PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1922

By H. S. ALTHAM.

The season of 1922 presented a somewhat severe trial to the average school cricketer. For six weeks he enjoyed just such a succession of beautiful days and fast true wickets, as he had come to regard almost as a matter of course in 1921. Quite suddenly, in mid-June, the weather broke, and thereafter never recovered: several results inevitably followed. The first was that a large number of the more important inter-school fixtures, played between the middle of June and the end of July, were ruined by the weather and left perforce unfinished—a calamity disappointing in the extreme to the elevens and their supporters, and irritating to the cricket historian who thus sees the most obvious grounds for comparative judgments cut from under his feet. In the second place, in much of the cricket which the weather permitted the conditions were just those least calculated to bring out the true form of the school player. A sodden wicket and a greasy ball often reduced good bowlers to comparative impotence: a ball that jumped up abruptly in the first over would send back a crack batsman before he had the chance of showing what he could do: in fielding and catching even school sides suffered to some extent from lack of the sunshine which makes supple the muscles and quickens the eye.

I hope that I am not giving the impression that I should like to see all school cricket played solely on fast true wickets: far from it, for I shall always believe that the ideal match, school or otherwise, is that in which none of the four totals exceed 180 runs, provided, of course, that the limitation is imposed by the bowlers' skill and not the batsmen's deficiencies. But I am convinced that the best conditions for teaching the game are provided by a fine season, varied by occasional downpours: two or three nets and a match on turning wickets will serve to rub into the young batsman the vital importance of watching the ball and of footwork: they will encourage the bowler and emphasise for him the value of length and spin as opposed to the over-fashionable cult of the swerve.

An average English May provides just the conditions I have in mind, and the school cricketer faces the more serious trials of June with a sound grasp of the essentials: a spell of fast wickets will then put the coping stone of confidence upon foundations well and

truly laid. To a considerable extent last season reversed the process: almost from the start the batsman found everything consistently in his favour, the bowler everything against him, with the result in many cases that the former learnt attack without defence, while the latter regarded time and the pitch as enemies rather than allies, and relied for success on "doing a bit in the air," or, worse still, on some fantastic placing of the field. Then came the deluge and both were at sea and anchorless: relatively, of course, the bowler was the better off, but absolutely he was often as incapable of taking full advantage of his chances as was the batsman of holding his own in the now unfavourable conditions. And here, let me state my conviction, supported, I believe, by the great bulk of expert opinion, that length bowling and good fielding have won and will win more school matches than all the crack batsmen of history: the most brilliant batsman may fail completely—did not Victor Trumper once make but 14 runs in five consecutive Test match innings? he may be just off-colour, or a ball may jump or keep low just once in the long day, and 'ibiomnis effusus labor,' but if the bowler will only keep his length, and his fielders will only catch, it is more certain than anything else in cricket that sooner or later in the match they will reap their reward.

If this is true, it is all the more curious that one should hear so little in these days of any systematic attempt to teach bowling at school: it was not always so, for we read that when William Lillywhite came to Winchester in 1851 as first professional to the Wykehamists, he set himself at once to train up bowlers and a wicketkeeper, with the result that Winchester after a longish spell of defeat promptly beat both their rivals in the week at Lord's. I know of one case in recent years when a young bowler was taken in hand at beginning of a season and made to practise regularly at a mark on the ground: before the season was half way through he could bowl a length in matches and came out with much the best record on his his side. But such enterprise is the exception rather than the rule: David Harris of Hambledon used to bowl for hours by himself in the winter, but how many school cricketers ever bowl a ball except between April and September.

While I am speaking of length bowling, may I touch on another point that is linked with it? I mean the placing of the field. On the whole I think it is an exception to find a school captain who has learnt—or, may I say, been taught—his job in this respect: often one sees the field arranged without any reference to the pace of the wicket, often the initial arrangement left undisturbed irrespective of the course of the game, or often again, I am sorry to say, one is aware of that damnable heresy in the management of a field—'chasing the ball.' But for much of this confusion the blame must be partly

on the bowler: it is impossible to place the field for erratic bowling: it is utterly demoralising to a side to watch its slips carefully stationed to catch the edged swinger, and then see the bowler serve up three long hops to leg: worse still, when third slip is then removed to guard the leg boundary and the next ball edged to where he should still have been. Of such troubles there is only one real cure—for the bowlers to learn to bowl a length, and only when they can do so, can the best use be made of the fielders. As an object lesson I could not ask for a better example than this year's Yorkshire Eleven.

Let us now turn more closely to the task in front of us and see what general judgments are suggested by the school cricket of 1922. There seems no reasonable doubt that one or two schools did produce first-class sides: I am tempted to plump unequivocally for Harrow as the best of them all, partly on the strength of what I saw myself in their game with Winchester, but primarily because of their really remarkable form in their trial matches against a more searching test than any other school had last year to face. With them in Class One I should place Malvern, Marlborough, and possibly Repton, though I am a little unhappy about the latter's attack: but I should dearly have liked to watch two semi-finals fought out between these four schools, and had the final tie found Harrow and Malvern in opposition on a fast wicket, we could, I think, have settled down to enjoy a real feast of confident and aggressive batting of the sort to which one was more or less accustomed in the first years of the century, but of which all too little has been seen of late. Just below Class One I would rate Cheltenham, Eton, Westminster, and perhaps Haileybury and Clifton. There was probably very little to choose between Cheltenham, Clifton, and Haileybury, but in-as-much as Cheltenham defeated Clifton. held their own with Haileybury, but had to accept defeat from the all-conquering Marlburians, it is perhaps legitimate to rate them just below the select band who never struck their colours in an interschool match. It is true that on this score Westminster may feel themselves aggrieved at not being included in Class 1, but they were so much of a 'two-men' side that I cannot rate them quite on a level with the 'Big Four,' whose strength was very much collective. The same is true of Haileybury with whom Hudson was positively an 'Atlas.' Eton were, and will probably remain, an enigma: they made runs so slowly that most of their trial matches quite failed to test the capacity of the side as a whole: they were all at sea on their first day of mud at Winchester, but held their own under similar conditions against the redoubtable Harrovians. Personally I feel sure that there was much sound batting in the side and that, given two full days' cricket on a fast wicket, they would have taken a good deal of beating by any side in Class 1, but I doubt whether they would have scored fast enough or been strong enough in attack to force a win themselves. In fielding they need not fear comparison with any side, though Repton and Marlborough were also excellent in this department. Osi sic omnes! But I am sorry to say that in several cases reports have reached me of the fielding being very far below that standard which we have the right to expect from a public school eleven. For this there can really be no justification: a good deal certainly depends upon a thorough and intelligent system of coaching, but most of the onus must be with the school captain, whose enthusiasm and personal example must set the standard for his side. The moral effect of really good fielding on bowlers and opposing batsmen alike is incalculable, and conversely the influence of a single slack fieldman or of one or two who are slovenly or unsound in their method is proportionately demoralising.

Turning now to the individual cricketers to whom last season brought renown, one need look no further than the compliment paid to public school cricket by the counties in August, when room was found for no fewer than ten of them in the county elevens at a time when, generally speaking, those elevens are at their greatest strength. Dawson (Harrow) and Taylor (Westminster) played for Leicestershire; Winter (Repton) and Jagger (Malvern) for Somerset; Guise (Winchester) for Middlesex; L. G. Crawley (Harrow) for Worcestershire; Bagnall (Harrow) for Northants.; Pugh (Rugby) and Hampton (Clifton) for Warwickshire; and King-Turner (Cheltenham) for Gloucestershire. Moreover, even this list would no doubt have been augmented had circumstances so admitted, for Hudson of Haileybury, would surely have won a trial from any county side, while Holmes of Malvern, Lowe of Westminster, C. S. Crawley of Harrow, and Sherwell, the wicket-keeping batsman of Tonbridge, might not have been outclassed in similar company.

It is perhaps a little significant that the cricketers thus honoured were, with the exception of Winter, selected almost exclusively for their batting, but it is to be remembered that the weather had by that time taken a change and in most counties the stock professional bowlers were doing more or less all that was required of them. Nevertheless, I think this was symptomatic of the year's school cricket: there were many good batsmen, some, I believe, of exceptional promise, but there were very few good bowlers, certainly none comparable for a moment with such school giants as A. G. Steel, C. L. Townsend, F. S. Jackson, E. M. Dowson, or J. N. Crawford.

The collective performance of the schools' representatives in county cricket is not impressive: Bagnall did well for Northants. and his century against Sussex was a splendid achievement: Crawley in his two matches for Worcestershire impressed all who saw him

by the strength and freedom of his driving; Guise did more than his share in the massacre of the Warwickshire bowling at Edgbaston, and defended very skilfully against Essex and Surrey: on the other hand some of the boys, I am afraid, had a rather discouraging But, with a succession of difficult wickets such as experience. August produced, they were tried very high: if the weather is fine, the step from the best school cricket to a county match is, for a batsman, not so very long, but when the ball is turning, it is a very different story: then only luck or genius can adequately compensate for inexperience. There is no need then to attach too much importance to the modest figures of last August's showing: the school champions of 1922 may yet do all that their friends hope of them. But all is not always plain-sailing for the freshman, however great his reputation: the 'Varsity season is very short, he may be out of luck or form in May, and once tried and dropped he may find it hard to win back again. But there is another trouble: at school he has been accustomed to regular net-practice and regular coaching: he had only to develop a fault-how suddenly and mysteriously they develop—to have it tackled by some watchful mentor: at the 'Varsity things are different, the fault may take root and become a habit, may prove his undoing in a vital match, and the Blue recedes another year. And now I must walk delicately, but, unless I am grievously misinformed, there seems to be a tendency at Oxford to abandon systematic net-practice in the Parks in favour of the less arduous atmosphere of college grounds. If this is so, I cannot help feeling that it must react unfavourably upon the 'Varsity eleven: what is vital in school cricket cannot possibly be superfluous in the next grade; first-class net wickets on the University ground are essential, and it is not the least of a captain's duties to superintend with the help, of course, of the best professionals he can obtain, the batting, bowling, and fielding practice of his potential eleven. The members of an eleven, such as Yorkshire, are always learning, profiting by the collective brains and experience of their fellows, and the same should be possible, as it is surely desirable, for the University freshmen.

One more general reflection and this preamble, already outrageously long, shall be wound up. This too, I feel some diffidence in broaching, for I know that some of my friends, with far wider knowledge of school cricket than my own, do not agree with me. Nevertheless, as the Latin Prose books have it, "I cannot but be persuaded" that really strong sides will always be the exception at any school where the elderly and robust but moderate player is preferred, as a matter of principal, in the selection of the side, to the youngster of real promise. The arguments of the opposition generally take one or all of the following forms:—(1) That the young player, though a giant among his fellows, will be outclassed

in 'men's cricket,' and will be absolutely of less value to his side than his older, stronger, but perhaps less gifted, fellow; (2) That the policy will cramp his style and sap his confidence at the most critical period of his cricket development; (3) That it will give him a swelled head. With the last argument I have frankly no patience: if it is true, then there is something frankly rotten in the state of Denmark: nor do I believe that the majority of distinguished amateur cricketers were as boys, or are as men, particularly obnoxious people. As regards the second I can only say that it is historically indisputable that the vast majority of cricketers who really made history at school or the University did get into their school side very young, and did not suffer any such ill effect in consequence. The first is by far the weightiest argument, but I fear I am still unconvinced : admittedly the young batsman will be facing bowling that is better and often faster than that to which he is accustomed, but in most cases the wicket will be a good deal better, which will largely discount this difference: again, he is likely to score slowly, much more slowly than the type of batsman whom, in the argument, he supplants, but surely it is a truism that, if only a batsman can stay at the wicket, runs are bound to come, and it is my contention that youth and a sound batting method will actually bring in more runs in a season than age and muscle with some shots but no real defence. After all in most school cricket chances of scoring are reasonably frequent and the leg ball and the full pitch or long hop on the off can be dealt with as faithfully by the boy of sixteen as by his lustier senior. As for defence against proper bowling, I would rather any day have nine stone and the power to move the feet and play back and forward straight, than twelve stone, a lion heart, and a crooked bat. Surely, too, it is right to look ahead and bear in mind the value of experience and the prospects of next season and even the season after that. Cricket development is a queer business, I know, and mistakes may come hard on the side and the individual, but the promise of a really sound batting method at sixteen is not so often belied, and when it is, I should not seldom be disposed to fasten the responsibility on a system which, at the critical stage, arrests rather than accelerates the young cricketer's development.

No doubt there is much to be said on the opposite side, but I believe that a scrutiny of past Annuals will show something in my support, and, if I must particularise I would suggest that the last two years cricket at Harrow and Malvern may well repay consideration.

And now for just a glance at one or two of the big school matches, and the two representative games at Lord's: that done, we will pass on to review the school elevens, nominatim et seriatim.

In the eyes of every Harrovian the rain that ruined all chance of cricket on July 14 will no doubt be regarded as the most calamitous event of the year: there could never have been any serious prospect of a result in a single day's play, and what there was was effectively killed by Dawson and Lord Dunglass before lunch. Both were a trifle lucky, but it was no mean performance to put up 100 runs for the second wicket on so dead a ground and against the two formidable Harrow bowlers when fresh to their work. The rest of the Eton batting was uneventful, but held the fort long enough to give Cox the moral satisfaction of being able to declare. Harrow started rather disastrously to Bridgeman, always a dangerous bowler at the beginning of an innings, but L. G. Crawley played a typically confident and attractive innings, and his partnership with his cousin, C. S., was easily the best bit of cricket in the match. Undaunted by a shower of rain just after six o'clock, the Eton attack reasserted itself, their captain got three wickets cheaply, and amid a certain commendable, if rather pathetic, enthusiasm, Eton just got home with a lead of six runs.

The Winchester match was also ruined by rain on the first morning: Eton batted really very badly on a dead wicket, and Winchester, thanks to a heroic and most skilful innings by their crippled captain, startled their supporters by actually securing a lead of two. For a time next morning things were really interesting: Eton lost five wickets too cheaply to be pleasant, but then Thursby and Incledon-Webber, aided by some luck and faulty catching, put on 133 runs and made their side safe from any chance of defeat. Winchester were left with 263 runs to get in three hours playing time, and had nothing but a draw to hope for: they experienced a very anxious half-hour at the close of the match, but the stubborn defence of Smith, Guise and Barker just pulled them through. Earlier in the year they had been outclassed and heavily defeated by Harrow at Harrow, and the latter had won a most sensational

victory over Charterhouse at Godalming.

Of the other school fixtures played at Lord's, the Cheltenham and Haileybury match provided most interest. Thanks to Hudson's splendid batting, which exhausted the critics of their superlatives, and to the bowling of Withers in the first innings, Haileybury had at 4.15 p.m. been able to declare with six wickets down, leaving Cheltenham two and a half hours in which to get 225 runs. For a long time the game was most uneventful, neither side seeming to anticipate much chance of a result, but at 6.15 p.m. Lumsden and Duleep Sinhji suddenly conceived the idea that the runs were to be got and went for them: the ball soared into the air in every direction, except to hand, and when time arrived Cheltenham were actually within five runs of their task. One of the features of the match was the Cheltonians, admirable fielding.

Marlborough were much too strong for Rugby, and Clifton for Tonbridge.

Once again in the two representative matches at the beginning of August did rain virtually ruin what should have been most interesting cricket. Lord's Schools v. The Rest was limited to its first day, but that day was decidedly eventful: the wicket was never really difficult, but thirty-three wickets of two very strong batting sides fell for no more than 244 runs. Quite rightly in a match of this kind and with so much talent at his command Guise was not content to leave well alone, but gave all his bowlers a chance: the result was some varied but uniformly steady bowling and, with everything going wrong for the batsmen, the Lord's Schools barely topped the century. The Rest opened most disastrously, and in spite of a really good innings by the Malvernian, Legge, and some invaluable defence by Bovell-Jones of Bedford, nine wickets were down for 84: then the Repton captain, Winter, showed how far his figures for the year belie his true form as a batsman, and wrested for his side a lead of 13. Even then sensations were not over for the day, for Jackson, Hudson, and Bagnall were all dismissed without scoring before the close. The out-cricket of both sides was good, and Hudson, Philps, and Duleep Sinhji caught splendid catches, but the batsmen, as a whole, failed to reproduce anything approaching the form of which they were capable.

The first day of the match against the Army will probably never be forgotten by any member of the Combined Schools eleven: after Tuesday's deluge the ground was already sodden, a periodical drizzle kept the ball greasy for both bowlers and fieldsmen, the weather was dull and dispiriting, and, worst of all, a side of reputedly excellent fielders struck one of those days when nothing would go right: catch after catch, difficult, ordinary, and positively easy, was thrown on the floor and before they left the field the Schools must long have been praying for it to open and swallow them up. The Army, playing with that combination of efficiency and genlal brutality which one associates with their batting, made the most of their chances. Captains Wilkinson and Williams opened with the comfortable little partnership of 164, and, though three wickets then fell cheaply, the subsequent batsmen hit away merrily: one honourable and gallant gentleman was missed four times before he had made 6! Next morning the soldiers very properly declared: on a wicket which was never really difficult, though the ball would take spin, the Schools did very moderately to be dismissed for 153, though Crawley, Pugh, and Taylor all played well, in their different styles. When, however, their second innings opened, it was obvious that at last the batting tragedy of the week was over: the heavy roller had eased the wicket, and Guise and Hudson at once set about the bowling in a style commensurate with their reputations Taylor, who followed, played even better and his resource in defence and free, confident driving made a very considerable impression. Finally, L. G. Crawley played one of his very best innings, to the danger of mid-off and the satisfaction of those critics who had all along maintained that his batting was something quite out of the ordinary.

And now at long last let us sound the 'fall-in' and pass on to review the ranks.

The Eton Eleven came out with a record that is, on paper at least, anything but distinguished, ten drawn games and a defeat to be settled against a single victory. Even when due weight is given to the general strength of the visiting sides, such figures must suggest, if not any marked weakness, at least a singular inability to seize and hammer home an advantage. Now this has been perhaps the most salient feature in many Eton sides in the past and it may as well be admitted at once that the Eleven of 1922 were anything but typical of what the world understands by Eton cricket. In their batting one saw little of that confident, aggressive forward-play and off-driving which, since R. A. H. Mitchell returned to Eton as a master nearly sixty years ago, has stamped so many batsmen with the trade-mark of Upper Club and Agar's Plough. It is not too much to say that for the most part this year's Eton batsmen were unattractive to watch; even when they had been at the wicket some time, they seemed unable to pass from defence to attack. Few of them would, or could, meet the bowler and drive him in front of the wicket and they were, collectively, extremely uncertain performers against the type of really slow bowler to which many previous elevens have given such singularly short shift.

This relative lack of enterprise on the part of most of the early batsmen made it almost impossible for the side to force a win in a one-day match on an ordinary wicket; what was, perhaps, even more troublesome, it prevented the later batsmen from getting an adequate amount of match practice.

But now having said thus much in criticism of the Eton batting, let us hasten to add that there is much to be said on the other side. On a fast true wicket, they were a difficult side to dismiss and, in many of their fixtures, showed themselves capable of dealing successfully with good club bowling. Dawson and Hurley were an excellent opening pair who watched the ball carefully and very seldom gave themselves away; they were particularly good in dealing with the new ball.

Arkwright and Llewellyn-Davies were also players of considerable ability. The latter played excellently for his runs at Winchester,

and I fancy his chances of developing into a player above the average. Arkwright's innings of 22 on the dead wicket against Harrow impressed the critics. Of the rest, Kennerly-Rumford, Cox, Incledon Webber and Thursby, were all quite likely to make 50 under straightforward conditions. The latter was admittedly lucky in his second innings against Winchester, but his defence at rather a critical time definitely turned the tide, and as he is quite young a good deal more may be heard of him.

Had the fine weather of the opening six weeks continued throughout the season, a good deal less criticism would probably have been heard of the eleven, but there is no doubt that on wet wickets, the strength of the side was most markedly reduced. It is true that their first innings against Winchester provided positively their first experience of such conditions and that subsequently they were unable to get enough practice at the turning ball, but the defects to which I have referred above must in any case have told against them. Lord Dunglass and Lewellyn-Davies were dangerous batsmen on slow wickets; the former indeed was probably 50% a better player on them than on a fast one, for he had the courage of his convictions and could hook and pull the turning ball effectively, as he proved in both of the school matches. But with these exceptions, the Eton batting on a wet wicket was liable to be reduced to something very like a passive resistence by two bowlers who could really keep a length.

Curiously enough, the break in the weather was equally unfortunate for the Eton attack. Bridgeman was the one dangerous bowler in the side, and on a fast wicket with a new ball was liable to shoot out two or three batsmen very quickly: but, as soon as the ball mudded up, half the danger was gone and he was then often inclined to bowl short to the satisfaction of any batsman who could hook. Lord Dunglass was not really discovered as a bowler until well on in the season, but on a wet wicket he was probably the most useful of the Etonians; he could keep a length and seemed often able to force some life out of an apparently dead vitch. In both

the school matches he did sound work.

The rest of the attack was very ordinary, though Cox, when he could find his length, got wickets cheaply. In a sense, perhaps, Eton suffered from an *embarras de richesses*: there were so many possible change bowlers that all except Bridgeman and Cox were short of match practice.

And now, coming to the Eton fielding, we may have done with criticism and say at once that it was quite first-rate. Almost without exception, the side were quick in anticipation, chased the ball well, and threw in fast and low. If there was a weakness it lay,

perhaps, in the slip catching, but on the off-side Dawson and Kennerly-Rumford were quite first-rate, whilst Lewellyn-Davies was an excellent and, at times, brilliant wicket-keeper. Eton's prospects next year are bright. Bridgeman will captain the side and will have six of last year's team to support him.

It may be that the Harrow Eleven of 1922 will, within a generation be lost in the limbo of forgotten sides. Fate decreed that it should not be their's to beat Eton and a victory at Lord's is only too often the only sure pass-port to a nitch in history. Nevertheless. I am convinced and I believe the opinion to be shared by most cricketers who saw them play—that they were a side far above the average, worthy, perhaps, to be rated with any Harrow Eleven since the middle eighties. It is true that in attack they had to rely almost exclusively on a single pair of bowlers, and before the end of the year there were signs at least of the strain beginning to tell: but Enthoven and Anderson were responsible for so many fine performances through the season that, given two full days of cricket in the great match at Lord's, they might in the end have played a decisive part. Time after time one or other of them got five or six wickets for relatively small cost against exceptionally strong batting sides—for nowhere are opponents consistently more formidable than on the Harrow ground. Of the two, I cannot help feeling that Anderson, at his best, was slightly the more dangerous to a good batsman; his run and action are beautifully free and, unlike so many bowlers of his type, he has the inestimable gift of bowling his late leg swinger so as to hit the wicket and not miss it; what is more, unlike most swingers, he almost invariably kept a length. Enthoven was, except in pace—they both bowl a lively medium—an excellent contrast; his stock ball is the in-swinger and here again he often hits the wicket and has not got to rely on his leg-traps. His physique is splendid and he has the stamina and courage to carry him through the longest day. The Harrow change bowling was unfortunately quite inconsiderable, though Lloyd, at the end of the year, showed glimpses of form; but the fact remains that their two great bowlers carried the side triumphantly on their shoulders through almost all its trials.

The Harrow fielding showed more life and enterprise than has been the case in some past years but, with a few exceptions, it was not more than fair and there seems to have been some room for improvement in its disposition. The catching was for the most part sound but suffered somewhat of a lapse in the one-day's play at Lord's.

Whatever reservations one may be tempted to make as regards the attack, none are necessary or indeed possible as to the batting. Not since the days when twenty years ago I was lucky enough to

331

watch some exceptionally strong Repton and Malvern sides doing battle together have I seen a school eleven whose batting in confidence, method and execution impressed me more. Of them all L. G. Crawley was certainly the most dangerous and may go the furthest; everyone who saw him get runs was impressed by the maturity of his play; he batted like a man rather than a school boy. and better and stronger driving than his, especially on the off-side. has not often been seen for a generation at least in school cricket. He did not play against Winchester as he was then facing the Cambridge examiners, but he hit magnificently for a century against Charterhouse and his driving against Eton in July and against the Army in August was altogether remarkable.

His cousin, C. S. Crawley, was also an exceptional school player, not quite so powerful nor so confident, but the possessor of a beautiful method on the model of thirty years ago, and of great length and fluency of stroke: he was at his best against both Winchester and Eton.

Bagnall was of a slightly different type, but a most sound and effective batsman, with a watchful defence and many strokes. I was told that he was far from being at his best in his innings against Winchester but I have seen few school cricketers who played forward on the off-side more convincingly.

Enthoven had so much work to do with the ball that, to some extent his batting suffered, but he is a watchful, determined player with the invaluable gift of being at his best when his best is most needed. Stewart-Brown, too, was a batsman well above the average and played several splendid innings, especially his 71 not out against a very strong Free Forester side.

To sum up, the Harrow batting was so sound that defeat in a school match on two fine days could be regarded as a remote contingency. Given half such a chance as their two crack bowlers were always capable of winning, and there was a reserve of power in the Harrow driving that would force it home into a decisive victory. In their two school matches other than that against Eton, Harrow annihilated Winchester and snatched a most brilliant victory against Charterhouse and the clock at Godalming. Stewart-Brown is the captain-elect for this year, and will have K. E. Crawley alone of the old choice to help him, but, with the inspiration of last year's great side and the enthusiasm of cricket that is now patent to any observer at Harrow, he may enter on his task confident that the turn of the tide against Eton, so long and sometimes so painfully awaited, should not be long in coming.

The Winchester Eleven of 1921 had an exceptional batsman in Guise, and a very good bowler in T. B. Raikes. Last year the latter had transferred his usefulness to Oxford and no one was found

to replace him. Guise again did very well, indeed, on anybody else's standard, remarkably, but he could not alone bear all the weight that was put upon him. Like many another school batsman before him who has been faced with the fact that personal failure may very likely spell collapse for his side, he found the strain telling on him increasingly as the season advanced. Nevertheless, he played many splendid innings; he is not, and I doubt whether he will ever become, a very attractive batsman, but he possesses in a marked degree the solid qualities that go so far to secure success. He watches the ball right on to the bat, plays back and forward very late and, with the bat very close to the master leg, he is extremely strong in all the on-side forcing strokes, and he has that indefinable something which, for the lack of a better word, I am inclined to call "ring-craft."

Most unfortunately for Winchester he was so badly hit on the hand immediately prior to the Eton match that in that game he could do himself nothing approaching justice. Even so, his innings of 44 on the first day stood out head and shoulders above everything else in the game. With the ball also he did very useful work throughout the season; he spins the ball a little, but also presents the rather unusual phenomenon of a slow bowler who can make the ball swing slightly either way; the majority of his wickets, I think, he owed to his ability to make the batsmen treat as a half volley the ball that arrived just short of that length. By nature essentially a change bowler he had unfortunately to keep himself on for long spells at a time and his effectiveness and analyses suffered accordingly.

Apart from their captain, I fear that the Wykehamists' cricket must be written down as mediocre. Smith who went in first with him was an ugly player with few strokes, but he watched the ball and played several really useful innings, notably against the M.C.C. with Newman and Kennedy to bowl for them-and in the second innings against Eton. Barker could, and did, make some of the beautiful strokes that befit a racquet player, but was sorely lacking in judgment and consistency; nevertheless, at the critical moment against Eton he mastered himself and played an innings that clearly saved the game. The rest of the batting was very unreliable, and if their captain failed Winchester developed the unfortunate habit of being dismissed for somewhere about 100, even on the best wickets.

In bowling, apart from Guise, the brunt of the work fell on Sealey and Stewart; both bowled medium, the former at times a little faster and, with a new ball, both were sometimes dangerous, but Sealey was erratic and Stewart, besides being unlucky with his chances, was inclined to bowl consistently just short of a length. Sinclair, who may yet develop into a good cricketer, met with great success (five for 12) in the first innings against Eton. He spins the

333

ball a lot-often too much-but until he can bowl a length he must be labelled as a "possibility" only. With so moderate an attack, it was all the more unfortunate that for once the Wykehamists fell lamentably short of their traditional standard in fielding; they missed their catches and were slow and uncertain on the ground.

In their school matches they lost to Marlborough and Harrow, and drew with Charterhouse and Eton. The Marlborough match was played under wretched conditions, just after the weather broke; both sides were much at sea on the slow wicket and Winchester lost more by its own bad cricket than by any overwhelming prowess of their opponents; nevertheless, with an eye on Marlborough's record, one cannot hesitate to write them down the better side. The Harrow match was a massacre; there was indeed no comparison between the sides. Of the game at Charterhouse, I shall speak anon and something has already been said of Eton's visit to New Field. G. E. H. Palmer, an attractive wicket-keeper, is this year's captain. Sinclair, Stewart, D. W. Hoare, and McGowan of the old hands will be left.

I only saw the Marlborough side once, on a quite poisonous day in June, immediately after the break in the weather, when they tried conclusions with Winchester in New Field. On that occasion though they won the match just before time and never looked very much like losing it, I am bound to say that they struck me as a workmanlike and versatile rather than a particularly impressive team. Perhaps even at the end of the season a similar verdict would not have been so very wide of the mark, for I doubt whether the side that took the field at Lord's against Rugby contained any cricketer of really outstanding promise, no batsman, I mean, of the class of John Ireland, or bowler to be mentioned in the same breath with poor "Floppy" Napier. But there was a great deal of cricket in the team; there was batting right down to the very tip of the tail, indeed the normal batting order might almost have been inverted without seriously affecting the situation; there were six bowlers of commendable variety and, most of them, of even more commendable length. There was fielding which began by being patchy and ended by being thoroughly good, and there was in Ashfield a captain who knew his job, and did his eleven that greatest of services of welding them into and maintaining them as a team. What is more, his batting was modelled on sound and orthodox lines—a valuable factor in so imitative an environment—and he seemed to like the big occasion best, witness a valuable 40 in the critical second innings at Cheltenham, and the 114 which clearly settled the issue of the Rugby match.

In school matches, Marlborough defeated all four opponents; two of them, Wellington and Rugby, were very weak sides; Winchester were not by any means a strong one, but Cheltenham have fair claim to be rated in the first half-dozen or so. A margin of six wickets in Marlborough's favour at the end of the game was possibly a little generous, but their bowlers had clearly demonstrated their superiority in steadiness and length. Leach was the best of them; he got through a great deal of bowling and could nearly always be relied upon to bowl a real length. Coleridge and Sandford. too, did very good work and Streatfield could bowl a troublesome swinger, while Ashfield, like the good captain he was, could and would take wickets at need with lobs.

The batting averages tell their own tale; top of them is Smith, a useful bowler and No. 10 in the batting order, who time after time refused to get out and held the fort at the crisis while others made Abell, a wicket-keeper distinctly above the average, and Streatfield—a name not unknown to Marlborough cricket—were excellent men to come in No. 7 or so, as they proved against Wellington and Winchester respectively. Dearman never quite fulfilled his promise, but he was a dangerous batsman and Faulkner, though unsound, was attractive on the off-side and played really well in the decisive hour against Cheltenham.

There is no getting away from figures; nine of the side averaged over 15 and six over 25; the tail, if there was one, wagged most adequately whenever it was wanted to, and the failure of an early batsman or two never succeeded in depressing their successors. As the season advanced, the confidence and solidity of the team increased and I believe that at the end of the term they would have taken a lot of beating in a two-day match.

Rugby were a very weak side last year. The prospects at the beginning of the season were reasonably good. There was Pugh to lead the side and give the batting a good send-off and, with ordinary luck, the eleven might well have made a good number of runs. For a time things went reasonably well and though, when Uppingham were met in mid-June, the weakness of the attack was rather forcibly exposed, the batsmen gave a good account of themselves; but from that time onwards everything went wrong; measles became rife and decimated the side. A depleted eleven suffered an innings' defeat at the hands of Clifton and confidence thence-forward seemed steadily to ebb. Comparatively weak opponents proved too strong for a team that never really got together and though a full side eventually reached Lord's for the Marlborough match, the damage was at that time irreparable, and a defeat of ten wickets was probably no travesty of the difference between the schools.

Even accounting for the difference in conditions, Pugh's record bears no comparison with his triumphs of last year. Nevertheless he deserves our sympathy. The knowledge that almost everything depended on his not getting out cramped his style, sapped his confidence, and ended by destroying his faculty for timing the ball; but those who know him best are unshaken in their belief in his ability, and though his record for Warwickshire in August was very modest, it is to be remembered that he made 40 on a mud wicket at the Oval when the early batsmen failed. Apart from Pugh, the best cricketer in the eleven seems to have been Cassels. He is still very young, but his bowling has already improved greatly, and in batting his style is convincing. Longrigg, another young player, showed promise as a left-hand batsman and Perry hit courageously against Marlborough in his own enterprising style. The Rugby fielding, I am afraid, must be written down as uncertain, and often slow. Measles, together with the consequent lack of practice, were probably in the main responsible for this.

Cheltenham had quite a successful season; they drew with Haileybury in the sensational match at Lord's, beat Clifton who had themselves beaten Rugby and Tonbridge, but lost to Marlborough by six wickets on their own ground after a ding-dong game in which the greater steadiness of the Marlborough bowling eventually determined the issue. Their batting was quite strong with six of the side averaging over 20. Jackson, the Captain, was the most consistent, though the break in the weather found him for a time rather ill at ease; he played very well in the first innings at Lord's. King-Turner also comes out with good figures, thanks largely to one great score of 187 not out. He is described as "a good bat but far too impatient," and I fear he must have found when playing for Gloucestershire last August how dearly one can pay for impatience in first-class cricket. Foster was sound and straight; Pacey a determined hitter who was at his best at Lord's, and Duleep Sinhji also did fairly well with the bat, though hardly as well as his last year's form promised.

In bowling, the figures read pretty well, 105 wickets being shared between three of them at an average cost of only just over 16. Unfortunately, however, the attack was not reliable. Harman was the steadiest though, perhaps, somewhat of the type that plays the really good batsman in; Withers was unreliable but Duleep, though like most slow spin bowlers he had his expensive days, did yeoman service and comes out with a really good record.

The ground fielding of the side was at times uncertain, but the catching very sound, and King-Turner was a first-rate fieldsman at cover-point.

Haileybury can at least lay claim to one distinction, the outstanding school batsman of the year. To my great regret, I had no opportunity of seeing Hudson bat, but neither his performances nor the concerted opinion of all who have seen him get runs can

leave any doubt whatever about his class. A record of 956 runs with an average of over 68, unassisted by any not-outs, speaks for itself but, in addition to consistency, Hudson evidently possesses the inestimable gift of being absolutely at his best on the big occasion. It is not too much to say that he dominated two out of the three inter-school matches in which he took part. Against Wellington he was content with a modest 52, correctly estimating, no doubt, his team's ability to win by an innings. In the Uppingham match his first innings of 95 did not prevent Haileybury from following on 163 runs in arrears; realising then that he was called upon for something serious, he promptly responded with 191, enabling his team to declare for the loss of seven wickets and thus securing in the end an even draw.

The less favourable batting conditions of the Cheltenham match naturally led to lower scoring, but here again the game revolved round Hudson, whose wonderful double of 90 and 101 constituted more than half the total from the bat of his side's two innings. In style, he is refreshingly old-fashioned, with the left shoulder well forward and plenty of back-lift and follow through. He can punish most severely on the on-side, but his great strength lies in his off-driving in which wrists, timing and perfect balance combine to produce strokes as beautiful as they are effective; he was moreover a quick and safe field on the off-side, and a keen and intelligent captain. He goes to Woolwich and both Universities have cause to lament their loss. Apart from Hudson, there was no outstanding player at Haileybury, but Bonallack came out with excellent bowling figures and, with a new ball, his late swing often had batsmen in trouble. Tiplady, too, was promising; he bowls quite slow right-hand, can turn the ball considerably and keeps a steady length. Meyer did not, perhaps, quite fulfil the promise of last year, but he was very useful both with the bat and ball, and if he can improve his defence he may yet become a batsman above the average. Hamilton often played sound cricket and welcomed a crisis; he was, too, a fine field who caught some splendid catches at mid-off and in the deep.

No account of Haileybury cricket would be complete without reference to the very great loss that was sustained in the death of P. H. Latham. For many years he had devoted himself to the cricket of the school and generations of Haileyburians had come to look upon him as a guide, philosopher and friend.

Clifton have five losses to set against four wins, but nevertheless they defeated Rugby and Tonbridge, and made Cheltenham go all the way. They have little cause for dissatisfaction with their season, but it must be admitted that the wet wickets of the latter half of the term proved the undoing of most of their batsmen with the exception of Hampton, who is a really sound player, and inci-

dentally captained the side very well. Their batsmen were for the most part dangerous rather than sound; on fast true wickets, their eye, strength and enterprise often carried them through, but when the ball was turning there was apt to be trouble; nevertheless Kennedy, Powell and Robson all did most useful work and were not the sort of batsmen whom their opponents could miss with impunity.

The strongest feature of the side was undoubtedly the bowling of Homan and Barlow, whose record can challenge comparison with any pair of school bowlers not excluding Anderson and Enthoven. They had the invaluable ability to bowl out the crack batsmen of the opposition before they had got a fair sight of the ball, and their summary treatment of Pugh and Sherwell probably contributed largely to Clifton's victory in those two matches; Homan's performance of taking twelve wickets in the Tonbridge match was clearly the best in the school's year. At present, perhaps, his physique is hardly strong enough for the strain thrown on him, but another year may bring him just that added consistency and stamina which he at present lacks.

Coates, also, did useful work with the ball, but I cannot believe that a left-hand bowler should have been allowed to grow up to bowl off-spinners. It is surely no wonder that he was at times expensive, There were some good individual fielders in the side, but the general standard was not really high.

Barlow is Captain for 1923, and has seven old choices to support him. If a little more soundness and restraint can be instilled into the batting Clifton should have a formidable side.

Tonbridge had the long programme of fifteen matches—no wonder when everyone in Kent plays cricket and when cricketers everywhere seize the opportunity of playing on their beautiful ground. With a record of ten losses against only two wins, they cannot, I am afraid, be written down as anything but weak. In N. B. Sherwell, they certainly had à fine cricketer and excellent captain. An industrious correspondent informs me that he only let 120 byes out of 5,600 runs scored against the school; this, and his record of fifteen caught and sixteen stumped surely speak for themselves, and I should write him down as certainly the best school wicket-keeper of the year. As a batsman he was also firstrate, sound and withal punishing—he made three centuries and finished the season with an average of 53 for 745 runs. Wills, Howard and Eggar also did quite well but, apart from the captain, there was little real distinction about the Tonbridge batting.

A glance at the bowling averages will show why Tonbridge won so few matches. A. G. Greatrex got through an immense amount of work with his slow leg-breaks, and had the satisfaction of taking

more than fifty wickets. Unfortunately, however, the fielding of the side—and especially the catching—was far below the Tonbridge standard, and Greatrex, in particular, suffered severely in this respect.

In school matches, Tonbridge went down before Lancing by six wickets and were badly beaten by Clifton in the big match at Lord's. Greatrex is Captain for 1923 and will have with him Pell, Hubbard, Howard, and Mitchell, the latter a very young batsman of distinguished cricketing stock.

The Malvern Eleven of 1922 came out with as fine a record as any school side, in fact they were unbeaten. Most unfortunately both their school matches, against Repton and Westminster, were spoilt by bad weather, but by general agreement they had, in the short time available, proved themselves the superiors of Westminster. The Repton match provided some extremely interesting cricket; winning the toss, Repton took first innings on a wicket so wet and sodden that bowlers and fielders alike were sorely handicapped. They seized their opportunity and by enterprising and attractive play ran up a total of 349. Malvern could only reply with 107; they were no doubt-and quite naturally-somewhat discouraged by their heart-breaking experience in the field; nevertheless, all credit must be given to an admirable piece of bowling by the Repton Captain who, keeping a beautiful length and at times turning the ball back sharply from the off, came out with the really fine figures of six for 15. Repton must have slept well that night. The next morning, however, there was a different story to tell; the Malvern wicket recovered, as it knows so well how to do, and after a splendid struggle between bat and ball for the opening hour and a half, the real class of the home batting asserted itself, and with Holmes, Jagger and Legge all playing in first-rate form, the total reached 227 for three wickets before rain put an end to the game.

The cricket of the side was laid on sure foundations; Legge was an excellent captain who knew how to get the best out of his bowlers, and had a sound control of his eleven in the field. The batting was, even on the Malvern standard, really strong as a glance at the averages conclusively proves. Jagger was perhaps the most dangerous of them all, though an experienced bowler might as yet hope to find one or two weak places in his defence. The captain was sound and specialized in those beautiful off-side strokes of which Malvern has given to cricket so many splendid exponents: but probably the best class cricketer of them all was Holmes, who is described as "a very correct player of high promise who watches the ball and can score all round the wicket." Lewis and Welch were two other excellent players, and the former who was not sixteen last season may train on into a batsman out of the ordinary.

In bowling, Malvern were very well served by Holmes and Jagger; the former who will captain the side this year was that rara avis in these days, a definitely fast bowler. Moreover, he had the two great requisites of untiring physical energy and great determination and his record of forty-three wickets for under 15 runs apiece, is, on the Malvern ground, really remarkable. In one match he took all ten wickets for 36 runs.

Jagger also did excellently, and although his action leaves much to be desired he could keep a length and squeeze some life out of the most unpromising wickets; he bowled very well against Westminster. Welch, too, did surprisingly well with the ball for so young a cricketer.

To sum up, Malvern were a side always desperately hard to beat owing to their batting strength, and, with Holmes and Jagger in form with the ball, likely to push the strongest opposition hard to stave off defeat. One fact, not without a sinister significance for their future opponents has yet to be mentioned—five of this formidable team were under seventeen last summer, and may still be available in 1924!

Repton seemed to have returned last year to something like the form of their best days. There were, perhaps, no outstanding personalities in the eleven, but it was a sound well-balanced side, particularly strong in batting. The bowling, with the exception of Winter was not perhaps very formidable, but the fielding, a weak spot in 1921, was last year up to the highest standard and it is wonderful what all-round excellence in the field will do to reinforce a moderate attack. The collective batting strength was great; each of the team, it is said, were capable of making fifty, and eight of them did so. Hilleary and German were an excellent opening pair with sound defence and plenty of strokes, especially on the on-side. Buxton was a dangerous hitter, and Ashton made a big advance on his form of 1921. The steadiest and soundest bat in the eleven was Dudgeon, who was almost always to be depended on for runs. For a year or two past, the Repton batting is said to have suffered somewhat from a certain lack of enterprise and overemphasis of on-side play. I was, therefore, no little pleased to read the testimony of that ancestral, but always friendly enemy of ours, Mr. Charles Toppin, that their batting last year in the Malvern match had pleased him greatly in its enterprise and general method.

Returning to the bowling, I am bound to admit that far too much depended on their captain, Winter, but at the same time that he rose splendidly to his responsibilities; his six for 51, including the hat trick, clearly won the match against Shrewsbury, whilst his performance against Malvern, considering the strength of the opposition, was even more remarkable. At present he is, perhaps,

rather temperamental, but with greater experience and such training as a captain like Mr. John Daniel can give him, he may well develop into an effective fast-medium bowler. Ashton, who is next year's captain, was a wicket-keeper much above the average. He kept for The Rest at Lord's.

The Uppingham season was, on the whole, uneventful; of the four school matches, that with Shrewsbury was cancelled owing to measles, while the other three with Haileybury, Rugby and Repton were all drawn. On each occasion the batsmen did well, but the bowling was not strong enough to secure a victory. In Loubser (fast medium), Terras (medium), Weir (googlies) and Waldock (slow spinners) the school had a quartette of fair variety, but unfortunately the catching of the side was very weak and the bowlers suffered accordingly from this lack of support.

The outstanding player in the eleven was no doubt J. V. Richardson, and it was not his fault that the fielding left something to be desired, for he was an excellent captain, and set his side a splendid example at mid-off. In batting he was sound and consistent and, as a change bowler, he had one spell of sensational success against Repton, when he summarily curtailed what promised to be a very long score. He is the type of natural games player who might easily do well at the University.

Hey made a century against Rugby, played admirably for 69 against the Authentics who included most of the Oxford bowlers and, with his strong defence and improving stroke power, is undoubtedly a batsman to be watched.

Waldock, fourth of the brotherhood, is very promising in all departments of the game. Hey will be captain in 1923, and will have Waldock, Loubser, the fast bowler, and two other old colours to support him.

Shrewsbury seemed to have been just a fair average eleven. Of their school matches, that against Uppingham had, unfortunately, to be scratched owing to illness in the latter school. In their one-day fixture with Repton, they had to admit defeat by 100 runs. The features of this game were a splendid hitting innings of 63 by Enderby for Shrewsbury, Winter's fine bowling, and the beautiful fielding of the Repton side on the whole. Against Rossall, however, they proved their superiority in no uncertain fashion, thanks largely to Stephenson's two innings of 40 and 130 not out, and good bowling by Prothero-Beynon. As a batsman, Stephenson was clearly in a class by himself. He is splendidly made, is a sound strong back player, and hits the off-ball as well as anyone can wish; Oxford will obviously be wise to keep an eye on him. Penn and Enderby both did quite well and have no misgivings about the proper treatment

of the over-pitched ball. Barker and Robinson were of the slow, steady type and also did good service, but the batting of the side as a whole lacked solidity.

As to the attack, my informant laments the lack of a fast bowler and, naturally, Hewetson's departure left a serious gap. Clegg-Hill and Peard were both useful left-handers and Prothero-Beynon and Robinson often got wickets; but there was certainly no class bowler in the side and only consistently excellent fielding helped the attack to do as relatively well as it did. F. Robinson is next year's captain and will have three old colours to back him.

Rossall cricket must always, I believe, suffer to some extent from its inaccessibility, which prevents a visit from most of the leading touring clubs of the South. Moreover of their two school opponents, St. Bees are generally no match for them. This year was no exception, Rossall winning by over 200 runs. In the other game, Shrewsbury proved altogether too strong. The best allround player was E. J. I. Whiteroft, who at times batted really well and often got useful wickets; as he is a first-class fieldsman at cover-point he is obviously—as captain elect—the right man in the right place. Smith also did quite well with the bat, and stuck to his fast medium bowling with great determination. Holland, the captain, was for a long spell out of form but, nevertheless, heads the batting averages, and Unitt bowled slow left-hand very steadily for the greatest aggregate of wickets.

Not content with their excellent record of 1921, Westminster went one better last year. In the long programme of thirteen matches and with the strong sides which habitually muster at Vincent Square to oppose them, they came out with the excellent record of seven wins and four draws against but a couple of losses; and when we add that among the wins are to be found four school matches, it is not surprising that Westminster cricket should think very well of itself.

Sherborne, Wellington, Radley, and Charterhouse all went down before them in the order named, indeed none succeeded in even seriously extending them. This year, for the first time, a two-day fixture with Malvern was arranged, the genesis of which is not hard to penetrate. Unfortunately, it was ruined by the weather, but for once Westminster's great opening pair of batsmen, Taylor and Lowe, did nothing extravagant with the bat, and when time came, Malvern were en route to establish a comfortable lead. Incidentally, I doubt whether any of the great schools has ever undertaken five inter-school matches before. Great, though, as was Westminster's success, their best friends could not gainsay that they were really a two-men side. C. H. Taylor has strong claims to be considered the best all-round school cricketer of the

year; his batting figures for the school speak for themselves, and the way in which he made runs against the Army at Lord's greatly impressed all who were lucky enough to see him. As a bowler, his googlies were altogether too much for many school batsmen. At present, like most of his kind, he has expensive spells, but he has the courage of his convictions and is generally free from that most fatal fault in a bowler of his stamp—dropping the ball too short.

Lowe also did splendid work; he bowls a brisk medium and can swing the ball quite late; he was the steadiest of all the bowlers in the representative games at Lord's and with good slips to support him, he may well make his mark in a higher class. But for that we must wait another year, as he is captain-elect for 1923. In addition to the two protagonists, Stonier was on his day very useful with his leg-breaks and Hartley was a steady bowler of medium pace who could and did keep a length at need. Taylor and Lowe made a habit of running up a big score for the first wicket—twice they exceeded the second century—and it was well they did so, for the rest of the Westminster batting was not impressive. The one really weak spot in the side seems to have been the fielding, especially the slip catching, but Taylor was first-class at cover-point.

Charterhouse can surely not complain of any lack of incident in their season. Three of their four school matches produced a first-class sensation. In the first two against Winchester and Harrow, the home side on an adamantine wicket piled up a total of over 300 and declared leaving their opponents the task of getting the runs at the rate of something well over 100 an hour. Against Winchester, they made the fatal mistake of missing Guise when his wicket might easily have meant the match; he remained to transmute pure defence into sensational hitting and with some of the later batsmen carrying on the good work the last over arrived with Winchester only 12 runs in arrears. The strain, however, was too much for the batsmen, one of whom was run out in going for a nearly valueless single and Charterhouse was saved.

Against Harrow they started badly, losing six wickets for 46 against Anderson and Enthoven, but then J. T. Morgan—a very young left-handed batsman—played a splendid not-out innings of 148 and with invaluable help from Kenyon (87) the total reached 306 for nine when Varcoe declared. Harrow began modestly, but Bagnall and L. G. Crawley then took root and scored a century a-piece; the former never hurried, but Crawley, to whom the Charterhouse wicket must have been a paradise, hit the bowling to all parts of the field and with Lloyd also taking the long handle, Harrow got home by six wickets just before time.

The Westminster match was a very different story; the Carthusians were completely at sea on the wet wicket they found awaiting them at Vincent Square and, in forty minutes, were all

341

bowled out by Taylor and Lowe for 25 runs; the result was inevitable. In the remaining school match, Charterhouse gained a comfortable victory over the weak Wellington side, but that was their one victory out of a programme of twelve matches. On a fast wicket there was a good deal of batting on the side; Varcoe was a shaky starter but could drive finely when set. F. L. Barker was a free hitter, and there were four others who averaged over 20; but as long as Charterhouse remains on its hill, it is probable that Carthusians will suffer from lack of practice on turning wickets. The same conditions adversely affect their bowlers, who tend to abandon all hope of doing anything off the ground and to rely exclusively on pace or swing. This year was no exception. In A. N. Morgan, they had a real fast bowler, whose determination probably saved the Winchester match, but he was not consistent, and struck me as hardly having the physique for his great pace. Barker, also, was above medium, but cannot be labelled dangerous. Varcoe, who bowls just faster than slow, could be difficult when he kept a length, for he had some ability to flight the ball. Both on method and performance, J. T. Morgan must be counted a young batsman of real promise.

Sherborne had the satisfaction of knowing that they turned out last year an excellent fielding side, as quick and as much on their toes after a long outing as they were when they took the field; but this substantial re-inforcement could not altogether compensate for but a moderate attack; and the batting, though capable of doing well, was too often prone to make presents of its wickets to the opposition. As a matter of fact, six batsmen averaged over 20, but when we take into consideration the excellence of the Sherborne wicket and the moderate quality of some of their opponents

the figures are not very convincing.

There was no outstanding batsman on the side, but it was a great misfortune that an injury should have kept W. E. Tucker out of the field almost all the season. In bowling, most of the work fell on his name-sake, H. J., and figures of thirty-seven wickets for

18 a-piece bespeak his value.

342

Olivier, slow left-hand, was also useful, especially in school matches but, like Tucker, he has not yet mastered the sovereign virtue of length. In the school games, Sherborne went down before Westminster, Radley and Blundells, and in the latter game the fielders for once had a real "off-day." They beat Dulwich, however, by nine wickets, and proved too strong for Downside in the first of their two fixtures with them.

Wellington, I am sorry to say, had a very poor season. Of their four school matches that with Bradfield was spoilt by the weather, but in the other three against Haileybury, Westminster and Marlborough they were easily defeated. They started the

season with the severe handicap of having not even one old colour to lead what was bound to be an inexperienced side. Their best hope was that some of the new hands should meet with early and pronounced success to give them confidence, but this was not forthcoming, and the eleven never came on as much as a reasonable amount of fresh talent led its supporters to hope.

Dobson was the most consistent of the batsmen and scored nearly 400 runs, while White also comes out with a fair record, but no one averaged 24 and only the two mentioned over 16. The attack seems to have been similarly mediocre, though Haig, who bowls fast with a nice action did fairly well, especially in the opening hour of the Marlborough innings. When I add that the fielding was also some way below standard, it will be seen that Wellington had indeed a lean year, but with Albert Relf to coach them, it will surely not be long before things mend again.

Radley, owing in some measure to their vicinity to Oxford. played eighteen matches; surely as long a programme as is undertaken by any school! Of these, they won five, lost six, and drew seven. They beat Sherborne in a low scoring match in which Hudden and Morris bowled well for them and were supported by excellent fielding. In the Westminster match, Taylor and Lowe had a field day with both bat and ball. Radley were out of form and the result was never in doubt.

The game with Bradfield must have been great fun to watch. no fewer than 592 runs being scored in a single day for the loss of but twelve wickets. The Bradfield batsmen made very light work of the Radley attack and had rattled up 303 for six when they declared. Nothing daunted, Radley went in pursuit and with Blair playing splendidly for 110, Robinson (83) and Amory (not out 42) backing him up well, they actually got to within 14 of their points when stumps were drawn.

As a batsman, Blair stood out by himself and came out with the really splendid figures of 979 runs with an average of 54. It is good to hear that he makes most of his runs in front of the wicket, favouring especially the off-side. With another year's development and experience behind him he should be well in the running for a place in The Rest side next August.

Heathcoat-Amory and Dawnay also did quite well with the bat; the latter, of course, is Blair's partner at racquets. He has beautiful wrists and a real temperament for match play; I shall be surprised and disappointed if he does not make a big advance this season.

Of the bowlers, most of the work-and heavy work it wasfell on McConaghy and Hudden, who each took nearly fifty wickets. The former is still young and may be a serious proposition for Radley's

345

opponents next year. The fielding, it is good to learn was excellent all through the season, thanks to the keenness of the side and the trouble taken by Hudden, their captain. Westmacott was a wicket-keeper well above the average; Dawnay is captain-elect, and with Blair of old choices to bat, McConaghy and Morris to bowl, he has an encouraging nucleus.

Public School Cricket in 1922.

Bradfield had to be content with a draw in each of their school matches. The Radley game, as we have seen, provided plenty of good cricket, but the Wellington match was spoilt by the rain. The side was fairly strong in batting with Wyatt and Waggener the pick of the bunch; the latter came off well in both the school matches, and is a left-handed batsman who has no misgivings about putting

the bat against the ball.

Birbeck, Carless, and Preslie did most of the bowling; the latter two bowled right-hand slow medium, but were not much more than steady change bowlers; Birbeck, left-hand medium, is really promising: he has the sovereign quality of length and can both spin and swing the ball; I hope he will not over-do the latter

to the neglect of the former.

From a long programme of sixteen matches St Paul's came out with a distinctly good record, for nine victories are to be set against six defeats, and of these that at the hands of the Cryptics was by a margin of a single run. In the inter-school games they went down before Bedford, but defeated both Dulwich and The Leys. Undoubtedly the most sensational feature of the season was the number of extraordinary close finishes in which the eleven took part; three matches saw a margin of less than 10 runs between them and their opponents at the finish, another of 16 runs, and another of only two wickets. The batting figures make no very remarkable reading, but Olliff and Hilliard did very well to average over 30 and to their consistency the side owed much. In bowling, however, it is another story, for St. Paul's were blessed with a quartette of bowlers who, I fancy, might have compared favourably with any four at any other school. Between them they took 126 wickets at an average of under 15-a very remarkable performance when we remember how many good cricketers St. Paul's come up against in the year. Moreover they were supported by fielding that is described as quite first-rate; had the eleven included a couple of other batsmen of real class they would probably have stood high in comparative order.

Bedford School had a shorter programme than St. Paul's, but of their ten matches only one, against the M.C.C., was lost; six were won outright and, most satisfactory of all, four of these were against school rivals. The one drawn match was against Wellingborough School, in which they piled up the huge total of 314 for one wicket before rain put a stop to play. The back-bone of the eleven was

undoubtedly their captain, W. Bovell-Jones, who had a brilliant season with the bat, and was deservedly given a place in The Rest side at Lord's. His record of having scored 2,000 runs for the school in three years cannot often have been equalled in school cricket. Of other batsmen, Bailey was sound and at his best in school matches while Stewart was a hard hitting on-side player who often did good service. Robinson, too, was promising. In bowling most of the work fell on Naz and Cox with Bovell-Jones often lending a helping hand. Naz was the real mainstay of the attack, and could always be relied upon to bowl a length. The fielding was consistently good, sometimes—as against St. Paul's—brilliant, and Davidson did splendid work at slip throughout the season.

From Dulwich my information is very scanty; the averages, especially of the bowlers, do not read at all badly. Corbin, Hewett and Rix all did good work with the bat and the two former must be useful all-round players, but Brighton provided their solitary victim in school matches and they went down before St. Paul's, Sherborne,

and Bedford.

Of Lancing's ten matches, five were won and only two lost, and as three of the five wins were over school rivals, the season can certainly be written down a success. Brighton beat them on the County Ground at Hove, thanks largely to a good innings by Philps and to some disappointing batting by Lancing of whom no fewer than eight failed to reach double figures.

The Christ's Hospital game was quite exciting; Newman played well for 66 but the total of 208 was not quite convincing; then Pearce made a very sound 100 for Christ's Hospital, and shortly before half-past six only five of their wickets had fallen for 173. Anything might then have happened; actually Burrough bowled well, the batsmen failed and the remaining five wickets fell

for 11 runs.

Eastbourne were comfortably beaten at home but the Tonbridge match produced a sensation of the first magnitude. After see-saw cricket, Tonbridge made a big recovery and set Lancing 246 to win in two hours and forty minutes. Their captain, Newman, then set about the task in real earnest and hitting most brilliantly for 171 not out, brought his side into harbour with twenty-three minutes to spare. Newman was much the best batsman, and though without his big innings his figures would have been relatively modest, he was always dangerous and liked the big occasion. Eve and Burrough were two valuable all-rounders; the former was in great form against Tonbridge, and Burrough comes out with the biggest bag of wickets for the season. But Melsome was much the steadiest bowler and his figures of thirty-three wickets for under 12 are suggestive. Eve is this year's captain and has four old hands, including Burrough, to help him along.

Eastbourne's chief pride was their fielding which can fairly be described as excellent throughout the season; perhaps they made a virtue of necessity for the attack was frankly weak, almost everything depending on their two bowlers, Frearson and Ellerby. The latter is a medium pace left-hand bowler who kept a consistent length and made the ball do just enough either with his arm or with the spin to keep the batsmen on tenter-hooks; his record on paper is not startling but he was the mainstay of the school's out-cricket.

Frearson, who was easily the best all-round player, did so much batting that perhaps his bowling suffered somewhat; however, that may be, he got the biggest bag of wickets to add to a really fine batting record of 600 runs for fifty an innings. As he is also

an excellent field, more may yet be heard of him.

Some way behind Frearson comes Mackintosh, the sprinter and rugger player; he, too, did valuable work and scored a century in one match; at a similar interval behind Mackintosh, comes Strong, the latter can put to his credit his excellent work as captain and the first-rate example he set his side in the field.

Far and away, the outstanding performance accomplished by the side was their sensational win against time over Christ's Hospital, when Frearson and Hanford put on 173 runs together for the third wicket in well under an hour and a half. The two other school matches resulted in a rather unfavourable draw with Lancing and a sorry hammering at the hands of King's School, Canterbury, who actually knocked up 202 to one wicket against Eastbourne's 177.

The Brighton Eleven experienced a bad patch at the beginning and end of their season but for a month between mid-June and July did quite well when they defeated Lancing, drew with Christ's Hospital after a promising start and won a couple more matches. The batting showed improved consistency on the form of some recent years and this in spite of the fact that the side was exceptionally young, the majority indeed being under seventeen.

Philps was easily the outstanding batsman, as well as a capable captain and a first-class cover-point. After falling into the fatal delusion that it was his duty to hit the cover off the ball whatever its length and direction, he bought his experience, recovered his judgment and, at the end of the season, was on the road to adding

defence to his considerable natural powers of attack.

Lyon and Walton also did quite well and Eastwood is promising in style; in bowling, Adams comes out with really fine figures, forty wickets for under 11 a-piece. From what I hear, he is a natural bowler of very considerable powers who has not yet learnt to make anything like the best of himself. I am sorry to say his official character ends with the words "cannot bat or field"; the former may, of course be a positive advantage but the latter is a serious business. Surely it can, and should, be remedied.

Adam's chief support came from Kilcoin whose fast bowling was often valuable; he, too, apparently was no batsman but is described as a first-class field. With Lyon as captain and eight other old colours still available, Brighton's prospects for this season are bright.

And now a dash over the border and my task will be done. Loretto had quite a good year in their school matches; they beat George Watson's, the Academy and Glenalmond, saw the weather put an irritating end to an even and interesting game with Merchiston, and were robbed by the same agency of what would surely have been their first victory over Fettes for twenty years. Their captain, Macdonald, was, as captains should be, the life and soul of the side, a fine leader, a splendid field and a most consistent performer with bat and ball, with the great gift of being at his best on the big occasion, witness his 118 and five for 38 against Fettes and his eight for 27 against Glenalmond. He is a lefthanded batsman who does not believe in letting the grass grow under his feet, while in bowling his swerve is late and well controlled. Loretto can indeed be congratulated on having this fine cricketer to lead them again this year. The rest of the batting may not have been very distinguished but Dykes, after a poor start, played some good innings, and Mackinlay showed sound form. Scoular was a useful all-rounder and Gourlay's fast bowling, in spite of the wet wickets, provided excellent support for Macdonald. In fielding, the side made great strides as the season advanced and they were always a keen and happy family.

Fettes seem to have been even harder hit than most by the weather, a deplorably high proportion of their matches having been left unfinished. Still, they can find some consolation in their victories over The Academy, Merchiston and Glenalmond. In batting, Ferguson seems to have been well ahead of his colleagues and his average of close on thirty for 436 runs with a highest score of 70 means very sound work in such a summer. Ross, Sutherland and Berkeley also did good service and there was plenty of enterprise about the batting as a whole; no fewer than five bowlers obtained sixteen or more wickets, and as four of them averaged under fourteen a-piece it is not surprising to learn that the bowling was never really collared throughout the season. Cameron, Braine and Scott were a trio obviously not to be despised. There was plenty of life about the fielding and Berkeley made a good leader.

Merchiston had two excellent bowlers in Milligan and Logan. The former, who took sixty-three wickets for under 13 each, bowled slow left-hand and may easily be heard of again. The batting was unpretentious, but Stordy and O'Neil were very consistent. In school matches, they had an interesting season; they opened with two defeats from Fettes and Watson's (the latter, however, by only two runs), they then did really well, and gave Loretto a fright in

what proved a drawn game, were prevented by rain from defeating Glenalmond comfortably, and wound up with a win over The Academy after a thoroughly interesting game of cricket.

Public School Cricketers of 1922 who will be in residence at the

Universities in	1923 :-	will be in residence at th
Transfer tends (1989)	OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton	Lord Dunglass	Cambitibas.
- H WWW.	C. K. Cox	
	N. Llewelyn-Davies	United stor top christia
Harrow	C. S. Crawley	H. F. Bagnall.
11011011	I. G. Collins	L. G. Crawley.
	M. Powell	H. J. Enthoven
	M. Fowell	
		W. E. Anderson.
Winchester	T T Co-i	F. O. G. Lloyd.
winenester	J. L. Guise	K. G. Hoare.
The same of the sa	I. S. Smith.	
	A. R. V. Barker	
D 1	A. J. E. Sealey	
Rugby		P. T. Eckersley.
Marlborough	R. Leach	E. A. Dearman.
		E. G. B. Faulkne
- STATE HE SERVER		R. V. Whichello.
Cheltenham	C. J. King-Turner.	
	B. C. Pye-Smith.	
Clifton	O. M. Robson.	FIRE RESIDENCE STORY
	C. R. Spencer.	
Tonbridge	in this to media it is	N. B. Sherwell.
Haileybury	R. W. Lisle-Carr.	
Malvern	G. B. Legge	R. A. Orchard.
Shrewsbury	J. S. Stephenson.	
could describe	E. Penn	
Westminster	C. H. Taylor	
Charterhouse	F. L. Barker.	A. N. Morgan.
State of Asia	S. F. Peel.	11. 11. 110.6011.
Uppingham	J. V. Richardson.	
o P Paris	L. H. C. Waldock	J. C. Colebrook.
STALLED AND THE	G. E. M. Weir.	o. c. colebiook.
Rossall	H. A. S. Smith	J. F. M. Holland.
LUSSWII	11. 21. 0. 01111011	J. W. F. Footman.
Radley	R. F. Heathcote-Ame	
Lancing	TO TT OT	ory.
Wellingborough		E II France
T ching borough	II.	E. H. Freyor.

N. M. Bach. R. E. H. Hudson (Haileybury), R. N. Foster and H. H. C. Withers (Cheltenham) will be at Woolwich.

W. H. Lambert (Charterhouse) will be at Sandhurst.

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1922.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at West Hampstead, Wednesday, May 17.—After a fine struggle University College School won by three wickets, the main factor in their victory being the sound batting of R. B. D. Wright.

KING'S COLLEGE	SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.
L. M. Sivers b Crewe 4	D. A. Collier b MacColl 2
R. E. Pearce c Shipham b Crewe 20	S. Gow not out 10
R. Briggs b Crewe 46	E. W. Berry c Mackie b C. Wright 1
P. Na Ranong b R. Wright 1	W. F. Thornhill b C. Wright 8
K. G. McNeil c Lawton b MacColl 43	Byes, etc 8
N. R. Larn c C. Wright b MacColl 8	
A. C. Sharp c MacColl b C. Wright 0	151
UNIVERSITY	College School.
R. M. MacColl b Thornhill 7	R. E. Crewe not out 9
C. C. Bishop b Berry 7	A. Walker not out 1
H. F. C. Shipham b Larn 15	Byes, etc 14
R. B. D. Wright c Larn b Berry. 50	
A. T. Lawton c Larn b Sharp 9	152
J. H. Kirkwood b Berry 6	C. M. Wright did not bat.
K. W. Mackie b Thornhill 34	E. H. Hiscocks did not bat.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, May 20.—Forest won by 17 runs. The capital all-round play of J. L. Jekyll, who, in addition to playing a steady innings, secured eight wickets for 31, was the outstanding feature of the match.

Merchant Taylors' School.

slbw, b Gane 5
ps b Jekyll0
not out 1
avis b Jekyll 0
etc 16
85
mi iiii 1 m 111 0
on c Phillips b Pridden 2
ld c Phillips b Pridden 6
er not out 4
te 16
102
ane did not bat.

did not bat.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. NORTHAMPTON SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 20.—A most exciting match ended in a narrow victory for Bedford Modern by two runs, after E. W. Bayley had made a valiant effort to pull the game round for Northampton.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.				
D. M. King b Bonfield 18	S. E. Ayres b Bonfield	12		
L. Keens c Turnock b Bonfield 1	G. W. Howard c Horrocks b Bon			
T. Plant b Turnock 10	field	5		
C. W. North c Duffield b Turnock 0	H. C. Johnson b Bonfield	6		
B. A. Babb c Horrocks b Turnock 15	G. C. Fisher not out	1		
L. W. Hodge c Jeffery b Turnock 0	Byes, etc	8		
S. J. Warton b Turnock 6		-		
		82		
Northamp:	ron School.			
J. C. Bland b Hodge 3	S. G. Horrocks b Howard	8		
D. E. Sparshott b Hodge 3	B. A. Schanschieff b Ayres	0		
S. E. Turnock lbw, b North 5	A. R. Jeffery b Ayres	9		
R. Bonfield lbw, b Hodge 0	F. W. Wright b Hodge	4		
E. W. Bayley not out 34	Byes, etc	10		
W. C. Duffield b North 2		-		
W. F. Floyd c Hodge b Howard 2		80		

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at West Hampstead, Saturday, May 20.—Against the splendid bowling of T. F. Taylor (5 wickets for 15) and J. P. Croisdale, (3 for 11) the University College had a disastrous time, suffering defeat by 90 runs. MILL BULL SQUOOT

MILL	HILL	SCHOOL.	
C. B. Salmon lbw, b Crewe	29	J. P. Croisdale c and b C. Wright 6	
G. S. Hampton b Crewe	1	G. H. Nicholls c Crewe b C Wright 0	
W. R. Reid c MacColl b Crewe	0	P. C. Bryant b Crewe 29	
H. M. Jones b Crewe	3	T. F. Taylor not out 8	
W. H. Sobey c Crewe b R. Wright	12	Byes 12	
M. E. Edgar b Crewe	9		
E. H. Beart c Mackie b C. Wright	22	131	
		LLEGE SCHOOL.	
H. T. Lawton c Croisdale b Reid	0	E. A. Goodwin b Taylor 0	
R. B. D. Wright lbw, b Taylor	0	C. M. Wright not out 16	
R. M. MacColl b Taylor	6	E. H. Hiscocks c Jones b Croisdale 4	
C. C. Bishop c Reid b Taylor	6	H. F. C. Shipham absent hurt 0	
R. E. Crewe b Taylor	1	Byes etc	
J. H. Kirkwood b Croisdale	2	\	
K. W. Mackie b Croisdale	4	41	

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, May 23.—The stronger side at all points King's School won by 106 runs.

King's School	CANTERBURY.
K. I. Topliss b Berry 12	O. H. De St. Croix e Brown b
H. A. Browning c Brown b Lyall 22	Lyall 2
C V. Haines c Harrison b Berry 8	A. L. Mizen b Downes 6
R. H. Osborne c Berry b Lyall 3	C. H. Gadney not out27
F. H. Savers c Downes b Lvall 77	G. C. Page hit wicket b Berry 0
	Byes, etc 16
	A STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE P
	. 195
	C. H. Gadney not out

St. LAWRENCE COL	LEGE, RAMSGATE.	
E. W. Harrison st Gadney b Osborne 18 E. L. Bodell b Page 0 R. C. Summerhayes c and b Osborne 12 W. J. Berry run out 5 E. L. Grant b Osborne 30	LEGE, RAMSGATE. L. T. Lyall c and b Page. C. P. Vines b Osborne. G. M. Downes run out. J. E. A. Bye not out. Byes, etc.	3 0 0
A. Brown b Page		89

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD. Played at WIMBLEDON, Wednesday, May 24.—An interesting match had to

be left drawn. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.
Snook 14 D. A. Collier lbw, b Harvey . . . 17 L. M. Sivers c and b Snook 14 N. F. Zabell c Trepte b Mackie ... 13 R. E. Pearse b Mackie 1 E. W. Berry run out 35 R. Briggs c Mackie b Rowling . . . 16 W. F. Thornhill not out 20 P. Na Ranong st Neep b Harvey . . 23 Byes, etc. 14 K. G. McNeil run out..... 1 L. Na Ranong c Cowgill b Snook 3 160 A. C. H. Sharp b Roberts...... 3 ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD. H. P. Roberts C. F. W. Mackie not out 28 G. W. Trepte C. W. Rowling b Sharp 2 F. R. Neep P. H. Harvey b P. Na Ranong 28

EPSOM COLLEGE V. WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

J. D. Cowgill not out 16

Byes etc. 4

A. Clarkson

J. C. Shenton C. W. Pitts

Played at Epson, Wednesday, May 24.—Whitgift completely outplayed their opponents, winning by an innings and four runs. William Carroor

WHITGIFT S	SCHOOL.	
H. W. Grant run out 9	C. G. Chartres b Cotman	9
J. H. Amos b Cotman 55		13
C. Stack b Bowker 9	G. T. Harrison c Harvey b Cotman	0
J. W. Harrison b Gashion 7	E. C. Avenell b Cotman	3
R. Brenchley b Mackay 4		30
T. M. Calhaem run out	25,00,000,111111111111111111111111111111	-
	1	52
K. R. Wright c Mason b Cotman 0		.04
EPSOM COL		
T. A. Mason b J. W. Harrison	3 — c Avenell b Grant	22
W. J. Taylor c Stack b J. W. Harrison	4 — b J. W. Harrison	0
J. S. Cotman b J. W. Harrison	18 — c Stack b Grant	- 6
H. D. Mackay b J. W. Harrison	3 - b J. W. Harrison	10
A. L. Gashion c and b Grant	3 — run out	23
A. L. Gashion C and D Grant	2 — b Grant	3
G. G. Gillam b J. W. Harrison	2 — D Grane	
W. G. Harvey c Dickson b J. W.	O Distance Is Count	-
Harrison	3 — c Dickson b Grant	1
O. H. Pincott b G. T. Harrison	1 — not out	4
J. W. O. Holmes c Dickson b J. W.		
Harrison	8 — c Wright b Grant	0
C. H. Bowker b J. W. Harrison	2 — b J. W. Harrison	0
G. D. Stilwell not out	11 — b J W. Harrison	- 9
	9 Byes, etc	3
Byes, etc	DJ 00, 000	_
32	27	81
	01	OI

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. LEY'S SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, May 27.—A brilliant second partnership of 133 between C. P. Bailey and W. Boyell-Jones enabled Bedford to pass Ley's score with only one wicket down. P. L. Naz bowled splendidly to take five wickets for 18.

LEV'S SCHOOL

THE S SCHOOL.	
N. M. Bach c Baker b Cocks	21 ell- 4

BEDFORI	SCHOOL.	
E. F. Stuart c Guinness b N. M. Bach 7 C. P. Bailey not out. 82 W. Bovell-Jones c Baker b N. M. Bach 97 R. W. B. Fuller lbw, b N. M. Bach 23 P. L. Naz b S. H. Bach 1 H. Davidson c Field b S. H. Bach 9 Byes, etc. 7	L. G. Baker T. J. Wardle A. D. B. Cocks C. N. Reed L. C. D. Robinson	did not bat.
226	-1	

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Leatherhead, Saturday, May 27.—Drawn. St. John's just managed to save the game after brilliant batting by T. A. Mason and W. J. Taylor had placed Epsom in a strong position.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

T. A. Mason not out	J. S. Cotman H. D. Mackay A. L. Gashion	
*232	G. G. Gillam O. H. Pincott W. J. O. Holmes	did not bat.
	C. H. Bowker W. G. Harvey G. D. Stilwell	

*Innings declared closed.

. 5 . 3 . 0 . 0 . 17 . 126

ARDINGLY COLLEGE V. EMANUEL SCHOOL.

Played at ARDINGLY, Saturday, May 27.—Ardingly won by 44 runs, the bowling of D. F. Frost, who obtained five wickets for 36, going a long way towards gaining the victory for his side.

ARDINGLY	COLLEGE.
M. Dawkins b Comber14	G. C. Gunn hit wkt. b F.A.J. Cole 22
W. L. Young b Comber	C. M. Youd c F. A. J. Cole b
D. F. Frost c Parker b Comber 4	Spikins 30
P. D. Hawes lbw, b Spikins 10	J. P. Ager b Spikins 4
P. D. Hawes low, D Spikins 10	R. W. Martin not out 3
F. A. Owen b Spikins 1	Byes, etc 2
J. M. Bradley b Comber	Dycs, coc
K. C. Bryant c Holloway b Comber 25	123
EMANUE	
F. A. J. Cole c Dawkins b Frost 7	C. W. Comber c Frost b Ager 1
L. Burree b Frost 5	W. G. Taylor b Martin 0
J. R. Cole c Dawkins b Frost 1	A. J. Head b Dawkins 2
C. Holloway run out	G. M. Robinson not out 10
E. Parker b Ager	Byes, etc 3
J. E. Spikins b Frost 0	
	79
N. S. Deeks b Frost 8	
	and the same of th

DULWICH COLLEGE v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, May 27.—Dulwich won by four wickets, their batting being much sounder than that of their opponents. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

BRIGHTON	COLLEGE.
G. W. F. Lyon c Musgrave b Corbin 2	H. E. D. Briscoe b Osborne 10
L. G. R. Chester c Musgrave b	A. H. P. Kilcoin not out 24
	J. L. Lister c Musgrave b Truman 0
Davison 7	J. L. Lister Chausgrave D Liuman
J. C. Walter b Corbin 5	F. B. Adams b Whiteley 2
W. R. N. Philps c Rix b Truman 26	Byes, etc 13
R. P. Williamson c Mahon b	170
Whiteley 10	183
J. T. Bell c O'Brian b Hewett 21	
J. I. Bell C O Brian o Heweld 21	
R. P. Peters c Kelting b Whiteley 63	
Dulwic	H COLLEGE.
	F. K. Truman not out 16
E. H. Davison c Peters b Adams. 34	Byes, etc 19
G. M. Mahon b Kilcoin 5	100
E. C. P. Whiteley b Kilcoin 10	193
C. A. Osborne lbw, b Adams 9	E. L. Kelting
	M. E. O'Brian did not bat.
B. W. Rix b Adams3	
E. H. P. Corbin not out 18	P. E. Musgrave.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. WATSON'S COLLEGE.

Played at RAEBURN PLACE, EDINBURGH, Saturday, May 27-Watson's suffered defeat in a low scoring game by three runs, despite the remarkable bowling of V. L. Shearer, who obtained six Academy wickets for eight runs.

G. Walker c Blair b Ferguson 4 B. W. Nicolson lbw, b Ferguson 20 B. B. W. Nicolson lbw, b Ferguson 20 B.	D. Thomson b Shearer 8
--	--------------------------

WATSON'S COLLEGE

***************************************	on b comment.	
V. L. Shearer b Nicolson. 2: L. Craig c Chiene b Craig. 2: R. H. Ferguson c Wright b Nicolson 2: G. Erskine b Walker J. H. Purves b Walker R. R. Robertson b Craig. 3: J. R. H. Peat st Wright b Craig. 4:	0 J. H. Mulholland c Johnstone b Walker 4 T. C. Garden c and b Craig 3 A. F. Blair not out 1 Byes, etc.	3 2 0

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at CANTERBURY, Saturday, May 27.—King's School proved no match for St. Edmund's, who, much stronger in both batting and bowling, won by 161 runs.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

K. I. Topliss c David b Payne 29 H. A. Browning c David b Payne 8 C. V. Haines c Banks b Payne 0 R. H. Osborne b Payne 9 F. H. Sayers b Payne 18 G. D. G. Heyman c Bingham b Barker 5 LF. M. Cartwright b Payers 4	C. H. Gadney b Payne. 10 A. L. Mizen c David b Payne 3 H. W. Turner b Barker 0 G. C. Page not out 0 Byes, etc. 8 94
J. F. M. Cartwright b Barker 4	

ST.	EDMUND'S	SCHOOL,	CANTERBURY.	
C. T. Priestley b Page C. M. Smith c Sayers b A. V. Payne c Sayers P. K. Barker c Topliss B. L. Jephson-Jones b S. Bunn b Haines	Turner b Turner b Haines c Page	63 W. T. 20 O. F. 40 28	N. Banks c Heyman b Page Bullivant c Topliss b Osborne. Bingham b Osborne E. Caswell not out. Byes, etc.	18 1 4 19
A. M. David b Haines.		0		255

FOREST SCHOOL V. BRENTWOOD SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Tuesday, May 30.—Brentwood won by 97 runs thanks mainly to a superb three-figure innings by T. B. Meigs.

BRENTWOOD SCHOOL.

L. S. Maxwell c Gane b Jekyll 9	
L. C. Woodcock b Jekyll 3	
E. N. Britton b Gane	L. A. Bayman b Jekyll
T. B. Meigs st Penfold b Gane 106	C. G. Clegg not out
D. R. Greives b Jekyll	Byes, etc 29
	222
H. E. Powell b Jekyll 3	222

FOREST SCHOOL.			
J. L. Jekyll c Bayman b Meigs 4 C. V. Leefe c Greives b Powell 10 B. J. Van der Gucht b Farnes 14 G. N. Lewis c Farnes b Powell 4 K. A. Latter b Powell 22 C. R. Gillard b Farnes 13 B. D. Kennett b Farnes 10	R. A. Staddon b Powell 15 V. H. Penfold b Powell 0 H. G. Turner b Powell 0 C. W. V. Gane not out 0 Byes, etc. 33		

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, May 30 .- King's School won a most interesting game by 13 runs.

DOVER COLLEGE.

W. R. Jenney c Gadney b Haines 22 R. C. N. Jenney lbw, b Turner. 10 A. R. Denne b Osborne. 7 P. H. Normand c Browning b Page 4 J. W. Parr c Osborne b Page 7 W. H. A. Harris c and b Page 2 L. H. A. Watson b Osborne. 17	18 1 15
---	---------------

Transla Compor CAMBERDEEN

	KING S SCHOOL,	CANTERBURI.	
I	C. I. Topliss lbw, b Jenney. 38 I. A. Browning b Normand. 3 J. V. Haines c Jenney b Normand. 19 E. H. Osborne c and b Normand. 11 J. H. Sayers b Normand. 17 J. M. Harrison b Normand. 5 E. H. Gadney b Normand. 2	J. F. M. Cartwright c Mayall b Nethersole A. L. Mizen c Jenney b Cooke H. W. Turner c Parr b Nethersole G. C Page not out Byes, etc.	35 0 8

SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Sherborne, Tuesday, Wednesday, May 30, 31.—C. H. Taylor and R. G. H. Lowe, playing fine confident cricket, put on 203 runs for the first wicket for Westminster, who won by an innings and 140 runs.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

D. McDonald b W. L. Hartley 3 — b Stonier	
L. H. Bean lbw, b Taylor 24 — c R. W. Hartley b Lowe 16	
J. H. Nunn lbw, b Taylor 23 — b Stonier	
G. A. Wallinger c Lowe b W. L. Hartley 0 — b Taylor	
H. G. Jenkins b W. L. Hartley 7 — b W. L. Hartley 1	
T. G. Devitt not out	
G. Peddie lbw, b Taylor 2 — c R. W. Hartley b Lowe . 7	
R. S. Bramall b Lowe 0 — c Tiarks b Taylor 0	
H. J. Tucker c and b Taylor 0 — b Lowe 0	
J. S. Olivier c Lowe b Taylor 10 — not out 2	
R. S. Bassett b Lowe 6 — lbw, b Lowe 1	
Byes, etc	
138 86	

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

C. H. Taylor b McDonald 163	J. W. Jacomb-Hood run out 0
R. G. H. Lowe b Tucker 91	J. G. Tiarks, c Jenkins b Devitt 3
W. N. McBride b Nunn	J. H. Blair c Bean b Devitt 5
G. W. Stonier lbw, b Tucker 8	R. W. Hartley st Wallinger b
W. L. Hartley run out 27	Devitt 2
E. R. Munt not out 19	Byes, etc 30
C. F. McFarlane run out 11	
	364

CHIGWELL SCHOOL V. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at CHIGWELL, Wednesday May 31.—City of London, for whom W. R. Francis secured six wickets for 19, won by 17 runs.

CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

L. C. Down b Francis	G. H. Brinkley c Lawrence b Francis
----------------------	-------------------------------------

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

V. C. Hawker c Seward b N. A. Burden 0 A. M. Gibb b Hagger 4 W. R. Francis lbw, b Hagger 24 C. F. Warr b Hagger 17 L. D. Gilliam c Brinklev b Hill 3	A. Goodwill c Seward b Adamson
E. R. Lawrence not out	112

ARDINGLY COLLEGE V. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at ARDINGLEY, Thursday, June 1.—Despite a wretched start, three wickets being lost for five runs, Hurstpierpoint won by 18 runs. Their fielding in this match reached a high standard.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

	2 H. W. Comber c Gunn b Martin C. R. Queisser c Gunn b Martin A. E. Mason not out Byes, etc.	0
Ardingle	EY COLLEGE.	
M. Dawkins run out	J. M. Bradley b Hankey. J. P. Ager not out. R. W. Martin lbw, b Hankey. Byes, etc.	13 6 0

Comber 0

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL V. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at Uppingham, Friday, Saturday, June 2, 3.—Drawn. Haileybury made a wonderful recovery after being 163 behind on the first innings. Hudson batted very soundly in his great effort to pull the game round.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.
S. Hey lbw, b Meyer
T H C Woldock e Hudson b Bonallack 36 — not out
L. H. Walker b Meyer
C. H. M. Waldock b Meyer
J. C. Colebrook b Meyer
F. R. Terras b Meyer 0
W. R. B. Dodgson not out
M. G. Leyton b. Ronallack
Byes, etc
216 86
316 HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.
R. E. H. Hudson c Dodgson b Waldock 95 — b Layton
C H Butler b Weir 17 — D Lavion
To Candons b Dishardson 14 - C COEDFOOK D Laviou 1
R. J. O. Meyer run out 2 — c and b C. H. M. Waldock 34
G. A. C. Hamilton c Layton b C. H. M.
Waldock
T. M. Clarke c. Richardson b. C. H. M.
Waldock 0 — b Richardson 3
C. W. Tiplady c L. H. C. Waldock b 0 not out
Layton
E. B. Wakefield lbw, b Waldock 0
H. A. G. Vanderfelt hit wkt., b Waldock 0 Byes, etc
153 *381
* Innings declared closed.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. WHITGIFT SCHOOL.
Played at WIMBLEDON, Saturday, June 3.—Whitgift won by 61 runs.

H. W. Grant Ibw, b Sharp 43 J. H. Amos b Berry 3 C. Stack c McNeill b Thornhill 22 J. W. Harrison Ibw, b Larn 22 R. Brenchley c McNeill b Thornhill 4 K. R. Wright b Thornhill 9 T. M. Calhaem c McNeill b Thornhill 4 K. R. Wright b Thornhill 1 KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON. L. M. Sivers b J. W. Harrison 1 R. E. Pearse run out 15 R. Briggs b J. W. Harrison 0 L. R. Poe b J. W. Harrison 15 K. G. McNeil c Amos b G. T. Harrison 3 N. R. Larn b Calhaem 4	WHITGIFT	SCHOOL.
K. R. Wright b Thornhill	H. W. Grant lbw, b Sharp. 43 J. H. Amos b Berry. 3 C. Stack c McNeill b Thornhill. 22 J. W. Harrison lbw, b Larn. 22 R. Brenchlev c McNeill b Thornhill. 9	C. G. Chartres st Sivers b Thornhill 2
K. R. Wright b Thorman King's College School, Wimbledon. L. M. Sivers b J. W. Harrison. 1 R. E. Pearse run out. 15 R. Briggs b J. W. Harrison 0 L. R. Poe b J. W. Harrison. 15 K. G. McNeil c Amos b G. T. Harrison 3 N. R. Larn b Calhaem 4		144
L. M. Sivers b J. W. Harrison. 1 R. E. Pearse run out. 15 R. Briggs b J. W. Harrison. 0 L. R. Poe b J. W. Harrison 15 K. G. McNeil c Amos b G. T. Harrison 3 N. R. Larn b Calhaem. 4		
L. M. Sivers b J. W. Harrison. 1 R. E. Pearse run out. 15 R. Briggs b J. W. Harrison. 0 L. R. Poe b J. W. Harrison 15 K. G. McNeil c Amos b G. T. Harrison 3 N. R. Larn b Calhaem. 4	KING'S COLLEGE S	CHOOL, WIMBLEDON.
D. A. Collier b J. W. Harrison 10	L. M. Sivers b J. W. Harrison. 1 R. E. Pearse run out. 15 R. Briggs b J. W. Harrison. 0 L. R. Poe b J. W. Harrison. 15 K. G. McNeil c Amos b G. T. Harrison. 3	E. W. Berry b G. T. Harrison

159

FOREST SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at FOREST SCHOOL, Saturday, June 3.—Forest proved no match for Epsom, who won with the greatest of ease, finishing up 75 runs ahead with only two wickets down.

FOREST	SCHOOL.
J. L. Jekyll c and b Cotman 13	B. D. Kennett c Ayres b Cotman 0
C. V. Leefe c and b Cotman 0	H. G. Turner c Taylor b Cotman 0
K. A. Latter b Mackay 7	V. H. Penfold not out 4
C. R. Gillard b Cotman 5	C. W. V. Gane b Cotman 3
G. N. Lewis b Gillam 11	Byes, etc 27
A. J. Buffham c Gashion b Mackay 25	
R. A. Staddon b Mackay 5	100
EPSOM	COLLEGE.
W. J. Taylor not out 82	H. D. Mackay
T. A. Mason c Gane b Latter 46	A. L. Gashion
J. S. Cotman c Turner b Buffham 30	G. G. Gillam
G. D. Stillwell not out 1	G. W. Ayres did not bat.
Byes, etc	J. W. O. Holmes
	C. H. Bowker
480	
175	W. G. Harvey

WATSON'S COLLEGE v. LORETTO SCHOOL.

Played at Myreside, Edinburgh, Saturday, June 3.—Loretto won by the narrow margin of seven runs.

LORETTO	SCHOOL,
N. L. McDonald b Shearer 8	R. A. Macleod not out 32
J. P. McKinlay b Purves 39	J. M. Dykes c Shipley b Mulholland 4
A. S. Dykes b Mulholland 9	W. K. Drysdale c Shearer b
E. M. Scoular b Mulholland 0	McLaren
E. G. Taylor c and b McLaren 0	H. Lorimer b Mulholland 2
R. A. Gourlay lbw, b Mulholland 1	Byes, etc
A. M. Sanson lbw, b Mulholland 31	
	153
	COLLEGE.
V. L. Shearer c Sanson b Scoular 38	J. McLaren c Macleod b Goulay 0
L. Craig c A. S. Dykes b McDonald 17	C. S. Shipley b MacDonald 12
R. H. Ferguson b Scoular 10	G. Erskine not out 5
J. H. Purves run out 0	A. F. Blair run out
J. R. H. Peat b Gourlay 14	Byes, etc 16
J. H. Mulholland e A. S. Dykes b	
Lorimer	146
T. C. Garden lbw, b Gourlay 8	/

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at Downside, Saturday, June 3.—Sherborne gained an easy victory, Downside being outplayed at all points. L. H. Bean batted with marked skill after an early disaster to the side.

Downside	SCHOOL.	
M. J. Turnbull c Bassett b Mac-	A. P. Allan b Tucker	6
Donald 2	R. R. Scrope b Macdonald	1
S. N. Turner b Tucker 0	D. N. Byrne not out	2
B. R. Turnbull b Macdonald 21	G. Straten b Macdonald	16
M. C. Walter run out 0	Byes, etc	3
P. J. de Bromhead b Macdonald 11	AND CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF PARTY ESTATES	
K. P. Turnbull b Tucker 3		66
F. H. Gordon hit wicket 1		

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

D. Macdonald c B. Turnbull b Allan 5 L. H. Bean not out	T. G. Devitt H. G. Jenkins G. Peddie R. S. Bramall J. S. Olivier H. J. Tucker R. S. Bassett
---	---

EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at Edinburgh, Saturday, June 3.—Fettes won rather easily by 179 runs. The Academy batsmen could do little against steady bowling.

by 110 Iuns. The meadon's bassmen c	oute do more against storing at many
FETTES C	COLLEGE.
P. S. J. W. Ross c Thomson b Craig 12 E. K. Brown b Chiene	J. F. W. Pearse c Chine b Walker 5 M. A. Cameron c Munro b Walker
EDINBURGH	ACADEMY.
G. G. Fowler b Cameron 16 G. Walker b J. M. Scott 1 R. W. Nicolson b Cameron 7	J. A. Wright c Brown b J. M. Scott

EDINBURGH .	ACADEMY.
G. G. Fowler b Cameron	J. A. Wright c Brown b J. M. Scott

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON V. MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

Played at Bruton, Saturday, June 3.—Monkton Combe won a most exciting match by one run, the steady batting of G. O. M. Taylor being of great value at a critical stage of the game.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

H. Raynham c Dann b Barlow. 20 C. A. Norton c Dann b Barlow. 11 L. F. R. Barker c Orr b Dann. 18 H. G. Ayre c Fox b Hodgkinson. 2 C. A. Barkshire b Thomas. 14 J. A. McDonald b Orr 28 E. B. Tremlett b Orr. 15	W. A. Henley c Ryde b Orr. 3 E. H. S. Walker not out. 17 F. W. L. Evans run out. 0 T. H. Y. Bonsey c Barlow b Thomas. 17 Byes, etc. 13
MONKTON C	OMBE SCHOOL.
G. O. M. Taylor run out	H. F. Osborne b Barker 32 G. F. Thomas b Norton 0 S. Houghton not out 0 E. J. H. Hart b Norton 0 Byes, etc. 7

C. G. Dann lbw, b Barker 2

F. F. S. Barlow lbw, b Norton .. 5

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

Played at West Hampstead, Wednesday, June 7.—Drawn, much in favour of University College, who with five wickets in hand were only 18 behind.

WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

H. W. Grant lbw, b R. Wright 23 J. H. Amos c Crewe b MacColl 22 C. Stack b R. Wright 0	K. R. Wright b Crewe G. T. Harrison b C. Wright J. E. Dickson c Brooking b C.	3
J. W. Harrison c Brooking b MacColl 9 R. Brenchley c Hiscocks b R.	Wright E. C. Avenell not out	0
Wright	Byes, etc	7 98

UNIVERSITY CO	DLLEGE SCHOO
C. C. Bishop c Brenchley b G. Harrison	J. H. Kirk R. E. Crev
R. M. MacColl b J. Harrison 1	P. H. Broc
H. F. C. Shipham c Stack b J.	E. H. Hise
Harrison 9	
R. Wright st Stack b G. Harrison 18	
A. T. Lawton lbw, b Grant 9	
K. W. Mackie not out 15	
C. M. Wright not out 5	
Byes, etc 9	
Dycs, 000	
80	_

LEGE SCHOOL.			
J. H. Kirkwood R. E. Crewe P. H. Brooking E. H. Hiscocks	did	not	bat

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at EASTBOURNE, Wednesday, June 7.—Eastbourne won a great victory by seven wickets, their all-round strength being much superior to that of their opponents. R. E. Frearson batted with marked skill.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

EASTBOURNE	COLLEGE.	*
R. A. Howell run out	B. A. J. Crummy A. B. Carter W. 1. N. Strong C. E. W. Mackintosh N. H. Robinson G. R. Frericks W. A. Ellaby	did not bat

ST. BEES' SCHOOL v. GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

Played at St. Bees, Wednesday, June 7.—St. Bees lost a most exciting game by four runs, despite a great effort by M. J. Heap, who helped in a last wicket stand of 24. For Giggleswick I. W. H. Ashcroft took five wickets for 35 runs.

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

ST.	BEES	SCHOOL.
G. L. Ogilvy b Ashcroft N. A. Robertson c Moore b	150	A. S. G. Scott c Moore b Hatton 0 E. W. D. Crawshaw c Redmayne
Ashcroft	23	b Hatton 0
B. X. Jessop c Redmayne b		T. W. Bevan b Hatton 20
Ashcroft	1	E. Randall b Alder 7
H. H. Lawrence run out	8	Byes, etc 6
D. P. Charles b Ashcroft	0	
A. G. Holloway c Redmayne b		150
Ashcroft		
M. J. Heap not out		

RUGBY SCHOOL V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at RUGBY, Thursday, June 8.—A high scoring match had to be left drawn. The batting of both sides reached a high standard, S. Hey in particular playing with great confidence.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

S. Hey lbw, b Cassels	W. B. R. Dodgson b Daniel 12 F. R. Terras c Roughead b Pugh 11 G. E. M. Weir not out 6 Byes, etc 10
body	M. C. Layton did not bat

*Innings declared closed.

RUGBY	SCHOOL.	
F. M. Roughead c Dodgson Terras 3 P. T. Eckersly c Terras b Weir 20 E. F. Longrigg c Walker b Weir 66 J. G. Pugh Ibw, b Terras 51 A. J. H. Cassels not out 20 J. M. T. Perry not out 7 Byes, etc 31	A. D. Cave W. M. Roughead H. C. Pattisson G. M. Goodbody M. C. C. Daniel	id not bat
198		

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at GODALMING, Thursday, June 8.—Thanks to the brilliant batting by J. A. Guise, Winchester very nearly succeeded in gaining a great victory after Charterhouse had declared. They were only 11 runs behind with six wickets in hand when the game had to be given up as a draw. C. G. Varcoe, of Charterhouse, also showed a complete mastery over the bowling.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

F. L. Barker c and b Sealy 28 C. H. Harker-Taylor c Hornby b Landale	G. T. Kenyon not out
S. F. Peel st Stevens b Guise 5	
5. F. Teel St Stevens b Guise 5	*310
H. C. Crawford c Smith b Guise 36 C. G. Varcoe b Guise 103 C. J. Quiney st Stevens b Stewart 33 J. T. Morgan not out 25	R. H. Chittle A. N. Morgan W. H. Lambert did not bat.

*Innings declared closed.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

J. L. Guise run out. 144 I. S. Smith lbw, b Lambert. 9 E. J. M. Honoré run out. 1 I. G. Hoare c Chettle b A. N. Morgan. 73 A. R. V. Barker not out. 42 E. N. Landale run out 7 Byes, etc. 23 299	E. H. Sinclair C. G. Stevens A. J. E. Sealy R. A. Hornby D. G. Stewart	
A. R. V. Barker not out	D. G. Stewart	

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Tonbridge, Friday, Saturday, June 9, 10.—A remarkable game ended in a victory for Lancing by six wickets. Tonbridge, batting very consistently, made a wonderful recovery after being 82 behind on the first innings, but Lancing made light of their task, E. H. Newman playing a great innings, The splendid bowling of P. Eve, who obtained five wickets for 15, brought about the Tonbridge collapse in the first innings,

LANCING COLLEGE.

E. H. Newman c Sherwell b Eggar	10 — not out	71
R. G. W. Melsome c Holman b Eggar	5 — b Eggar	11
R. S. Machin lbw, b Pell	2 — c Sherwell b Pell	3
J. W. Burrough b Eggar	3 — c Wills b Greatrey	2
P. E. Eve b Greatrex	86 - c and b Eggar	28
J. K. Reid b Eggar	13 — not out	25
K. S. Machin b Greatrex	1	20
H. F. Pearse c and b Greatrex	8	
J. H. Goodman b Eggar	2	
A. R. A. de Garston b Muir	12	
P. S. W. Mills not out	8	
Byes, etc.	5 Byes, etc	8
		3
1	.55	48
		TO

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.
C. B. Prowse c de Garston b Eve 7 — lbw, b Eve 39
R. C. Hubbard b Eve
C. W. H. Howard c Eve b Melsome. 0 — b Eve
R. D. J. Wills b Burrough 16 — lbw, b Eve 68
T. G. Holman c R. S. Machin b Eve. 0 — not out
J. H. Piesse b Burrough 1 st R. S. Machin b Garston 10
M. L. Eggar c Pearse b Eve 4 — b Burrough
W. S. Muir not out
J. H. G. Pell b Melsome
A. G. Greatrex b Melsome 0 — c Reid b Melsome 16
Byes, etc
Byes, etc 4 Byes, etc 25
73 *328
*Innings declared closed.
GLENALMOND SCHOOL V. WATSON'S COLLEGE.
Played at GLENALMOND, Saturday, June 10.—Watson's won by 30 runs.
GLENALMOND SCHOOL.
W. S. S. Mackay run out 5 B. L. Byrom b McLaren 4
W. Alexander c Shearer b McLaren 20 J. L. A. Jamieson c and b
J. V. Hind c McLaren b Ferguson 4 Ferguson
K. W. L. Cowan c Oswald b Shearer 9 W. S. Kennedy not out 7
T. A. Wedderspoon b Shearer 2 N. S. Sandeman run out 0
L. M. Scott b Ferguson 1 Byes, etc
J. D. G. Reid b McLaren 13
79
Watson's College.
V. L. Shearer b Alexander 16 A. F. Blair b Alexander 3
J. H. Purves c Jamieson b C. S. Shipley b Wedderspoon 4
Alexander
R. H. Ferguson b Wedderspoon. 14 J. S. McLaren b not out 6
J. Oswald b Alexander 5 Byes, etc 6
T. C. Garden b Wedderspoon 9
SHERBOURNE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.
Played at Sherbourne, Saturday, June 10.—Blundell's batting proved too
strong for Sherborne, who were rather heavily defeated by 148 runs.
BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.
J. G. Parkin c Olivier b Bean 102 W. J. R. Gill c McDonald b Bean 2

strong for Energorne, who were runter	nearly deleted by 110 Idas.		
BLUNDELL'S	SCHOOL.		
J. G. Parkin c Olivier b Bean102	W. J. R. Gill c McDonald b Bean 2		
F. N. Shepherd c McDonald b Olivier20	R. V. Dewar-Durie not out 36		
A. G. E. Speight c McDonald b	A. R. Gabe-Jones c Wallinger b		
Newman	H. Tucker 1		
K. H. Bain b Newman 15	J. G. C. Allen b Newman 2		
J. C. Yates b Devitt 26	B es, etc 15		
R. D. H. Arundell b Newman 10			
H. I. Tremenheere b Newman 47	279		
SHERBORNE SCHOOL.			
D. McDonald lbw, b Arundell 4	G. Peddielbw, b Arundell 3		
L. H. Bean run out 17	J. S. Olivier b Tremenheere 7		
W. E. Tucker c Arundell b Gill 5	H. J. Tucker c Shepherd b		
J. H. Nunn run out 57	Arundell		
G. A. Wallinger c Bain b Tremen-	W. A. Newman not out 0		
heere 1	Byes, etc 18		
J. G. Devitt b Parkin 8	_		
H. G. Jenkins b Parkin 1	. 131		

BRADFIELD COLLEGE v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Bradfield, Saturday, June 10.—Batsmen held the upper hand completely in this match, which had to be left drawn.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

H. G. Scott b McConaghy	W. S. Presslie not out
A. H. Munroe lbw, b McConaghy 10	*303
R. R. LCarter b McConaghy 46 G. J. Wagener not out 54 J. Covington b Morris 16 T. B. Parker b Morris 0	W. H. C. Birkbeck T. Summerscales C. A. Ramsden

*Innings declared closed.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

TOAD IN T	JULIEGE.
F. C. Dawnay b Birkbeck 10 A. E. Blair c Presslie b Summer- scales	G. B. Sugden lbw. b Birkbeck
S. S. Robinson c Presslie b Birkbeck 83 R. F. HAmory not out 42	St. L. Morris H. C. Westmacott C. McConaghy did not bat

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. HARROW SCHOOL.

Played at Godalming, Saturday June 10.—As against Winchester Charterhouse gave a splendid batting display, but on this occasion they were beater after declaring, Harrow accomplishing the brilliant performance of scoring 312 runs for the loss of only four wickets. The match, a splendid one in every way, was rendered noteworthy by the fact that three three-figure innings were played. J. T. Morgan, L. G. Crawley and H. F. Bagnall all batted superbly.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

F. L. Barker b Anderson 5 S. F. Peel b Enthoven	G. T. Kenyon b Anderson 87 T. K. D. Pritchett b Anderson 0
C. H. Harker-Taylor c Anderson b	R. H. Chittle c Bagnall b Anderson 13
Enthoven 0	W. H. Lambert not out 8
H. C. Crawford c Anderson b	Byes, etc 15
Enthoven 0	,
C. G. Varcoe c Collins b Anderson 2	*306
C. J. Quiney c Collins b Enthoven 15	
J. T. Morgan not out	

*Innings declared closed.

HARROW SCHOOL

HARROW	SCHOOL.
H. J. Enthoven b Pritchett. 12 I. G. Collins b Barker. 25 H. F. Bagnall not out. 119 L. G. Crawley c Varcoe b Barker. 106 F. O. G. Lloyd c Varcoe b Lambert 39 P. H. Stewart Brown not out. 0 Byes, etc. 11	C. S. Crawley R. H. Baucher J. V. Hermon K. E. Crawley W. E. Anderson

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, June 10.—After a great struggle Aldenham just managed to beat Mill Hill, being two runs ahead when their last wicket fell.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

C. B. Salmon lbw, b Chapman 0	E. H. Beart not out
G. S. Hampton c Cronin b Chapman 28	G. H. Nicholls c Smith b Longfield 1
W. R. C. Reid b Chapman 12	J. P. Croisdale c Cronin b
H. M. Jones c Allinson b Chapman 0	Cowper 4
W. H. Sobey b Cowper 10	T. F. Taylor c Allinson b
M. E. Edgar c Cowper b Longfield 45	Chapman 1
P. C. Bryant b Cowper 0	Byes, etc
I. o. Dijune b comper	Dj 00, 000
	137
ALDENHAM	
T. C. Longfield c Jones b Reid 0	
	J. A. T. Ryde c Croisdale b
C. R. Chapman hit wkt. b Taylor 22	Taylor 2
A. R. Cronin b Nicholls 9	N. A. McNeill b Taylor 24
R. J. Day run out 0	A. M. Smith not out 4
A. F. M. Berkeley c and b Reid. 2	F. W. Allinson c Hampton b
R. D. Cowper st Hampton b	Reid 10
Taylor	Byes, etc 8
R. F. Alderton c Edgar b Reid. 4	
	139

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 10.—Bedford, for whom W. Bovell-Jones batted with marked skill for two hours and a quarter, won by 99 runs.

Bedford School.			
W. Bovell-Jones c Musgrave b	P. L. Naz b Hewett 3		
Whiteley	A. D. B. Cocks not out 9		
C. P. Bailey b Corbin 4	T. D. Wardle b Rix 3		
E. F. Stewart c O'Brian b Corbin 2	C. G. Macgregor c Stark b Corbin 3		
R. W. B. Fuller c and b Whiteley 3	Byes, etc 5		
H. Davison c Rix b Whiteley 12			
L. C. D. Robinson c Rix b Hewett 14	262		
L. G. Baker lbw, b Corbin 55			
DULWICH	COLLEGE.		
C. Hewett c Cocks b Naz 26	E. H. P. Corbin c Naz b Robinson 23		
E. H. Davison run out 2	E. L. Kalting not out 14		
K. J. Stark c and b Naz 19	M. E. O'Brian c Davidson b Naz 3		
E. C. P. Whiteley b Macgregor. 13	P. E. Musgrave b Naz 0		
F. K. Truman c Davidson b Naz. 14	Byes, etc 12		
B. W. Rix b Cocks	The state of the s		
C. A. Osborne lbw, b Naz 22	163		
	Control of the Contro		

HIGHGATE SCHOOL V. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Saturday, June 10.—Drawn. Merchant Taylors' had to struggle hard to save the game, being still 123 behind at the finish.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.				
V. K. R. Bedford b Pridden 55	G. W. Whiteman c and b Spragg 0			
N. E. Cook c Boardman b Hughes 0	Byes, etc			
R. W. V. Robins b Spragg 96				
L. Grundy b Hughes	*234			
G. D. Lehmann c Maclennan b	H. S. Mills			
Hughes	J. A. Carter			
M. A. Jones not out 0	G. N. Paxton did not bat.			
	L. C. H. Grice			

*Innings declared closed.

F. F. Spragg c Jones b Cook E. O. Lacey c and b Cook	2 E.	H. A. Boardman	not out 2
J. D. A. Norton b Robins W. D. Crosbie c and b Cook R. R. F. Maclennan b Robins D. Ducat c Carter b Cook	55 7 3 T. F. W.	G. Hughes C. O. Pridden F. Phillips W. G. Davis	did not bat.

IPSWICH SCHOOL v. FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE.

Played at IPSWICH, Saturday, June 10.—The outstanding feature of this match, which Framlingham won very easily, was the splendid all-round form of D. B. Gadsdon, who followed up a fine bowling performance of five wickets for 16, by playing a great three-figure innings.

IPSWICH S	SCHOOL.
C. C. Worrall c and b Gadsdon 4	E. W. Godfrey b Blooman 1
R. L. Wilkinson lbw, b Gadsdon 3	J. W. Norman b Gadsdon 5
E. Popplewell b Blooman 13	K. F. Marks c Badcock b Podd 3
F. R. King b Blooman 13	R. S. Green not out 0
E. W. Tregear run out 2	Byes, etc 10
R. Catchpole b Gadsdon 1	
F. W. Mossman b Gadsdon 8	63
FRAMLINGHA	M COLLEGE.
D. B. Gadsdon not out100	J. F. Young not out 3
A. E. Frank b Wilkinson 4	Byes, etc 9
C. Humphreys, b Green 11	
J. M. Badcock c Marks b Green 0	174
E. D. Rash b Wilkinson 4	R. G. Ashby
P. J. Harman c Worrall b Green 12	C. W. Soar } did not bat.
H. I. Podd c Wilkinson b Marks 31	J. Blooman
	a n during the same

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL V. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at CATFORD, Saturday, June 10.—Sound batting being followed up by splendid bowling Hurstpierpoint gained an easy victory by 163 runs. G. L. Hankey had the excellent bowling figures of seven wickets for 39.

Hurstpierpoint Course.

HUKST	PIERF	OINT COLLEGE.
A. R. Billinghurst b Hawker	3	G. J. Lattimer lbw, b Pearson 1
G. E. Comber run out	57	H. W. Comber c Ward b Francis 18
F. du B. Wilson b Francis	1	C. R. Queisser b Francis 6
L. R. Dixon b Goodwill	63	A. E. Mason not out 6
G. L. Hankey st Hart b Lawrence	10	Byes, etc 44
J. G. Youngman c Lawrence b		The second secon
Goodwill	34	261
C. D. Hutchinson c Goodwill	18	
CITY OF	LON	DON SCHOOL.
V. C. Hawker c and b Lattimer	1	A. J. R. Blok lbw, b Hankey 3
A. M. Gibb c Mason b Hankey	4	A. Goodwill st Mason b Quiesser 0
W. R. Francis c H. W. Comber b		L. J. Hart c Lattimer b Hankey 8
Hankey	18	E. Fulford not out 13
C. F. Warr b Hankey	2	Byes, etc 2
A. W. Savidge lbw, b Hankey	23	_
E. R. Lawrence c G. E. Comber b		98
Quiesser]	15	
F. Pearson c Mason b Hankey	9	

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Wellingron, Saturday, June 10.—Westminster won by 129 runs. W. N. McBride paved the way towards success with a sound three-figure innings and R. G. H. Lowe (5 wickets for 38) and C. H. Taylor (4 for 28) did the rest.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL. C. H. Taylor b Haigh..... 8 E. R. Munt b Haigh 20 R. G. H. Lowe b Haigh 12 J. W. Jacomb-Hood b Haigh. 8 W. N. McBride b Haigh......102 J. G. Tiarks c D. Allom b Murray L. Clare c Haigh b Hyslop 34 J. H. Blair not out..... R. W. Hartley Ibw, b Murray 10 Byes, etc. 6 G. W. Stonier lbw, b Hyslop..... 18 W. L. Hartley b Cowie 14 233 WELLINGTON COLLEGE. D. S. Allom b Taylor..... 30 D. G. C. Cowie b Lowe..... S. C. Dobson b Lowe..... 4 W. R. Kennion b Lowe..... D. B. W. Murray b W. Hartley .. 20 G. F. Haigh c and b Lowe T. A. W. White c W. Hartley b D. B. K. Young b Lowe..... Taylor Byes, etc. R. P. Harding not out..... 16 M. J. C. Allom c W. Hartley b 104

OAKHAM SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

H. J. M. Hyslop c Tiarks b Taylor '6

L. Keens b Crick. 8 T. Plant c Clarke b Bristow. 0 C. W. North b Bristow. 0 B. A. Babb c and b Crick. 4 G. W. Howard b Bristow. 38 L. W. Hodge c Clarkson b Bristow 17	95
R. O. Brooks lbw, b Howard 0 G. O. Brooks c Ayres b Howard 5 J. P. Bristow c Keens b Fisher 15 C. J. Bell b North 25 W. J. Thompson c Babb b Fisher . 2 M. T. Crick b Ayres 0 W. H. G. Saunt c Babb b Hodge 5	AM SCHOOL. L. W. Burton run out. 13 C. E. Clarke not out. 13 H. D. S. Clarkson b Howard 4 H. J. Sturton b Ayres 1 Byes, etc. 12

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at Downstoe, Saturday, June 10.—Outplayed, Downside suffered an overwhelming defeat by 203 runs. Their batsmen found the bowling of J. E. Coates (six wickets for 47) too good for them.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull st Spencer b Coates		A. P. Allan c Homan b Coates	
S. N. Turner c Kennedy b Coates		R. B. Lamb c Aslett b Coates	
B. R. Turnbull b Coates	4	R. R. Scrope b Barlow	
P. J. de Bromhead c and b Coates	0	C. F. O. Bull not out	5
K. P. Turnbull c Coates b Homan	4	Byes, etc	5
P. T. Clarke c Spencer b Barlow			
F. H. Gordon c Spencer b Barlow	2		76

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

EPSOM COLLEGE v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Played at EPSON, Saturday, June 10.—Splendid batting being backed up by accurate bowling, Berkhamsted won easily by 131 runs.

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

H. Williams c Gillan b Holmes. 51 A. M. Olsson c Rocyn-Jones b 7 D. V. H. Asquith b Holmes. 2 J. C. May c Mason b Holmes. 40 L. H. Pearce b Holmes. 4 T. C. Baker c Mason b Holmes. 35 K. L. Simpson b Mackay. 0	H. E. Weatherill b Mackay. 1 A. I. French b Harvey. 23 F. G. Rust c Rocyn-Jones b Mackay . 7 L. B. Ely not out. 15 Byes, etc. 20 205
	COLLEGE.
T. A. Mason c Pearce b French 16 W. J. Taylor c and b French 0	W. G. Harvey b Williams 1 G. W. Ayres run out 1
J. S. Cotman b Williams 13	J. W. Holmes not out 2
A. L. Gashion c French b Williams 16	G. D. Stilwell b May 3
G. G. Gillam c Olsson b Williams 3	Byes, etc 2
H. Mackay b May	74
G. Rocyn-Jones b May 1	

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. LEY'S SCHOOL.

Played at West Kensington, Saturday, June 10.—St. Paul's won a most exciting game by four runs.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

G. L. Olliff c Baker b Schofield 37 H. R. Pococek b Spurr 9 J. Greig Ibw, b Spurr 8 W. M. Steward Ibw, b Schofield 3 J. R. Addams b N. M. Bach 3 R. J. Hillard b Spurr 12 H. W. Bailey Ibw, b N. M. Bach 34	J. H. Bartlett c Carnegae-Brown b Spurr
Ley's S	CHOOL,
S. H. Bach b White 9 G. Carnegie - Brown c Lewis b Steward	H, K. Moulton c Greig b White 24 H. W. Guinness c Oliff b Steward 8 A. C. Baker not out 14 J. A. Spurr b White 5
C. H. Robinson b Bailey 1 B. M. Schofield c Bartlett b Dudeney	Byes, etc 13 163

FOREST SCHOOL V. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played at Walthamstow, Saturday, June 10.—Felsted School won with the greatest of ease, their batting and bowling being altogether too strong for Forest. C. Morley-Brown played a great innings and then G. R. R. Brown and J. W. Smellie carried all before them.

FELSTED SCHOOL

L. H. B. Light c Buffham b Gane. 25 L. A. M. Parsons c Turner b Jekyll 4 C. Morley-Brown lbw, b Staddon 119 G. H. Lintott run out	J. G. Tomlinson not out. 19 J. W. Smellie not out 12 Byes, etc. 32
H. F. Lintott c Lewis b Latter 7	*269
G. W. S. Burton c Gane b Buffham 13 B. E. L. Burton c Staddon b Leefe 1	R. J. J. Pugh-Cook did not bat.
	clared closed.

FOREST SCHOOL

T UKE:	T DUN	.UUL.		
J. L. Jekyll b Brown K. A. Latter b Brown G. N. Lewis b Brown C. R. Gillard b Smellie C. V. Leefe b Smellie A. J. Buffham c and b Smellie R. A. Staddon c G. H. Lintott b Smellie	6 0 6 6 2	D. B. Kennett b Brown. H. G. Turner not out. C. W. V. Gane b Smellie. V. H. Penfold b Smellie. Byes, etc.	5 0 0	

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at HALLEYBURY, Monday, Tuesday, June 12, 13.—Another disastrous match for Wellington, who went down very badly before Halleybury by an innings and four runs. Halleybury made a wonderful recovery after a bad start and then set their opponents a task much beyond their powers. In Wellington's first innings R. F. Bonallack bowled admirably, securing eight wickets at a cost of only 31 runs.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

G. F. Ainger b Haigh	R. F. Bonallack b Cowie 6 T. A. Clarke st Young b Murray 12 H. A. G. Vanderfelt not out 12 E. B. Wakefield b Haigh 10 Byes, etc 21
W. F. P. Whitmarsh b M. Allom. 30	945

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

D. S. Allom c Hamilton b Bonallack. 17 — c Bonallack b Meyer 0	
S. C. Dobson b Bonallack 1 — b Meyer	
D. B. W. Murray b Bonallack 6 — b Lisle Carr 20	
T. A. W. White c Wakefield b Sanders 28 — c Meyer b Lisle Carr 25	
R. P. Harding b Bonallack 3 — run out 26	
M. J. C. Allom b Bonallack 0 — run out	
H. J. M. Hyslop c and b Lisle Carr 12 — c Wakefield b Lisle Carr 5	
D. G. C. Cowie c Hudson b Bonallack 7 — b Bonallack 3	
W. R. Kennion b Bonallack 1 — not out 20	
G. F. Haigh c Hudson b Bonallack 0 — b Hamilton 0	
D. B. K. Young not out 8 — b Bonallack 14	
Byes, etc	
96 145	

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Tuesday, June 13.—Bedford won a low scoring match by six wickets.

DUNSTABLE GRAMM	AR SCHOOL.
M. L. Harrison c Plant b Howard 14 A. L. Butt b Fisher	R. B. Waller not out 2 H. T. Fletcher b Fisher 0 H. R. Schooling b Hodge 1 C. P. Turney b Fisher 0 Byes, etc. 7
L. M. Walker c and b Fisher 2	and the second s
A. R. Thompson b Hodge 1	43

BEDFORD D. M. King c Thompson b Waller L. Keens c and b Brown. C. W. North c Walker b Brown. T. Plant c Schooling b Walker B. A. Babb not out G. W. Howard not out. Byes, etc.	14 S. J. Warton 0 S. E. Ayres 0 E. G. W. King 11 G. C. Fisher
2,00,000	_

48

REPTON COLLEGE v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at REPTON, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 13, 14.—Drawn. Repton led on the first innings by 28 runs, but they could not force a victory.

led on the first innings by 28 runs, but the	ey co	ould not force a victory.	
REPTON C			
G. C. Buxton b Richardson N. C. E. Ashton lbw, b Richardson N. H. Wood c Walker b Richardson	7 - 56 - 7 - 1 - 47 - 26 -	— b Walker — b Walker — lbw, b Terras	23 32 24 35 1
Byes, etc.	14	Byes, etc	8
*Innings dec		d closed.	178
UPPINGHAM			500.00
S. Hey b Griffin. R. H. R. Moodie b Griffin. L. H. C. Waldock c Winter b Hilleary J. V. Richardson b Griffin. E. H. Walker b Criffin. C. H. M. Waldock c Crawshaw b Baines J. C. Colebrook c Crawshaw b Baines W. R. B. Dodgson b Buxton. F. R. Terras b Baines. G. E. M. Weir not out. M. C. Layton absent ill.	25 21 0 24 16 79 6 20	— b Winter	16 9
Byes, etc.	17	Byes, etc	3

245

28

FELSTED SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at Felster, Wednesday, June 14.—Batting with marked consistency, Mill Hill won with a lot to spare.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

L. H. B. Light c Sobey b Croisdale L. A. M. Parsons c Jones b Reid. C. Morley-Brown run out G. H. Limtott c Nicholls b Croisdale H. F. Lintott c Bryant b Nicholls G. W. S. Burton c Wolstenholme b Nicholls D. S. Chetwood st Hampton b Gilchrist.	1 0 29 23 20	J. G. Tomlinson b Reid B. E. L. Burton c and b Reid R. J. J. Pugh-Cook not out G. R. R. Brown c Nicholls b Croisdale Byes, etc.	50 24

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

C. B. Salmon b G. H. Lintott 3 G. S. Hampton c Light b B. E. L. Burton	J. C. Wolstenholme not out Byes, etc
H. M. Jones c Tomlinson b Brown 25	E H Boont
W. R. C. Reid c Chetwood b Tomlinson	E. H. Beart G. H. Nicholls
W. H. Sobey b H. F. Lintott 46 P. C. Bryant not out 36	J. P. Croisdale R. R. Gilchrist

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Wednesday, June 14.—Drawn, much in favour of St. John's. With five wickets down, Whitgift were still 87 behind.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

DI. COIII D DOMOGRA	
C. F. W. Mackie c Stack b Grant 17 J. C. Shenton b Wright	G. W. Trepte c Amos b G. T. Harrison

*Innings declared closed.

WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

WHITGIFT	BUHUUL.	
J. H. Amos lbw, b Mackie	G. T. Harrison not out	
C. Stack c Shenton b Mackie 3 R. Brenchley c Bryan b Roberts 5	C. G. Chartres J. E. Dickson E. C. Avenell	

133

WINCHESTER COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at Winchester, Thurday, June 15.—The Winchester batsmen, apart from I. S. Smith, failed rather badly against the excellent bowling of G. G. C. Streatfield and R. H. Coleridge, to whom Marlborough mainly owed their victory of 69 runs.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE,

E. A. Dearman c Stevens b Stewart 4 R. A. Lord c and b Sealy	G. G. C. Streatfield b Sealy 42 G. E. B. Abell b Guise. 3 H. H. Smith not out 14 A. Sandford b Stewart 0 Byes, etc. 20
C. R. W. Ashfield c McGowan b Guise	195

WIN CHESTER COLLEGE.

J. L. Guise b Streatfield	E. N. Landale c Maturin b Smith 19 A. J. E. Sealy c Lord b Coleridge 12 R. A. Hornby run out
E. H. Sinclair lbw, b Coleridge 0 B. McGowan b Leach 20	126

CHIGWELL SCHOOL v. BANCROFT'S SCHOOL.

Played at CHIGWELL, Thursday, June 15.—Drawn, Chigwell requiring 46 runs to win with six wickets in hand when the game was given up.

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL.

J. Wall b N. A. Burden. 9 D. G. Lee c Hawkey b N. A. Burden 12 H. D. Lockie b N. A. Burden 42 H. J. Arnold b Hill 28 F. W. Musgrave b Hill 1 J. Mann b Adamson 13 P. A. Simpson b N. A. Burden 15	G. C. Sheldon rum out. 0 T. H. Crawley b Hill. 0 W. E. Wolveridge not out. 12 H. G. Riches c Savill b Hill. 6 Byes, etc. 13 151
---	--

CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Onio	W ISLIE	COHOOM:		
H. J. Burden b Mann G. S. Seward b Crawley. R. P. Hawkey b Crawley. L. C. Down c Riches b Mann P. J. B. Savill not out. R. W. Martindale not out. Byes, etc	50 6 2 27 12	A. C. Hagger V. A. L. Hill N. A. Burden H. P. Robottom C. W. Adamson	}	did not bat
Dycs, 000				

ST. BEES' SCHOOL v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at St. Bees, Friday, Saturday, June 16, 17.—Rossall won by 228 runs, St. Bees being overplayed throughout.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

1. Batson b Holloway 2. F. M. Holland c Pridmore b Scott 15	76 72 0 12 2 1 10 13 16 0
ST. BEES' SCHOOL.	
H. H. Lawrence c Knight b Footman D. P. Charles b Footman D. P. Charles b Footman D. P. Charles b Footman D. D. D. Charles b Footman D. D. Charles b Footman D. D. D. Charles b Footman D. D. D. Charles b Footman D. D. Charles b	18 38 34 0 4 4 22 1 1 8 0 3

RADLEY COLLEGE v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

56

Played at RADLEY, Friday, Saturday, June 16, 17.—Radley won by 23 runs after being behind on the first innings. The excellent bowling of D. C. Hudden, who in the match secured twelve wickers for 112 runs, was the main factor in their victory.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

F. C. Dawnay b Newman	14 — b Tucker 18	3
A. E. Blair b Tucker	32 — D Ulivier	Э
E. B. Park c Wallinger b MacDonald	0 — run out 18	5
J. E. S. Tyler b Newman	14 — c and b Olivier)
S. S. Robinson c Wallinger b Newman		
R. F. HAmory lbw, b Olivier		
H. C. Westmacott hit wkt. b Olivier		1
D. C. Hudden c Bean b Olivier		
St. L. Morris not out		
C. McConaghy run out		3
R. S. Freeman absent	Dress etc.	2
Byes, etc	20 Byes, etc 1:	0
		_
	105	1

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

D. MacDonald b Hudden 10 — lbw, b Hudden	27	7
L. H. Bean c Blair b Hudden 17 — b Hudden	10	0
J. H. Nunn c Westmacott b Hudden 4 — c Blair b Hudden	10	0
G. A. Wallinger b Hudden 19 — b Morris		0
T. G. Devitt b Hudden 4 — c and b Hudden		4
R. H. Smith c Dawnay b Hudden 0 — c Robinson b Morris	24	Ŧ
G. Peddie not out		
H G Jenkins b Hudden 4 — b Morris		0
J. S. Olivier c Park b McConaghy 7 — c Blair b Morris		3
H. J. Tucker c Westmacott b McConaghy 4 — not out		Z
W. A. Newman b Freeman 2 — b Morris		~
Byes, etc		7
	4.00	-
122	12	1

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Friday, Saturday, June 16, 17.—Marlborough, whose batting proved more consistent, won by six wickets after leading by sixty on the first innings.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

N. Sharpe lbw, b Streatfield	3 — b Streatfield	3
A. L. S. Jackson b Streatfield		34
R. N. Foster c Streatfeild b Leach	6 — lbw, b Sandford	20
K. S. Duleepsinhji c Abell b Leach	6 - c Streatfield b Sandford	44
C. J. King-Turner c Maturin b Leach		
C. R. Harman b Coleridge	12 - c Streatfield b Coleridge	
R. G. Hopkins c Abell b Coleridge	8 — c Smith b Leach	
F. R. Pacey b Leach	22 — b Sandford	
R. H. A. Foster lbw, b Leach	5 — not out	
H. H. C. Withers not out	8 — lbw, b Sandford	
D. R. S. Lumsden lbw, b Coleridge	5 — run out	
D. R. S. Lumsden ibw, b Coleringe	15 — Byes, etc	9
Byes, etc		-
	131	182

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

	E. A. Dearman b Harman	9	— c Sharpe b Harman 32
	R. A. Lord b Harman	41	- st Lumsden b Withers 5
- 1	E G B Faulkner b Harman	16	— c Sharpe b Duleepsinnji oz
	B. E. H. Maturin b Hopkins	2	2 — c Harman b Duleepsinhji 2
1	C. R. W. Ashfield c King-Turner b		
	Harman	41	_ not out 6
	R. Leach st Lumsden b Duleepsinhji	1	_ not out 11
	R. H. Coleridge c King-Turner b Harman	18	3
	G. G. C. Streatfeild c Harman b R. H.		
	A. Foster	17	7
	G. E. B. Abell b Duleepsinhji		
	H. H. Smith not out		
	A. Sandford lbw, b Duleepsinhji	0)
	Byes, etc	4	1 Byes, etc 7
	-	Int	195

NORTHAMPTON SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at NORTHAMPTON, Saturday, June 17.—Bedford won by 81 runs.

Bedford Won by 81 runs.

DEDFORD MODERI	N BURUUL.
D. M. King lbw, b Wright 16 L. Keens c Schaschieff b Wright 22 G. W. Howard c Westmorland b Wright 46 B. A. Babb b Westmorland . 12 T. Plant b Duffield 8 C. W. North lbw, b Turnock 0	S. J. Warton c Jeffrey b Bonfield. 1 S. E. Ayres b Wright. 1 E. G. W. King b Bonfield. 0 G. C. Fisher not out. 0 Byes, etc. 15 123
L. W. Hodge c Bayley b Duffield 2	
NORTHAMPTON	SCHOOL.
R. Bonfield lbw, b Howard 1	B. A. Schaschieff lbw, b Hodge 0
W. F. Floyd c Keens b Howard 2	A. R. Jeffrey b Hodge 0
S. E. Turnock lbw, b Hodge 8	S. G. Horrocks c Hodge b Howard 3
E. W. Bayley c Fisher b Howard. 1	F. W. Wright not out 0
W. C. Duffield b Howard 13	Byes, etc 3
J. C. Bland b Hodge 8	
R. Westmorland b Howard 3	42

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL V. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at West Kensington, Saturday, June 17.—Much stronger in batting, Bedford defeated St. Paul's by 127 runs.

BEDFORD	
W. Bovell-Jones run out 21	A. D. B. Cocks lbw, b Greig 9
C. P. Bailey b Bailey 50	P. L. Naz not out 5
E. F. Stewart c Olliff b Greig 84	T. J. Wardle not out 6
R. W. Fuller b Steward 20	Byes, etc 29
L. C. Robinson c Hillard b Greig 34	
L. G. Baker c Hillard b Steward. 1	*261
H. Davidson c Bailey b Dudeney 2	R. A. Corrie did not bat.
*Innings dec	lared closed.
ST PAUL	's SCHOOL

g _ To	- a
	S SCHOOL.
G. L. Olliff b Naz 23	J. R. Addams c Fuller b
H. R. Pocock e Baker b Jones 2	Robinson 9
J. Greig run out 3	H. H. White not out 21
J. B. Wheatley st Wardle b Jones 0	G. M. Lewis run out
W. M. Steward c Fuller b Robinson 16	G. L. A. Dudeney c Cocks b Naz. 7
R. J. Hillard c and b Naz 16	Byes, etc
H. W. Bailey c Stewart b Robinson 13	
	134

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at BELLINGHAM, Saturday, June 17.—The splendid all-round form shown by H. D. Mackay turned the game in favour of Epsom, who won by 65 runs. Mackay followed up an innings of 50 by securing six wickets for 54.

	COLLEGE.
T. A. Mason c Hughes b Crosbie 35	J. W. Holmes c Phillips b Hughes 1
W. J. Taylor c and b Pridden 16	G. Rocyn-Jones run out 0
J. S. Cotman e McLennan b Crosbie 13	W. G. Harvey b Crosbie 30
A. L. Gashion c McLennan b	J. F. Newman not out 5
Hughes 9	Byes, etc 11
H. D. Mackay b Pridden 50	-
G. G. Gillam e Boardman b Hilton 15	201
G. W. Ayres b Pridden 16	

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

F. F. Spragg c Harvey b Cotman . 12 E. O. Lacey b Mackay	F. C. Pridden c Mason b Mackay. 28 T. G. Hughes hit wkt, b Holmes. 8 W. F. Phillips not out. 4 J. A. Hilton b Mackay. 2 Byes, etc. 9	
D. Ducat run out	134	

TRENT COLLEGE v. OAKHAM SCHOOL.

Played at TRENT, Saturday, June 17.—Oakham headed their opponents' score for the loss of three wickets and eventually finished up 173 ahead. For the winners M. T. Crick secured six wickets for 26 and G. O. Brooks played a brilliant three-figure innings.

C. S. Lang b Bristow	COLLEGE. J. T. Harbord c Bell b Crick C. Sanders b Crick A. I. Horsley b Crick F. R. Wilson-Browne not out	(
A. P. Huet b Crick	Byes, etc.	
	SCHOOL.	ç

100L.
C. E. Clarke not out
V

WATSON'S COLLEGE V. MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL.

Played at MYRESIDE, EDINBURGH, Saturday, June 17.—Watson's won by 82

runs. Merchiston	CASTLE SCHOOL.
R. D. O'Neale b Ferguson 28 D. Carr b McLaren 4 R. S. M. Stordy lbw, b Ferguson 0 W. L. Robertson b McLaren 0 J. Cruickshank b Ferguson 27 P. Wilson e Blair b Purves 27 M. M. Logan b McLaren 1	K. Logan c Craig b McLaren 13 J. H. Connell b Ferguson 2 W. L. Milligan not out 3 S. A. Miller b Ferguson 3 Byes, etc. 7
Watson's	COLLEGE.
V. L. Shearer b K. Logan	C. Shipley b K. Logan

WHITGIFT SCHOOL V. HURSTPIERPOINT SCHOOL

Played at Croydon, Saturday, June 17.—Whitgift won very easily, a capital recovery being made from a disastrous start.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

A. R. Billinghurst b Wright. 37 G. E. Comber Ibw, b Grant. 5 L. R. Dixon e Stack b J. W. Harrison 25 J. G. Youngman b Avenell 25 C. D. Hutchinson Ibw, b G. T. "Harrison 19 F. du B. Wilson b J. W. Harrison 0 G. L. Hankey b J. W. Harrison 2	G. J. Lattimer c Stack b J. W. Harrison
WHITGIFT	School.
H. W. Grant c and b Lattimer 5	C. G. Chartres lbw. b Lattimer 25

William !	ochool.
H. W. Grant c and b Lattimer. 5 J. H. Amcs b Hankey 1 C. Stack lbw, b Hankey 6 J. W. Harrison c Lattimer b Hankey 87 R. Brenchley run out 1 T. M. Calhaem b Queisser. 17 K. R. Wright c Queisser b Lattimer 55	C. G. Chartres lbw, b Lattimer 25 G. T. Harrison c Billinghurst b Comber 30 J. E. Dickson not out. 4 E. C. Avenell not out 5 Byes, etc 11 247

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at West Hampstead, Saturday, June 17.—Though giving a consistent batting display, University College had not bowling strong enough to force a victory, an interesting game being left drawn.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

A. T. Lawton b Mackie 24 K. W. Mackie cPitts b Cowgill 33 H. F. C. Shipham run out 49 B. B. D. Wright c Cowgill b Mackie 28 C. C. Bishop b Cowgill 13 R. M. MacColl not out 32	C. M. Wright not out 9 Byes, etc. 23 *Innings declared closed. *211 D. L. J. Stevens R. E. Crewe P. H. Brooking J. H. Kirkwood
--	---

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL	DL, LEATHERHEAD.
C. F. Mackie c Crewe b Kirkwood 50 J. C. Shenton b Crewe 2 P. H. Harvey b C. Wright 19 H. E. Goodchild not out 21 H. P. Roberts b Kirkwood 0 C. W. Rowling not out 5 Byes, etc. 3	J. F. Cowgill A. L. Bryan

FOREST SCHOOL V. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Saturday, June 17.—Aldenham won a low scoring match, by nine wickets.

match by nine wickets.	
FOREST SCHOOL.	
J. L. Jekyll b Cowper 10 — b Chapman	2
C. V. Leefe c and b Cowper 4 — c Day b Chapman 2'	7.
G. N. Lewis b Cowper	2
	1
A. J. Buffham c Day b Cowper 0 — lbw, b Cowper	1
	4
I. E. Parry st Allison b Cowper 0 — not out	1
B. D. Kennett b Garside	3
	0
	0
V. H. Penfold not out 0 — st Allison b Cowper	5
Byes, etc	1
	-
78	7
ALDENHAM SCHOOL.	
A. R. Cronin c and b Jekyll 16 — not out	3
A. R. Clonin e and b Sexyi	7
C. R. Chapman c Penfold b Gane 16 — b Gane	•
R. J. Day b Jekyll	^
	U
R. F. Alderton b Jekyll 0	
J. A. T. Ryde b Jekyll	
N. A. McNeill st Penfold b Gane 1	
F. W. Allinson c Kennett b Gane 0	
T. F. Garside b Jekyll	
Byes, etc	50
99	0
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	0

HARROW V. WINCHESTER.

Played at Harrow, Tuesday, June 20.—As last year, Harrow gained an easy victory, passing Winchester's score for the loss of three wickets, and eventually finishing up 106 runs ahead. Apart from J. L. Guise no Winchester batsman was seen to much advantage against the steady Harrow bowling. In marked contrast Harrow gave a brilliant batting display.

WINCHESTER.			
J. L. Guise c Anderson b C. S.	1		1
Crawley	57	D. W. Hoare not out	
I. S. Smith b Enthoven		E. N. Landale b Anderson	
K. G. Hoare c Baucher b Anderson	22		4
C. G. Stevens lbw, b Enthoven	0	Byes, etc	12
A. R. V. Barker lbw, b Anderson.	12		
E. H. Sinclair b Anderson	0	13	32
B. McGowan c Stewart-Brown b			
Anderson	0		
	HARI	ROW.	
I. G. Collins b Guise	3	R. H. Baucher	
H. J. Enthoven b Guise	65	J. V. Hermon	
H. F. Bagnall c Sinclair b Guise	45	K. E. Crawley did not bat.	
P. H. Stewart-Brown not out	52	F. O. G. Lloyd	
C. S. Crawley not out	59	W. E. Anderson	
Byes, etc	14	M. Powell	
	_		

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

A.F.Berkelev c Asquith b Williams 63 R. D. Cowper c Pearce b Ely.... 3 *949 A. M. Smith c Olsson b Williams . . 1 T. F. Garside did not bat. R. J. Dav lbw, b Ely..... 0 F. W. Allinson *Innings declared closed. BERKHAMPSTED SCHOOL. A. M. Olsson c and b Cowper..... 1 T. C. Baker c Allinson b Cowper. 6 J. C. May run out..... 7 G. C. Moutrie c Allinson b D. V. H. Asquith run out 28 Chapman H. Williams b Chapman 10 A. P. Bett b Chapman 0 A. I. French c Chapman b Garside 6 L. B. Ely lbw, b Cowper..... 5 L. H. Pearce not out...... 12 Byes, etc. 7 F. G. Rust b Cowper..... 4

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at Wellington, Tuesday, June 20.—Following up a sound batting display with capital bowling Charterhouse won by 74 runs. C. G. Varcoe had the excellent bowling figures of five wickets for 21.

CHARTERHOUSE	SCHOOL.
F. L. Barker c and b Murray 27	G. T. Kenyon c Young b Haigh 10
C. H Harker-Taylor b Haigh 10	E. V. Tomlinson lbw, b D. Allom 1
S. F. Peel c Kennion b Murray 9	A. N. Morgan b Haigh
H. C. Crawford c Harding b Murray 1	W. H. Lambert not out 5
C. G. Varcoe lbw, b M. Allom 23	Byes, etc 5
J. T. Morgan lbw, b Hyslop 34	
C. J. Quiney st Young b D. Allom 40	176
WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
D. S. Allom c Lambert b Varcoe 14	W. R. Kennion c Kenyon b Varcoe 21
D. G. C. Cowie b A. N. Morgan 5	M. J. C. Allom c and b Varcoe 6
S. C. Dobson c S. Peel b Quiney 17	G. F. Haigh b Varcoe 3
T. A. W. White b Barker 11	D. B. K. Young not out 0
D. B. W. Murray c J. Morgan b	Byes, etc
Quiney 6	
R. P. Harding lbw, b Lambert 1	102
H. J. M. Hyslop c Quiney b Varcoe 7	

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL V. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Tuesday, June 20.—Shrewsbury were overplayed in this match, which Repton, by reason of their stronger batting, won by 100 runs.

REPTON SCHOOL

REPTON	SCHOOL.
E. K. M. Hilleary c Stevenson b	H. W. Baine
Protheroe-Beynon 31	N. H. Wood
A. C. J. German c Clegg-Hill b	K. F. M. Mile
Stephenson	Beynon .
R. P. Crawshaw b Protheroe-	R. N. G. G
Beynon 12	Stephenso
C. A. Winter b Protheroe-Beynon 5	Byes,
F. G. T. Dudgeon c Protheroe-	
Beynon b Penn 32	
G. C. Buxton b Protheroe-Bevnon 11	
N. C. E. Ashton b Robinson 17	

CHOOL.	
H. W. Baines not out	48
N. H. Wood c Clegg-Hill b Peard	1
K. F. M. Miles c Peard b Protheroe-	
Beynon	34
R. N. G. Griffin c Clegg-Hill b	
Stephenson	2
Byes, etc	8
A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A	

237

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

J. S. Stephenson c Miles b Dudgeon 28 A. T. Barber b Dudgeon 0 F. Robinson b Winter 10 A. E. D. Penn c Buxton b Winter 1 W. Enderby b Baines 63 J. L. O. Andrews b Baines 5 J. M. Veitch b Winter 11	G. Clegg-Hill b Winter 0 W.E.Protheroe-Beynon c &bWinter 0 R. F. Skelton b Winter 8 N. D. Peard not out 0 Byes, etc
	137

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL V. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at GIGGLESWICK, Tuesday, June 20.—Though faced by a very difficult task Giggleswick managed to save the game, their arrears amounting to 102 when the match was given up as a draw.

SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

J. H. Wood b Jordan 42 P. S. Douty b Alder J. M. Atkinson run out 40 G. E. Jones e Redmayne b Alder 0 J. K. Macfarlan b Moore 4 F. Oxley b Jordan 66 C. W. Harris run out 18 R. C. Wakefield b Jordan 20 P. S. Douty b Alder D. M. Gamon run out 8. R. King not out W. King not out 6 Byes, etc 2	$\frac{0}{30}$
---	----------------

GIGGLESWICK	SCHOOL.
G. W. Jordan b King. 18 J. Kilpatrick b King. 6 L. M. Serutton c Oxley b Rickitt. 24 R. Parkinson not out. 55 J. N. N. Robinson b King. 23 J. Moore b King. 13 J. S. Redmayne c Macfarlane b King. 0	A. Coats not out

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. WIMBORNE GRAMMAR SCHOOL. Played at Shaftesbury, Wednesday, June 21.—Shaftesbury won by 74 runs

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

H. E. Mitchell b Shotter. 7 J. E. R. Dean b Swan 0 H. F. B. Brown b Bailey 104 J. Icke b Shotter 14 C. W. Frayling b Bailey 0 E. L. Hesse b Shotter 0 L. D. D. Brett b Shotter 11	R. A. Hitch c Brown b Shotter 1 G. F. Simpson b Bailey	
WIMBORNE GRAMMAR SCHOOL.		
J. Risden b Mitchell 36	J. Bailey c Mitchell b Icke 9	

J. Risden b Mitchell. 36 G. A. Frampton b Brown 1 R. G. Swan b Brown 3 H. A. Shotter b Brown 0 K. Brown b Hitch 13 S. J. Barton b Brown 9	J. Bailey c Mitchell b Icke. F. Ferrett b Brown. C. B. Rushden b Brown. G. F. Lucas not out. Byes, etc.	1 0 0
K. A. Ely b Simpson 7		93

WOODBRIDGE SCHOOL v. FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE.

Played at WOODBRIDGE, Wednesday, June 21.—There was some remarkable bowling in this match, which Framlingham won by 15 runs. For Woodbridge, G. E. Ford had the splendid analysis of eight wickets for 23, while J. Blooman secured seven for 18 for Framlingham.

FRAMLINGHAM	I COLLEGE,
D. B. Gadsdon b Wiseman 4	H. I. Podd c Miller b Ford 0
A. E. Frank c Miller b Ford 0	H. F. Burbidge not out 11
C. Humphreys c Miller b Ford 1	C. W. Soar c Miller b Ford 0
J. M. Badcock lbw, b Ford 0	J. Blooman lbw, b Wiseman 1
P. J. Harman c Miller b Ford 4	Byes, etc 1
E. D. Rash c Mott b Ford 9	
J. F. Young st Kemloe b Ford 19	50
WOODBRIDGE	SCHOOL.
N. B. Wright b Blooman 8	L. R. Woolner lbw, b Blooman 2
A. C. Bentham b Gadsdon 3	S. R. Groom c Badcock b Blooman 0
S. H. Cook c Podd b Gadsdon 2	C. L. Wiseman not out 4
G. D. Butler c Frank b Blooman 7	G. E. Ford b Blooman 0
F. J. Kemloe c and b Blooman 5	Byes, etc 0
A. J. Mott b Blooman 0	
R. H. Miller b Gadsdon 4	35

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE v. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played on Newlands, Wednesday, June 21.—St. Lawrence won by 125 runs, St. Edmund's being overplayed in every department. W.J. Berry took six wickets for 41.

St. Lawrence College, Ramsgate.

E. W. Harrison not out....... 79 J. E. A. Bye

A. Brown b Payne. 7 W. J. Berry b Payne. 25 E. L. Grant lbw, b Barker. 45 R. C. Summerhayes not out. 72 Byes, etc. 2	E. L. Bodell E. S. Barrett G. M. Downes D. S. P. Noakes L. T. Lyall
*230 *Innings dec	lared closed
ST. EDMUND'S SCH	
C. T. Priestley c and b Berry 0	C. M. Smith b Berry 1
S. Bunn b Grant 0	O. Bingham b Grant 1
J. J. Jones c Bye b Berry 18	T. Bullivant b Grant 6
P. K. Barker b Berry 3	F. E. Caswell not out 0
A. V. Payne lbw, b Berry 68	Byes, etc 5
A. M. N. David c Harrison b Berry 1	
N. Banks b Lyall 2	105

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL. Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Wednesday, June 21.—University College, for whom R. E. Crewe obtained five wickets for 27, won by 109 runs.

A. T. Lawton b Francis	
K. W. Mackie b Hawker 46	6 J. F. Greer not out 2
H. F. C. Shipham c Warr b	Byes, etc 22
Laurence	2
R. B. D. Wright c Hart b Francis. 56	*199
C. C. Bishop e Warr b Tippett	7 P. H. Brooking did not bat.
D. J. Stevens b Tippett	0 J. H. Kirkwood did not bat.
C. M. Wright c Warr b Savidge 13	.3
*Innings	declared closed.

8

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Wednesday, Thursday, June 21, 22.—Clifton, much the superior side in both batting and bowling, gained a brilliant victory by an innings and 51 runs. In the whole match C. S. Barlow secured eight wickets at a cost of ten runs aplece.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

H. T. Williams c Middleton b Fraser 24 R. F. P. Holloway b Pearce 24 W. M. Hampton lbw, b Pearce 55 E. H. L'Estrange c Pattisson b Cave 78 O. M. Robson lbw, b Middleton 58 V. B. Powell b Pugh 41 D. E. Kennedy c Roughead b Cave 30	C. R. Spencer lbw, b Cave 32 D. D. Homan b Cave 5 C. S. Barlow b Fraser 19 J. E. Coates not out 0 Byes, etc. 29 383
RUGBY	School.
A. D. Cave run out. H. C. Pattisson lbw, b Barlow W. N. Roughead c Robson b Barlow M. H. Wadham c Spencer b Barlow G. T. Middleton c Spencer b Powell A. R. B. Fraser b Powell M. C. C. Daniel not out. E. A. Pearce b Powell Byes, etc.	51 — b Powell 13 0 — c Coates b Barlow 15 13 — b Barlow 61 1 — run out 21 0 — b Coates 11 37 — c and b Hampton 8 13 — b Hampton 0 10 — c Spencer b Homan 11 17 — b Barlow 18 1 — not out 3 0 — c Robson b Barlow 0 18 Byes, etc. 10
	161 171

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE V. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Hurst, Thursday June 22.—A most interesting game had to be left drawn with Cranleigh only 68 behind with seven wickets in hand.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

A. R. Billinghurst c Carver b Hatton 4 G. E. Comber c Langmead b Hay 2 L. R. Dixon c and b Jacob 58 F. du B. Wilson hit wkt, b Hatton 2 C. D. Hutchinson lbw, b Kemp 46	A. E. Mason lbw, b Kenip. 29 G. J. Latttimer run out. 9 W. G. Michels run out. 6 C. R. Queisser not out. 6 Byes, etc. 11
G. L. Hankey c Hay b Hatton 7 J. G. Youngman b Jacob 15	195

CRANLEIGH S	SCHOOL.	
J. T. Kemp run out. 6 H. P. Jacob c Mason b Michels 8 E. P. Barry b Lattimer 28 E. C. McCanlis not out. 35 G. R. K. Lee not out. 39 Byes, etc. 11	J. H. Langmead C. R. Ward C. O. S. Hatton E. V. Rafferty C. G. Carver C. L. Hay	not bat.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at Blundell's, Thurday, June 22.—A brilliant three-figure innings by J. C. Yates enabled Blundell's to gain an easy victory with five wickets to spare.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

R. B. Lamb c Allen b Arundell 17	A. P. Allan c Durie b Gill
S. N. Turner lbw, b Tremenheere, 20	D. N. Byrne b Gabe-Jones
B. R. Turnbull b Parkin 13	P. T. Clarke b Arundell
M. C. Walter b Parkin 0	R. R. Scrope c Shepherd b Gill
P. J. de Bromhead c and b Parkin 5	Byes, etc
K. P. Turnbull c Harman b Gabe-	
Jones	19
M. J. Turnbull not out 56	
	. A MINISTER BY SAME
	's School.
F. N. Shepherd c and b Clarke 42	H. G. Harman
J. G. Parkin c Turner b Allan 16	A. R. Gabe-Jones did not bat.
R. D. H. Arundell c Allan b Scrope 0	W. J. R. Gill
K. H. Bain run out	J. G. C. Allen
J. C. Yates not out	
H. I. Tremenheere run out 1	The Mark of the State of the Mark of the Toronto.
R. V. Dewar-Durie not out 34	
Byes, etc 7	
213	

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at CRANLEIGH, Saturday, June 24.—Drawn owing to rain.

CRANLEIGH	School.
J. T. Kemp b Mackie	E. V. Rafferty b Roberts 30
H. P. Jacob lbw, b Roberts 41	T. E. Norman not out 14
E. P. Barry c Neep b Roberts 3	Byes, etc
E. C. McCanlis not out 31	
J. H. Langmead b Mackie 36	*188
G. R. K. Lee c Mackie b Roberts 2	C. G. Carver did not bat.
C. O. S. Hatton e Goodchild b	C. L. Hay did not bat.
Mackie 1	1 Martina Co Martina Co. Co
	clared closed.

gs de	clared closed.		
St. John's School, Leatherhead.			
	P. H. Harvey		
3	J. D. Cowgill		
	H. P. Roberts		
	C. W. Rowling		
13	G. W. Trepte - did not bat.		
	J. C. Shenton		
	F. R. Neep		
	J. F. Cowgill		
	SCHO 9 3 1		

W. J. Snook

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Played at West Hamstead, Saturday, June 24.—Drawn, all in favour of University College, who, with all their wickets in hand, were only 62 behind.

BERKHAMSTE	D. Clares and	
A M Olegon b Co		
A. M. Olsson b Crewe 2	L. Wilson b Crewe 1	1 5
H. Williams b Crewe 14	A. I. French c and b C. Wright	1
J. C. May lbw, b Crewe 0	G. C. Moutrie b Crewe	,
D. V. H. Asquith b Crewe 23	A D D-44	٤
L. H. Pearce lbw, b Crewe 0	A. P. Bett not out	2
E. C. Pearce Ibw, b Crewe 0	Byes, etc 1	F
F. G. Rust b C. Wright 6		
T. C. Baker c Greer b C. Wright 0	8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	, -
		, ,
UNIVERSITY CON	LEGE SCHOOL.	
A. T. Lawton not out 14	H. F. C. Shipham	
K. W. Mackie not out 4	R. B. D. Wright	
Byes, etc	C. C. Bishop	
BOOK TEST CONTRACTOR	R. M. MacColl	
25	C. M. Wright - did not bat	
	R. E. Crewe	
	J. F. Greer	
	P. H. Brooking	
	J. H. Kirkwood	
	J. H. Kirkwood	

DURHAM SCHOOL v. AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE.

Played at Durham, Saturday, June 24.—Ampleforth won by two wickets.

H. A. Clayton-Greene b Utley 45 C. L. Adamson c Kelly b Utley 29 F. A. Youngman c Keeling b Utley 6 C. R. Alderson c Dunbar b Roche. 14 C. F. Meikle c Roche b Geldart 0 R. B. Meikle b Roche 4 G. Grierson c Geldart b Utley 19	SCHOOL. R. B. Cardale b Geldart 21 E. P. Gee b Roche 0 E. I. Dees b Geldart 10 B. Grierson not out 0 Byes, etc. 16 164
AMPLEFORT	
A. F. Pearson c R. Meickle b G. Grierson 23 J. B. Ainscough b Alderson 7 G. Bond b Alderson 0 P. E. Hodge c G. Grierson b B. Grierson 9 N. A. Geldart c and b Alderson 54 H. V. Dunbar not out 49 M. P. Davis b G. Grierson 1	R. P. Utley b Alderson. 2 A. S. Roche lbw, b B. Grierson. 21 Byes, etc. 5 E. A. Kelly C. F. Keeling did not bat.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. GLENALMOND SCHOOL. Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, June 24.—Drawn,

EDINBURGH	ACADEMY.
G. G. Fowler c Hvnd b Scott 49	H. D. Thomson st Cowan b
G. Walker c Cowan b Mackay 16	Sandeman 1
R. W. Nicolson b Mackay 32	J. A. Wright not out 0
J. B. L. Munro c Scott b Mackay 35	Byes, etc 1
C. D. L. Murray e Scott b Wedder-	
spoon	147
J. Chiene c Sandeman b Wedder-	R. L. Johnstone)
spoon 0	R. L. Johnstone J. A. Craig did not bat.
B. R. Tod c Alexander b Scott 2	

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at Wellingborough, Saturday, June 24.—After Bedford's opening batsmen had given a brilliant display, rain fell and caused the game to be left drawn.

W. Bovell-Jones not out173 C. P. Bailey c Tomlinson b Seta 69	School. E. F. Stewart not out
	314

LANCING COLLEGE V. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

Played at Lancing, Tuesday, June 27.—Lancing won somewhat easily, their bowling being too good for the Eastbourne batsmen. R. G. W. Melsome had the excellent analysis of seven wickets for 33.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

EASTBOURNE	
B. A. J. Crummy c Eve b Melsome	8 — b Eve 0
R. F. Bateman b Burrough	2 — c and b Melsome 2
J. W. Hanford c Machin b Melsome	14 — not out 6
R. E. Frearson c Goodman b Melsome	11 — not out 3
A. B. Carter c and b Melsome	10
A. B. Carter c and b Meisonic	
C. E. W. Mackintosh c Machin b	4
Burrough	4
W. I. N. Strong b Melsome	00
N. H. Robinson c Newman b Melsome	26
R. A. Howell c Machin b Melsome	U
G. R. Frerichs b Burrough	
W. A. S. Ellaby not out	1 0
Byes, etc	6 Byes, etc 2
	10
	86
LANCING	COLLEGE.
P. C. Eve c and b Frearson 3	H. F. Pearse c Howell b Strong 2
	R. S. Machin c Frerichs b Frearson 2
	A. R. A. de Garston b Strong 6
E. H. Newman b Ellaby 12	P. S. W. Mills not out 3
J. W. Burrough c Carter b Crummy 24	T. D. H. Millio Moo occurrence
J. K. Reid st Howell b Frearson 32	Byes, etc 4
K. S. Machin b Frearson 3	137
J. H. Goodman b Strong 1	130

BRENTWOOD SCHOOL V. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at Brentwood, Wednesday, June 28.—Brentwood won by 32 runs.

BRENTWOOD	SCHOOL.	
L. S. Maxwell run out	1 — c Savill b Hawkey	1
D. F. Smart lbw, b N. A. Burden	12 — c Martindale b N. A. Burden	
E. W. Barr b Hawkey		2
C. Woodcock b Hawkey	6 c H. J. Burden b N. A. Burden	
E. N. Britton b Hawkey	1 - D Hawkey	3
T. B. Meigs c Seward b N. A. Burden	0 — D Hawkey	0
H. E. Powell run out	II O Delitara D III III	0
C. W. Gill c H. J. Burden b N. A. Burden		9
N. S. Farnes c Seward b N. A. Burden		5
L. A: Bayman run out	6 — b N. A. Burden	1
C. J. Clegg not out	9 m	3
Byes, etc.	5 Byes, etc	3
2302, 000	-call the say start in	-
	80	9

CHIGWELL SCHOOL

CILLUITEDIN	CHOOL.	
H. J. Burden c Smart b Meigs. 0 G. S. Seward c Woodcock b Clegg 16 L. C. Down lbw, b Clegg. 5 P. J. B. Savill run out. 4 V. A. L. Hill st Bayman b Clegg 1 R. P. Hawkey run out. 3	R. W. Martindale c Smart b Meigs N. A. Burden b Meigs. H. P. Robottom c Britton b Meigs C. W. Adamson not out. Byes, etc.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{array}$
A. C. Hagger b Clegg 8	4	18

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE v. IPSWICH SCHOOL.

Played at Framlingham, Wednesday, June 28.—Another splendid bowling performance by J. Blooman, who obtained seven wickets for 43, won the game for Framlingham.

FRAMLING IAM COLLEGE.			
D. B. Gadsdon b Wilkinson 36 J. M. Badcock lbw, b Wilkinson 68 C. Humphreys b Green 0 A. D. Burbidge b Norman 26 P. J. Harman b Green 6 E. D. Rash not out 41 J. F. Young not out 20 Byes, etc. 13	W. H. Wetton H. I. Podd H. F. Burbidge J. Blooman did not bat.		
IPSWICH SCHOOL.			
E. W. Tregear c H. F. Burbidge b Blooman	J. W. Norman not out 8 H. Catchpole b Blooman 5 E. W. Godfrey c Humphreys b Gadsdon		

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Byes, etc. 15

F. W. Mossman c Wetton b

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, June 28.—Mill Hill lost by 31 runs, despite the capital bowling of M. E. Edgar, who took five Berkhamsted wickets for 10 runs.

MILL HILL SCHOOL

THE DOLLOW.		
G. S. Hampton b Williams 3	H. M. Jones b Williams 9	
P. C. Bryant b French 18	E. H. Beart b Williams 2	
W. R. C. Reid c Asquith b French 20	T. F. Taylor not out 0	
W. H. Sobey lbw, b Williams 5	J. P. Croisdale b French 13	
C. B. Salmon lbw, b Williams 4	Byes, etc 11	
G. H. Nicholls b Williams 1		
M. E. Edgar c and b French 14	100	
BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.		
H. Williams c Hampton b Croisdale 21	T. C. Baker b Sobey 0	
A. M. Olsson b Croisdale 35	G. C. Moutrie c and b Sobey 0	
D. V. H. Asquith b Edgar 24	A. P. Bett c Sobey b Edgar: 0	
J. C. May lbw, b Edgar	R. L. Carter b Edgar 2	
A. I. French b Edgar 1	Byes, etc 13	
L. H. Pearce not out		
L. Wilson st Hampton b Sobey 0	. 131	

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Highgare, Wednesday, June 28.—Cranleigh won easily, being ${\tt Cl}$ ahead with three wickets in hand at the finish.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.			
V. K. R. Bedford c Raffety b Kemp 31	G. W. Whiteman lbw, b Norman 0		
N. E. Cook b Lee 58	G. N. Paxton not out 1		
R. W. V. Robins b Lee 0	J. A. Carter c Lee b Hay 4		
L. Grundy b Hay 12	L. C. H. Grice b Hay 0		
M. A. Jones c Lee b Norman 3	Byes, etc		
H. S. Mills c Hay b Raffety 5			
D. J. Knott b Norman 3	130		
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.			
J. T. Kemp c Cook b Paxton 30	C. O. S. Hatton b Robins 10		
H. P. Jacob b Cook 18	L. F. S. Leroy not out 35		
E. P. Barry lbw, b Paxton 0	Byes, etc 6		
E. C. McCanlis not out 56			
J. H. Langmead lbw, b Robins 19	191		
G. R. K. Lee c Mills b Cook 17	T. E. Norman did not bat.		
E. V. Rafferty b Robins 0	C. L. Hay		
프로마스 아니는			

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. WHITGIFT SCHOOL.

Played at Catford, Wednesday, June 28.—The excellent bowling of J. W. Harrison and steady batting gained Whitgift the victory by seven wickets.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.		
A. M. Gibb b J. W. Harrison 0	L. D. Gilliam b J. W. Harrison 0	
G. L. M. Tippet e J. W. Harrison	A. J. R. Blok lbw, b J. W.	
b Grant 15	Harrison 6	
E. R. Lawrence c Wright b G. T.	A. Goodwill b J. W. Harrison 0	
Harrison	E. Fulford not out	
W. R. Francis b J. W. Harrison 8	Byes, etc 15	
C. F. Warr c J. W. Harrison b G.	THE STREET OF MALE AS A STREET, TO A STREET, TO A STREET, THE STRE	
T. Harrison 0	97	
A. W. J. Savidge b J. W. Harrison 4		
I. I Hart h I W Harrison 17		

H. W. Grant b Francis 5 J. H. Amos run out 31 C. Stack c Wair b Savidge 34 J. W. Harrison not out 19 R. Brenchley not out 7 Byes, etc. 5 101	SCHOOL. T. M. Calhaem C. G. Chartres K. R. Wright G. T. Harrison J. E. Dickson E. C. Avenell	did not bat.
--	--	--------------

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. EMANUEL SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Wednesday, June 28.—Drawn.

UNIVERSITY COLLI	EGE SCHOOL.
A. T. Lawton c and b Holloway 4	C. M. Wright
K. W. Mackie b Comber 9	R. E. Crewe
H. F. C. Shipham b Tappin 66	J. F. Greer did not bat.
R. B. D. Wright c and b Tappin . 27	J. H. Kirkwood
C. C. Bishop not out 21	E. H. Hiscocks
R. M. MacColl not out 5	
Byes, etc 10	

*Innings declared closed,

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at West Horsham, Wednesday, June 28.—After a great struggle Christ's Hospital won by the narrow margin of nine runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL

Christ's Hospi	TAL SCHOOL.
T. N. Pearce c Shenton b Mackie. 1	F. H. Kemple st Neep b Shenton, 11
H. E. Wright b Snook 7	M. K. Spence c Harvey b Rowling 16
A. W. Childs-Clarke lbw, b Mackie 17	J. A. Woodard not out 11
G. H. H. Collins b Shenton 26	C. F. Weekes c and b Mackie 0
E. Slade c Mackie b Shenton 8	
G. H. Jennings c Neep b Roberts. 0	Byes, etc 3
G. H. Jennings C Neep b Roberts. 0	STATE OF THE PARTY
C. Y. Morgan b Shenton 26	126
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL,	LEATHERHEAD
C. F. W. Mackie lbw, b Childs-	J. C. Shenton Ibw, b Spence 16
Clarke 5	F. R. Neep c Weekes b Childs-
H. E. Goodchild c and b Childs- 0	Clarke
Clarke 4	
P. H. Harvey c Childs-Clarke b	W. A. Holmes not out 0
Pearce	W. J. Snook st Collins b Childs-
I D Councill them b Childs	Clarke 0
J. D. Cowgill lbw, b Childs-	Byes, etc 6
Clarke0	
H. P. Roberts st Collins b Childs-	117
Clarke 9	
C. W. Rowling c Childs-Clarke b	
Weekes	
G. W. Trepté b Pearce 2	

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at Rossall, Friday, Saturday, June 30, July 1.—Shrewsbury won by 148 runs, their all-round form being superior to that of Rossall. J. S. Stephenson played brilliantly to score 176 for once out, while both F. Robinson and W. E. Protheroe-Beynon bowled with marked skill.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.		
J. S. Stephenson c Holland b Unitt 46 — not out	0	
A. T. Barber c Unitt b Footman 16 — b Smith	7	
J. L. O. Andrews c Batson b Smith 29 — b Footman	7	
L. T. Vyvyan c Whiteroft b Unitt 3 — b Footman	3	
W. Enderby b Footman	7	
F. Robinson b Footman 3 — b Footman 4	0	
A. E. D. Penn run out		
G. Clegg-Hill lbw, b Footman 0		
J. M. Veitch b Whitcroft		
W. E. Protheroe-Beynon lbw, b Jones 29		
N. D. Peard not out 1		
Byes, etc 6 Byes, etc	4	
	_	
201 *198	3	
*Innings declared closed		

*Innings declared closed.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

E. J. I. Whiteroft c Enderby b Beynon	25 c Andrews b Peard	
T. Batson b Peard	20 — run out	
J. F. M. Holland b Beynon	6 — c and b Robinson	49
K. D. Gibson c Veitch b Beynon	3 - c Andrews b Beynon	
F. Earnshaw c Enderby b Stephenson	12 c Enderby b Beynon	
W. O. Wood b Peard		12
H. A. S. Smith b Peard	7 — c Enderby b Penn	
E. Arnold b Beynon	3 — c Penn b Robinson	11
E. A. Jones b Beynon		15
J. W. F. Footman c Enderby b Stephen-		
son	4 — c and b Robinson	1
J. H. B. Unitt not out		3
Byes, etc.	11 Byes, etc	5
		-
	125	26

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played on the Sussex County Ground, Hove, Saturday, July 1.—Won by Brighton by 59 runs.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

G. W. F. Lyon b Melsome 4 L. G. R. Chester c K. S. Machin b Burrough	J. T. Bell b Burrough. 10 H. I. Sabey not out. 22 A. H. P. Kilcoin b Burrough. 9 F. B. Adams c and b Burrough. 12 Byes, etc. 12
--	---

LANCING COLLEGE.

			00	
E. H. Newman c Philps b Kilcoin	34	- st Lyon b Walter	20	
R. G. W. Melsome run out	4	— not out	23	
J. H. Reid b Kilcoin	6			
J. W. Burrough c Eastwood b Chester	24			
P. E. Eve c Lyon b Walter		— not out	25	
F. A. Ferguson run out		— b Kilcoin		
H. F. Pearse c Eastwood b Adams		- c Philps b Walter	15	
K. S. Machin b Adams	5			
R. S. Machin c and b Philps	22			
A. R. A. de Garston not out				
J. H. Goodman b Philps				
		Byes, etc	10	
Byes, etc	10	nyes, etc	_	
	110		00	
	TTO		LUU	

WINCHESTER v. ETON.

Played at Winchester, Friday, Saturday, June 30, July 1.—Though showing a marked improvement in batting in the second innings to establish a strong position, Eton had not bowling good enough to force a victory. Still they might have won had they adopted a bolder policy and declared a little earlier. Winchester had no chance of getting the runs and were in grave danger of defeat when the match was given up as a draw, being 113 behind with only two wickets to fall. On a soft pitch batsmen, apart from Lord Dunglass and J. L. Guise, both of whom played with marked skill under difficulties, fared only moderately well on the first day, at the end of which Eton, two runs behind on the first innings, were 11 runs ahead with one wicket down in their second attempt. The turning point of the game came when W. P. Thursby and G. S. Incledon-Webber, after six wickets had fallen for 121, hit with such refreshing freedom that they more than doubled the total. During their splendid partnership the bowling was mastered for the only time in the match. Winchester struggled hard after Guise's failure, but a remarkable piece of bowling by R. G. Kennerly-Rumford nearly brought about their defeat. Eton.

E. W. Dawson c Smith b Sealey ... 11 — c Guise b Sealey ... 3

E. W. Dawson c Smith b Sealey 11 — c Guise b Sealey	3
J. E. Hurley c Palmer b Sealey 10 — c D. W. Hoare b Stewart	40
Lord Dunglass c Sealey b Sinclair 55 — c McGowan b Landale	8
F. G. B. Arkwright b Stewart 0 — hit wkt., b Sinclair	12
G. K. Cox st Palmer b Stewart 0 — lbw, b Sinclair	16
N. L. Davies st Palmer b Guise 8 — c K. G. Hoare b Stewart	25
W. P. Thursby b Sinclair 0 — not out	55
G. S. Incledon-Webber not out 2 — c Barker b Guise	80
R. G. K. Rumford c Sealey b Sinclair 1 — not out	8
N.R. Barrett c Hoare b Sinclair 13	
M. R. Bridgeman c Landale b Sinclair 1	
Byes, etc 6 Byes, etc	17

	107 *Innings declared closed. *264
WINCHI	ESTER.
J. L. Guise b Webber	
I. S. Smith c Dunglass b Bridgeman	4 — b IWebber 34
D. W. Hoare c Dunglass b Bridgeman	0 — st Davies b Barrett 16
K. G. Hoare c IWebber b Cox	
A. R. V. Barker c Davies b Cox	0 — c Cox b Hurley 29
E. H. Sinclair c Barrett b Cox	12 — c and b Rumford 0
B. McGowan c IWebber b Cox	0 — c Davies b Rumford 6
G. E. H. Palmer c Rumford b Dunglass	14 — not out 8
A. J. E. Sealey c Davies b Dunglass	22 — c Thursby b Hurley 0
E. N. Landale c Cox b Dunglass	0 — not out 0
D, G. Stewart not out	0
Byes, etc.	8 Byes, etc 20

2,00,000.				_	1)	yes, eu			20
			1	09					149
WINCHESTER BOWLING.									
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkt	ts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts
Stewart	15	3	31	2		29	10	61	2
Sealey	13	3	28	2		23	2	53	1
Guise	9	2	19	1		23	8	. 54	1
Landale	2	0	11	0		3	1	8	1
Sinclair	7.2	2	12	- 5		23	6	58	2
			K. G.	Hoa		5	1	13	0
		Ето							
Bridgeman	. 10	1	41	2		16	6	21	0
Dunglass	. 10.3	5	19	3		13	6	19	1
Webber	. 5	3	11	1		5	2	12	1
Cox		3	30	4		16	6	27	-0
	A DEST		Barre	tt.		12	2	33	1
			Rumf			5	ī	8	3
			Hurle			9	ī	0	9

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

1826 Winchester won by four wickets 1830 Eton won by fines, and 10 runs 1831 Eton won by 14 runs 1832 Eton won by 14 runs 1833 Eton won by 18 runs 1834 Eton won by twe wickets 1838 Eton won by 18 runs 1839 Eton won by 18 runs 1830 Eton won by 18 runs 1831 Eton won by 18 runs 1832 Eton won by 18 runs 1833 Eton won by 18 runs 1834 Eton won by 18 runs 1836 Eton won by 18 runs 1837 Eton won by 19 runs 1840 Winchester won by 19 runs 1841 Winchester won by 19 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 19 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 57 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1841 Winchester won by 30 runs 1842 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1843 Winchester won by 35 runs 1844 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1845 Winchester won by 35 runs 1846 Eton won by five wickets 1857 Eton won by five wickets 1858 Winchester won by 37 runs 1854 Winchester won by 37 runs 1855 Eton won by five wickets 1856 Eton won by five wickets 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by 37 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1857 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns wickets 1869 Eton won by inns wickets 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1876 Winchester won by one wicket 1877 Winchester won by one wicket 1878 Winchester won by one wicket 1879 Winchester won by one wicket 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by one wicket 1872 Eton won by inns and 202 runs 1873 Eton won by inns and 227 runs 1874 Eton won by inns and 227 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1876 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1877 Eton won by inns with winchester 1878 Winche				
1829 Eton won by four wickets 1830 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1831 Eton won by 1 faruns 1832 Eton won by 14 runs 1833 Eton won by 18 runs 1835 Eton won by 18 runs 1836 Eton won by the wickets 1838 Eton won by 18 runs 1839 Eton won by 18 runs 1840 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1841 Winchester won by 43 runs 1842 Eton won by 27 runs 1843 Eton won by 27 runs 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns, and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1840 Winchester won by 65 runs 1841 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1842 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1843 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1844 Eton won by 57 runs 1845 Winchester won by 65 runs 1846 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by inns, and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wicket 1858 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wicket 1858 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Winchester won by one wicket 1852 Winchester won by one wicket 1853 Winchester won by one wicket 1854 Winchester won by one wicket 1855 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1891 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1892 Winchester won by eight wkts 1893 Eton won by inns	1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1 1875	Eton won by five wickets
1830 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1831 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1834 Eton won by 14 runs 1835 Eton won by 18 runs 1836 Eton won by two wickets 1836 Eton won by two wickets 1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1830 Winchester won by 43 runs 1831 Winchester won by 43 runs 1832 Eton won by 108 runs 1843 Winchester won by 43 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 Eton won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 57 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1841 Winchester won by 30 runs 1842 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1843 Winchester won by 30 runs 1844 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1845 Winchester won by 30 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1863 Winchester won by 67 runs 1864 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wicket 1858 Winchester won by inns. and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1863 Winchester won by inns with with with with with with with with				
1832 Eton won by inns, and 10 runs 1833 Eton won by 14 runs 1834 Eton won by 18 runs 1835 Eton won by 18 runs 1836 Eton won by twe wickets 1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1840 Winchester won by 109 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns, and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns, and 27 runs 1848 Eton won by inns, and 27 runs 1849 Eton won by inns, and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by inns and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 224 runs 1890 Drawn 1890 Winchester won by 109 runs 1891 Winchester won by 109 runs 1892 Winchester won by 109 runs 1851 Winchester won by 205 runs 1852 Winchester won by 205 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by three wkts. 1857 Eton won by inns, and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by 109 runs 1850 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1851 Winchester won by 109 runs 1852 Winchester won by 205 runs 1853 Winchester won by 205 runs 1854 Winchester won by 30 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by three wkts. 1857 Eton won by inns, and 4 runs 1858 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns wickets 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Winchester won by 000 Prawn 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Eton won by inns wickets 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Winchester won by one wicket 1870 Winchester won by 000 Prawn 1890 Drawn 1990				
1833 Eton won by 14 runs 1834 Eton won by the wickets 1836 Eton won by the wickets 1838 Eton won by the wickets 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1840 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by 65 runs 1840 Winchester won by 30 runs 1841 Winchester won by 30 runs 1842 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1843 Winchester won by 30 runs 1844 Eton won by fire wickets 1845 Eton won by fire wickets 1846 Eton won by fire wickets 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 19 runs 1856 Eton won by 19 runs 1856 Eton won by 19 runs 1866 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1854 Winchester won by 30 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 19 runs 1857 Eton won by 19 runs 1868 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 46 runs 1854 Unchester won by 46 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 46 runs 1857 Eton won by 19 runs 1868 Eton won by 19 runs 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Winchester won by 30 runs 1863 Eton won by 19 runs 1854 Unchester won by 30 runs 1855 Winchester won by 30 runs 1856 Eton won by 19 runs 1857 Eton won by 19 runs 1868 Eton won by 19 runs 1869 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Drawn 1900 Dra				
1834 Eton won by 12 runs 1835 Eton won by five wickets 1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1830 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 43 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1841 Winchester won by 30 runs 1842 Eton won by five wickets 1843 Winchester won by 35 runs 1844 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1845 Eton won by five wickets 1850 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 35 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 46 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 31 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 36 runs 1876 Winchester won by 69 runs 1867 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1877 Winchester won by 69 runs 1878 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 69 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1874 Winchester won by 69 runs 1885 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1880 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1881 Eton won by 9 runs and 20 runs 1882 Eton won by 9 runs 1883 Eton won by 9 runs 1884 Eton won by 9 runs 1885 Winchester won by 9 runs 1890 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1990 Drawn				
1835 Eton won by five wickets 1836 Eton won by two wickets 1838 Eton won by two wickets 1839 Eton won by two wickets 1840 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1841 Winchester won by 108 runs 1842 Eton won by 82 runs 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by 65 runs 1850 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by fire wickets 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 31 runs 1858 Winchester won by three wkts. 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Drawn 1870 Winchester won by one wickets 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wickets 1871 Winchester won by one wickets 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1874 Winchester won by 697 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1877 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1878 Eton won by inns and 194 ru				
1836 Eton won by two wickets 1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1840 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 49 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1841 Winchester won by 36 runs 1842 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1843 Winchester won by 36 runs 1844 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1845 Winchester won by 36 runs 1850 Eton won by three wkts. 1851 Winchester won by 36 runs 1852 Winchester won by 46 runs 1853 Winchester won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by 67 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by 69 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1871 Winchester won by 69 runs 1872 Winchester won by 69 runs 1873 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1876 Eton won by inns and 1925 runs 1877 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1878 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1889 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1890 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1890 Eton won by inns were 1890 Eton won by inns w				
1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Eton won by seven wickets 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by 65 runs 1847 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 75 runs 1850 Eton won by inns and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 20 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by three wkts. 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by 46 runs 1859 Winchester won by 35 runs 1851 Winchester won by 35 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 36 runs 1854 Winchester won by 36 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by three wkts. 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Eton won by inns wickets 1859 Winchester won by 46 runs 1850 Eton won by inns wickets 1851 Winchester won by 36 runs 1852 Winchester won by 36 runs 1853 Winchester won by 51 runs 1854 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1855 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by three wkts. 1857 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1858 Eton won by inns wickets 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1850 Winchester won by one wicket 1851 Winchester won by one wicket 1852 Winchester won by 57 runs 1894 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1857 Eton won by inns wickets 1858 Eton won by inns wickets 1859 Winchester won by eight wkts 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Eton won by two wickets 1892 Winchester won by eight wkts 1893 Winchester won by one wicket 1894 Eton won by inns wichest 1895 Eton won by inns wichest 1896 Eton won by inns wicket 1897				
and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1840 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by 109 runs 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1850 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1854 Winchester won by 30 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 46 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 31 runs 1858 Winchester won by 30 runs 1858 Eton won by inns. and 31 runs 1858 Eton won by inns. and 31 runs 1868 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1865 Drawn 1890 Eton won by five wickets 1892 Eton won by one wicket 1893 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1894 Eton won by five wickets 1895 Eton won by 46 runs 1896 Eton won by 46 runs 1897 Winchester won by 50 runs 1898 Eton won by 189 runs 1898 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1899 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Drawn 1990 Drawn 1990 Drawn 1990 Winchester won by 69 runs 1990 Winchester won by 69 runs 1990 Winchester won by 69 runs 1991 Winchester won by 131 runs 1992 Eton won by seven wkts. 1892 Drawn 1990 Winchester won by 69 runs 1991 Winchester won by 69 runs 1991 Winchester wo			1882	
1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1841 Winchester won by 43 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by seven wickets 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by five wickets 1850 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns and 31 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wickets 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1874 Winchester won by 98 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1876 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1877 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1878 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1879 Winchester won by inns 1870 Wi	1838			
1840 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by fire wickets 1851 Winchester won by 36 runs 1852 Winchester won by 36 runs 1853 Winchester won by 37 runs 1854 Winchester won by 37 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by inns. and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1890 Drawn 1890 Winchester won by 64 runs 1890 Winchester won by 64 runs 1890 Winchester won by 64 runs 1892 Winchester won by 64 runs 1890 Winchester won				Winchester won by 224 runs
1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 57 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 57 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1874 Winchester won by 17 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1876 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1877 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1878 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1879 Winchester won by inns and 194 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1871 Winchester won by 69 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1874 Winchester won by 69 runs 1875 Eton won by inns and 1925 runs 1877 Eton won by inns and 1925 runs 1878 Eton won by fire wickets 1879 Winchester won by 69 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1871 Winchester won by 69 runs 1872 Winchester won by 69 runs 1874 Winchester won by 69 runs 1875 Eton won by fire wickets 1875 Eton won by fire wickets 1875 Eton won by fire wickets 1876 Eton won by fire wickets 1877 Eton won by fire wickets 1878 Eton won by fire wickets 1878 Eton won by fire wic				Eton won by five wickets
1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns and 31 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1850 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Eton won by inns wickets 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns wickets 1868 Eton won by inns wickets 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns wickets 1860 Eton won by inns wickets 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1890 Unchester won by five wickets 1892 Winchester won by five wickets 1894 Eton won by inns and 3 runs 1895 Winchester won by 51 runs 1896 Eton won by inns wickets 1897 Winchester won by one wicket 1800 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1890 Winchester won by 61 runs 1890 Eton won by inns wickets 1897 Winchester won by one wicket 1800 Drawn 1901 Drawn 1902 Eton won by inns wickets 1903 Drawn 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1905 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by inns wickets 1908 Eton won by inns wickets 1909 Drawn 1909 Dr			1885	Drawn
1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Eton won by 27 runs 1844 Eton won by 17 runs 1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1850 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1867 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 19 runs 1890 Winchester won by 180 Winchester won by 180 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1902 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1903 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1905 Drawn 1906 Eton won by inns with with with with with with with with			1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1846 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by ins. and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by 19 runs 1866 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by ins. and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by ins. and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by ins. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by ins. and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by ins. and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by ins. and 10 runs 1867 Eton won by ins. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by ins. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by ins. and 4 fruns 1892 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Eton won by 114 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Winchester won by 69 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Eton won by 114 runs 1890 Winchester won by 69 runs 1891 Winchester won by 114 runs 1890 Winchester won by 61 runs 1890 Winchester won by 61 runs 1890 Winchester won by 61 runs 1890 Eton w	1842	2 Eton won by seven wickets	1887	
1844 Eton won by 27 runs 1845 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1840 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 36 runs 1853 Winchester won by 37 runs 1854 Winchester won by 37 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by 65 runs 1859 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1866 Eton won by inns. and 19 runs 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1874 Eton won by fire wickets 1875 Eton won by fire wickets 1876 Eton won by fire wickets 1877 Eton won by fire wickets 1878 Eton won by fire wickets 1879 Winchester won by 65 runs 1890 Drawn 1891 Winchester won by 114 runs 1892 Winchester won by 64 runs 1892 Eton won by fire wickets 1895 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1896 Eton won by fire wickets 1897 Winchester won by 114 runs 1898 Eton won by 114 runs 1898 Eton won by 114 runs 1890 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1990 Winchester won by 69 runs 1990 Drawn 1990 Drawn 1990 Drawn 1990 Winchester won by 114 runs 1990 Drawn 1990	1843		1888	
1845 A Tie 1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by 65 runs 1850 Eton won by fire wickets 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by 67 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Winchester won by one wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1860 Eton won by inns and 194 runs	1844			
1846 Eton won by inns. and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by 18 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 45 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1850 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1851 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inne wickets 1862 Eton won by inne wickets 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1871 Winchester won by 69 runs 1892 Winchester won by five wkts. 1892 Winchester won by 61 runs 1894 Eton won by five wkts. 1895 Eton won by 182 Eton won by inns and 27 runs 1896 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1897 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by five wkts. 1892 Winchester won by five wkts. 1892 Winchester won by five wkts. 1894 Eton won by inns and 27 runs 1896 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1897 Winchester won by 182 Eton won by ton wickets 1898 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1900 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1902 Eton won by inns, and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns, and 10 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by 69 runs 1909 Winchester won by 69 runs 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns, and 14 runs 1902 Eton won by inns, and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by 69 runs 1909 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns, and 14 runs 1	184	A Tie		
1847 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs 1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by 65 runs 1850 Eton won by fins, and 27 runs 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by 46 runs 1857 Eton won by inns, and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by 35 runs 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1850 Eton won by 1 runs 1861 Eton won by 1 runs 1861 Eton won by 1 runs 1862 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1892 Winchester won by 84 runs 1893 Eton won by fire wickets 1895 Eton won by inns, and 87 runs 1896 Eton won by inns, and 3 runs 1897 Winchester won by eight wkts 1900 Drawn 1901 Winchester won by inns, and 47 runs 1902 Eton won by inns, and 47 runs 1903 Eton won by inns, and 47 runs 1904 Winchester won by inns, and 47 runs 1905 Drawn 1908 Eton won by inns, and 10 runs 1800 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1901 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1902 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1903 Eton won				
1848 Eton won by 65 runs 1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by 16 wickets 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1853 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1867 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1869 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1870 Winchester won by 69 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1871 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1872 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by 69 runs 1893 Eton won by five wickets 1894 Eton won by inns the ston won by 67 runs 1896 Eton won by 67 runs 1897 Winchester won by 69 runs 1897 Winchester won by 69 runs 1898 Eton won by 1889 Eton won by 1889 Eton won by 1889 Eton won by 1889 Eton won by 69 runs 1896 Eton won by 64 runs 1896 Winchester won by 69 runs 1897 Winchester won by 69 runs 1898 Eton won by 1889 Eton won by 69 runs 1898 Eton won by 67 runs 1899 Eton won by 69 runs 1890 Drawn 1900 Winchester won by 1887 uns 1911 Eton won by 1888 Eton won by 69 runs 1901 Eton won by 60 runs 1901 Eton won by 60 runs 1902 Eton won by 60 runs 1902 Eton won by 60 runs 1902 Eton won by 60 runs 1903 Eton won by 60 runs 1904 Winchester won by 60 runs 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by 60 runs 1908 Eton won by 1888 Eton won by 1888 Eton won by 1888 Eton won by 1888 Eton won by 60 runs 1901 Eton won by 60 runs 1902 Eton won by 60 runs 1901 Eton won by 60 runs 1902 Eton won by 60 ru				
1849 Eton won by inns. and 27 runs 1850 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 35 runs 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by three wkts. 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1857 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1850 Eton won by 10 runs 1861 Eton won by 10 runs 1861 Eton won by inns wickets 1862 Eton won by inns wickets 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by eight wickets 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1895 Eton won by 51 runs 1896 Eton won by inns and 4 runs 1902 Eton won by 43 runs 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Eton won by inns and 19 runs 1909 Drawn 1900 Dra				
1850 Eton won by five wickets 1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 46 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by three wkts. 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1860 Eton won by 10 runs 1861 Eton won by 10 runs 1861 Eton won by 10 runs 1862 Eton won by 10 runs 1863 Eton won by 10 runs 1864 Eton won by 10 runs 1866 Eton won by 10 runs 1868 Eton won by ten wickets 1869 Eton won by ten wickets 1869 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by one wickets 1872 Eton won by 1 runs 1872 Eton won by 1 runs 1873 Eton won by 1 runs 1873 Eton won by 1 runs 1875 Eton won by 1 runs 1895 Eton won by 1 runs 1896 Winchester won by 51 runs 1897 Urawn 1900 1890 Drawn 1900 1900 Drawn 1900 Dr				
1851 Winchester won by 26 runs 1852 Winchester won by 35 runs 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by 45 runs 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1850 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by 19 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by inns wickets 1867 Eton won by inns wickets 1868 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 10 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by one wicket 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1874 Eton won by fire wickets 1875 Eton won by fire wickets 1876 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by nine wickets 1910 Drawn 1908 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1909 Winchester won by eight wickets 1908 Eton won by two wickets 1909 Eton won by eight wkts 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Winchester won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns and 14 runs 1909 Drawn				
1852 Winchester won by 30 runs 1897 Winchester won by 35 runs 1898 Winchester won by 35 runs 1898 Eton won by one wicket 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1896 Eton won by inns, and 31 runs 1901 Eton won by two wickets 1860 Eton won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1862 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1863 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 104 runs 1866 Eton won by inns and 104 runs 1868 Eton won by inns and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1872 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1872 Eton won by seven wkts. 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1874 Winchester won by 69 runs 1875 Eton won by five wickets 1875 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1876 Winchester won by 131 runs 1876 Winchester won by 69 runs 1876 Winchester won by 131 runs 1876 Winchester won by five wickets 1877 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1878 Eton won by five wickets 1878 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by five wickets 1878 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 69 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 1879 Winchester won by 131 runs 187				
1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1898 Drawn 1896 Eton won by 46 runs 1896 Eton won by 46 runs 1896 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1901 Eton won by two wickets 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by in wickets 1862 Eton won by in wickets 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1865 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by nine wickets 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1908 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1870 Winchester won by inns. and 36 runs 1911 Eton won by 58 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1914 Drawn 1914 Drawn 1915 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1860 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1924 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1925 Eton won by seven wkts.				
1854 Winchester won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1901 Eton won by two wickets 1858 Winchester won by one wickets 1859 Winchester won by one wickets 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by inns. with 1915 Eton won by inns. with 1916 Eton won by inns. with 1917 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Eton won by inns. with 1916 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1866 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1914 Drawn 1915 Winchester won by 131 runs 1920 Eton won by one wickets 1908 Eton won by 43 runs 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1914 Drawn 1915 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Eton won by two wickets 1908 Eton won by 43 runs 1908 Eton won by 43 runs 1905 Eton won by 43 runs 1905 Eton won by 43 runs 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Eton won by ins and 1917 Drawn 1918 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn				
1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1900 Eton won by inns. and 4 runs 1901 Eton won by two wickets 1903 Eton won by four wickets 1903 Eton won by four wickets 1904 Eton won by four wickets 1905 Eton won by 43 runs 1904 Winchester won by 19 runs 1905 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by eight wkts 1908 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1909 Drawn 1909				
1856				
1857 Eton won by inns. and 31 runs 1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1903 Eton won by 43 runs 1904 Eton won by 43 runs 1905 Eton won by 45 runs 1906 Eton won by 19 runs 1906 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1908 Eton won by eight witkets 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1909 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won b				
1858 Winchester won by one wicket 1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1860 Eton won by 19 runs 1861 Eton won by nine wickets 1863 Eton won by one wicket 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns and 194 runs 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by 8 runs 1902 Eton won by 43 runs 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1906 Drawn 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by 58 runs 1911 Eton won by 58 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1914 Drawn 1915 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn				
1859 Winchester won by three wkts. 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts 1905 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by nine wickets 1908 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1908 Eton won by inns wickets 1908 Eton won by inns wickets 1908 Eton won by inns wickets 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1914 Drawn 1915 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1916 Trawn 1917 Winchester won by 8 runs 1920 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1923 Eton won by seven wkts. 1924 Eton won by seven wkts. 1925 Drawn 1925 Eton won by seven wkts. 1925 Drawn 1925 Eton won by seven wkts. 1926 Drawn 1926 Drawn 1927 Eton won by seven wkts. 1928 Drawn 1928 Eton won by seven wkts. 1928 Drawn 1928 Eton won by 131 runs 1928 Eton won by 132 Eton won by seven wkts. 1928 Drawn 1928 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1948 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1948 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1948 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs				
1860				
1861 Eton won by nine wickets 1862 Eton won by nine wicket 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by inns wickets 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1867 Eton won by eight wickets 1868 Eton won by eight wickets 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by ins and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1906 Drawn 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Drawn 1919 Eton won by 188 runs 1911 Eton won by 188, and 46 runs 1914 Drawn 1919 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1928 Eton won by 188 runs 1928 Eton won by five wickets 1928 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by 188, and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by 188, and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by 188, and 14 runs 1909 Eton won by 188, and 14 runs 1908 Eton won by				
1862 Eton won by one wicket 1907 Winchester won by nine wkts. 1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1864 Eton won by nine wickets 1909 Drawn 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1910 Drawn 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1869 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1870 Winchester won by 8 runs 1914 Drawn 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1872 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1922 Drawn			1905	Drawn
1863 Eton won by inns. and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by nine wickets 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by eight wickets 1869 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by ne wickets 1872 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by fins and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fire wickets 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by 58 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1914 Drawn 1919 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Drawn 1923 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1944 Tuns 1946 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1947 Uns 1948 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1948 Eton won by 58 runs 1948 Eton won by 58 runs 1949 Drawn 1940 Drawn 1940 Drawn 1940 Drawn 1941 Eton won by 58 runs 1940 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1940 Drawn 1941 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1940 Drawn 1940 Dra				
1864			1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1910 Drawn 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1869 Eton won by eight wickets 1913 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1919 Drawn 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1919 Drawn 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1920 Winchester won by 69 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1921 Eton won by seven wkts.			1908	Eton won by inns. and 14 runs
1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by fire wickets 1873 Eton won by fre wickets 1921 Eton won by 58 runs 1912 Eton won by 58 runs 1913 Drawn 1919 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1922 Drawn			1909	Drawn
1867 Eton won by inns. and 10 runs 1868 Eton won by eight wickets 1869 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1914 Drawn 1920 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1914 Drawn 1919 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1913 Eton won by inns. and 46 runs 1914 Drawn 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts.			1910	Drawn
1867Eton won by inns. and 10 runs1912Eton won by inns. and 46 runs1868Eton won by inns. and 36 runs1913Eton won by inns. and 47 runs1870Winchester won by one wicket1914Drawn1871Winchester won by 8 runs1920Winchester won by 69 runs1872Eton won by inns and 125 runs1920Winchester won by 131 runs1873Eton won by five wickets1922Drawn			1911	Eton won by 58 runs
1868Eton won by eight wickets1913Eton won by inns. and 47 runs1869Eton won by inns. and 36 runs1914Drawn1870Winchester won by one wicket1919Winchester won by 69 runs1871Eton won by inns and 125 runs1920Winchester won by 131 runs1872Eton won by five wickets1921Eton won by seven wkts.	1867	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1912	
1869 Eton won by inns. and 36 runs 1870 Winchester won by one wicket 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1874 Drawn 1920 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts.	1868	Eton won by eight wickets		
1870Winchester won by one wicket1919Winchester won by 69 runs1871Winchester won by 8 runs1920Winchester won by 131 runs1872Eton won by inns and 125 runs1921Eton won by seven wkts1873Eton won by five wickets1922Drawn	1869			
1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn	1870			
1872 Eton won by inns and 125 runs 1873 Eton won by five wickets 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn		Winchester won by 8 runs		
1873 Eton won by five wickets 1922 Drawn				
TOTT 1200H WOLL BY HILLS, AND 202 HURS			1944	Diawn
	1011	12001 won by finds, and 202 juns		

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 89 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 50 and Winchester 26; one has ended in a tie and twelve have been drawn.

The one-day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at Mill Hill, Saturday, July 1.—Mill Hill won by 165 runs, the Merchant Taylors' batsmen showing to poor advantage against steady bowling.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

G. S. Hampton b Pridden	75 4 ridden 27
-------------------------	--------------------

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL

F. F. Spragg c Jones b Taylor	F. C. Pridden b Edgar 0 T. G. Hughes c Reid b Edgar 1 W. F. Phillips b Edgar 2 B. N. G. Davis not out 0 Byes, etc

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at Bruton, Saturday, July, 1.—Drawn.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

R. B. Lamb c Tremlett b Norton 40 S. N. Turner b McDonald. 2 B. R. Turnbull lbw, b Barker. 43 P. J. de Bromhead not out. 38 R. P. Turnbull c Evans b Tremlett 14 A. P. Allan not out. 7 Byes, etc. 21	M. J. Turnbull D. N. Byrne P. T. Clarke M. C. Walter R. R. Scrope
*165	Carried Services Company

*Innings declared closed.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

C. A. Norton b Byrne 20 H. G. Ayre not out 27 C. A. Barkshire c Turner b Bromhead 0 T. H. Y. Bonsey not out 6 Byes, etc. 2	L. F. R. Barker E. H. Walker W. A. Henley E. B. Tremlett J. A. McDonald F. W. Evans W. M. Kennedy
--	---

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. ST. ALBANS' SCHOOL.

Played at Dunstable, Saturday, July 1.—St. Albans had an easy task, heading their opponents score for the loss of only one wicket. R. M. Gregory had the remarkable bowling figures of eight wickets for 21.

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL.			
M. H. Harrison c Matthews b	A. H. Jones b Gregory 1		
Gregory 4	R. B. Waller not out 17		
A. L. Butt b Gregory 2	W. Taylor c Binder b Gregory 1		
F. R. Brown lbw, b Matthews 39	H. S. Fletcher b Gregory 4		
R. A. Chignell b Matthews 1	Byes, etc 2		
L. M. Walker c wkt b Gregory 6	MATERIAL SOCIETY OF THE STATE O		
R. G. Streeton c Wilson b Gregory 0	77		
E. Andrews c Hyde b Gregory 0	THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O		
ST. ALBANS	' School.		
H. C. Binder c Chignell b Taylor 23	E. K. Flintham		
B. W. Sampson b Streeton 32	P. W. Guess		
D. A. Keir not out	N. T. Matthews		
D. A. Wilson not out	P. A. Hawkins did not bat		
Byes, etc 3	R. M. Gregory		
Dyes, etc	J. Duus		
122	C. E. Hyde		
122	C. E. Hyds		

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE v. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at Newlands, Saturday, July 1.—St. Lawrence College won by 143 runs, their victory being mainly due to the splendid bowling of W. J. Berry (five for 29) and L. T. Lyall (four for 20).

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.				
E. W. Harrison b Normand 5	E. S. Barrett lbw, b Nethersole 5			
A. Brown c Denne b Jenney 43	G. M. Downes b Jenney 29			
W. J. Berry b Nethersole 59	D. S. P. Noakes run out 1			
E. L. Grant st Mayall b Jenney 2	L. T. Lyall not out 10			
R. C. Summerhayes c Mayall b	Byes, etc 7			
Nethersole 8				
E. L. Bodell c Smallman b Harris 29	206			
J. E. A. Bye b Normand 8	The same of the sa			
	COLLEGE.			
R. C. N. Jenney c Summerhayes b	W. H. Harris b Lyall 11			
Berry	S. C. Smallman lbw, b Berry 6			
L. R. Mayall lbw, b Berry 5	L. H. A. Watson not out 3			
A. R. Denne c Berry b Lyall 9	K. H. Nethersole b Berry 0			
J. W. Parr c and b Berry 1	Byes, etc 1			
P. H. Normand c Berry b Lyall 1	430 to 100 to 10			
W. R. Jenney b Lyall 12	63			
J. E. Conway run out 0	The second state of the second state of			
o. E. conway ran out	Landstein State and Market			

DENSTONE COLLEGE v. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM Played at DENSTONE, Saturday, July 1.—F. T. Lutter secured six wickets

for 20 runs, his splendid bowling being in the main instrumental in Denstone gaining the victory by 24 runs.

DENSTONE	COLLEGE.	
F. T. Lutter b Pascal 30	H. R. Lewis b Mayell	4
S. A. Smith b Mayell 0	R. C. Garman c Bedingfield b	
D. P. Lutter c Bedingfield b Brown 21	Brown	.0
E. C. Holland b Pascal 3	G. C. Sutton b Brown	1
R. J. Lofthouse b Brown 5	H. Tessdale not out	0
A. S. Richards c Bedingfield b	Byes, etc	4
Mayell 5		-
D. E. Forbes c Pascal b Mayell 5	The second secon	78

498 Public Sc	chool Matches.
KING EDWARD'S S R. Pascal c Sutton b F. T. Lutter 22 N. Bedingfield bw, b F. T. Lutter 6 E. A. Knight c Holland b D. P. Lutter 10 E. W. Rigby b D. P. Lutter 4 B. P. Bryant lbw, b D. P. Lutter 0 H. A. Cohen b F. T. Lutter 0 J. E. Mayell b F. T. Lutter 1	R. J. P. Brown b F. T. Lutter 0 H. E. Pooler not out 0 Byes, etc
Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Sat of C. H. Taylor and R. G. H. Lowe en	OL v. RADLEY COLLEGE. urday, July 1.—The capital all-round play abled Westminster to gain an easy victory. oss of only two wickets and, continuing ninster finished up 104 ahead.
RAULI F. C. Dawnay lbw, b Lowe	EY COLLEGE, D. C. Hudden c McBride b Taylor 7 St. L. Morris not out
	STER SCHOOL.
C. H. Taylor b Hudden . 85 R. G. H. Lowe c Hudden b Mc- Conaghy . 29 W. N. McBride b McConaghy . 11 L. Clare not out . 44 R. W. Hartley b Morris . 5 J. W. Jacomb-Hood c Westma- cott b Morris . 7 W. L. Hartley c McConaghy b Hudden . 1	E. R. Munt b Robinson
Played at Ardingly, Saturday, Harrisons being followed by capital an easy win.	E v. WHITGIFT SCHOOL. July 1.—The fine bowling of the two batting by H. W. Grant, Whitgift gained
M. Dawkins run out. 21 W. L. Young b J. W. Harrison 8 D. F. Frost c G. T. Harrison b J. W. Harrison 5 P. D. Hawes b G. T. Harrison 3 F. A. Owen not out. 17 C. M. Youd b Grant. 0 G. C. Gunn c Wright b J. W. Harrison 4	T. COLLEGE; J. P. Ager b G. T. Harrison 8 K. C. Bryant b G. T. Harrison 0 J. M. Bradley b G. T. Harrison 0 R. W. Martin b G. T. Harrison 0 Byes, etc 3 69
WHITGHT	r SCHOOL. C. G. Chartres b Frost. 0 G. T. Harrison b Hawes. 15 J. E. Dickson not out. 4 Byes, etc. 8

E. C. Avenell did not bat.

K. R. Wright c Young b Hawes. . 4

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY. Played at EASTBOURNE, Saturday, July 1.—King's School gave a remarkable batting display to win by nine wickets. K. I. Topliss played an almost perfect innings, whilst C. V. Haines was little behind him in point of skill, both batsmen gaining a complete mastery over the Eastbourne bowling. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE. B. A. J. Crummy c Gadney b N. H. Robinson b Heyman 0 R. A. Howell run out..... Osborne G. R. Frerichs c and b Osborne 17 R. F. Bateman b Page..... 10 W. A. S. Ellaby b Page 5 J. F. Hanford b Haines 17 R. E. Frearson c Haines b Page .. 34 Byes, etc. 21 A. B. Carter c Osborne b Haines. . 25 C. E. W. Mackintosh not out 38 W.I.N. Strong c Osborne b Heyman 2 KING'S SCHOOL. CANTERBURY. F. H. Savers H. A. Browning b Ellaby 4 R. H. Osborne G. D. Heyman C. V. Haines not out..... 46 Byes, etc. 20 G. C. Page did not bat. A. L. Mizen 202 C. H. Gadney A. E. Brocklehurst O. H. de St. Croix CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE. Played at Kennington Oval, Saturday, July 1.—Epsom won by 80 runs. They were the superior side in all respects, W. J. Taylor batting splendidly and J. S. Cotman bowling skilfully to secure six wickets for 36 runs. EPSOM COLLEGE. G. Rocyn-Jones c Langmead b Lee 18 T. A. Mason c Norman b Hatton, 20 W. J. Taylor run out 58 G. W. Ayres c Barry b Hay.... 0 J. F. Newman b Hay..... 1 J. S. Cotman b Hatton..... 8 J. W. Holmes not out..... 30 A. L. Gashion st Langmead b Hay 14 H. D. Mackay c McCanlis b Norman 9 Byes, etc. 16 G. G. Gillam c Leroy b Norman . . 4 W. G. Harvey b Norman 0 SCHOOL. CRANLEIGH C. O. S. Hatton not out..... J. T. Kemp c Taylor b Cotman . . 0 H. P. Jacob b Mackay L. S. F. Leroy c Gashion b Cotman 0 T. E. Norman b Cotman E. P. Barry lbw, b Cotman..... 2 E. C. McCanlis c Gashion b Cotman 32 C. L. Hay b Mackay J. H. Langmead b Mackay 3 G. R. K. Lee b Cotman 30 C. V. Rafferty b Mackay MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON COLLEGE. Played at Malvern, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 4, 5.—Drawn, rain preventing what might have been an interesting finish. Following Repton's consistent

recovery and with three wickets down were only eight in arrears. REPTON COLLEGE. C. A. Winter c Holmes b Green-E. K. Hilleary b Jagger 60 A. C. German b Holmes..... 90 K. F. M. Miles b Foster 23 R. P. Crawshaw c Holmes b Jagger 19 N. H. Wood c Parry b Welch.... 11 F. G. Dudgeon c Welch b Green-J. W. Wester b Welch..... stock..... 12 Byes, etc. N. C. E. Ashton not out 69 H. W. Baines c Aird b Jagger 4 G. C. Buxton c Holmes b Green-

batting display C. A. Winter (six wickets for 15) bowled admirably to dismiss Malvern very cheaply. In their second innings Malvern made a capital

MALVERN	
R. A. Parry b Winter	0 — b Winter 0
J. D. S. Muirhead b Winter	7 — c Buxton b Hilleary 29
B. E. Lewis b Winter	0 — not out 44
S. T. Jagger b Baines.	19 — not out
E. R. T. Holmes run out	7 — b Buxton
T. B. G. Welch c Miles b Winter	31
L. S. Foster b Buxton	0
J. W. Greenstock c and b Winter	13
R. A. Orchard st Ashton b Hilleary	
I. B. Aird not out	0 10
Byes, etc.	18 Byes, etc
	108 227
MANAGER PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	100
ST JOHN'S SCHOOL LEATHE	ERHEAD v. FOREST SCHOOL.
Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday	July 8.—Drawn, owing to rain
St. John's Scho	OL, LEATHERHEAD.
C. F. W. Mackie b Gane 1	J. C. Shenton not out 21
H. E. Goodchild c Turner b Gane 21	F. R. Neep run out 0
P. H. Harvey b Stuart 10	J. F. Cowgill not out 2
J. D. Cowgill c and b Stuart 43	Byes, etc
H. P. Roberts run out 41 C. W. Rowling c Kennett b Jekyll 12	187
G. W. Trepté lbw, b Stuart 23	W. J. Snook did not bat.
	A STATE OF SHOOK dra not but.
SHERBORNE SCHOOL	v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.
	uly 8.—Drawn, much in favour of Down-
side as Sherborne, with only two wickets	to fall, were still 112 behind.
DOWNSIDE	SCHOOL.
R. B. Lamb b Tucker 7	A. P. Allan b Tucker 21
S. N. Turner b Tucker 0	D. N. Byrne c and b Tucker 0
B. R. Turnbull b Tucker 27 M. C. Walter st Oliver 25	P. T. Clarke not out
M. C. Walter st Oliver	R. R. Scrope b Olivier 12 Byes, etc 7
Tucker 23	byes, euc
K. P. Turnbull c MacDonald b	177
Tucker 3	
M. S. Turnbull b MacDonald 34	
SHERBORNE	SCHOOL.
L. H. Bean b Scrope 4	W. Batts b Scrope 4
D. MacDonald c Turner b Scrope 0 J. A. Nunn b Allan	H. G. Jenkins c Scrope b Allan 1 J. S. Olivier not out
G. A. Wallinger c and b Scrope 3	J. S. Olivier not out 1 Byes, etc 6
T. G. Devitt lbw, b Allan 9	Dyes, etc
G. Peddie b Scrope 4	65
R. H. Smith not out 22	J. S. Tucker did not bat.
semante de la semante de la literatura de la semante de	CENTRE LEADER STORY OF THE SALE OF THE SAL
BRIGHTON COLLEGE V CE	BIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL

BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Trayed at Dillonion, Daturday, Jul	y o. Diawn, owing	oo rani.
BRIGHTON (COLLEGE.	
G. W. F. Lyon not out 56	W. R. N. Philps	A CONTROL OF
L. G. R. Chester c Kemple b Pearce 4	J. C. P. Wilson	Andrews of the
J. C. Walter not out 52	F. B. Eastwood	Name and the last
Byes, etc	C. G. Tew	
	J. T. Bell	- did not bat.
124	H. I. Sabey	A CONTRACT OF STREET
	A. H. P. Kilcoin	A SULPRING TO FAIL
	F. B. Adams	

DULWICH COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, July 8.—St. Paul's, for whom H. W. Bailey secured five wickets for 16, won easily by 81 runs.

ST. PAUL'S S	SCHOOL.
G. L. Olliff lbw, b Whiteley 26	E. Leighton b Whiteley 4
R. J. Hillard c Davison b Whiteley 20	H. H. White b Rix 18
J. Greig c Musgrove b Truman 11	G. M. H. Lewis c O'Brian b Rix. 0
W. M. Steward lbw, b Whiteley 0	G. L. A. Dudeney not out 1
H. R. Pocock c O'Brian b Hewett 10	Byes, etc 6
J. R. Addams lbw, b Truman 2	124
H. W. Bailey c Whiteley b Rix 26	124
DULWICH C	
C. Hewett c Leighton b Bailey 0	C. A. Osborne not out 14
E. H. Davison c Hillard b Bailey. 0	F. B. Wilson c Addams b Steward 3
K. J. Stark c Lewis b Dudeney 6	M. E. O'Brian lbw, b Steward 0
E. C. P. Whiteley b Bailey 4	P. E. Musgrave c Steward b White 0
E. H. P. Corbin b Bailey 10	49
F. K. Truman c Dudeney b Bailey 5	43
B. W. Rix c Lewis b Dudeney 1	

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL V. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at Vincent Square, Saturday, July 8.—The remarkable bowling of R. G. H. Lowe (four wickets for seven), and C. H. Taylor (six for 15), was the feature of this match, which Westminster won very easily. The Charterhouse batsmen could do nothing against these players, not one reaching double figures.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.			
F. L. Barker c Munt b Lowe 0	G. T. Kenyon c Stonier b Taylor 0		
C. H. Harker-Taylor c and b Taylor 3	R. H. Chittle b Taylor 0		
S. F. Peel b Lowe 9	A. N. Morgan b Lowe 2		
H. C. Crawford c and b Taylor 4	W. H. Lambert not out 4		
C. G. Varcoe b Taylor 0	Byes, etc 3		
J. T. Morgan b Taylor 0	-		
C. J. Quiney b Lowe 0	25		
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.			
R. G. H. Lowe b Varcoe 16	E. R. Munt not out 28		
C. H. Taylor b Varcoe 23	G. W. Stonier not out 8		
W. N. McBride c Peel b Varcoe 9	Byes, etc		
L. Clare b Lambert 22	WHAT BORD THE WAS A SHOULD BE		
R. W. Hartley c Crawford b Barker 4	151		
J. W. Jacomb-Hood b Varcoe 28	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF		
W. L. Hartley st Chittle b Quiney 1	A STATE OF THE STA		

LEY'S SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, July 8.—Leys made a good recovery after a disastrous start, but they could not force a victory, Mill Hill being 61 behind with four wickets in hand when the match was given up as a draw.

LEY'S SCHOOL.			
S. H. Bach b Reid 0	H. K. Moulton lbw, b Reid 15		
T. E. Field b Taylor 52	L. R. Smith b Croisdale 0		
B. M. Schofield b Taylor 3	A. C. Baker c Taylor b Sobey 0		
N. H. B. Thompson c Edgar b	J. A. Spurr not out 2		
Croisdale	Byes, etc 6		
H. S. Hargreaves b Croisdale 53			
N. M. Bach st Hampton b Sobey. 36	195		
C. H. Robinson b Croisdale 6			

MILL HILI	SCHOOL.
P. C. Bryant c Thompson b	H. M. Jones not out
Schofield	E. H. Beart)
G. H. Nicholls not out. 18 M. E. Edgar b Schofield. 5 C. B. Salmon b Spurr. 9	T. F. Taylor J. P. Croisdale
C. B. Samon b Spurf 9	

BRADFIELD COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at Bradfield, Saturday, July 8.—Rain altogether spoilt this match which had to be left drawn.

BRADFIELD	COLLEGE. R. R. LCarter H. G. Herrick T. B. Parker W. S. Presslie W. H. C. Birbeck G. P. Carless	did not bat.
	ON COLLEGE. M. J. C. Allom H. J. M. Hyslop R. P. Harding D. B. K. Young G. F. Haigh	did not bat.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

94

Played at Wellington, Tuesday, July 11.—After Wellington had been dismissed cheaply Marlborough lost five wickets for 60, but from that point their batsmen gained the upper hand. Hitting freely, G. E. B. Abell and R. H. Coleridge added 120 runs.

WELLINGTO	N COLLEGE.
D. S. Allom b Sandford	6 — lbw, b Sandford 8
G. M. Hirst c Abell b Leach	
S. C. Dobson e Lord b Leach	20 b Ashfield
T. A. W. White b Leach	1 — c Smith b Streatfeild 5
D. B. W. Murray c Abell b Coleridge	1 — not out 0
M. J. C. Allom c Abell b Sandford	
H. J. M. Hyslop b Leach	
R. P. Harding lbw, b Sandford	10
R. J. I. Silberrad e Smith b Ashfield	5
D. B. K. Young not out	6
G. F. Haigh lbw, Ashfield	2
Byes, etc	
	The second secon
	69 41

	MARLBOROUGH	COLLEGE.
	E. A. Dearman b Haigh 18	G. E. B. Abell not out 67
	R. A. Lord c Young b Haigh 8 E. G. B. Faulkner c and b Murray 13	R. H. Coleridge not out 45
	R. V. Whichello b Haigh 0	Byes, etc 22
	R. Leach b Haigh 3	*205
	C. R. W. Ashfield c White b D. S.	H. H. Smith)
	G. G. C. Streatfeild c Haigh b M.	A. Sandford \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	J. C. Allom	
*Innings declared closed.		

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON V. EPSOM COLLEGE. Played at Wimbledon, Tuesday, July 11.—A splendid innings by A. L. Gashion enabled Epsom to win with something to spare.

To state the spare,			
EPSOM COLLEGE.			
W. J. Taylor c Briggs b Sharp 2	G. Rocyn-Jones c McNeil b		
T. A. Mason c Pearse b L. Na	Thornhill 13		
Ranong 4	W. G. Harvey c P. Na Ranong b		
J. S. Cotman b Thornhill 17	L. Na Ranong 10		
A. L. Gashion c Briggs b Sharp 82	G. W. Ayres c P. Na Ranong b		
H. D. Mackay b L. Na Ranong 3	Thornhill 0		
G. G. Gillam c P. Na Ranong b	J. F. Newton not out 0		
Thornhill 29	Byes, etc 9		
J. W. Holmes c Zabell b Sharp 0			
	169		
KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON			
L. M. Sivers b Cotman 1	W. F. Thornhill c Mackay b		
P. R. Heather b Mackay 5	Cotman: 1		
R. Briggs b Cotman	D. A. Collier c Mason b Cotman. 13		
P. Na Ranong not out 32	N. F. Zabell c Holmes b Harvey 26		
R. E. Pearse c Mason b Cotman. 5	A. C. H. Sharp c Cotman b Harvey 4		

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

K. G. McNeil c Holmes b Cotman 0

L. Na Ranong b Cotman..... 5

A. C. H. Sharp c Cotman b Harvey 4

Byes, etc. 3

109

Played at Canterbury, Tuesday, July 11.—Highgate gained a creditable victory by five wickets. A splendid opening partnerhip between V. K. R. Bedford and N. E. Cook went a long way towards settling the issue.

The state of the s	towards settling the issue.	
KING'S SCHOOL,	CANTERBURY.	
K. 1. Topliss c Grundy b Robins. 1	C. H. Gadney c Grundy b Carter 30	
H. A. Browning b Paxton 20	O. H. de St. Croix not out 8	
C. V. Haines c Grice b Paxton 20	A. L. Mizen c Carter b Cook 1	
F. H. Sayers c Grundy b Cook 20	J. F. M. Cartwright b Carter 0	
R. H. Osborne b Paxton 0	Byes, etc	
G. D. G. Heyman lbw, b Robins 13		
G. C. Page c and b Cook 8	132	
HIGHGATE SCHOOL.		
V. K. R. Bedford lbw, b Heyman 38	M. A. Jones	
N. E. Cook c Haines b Osborne. 47	H S Mills	
R. W. V. Robins b Osborne 0	J. A. Carter did not bat.	
D. W. Spong b Osborne 12	L. C. H. Grice	
L. Grundy not out 20	Di C. II. dilec	
G. D. Lehmann c Haines b Heyman 0		
G. N. Paxton not out 18		
Byes, etc 10		

145

505

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL V. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at DUNSTABLE, Wednesday, July 12.—Bedford had a very easy task, declaring with three wickets down and winning by 104 runs.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

B. A. Babb c Waller b Taylor	C. W. North G. C. Fisher L. W. Hodge S. J. Warton S. E. Ayres H. C. Johnson	did not bat	
------------------------------	---	-------------	--

*Innings declared closed.

DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

M. H. Harrison b Hodge 2	E. O. Andrews run out 8
A. L. Butt c Babb b Howard 0	H. S. Fletcher b Hodge 2
F. R. Brown c Plant b Hodge 7	A. H. Jones c and b Hodge 2
R. A. Chignell b Fisher 5	W. W. Taylor not out 0
R. B. Waller c Babb b Ayres 14	Byes, etc 16
L. M. Walker b North 0	and the second s
R. G. Streeton hit wkt, b North 9	65

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE V. WOODBRIDGE SCHOOL

Played at Framlingham, Wednesday, July 12.—Woodbridge were outclassed, suffering a heavy defeat by an innings and 167 runs. Their batting proved most feeble.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE.

D. B. Gadsdon lbw, b Wiseman 22 J. M. Badcock c Groom b Wiseman 6 C. Humphreys lbw, b Wiseman 18 A. D. Burbidge b Wiseman 18 A. D. Burbidge b Wiseman 10 P. J. Harman c Ford b Groom 21 E. D. Rash b Wiseman 23 J. F. Young c Ford b Groom 23	7 6 3
WOODBRIDGE SCHOOL.	
S. H. Cook b Gadsdon. 0 — b Podd (T. W. Frost b Blooman 0 — not out (G. E. Ford c Podd b Gadsdon. 0 — c and b Podd 1 (G. D. Butler c Harman b Gadsdon 14 — c Frank b Podd (F. J. Kemlo c and b Gadsdon. 0 — b Podd (A. J. Mott run out. 0 — b Podd (R. H. Miller c Frank b Blooman 5 — b Podd (S. R. Groom b Blooman 2 — b Podd (C. L. Wiseman b Gadsdon. 2 — b Podd (C. L. Wiseman b C. C. Wiseman b C.	0
$\overline{27}$	2

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Wednesday, July 12.—Drawn, rather in favour of King's College, who after their opponents had declared, knocked off all but 31 of the runs for the loss of only three wickets.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

A. T. Lawton c Collier b Larn 2 K. W. Mackie c Poe b Thornhill 10	R. E. Crewe c Heather b Thornhill 14 Byes, etc
H. F. C. Shipham c Thornhill b	*135
R. B. D. Wright c Collier b Thorn-	L. T. Lawrence A. Walker did not bat.
hill	E. H. Hiscocks
R. M. MacColl b Sharp 2	

*Innings declared closed.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

R. E. Pearse c Hiscocks b C. Wright 0 L. M. Sivers run out	P. R. Heather K. G. McNeil W. E. Thornhill D. A. Collier N. F. Zabell A. C. H. Sharp	did not bat.
--	---	--------------

FELSTED SCHOOL v. LEY'S SCHOOL.

Played at Felsted, Wednesday, July 12.—After a most exciting game, Leys won by two wickets.

Leys won by two wickets.	
FELSTED	SCHOOL.
L. H. B. Light c N. M. Bach b S. H. Bach	J. W. Smellie not out
11. Daoil 00	

LEY'S SCHOOL.

N. M. Bach lbw, b Brown	6 8 9

TRENT COLLEGE v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

Played at TRENT, Thursday, July 13.—Though making a great effort Wellingborough failed to force a victory, Trent, with one wicket to fall, being 43 behind when the match was given up as a draw.

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

J. W. Stokes retired hurt 11	W. H. Tomlinson c Harbord b
R. C. B. Wright c and b Geddes 23	Geddes 2
D. G. Coney c Jones b Keigwin 15	D. H. Burgess b Geddes 0
G. M. H. Peck c and b Geddes 14	H. B. Richardson not out 15
E. H. Fryer c Bowen b Keigiwn 0	G. I. Ainsworth not out 23
M. Seta b Geddes 2	Byes, etc 6
G. A. Hearth lbw, b Keigwin 0	-
	*111

*Innings declared closed.

TRENT COLLEGE.

C. S. Lang b Seta 2 P. H. Keigwin lbw, b Tomlinson 0 C. H. Walker b Seta 29 J. T. Harbord b Seta 21	A. I. Horsley b Wright 1 W. H. Bowen not out 2 H. C. S. Jones b Seta 0 Byes, etc. 2
C. Sanders b Seta. 2 A. P. Huet b Wright 3 E. M. Geddes run out 6	V. V. Matthews did not bat.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL V. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.—Rain altogether spoilt this match, which had to be left drawn.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

R. G. H. Lowe b Toppin	E. R. Munt lbw, b Jagger. 3 G. W. Stonier not out. 14 J. H. Bfair c Lewis b Jagger. 15 J. G. Tiarks run out. 0 Byes, etc. 14 132
------------------------	---

MALVERN COLLEGE.

R. A. Parry b Lowe 10	G. B. Legge
J. D. S. Muirhead c Lowe b Taylor 52	B. E. Lewis
E. R. T. Holmes b Lowe 16	T. B. G. Welch
S. T. Jagger not out 23	L. S. Foster did not bat.
Byes, etc 10	J. W. Greenstock
_	C. G. Toppin
111	R. A. Orchard

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.—Splendid bowling by K. S. Duleepsinhji turned the game in favour of Cheltenham, who won by six wickets. For the whole match Duleepsinhji had the magnificent record of eleven wickets for 72 runs.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

O. M. Robson c Withers b Harman 7 — lbw, b Duleepsinhji R. F. P. Holloway b Duleepsinhji 21 — c and b Duleepsinhji	7 4
W. M. Hampton b Duleepsinhji 70 — c Pye-Smith b Duleepsinhji E. H. L'Estrange c Harman b	16
Duleepsinhji 7 — b Withers V. B. Powell b Withers 13 — b Duleepsinhji	5
R. M. M. Barlow c Withers b Duleepsinhji 5 — c and b Harman	3
C. S. Barlow c King-Turner b Duleep-	
sinhji 0 — st Lumsden b Dulcepsinhji C. R. Spencer b Dulcepsinhji 8 — not out	$\frac{1}{12}$
D. D. Homan c Dulcepsinhji b Withers 1 — b Harman J. E. Coates not out 0 — run out	1
Byes, etc 14 Byes, etc	7
$\overline{146}$	68.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

N. Sharpe c Hampton b Homan 0 -

A. L. S. Jackson c Robson b C. Barlow	15 — c I	Rodson b C. Barlow	5
R. N. Foster c Spencer b Homan	21 - c S	pencer b Homan	0
K. S. Duleepsinhji c Robson b Homan	6 - not	out	3
C. J. King-Turner st Spencer b			
L'Estrange	33 — c F	Iampton b Homan	6
R. G. Hopkins c Kennedy b Hampton	10 - not	out	3
C. R. Harman c Coates b Homan	4		0
F. R. Pacey c Holloway b C. Barlow			
B. C. Pye-Smith & L'Estrange h Hampton	23		
H. H. C. Withers c Spencer b C. Barlow	2 - b (. Barlow	4
D. R. S. Lumsden not out	10		*
Byes, etc		Byes, etc	6.
		- 3 000	0
1	38	4	7

DURHAM SCHOOL v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at DURHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15.—Rain spoilt this match, which had to be left drawn.

DURHAM SCHOOL.

H. A. Clayton-Greene run out	G. Grierson R. B. Cardale E. P. Gee R. I. Dees B. Grierson	did not bat.
------------------------------	--	--------------

*Innings declared closed.

Public School Matches.

SEDBERGH SCHOOL

	an School.
P. S. Douty e G. Grierson b Dees. 30	C. W. Harris b B. Grierson 12
J. H. Wood b Dees 46	D. Gannon not out.
R. C. Wakefield run out 0	Byes, etc.
	W. King
Grierson 2	
F. Oxley c B. Grierson b G.Grierson 40 T. M. Moores run out 0 D. W. Purdle b G. Grierson 10 G. E. Jones c R. B. Meikle b B. Grierson 2	E. Rickett W. King did not bat.

TRENT COLLEGE v. WORKSOP COLLEGE.

Played at Trent, Saturday, July 15.—Trent won by 156 runs, Worksop being outclassed.

TRENT	College.
C. S. Lang c Whitehead b Palmer 33 P. H. Keigwin lbw, b Whitehead 6 C. H. Walker lbw, b Harrison. 15 J. T. Harbord c Boughton b Whitehead 85 A. P. Huet b Boughton. 34 E. M. Geddes b Boughton. 0 W. H. Bowen b Boughton. 0	L. Walker c and b Whitehead 0 A. I. Horsley c T. R. Walker b Whitehead 15 C. Sanders not out 7 V. V. Matthews c A. B. Walker b Palmer 9 Byes, etc. 10
Workson	COLLEGE. 214
	COLLEGE.
A. B. Walker b Geddes. 4 L. Taylor b Geddes. 6 T. R. Walker b C. H. Walker. 0 J. A. Fallows b Geddes. 4	J. A. Would b Geddes 1 G. W. F. Boughton b Geddes 0 V. Harrison run out

Byes, etc. 11

100

FETTES COLLEGE v. WATSON'S COLLEGE.

C. B. Palmer b C. H. Walker..... 0

J. M. Scott c Shearer b McLaren. 7 W. B. Scott lbw, b Ferguson.... 1

Played at Fettes, Saturday, July 15.—An interesting match resulted in a narrow victory for Watson's by 10 runs. For the winners J. S. McLaren had the splendid bowling figures of eight wickets for 62 runs.

WATSON'S COLLEGE.

V. L. Shearer c Ferguson b Braine 0 J. H. Purves b Cameron 12 R. H. Ferguson lbw, b Braine 1 J. H. Mulholland b Pearse 5 L. Craig c Mitchell b Braine 43 D. S. Benie b Pearse 3 J. R. H. Peat st Mitchell b Cameron 2	T. C. Garden c Pearse b Scott
FETTES 6	College.
P. St. J. W. Ross lbw, b McLaren 9 E. K. Brown b McLaren 2 G. K. Sutherland b McLaren 19 W. V. Berkeley lbw, b McLaren 2 R. K. Ferguson c Craig b McLaren 17	J. F. W. Pearse c Garden b McLaren 32 G. I. H. Braine run out. 2 M. A. Cameron not out. 5 A. L. Mitchell c and b McLaren 4

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL V. STONYHURST COLLEGE.

Played at Giggleswick, Saturday, July 15.—Stonyhurst gained an overwhelming victory by an innings and 26 runs. The Giggleswick batsmen could do little against their bowlers, of whom F. A. d'Abreu had in the second innings the remarkable analysis of eight wickets for 12.

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

G. W. Jordan not out	0	— b F. d'Abreu	7
R. Parkinson c Gormley b F. d'Abreu	8		4
L. M. Scrutton b O'Flynn	0	— b F. d'Abreu	7
J. Moore b O'Flynn	5		3
A. Coates c Hardy b Gormley 1	4	— st Eastwood b F. d'Abreu	12
			3
A. H. Pogson lbw, b O'Flynn	1	— b O'Flynn	0
F. W. Wiseman run out			2
R. A. Page c Healy b O'Flynn	1 .	- c Fletcher b F. d'Abreu	0
F. A. Hatton b F. d'Abreu	1	— not out	0
Byes, etc	7		0
	-		
6	5		44

STONYHURST COLLEGE.

	DION	THORSI	COLLEGE.	
D. M. Fitz K. W. Hon J. E. d'Ag A. L. d'Al E. P. O'F	ly c Jordan b Moore	8 29 57 1 2	G. C. Fletcher H. F. Gormley C. J. Eastwood R. S. A. Hardy F. A. d'Abreu	did not bat.
	*	135		

*Innings declared closed.

EMANUEL SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at WANDSWORTH, Saturday, July 15.—Bedford won a low scoring match by 13 runs.

EMANUI	EL SCHOOL.		
J. R. Cole c Howard b North	9 A. J. Head lbw, b Howard 0		
E. Parker b Howard	F. J. Tappin c Babb b Hodge 9		
N. S. Deeks b Howard	1 A. Austin c Howard b Hodge 0		
C. Holloway b Hodge	6 C. Shaw not out 0		
F. A. J. Cole c Babb b Hodge	Byes, etc 10		
G. M. Robinson c Howard b Hodge	3		
C. W. Comber c Babb b Hodge	0 49		
BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.			

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.	
B. A. Babb c Robinson b Holloway 2 L. Keens c and b Austin. 8 T. Plant c Comber b Austin. 7 G. W. Howard b Austin. 8 D. M. King b Comber . 1 C. W. North c Head b Holloway 19 G. C. Fisher b Austin. 5 B. W. Hodge b Comber . 4 S. J. Warton run out . 6 S. E. Ayres c Head b Holloway 8 H. C. Johnson not out . 6 Byes, etc. 4 Byes, etc. 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	3 0 4

FOREST SCHOOL v. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Saturday, July 15.—After an exciting struggle Chigwell won by 18 runs.

enguen won by to tuns.	
FOREST	SCHOOL.
J. L. Jekyll b N. A. Burden 6	R. A. Staddon b Hill 17
C. V. Leefe c N. A. Burden b	V. H. Penfold lbw, b Hill0
Hawkey 0	H. G. Turner lbw, b Hawkey 3
B. J. Van der Gucht run out 0	C. W. V. Gane not out 0
G. N. Lewis b Hawkey 4	
K. A. Latter lbw, b Hawkey 21	Byes, etc 13
A. J. Buffham b Hawkey 10	
C. R. Gillard b N. A. Burden 1	75
or are commend of it. II. Durden I	
CAIGWELL	School.
H. J. Burden b Staddon 28	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0
H. J. Burden b Staddon 28 G. S. Seward st Penfold b Ganc 15	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane 5
H. J. Burden b Staddon 28 G. S. Seward st Penfold b Gane 15 R. P. Hawkey c Leefe b Gane 2	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane 5 P. B. Chanters not out 8
H. J. Burden b Staddon 28 G. S. Seward st Penfold b Gane. 15 R. P. Hawkey c Leefe b Gane 2 L. C. Down lbw, b Staddon 5	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane 5 P. B. Chanters not out 8 C. W. Adamson run out 7
H. J. Burden b Staddon	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane 5 P. B. Chanters not out 8
H. J. Burden b Staddon	N. A. Burden b Jekyll. 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane. 5 P. B. Chanters not out. 8 C. W. Adamson run out. 7 Byes, etc. 3
H. J. Burden b Staddon. 28 G. S. Seward st Penfold b Gane 15 R. P. Hawkey c Leefe b Gane 2 L. C. Down lbw, b Staddon 5 R. W. Martindale c Van der Gueht b Gane 7 V. A. L. Hill b Staddon 2	N. A. Burden b Jekyll 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane 5 P. B. Chanters not out 8 C. W. Adamson run out 7
H. J. Burden b Staddon	N. A. Burden b Jekyll. 0 H. P. Robottom b Gane. 5 P. B. Chanters not out. 8 C. W. Adamson run out. 7 Byes, etc. 3

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL V. EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

Played at Merchiston, Friday, Saturday, July 21, 22.—Despite a splendid recovery in the second innings, Edinburgh Academy suffered defeat by 13 runs.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY.

G. G. Fowler c and b Milligan	25 — b Milligan	26
G. Warker C Milligan D Wilson	11 c Carr h K Logen	1
A. W. MICOISON IDW. D WIISON	2 - c Cruickshank h Milligan	40
J. B. L. Munro e Sinclair b Milligan	8 — b Milligan	11
o. Chiene C K. Logan D Milligan	1 — b Milligan	0
C. D. L. Murray run out	/ C and h ()' Neale	90
R. L. Johnstone c Milligan b K. Logan	12 — b Milligan	1
H. D. Thomson St M. M. Logan D Milligan	3 — b Milligan	1
E. G. Strachan st M. M. Logan b Milligan	9 — st M. M. Logan b Milligan	0
J. W. A. Wright c Milligan b K. Logan	3 — b Sinclair	10
J. A. Craig not out	U — not out	5
Byes, etc	7 Byes, etc	7
	88	146

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL.

R D O'Noole b Craig

T. Chuideabarla Citaly	0 - b Craio
J. Cruickshank c Strachan b Walker	3 — h Craig
16. S. M. Stordy C Thomson h Walker	r 5 — b Craig
D. Carr b Nicholson	29 — c Strachan b Walker 2
D Wilson How h Charles	20 C Strachan D Warker 2
r. wilson low, b Craig	37 — c Wright b Craig 4
M. M. Logan b Craig	10 — st Wright b Nicholson 29
II T Ci-li Citi	st wright b Nicholson 29
ii. J. Sincian C Uniene h Craig	4 — h Walker
K Logan c Strachan h Thomas	12 — c Johnstone b Nicholson 21
T A G Dirachan b Inomson	12 — c Johnstone b Nicholson 21
J. A. Conner b Craig	l — run out
J. H. McKeown lbw b Crois	0 — st Wright b Strachan 26
J. H. MCKCOWH IDW, D Clarg	0 — st Wright b Strachan 26
W. L. Milligan not out	1 — not out 2
Pyrog oto	1 - 100 000 2
Dyes, etc	16 Byes, etc
	, ,
	118

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL. Played at BEDFOR, Saturday, July, 22.—Merchant Taylors were outplayed, Bedford dismissing them for a small score and then knocking off the runs without loss

WITHOUT IOSS.	
MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.	
F. F. Spragg c Stewart b Naz 15 F. C. O. Pridden b Naz	0
D. Ducat b Bovell-Jones 0 T. G. Hughes b Bovell-Jones	2
G. D. A. Norton b Bovell-Jones 2 R. Ostler not out	4
W. D. Crosbie c Wardle b Bovell- M. J. Berry c sub b Bovell-Jones	. 9
Jones 0 Byes, etc	10
E. H. A. Boardman b Naz 7	-
	58
Jones 1	
E. O. Lacey c Stewart b Naz 8	
BEDFORD SCHOOL.	
W. Bovell-Jones not out 38	
C. P. Bailey not out 20	
Byes, etc 2	
00	
60	

LANCING COLLEGE V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at LANCING. Saturday, July 29.—Christ's Hospital lost by 24 runs, despite a wonderful effort by T. N. Pearce, who played an uphill game with great courage.

LANCING	COLLEGE.
E. H. Newman lbw, b Pearce 66	K. S. Machin b Spence
P. E. Eve b Webb	R. S. Machin b Pearce 17
J. K. Reid b Spence 17	A. R. A. de Garston b Spence 6
J. W. Burrough lbw, b Webb 16	P. S. W. Mills not out 4
R. G. W. Melsome b Spence 2	Byes, etc
F. A. Ferguson b Spence 1	
H. F. Pearse c Collins b Webb 4	208
CHRIST'S HOSPIT	AL SCHOOL.
T. N. Pearce c Melsome b Burrough100	J. A. Woodard b Burrough 0
F. H. Kemple lbw, b Pearse 14	N. I. Buchan b Burrough 0
M. K. Spence c Eve b Pearse 0	A. E. Webb c Eve b Burrough 3
G. H. H. Collins c Melsome b Eve 33	A. W. Childs-Clarke absent ill 0
E. Slade run out	Byes, etc
C. Y. Morgan not out 5	
H. E. Wright b Burrough 2	184

STONYHURST COLLEGE v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played on AIGBURTH GROUND, LIVERPOOL, Monday, Tuesday, July 31, August 1.—Sedbergh gained a splendid victory by nine wickets, their success being led up to by the splendid batting of J. H. Wood.

being led up to by the splendid batting of J. H. Wood.
SEDBERGH SCHOOL.
J. H. Wood b F. d'Abreu
J. M. Atkinson b O'Flynn
F. Oxley run out
D. W. Purdie c Gormley b F. d'Abreu 32
R. C. Wakefield b O'Flynn 0
C. W. Harris b F. d'Abreu 1
P. S. Douty b A. d'Abreu
G. E. Jones c Gormley b O'Flynn 12
F. A. Moores c d'Aguiar b Horton 58
E. Rickett b F. d'Abreu 3
W. King not out 0
Byes, etc
319 40

STONYHURST COLLEGE.

T. D. Healy b King	5 — Ibw, b Wood	. 43
D. M. FitzGibbon b King		. 8
K. W. Horton c Atkinson b Jones		. 37
J. E. d'Aguiar Ibw, b Wood		
A. L. d'Abreu lbw, b King		
H. F. Gormley b Rickitt		
E. P. O'Flynn b King		
G. C. Fletcher b Rickitt	1 1 1771	
R. S. Hardy not out	a minimum to manage	
C. J. Eastwood lbw, b Wood.		. 0
F. A. d'Abreu lbw, b Wood		1
F. A. d Abreu ibw, b wood	. 18 Byes, etc	. 6
Byes, etc	. 16 Byes, etc	_
	170	187
	170	

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 14, 15,— It was a thousand pities that the Eton and Harrow match should have been ruined by rain. Not victorious at Lord's since 1908, Harrow had got together such a good side—especially strong in batting—that they were really hopeful of at last breaking their long spell of ill-luck. Unfortunately however, rain put cricket out of the question on the first day, all hope of play being abandoned at half-past three, and on Saturday, with the ground much too soft and wet to be difficult, a draw was always inevitable. A fresh pitch having been rolled, play began at twelve o'clock, the game resolving itself into a fight for a first innings lead that had no significance. Considering that the first day was a blank, the attendance was wonderfully good, over fifteen thousand people paying for admission. All through the day the cricket was just as keen as if a definite result had been in prospect. The Eton batting did not strike one as being particularly good, but Dawson and Lord Dunglass, who put on 103 runs together for the second wicket, played well in their different styles. In getting his 66 out of 103 in a little over an hour and a half Dunglass hit three 4's and five 3's, the ball travelling very slowly on the saturated outfield. Dawson, out third at 120, was at the wickets for nearly two hours. The long partnership was not well followed up. Llewellyn Davies hit three 4's, but his attempt to force the pace was soon cut short by a good catch on the on-side. Harrow for a long time stood in danger of a follow-on, the rule for one-day matches applying, but they did not have any serious anxiety. By far the best and most attractive batting of the match was shown by the two Crawleys. Allowing for the difference in the pace of the ground, L. G. Crawley hit quite as brilliantly as in 1921, his 53 including ten 4's, and C. S. Crawley took out his bat after playing with great skill for an hour and a half. He was especially good on the leg side. ETON.

Mr. E. W. Dawson c S. Brown b Anderson	Mr. W. P. Thursby c Enthoven b Lloyd 3 Mr. R. G. M. Kennerley-Rumford not out 4 Mr. R. Barrett b Enthoven 12 B 1, n-b 5
Enthoven. 13 Mr. N. Llewelyn Davies c K. E. Crawley b Lloyd. 13 Mr. G. S. Incledon-Webber b Enthoven. 5	*190 Mr. M. R. Bridgeman did not bat
* Innings decla	ared closed.
Mr. I. G. Collins c and b Bridgeman 7 Mr. H. J. Enthoven b Bridgeman 14 Mr. H. F. Bagnall c Davies b Bridgeman 0 Mr. L. G. Crawley lbw, b Dunglass 53 Mr. P. H. Stewart-Brown c Dawson b Dunglass 11 Mr. C. S. Crawley not out 67 Mr. R. H. Baucher b Dunglass 1	OW. Mr. K. E. Crawley c Bridgeman b Dunglass

HARROW BOWLING.

	0.	vers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Enthoven		27	7	50	4
Anderson		21	4	56	2
Powell		4	0	15	0
Lloyd		13	2	53	3
C. S. Crawley		4	0	10	0

ETON BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.
Bridgeman	. 17	8	48	3
Cox		5	45	3
Dunglass	. 18	9	37	4
Incledon-Webber	3	0	20	0
Kennerley-Rumf		0	8	0
Hurley	. 5	1	8	0

Umpires: J. Moss and W. A. J. West.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE OF SER		
1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1873	Harrow won by five wickets
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1874	Eton won by five wickets
1822	Harrow won by 87 runs	1875	Unfinished
1823	Eton won by inns. and 33 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1877	Unfinished
1825	Eton won by seven wickets	1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1879	Unfinished
1828	Eton won by six wickets	1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1832		1881	Harrow won by 95 runs
1833	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1882	Harrow won by 112 runs
1834	Harrow won by eight wickets	1883	Unfinished
	Harrow won by 13 runs		Unfinished
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1884	Unfinished
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1890	Unfinished
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1843	Harrow won by 20 runs	1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1844	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1893	Eton won by nine wickets
1845	Eton won by inns. and 174 runs	1894	Unfinished
1846	Eton won by inns. and 135 runs	1895	Unfinished
1847	Eton won by nine wickets	1896	Unfinished
1848	Harrow won by 41 runs	1897	Unfinished
1849	Harrow won by 77 runs	1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1899	Unfinished
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1901	Harrow won by the wickets
1853		1902	
1854	Harrow won by three wickets	1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1855	Harrow won by 98 runs		Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1904	Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1905	Unfinished
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1906	Eton won by four wickets
1860	Unfinished	1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1861	Unfinished	1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1909	Unfinished
1863	Unfinished	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1912	Eton won by six wickets
1866	Harrow won by inns, and 136	1913	Eton won by nine wickets
	runs	1914	Eton won by four wickets
1867	Unfinished	1915	to 1918. No regular match
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	170 150	during the war
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1919	Eton won by 202 runs
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1920	Eton won by nine wickets
1871	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wickets
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1922	Unfinished; no play on first day
10.1	LOW OF DE MICKOUS	LUMM	omminut, no play on hist day

Ninety-three matches have been played, of which Eton have won thirty-nine, Harrow thirty-five, and nineteen have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not counted as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows :-

```
183 D. C. Boles
                                        112*A.W.T.Daniel
                   (in 1904), Eton
                                                            (in 1860), Harrow
173 G. Wilson
                   (in 1913), Harrow
                                        108 C. J. Ottaway
                                                            (in 1869), Eton
152 E. Bayley
                  (in 1841), Eton
                                        108 R. B. Hoare
                                                            (in 1888), Harrow
142 T. G. O. Cole
                   (in 1897), Harrow
                                        103 L. G. Crawley
                                                            (in 1921), Harrow
137 C. H. B. Blount(in 1912), Harrow
                                        101 H.C.Pilkington (in 1896), Eton
135 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Harrow
                                        100 E. Crawley
                                                            (in 1885), Harrow
124 J. H. Stogden
                   (in 1895), Harrow
                                        100 E. N. S. Crank
120 B.J.T.Bosanguet(in 1896), Eton
                                             shaw
                                                            (in 1903), Eton
117 A. W. Ridley
                   (in 1871), Eton
                                        100*M. C. Bird
                                                            (in 1907), Harrow
114 C. P. Foley
                   (in 1886), Eton
                                        131 M. C. Bird
                                                            (in 1907). Harrow
113 W. F. Forbes
                   (in 1876), Eton
```

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match.

^{*} Signifies not out.

CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

Played at Lords, Monday, Tuesday, July 31, August 1.—This proved a very one-sided affair, Clifton winning by an innings and 13 runs. In gaining such an easy victory they owed much to Homan, who was irresistible in Tonbridge's first innings, and took in all twelve wickets. There was some good batting for Clifton, but Robson had a little luck in getting his 61. Kennedy gave a remarkable exhibition of hitting, his 69 including a 6 to leg and eleven 4's. Tonbridge followed on before the first day's play ended, and their efforts next morning to avoid a single innings defeat fell a little short of success. Greatrex, though rather expensive, bowled his slows with marked success for Tonbridge.

Tonbridge.	owied his slows with marked success for
CLI	FTON.
Mr. R. F. P. Holloway st Sherwell b Greatrex Mr. O. M. Robson c Pell b Mitchell 61 Mr. W. M. Hampton c Sherwell b Eggar 6 Mr. E. H. L'Estrange st Sherwell b Greatrex 15 Mr. V. B. Powell run out 8 Mr. V. H. H. Riddell c Muir b Greatrex 5 Mr. D. E. Kennedy c Sherwell b Greatrex 69	Mr. C. R. Spencer c Sherwell b Greatrex
TONBR	IDGE
Mr. C. W. H. Howard b Homan. Mr. T. F. Mitchell b Homan. Mr. N. B. Sherwell b Homan. Mr. N. B. Sherwell b Homan. Mr. C. B. Prowse lbw, b Homan. Mr. R. D. J. Wills c I. Estrange b Coates Mr. W. S. Muir b Homan. Mr. H. M. Hammerton b Homan Mr. H. M. Leggar not out. Mr. R. C. Hubbard c Barlow b Hampton Mr. J. H. G. Pell c Barlow b Hampton Mr. J. H. G. Pell c Barlow b Hampton Mr. A. G. Greatrex run out. B 2, I-b 1, w 2, n-b 1	
	92 163

		LONI	BRIDGE	BOWLING.				
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Rung	Wkts.
Eggar	15	7	34	1		21201220	Leans	W KUS.
Pell	. 11	3	39	0				
Greatrex		3	107	7				
Hubbard		0	16	0				
Hammerton		0	15	0				
Howard		2	29	0				
Mitchell	6	2	9	1				
		CY-		**				

			CLIFTON B	OWL	ING.				
Homan	16	6	26	6		24.1	4	64	6
Barlow	8	2	28	0		12	18	18	0
Coates	3	1	6	1		6	1	17	1
L'Estrange Hampton		0	20	0		3	0	10	0
nampton	3.3	1	7/	2		10	3	28	2
			Kenne	ay		0	0	12	0

Umpires: Smith and W. Wainwright.

Perry

Pugh.....

Aug 2, Finchley	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 159 — }	Result. Lost by 88 runs *Nine wkts. down.
Aug 2, East Molesey {	M.C.C EAST MOLFSEY	111	Lost by 73 runs.

M.C.C. Matches.

RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, August 2, 3,-Following drawn matches in 1920 and 1921 Marlborough had the satisfaction of beating Rugby by ten wickets, the end being reached at half-past four on the second day. Rugby never recovered from a dreadful breakdown in their batting on Thursday morning. In face of a total of 298 they were in rather a bad position with four men out for 93, but no one was in the least prepared to see their innings finished off in less than an hour for 125. When they followed on the Marlborough bowling was never mastered, and it was only some plucky hitting by Pattisson that averted a single innings' defeat. As the game was played, Mariborough had decidedly the better side. Ashfield took all the batting honours with his 114. Playing in excellent style for two hours and a half he hit thirteen 4's.

Playing in excellent style for two hours and a han he me that
MARLBOROUGH.
Mr. E. G. B. Faulkner c Pattisson b
Pearce 15 — not out
Mr. R. A. Lord run out
Mr. R. V. Whichello b Pearce 33
Mr. W. R. Heard b Cassels
Mr. R. Leach c Pattisson b Cassels 32
Mr. C. R. W. Ashfield b Pugh
Mr. G. E. B. Abell c Cassels b Cave 18
Mr. G. E. B. Apen C Cassers b Cave 16
Mr. R. H. Coleridge c Pearce b Cassels 17
Mr. G. G. C. Streatfield b Pugh 14
Mr. H. Smith not out
Mr. A. Sandford c and b Cave 17
B 10, 1-b 2, w 1
200
298
Rugby.
Mr. J. G. Pugh b Ashfield 29 — c Lord b Coleridge 4
We E E Longrigg h Sandford 14 — D Leach
Mr. P. T. Eckersley c Ashfield b Smith 24 — c Faulkner b Smith 36
Mr. F. M. Roughead c Smith b Sandford 9 - b Smith 16
Mr. A. J. H. Cassels b Ashfield 0 — b Leach 0
Mr. A. D. Cave lbw, b Sandford 8 — b Coleridge
Mr. J. M. T. Perry c Whichello b Leach 24 — c Leach b Ashfield
Mr. J. M. T. Perry C Winchesto b Reach 24 C Streatfield b Smith 43
Mr. E. A. Pearce not out
B 10, w 2, n-b 1 13 B 14, l-b 1, w 4 19
120
129
RUGBY BOWLING.
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
Cassels 35 9 84 3 2.3 1 8 0
Pearce 24 2 83 2 2 0 7 0 1 1 2 2 36 0 Cave 11.3 2 41 2
Cave 11.3 2 41 2
Cave 11.3 2 41 2

MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.

84 18: 11	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	. (vers	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.
Streatfield	. 5	2	11	0	N 2-2000	.1	1	12	0
Sandford	19	- 5	26	3		18	3	33	1
Leach	18.5	7	22	3		11	2	23	2
Coleridge	. 9	1	23	0		7	0	18	2
Ashfield	. 7	3	11	3		10	3	31	2
Smith	15	7	23	1		15	4	42	3
			Whi	chello		1	0	4	0

Umpires: W. Wainwright and H. Butt.

RESULTS OF RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1886	Rugby won by 37 runs
1856	Lord's	1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs
1890	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
	Lord's	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1891	Drawn
	83 runs, at Oval	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
1860	Rugby won by an innings and	10000000	and 78 runs
	50 runs, at Lord's	1893	Drawn
1862	Marlborough won by an innings	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
5 25 9500	and 17 runs, at Lord's		82 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
1864	Rugby won by an innings and	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
	83 runs, at Islington		35 runs
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
	Lord's	1898	Drawn
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
	Oval	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
	133 runs, at Rugby	1903	Drawn
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts
	Lord's	1905	Drawn
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
	Marlborough	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1874	Rugby won by five wickets		runs
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
	35 runs	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts.
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
Sancosconii	24 runs	1916	Rugby won by eight wickets
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
1880	Rugby won by an innings and	1918	Marlborough won by an innings
	120 runs		and 96 runs
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1882	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1920	Drawn
1883	Drawn	1921	Drawn
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1922	Marlborough won by ten wkts:
1885	Drawn		will by bell WKIS:
	411 41	_	

All the matches since 1870 have been played at Lord's.

Of the 64 matches Rugby have won 33 and Marlborough 21. The remaining: ten have been unfinished.

(*)		1st 2nd inns. inns.	Result.
Aug 3, East Acton	M.C.C. SHEPHERD'S BUSH	366* — 51† —	Drawn. *Ten wkts. down Dold †Two wkts. down.
Aug 3, East Grinstead	M.C.C EAST GRINSTEAD	222* — 225 —	*Drawn. *Three wkts. down.
Aug 3, Witham {	M.C.C. WITHAM	217 — 157* —	Drawn. *Eight wkts. down.
Aug 4, Cuckfield	M.C.C. CUCKFIELD	205 240* —	Lost by 35 runs. *Six wkts. down.

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD'S, Friday Saturday, August 4, 5.-Though the match had to be left drawn the finish was exciting to a degree, Cheltenham, when time was called, requiring only five runs to win. Haileybury took a great risk, declaring with six wickets down and leaving Cheltenham two hours and a half in which to score 225. When Cheltenham's fourth wicket fell at 84 cautious batting became imperative, but, with the danger of defeat over, Duleepsinhji and Lumsden made a desperate effort to hit off the runs and only just fell short of victory. Apart from the finish the great feature of the match was the play of Hudson—the best Haileybury batsman for many years. He was at the top of his form in both innings, scoring 90 out of 174 in two hours and a half without a mistake and giving only one difficult chance in his equally fine 101.

HAILEYBURY.

Mr. A. F. H. Smythe c Dulee	psinhji b 5 — c R. H. A. Foster b Withe	rs 11
Harman		.101
Mr. R. E. H. Hudson lbw, b W	Hopkins 17 — b R. H. A. Foster	. 22
Mr. K. D. Sanders c Harman b	leepsinhji 28 — c and b Withers	. 8
Mr. R. J. O. Meyer c Pacey b Dul	release b	
Mr. G. A. C. Hamilton e Ja	26 — c R. H. A. Foster b Dulee'	ii 0
Withers		. 1
Mr. W. F. P. Whitmarsh b Wit		. 13
Mr. R. T. Sheppard c Withers b		. 8
Mr. R. W. Lisle-Carr c Jackson		
Mr. R. F. Bonallack b Harman	1 6	
Mr. C. W. Tiplady not out		
Mr. H. A. G. Vanderfelt b With	hers 2 B 3, w 1, n-b 3	7
B 1, l-b 2, n-b 2	5 B 3, w 1, n-b 3	
	210	*171
	213	~

*Innings declared closed.

CHELTENHAM

CHELTEN	DAM.
Mr. N. Sharpe c Carr b Tiplady Mr. A. L. S. Jackson c Bonallack b Meyer	51 — c Smythe b Bonallack 21
Mr. R. N. Foster c Bonallack b Meyer Mr. C. J. King-Turner b Meyer K. S. Duleepsinhji c Bonallack b	23
Mr, R. G. Hopkins b Bonallack	7
Mr. F. R. Pacey lbw, b Bonallack Mr. D. R. S. Lumsden b Tiplady	5 — not out
Mr. H. H. C. Withers run out	8
B 3, 1-b 1, w 5,	0 9 B 26, l-b 2, n-b 2 30
	160 220
CHELTENHAM	Bowling.
Overs Mdns. Runs	Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.

		OHLUI	T TOTA TETET	DOND	THU.				
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.		overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Withers		3	74	5		13	2	42	2
Harman		10	45	3		8	2	27	1
Duleepsinhji	11	2	44	1		15	1	68	2
Hopkins	5	0	28	1					
R. H. A. Foster	6	0	17	0		8	2	27	1
HAILEYBURY BOWLING.									
Bonallack	24.5	8	45	3		14	3	49	1
Meyer		11	39	4		17	1	66	0
Carr		4	18	0		5	1	15	1
Hamilton	3	1	12	0		1	0	7	0
Tiplady	24	7 *	37	2		14	2	34	2
			Whi	tmarsh		5	0	19	ō
	-	200000	Propert tipe	TIES CONTRA	227 199				

Umpires: W. Wainwright and T. Attewell.

CHELTENHAM V HAILEYBURY MATCH RESULTS.

1893 Drawn	1907 Cheltenham won by an innings
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	and 51 runs
1895 Drawn	1908 Drawn
1896 Drawn	1909 Drawn
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings
1898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	and 3 runs
1899†Haileybury won by an innings	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets
and 45 runs	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1913 Haileybury won by an innings
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	and 217 runs
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1914 Drawn
1903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.

1920 Drawn

1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs

1922 Drawn

† Played at the Crystal Palace.

		inns. inns.	Result.
Aug 7, Slough	M.C.C. SLOUGH	166 -	Won by 50 runs.
proden	DEFOUGH	110	

1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and

1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.

1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs

41 runs

and 29 runs.

LORD'S SCHOOLS V. THE REST.

Played at LORD's, Monday, Tuesday, August 7, 8.—This annual match, always looked forward to nowadays with extreme interest, was ruined by the weather and left unfinished. Not a ball could be bowled on the second day, and soon after three o'clock the game was abandoned. On the Bank Holiday the pitch was very soft and the ball beat the bat all the afternoon. Of the bestknown batsmen in the two elevens, Hudson alone did himself justice, playing admirably for his 34. Guise captained the Rest with excellent judgment and, as will be seen, took three wickets at a trifling cost.

LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. A. L. S. Jackson (Cheltenham) b Taylor	0	
Mr. J. G. Pugh (Rugby) c Guise b Lowe 15 — not out	14	
Mr. H. J. Enthoven (Harrow) c Philips b Richardson		
K S Duleepsinhii (Cheltenham) b		
Jagger Lord Dunglass (Eton) c Philps b Guise 14		
Mr. G. K. Cox (Eton) b Guise 0 Mr. N. B. Sherwell (Tonbridge) c Jagger		
b Guise	3	
105	21	

THE	REST.
Mr. J. L. Guise (Winchester) c Hudson b Homan	Mr. R. G. H Lowe (Westminster) b Homan Mr. S. T. Jagger (Malvern) b Dunglass Mr. N. C. E. Ashton (Repton) not out Mr. C. A. Winter (Repton) b En- thoven B 2, w 1, n-b 3
b Dunglass	11
Sherwell b Duleepsinhji 15 Mr. J. V. Richardson (Uppingham)	
c Duleepsinhji b Dunglass 3	73 Y 1

THE REST BOWLING. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. 22 ... 7 1 Winter

Jagger	16	4	38	1		6	4	8	2
Taylor	6	1	24	1		1'	0	6	1
Lowe	7	5	5	2		0	4	0	
Richardson Guise	$\frac{2}{7.2}$	3	7	3					
Ci cano	I	ORD'S	SCHOOLS	Bow	LING.				
Enthoven	14.1	- 6	29	2					

3 38 Homan Duleepsinhji Dunglass 11

Umpires: Smith and T. Attewell.

		1st inns.			Result.
Aug 9, Horley	M.C.C. HORLEY	69*		}	Drawn. *Six wkts. down.
Ang 9 10 (MCC	194	_	7	Won by an inns.

TORQUAY

Torquay ...

0

PUBLIC SCHOOLS V. THE ARMY.

Played at LORD'S, Wednesday, Thursday, August 9, 10 .- This was another drawn game, but quite an interesting one. In showery weather on the first day the Schools found their bowling mastered by Wilkinson and Williams, who put up 164 together, but the score of 361 for seven wickets, at which the innings was declared on Thursday morning, would have been largely reduced but for deplorable fielding. Phillips, in scoring his 44, was missed seven times. The Schools had to follow on against a balance of 207, but they saved the game in brilliant style. Guise and Hudson gave their side a capital start by scoring 72 together. Guise was batting for an hour and forty minutes. Taylor was also seen at his best, and Crawley drove with splendid power, getting his first fifty runs in half-an-hour.

THE ARMY.

Lieut. A. C. Wilkinson b Jagger 74 Capt. E. S. B. Williams c Jagger b	Capt. T. E. M. Battersby not out Stieut. N. J. O. Carbutt not out.
Lowe 82	B 16, l-b 8, w 6, n-b 1
Lieut. H. R. Kirkwood c Enthoven	* ***
b Taylor 8	*36
Lieut. G. D. Loup lbw b Taylor 4	Lieut. G. H. Ryland did not ba
Capt. F. R. R. Brooke run out 8 Capt. R. St. L. Fowler c Hudson b	Capt. H. M. Hinde.
Taylor 39	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

* Innings declared closed.

Lieut, R. M. Phillips b Jagger 44

PUBLIC SCHO	DOLS.
Mr. J. L. Guise (Winchester) c Brooke b Hinde	— st Brooke b Carbutt 67
Fowler	— lbw, b Kirkwood 38
kinson b Kirkwood	
Carbutt	— b Kirkwood 10
Hinde	— not out 65
Carbutt 14	— b Carbutt 3
Mr. H. J. Enthoven (Harrow) st Brooke b Carbutt	— not out 17
ler b Kirkwood	*
Mr. N. B. Sherwell (Tonbridge) not out 5 Mr. D. D. Homan (Clifton) c Phillips b	
Kirkwood 0 B 8, I-b 11, 19	B 4, 1-b 3, n-b 2 9
153	265

DITTITIO	COTTOOTO	ROWITING

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	. Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Jagger	23	4	84	2				
Homan		2	39	0				
Taylor	14	2 2	51	3				
Enthoven	15	2	56	0				
Lowe	28	8	70	1				
Guise	7	1	30	0				
		Тнв	ARMY	Bown	ING.			
Hinde	21	7	33	2	11	2	34	0
Ryland	9	4	11	0	3	0	17	0
Fowler	11	1	38	1	9	1	39	0
Kirkwood	10.2	4 5	26	3	16	1	75	3
Carbutt	17	5	26	4	19	2	78	2
			Batt	ersby	4	1	13	0

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and T. M. Russell.

AVERAGES.

Innings marked * are not outs.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Highest inns.			Not outs		Average
C. R. Chapman	16		391		95		3		30.09
A. F. M. Berkelev	15		218		34		. 5		21.80
T. C. Longfield			225	73.	62*	14.	1		20.45
R. D. Cowper		200	176		54		1		17.60
A. R. Cronin			140		35		2		12.72
J. A. T. Ryde			52		20*		3		8.66
R. F. Alderton			73		38*		2		8.11
			29		10*	1000	3		5.80
R. J. Day			41		18*		1		5.12
F. W. Allinson	8		29		10*		3 1	::	5.80

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	Wicke	ets	Average
R. D. Cooper	. 168.2	 32		679	 50		13.58
C. R. Chapman		46		691	41		16.85
T. C. Longfield	. 42.3	 9	5 6 6 6	163	 9		18.11
A. R. Cronin	. 36	 6		113	 6		18.83
T. F. Garside		 5	350	96	 5		19.20

ARDINGLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	B	lighest in	nns.	Not or	its	Average
D. F. Frost	. 12		463		113*		2		46.30
C. M. Youd	. 8	366	116		34		2		19.33
W. L. Young	. 11		188		65		1		18.80
P. D. Hawes			94		54*		2		11.75
K. C. Bryant	. 7		61		25	14.5	1		10.16
M. Dawkins			120		22		0		10.00
F. A. Owen	. 10		57		21	Ol.	2	1	7.12
G. C. Gunn	. 9		50 .		22		1		6.25
J. P. Ager			31		11		1		4.42
J. M. Bradley			16		13		0		2.66

ARDINGLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	ns	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
P. D. Hawes	. 41		13		96	 7		13.71
J. P. Ager		88	23	76.	265	 17		15.58
D. F. Frost			34	198	397	 20	11	19.85
R. W. Martin		15	14		348	 15		23.20
M. Dawkins		10	16	14	425	 18		23.61

Average

15.58

17.20

23.20

26.07

Average

24.69 16.92

15.83

10.76 9.75 8.37 6.76

> 5.75 5.45

Average

10.16

11.62

12.82

13.37

Average

33.72 20.63

17.85 16.75

13.92

12.84

11.80

9.75 8.14

4.33

Average

17.48

21.33

23.77

Average 37.81 33.20 28.36 26.63

24.16 19.30

16.70

12.66

8.71

8.60

5.25

13.40

1

2

4

167

76

61

43

21

..

9

6

. .

. .

42

47*

13

12

10*

64

28

31

34

28*

.

0

0

0

158

97

76

97

84

56

L. G. Baker

A. D. B. Cocks

R. W. B. Fuller

H. Davidson

L. C. D. Robinson ...

P. L. Naz

	514 Public School Averages.		Funite School Averages.
	AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BATTING.		BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average	P. L. Naz 142.1 33 362 27
	H. V. Dunbar 11 256 49* 3	32.00	W. Bovell-Jones 134.4 45 265 17
	N. A. Geldart 12 312 119* 2	31.20	L. C. D. Robinson 40 0 172 10
	A. F. Pearson 12 323 79 0	26.91	C. G. MacGregor 46 12 116 5
	J. B. Ainscough 12 262 85 0	21.83	A. D. B. Cocks 108 23 339 13
	M. P. Davis 11 184 39 1	18.40	
	P. J. King 9 152 77 0 P. E. Hodge 13 176 57 1	16.88	BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.
		14.66 7.50	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
		7.00	B. A. Babb 15 321 77* 2
	7 7 7 7	4.14	R. S. Keens 16 220 39* 3
	E. A. Kelly 8 29 13 1	4.14	G. W. Howard 15 190 46 3
			T. Plant 15 140 45* 2
			S. E. Ayres 12 117 40 0
	AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BOWLING.		D. M. King 17 134 25* 1
	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average	C. W. North 14 88 32 1
	TO TO TAIL	12.15	S. J. Warton 12 69 13 0
	N. A. Geldart 148 12 532 42	12.66	L. W. Hodge 12 60 17 1
14.	M. P. Davis 47 7 121 9	13.44	The state of the s
*	A. S. Roche 101.4 15 277 20	13.85	BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.
	A. S. 100010 101.1 10 20	10.00	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
			L. W. Hodge 131 29 366 36
			G. W. Howard 106 32 314 27
	BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING		G. C. Fisher 69 13 218 17
	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average	C. W. North 72 16 214 16
	J. Wall	31.75	
	T T T 11	28.90	BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BATTING.
	B. G. Lee	22.53	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
	H. J. Arnold 14 250 66* 1	19.23	H. Williams 12 371 110* 1
	T. H. Crawley 10 52 16* 6	13.00	J. C. May 11 227 90 0
	P. A. Simpson 11 111 25* 2	12.33	L. H. Pearce 12 125 28* 5
	J. Mann	10.50	L. Wilson 6 67 33* 2
	F. W. Musgrave 13 88 28* 2	8.00	D. V. H. Asquith 13 181 29 0
	G. C. Sheldon 9 49 20 1	6.12	A. M. Olsson 13 167 35 0
	H. R. Hill 6 23 12 0	3.83	A. I. French 10 118 46 0
	W. E. Wolveridge 10 20 12* 1	2.22	F. G. Rust 10 78 19 2
			T. C. Baker 8 57 35 1
			G. C. Moutrie 6 26 14 0
	DANGDORDING GGTTOOT DOWNTANG		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.
	BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.		BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.
		Average	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
	J. Mann 121.2 25 359 36	9.97	H. Williams 210.3 26 682 39
	T. H. Crawley 139.5 40 343 27	12.70	J. C. May 50.2 6 192 9
	H. J. Arnold 97 25 252 17	14.82	A. I. French 124 15 428 18
	H. D. Lockie 100 12 360 22	16.36	
			BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.
	The state of the s		Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
	BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.		F. N. Shepherd 12 416 79 1
		1	J. C. Yates 11 332 101* 1
	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average	J. G. Parkin 11 312 102 0
	W. Bovell-Jones 11 689 173* 2	76.55	K. H. Bain
	C. P. Bailey 11 279 82* 3	34.87	R. V. Dewar-Durie . 9 145 39 3
	E. F. Stewart 10 216 84 2	27.00	H. I. Tremenheere 11 193 47 1

22.57

19.40

12.66 12.12

12.00

9.33

R. D. H. Arundell . .

A. R. Gabe-Jones ..

A. G. E. Speight ...

W. J. R. Gill

J. G. C. Allen

Public	School	Averages

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs		Wick	ets	Average
R. D. H. Arundell .			14		483	1.	28		17.25
J. G. Parkin W. J. R. Gill		• •	8		368 302	BA.	16 13		23.00 23.23
H. I. Tremenheere		1	17		292	801	11	avenue	26.54

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	3	Runs	E	Iighest i	nns.	Not outs	Average
W. R. N. Philps	13		425		142	BL.	0.	. 32.69
G. W. F. Lyon	14	No.	308		100		1 .	
J. C. Walter	14		254		63*		2 .	. 21.16
F. B. Eastwood			124		37	F	3 .	. 17.71
R. P. Peters	13		194		63		1 .	. 16.16
H. I. Sabey	8		800		27	2017	3 .	. 16.00
J. C. P. Wilson	10		521		38		0 .	. 15.20
L. G. R. Chester	14	4.V	162	1.	39	1	1 .	. 12.46
A. H. P. Kilcoin	9	MA	58	11.11	24*	0.70	2 .	. 8.28
F. B. Adams	9		33		12	10.	3 .	. 5.50
J. T. Bell	12		59		21	007	0 .	. 4.91

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	IS	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
F. B. Adams	159.3	(40)	34		436	 40		10.90
A. H. P. Kilcoin			18		492	 27		18.22
J. C. Walter	72		10		250	 13		19.23

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	E	lighest in	nns.	Not outs	Average
C. C. H. Gillmon		9	507		195	0.0	0	36.21
H. W. Bailie			448		96			32.00
H. C. M. Stone			413		101		1	29.50
M. V. Delap	14		186		47		6	23.25
J. H. MacElney	12		103		24		1	9.36
R. M. Byers	12	,	102		39		1	9.27
P. H. Perry G. L. Millington	15		111	385	29*		1	7.93
W. W. Barnhill	14 15	•••	86	••	34		3	7.81
J. Ritchie		Teorital GT	98 94	• •	20		1	7.00
W. E. Lyster			19		20 10*		0	6.71
	U	To the same of	19		10.		3	6.33

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	ts	Average
W. W. Barnhill			 508	 52		9.76
C. C. H. Gillmon	63		 228	 19		12.00
H. C. M. Stone	. 190	 51	 497	 38		13.07

CHARTERHOUSE S	SCHOOL	BATTING.
----------------	--------	----------

*		Inning	S	Runs	B	lighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
J. T.	Morgan	. 7		249		148*		2		49.80
	Barker			349		75		0		29.08
C. G.	Varcoe	. 11		298	- Color	103	2.3	0		27.09
G. T.	Kenyon	. 10		212		87	20	2	0.00	26.50
	Peel		100	285		94	99.	0		23.75
C. H.	Harker-Taylor	11		225		66		0		20.45
H. C.	Crawford	. 12		227		71		0		18.91
C. J.	Quiney	. 10		141		40	.:	0		14.10
W. H	. Lambert	. 9		36		12*		6		12.00
R. H	. Chittle	. 5		31	LAI	13		0	1.0	6.20
A. N.	Morgan	. 7		24		11*		2		4.80

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	Wick	ets	Average	,
W. H. Lambert	157	 25		542	 24		22.58	
C. G. Varcoe	100.1	14	10.	417	 15		27.80	
A. N. Morgan	91	 18	06	328	 11		29.81	
F. L. Barker	132.2	14		536	 17		31.52	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

I	nnings		Runs	H	lighest in	nns.	Not ou	ts	Average
A. L. S. Jackson	13		484		128		0		37.23
C. J. King-Turner	11		367		187*		1		36.70
K. S. Duleepsinhji	12		264		74*		2		26.40
B. C. Pye-Smith	5		97		49*	V	1		24.25
F. R. Pacey	11	×	167		29		3		20.87
H. H. C. Withers	9		140		36	1	2		20.00
N. Sharpe	10		185		56		0		18.50
D. R. S. Lumsden	10		96		48*		4		16.00
R. N. Foster	11		162		26		0		14.72
R. H. A. Foster	6		62		18		1		12.40
C. R. Harman	11		108		19		2		12.00
R. G. Hopkins	10		101		23		1		11.22

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
K. S. Duleepsinhji	234.1	 47	 683	 50		13.66
H. H. C. Withers	130.2	 15	 403	 23		17.52
C. R. Harman	217.1	 52	 565	 32		17.65

CHIGWELL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	3	Runs	Hig	ghest inns	N	ot outs	Average
G. S. Seward	. 14		464		129		2	38.66
P. J. B. Savill	. 11		148		34*		5	24.66
H. J. Burden	. 14		205		80		1	15.76
R. P. Hawkey	. 13		132		33*		2	12.00
R. W. Martindale	. 7	41.0	69	10.1.16	33		1	11.50
V. A. L. Hill	. 11		92		44		1	9.20
C. W. Adamson	. 10		44		15*		5	8.80
A. C. Hagger	. 11		94		35		0	
N. A. Burden	. 9		39		13*		1	
H. P. Robottom	. 10	S.	48		20		0	4.80
L. C. Down	12		56		25		0	4.66

Public	School	Averages.

CHIGWELL SCHOOL BOWLING.

Ove	ers	Maiden	S	Runs	tini,	Wicket	S	Average
	8.2	22	11.11.	243		30	• •	8.10
N. A. Burden 15 C. W. Adamson 5		33	80.9	482		47		10.25
	4.3 5.2	4 7		239		21		11.38
A. C. Hagger 5		7	11200	241 194		17		14.17
01		1		194		11		17.63

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnings		Runs Highest inns.		Not o	uts	Average		
A. W. Childs-Clarke	9		243		77		2		34.71
G. H. H. Collins	8		189		51*	923	2	5	31.50
T. N. Pearce	10	(S) (S)	255		100		0		25.50
C. Y. Morgan	6		89		36		1		17.80
F. H. Kemple	7		101		35		0	COURT OF	14.42
M. K. de C C. Spence	9		96		22*		2		13.71
H. E. Wright	8	B	71		33*	20.5	1		10.14
E. Slade	8		60		16		0		7.50
A. E. Webb	6		25		15*		2		6.25
J. A. Woodard	8	8 . 5	30		11*		1		4.28

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. K. de C. C. Spence		12	 295	 21	14.04
A. E. Webb		7	 292	 19	 15.36
A. W. Childs-Clarke	159.3	 18	 481	 26	 18.50

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING.

		Innings		Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
E. R. Lawrence			316		83		. 3		28.72
W. R. Francis			294		79	1000	1		21.00
A. M. Gibb			197	Biblio I	62*	3217	1		15.15
V. C. Hawker	. 12		150		39	125	2	gelos	15.00
E. Fulford	. 9		73		14		4	HER	14.60
C. F. Warr			174		32		1	Trans.	12.42
A. W. J. Savidge			103		27*		2		11.44
A. J. R. Blok			71		22*		3		10.14
L. J. Hart			80		17		2		10.00
L. D. Gilliam		11000	66		24		1		8.25
G. L. M. Tippet	. 6	0.00	29		15		0		4.83

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING,

	Overs		Maide	ens	Runs	Wicket	s	Average
W. R. Francis			37	Saul.	422	 38		11.10
V. C. Hawker			12	- U.S.	248	 16		15.50
A. W. J. Savidge					220	 12		18.33
C. F. Warr	. 81	1	17		254	 13		19.53

(LIFT	ON	COLLE	BAT					
	Inni	ngs	Runs	В	ighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
W. M. Hampton .	14		523		94		1		40.23
V. B. Powell	12		337		82		1		30.63
O. M. Robson	14		333		61		2		27.75
D. E. Kennedy	12		305		79		0		25.41
E. H. L'Estrange .	14		313	250	105*		1		24.07
R. F. P. Holloway		3	101		24*		1		20.20
C. R. Spencer		2	199		49		2		19.90
D. D. Homan		2	124		36*	-	1	100	11.27
J. E. Coates		2	35		12*	94.	7		7.00
C. S. Barlow					19		0		6.00
				- China	and the second distance of				

	CLI	CLIFTON		COLLI	EGE	BOW.			
		Overs		Maider	ns	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
W. M. Har	npton	60.2		18	V-1943	145	11		13.18
D. D. Hon				41 -		576	40	1	14.40
C. S. Barlo	w	219		41		694	 39		17.79
J. E. Coate				12		545	 24		22.70

DEN	STON	E	COLLE	GE	BAT	TIV	G.		
	Innings	3	Runs		lighest in				Average
F. T. Lutter	12	×	304		112*		1	10.	27.63
D. P. Lutter	12		266		64*		1		24.18
R. J. Lofthouse	9	2.0	83		21	S4			
D. E. Forbes	11	100	114		43*				11.40
H. R. Lewis	8	54	71		33				10.14
A. S. Richards	10		100		25		0	100	10.00

	DEN	STON	Œ	COLL	EGE	BOW				
	(Overs		Maiden	S	Runs		Wick	ets	Average
H. R. Lewis .		41.1		7		100		7	10035	14.28
D. P. Lutter .		172.3		25		459		27		17.00
F. T. Lutter .		132.5		20		489	1000	28		17.46
G. C. Sutton .		103.2		8		404		18		22.44
			11.00				0.30			

	DO	VER	C	OLLEGI	E	BATTI	NG.			
	I	nnings		Runs		Highest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
J. E. Conway		13		230		54	17.	4		25.55
L. R. Mayall		13		207				. 3		20.70
R. C. N. Jenney .		13		265		60		0		20.38
J. W. W. Parr		13		221				0		17.00
W. R. Jenney		13		202				0		15.53
K. H. Nethersole		13		54				9		13.50
A. R. Denne		13		158				0		12.15
P. H. Normand .		13		134				1		11.16
W. H. A. Harris	200 10	13		107		30*		3		10.70
S. C. Smallman .		7		61				1		10.16
L. H. A. Watson,		11		46		20		4		6.59

	DOVER	(COLLE	GE :	BOWL	ING			
	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	,	Wicket	S	Average
W. R. Jenney	54		3		196		13		15.07
K. H. Nethersole			26		653		43	ALC: US	15.18
P. H. Normand .			28		683		45	•	15.18
J. E. Conway	66		5	• •	296		10	••	29.60

Public	School	Averages

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING.	EASTBOURNE CO.
P de Bromboed 19	Innings Runs
M I Turphull 11 100 FG	R. E. Frearson 18 604
	C. E. W. Mackintosh 14 378
	W. I. N. Strong 13 194
A. P. Allan 12 198 39 1 18.00	J. W. Hanford 18 272
B. R. Turnbull 12 199 43 0 16.58	N. H. Robinson 14 193
R. B. Lamb 10 147 40 1 16.33	R. F. Bateman 12 144
P. T. Clarke 9 102 23 1 12.75	B. A. J. Crummy 16 180
K. P. Turnbull 12 144 51 0 12.00	G. R. Frerichs 8 89
S. N. Turner 10 97 24 0 9.70	A. B. Carter 12 151
M. C. Walter 7 60 25 0 8.57	R. A. Howell 15 120
R. R. Scrope 8 25 12 1 3.57	W. A. S. Ellaby 9 35
	B. Gubbins 4 18
DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING.	
A. P. Allan 130.5 12 489 22 22.22	EASTBOURNE COI
K. P. Turnbull 60 4 245 10 24.50	Overs Maider
R. R. Scrope 100 8 369 14 26.35	W. A. S. Ellaby 160.3 25
D. N. Byrne 64.3 9 252 9 28.00	W. I. N. Strong 34 5
	R. E. Frearson 170.3 12
DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.	J. W. Hanford 49 5
	B. A. J. Crummy 74 5
E. H. P. Corbin 14 295 58* 5 32.77	N. H. Robinson 66 6
0 77 11	211 221 200 Mischi 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0
D W D'-	
B. W. Rix	EDINBURGH ACA
C. A. Osborne 12 202 64* 3 22.44	
E. H. Davison 14 262 67 1 20.15	Innings Runs
F. K. Truman 13 157 44* 3 15.70	G. G. Fowler 18 339
E. C. P. Whiteley 13 164 38 2 14.90	R. W. Nicolson 19 347
K. J. Stark 10 148 42 0 14.80	C. D. L. Murray 17 184
F. B. Wilson 5 53 28 0 10.60	J. B. L. Munro 17 205
M. E. O'Brian 8 84 32 0 10.50	G. Walker 19 215
G. M. Mahon 9 39 14 0 4.33	E. G. Strachan 7 77
	J. A. Wright 17 133
DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.	R. L. Johnstone 14 102
	H. D. Thomson 17 151
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	J. A. Craig 13 40
F. K. Truman 139.2 24 403 26 15.50	
E. C. P. Whiteley . 94.4 . 16 . 344 . 22 . 15.63	
E. H. P. Corbin 117.2 20 364 23 15.82	EDINBURGH ACA
C. Hewett 104 17 382 23 16.60	Overs Maide
B. W. Rix 58 4 241 11 21.90	J. A. Craig 196 62
The Ballianian of the second o	G. Walker 199 53
DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.	H. D. Thomson 88 19
	J. Chiene 53 6
TE TE TE	9. Official
F R Brown 7 110	
1 T TO 11	EMANUEL SCH
T 34 W. II.	Innings Runs
L. M. Walker 6 43 13 1 8.60	C. Holloway 14 300
R. B. Waller 5 19 14 2 6.33	J. R. Cole 14 172
	F. J. Tappin 9 81
DUNSTABLE GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.	G. M. Robinson 13 118
	E. Parker 13 99
T 35 TY 11	F. A. J. Cole 14 112
P C Streeten 90 1	N. S. Deeks 77
	C. W. Comber 11 57
F. R. Brown 51 11 138 9 15.33	A. J. Head 11 60

EASTBOURNE	COLLEG	E BATT	ING	
Innings		ighest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. E. Frearson 18	604	134*	6	50.33
C. E. W. Mackintosh 14	378	117	3	34.36
W. I. N. Strong 13	194	43	3	
J. W. Hanford 18	272	68 54*	1	16.00
N. H. Robinson 14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	14.84 13.09
B. A. J. Crummy 16	180	00	$\frac{1}{2}$	12.85
G. R. Frerichs 8	89	48*	ī	12.71
A. B. Carter 12	151	37	0	12.58
R. A. Howell 15	120	36	2	9.23
W. A. S. Ellaby 9	35	12*	5	
B. Gubbins 4	18	11	1	6.00
EASTBOURNE	COLLEG	E BOWI	ING	
Overs	Maidens		Wickets	Average
W. A. S. Ellaby 160.3	Maidens 25	601	28	Average 21.46
W. I. N. Strong 34	5	161	7	23.00
R. E. Frearson 170.3	12	716	31	23.09
J. W. Hanford 49	5	190	7	27.14
B. A. J. Crummy 74	5	286	8	35.75
N. H. Robinson 66	6	287	8	35.87
EDINBURGH	ACADEM	Y BATT	ING.	
Innings		ighest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. G. Fowler 18	339	45	1	19.76
R. W. Nicolson 19	347	66	i ::	19.27
C. D. L. Murray 17	184	36	2	12.26
J. B. L. Munro 17	205	37		12.05
G. Walker 19	215	66		11.94
E. G. Strachan 7	77	$\begin{array}{ccc} 27 & \dots \\ 23 & \dots \end{array}$	0	11.00
J. A. Wright 17	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4	10.23 10.20
H. D. Thomson 17	$\begin{array}{cccc} 102 & \dots \\ 151 & \dots \end{array}$	22 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 0	8.88
J. A. Craig 13	40	13	7	6.66
or its orang			A DESCRIPTION	0.00
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		aluta in the second	
EDINBURGH	ACADEM			
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. A. Craig 196	62	439	37	11.86
G. Walker 199 H. D. Thomson 88	53 19	480 290	34 20	14.11 14.50
H. D. Thomson 88 J. Chiene 53	19 6	290	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.08
or chicks bo		200		11.00
EMANUEL	SCHOOL	BATTIN	G.	
Innings		ighest inns.	Not outs.	Average
C. Holloway 14	300	55*	4	30.00
J. R. Cole 14	172	33*	1	13.23
F. J. Tappin 9 G. M. Robinson 13	81 118	26 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	10.12 9.83
G. M. Robinson 13 E. Parker 13	99	21	The second secon	8.25
F. A. J. Cole 14	112	44		8.00
N. S. Deeks 13	77	26*	1	6.42
C. W. Comber 11	57	16	2	6.33
A. J. Head	60	28	Edward Wilder	6.00

	publ	ic i	3ch	ool	Av	erag	108
--	------	------	-----	-----	----	------	-----

EMANUEL	COTTOOT	TO STITE TATO
EMANUEL	SCHOOL	BOWLING

F. J. Tappin		Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
	F. J. Tappin	59	 13	 159		
C. Holloway 168.1 27 634 28 22.60				 636	 46	 13.50
	C. Holloway	168.1	 27	 634	 28	 22.60

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	35	Highest i	nns.	Not c	nts	Average
W. J. Taylor	13		423		111		1	100	35.25
T. A. Mason	14		412		116*		1	allet.	31.69
A. L. Gashion		1	249		82	1	3	- ko	27.66
H. D. Mackay	11		166		50		2		18.44
J. S. Cotman	12		163		34		1		15.75
W. G. Harvey	9		114		40	10.0	0	-	12.66
J. W. O. Holmes	7		57		30*		2		11.40
G. G. Gillam	10		82		29		0		8.20
G. W. Ayres	8		37		16		2	Trans.	6.16
G. Rocyn-Jones	. 9		54		18		0	0.40.0	6.00

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	Wick	ets ·	Average
H. D. Mackay	. 239	 70	14.	491			9.82
J. S. Cotman	. 207	 50		577	 58		9.94
J. W. O. Holmes	. 46	9		116	 11	33000	10.54

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	(S	Runs	Н	ighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
N. Llewelyn-Davies .	10	div.	223		73*		3		31.85
R. G. M. Kennerley-			440						FILL STATE
Rumford	9		118		54*		5		29.50
E. W. Dawson	14		375		80		1		28.84
J. E. Hurley	14		356		60		1		27.38
F. G. B. Arkwright .	13		262		61		3		26.20
G.S. Incledon-Webber	8	T. A.	149	4.	80	112.7	2		24.83
Lord Dunglass	10	WELL I	209		66	20	1		23.22
W. P. Thursby	9		163		55*		1		20.37
N. R. Barrett	5		75		20		1		18.75
G. K. Cox	10		160		51		0		16.00
M. R. Bridgeman	4		27		21		1		9.00

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	s	Average
J. E. Hurley	. 22	 3	 87	 7		12.42
Lord Dunglass	76.3	 25	 201	 16		12.56
M. R. Bridgeman .	. 145.4	 34	 479	 24		19.95
G. K. Cox	145.3	 36	 461	 23	4.000	20.04
G. S. Incledon-						
Webber	67	 13	 282	 11		25.63
N. R. Barrett		 3	 156	 5		31.20

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	H	ighest i	nns.	Noto	uts	Average
C. Morley-Brown	. 12		320		119	08.	1	A LOND	29.09
J. W. Smellie		E Per	103		37*		4		25.75
L. A. M. Parsons	. 11		234		63		0		21.27
R. J. J. Pugh-Cook	. 8		119	54	50*		2		19.83
B. E. L. Burton			132	1	43*		2		18.85
G. H. Lintott			203		63		0		18.45
G. W. S. Burton			122		34		1		17.42
L. H. B. Light			160		45		2		16.00
G. R. R. Brown		1	46		24	To vers	3		15.33
H. F. Lintott		L	130	100	39	111111	1		13.00
J. G. Tomlinson		art of the	52	S	19*	mad.	1		8.66

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	Wickets		Average	
J. W. Smellie	164.3		36		498	 35		14.22
G. R. R. Brown	163.1		32		586	 33		17.75
G. H. Lintott			4		115	 6		19.16
B. E. L. Burton			7		170	 7		24.28
C. Morley-Brown			7		178	 6		29.64
J. G. Tomlinson	42.2		5		208	 6		34.64

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	inning	S	Runs	н	ignest ii	ms.	Not outs	Average	
J. L. Jekvll	. 15		225		53		0	15.00	
A. J. Buffham	. 14		179		53*		1	13.76	
K. A Latter	. 12		147		30*		1	13.36	
B. J. Van der Gucht	9		81		26		0	9.00	
R. A. Staddon	. 14		115		17		0	8.21	
G. N. Lewis	. 14		114		26		0	8.14	
C. V. Leefe	. 15		104		277		0	6.93	
H. G. Turner	. 12		52		13		3	5.77	
C. R. Gillard	. 11		60		13		0	5.45	
B. D. Kennett	. 13	S. B	56		13		0	4.30	
C. W. V. Gane	. 14		23		7*		7	3.28	

FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wick	ets	Average
J. L. Jekyll	. 206	 28	 739		43		17.18
C. W. V. Gane	. 145.5	 23	 596	. 10	34		17.52
R. A. Staddon	. 73.5	 16	 231		11		21.00

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	3	Runs	Highest inns.			Not or	its	Average
D. B. Gadsdon	. 21		530		100*		2		27.89
H. F. Burbidge	. 16		222	J Y	53*	3.	4		18.50
J. M. Badcock	. 18		248		68		2		15.50
C. Humphreys	. 18		274		74		0		15.22
J. F. Young	. 18		206		50*		3		13.73
E. D. Rash	. 20		227		61		1		11.94
H. I. Podd			156		47*		3		11.14
P. J. Harman	. 22		205		38		1		9.76
A. E. Frank	. 15		115		29		1		8.21

13.00

12.00

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

Commission of the No. of the Co.	Overs	Maider	Duna	TTT: -1-			
		Maidel	18	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
D. B. Gadsdon	291	61	18	552	 76		7.26
H. I. Podd	67.7	 11		269	 32	nutin	8.43
J. Blooman	203.2	 52		590	 67		8.80
H. F. Burbidge	98.8	15		296	 22		13.45
P. J. Harman	48.4	 12		181	 10		18.10

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Highest i	nns.	Not	outs	Average
G. W. Jordan			183	 69*		2		18.30
R. Parkinson			159	 55*		1		14.45
L. M. Scrutton			154	 54		0		12.83
J. Kilpatrick	. 12		136	 26		0		11.33
D. C. Cumming			42	 20		2		10.50
A. Coats			93	 28		2		10.33
J. N. N. Robinson .			64	 23*		2		6.40
J. Moore	. 12		59			2		5.90
J. S. Redmayne	. 9		36	 14*		2		5.14

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	3	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
J. Moore		4		75	 8		9.37
D. C. Cumming	39	 6		94	 8		11.75
I. R. Alder	35	 6		112	 7		16.00
G. W. Jordan	112.3	 23		365	 18		20.27

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE	Inning	nnings		Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
R. E. H. Hudson	14		956		191		0		68.28
G. A. C. Hamilton			250		64		1		20.83
R. T. Sheppard			40		27		1		20.00
K. D. Sanders			263		57		0		18.78
R. J. O. Meyer	14		260		69	V	0		18.57
A. F. H. Smythe			90		35	2	. 0		15.00
W. F. P. Whitmarsh	10		90		30		2		11.25
R. W. Lisle-Carr	11		106		31		1		10.60
R. F. Bonallack	12		73		19		4		9.12
C. W. Tiplady	11		49		24*		5		8.16
H. A. G. Vanderfelt	9	Teach.	32		12*		3		5.33

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
R. W. Lisle-Carr 72	 10	 193	 14	-	13.78
R. F. Bonnallack 204.2	41	 519	 37	940	14.02
C. W. Tiplady 123	 26	270	 19	1	14.21
G. A. C. Hamilton 37	 6	 99	 6		16.50
R. J. O. Meyer 217	 37	 526	 28		18.78

The state of the s	nning	S	Runs	I	lighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average	
C. S. Crawley	10	F	372		85*	·	5		74.40	
H. F. Bagnall	11		424		119*		2	005100	47.11	
P. H. Stewart Brown	11		291		71*		4		41.59	
L. G. Crawley	11		356		106		0		32.36	
R. H. Baucher	9		170 .		46*		2		24.28	
I. G. Collins	11		243		63		0		22.09	
H. J. Enthoven	11		214		73		0		19.45	

78

36

38

6

11* 11

M. Powell

W. E. Anderson

K. E. Crawley

F. O. G. Lloyd

	HA	RRO	w	SCH	JOC	BOWI				
		Overs		Maide	ns	Runs	1	Wicke	ts	Average
W. E. Anderson		157.3		23		580		39		14.87
H. J. Enthoven				31		512		33		15.51
F. O. G. Lloyd .				3	2	307	• •	14		$21.92 \\ 25.00$
M. Powell		55		10		200		0		20.00

and the L	LIGHGA	SCHOOL BETTING.							
	Inning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not ou	Average	
N. E. Cook	14		478		138		0		34.14
L. Grundy			339		89*		2		30.81
R. W. V. Robins			384		96		0		27.42
G. N. Paxton	10		109		24		4		18.16
V. K. R. Bedford	11		192		55		0		17.45
G. D. Lehmann	12		126		28		1		11.45
D. W. Spong	9		100		35		0		11.11
M. A. Jones			98		29*		2		9.80
H. S. Mills	12		58		21		1		5.27
J. A. Carter	10		28		13*		4		4.66
L. C. H. Grice	8		17		6*		3		3.40
L. C. H. Grice	8		17		6*		3		3.40

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

andray a alternative)vers	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ets	Average
J. A. Carter	32.5	1	 159	 12		13.25
N. E. Cook		28	 703	 34		20.67
R. W. V. Robins	209.4	37	 663	 28		23.67
G. N. Paxton	60	13	 221	 9		24.55

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BATTING.

Experience (Value of	nning	s	Runs	H	ighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average	
L. R. Dixon	13		285		63		1		23.75	
G. E. Comber	13		230		84		0		17.69	
A. E. Mason	13		112		29		6		16.00	
J. G. Youngman	13		188		45		1		15.66	
C. D. Hutchinson	13		184		46		0		14.15	
A. R. Billinghurst	11		149	4000	45		0		13.54	
G. L. Hankey	13	9	174		39	0	0		13.38	
F. du B. Wilson	11		104		49		0			
G. J. Lattimer	12		90		24		1		8.18	
H. W. Comber	7		48		18		1	1100	8.00	
C. R. Queisser	11		44		10		3		5.50	

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
G. L. Hankey	. 185.2	4.	47	460	 41	41120	11.21
C. R. Queisser			16	156	 12		13.00
W. G. Michels			12	89	 6		14.83
G. J. Lattimer	. 163.2		34	460	 24		19.16

KING EDWARD SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	H	lighest in	ns.	Not o	uts	Average
R. Pascal			363		100*		4		45.37
E. W. Rigby	. 10	-	203		76*		2		25.37
H. A. Cohen		13.55	116	94.4	41		4		19.33
E. A. Knight			144		38*		2		18.00
N. Bedingfield			143		44		1.		11.91
B. P. Bryant	. 12		73		16		1	023011	6.63
H. E. Pooler			25		12		1		6.25
J. E. Mayell			52		27		0		5.78
L. B. Rawson	. 5		20		9		1		5.00
W. J. Bridger	. 7		29		25*		1		4.83
L. G. Earp	. 5		7		5		1		1.75
R. J. P. Brown	. 6		10	C	4		0		1.66

KING EDWARD SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	Wick	ets	Average	
R. J. P. Brown .		38		260	 37	15555 14	7.02	
R. Pascal		14		167	 22		7.59	
E. A. Knight		8		128	 16	and the same	8.00	
J. E. Mayell		44		280	 33	4.41	8.48	
N. Bedingfield	31	 3	• • •	151	 10	10310	15.10	

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
H. G. Ayre	14		304		106	1.	2		25.33
C. A. Norton			295		55		0	102 12	22.69
J. A. McDonald	12		194		66		2	200	19.40
T. H. Y. Bonsey	12		172		55		2	223	17.20
E. H. S. Walker	13		148		39*		4	-	16.44
H. Raynham			132		41		0		14.66
C. A. Barkshire			184		42		1		14.15
F. W. L. Evans	11		52		16*		5		8.66
L. F. R. Barker	11	MANUEL STREET	94		34		0		8.54
W. A. Henley	11		5.7		15		4	He.	8.14
E. B. Tremlett	11		52		15		0		4.72

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.

Overs		Maidens	1	Runs		Wick	ets	Average
L. F. R. Barker 136.1		16		457		28		16.32
C. A. Norton 52		4		231		14		16.50
W. A. Henley 85		10		335		17	1010	19.70
J. A. McDonald108.1	4.4	14	4.4	329	99	16	11	20.56

KING'S	SCHO	OL.	CAN	CER	BURY	, I	BATT	ING	
	Inning	s	Runs	H	ighest in	ns.	Not o	ats	Average
K. I. Topliss	15	TO A	544	N. N.	132*		1		38.85
C. V. Haines	15		419		87		1		29.92
F. H. Sayers		100	369		77				28.38
R. H. Osborne	14	AGE.	226		44*				18.83
H. A. Browning			235		47		0		15.66
C. H. Gadney	13		140		40		3		14.00
A. L. Mizen			129		46*		1		11.72
G. D. G. Heyman		11.0			17		1		9.83
O. H. de St. Croix			72		24	0.33	2		9.00
G. C. Page					16*		3/		7.00
J. F. M. Cartwright .	12		61		10		1		5.54

KING'S	SCHOOL,	CANTERB	URY.	BO	WLI		
No. 25 February	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wicke		Average
R. H. Osborne	183	36	520		32		16.25
G. C. Page			410		24	0.00	17.08
C. V. Haines		32	561		25		22.40
G. D. G. Heyman	108	23	315		10	: 11	31.50

KING'S	COLLI	CGE	SCI	HOOL,	W	IWRT	EDO	N,	BAT	TING.
		nnings		Runs	H	ighest in	nns.	Not or	uts	Average
P. Na Ranon		14		375		74		3		34.09
R. Briggs				306		50		0		21.85
R. E. Pearse				231	04,15	65	23	1		17.76
L. M. Sivers				230		36		0		16.42
W. F. Thorn		11		98		27*		4	400	14.00
E. W. Berry		11		123		35	S	2		13.66
N. F. Zabell				73		26		3		12.16
D. A. Collier				148	17.	17		0		11.38
L. Na Ranon		14		124		26*		2		10.33
K. G. McNei		12		108		44	-	0		9.00
A. C. H. Sha		10		34		12		2		4.25

KING'S CO	SCI	HOOL,	W	IMBLE	DO	N,	BOWLING.		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs				Average
E. W. Berry	164.3	80.	26		494				14.52
W. F. Thornhill					410		26		15.76
L. Na Ranong					393		24		16.37 22.92
A. C. H. Sharp	100.4	• •	10		298		13		24.94

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	nnings	Runs	H	ighest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. H. Newman	11	433		171*	1	43.30
J. K. Reid	10	181		60	2	22.63
P. E. Eve	11	220		86	1	22.00
J. W. Burrough	11	195		52	0	17.72
K. S. Machin	10	124	3	53	2	15.50
A. R. A. DeGarston	4	60	45000	27	0	15.00
F. A. Ferguson	4	51	data.	43	0	12.75
R. S. Machin	11	98		31	0	8.90
R. G. W. Melsome	11	77		45	0	7.00
H. F. Pearse	8	54		34	0	6.75
J. H. Goodman	5	16		7	0	3.20

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
R. G. W. Melsome .	. 184	 45		398	 34		11.70
J. W. Burrough	. 234	 66	000	569	 39		14.58
P. E. Eve	. 190	 56	200	456	 27		16.88

LEYS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs	H	lighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
N. M. Bach	. 17		470		89		1		29.37
T. E. Field			300		52		2		21.42
H. K. Moulton			290		72		1		20.71
C. H. Robinson			238	A	64*		4		18.30
N. H. B. Thompson			310		61		0		18.23
S. H. Bach			219		56		1		15.64
H. S. Hargreaves	. 6		84		53		0		14.00
B. M. Schofield			167		23		2		11.11
J. A. Spurr			48		12		5		9.60
L. R. Smith			48		15		1	V	4.80
A. C. Baker	. 10		23		14*		3		3.26

LEYS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	ns	Runs		Wicket	ts	Average
L. R. Smith	37	37.	3	080	126	F	12		10.50
B. M. Schofield			27	50.	488		36	miles.	13.55
S. H. Bach			28	525	378		27	- VIEW	14.00
N. M. Bach			39		640		35	1150	18.28
J. A. Spurr	147		40		377		16		23.56

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	E	lighest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
S. T. Jagger	. 12		435		81		4		54.37
E. R. T. Holmes			442		98		1		36.83
B. E. Lewis			210		85		1		35.00
G. B. Legge		(1).	349		77	18	1		34.90
T. B. G. Welch			296		136	10.	0		32.88
J. D. S. Muirhead			285		52		0		23.75
J. W. Greenstock			114		39		2		22.80
L. S. Foster			132		89	11000	0		22.00
R. A. Parry	. 12		213				0		17.75
R. A. Orchard					22		3		17.00
C. G. Toppin	. 5		-57		23		0		11.40

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
E. R. T. Holmes: 225.3	28	 641	 43		14.90
T. B. G. Welch 97.1	15	 308	 20		15.40
S. T. Jagger 180.2	35	 556	 29		19.17
J. W. Greenstock 70.2	 2	 250	 9	101/10	27.77

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

planted Market T	nnin	28	Runs	E	lighest inns	. Not	outs	Average
H. H. Smith			111	91.	24* .		7	55.50
G. E. B. Abell	12		315		67*	. 1		28.63
C. R. W. Ashfield	14	E31.	296	8	114 .		3	26.90
R. H. Coleridge	11		242		57 .	. 2	2	26.88
R. A. Lord	11	1 10.	258		73 .			25.80
R. V. Whichello	9		205		54 .	. 1	l	25.62
E. A. Dearman	13		301		134 .	. ()	23.15
E. G. B. Faulkner	15		230			. 1		16.42
G. G. C. Streatfeild	12		188			()	15.66
R. Leach	13		147		32		١	12.25
W. R. Heard	9		97)	10.77
A. Sandford	7		43		21*		2	8.60
							1100 000	

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	arrital .	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
C.	R. W. Ashfield	65.4	17	 180	 21		8.57
R.	H. Coleridge	125	32	 360	23		15.65
A.	Sandford	131	23	 339	 21		16.14
G.	G. C. Streatfeild	83	20	 262	 16		16.37
R.	Leach	222.3	54	 526	 30		17.53
H.	H. Smith	108.5	26	 314	 16		19.63

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	rs	Runs	H	ighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
E. H. A. Boardman	8		133		59*		2		22.16
G. D. A. Norton	9		185		76	10	0		20.55
W. D. Crosbie	. 8		144	0	57	10	0		18.00
F. F. Spragg	. 9		138		62		0		15.33
F. C. O. Pridden			113		34		0		14.12
T. G. Hughes	7		66		41		1		11.00
E. O. Lacey			84		42		0		9.33
R. R. F. Maclennan	6		54	10.0	21		0		9.00
W. F. Phillips	6		30		12*		2		7.50
D. Ducat			54		17		0		6.00

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
T. G. Hughes						24.46
F. C. O. Pridden	83.5	 8	 334	 13		25.69

MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	H	ighest in	ins.	Not o	uts	Average
M. E. Edgar	. 7		201		66		1		33.50
G. H. Nicholls	. 7		123		75*		3		30.75
G. S. Hampton	. 10		184		53		1		20.44
P. C. Bryant	. 10	The state of	162		36*		1		18.00
W. R. C. Reid			164		44		0		16.40
W. H. Sobey			120		46		1		15.00
C. B. Salmon			122		29		1		13.55
H. M. Jones	. 9		97		32		1		12.12
J. P. Croisdale	. 7		67		27		1		11.16
E. H. Beart	7		53		22		1		8.83

M. T. Crick 194.2 R. O. Brooks 42 J. P. Bristow 125.3 L. W. Burton 31

P	ublic	School	Averages.
	uouc	BUILDOL	Averages

990	Fu	coirc School	oi Averag	es.				
	MILL HI	LL SCH	OOL B	OWLI	NC			
	Overs	THE REAL PROPERTY.	N 19 7/100 / 19 70					
M. E. Edgar		Maider		ins	Wick		Average	
T. F. Taylor	111	$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 12 \\ \dots & 32 \end{array}$		88 53	10 26		8.80 9.73	
J. P. Croisdale	112.4	33		33		nni.	16.43	
W. R. C. Reid	145.3	39	4	14	25	(dr.)	17.76	
G. H. Nicholls	66.5	10	2	17	9		24.11	
				4				
ED MARI								
MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BATTING.								
	Innings	Runs	Highe	st inns.	Not o	uts	Average	
G. O. M. Taylor	14	413	98		0	200	29.50	
F. F. S. Barlow C. G. Dann	14	172	70		0		12.28	
C. H. Ryde	14 15	155	48		1		11.92	
H. L. Hodgkins	on 15	170	64	and a series of	0		11.33 10.64	
J. E. S. FOX	19	117	24		0		9.75	
W. H. Orr	14	136	34	201	Ö		9.71	
W. J. Lloyd J. C. Watson .	9		28	*	3	400	9.16	
H. F. Osborne	$\begin{array}{cccc} \dots & 5 \\ \dots & 15 \end{array}$	42	18		0	H8594 8	8.40	
- Constitu	10	. 103	32	1.22E	U	• • •	7.26	
			48	0,00,0				
	211000000000000000000000000000000000000							
MOI	TKTON C	OMPE	TOOLIDS	DOD	TT TAL	a		
MOI			SCHOOL		VLIN	100		
	Overs	Maiden	s Ru	ns	Wicke	ets	Average	
H. L. Hodgkinso	Overs	Maiden	s Ru 17	ns 5	Wicke 15	ets	11.66	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas	Overs on 46.4 156	Maiden	s Ru 17 50	ns 5	Wicke 15 40	ets	$11.66 \\ 12.57$	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas	Overs on 46.4 156	Maiden . 5 . 24 . 16	s Ru 17	ns 5 2	Wicke 15	ets 	11.66 12.57 12.69	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr	Overs on 46.4 156	Maiden . 5 . 24 . 16	s Ru 17 50 29	ns 5 3 2	Wicke 15 40 23	ets	$11.66 \\ 12.57$	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas	Overs on 46.4 156	Maiden . 5 . 24 . 16	s Ru 17 50 29	ns 5 2	Wicke 15 40 23	ets 	11.66 12.57 12.69	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas	Overs on 46.4 156	Maiden	s Ru 17 50 29 57	ns 5 3 2 1	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets 	11.66 12.57 12.69	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas	Overs 00	Maiden . 5 . 24 . 16 . 38	s Ru 17 50 29 57	ns 5 3 2 1 TTING	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow	Overs 01 46.4 156 95.3 196.5 OAKHAN Innings	Maiden	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes	ns 5 2 1 TTING	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31	
H. L. Hodgkinson W. H. Orr	Overs 01. 46.4 156 95.3 196.5 OAKHAN Innings 11	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes 52	ns 5 3 2 1 TTING	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25	
H. L. Hodgkinson, W. H. Orr F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkson G. O. Brooks R. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks R. O. Brooks .	Overs 01	Maiden 5 24 16 38 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes	rs 5 3 2 1 TTING t inns.	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkso G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks .	Overs 0n	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206	S Ru . 17 . 50 . 29 . 57 OL BA' Highes . 52 . 104 . 46 . 55	ns 5 3 2 1 .	Wicked 15 40 23 35 Not or 4 2 2 0 0	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkso G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks . C. J. Bell	Overs on	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 126	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA Highes 52 104 46 33	ns 5 3 2 1	Wicke 15 40 23 35 Not or 4 2 2 0 2	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75	
H. L. Hodgkinson W. H. Orr. G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkson G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks . C. J. Bell	Overs on. 46.4 156 95.3 196.5 OAKHAN Innings on. 8 11 12 10 12 12 12	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 1126	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50	ns	Wicke 15 40 23 35 8. Not or 4 2 2 0 2 2	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.40	
H. L. Hodgkinson, W. H. Orr G. F. Thomas. F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkson, G. O. Brooks. R. O. Brooks. C. J. Bell W. H. G. Saunt C. E. Clarke J. P. Bristow G. S. Ross.	Overs on 46.4 156 95.3 196.5 OAKHAN Innings on 8 11 12 10 12 12 12 16	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 126	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA Highes 52 104 46 33	ns 5 3 2 1 TTING tinns. * * *	Wicke 15 40 23 35 Not or 4 2 2 0 2	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75	
H. L. Hodgkinson W. H. Orr	Overs 0n	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 1126 1154 169 65 58	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50 37 39 19	ns 5 3 2 1 TTING t inns. * *	Wicked 15 40 23 35 35 Not on 4 2 2 2 1 0 0 0	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.36 10.83 6.44	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr. G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkso G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks . C. J. Bell . W. H. G. Saunt C. E. Clarke . J. P. Bristow . G. S. Ross . L. W. Burton . M. T. Crick	Overs on	Maiden 5 24 16 38 4 SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 1154 169 65 58	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50 37 39 19 22	ns 5 3 2 1 TTING t inns. * *	Wicke 15 40 23 35 Not on 4 2 2 0 2 2 1 0 0 2 2	ets	11.66 12.59 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.40 10.83 6.44 6.33	
H. L. Hodgkinson W. H. Orr	Overs on	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 1126 1154 169 65 58	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50 37 39 19	ns	Wicked 15 40 23 35 35 Not on 4 2 2 2 1 0 0 0	ets	11.66 12.57 12.69 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.36 10.83 6.44	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr. G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkso G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks . C. J. Bell . W. H. G. Saunt C. E. Clarke . J. P. Bristow . G. S. Ross . L. W. Burton . M. T. Crick	Overs on	Maiden 5 24 16 38 4 SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 1154 169 65 58	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50 37 39 19 22	ns	Wicke 15 40 23 35 Not on 4 2 2 0 2 2 1 0 0 2 2	ets	11.66 12.59 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.40 10.83 6.44 6.33	
H. L. Hodgkinso W. H. Orr. G. F. Thomas . F. F. S. Barlow H. D. S. Clarkso G. O. Brooks . R. O. Brooks . C. J. Bell . W. H. G. Saunt C. E. Clarke . J. P. Bristow . G. S. Ross . L. W. Burton . M. T. Crick	Overs on	Maiden 5 24 16 38 M SCHO Runs 101 218 202 206 154 169 65 58 57 25	s Ru 17 50 29 57 OL BA' Highes 52 104 46 55 33 50 37 39 19 22 10	ns	Wicke 15 40 23 35	ets	11.66 12.59 16.31 Average 25.25 24.22 22.44 17.17 15.75 15.40 10.83 6.44 6.33	

Maidens

36

9 ... 27 ... 0 ... Runs

Average 9.43 17.57 21.00 22.16

Wickets

60

17

	OTINDITE	COTTOOT	DAMMINO		
	OUNDLE	SCHOOL	BATTING		Avorago
W. L. Topham	Innings 7	Runs I 179	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average 35.80
A. H. Curtis		277	52	4	34.62
R. A. Ingle		392	93	0	30.15
F. K. Hutchinson	13	355	74	1	29.58
C. J. Webb	13	321	97	1	26.75
E. H. Walters	10	211	56	1	23.44
F. E. Greenwood.		147	67	1	18.37
P. B. Mair		107	28	3	17.83
C. Bray		161	47	0	14.63
E. P. Harvey	7	24	15 26	4 ···	8.00 5.62
J. P. Brewer	8	45	26	0	5.02
		249			
55.5	OUNDLE	SCHOOL	BOWLING	1 100 100	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. Bray		34	567	35	16.20
E. P. Harvey	165.4	22	618	28	22.07
J. P. Brewer	83	12	320	13	24.61
o. I. Diewei	00		010		
				39/24	
	RADLEY	COLLEGE	BATTING	G.	
	Innings	Runs I	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
A. E. Blair	21	979	152*	3	54.38
R. F. H. Amory .		456	81	4	28.50
F. C. Dawnay	\dots 21 \dots	462	82*	2	24.31
S. S. Robinson	17	269	83	1	16.81
D. C. Hudden	t 18	220	46 36*	3	14.66 13.13
H. C. Westmacott St. L. Morris		$\begin{array}{cccc} 197 & \dots \\ 108 & \dots\end{array}$		The state of the s	12.00
E. B. Park		108	41 55	0	10.62
J. E. S. Tyler		191	36	0	10.61
R. S. Freeman		17	6*	2	3.40
	12	23	8*	5	3.28
	DADITA	COLLEGE	DOME IN	a	
description along the	RADLEY	COLLEGE	BOWLIN	G.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
St. L. Morris		20	365	23	15.86
C. McConaghy		36	924	47	19.65
D. C. Hudden		51	957	45	21.26
A. E. Blair	115.1	14	461	18	25.61
		·			
	REPTON	COLLEGE	BATTING	G.	
	Innings		lighest inns.	Not outs	Average
F. G. T. Dudgeon		380	113	4	47.50
K F M Miles	6	132	56	2	33.00
N. C. E. Ashton	12	275	108*	3	30.55
A. C. J. German	13	362	90	1	30.16
E. K. M. Hilleary		380	89	, 0	29.23
G. C. Buxton		296	103	0	26.90
H. W. Baines	10	186	51*	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots \end{array}$	23.25
R. P. Crawshaw C. A. Winter	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	56 38	0.	17.66 15.81
		0.0	0.0	0	7.00
J. W. Wester N. H. Wood	11	0-	4.0	1	6.50
R. N. G. Griffin	.,, 6	0	4*	3 ;	3,00
442 417 515 222222	,	, ,	11	7.1	144

16

E. A. Pearce 106.1 . . M. C. C. Daniel . . . 140 . .

602

15

40.13

Public School Averages	Publ	ic .	Schoo	l A	vera	ges.
------------------------	------	------	-------	-----	------	------

20.82 28.40 30.90

11

331

14

C. W. Rowling 89.3 ...

Public School Averages.	Public School Averages.	533
REPTON COLLEGE BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. A. Winter 154.2 28 424 42 10.09 H. W. Baines 66 14 180 11 16.36 F. G. T. Dudgeon 93 19 228 13 17.53 E. K. M. Hilleary 91 5 316 16 19.75 R. N. G. Griffin 102.2 21 220 11 20.00 ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.	ST. ALBAN'S SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average 14.66 10.00 9.66 9.54 8.40 8.25 6.62 6.50 6.25 2.89
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Averag J. F. M. Holland 15 346 72 2 26.61 H. A. S. Smith 12 176 53* 5 55 21.7 T. Batson 15 301 76 1 21.50 E. J. I. Whiteroft 15 319 77 0 21.26 W. O. Wood 11 177 46 0 16.09	ST. ALBAN'S SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets R. M. Gregory 61 18 213 38 N. T. Mathews 130 35 287 37	Averages 5.60 7.75
F. Earnshaw 12 157 56 1 14.27 J. W. F. Footman 8 72 33* 2 12.00 E. Arnold 13 125 47 2 11.36 K. D. Gibson 14 142 67 0 10.14 E. A. Jones 6 35 15* 1 7.00 J. H. B. Unitt 9 31 10 3 5.16	ST. BEES' SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs N. A. Robertson 13 248 49 0 T. W. Bevan 13 148 29 2 B. X. Jessop 12 157 65 0 H. H. Lawrence 13 155 34 0 M. J. McK. Heap 10 103 76* 1 G. L. Ogilvy 12 129 38 0 A. G. Holloway 12 114 41* 1	Average 19.07 13.45 13.08 11.92 11.44 10.75 10.36
ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average J. W. F. Footman 97.3 16 306 23 13.30 J. H. B. Unitt 166.5 21 518 29 17.86 E. J. I. Whitcroft 69.2 10 313 17 18.41 H. A. S. Smith 186.5 24 620 25 24.80	To Pridmore 9 84 22 0 D. P. Charles 11 72 22 0 E. W. D. Crawshaw 11 52 16 3 A. S. G. Scott 10 15 4* 2 ST. BEES' SCHOOL BOWLING.	9.33 6.54 6.50 1.87
RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets D. P. Charles 133 26 378 32 A. G. Holloway 123.3 20 400 22 A. S. G. Scott 49.2 6 253 13	Average 11.81 18.18 19.46
Innings	ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTI Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs C. F. W. Mackie 16 342 82* 4	NG. Average 28.50 20.81 17.75 17.41 16.37 14.86 9.80 9.60 9.50 6.75 4.75
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average A. D. Cave 49.3 5 207 12 17.25 J. G. Pugh 59.4 7 218 8 27.25 A. J. H. Cassels 180.3 25 619 20 30.95 E. A. Pearce 106.1 10 450 12 37.50 M. C. C. Daniel 140 6 602 15 40.13	ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLI Overs Maidens Runs Wickets C. H. Roberts 139 31 392 28 C. F. Mackie 220 28 729 35 W. J. Snook 105.3 34 284 10 C. W Rowling 89.3 14 331 11	ING. Average 14.00 20.82 28.40 30.90

534	Public	School 2	1verages.		
ST. LAV	VRENCE COI	LEGE,	RAMSGAT	E, BATT	ING.
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. J. Berry	9	323 .	. 115*	1	40.37
A. Brown		266 .		1	33.25
R. C. Summerh		192 .		1	24.00
E. L. Grant	8	165 .		0	20.62
E. L. Bodell		157 .		0	19.62
E. W. Harrison		118 .		1	14.75
J. E. A. Bye G. M. Downes	8	82 .		2	$13.66 \\ 12.80$
L. T. Lyall		0.0			12.66
п. 1. Пуан		38 .	. 11	3	12.00
ST. LAW	RENCE COL	LEGE,	RAMSGATE	. BOWL	ING.
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. J. Berry		24 .	. 418	34	12.29
L. T. Lyall	78.3		. 247	17	14.52
G. M. Downes .	49	3 .		10	18.30
E. L. Grant	131.3	17 .	. 384	16	24.00
			/ Harrista		
	OM DATITIO	COTTO	OT DAMMIN	TO	
	ST. PAUL'S	SCHO		The second second	
C T OWC	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. L. Olliff	16	548 .	. 157*	1	36.53
R. J. Hillard	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{380}{91}$.	. 59	3	$\frac{31.66}{22.75}$
J. B. Wheatley H. W. Bailey	$15 \dots 15 \dots$. 41*	$\frac{2}{1}$	14.71
J. Greig		200	46	1	13.53
W. M. Steward			29	2	13.15
H. R. Pocock.		167 .	. 36		11.92
H. H. White	13	120 .	. 32*	0	10.90
J. R. Addams	14	135 .	. 33	1	10.38
G. M. H. Lewis	3 10		. 13*	4	9.50
G. L. A. Duder	ney 11	35 .	. 11	5	5.83
	ST. PAUL'S	SCHOO	DL BOWLI	NG.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. R. Addams		5 .	. 108	9	12.00
W. M. Steward	213		. 490	39	12.56
G. L. A. Duder		00	. 303	21	14.42
H. H. White .			. 463	29	15.96
H. W. Bailey	189	30	. 592	37	16.00
	077777700T	COTTO		dili dance	
	SEDBERGH	SCHOO			
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. H. Wood		365	. 147	0	28.07
P. S. Douty .	12	100	. 40	1	26.36 18.85
D. W. Purdie		100	70	1	18.85
F. Oxley E. Rickett		0.4	00	$\frac{1}{2}$	18.20
J. M. Atkinson			. 52	ő	17.40
J. A. Moores .		100	. 58	1	16.00
C. W. Harris .	12		. 30*	2	13.00
R. C. Wakefield		-0	. 44*	and the second second second	13.00
W. King	8	31	10	5	10.33
G. E. Jones	8	27	12	0	3.37

SEDBERGH	SCHOOL	BOW	LING.	
Overe	Maidane	Rung	Wielrote	

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	W	ickets	Average
G. E. Jones	59	 11		172		11	15.63
W. King	155	 33		442		23	 19.17
C. W. Harris		 14		233		12	 19.41
J. H. Wood	133	 28		387		19	 20.36

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	B	lighest in	ns.	Not or	uts	Average
H. E. Mitchell	13		416		110*	TV	4		46.22
H. F. B. Brown	11		245		104		1		24.50
J. Icke	11		218		67		2		24.22
W. H. B. Windebank			126		25*		1		14.00
R. A. Hitch			106		40		2		11.77
J. E. R. Dean			82		33		0		10.25
E. L. Hesse			31		14	1000	1		6.20
C. W. Frayling			67		19		0		5.58
S. B. B. Webb			21		9		3		4.20
G. F. Simpson			20		7		2		3.33
L. D. D. Brett	. 4		11		11		0		2.75

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

THE RESERVE	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets	Average	
J. Icke	85.4	 25	 176	 27		6.52	
H. F. B. Brown	148.4	 45	 258	 38		6.78	
J. F. Simpson		1	 170	 14		12.14	
W. H. B. Windebank	56.2	 10	 163	 12		13.58	

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	H	ighest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
J. S. Stephenson	. 13		778		130*		2		70.72
F. Robinson	. 13		274		60		1		22.83
A. E. D Penn	. 11		216		49		1		21.60
A. T. Barber	. 13	45.4	279		47		0		21.46
G. Clegg-Hill	. 11		211		77		0		19.18
W. Enderby	. 10		181		68		0		18.10
W. E. Protheroe-Bey	non 6		73		29		1		14.60
J. L. O. Andrews	. 12		158		49		1		14.36
J. M. Veitch			162		42		0		13.50
N. D. Peard	. 6		30		15		3		10.00

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
A. E. D. Penn	. 90	6		381	 24		15.87
N. D. Peard		 24	20.00	594	 33		18.00
J. S. Stephenson		 4		254	 10		25.40
F. Robinson	. 119	 8		544	 19		28.63
W. E. Protheroe-							W
Beynon	. 145	 23	0-0	578	 19		30.42

ublic	School	Averages,
-------	--------	-----------

BATTING. Not outs Average 28.42 27.00 24.14 18.76 15.63 13.29 12.84 8.33 6.00

Wickets Average

10.32

13.30 .. 17.54

> Average 46.50 37.75 36.44 27.75 25.40 20.00 20.00

17.22 . . . 14.87 8.57

Average

26.13 31.15 . . 31.88 ..

> Average 33.60 16.33 15.80 14.60 13.00 8.40 7.00 7.00 4.75 3.33

Average 7.85

9.83 10.11

11.27

.. 18.41

and a second of the second of	Public School Averages,
STONYHURST COLLEGE BATTING. Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average Average	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BATTING
F. A. D'Abreu 95.2 15 304 29 10.48 E. P. O'Flynn 192.0 32 436 31 14.06 A. L. D'Abreu 68.4 2 281 12 23.41 TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BOWLING. R. E. Crewe 243 47 723 70 1 R. B. D. Wright 73 8 266 20 20 C. M. Wright 178 26 614 35 R. M. MacColl 71 16 221 12
R. D. J. Wills 17 356 92 0 20.94 C. W. H. Howard 17 345 49 0 20.29 W. S. Muir 15 241 56* 3 20.08 W. S. Muir 15 233 64 2 17.92 T. F. Mitchell 5 77 49 0 15.40 J. H. G. Pell 12 97 49 0 15.40 J. H. G. Pell 12 97 24 3 10.78 H. M. Hammerton 10 107 38 0 10.70 R. C. Hubbard 14 78 15* 1 6.00 A. G. Greatrex 15 61 16 3 5.08 TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.	UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING. S. Hey Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs A J. C. Colebrook 7 151 52* 1 J A J. V. Richardson 11 328 117 2 1 C A
J. H. G. Pell Overs of the control o	UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets A G. E. M. Weir 123 9 601 23 2 J. V. Richardson 117.3 10 405 13 3 F. R. Terras 182.3 7 542 17 3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	WATSON'S COLLEGE BATTING. L. Craig Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs A. C. S. Shipley 5 168 73 0 3. V. L. Shearer 5 79 38 0 11 R. H. Ferguson 5 73 25 0 14 T. C. Garden 5 65 29 0 14 J. H. Mulholland 5 42 24 0 8 J. R. Purves 5 35 16 0 8 J. R. H. Peat 4 28 14 0 7 A. F. Blain 5 19 11 1 1 J. S. McLaren 5 10 6* 2 3
TRENT OULLEGE Overs Maidens Maidens Runs Wickets Average Average E. M. Geddes 198 38 615 46 13.37 P. H. Keigwin 56 9 182 12 15.16 C. H. Walker 213 43 627 40 15.72 J. T. Harbord 49 4 206 18 15.84	WATSON'S COLLEGE BOWLING. J. H. Mulholland 28.4 5 55 7 7 J. S. McLaren 63.2 9 177 18 9 V. L. Shearer 38.2 9 91 9 10 R. H. Ferguson 65.2 17 124 11 11

538	rublic School Aver	ages.	
WELLINGBO	OROUGH SCHO	OOL BATTING	VIII
Innin		ghest inns. Not o	
J. W. Stokes 14	484	143 3	44.00
R. C. B. Wright 12	283	87 2	28.30
E. H. Fryer 12 G. I. Ainsworth 8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		25.10 24.66
G. I. Ainsworth 8 G. M. H. Peck 12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33* 5 59 2	24.66
G. A. Hearth 10	159	72* 1	17.66
H. B. Richardson 5	43	28* 2	14.33
M. Seta 12	128	34 3 51* 1	14.22
W. H. Tomlinson 10 D. G. Coney 14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51* 1 48 1	14.11
D. H. Burgess 8	63	24 0	7.87
Symple state of the second		754-44025	
WIDT T TAXOD	TOTTO GOTTO	OI DOWN TH	
WELLINGBO			
W. H. Tomlinson 102		Runs Wicke	
R. C. B. Wright 125.5		446 20	17.90
G. I. Ainsworth 84	4	346 14	24.71
M. Seta 194.5	2 25	666 25	26.64
WELLING	TON COLLEGI	E BATTING.	
Innin		ghest inns. Not or	its Average
T. A. W. White 17	334	65* 3	23.85
S. C. Dobson 17	393	80 0	23.11
D. B. W. Murray 15 G. M. Hirst 12	192	59* 3 40 0	16.00 15.33
D. S. Allom 16	184	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.33
R. P. Harding 10	105	26 2	13.12
M. J. C. Allom 11	80	$\frac{23}{11}$ $\frac{1}{5}$	8.00
D. B. K. Young 12 H. J. M. Hyslop 10	49	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.00 6.50
11. 0. M. Hyslop 10	00		0.00
WELLING	TON COLLEGI	E BOWLING.	
			ta Avorago
H. J. M. Hyslop 69	maidens 7	Runs Wicke 261 . 13	ts Average 20.07
G. F. Haigh 127.5 M. J. C. Allom 81	3 19	427 21	20.33
M. J. C. Allom 81	12	305 12	25.41
D. B. W. Murray 162.5	2 26	508 18	28.22
	182		
WESTMIN			
Innin	011	ghest inns. Not or	45 00
C. H. Taylor 14 R. G. H. Lowe 14	*00	01	47.00
L. Clare 13	190	44* 3	40.69
W. N. McBride 14	231	102 0	16.50
E. R. Munt 11 W. L. Hartley 10	125	28* 3 56 0	15.62
W. L. Hartley 10 G. W. Stonier 13	138	56 0 26* 3	13.80
J. W. Jacomb-Hood 11	94	28* 2	10.44
R. W. Hartley 12 J. H. Blair 9	84	14 1	7.63
J. H. Blair 9 J. G. Tiarks 9	43	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.37
J. G. LIGILD 3	40	1	0.04

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	Wicke	ets	Average
C. H. Taylor	. 184	14	21	T	522	 41		12.73
R. G. H. Lowe	. 205		40		627	44		14.25
G. W. Stonier	: 106	ye	11		362	 22		16.45

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	Innings Runs			Highest inns.			uts	Average	
T. Birchall	. 14	The state of	348		71		1		26.76	
A. D. Morton			119		42		2		19.83	
P. J. Brazier			65		22		6		16.25	
J. D. P. Chapman .			211		68		0		16.23	
F. H. B. Woodd			152		20		2		12.66	
J. H. V. Lart			150		29	2	0		10.71	
A. G. Grimwood			90		36		1		10.00	
G. L. Jessop			105		36		0		9.54	
E. R. Knapp			129		29		0		9.21	
H. R. Gough			21		11		2		7.00	
J. R. Vernon	. 5		30		13		0		6.00	

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Over	Overs		Maidens		Runs		ets	Average	
J. R. Vernon									9.00	
G. L. Jessop										
F. H. B. Woodd	132		13		647		41		15.78	

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings			H	lighest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
H. W. Grant	. 17		524		96		1		32.75
C. Stack			420		116*		1		26.25
G. T. Harrison			201		46		7		25.12
J. W. Harrison			349		87		1		21.81
J. H. Amos			265		55		0		16.56
J. E. Dickson			81		38*		5		13.50
K. R. Wright			168		55		0		12.00
C. G. Chartres			121		26		1		10.08
T. M. Calhaem			123		28		0		9.46
R. Brenchley			110		17		1		7.33
E. C. Avenell	. 11		44		10		3		5.50

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets	Average
J. W. Harrison	250.5	 66	 592	 84		7.04
G. T. Harrison	176	 42	 346	 38		9.10
H. W. Grant	140.4	 24	 457	 31		14.74

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Innings			E	lighest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
J. L. Guise	15		670	5	144*		2		51.54		
I. S. Smith	14	34 . V	287		53	105	0	W. Call	20.50		
A. R. V. Barker	15		268		54	00	1	100	19.14		
K. G. Hoare	14		213		73		0		15.21		
A. J. E. Sealy			149		42		1		14.90		
G. E. H. Palmer	4		42		14		1		14.00		
E. H. Sinclair	14		167		48*		1		12.84		
E. N. Landale			99		24	MARK	3		11.00		
D. W. Hoare			41		16		1		10.25		
C. G. Stevens		S CONTRACTOR	69		26		1		9.85		
B. McGowan			103	-0	. 24		0		9.36		
D. G. Stewart		5	21		15*		4		7.00		

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	Maidens			Wick	Average	
J. L. Guise	. 215.3	 36		669	0-0	32		20.90
A. J. E. Sealy		29		547		24		22.79
R. A. Hornby	. 129	 20		412		17		24.25
E. H. Sinclair		 14		442	• •	16		27.62
D. G. Stewart	. 176.5	 39		508		18		28.22