost 11, Drawn 1.

The Haverford College (U.S.A.) side that toured England during the summer was not very successful. Many of the Public School Elevens proved far too strong for them, but victories were gained over Clifton, Harrow, and Shrewsbury, as a set off against many heavy defeats.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Uppingham, Saturday, June 25.--Haverford lost their-first match by 156 runs.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

G. W. Palmer b Bailey	C. G. Tomlin b Furniss
ing	Byes

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

TER VISITE OLD	COLLEGE.
H. A. Furness st Tomlin b Trub- shaw 2! J. S. Downing b Moore 8 A. L. Bailey c E. B. Palmer b Trub- shaw 1	W. H. Roberts b Galloway 19 H. G. Taylor c Harper b E. B. Palmer
C. H. Crosman b Moore 1 H. M. Thomas run out 10 H. Howson st Tomlin b E. B. Palmer 4?	L. R. Thomas c Moore b Galloway 0 Byes, &c

REPTON SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at REPron, Tuesday, June 28.—Repton won by 165 runs.

REPTON ECHOOL.

A. P. Rutherford c Crosman b B.iley	G. L. Clark not out
F. G. Calthorpe st Taylor b Bailey 19	2
R. F. Popham c Taylor b Howson 31	The madnet at Mr.
G. L. Rutherford c Furness b Hartshorne	

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

ford	W. D. Hartshorne c and b Arden. 0 Byes, &c
Arden 8	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Thursday, June 30.—Cheltenham won by 120 runs.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. F. Dew c Taylor b Downing 10	R. M. Scobie not out 24
R. H. M. Durand c Hartshorne b	E. W. Coren c Bailey b Howson 0
Howson 5	P. A Shelmerdine c Howson b
A. V. D. Wise b Downing 17	Downing 0
G. E. C. Wood c Furness b Bailey 16	E. G. James b Furness 10
A. E. Ellis run out 30	Byes, &c
H. F. Brown b Furness 24	
A. A. Durand b Howson 14	161
HAVERFORD	College.

HAVERFORD	COLLEGE.	
H. A. Furness c Wise b James 1 J. S. Downing b Shelmerdine 15 A. L. Bailey c Shelmerdine b Coren 7 H. M. Thomas b Coren 0 H. G. Taylor b Shelmerdine 0 H. Howson c and b Shelmerdine 8 W. H. Roberts not out 4	E. W. David b Coren L. C. Ritts c Wood b Coren L. R. Thomas b Shelmerdine W. D. Hartshorne b Shelmerdine Byes, &c.	0 3 0

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON, Saturday, July 2 .- Haverford won by 2 runs.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

C. Rissik c David b Furness			
G. C. Southern c Bailey b Furness	9 -	- c David b Howson 19	9
F. E. Morgan c Furness b Downing	10 -	- c Thomas b Bailey 2	1
R. F. T. Foljambe c David b Downing	0 -	- c Downing b Bailey	4
P. J. Richardson c Howson b Downing	7 -	- not out 13	3
A. K. Hickman c and b Furness	2 -	- c Thomas b Bailey	2
A. R. Wallace c Hartshorne b Furness	3		
R. A. Brand c Downing b Furness	2		
F. W. Burgoyne Johnson b Downing	0		
H. L. Hill not out	7		
C. R. B. Murray c Hartshorne b Downing	0		
Byes, &c		Byes, &c	3
A T. M. Edwardsky a Santyl de	_	AND	_
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	77	*8	6

* Innings declared closed.

Haverford College Matches.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

17 4 11				
H. A. Furness b Richardson	13	-	not out	31
J. S. Downing b Murray	3		run out	C
A. L. Bailey lbw, b Murray	0		1 di 0 di 0	U
W IT Debants b IIII	0		A Land member 1	
W. H. Roberts b Hill	21	A34-	b Murray	1
W. Seckel b Richardson	0			
H. Howson c Burgoyne-Johnson b Brand	29		b Southern	22
H. M. Thomas run out	1		5 Coulding	00
O II Charman a Fallamba b IIII	1			
C. H. Crosman c Foljambe b Hill	0			
H. G. Taylor e Burgoyne Johnson b Brand				
E. W. David not out	1	_	b Wallace	1
W. D. Hartshorne c Wallace b Brand	1			-
			Dung fra	-
Byes, &c	8		Byes, &c	7
tran Clifforn Hammer and all be under				_
	79			73
				, ,

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Monday Tuesday, July 4, 5.—Thanks to a fine innings by Shuldham, Marborough won by three wickets.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

H. A. Farness c Harvey b Lagden W. H. Roberts c Shaw b Battersby J. S. Downing b Battersby H. Howson c Vellacott b Womersley H. W. Seckel b Womersley A. L. Bailey lbw, b Womersley H. M. Thomas c Shaw b Womersley E. W. David run out H. G. Taylor jun. not out L. R. Thomas c Cochrane b Battersby W. D. Hartshorne b Womersley Byes, &c	19 c Vellacott b Branson 39 8 c Woodroffe b Battersby 2 3 st Shaw b Womersley 11 10 b Woodroffe 4 27 c Woodroffe b Battersby 4 0 b Woodroffe 6 2 b Womersley 13 16 b Branson 6 3 b Woodroffe 14
	124 238

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

W. F. Q. Shuldham c and b Hartshorne	20 — not out
C. Patteson b Downing	4 — b Hartshorne
L D. Womersley c Bailey b Downing	13 — c Taylor b Howson 57
R. B. Langden c L. Thomas b Bailey	34 — b Hartshorne 0
R. D. Cochrane b Hartshorne	0 — c L. Thomas b Howson 3
E. A. Shaw c Furness b Hartshorne	1 — b Thomas 19
G. T. B. Harvey c Roberts b Bailey	13 _ lbw. b Downing 0
P C. Vellacott c Seckel b Bailey	11 — not out 1
T. E. M. Battersby b Furness	9 — b Thomas 0
O. S. Branson not out	10
K. H. C. Woodroffe run out	7
Byes, &c	
The state of the s	- that I
Bartshorne	132 231

M.C.C. v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Lord's, Thursday, July 7.—The M.C.C. won on the first innings by 127 runs.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

A I Dailor a Duchanan h Duanatan	9 min out
A. L. Bailey c Buchanan b Branston	3 — run out 16
E W. David hit wkt, b Crutchley	2 — b Leney 1
W. H. Roberts c Leney b Crutchley	0 — c Branston b Wynyard 13
H. A Furness c Wynyard c Branston	0 — b Curwen 72
J. S. Downing c Brackley b Branston	6 — b Leney 0
H. Howson c Anson b Crutchley	10 - b Lyttelton 3
W. H. Seckel hit wkt, b Branston	6 — not out 26
L. R. Thomas c Buchanan b Curwen	7 — c Branston b Curwen 1
H. G Taylor not out	11 — b Curwen 5
L. C. Ritts b Leney	
W. D. Hartshorne lbw, b Anson	10 — not out 12
Byes, &c	6 Byes, &c 7
	<u></u>

M.C.C.

24101	
Lord Brackley b Hartshorne	Hon R. Anson run out 6 G. E. V. Crutchley c Roberts b Furness 10 F. B. Leney not out 11 Capt E. G. Wynyard run out 0 Byes, &c

RUGBY SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Rugby, Saturday, July 9.—Rugby won by 94 runs.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

H. A Furness c and b Thorne 76 W. H. Roberts b Linnington 15 E. W. David c Ell ott b Le Gros 3 A. L. Bailey b Le Gros 3 J. S. Downing b Le Gros 3 H. Howson c and b Le Gros 53	C. H. Crosman b Le Gros 4 W. Palmer b Le Gros 0 L. R. Thomas b Le Gros 0 W. D. Hartshorne not out 1 Byes, &c. 11
H. W. Seckel b Linnington 0	169

RUGBY SCHOOL

1000D1	JOHOOH,
R A. Boddington c Palmer b Downing	A. De Selincourt c Bailey b Furness 52 P. A. Ledward c David b Downing 21 W. R. Kial not out
I. F. L. Elliot c Roberts b Furness 12 G. G. Jackson c Downing b Furness 9	8horne
A. L. M. Linnington c Seckel b Furness 2	263
P. W. Le Gros c Thomas b Howson 38	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T

Haverford College Matches.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Haileybury, Tuesday, July 12.—Haileybury won by 52 runs.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

H. G. Vincent c Bailey b Downing. 2 F. M. Strewson b Hartshorne. 25 H. H. Sloane-Stanley c Hartshorne b Furness 11 H. D. Hake b Hartshorne 15	B. Prawle c Bailey b Hartshorne. 62 B. S. Smith-Masters b Furness. 6 H. H. Gardiner not out 11 E. R. Munt b Hartshorne 0 Byes, &c. 9
K. P. Smith c Davi l b Hartshorne 1 H.F. Patry c Crosman b Hartshorne 0 W. P. Geen b Furness	171

HAVEREORD COLLEGE

HAVERFURL	OULLEGE.
W. H. Roberts run out	H. G. Taylor b Fawle 0 W. D. Hartshorne c Smith-Masters b Pawle 28 L. R. Thomas not out 2 Byes, &c. 13

HARROW SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Harrow, Thursday, July 14.—Haverford gained their second win, beating Harrow by 73 runs.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

W. H. Roberts c and b Hillyard 18 — b Earle 2 E. W. David b Earle 5 — not out 21 H. Howson c Monckton b Earle 18 — c and b Hopley 51 H. A. Furness c Graham b Alexander 14 — c Hopley b Earle 3 A. L. Bailey not out 83 — b Earle 0 H. W. Seckel c Earle b Alexander 10 — b Earle 1
H. A. Furness c Graham b Alexander 14 — c Hopley b Earle 3 A. L. Bailey not out 83 — b Earle 0
A. L. Bailey not out
n. w. Secker c Earle D Alexander 10 - D Daile
J. S. Downing b Hillyard
H. M. Thomas c Hopley b Hillyard 0 — run out
W. D. Hartshorne c Monck on b Hillyard 0
H. G. Taylor b Hillyard 11 - st Monckton b Hopley 5
L. R. Thomas run out 5
Byes, &c
CARL Patieson o Douring
194

HARROW SCHOOL.

T. O. Jamesone Furness b Downing 3 T. B. Wilson b Downing	J. M. Hillyard c and b Hartshorne 24 A. C. Straker c Roberts b Furness G. B. Grah m c Taylor b L. R. Thomas

ETON COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Eton, Saturday, July 16.—Eton won easily, being 67 ahead and having three wickets in hand when play ceased.

HAVERFORD.

W. H. Roberts c Lister-Kaye b 35 Boswell 35 H. A. Furness lbw, b Fowler 10 H. Howson c Lubbock b Lister-Kaye 2 A. I. Bailey b Lister-Kaye 0 E. W. David b Lister-Kaye 3 H. W. Seckel run out 18	J. S. Downing b Steel	
ETON.		
R H. Lubbock c Hartshorne b Furness	D. G. Wigan b Bailey	

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

A. I. Steel b Hartshorne..... 4

Played at Tonbridge, Wednesday, July 20.—Furness played a fine innings, but the game had to be left drawn. Furness hit twenty 4's.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

H. A. Rolerts b Hilary 0 H. A. Furness b Pattison 130	C. H. Crosman not out
H. Howson c Knot b Hilary 75 A. L. Bailey c Hilary b Pattison . 47	302
H. W. Seckel not out	J. S. Downing H. M. Thomas did not bat
W. D. Hartshorne run out 0	H. G. Taylor)
* Innings decl	lared closed.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

F. H. Knotte Taylor b Houson	S. R. Prall F. W. Musson J. J. Thoriey E. P. 8mith W. H. L. Molesworth R. J. Hilary	did not bat.
------------------------------	---	--------------

CHARTERHOUSE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Godalming Friday, Saturday, July 22 and 23.—Leatham took fourteen wickets for Charterhouse who won with ease.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

W. Roberts lbw. b Leatham 11 — c King b Hudson H. A. Ferness c Norris b Hudson 26 — c and b Leatham H. Howson c Dowling b Shortt 3.) — b Hudson A. L. Reiley b Lee beg	8
A. L. Bailey b Lea ham	
C. H. Crosman b Leatham 2 - b Leatham 3. S. Downing c King b Leatham 0 - not out	7
L. R. Thomas b Leatham 0 — b Leatham	6
W. D. Hartsho, ne b Leatham 0 — b Leatham Byes, &c. 8 Byes, &c	3
W. David e Strawens h Gardiner 1 1 1 B Hartshorne e Smith Warney	99
	00

CHARTERHOUSE.

CHARLE	ALIOUSE.
J. Morrison c Ferness b Dowling	6 — c David b Ferness
H. A. Denni on c Taylor b Downing	66 - c Roberts h Downing 17
11. E. NOTTIS C HOW OH D DOWNING	2 - c Downing h Hartchanna 96
o. C. Downing C secker b Downing	57
H W Leatham c Hartshorne b Ferness	34
King low, o Bailey	6
G. A Wright c Roberts b Ferness	19 — run out. 95
n. P. M. Hudson C Howson b Ferness	0
W. E. D. Shortt not out	1 seems seems seems
Byes, &c	13 Byas, &c 8
	T JECHOE RECIPEROTS -
	218 166

MALVERN COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Malvern, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 26, 27.—Malvern won by eight wickets. Kevel-Davis did the hat-trick in each innings, while Furness in his 102 hit one 5 and fifteen 4's.

HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

H. A. Furness b Kevill-Davies	102	- not out	79
W. H. Robertson b Burton	17	- c and b Naumann	0
H. Howson b F. Naumann	20	- c Kevill-Davies b. I. Naumann	14
A. L. Bailey lbw, b F. Naumann	12	- c.J. Naumann	0
E. W David c Scott b F. Naumann	0	- b Kevill-Davies	5
H. M. Thomas b Scott	22	- b Kevill-Davies	0
H. W. Seckel b Kevill-Davies	0	b Kevill-Davies	0
J. S. Downing c Harding b Kevill-Davies	15	- c F Naumann b Scott	5
L. C Ritts not out	8	c Kevill-Davies h F Naumann 8	26
H. G. Taylor b Kevill-Davies	0	- lbw b Scott	0
W. D. Hartshorne b Kevill-Davies	0	- lbw h Naumann	9
Byes, &c.	10	Brag fra	8
	10	Dy 68, &C	0
Diwalar	206	15	0
	400	19	0

MALVERY.	
H. S. Kevill-Davies lbw, b Furness 18	
D. J. Knight c Bailey b Furness 3	10
F.C. Naumann b Hartshorne 45 - b Howson	. 10
R. C. Burton c Furness b Hartshorne 38	
C. N. Harding lbw, b Hartshorne 19	-
A. C, P. Arnold e Downing b Hartsh rae 31 — not out	. 0
A. W. H. Stott e Taylor b Hartshorne 5	
C. C. Lu as b Hartahorne	0
C. W. H. Wesley not out	. 0
C. J. Mann lbw. b Hartshorne	
J. H. Naumann c David b Bailey 38 — not out	. 42
Byes, &c 18	
Highest attended to the second of the second	-
295	71

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Shrewsbury, Thursday, July 28.—This match provided the third victory for Haverford, Shrewsbury being beaten easily.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.				
D. Boumphrey c and b Hartshorne 0	H. Leake b Bailey 3			
A. Grant c David b Bai ey 24	N. Cardwell not out 18			
D. Gibson c and to Howson 11	C. Bracewell st Taylor b Bailey 6			
H. Rogers b Hartshorne 36	J. H. Cookelbw, b Bailey 0			
J. H. Parry c Taylor b Bailey 8	Ryes, &c 4			
A. Westlake c Taylor b Hartshorne 0	- 196 million A. A. A. San Charles 301-			
A. Dickins cand b Bailey 7	117			
HAVERFORD COLLEGE.				
H. A. Furness c Bracewell b Boum-	H. W. Seckel not out 9			
phrey 15	Byes, &c 22			
W.H.Roberts c Rogers b Boumphrey 5	TO THE P SAME ASSESSED ASSESSED TO SEE STATE OF THE SECOND			
H. Howson c and b Boumphrey 3	174			
A. L. Bailey b Boumphrey 71	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE			
E. W. David not out 27	J. S. Downing			
H. M. Thomas b Cardwell 6	H. G Taylor did not bat.			
C. H. Crosman run out 16	W D Hartshorne			

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

Played at Rossall, Saturday, July 30.—Haverford were beaten by 129 runs.

Rossali.	SCHOOL				
G. B. Davies c Howson b Bailey 43	G. S. Hende son b Howson 0				
R. H. D. Bolton st Taylor b Bailey 16	C. Nathan b Bailey 9				
A. S. Edge b Bailey 82	H. Goodwin e David b Howson 5				
W. G. A. Coldwell b Howson 23	J. L. Wood not ort 0				
R. A. Ostrehan c Thomas b Bailey 10	Byes, &c 3				
G. L. B. James b Bailey 10	- August a, 4, as Lord's, Y, M.O.O				
L. Duncan c Bailey b Howson 4	205				
HAVERFORD COLLEGE.					
H. A. Furness c Coldwell b Davi s 48	J. S. Downing b James 4				
W. H. Roberts run out 4	L. C. Ritts c and b James 11				
H. Howson c Wood b Edge 6	H. G. Taylor c Wood b James 0				
A. L. Bailey b James 0	W. D. Hartshorne not out 0				
E. W. David b James 0	Bye 1				
H. M. Thomas b James 2	AMERICA TO THE DAY PROCESS. LACKWAY				
C. H. Crosman b James 0	76				

NO. 134 OF 500