# PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1923.

By H. S. ALTHAM.

In one respect at least the season of 1923 was the exact reverse of its predecessor: in 1922 we began gloriously, so far as the weather was concerned, only to be plunged into wet and gloom just when we were getting into our stride: last year we suffered for the first six weeks or so from such a spell of rain and wind and piercing cold that cricket was seldom enjoyable and often a misery: just when our loyalty to the game was strained almost to breaking point Fate relented and by the end of June we were panting where we had shivered, and bowlers were beginning to realise that the tide had turned strongly against them.

Now in so far as these conditions reacted on the training of the young cricketers I am inclined to think that in batting at least they did so unevenly: what I mean is, that on the dead and often difficult wickets of the early weeks one of two attributes—though preferably, of course, both—were almost essential to success—class or brawn. Where there was real batting ability they served to drive home the necessity for footwork and watchfulness in defence. and to develop the hook and the drive in attack: the less gifted, but sturdy batsman perhaps found defence rather beyond him. but being by nature well equipped for attack he would often collect a valuable twenty or thirty in quick time, when his correct but more artificial fellow would be intent merely upon keeping up his wicket. It was a trying time for the really young batsman and for his coaches: defence was naturally difficult, he had not the power to score much in front of the wicket, and the hook stroke needs both experience and skill if it is not to be a very dangerous expedient; what was perhaps worse, timing the ball was always difficult and timing and confidence go hand in hand.

For bowlers, on the other hand, the conditions were not so unfavourable—at any rate for those of medium pace who were thereby encouraged to bowl a length and give the ground a chance with such spin as they could command: the confidence of success stood them in good stead when later the batsman came into his own and demanded good length and a stout heart for his uprooting. Spin bowlers pure and simple were very badly handicapped by the

cold and judging from results never, in several cases, recovered. As a matter of fact the really slow and the really fast bowler is nowadays something of a rara avis in school cricket.

Before we turn to the personnel of the season there are just two questions that I have been asked to touch upon. Of the first, which concerns the placing of the field. I said something last year, but personal observation and the strongly expressed opinions of several expert critics tempt me to believe that in this respect school cricket is a good deal less efficient than it could and should be. No-one, nowadays, would like to revert to the once accepted system under which the cricket coach could, as on a historic occasion at Lord's, send out to the school captain in the field an irate and peremptory message: "Are you managing the bowling or am I?" But in the nature of things the management of the field is largely a matter of experience and imagination, and the first can be largely provided and the second stimulated by the cricket coach in conversation with the school captain before and after all trial games. Among the faults that seemed prevalent last season were—the placing of the slips too fine and much too near each other, mid off and mid on very much too straight ('picking the bowler's pockets' as one exasperated gentleman had it) and too close up on fast wickets, and the out-fields, especially deep extra cover and deep leg, attempting a futile compromise between letting go the one and saving the four. Then again there seems often enough to be little attempt made to adjust the situation in accordance with the peculiarities of the wicket or of the particular opposing batsmen. Personally I shall always believe in making a new batsman fight for his runseven the singles-on any wicket, but how often one sees an outfield and a deep third man standing idle and wasted when the batsman is, for the time being at any rate, intent only on keeping up his wicket. Conversely when once he has got set and is exploiting shots, he is often allowed to go on doing so, when a little readjustment of the field into an inner and an outer ring would be converting his fours into ones, and, what is much more important, making him fidgety and impatient.

The second point upon which I have been asked to say something is a much more delicate question, and I do so with considerable diffidence. There is a growing feeling in a good many quarters that school cricket, and indeed school athletics generally, is suffering from an excess of publicity. On the principle that the reading public really determine the contents of any paper, this publicity is perhaps surprising but it is none the less a fact. Eton and Harrow, and to a less degree Winchester and such schools as appear at Lord's, have been more or less accustomed to having their prospects discussed their performances criticised and their personalities described: but

of recent years the process has extended to practically every school of athletic standing: few school cricketers of outstanding performance escape altogether the ever-widening arc of its limelight, and "close-ups," whether by camera or pen, seem to meet a growing demand in not merely the purely sporting papers. Of course, the schools nearest London are most favoured or hardest hit.

Now it may be objected that the remedy lies in the schools own hands-to shut the door if they think fit and intimate politely that they are not at home to the Press. As a matter of fact cricket fields for the most part have no doors, and moreover most schools are perfectly ready, and indeed anxious to have the scores of their matches recorded and on big occasions at least the play and players described, for the sake of a widely scattered, but still intensely interested, circle of old boys. No amount of publishing of score sheets can do much harm: figures, more or less, tell cricket truth, and the elation of seeing three of them opposite one's name in print generally provokes Nemesis to provide a salutary and effective antidote. The crux lies in the match reports, and in the periodical reviews of contemporary school performances which are becoming increasingly common in the London Press. I am not suggesting for a moment that the writers-many of them players of distinguished experiencehave not the best interests of the game, and of amateur cricket in particular, at heart: it is their occasional methods that seem open to question. A school cricketer, worth his salt, should be able to stand both praise and criticism, but it is very important that both should be judicious, and based upon unmistakable evidence, and there does seem to be a growing tendency to write up school cricketers into a class to which they do not yet belong, to use superlatives when positives are adequate, to compare a boy to some great cricketer on the strength of a single innings or even of one or two strokes. As for the criticism, it will, I think, be generally agreed that except for slackness or breaches of the spirit of the game, this should be temperate and should err on the side of generosity: but what is more important it that the critic should be very chary indeed of advocating change in method unless he is quite sure of his ground. There have been cases—and recently—of good school cricketers paying more attention to comments in the press than to responsible advisers at home—and with disastrous results. Finally I record, as at least deserving of consideration, the opinion of one school coach of long experience, that in reporting two-day school matches experts. especially those whose names naturally carry great weight, should so far as is possible content themselves with description until the match is over and abstain from such criticism, whether destructive or constructive, as may possibly unsettle individuals, especially the captains, for the second day's play.

And now for a word or two as to a few of the leading interschool matches, and the two representative matches at Lord's. Harrow had done none too well in their trial games, and after defeat at the hands of Charterhouse and Winchester there were not wanting Jeremiahs to prophesy disaster in the big game at Lords, in spite of the notorious weakness of the Eton bowling. The batting of the Harrovians, however, which had rallied considerably in their last games, confounded the critics when it was put to the test, the bowling of both sides reached, by common consent, the lowest mark known in the history of that game for a generation, and a great innings by the Harrow captain in the last stage of the match won for his side quite an honourable draw. Apart from Stewart Brown's innings the outstanding features were the batting of Dawson, who followed up his great display against Winchester by an even larger and more patient innings, a very attractive partnership for the eighth wicket by Cobbold and Newman, the resolute hitting of the later Harrow batsmen, the splendid Eton off-side fielding, the waste of time over irritating and, it would seem, superfluous delays, and above all, the astonishingly bad length bowling. There were some who criticised Eton strongly for not forcing the pace on the second morning. but it is to be remembered that had Kennerley-Rumford not been incapacitated from bowling in the last innings, Harrow might easily not have saved the match.

Public School Cricket in 1923.

Winchester also experienced the strength of the Eton batting, or more accurately of Dawson's and Arkwright's, whose partnership of 301 is a record for this game, but the Wykehamists had batted well enough themselves on the first day to earn the draw which they effected, though not without quite a tense half-hour towards the end of the match.

In the other school matches at Lord's, Rugby, though showing improved form on that of 1922, were once again no match for Marlborough. I have heard it said that they rather over-estimated the difficulties of the wicket in their opening innings, and certainly they collapsed disastrously after quite a promising start. For some time Marlborough looked like winning only a small lead, six wickets being down for 113, but then a partnership of almost legendary good fortune between Smith and Harbinson put them on top, and only Hechle, who again batted excellently saved his side from an innings defeat.

Cheltenham and Haileybury had a ding-dong match, full of interest, and proved themselves two school teams well up to average. with very little to choose between them. Duleepsinhji was clearly the best cricketer engaged, and batted fully up to his reputation, the tail of each side wagged courageously at the critical moment, and Formby and Meyer both bowled extremely well,

Clifton came up to Lord's for their match with Tonbridge with an unbeaten record, and at no time looked like losing it, though the rain prevented a definite result, and under the rather depressing conditions prevailing the form of both sides was a little disappointing.

Apart from the matches at Lord's there are only two that seem particularly worthy of mention; the first is the rather surprising victory of Cheltenham over Marlborough by ten wickets, and the second the dramatic finish to the Repton and Malvern match in which the former, though risking defeat, went all out for a win, and thanks to a wonderful bit of hitting by Watts and fine sportsmanship by the Malvernians, just got home. But of both these games I shall have something to say later on.

The selection of the two sides for the first "Trial Match" at Lord's met with general satisfaction: in marked contrast with the preceding year the match was blest with beautiful weather, and played on a very fast wicket. For the first time since the war the Lord's Schools beat The Rest, and that by a margin of nine wickets, which on the actual run of the play hardly exaggerated their superiority. The bowling of The Rest was rather ordinary, and their batsmen, with the exception of Holmes and Blair, were out of form or luck. The former, however, played a splendid innings and confirmed all the good things that had been reported of him. For the Lord's Schools Duleepsinhji was in great form with both bat and ball, and Stewart-Brown, who captained the side well, increased his reputation both as batsman and wicket-keeper. The match excited considerable interest, over two thousand people paying at the gate, which is all as it should be, though curiously enough the attendance fell away very greatly at the game against The Army. In this match there was always "something-doing" in the wicket, the ball coming along at varying heights: the Schools' batting in the first innings against some very good length bowling by Captains Fowler and Kirkwood was most disappointing, only Duleepsinhji and Dawson playing within a measurable distance of their reputations, after the bowlers had done reasonably well to dismiss The Army for 235. Following-on they did better, Hilleary playing an attractive innings, and Smith making history with a wonderful piece of patient defence by which he defied the soldiers' attack for over four hours and a half, during which time he made 57. The Army took their task of making the 106 necessary for victory quite seriously and accomplished it with seven wickets to spare. On the whole the form of the Schools was rather disappointing, but it is to be remembered that the match comes at the end of a very arduous season, and there is little doubt that, by the time it is played, some of the leading school cricketers are, for the time being, tired or stale.

As regards the standard of school cricket in general I do not think that 1923 has strong claims to be regarded as a 'vintage year.' Certainly there was no outstandingly good eleven, though several were up to a very fair standard with apparently very little between them. The Eton batting was so strong that, as in 1922, I really cannot see any of the school sides beating them outright in a match of two days on a fast wicket. Conversely I am perfectly clear that before stumps were drawn in such a match the Light Blues also would have had their belly-full of fielding. Clifton alone preserved an unbeaten record. Winchester only just missed doing so, and Marlborough I know from personal observation to have been a strong, well balanced side: but the latter went down to Cheltenham by ten wickets, and Cheltenham in turn were well held by Haileybury. Malvern were obviously good and Repton beat them, whilst Sherborne showed perhaps the biggest advance of any team. No, I am not going to attempt any classification: suffice it to say that there were probably half-a-dozen sides who would have at least taken guineas to pounds for their chances against any school opponents: after all that is a very healthy and stimulating state of things.

Turning now to individuals, we may note with some satisfaction that of the stars of 1922, some at least have fulfilled their promise, notably C. H. Taylor, L. G. Crawley and N. B. Sherwell: incidentally it seems lamentable that no opportunity in county cricket was afforded to R. H. Hudson who, I am told, batted extremely well at Woolwich all the summer. Once again last year there were plenty of batsmen at the schools who showed good enough form to make their future development at the Universities and elsewhere a matter of interest, but real bowlers were few and far between. Formby (Cheltenham), Lowe (Westminster), Meyer (Haileybury), and possibly Forbes-Bassett (Sherborne), were about the best individual performers, and in Duleepsinhji, Jacomb-Hood, Tiplady, and Neve they had each a very useful support. Stewart and Grimston (Winchester), and Shorter and Baines (Repton) were also two pairs above the average, whilst Smith (Marlborough), Greatrex (Tonbridge), Terras (Uppingham) and Woolf (Malvern) were all of great value to their sides.

Of the batsmen I am inclined to put 'Duleep' just above the rest on the score of rather greater natural ability, and considerably greater resource than his fellows. Dawson has clearly earned a niche in the temple of fame, but he will have to develop his strokeplay if he is really to come to the front. Hey's defence was extremely good, but he too is very slow. The same may be said of Holloway. Blair and Holmes, Cassels and Arkwright were all good and were attractive players: the former, I am sorry to hear, may not have the

chance of playing much more cricket in England, but Holmes, with another year still to go at Malvern, has great possibilities. In Stewart-Brown, Abell and Ashton, the season produced three wicket-keeping batsmen of exceptional merit, who are likely to have a great fight for the vacant place in the Oxford eleven.

One other point, and these preliminaries shall end. At the end of last season Mr. Charles Toppin retired from the position as cricket coach to Malvern, which he has held uninterruptedly since 1887, an event which surely calls for more than a passing reference in any review of public school cricket. It is not merely that in holding this position for 37 years he has, I am inclined to think, set up a record unequalled by any resident school coach, professional or amateur, in the history of the game, though R. A. H. Mitchell was for 34 years in charge of Eton cricket. But Mr. Toppin in the first place rescued Malvern from the dangerous seduction of lawntennis, and then, blessed by fortune with a succession of brilliant natural athletes built up a tradition of batsmanship which, for attraction of style and splendid execution, can fairly challenge comparison with that of any other school in a similar period in the game's history.

In the theory of coaching he stands uncompromisingly for the doctrine that for boys, provided that the main principles are sound. attack shall come before defence, that stroke play must be learnt at school and defence will follow easily and efficiently in proportion to the need forthcoming for it. In these days, under the everincreasing influence of professionalism, such a theory is often proclaimed heresy, but Mr. Toppin remains unshaken, the more so, I suspect, because he regards cricket not merely as an art, but as a national asset, and believes that for it to continue to exercise the best influence on national character it must remain attractive and 'sporting,' and that this issue lies to a great extent in the hands of the amateur batsman. And when all is said, Mr. Toppin, as he leaves the stage, can fairly answer 'Si monumentum requiris circumspice.' Under his aegis Malvern have turned out a succession of extremely good sides, beginning with the Foster era in the nineties, continuing in the fine side under W. H. B. Evans in 1901, and F. T. Mann's all-round team of 1907, on through the times of Knight, the Naumann's, and Norman Partridge, down to the good elevens of the last two years. As a proof of their exceptional strength, particularly in batting, I am tempted to try to select a side of Malvernians from the years that enjoyed Mr. Toppin's guidance. Here it is :- H. K. Foster, R. E. Foster, C. J. Burnup, S. H. Dav, A. P. Day, W. H. B. Evans, D. J. Knight, W. S. Bird, G. H. Simpson-Hayward, H. L. Simms, N. E. Partridge. And even then there seems to be no room for W. L. and G. N. Foster, P. H. Latham F. T. Mann, or H. H. Marriott (a very fine player at school). Assuredly Mr. Toppin must have satisfying memories to take with him as he hands over the reins of office.

Once again Eton had, on results, a curious and rather unsatisfactory year. As against their ten draws, one defeat, and one victory of 1922, they could at the end of last season point to nine draws, no victory, and two defeats. Of the latter one was in their opening fixture with the Liverpool Club, and in the other the Household Brigade and Captain A. C. Wilkinson in particular, seized with both hands the chance offered to them by a sporting declaration. In the great majority of the drawn games Eton had certainly none the worst of the argument.

By common consent they were one of the weakest bowling sides that the school has known for many years. Bridgeman, their captain, and last year a dangerous bowler, anyway with the new ball, bore the brunt of the attack, and took most wickets; but for some extraordinary reason neither he nor any other bowler, native or visiting, could last summer swing the ball to any appreciable extent on Agar's Plough: with his swing went half his danger and at no time in 1923 could he be labelled as in any sense formidable. Nor was there any bowling discovery to counteract this loss. Kennerley-Rumford, whose tragic death in August on the eve of his departure with the Free Foresters to Canada was lamented by a wider than Etonian circle, seemed at the beginning of the year to have considerable possibilities as a fast bowler: with his great height he would in the old days have been most formidable on Agar's Plough, but on the present beautiful wickets it was very rarely that he seemed able to squeeze out the extra little bit of pace that would have made all the difference. For half an hour on the last evening of the Winchester match when the pitch was beginning to wear, he was very unpleasant to face, and it was a great blow to Eton when an old strain revived at Lord's and rendered him hors de combat for the crucial Harrow second innings. The rest of the bowling was very much of a muchness: there was no left hander, and no genuine spin bowler, though Cobbold, who could turn the ball and was slower than the rest, must be labelled a future possibility. Bateson was really the steadiest of the lot: Incledon-Webber, though he could bowl a good ball without knowing altogether how, was in the main terribly expensive and unreliable. So much then for the Eton bowlers, somewhat discouraged, perhaps, by the difficulty of bowling out very good sides on very good wickets, but neither individually nor collectively strong or even very steady.

Of the Eton batting there is a very different story to be told: the figures which open in the region of the fantastic, and average out at very high, speak for themselves. Cobbold and Newman

only went to the wicket six times between them and were only outed once each, so that their averages of 179 and 104 are not quite what they appear: but the great bulk of each aggregate was obtained in the all important match at Lord's, when together they produced just one of those eleventh-hour demoralising stands which one has almost come to associate with Eton cricket. Newman is said to be a rather laborious starter, but once set he has plenty of power and is quite ready to use it. Cobbold is young—very young to achieve the great distinction of a century at Lord's—and with his clean true style and good cricket temperament, he may very well develop into something out of the ordinary. Thursby had rather a disappointing season and was always a nervous starter, and Hurley quite failed to reproduce his first year's form; Kennerley-Rumford was a batsman of great possibilities who never quite found a consistent and ordered method, but when once safely over the first few overs he could and sometimes did play brilliant cricket, using his great height and reach to advantage. Webber is by nature an accomplished all-round athlete: he would play strokes or even perhaps an innings that would suggest great things, but temperamentally he was most unreliable, and whether in the slips, batting or bowling, he lacked the concentration which would have made the best of his unquestionable ability. Crossman, who as a wicket-keeper developed considerably as the season advanced, was a very useful left-handed bat of the cheerful driving order that seems natural to his kind. But the mainstay of the batting, and indeed, one may say, of the side, were Dawson and Arkwright; and very good they were. Dawson has yet to make his mark for his county, but his niche in school cricket is safe and secure with his two centuries in the one year against Winchester and Harrow: his motto is "Safety first," and though in better company he may have to modify its interpretation, it certainly made him a desperately hard nut to crack last season. In method he is rather modern—very strong on the on-side and a good back player: in temperament imperturbable and always anxious to learn. Arkwright had greater advantages of physique, and was a more upstanding and aggressive player: he had not quite so sound a defence, and was liable at times to be clean bowled playing forward for no very apparent reason, but he too was splendid at forcing the over-pitched ball on the leg stump, and could hit freely on the offside. His 175 against Winchester, in a partnership of 301 with Dawson, was a really fine innings.

The Eton ground fielding was very good: Kennerley-Rumford, Dawson and Hurley were quite exceptional at cover, extra cover, and mid-off; the one weakness lay in the slips, who were rather uncertain, and for the second year running had a bad day against Winchester.

Next year's captain will be D. M. Bateson: only Cobbold and Hope, the twelfth man, will be left over from the 1923 side, but of

batting at least there never seems to be any lack at Eton.

It was not to be expected that Harrow could maintain the form which last year had made them at least the equal of any school eleven. They started the season with but two old colours, their captain Stewart-Brown and the surviving Crawley: the attack had therefore to be built up afresh and the bulk of the batsmen to buy their experience in the stiff trial matches that every Harrow side has always to face. Not unnaturally the team for the first six weeks or so was largely experimental, and proportionately unreliable, and in mid-June their prospects for the great match at Lord's could not have been regarded as very rosy. Certainly their record up till then was not inspiring: Charterhouse had beaten them rather unexpectedly on the Harrow ground, and Winchester more decisively on New Field. On the other hand there had been some evidence in the club games that the batting of the side, if rather an uncertain quantity, was very far from being negligible, and that they had a bowler or two of promise, though not yet of sufficient performance to be labelled dangerous. As has already been seen the Harrow batting was at its best at Lord's, but the bowling at its worst, and the powerful Eton batting took full toll. Nevertheless, a young and inexperienced side came out of the ordeal with a very fair share of the honours of war, and delighted many of the critics by their confident and attractive batting.

In this department Stewart-Brown stood out some way ahead of the rest: he is strong and confident, orthodox in method and decisive in all his strokes: as a batsman alone he must have a future. but he is, of course, in addition a very good wicket-keeper, attractive in style, if perhaps a little uncertain with his catches. Crawley and Kemp both did quite well with the bat and the former has the makings of a really good player: at present he rather lacks judgment. but if he can settle down the family talent for ball games may well take him far. Foster took a long time to find his form, but he played one of the best innings of the match at Lord's, and has the natural gifts of a batsman. Hermon was rather disappointing, considering his promise of two years back, but the Butterworths both showed considerable promise: J. C. only came into the side late in the season, but proved himself a watchful player only needing increased power and experience; R. E. C. is a natural forcing batsman, confident and very capable in dealing with the wide-off ball. Brigstock, as he proved most conclusively at Lord's, has a true eye and a true swing of the bat: it is safe to say that he will not go in No. 11 this vear.

In bowling, the last-named and R. Butterworth were the two real possibilities: the latter I am sure is a bowler of promise with his

elastic run and action, and a natural gift for swinging the ball a little either way rather late in its flight: it was a perhaps inevitable tragedy that, bowling as well as he did from the start of the season, he was sorely overworked, and the life had gone out of him when he faced Eton. Brigstock was never sound in the back for long at a time, but with the new ball he was a dangerous bowler, and with another year in his loins he may bowl a good pace this coming season. Hermon and Crawley did a good deal of donkey work without very much to show for it on paper, but each could at times keep an end going fairly steadily. And then there is Andreae, whose bowling at Lord's was a wonder to behold: a genuine spin bowler this, with a flight low enough and a spin big enough to make him a real danger in school matches, if—and it is a very big if he can learn to control his length. The Harrow fielding was on the whole very fair and showed a good deal more energy than in some years past, but there is still room for improvement in the collective standard and the friends of Harrow cricket would welcome nothing more warmly than the appearance of a really first-class fielding side.

As regards next season hopes may run high: the old sorry tradition of a collapse at Lord's was not even reanimated by the triple run-out at the start of the match, and a new tradition of confident batting on orthodox lines seems well under way. With seven old colours returning Crawley is blest with considerable resources in both batting and bowling with which to face his duties as captain in 1924.

Winchester opened the season with but moderate prospects, but at the finish could look back upon their work with a good deal of satisfaction. True, they had only time to thank for saving them from defeat at the hands of Marlborough away, and after scoring well against Eton they ran up against some very good batting, and had for a time to set their teeth to secure a draw, but they won conclusive victories over Harrow and Charterhouse on their own ground, and in their club games did not once lower their colours until in the very last match the heat and their good friends the Green-jackets proved too much for them.

Perhaps there was not very much real distinction about their cricket, but they were in the best sense of the word a team, and had the no small satisfaction of knowing that by keenness and perseverance they had succeeded in giving of their best. The side could bat down to No. 11, as they showed at Eton, fielded energetically and, for the most part, well, though without much pretension to brilliance, and they had a good pair of school bowlers who together took seventy wickets at 18 apiece. As long as the wickets gave them any help Stewart and Grimston really did all that was required of them, and were at their best in the two school matches which were won.

When the weather mended the strain began to tell, and there was unfortunately no reliable change bowler to keep runs down while they might rest. Grimston, who bowled fast medium was, on his day, the more dangerous of the two, as he could spin the ball back quite sharply from the off, and wasted no time off the pitch, but Stewart was the real mainstay of the attack, and considering the immense amount of work thrown upon him his record was really fine. He had a natural swerve from leg, could bowl a good slow ball and above all he kept a length. Of the others who bowled, Awdry got several useful wickets and has possibilities if he can settle down; but Sinclair, whose leg-breaks had promised well last year, never found his form with the ball. In batting, however, he headed the averages and was the most naturally gifted player on the side: quickness of foot and a real instinct for timing brought him many runs in front of the wicket: at his best he was very attractive to watch, especially on the off-side, and he succeeded in improving his defence materially. Frazer started the term shakily, but found his form in mid-June and batted extremely well in both innings against Eton: he is very straight in defence, unusually good on the on-side, and with more experience and confidence should develop into a really good batsman. Horsley too is promising and sound, and so is Kingsley, a very young cricketer who thoroughly justified his selection by playing three excellent innings at critical times in three out of four school matches. McGowan was a useful hitter who played very well at Eton, and Palmer set a splendid captain's example by playing the best and highest innings of his life in the same game—and a very good one it was. Hoare began well on the soft wickets, but his right-handed methods got him into trouble when the sun shone. Awdry, Thring, and Grimston were all to be reckoned with as batsmen, and the side, as a whole, showed plenty of readiness to attack the over-pitched ball with a reasonably vertical bat.

Palmer was a cheerful and thoughtful captain who got the best out of his men, and though rather uneven behind the wicket, at times kept well. Next season C. E. Frazer will be captain: Awdry, Horsley, and Kingsley will be available, there should be a good deal of batting at hand, but the bowling may be a different story.

For the second year in succession Rugby were denied success in any of their inter-school games, and once more went down to Marlborough in their big match, by the same margin as in 1922—ten wickets. Nevertheless they were a much better side than a year ago: they had no batsman so outstanding as Pugh, but as a team were far better balanced, and the fielding showed a great and welcome improvement, though, judging from what was seen at Lord's, there seemed some room for criticism in the disposition of the field. In

Cassels and Hechle they had two excellent batsmen, both of whom came out with fine records. The former, who played splendidly in his innings of 200 against Clifton, was an attractive player with plenty of strokes and the ease of movement that one associates with the natural batsman. Hechle was of a more 'modern' compact type, using his feet well in defence, strong on the leg-side and an excellent cutter: he has a first-rate temperament for match play, and gave of his very best at Lord's. Some way behind the two cracks came Longrigg, a sound and consistent player, and Pattisson and Cartwright, two hard-driving and courageous batsmen. The bowling, too, showed improvement on last year's form, but badly lacked steadiness, though it possessed some variety. Goodbody, a fastish bowler of fine physique but not very convincing an action, came out with considerably the best figures. Cassels, medium paced, got through a lot of work and his swing needed watching, but he had to pay a highish price for his eighteen captures. Daniel got some wickets, but has not really come on as a bowler. Milford was interesting, and was perhaps the most likely man to dismiss a really good batsman: bowling slow medium left-hand he could spin the ball considerably, especially from the off, but he would probably be more valuable and certainly less expensive, if he adopted the ordinary slow left-hander's method.

In school matches Rugby held their own against Uppingham and Clifton, though their bowling was not strong enough to do more; at Lord's they played below form, their crack batsmen more or less failed, and Marlborough, who certainly enjoyed some fortune, proved themselves considerably the stronger side.

Pattisson, who kept wicket well last season, will again captain the side this year: Cassels, Milford, and two other old colours will be available.

Repton had once again a satisfactory side and came out very successfully from their inter-school games, though they had a couple of unaccountable collapses in club fixtures. They had to be content with a draw on the Uppingham ground, but defeated Shrewsbury easily at Repton, and just beat Malvern and the clock in what was certainly the most exciting of all the big school matches of the year, a game that will always be memorable for Watt's wonderful hitting in the last innings, Ashton's determination to go for a win at all costs, and the Malvern captain's splendid batting and fine exhibition of sportsman-ship in the nerve-wracking finish.

In batting Hilleary, Ashton, and German stood out above their fellows: all these are all-round athletes above the average, who may well make their mark in University cricket as the first two have already done in Association football, Of this trio Hilleary is probably the best as he is certainly the most attractive batsman and withal a good cover-point and a slow left-hand bowler who could keep a length at need; German and Ashton are both sound players with a good enough defence to promise well in better company: the latter, who captained his side excellently, is also a very good wicket-keeper, especially on the leg side, whose claims are bound to be considered at Oxford. Burton showed a great advance with the bat, and is really promising; Watts was a genuine hitter with a frankly unorthodox method and a fine heart, and Buckland, who is very young, had an excellent opening season.

In bowling the bulk of the work fell upon Shorter and Baines, who together took eighty-four wickets for under 14 apiece—a distinctly notable achievement. Shorter, who bowled medium pace, was most accurate and was always troublesome by reason of his ability to swing the ball in from the off, sometimes very late. On performance he must be rated as one of the best bowlers Repton has produced for some years, and it is regrettable that he will not be seen at either University. Baines bowled rather faster and worked untiringly: his action is rather laboured, but there could be no question as to his value to the side. Apart from these two stock bowlers, there was Rennick who bowled fast and may well be an unpleasant fellow to face next season; Burton, Hilleary and Shorter also all got wickets at times. The fielding was reliable and very keen, and in Burton and Buckland Repton had two really firstclass slips whose value to the side's attack could hardly be exaggerated: the latter, in his first season, caught no fewer than eighteen catches, surely a record in school cricket. To sum up, Repton were a well balanced side, ably captained and pulling together, and they would probably have gone into the field against any other school feeling that they had at least a chance of success. R. O. Burton is captain elect, and in Watts, Buckland, Rennick, and Hobbs (12th man) he has at least a promising nucleus on which to build.

Uppingham had what one may term a rather quiet season, and were perhaps a rather quiet side in the sense that their batting was watchful and sound rather than attractive. But there was plenty of cricket in the team, and if they failed to defeat any of their school rivals, they were not easy to beat, and wrested a draw from Repton, Rugby, and Haileybury, going down, curiously enough, to Shrewsbury in a close game by a margin of 38 runs.

Easily the outstanding figure on the side was the captain, S. Hey, whose fine match temperament and sterling defence again and again carried the side through difficult times. He was at his very best in the school games, as a captain should be, only once in seven innings failing to reach the half century, and his season's

average of 59 for an aggregate of 531 runs represents really good batting. Perhaps at times he was over-cautious, but so much depended on him that he could not afford to take risks: a less onerous atmosphere at Cambridge may help him to develop his stroke play: his defence is already first-class. After Hey there was a big drop in the batting, but Atkins and Dodgson were distinctly useful, and Pope, a young batsman who came into the side very late, holds out good promise.

In bowling Uppingham had two fair change bowlers in Waldock and Uniacke, a rather uncertain but at times dangerous bowler in Layton, and an excellent and reliable man in Terras. The latter's record of thirty-six wickets for 16 each is out of the ordinary, and his length and swing always made him formidable: Layton could be very difficult with the new ball, but was apt to deteriorate. There was a marked and most welcome improvement in the fielding, especially the catching.

Before leaving Uppingham mention must be made of the opening of the new pavilion, which took place during the Old Boys' match on Founders' Day last summer. The site was the gift of Mrs. C. E. Green, the building itself of Mr. W. S. Patterson: it will therefore serve to commemorate two of Uppingham's most famous players who won distinction for themselves and their school, not merely on that picturesque and perfect ground, but on even more famous fields. Among those present at the opening ceremony was the widow of the late H. H. Stephenson, perhaps the most famous school coach of all times, and certainly the 'fons et origo' of Uppingham's cricket renown.

Malvern came very near indeed to repeating their unbeaten record of 1922. Of their ten fixtures three were drawn (all in their favour), six were won, and only the Repton match was lost after a mighty struggle, vastly enjoyed by both sides, and proving that there was very little to choose between them. As is generally the case there, all the side could bat, and, what was really more to the point, seven of them could bowl, and in a variety of ways. Woolf, on the slow side of medium, comes out with the record of forty wickets for just over 15 each, and this is distinctly out of the ordinary for the Malvern ground and deservedly earned him a place in the Rest side at Lord's. Abrams too, did well and Greenstock's slows often got a useful wicket. Had Holmes bowled up to his form of 1922 the Malvern attack would have been strong, and the side as a whole really formidable. Unfortunately a strain early in the season threw him out of action and at no time was he at all himself as a bowler. His batting, on the other hand, made a big advance and was the mainstay of the side. After a somewhat uncertain start he struck form in good earnest and right on to the end of the

school season and afterwards at Lord's played in a style that won golden opinions from all who saw him. His physique is very good, he can drive the ball very hard, especially on the off-side, and there is about all his batting something of that ease and certainty of movement with which nature endows the objects of her favour He was withal an inspiring captain. Welch, who got into the side young in 1922, was considerably handicapped by hay-fever, but still did well enough to be second in the averages and to prove himself a batsman of real promise. Foster and Toppin justified their names by playing a number of useful innings and were conspicuous in the field. The fielding of the side as a whole was well up to the mark, and Aird did creditably as a stumper.

Next year the redoubtable Holmes will still be at Malvern to lead the side for a second time, and with the great majority of the old colours remaining on, their opponents may look out for trouble.

Shrewsbury can on the whole look back upon last season with considerable satisfaction. True they lost half-a-dozen matches, but they won a like number, and these included all five of the two-day fixtures they played, and—best of all—two out of their three school matches. They gave their supporters a nasty turn by batting very badly in the opening innings against Rossall, but recovered well, and their bowling was always too good for the rather weak opposition. The Uppingham match was a somewhat laborious affair, in which Shrewsbury batted moderately, bowled steadily, but only just succeeded in beating the clock by ten minutes, Uppingham being set 229 to win in four hours, and actually batting three hours and fifty minutes for 196!

Against the strong Repton team Shrewsbury lost the toss on one of the hottest of days and were never in the hunt.

The batting averages, not unnaturally in so young a side, work out rather moderately, but Barber was a player of real promise, with a sound defence and strong on the leg side, who made a fine century against the Foresters, Enderby a really fine driver, and Smallpiece is young and promising In bowling, there was perhaps no real match-winner, but the collective strength was very considerable, and Pomeroy, Dodd and Snow were a trio of youngsters who really did remarkably well, and may easily be a power in the land nearly season. Robinson, the captain, also did useful work. As the fielding reached, as a whole, a high standard, it is safe to say that Shrewsbury only lacked a little more experience and class in the batting to have proved themselves a school team well above the average, and their doings next summer, when Barber will captain them, will be watched with considerable interest.

Rossall were once again a weak side, and only won a single match out of their programme of eleven fixtures. Shrewsbury were too

strong for them, and the game against St. Bees was drawn after some interesting and even cricket. The trouble was that, with the possible exception of Earnshaw who played very consistently, they had no batsman of any class: with a little more strength in this department they might have done reasonably well, for in Fenwick and Turnbull they had quite a good pair of bowlers, who together captured seventy-six wickets for just over 16 each. Gibson, who will captain the side in 1924, was a good stumper; and last year's skipper, Whitcroft, was in great form all the season at cover-point.

Marlborough, with their four victories over their school opponents, could in 1922 challenge comparison with any of the sides,: once again last year they had a good all-round team, with no particular star excepting possibly Abell, but with plenty of batting. both defensive and offensive, half-a-dozen bowlers of desirable variety, and good keen fielding to support them. Personally I doubt whether they were quite so strong a combination as in the preceding year: certainly their batting averages work out rather better, but their bowling was more expensive. Moreover, in match records they had last year to admit defeat in three of their club games, and-—the real fly in the season's ointment—a ten wicket reverse at the hands of Cheltenham. I fancy that the most ardent Cheltonian would hardly suggest that there was this much difference in quality between the sides or deny that they were materially helped by the drizzle at the start of the game which made batting easy for them and, turning subsequently to rain, produced a rather 'poppy' wicket for their opponents who had hitherto seen nothing but plum pitches at home. On the other hand it is arguable that Duleepsinhji with the bat and Formby with the ball showed cricket of a rather higher class than anything of which the Marlburians were, under the conditions, capable. In their other school fixtures they easily accounted for Wellington and Rugby, and were only just prevented by the clock from beating Winchester for the second year in succession.

In the batting averages Abell comes out some way ahead of his colleagues, and inasmuch as he was a wicket-keeper very distinctly above the average, who was in wonderful form against Rugby at Lord's, he must be set down as one of the outstanding school players of the year. Lord and Heard were an excellent opening pair, Streatfield was a really brilliant hitter with a not impenetrable defence, and Coleridge and Rotherham dangerous players whom it was expedient to get rid of early if trouble was to be avoided. Collectively the Marlborough batting was distinctly convincing: there was very little 'modernism' about it, and a very refreshing ability to deal with the over-pitched ball strictly on its merits. Moreover, its component parts fitted in well together with three sound orthodox

batsmen, three forcing players to hammer in the nails in their opponents' coffin or knock out any that threatened to nail down their own, and-last but by no means least-the astonishing Smith, to exasperate the other side with his short runs, his picking up of unconsidered trifles (overthrows cheerfully accepted), and with his wearying but unwearied defence.

If he had never made a run Smith would still have been about the most valuable member of the side. For one thing he was an excellent fielder in the "gulley," where he caught a number of first-rate catches; as a bowler he made a very marked advance on his form of the preceding year, and was the best left-hand school bowler of the year; his length was good, he had both the slow heldback ball, and the faster one at his command, and, perhaps most important of all, he had the true bowling temperament, optimistic, resolute and enterprising. To support Smith there was the captain. Streatfield, who bowled fastish left-hand, not very steadily it is true, but with a dangerous ball up his sleeve, and another left hander, Hawthorne, without much subtlety but with a length. The right-handers, Waydelin, Coleridge, and Rotherham, were all medium paced: Waydelin was the most reliable, but Rotherham had perhaps the greatest possibilities, and met with marked success in the first innings against Rugby. The Marlborough fielding was quite good—Coleridge at cover has beautiful hands—and there was any amount of life and confidence about the side as a whole.

Undoubtedly the outstanding feature of the Cheltenham season was the ample revenge taken for their defeat at the hands of Marlborough in 1922. Perhaps what luck there was ran in their favour, but Marlborough were a good all-round side, and victory over them by ten wickets was a memorable achievement.

In their other school fixtures Cheltenham had to content themselves with draws against both Clifton and Haileybury, but in each case they must have left the field feeling that they had had as much of the argument as their opponents. There were, of course, two outstanding cricketers on the side. Duleepsinhji and Formby. of whom I shall have something to say in a minute, but as the season progressed increasing support was forthcoming from other members of the side in both batting and bowling: in fact, perhaps the most satisfactory feature of the year as a whole was their capacity to recover from unpropitious situations, as in the efforts of Jowett and Foster against Clifton, or to reinforce the work of their two champions. as in Pacey's bowling against Marlborough or his hitting at Lord's Collectively the batting averages work out quite well, six of the regular players averaging over 23: Higson, Rome, and Jowett were all useful school batsmen, Pacey a valuable hitter who made the most of his fine physique, Tyler played several sound innings as one of the

opening pair, and Thomas came to the rescue of his side when things looked quite black against Haileybury, and was a good deal better than his record suggests.

In bowling Foster got through a lot of hard work, though his form was variable. Jowett was a useful change, and Pacev produced one big performance when it was most welcome. But in this department nearly everything depended on the two outstanding cricketers of the side, Formby and 'Duleep.' The former, in spite of a very uneconomical run, successfully met the heavy calls made upon him and proved himself a very good school bowler: his pace is slightly above medium, he can turn the ball back appreciably from the off, bowl the one that goes straight on without any apparent change of action, and withal make the ball nip off the ground at an uncomfortable height if the pitch gives him any help. His bowling in the second innings against Marlborough reached a really high standard. And finally we come, as one of my predecessors in these pages was wont to say, to "assimilate our biggest strawberry." Duleepsinhii was distinctly less successful with the ball than in 1922, his captures dropping from fifty to thirty-five, and the cost of each rising from 131 to 181: his value as a bowler was nevertheless great, and he may easily be successful in better cricket where the genuinely slow spin bowler is becoming something of a rarity: perhaps he is apt to toss the ball a little too high, but he has the great virtue of inviting the batsman to come and hit him, and of not presenting him with many balls short of a length. In batting I feel strongly disposed to waive all qualifications and write him down frankly as the best of the year. In natural gifts of eye, wrist and footwork he is certainly blest far above the ordinary measure: this year his defence seems to have improved considerably, without this costing him any of his strokes. Watching him bat against Haileybury I was most struck by his cutting and by his power of forcing the ball, whether short or overpitched, to the on-side. This latter he may be inclined to overdo, and I very much hope that he will not allow it to impair his off-driving, but there is no doubt about the judgment and certainty with which he takes toll of straight balls of anything but the most immaculate length. His late cutting is quite beautiful, and there is a certain ease and maturity about all his batting methods that stamps him as of a different class from the ordinary good school batsman. As he is also a fine fielder, with a good cricket brain which made his captaincy a real asset to his side, his future career will be watched with close interest. Though he has left school, he will not, so I am told, be going up to Cambridge until October in 1924.

Five of last year's Cheltenham eleven will still be available next season, but at the time when my correspondent wrote the captaincy had not yet been allotted.

In my last year's article I was tempted to prophecy that Clitton had only to instil a little more soundness and restraint into their batting to prove themselves a formidable side in 1923. It is interesting now to see that in this they were successful, and as a consequence became a school team whose record can challenge comparison with any, and indeed is the only one to show no defeat—at least among the big schools Nevertheless, what I had in mind when I wrote the forecast was not really fulfilled: in 1922 Clifton had a really good pair of school bowlers, Homan and Barlow: had they fulfilled their promise or even maintained their form, the side might well have been the best school team of the year; but 'dis aliter visum.' Homan was quite successful, and still dangerous with the new ball, but no longer the match-winning bowler of 1922, while Barlow proved ordinarily steady and nothing more. Fortunately Kennedy and Alpass did much to reinforce the attack and each came out with creditable records: indeed the collective bowling figures read quite well, but my information suggests that it just lacked the class that makes the difference between winning and drawing matches. As a matter of fact this is borne out by their three big gameswith Rugby, Cheltenham, and Tonbridge.

In batting they were undoubtedly strong, with eight of them averaging over 20 and six over 25, and this—be it remembered for the most part on slowish West-country wickets. Williams heads the table, and is a sound batsman of real promise, especially good at off-driving: but the palm must go to Holloway whose unshakeable defence and imperturbable temperament made him quite invaluable. He may not possess any attractiveness of style, but a man who bats for an hour and a half or more in eleven out of the fourteen innings he plays is clearly the factor mainly accountable for the team's unbeaten record. Barlow may have fallen away as a bowler, but with the bat he made an immense advance and played some fine forcing innings, especially on big occasions, in which he rejoiced. He was also, so I am informed, a magnificent fielder, and a good captain. L'Estrange, Aslett, and Riddell were all constant run-getters, worth every run of their average, and Powell played one big innings. In 1924 only Aslett and Alpass of old choices will be available.

The Tonbridge averages have always to be somewhat discounted, because that ground is a batsman's paradise, and enough to make a bowler take to lawn tennis, but there is a certain levelness about the figures for last season that suggests that there was more 'body' to the side than has been the case in some recent years. Certainly Howard and Greatrex must be written down as outstanding performers, but they enjoyed a very fair measure of support. The latter can look back upon his season as captain with considerable

satisfaction, for not only did he lead the side well and set them an excellent example in the field which the others were zealous to follow, but for the second year running he took over fifty wickets with his slow-leg breaks, and at a slightly lower cost than in 1922. In Pell, Hubbard and Mitchell he had three quite useful bowlers to back him, though none of them could be expected to run through a side.

As a batsman Howard had a very good season, played a remarkable innings against Lancing, and was always out to attack the bowling. Mitchell, who is still very young, made a great advance with both bat and ball: steadiness is the keynote of his cricket. and his innings against Clifton at Lord's was at once the highest and the best on his side. Miller, a late discovery and also very young, is considered really promising, and played, I am told, extremely well in the Under-Sixteen game at Lord's in August. There was a good deal more batting in the team, they were by no means an easy side to dismiss, but the bowling was not strong enough to make them really formidable. Against Lancing Howard's hitting nearly enabled them to beat the clock, but the Clifton match was drawn after a rather desultory game largely spoilt by the weather conditions. Prospects for next year are distinctly bright. with Hubbard as captain and five other old choices, including Mitchell and Miller, returning.

Radley beat Bradfield very easily, but went down before both Sherborne and Westminster, and only succeeded in winning two out of their long list of club fixtures. On individual form it looks as if they were capable of a better record, and it is possible that they and their bowlers in particular suffered from too long a match programme. For one thing, however, the season will always be memorable—Blair's feat of scoring over a thousand runs with an average of 67. Possibly his defence may not be too secure on turning wickets, but his stroke-play is very fine, his physique splendid, and by his inclusion in the Schools side against the Army, he was deservedly recognised as one of the great school bats of the year. Dawnay, necessarily a little overshadowed by his partner, began the season rather uncertainly, but batted extremely well in the later matches. and, as anyone who has seen him play racquets would expect, was a joy to watch when once well set. Rowland had an excellent first year in the side, and should make plenty of runs next year, whilst Morris was invaluable as an all rounder and at his best in two of the school matches, 74 v. Sherborne and eight for 23 v. Bradfield. Dundass met with considerable success as a slow-bowler and his record, considering the good wickets at Radley, is most creditable. McConaghy, who bowled fairly fast, got through a tremendous amount of work (312 overs) and, with increased strength, may well be a power in the land next season, for he is steady and has plenty

of perseverance. The prospects for 1924 are fairly bright, though Blair and Dawnay will inevitably be much missed; Morris will be captain, and four others are remaining.

Bradfield can look back with satisfaction on their defeat of Wellington, to be set against their sad collapse against Radley immediately on arrival from a longish and cold motor drive. Being a young side they naturally took some time to settle down, but before the season was over several of them had made good a claim to be written down as promising cricketers. Birkbeck, Roome, and Wagener were a very fair trio of bowlers, and the former, left-hand, had clearly the lion's share in the win over Wellington. Wagener was also a left-handed batsman of merit, and Oliver did useful work in both departments, being one of those interesting and now all too rare characters—a lob-bowler. Dashwood was also a very fair batsman and played a good innings of 75 against Wellington. The fielding was respectable. With Birkbeck returning to captain the side again in 1924 and Wagener and five others to support him, the prospects for next season are quite good.

Sherborne showed a very cheering advance on their recent form, and their match record of eight wins and two losses out of twelve games is really good. Indeed, had not Downside most unexpectedly turned the tables on them in their second fixture after a heavy defeat in the first, Sherborne would have had the supreme satisfaction of winning all five of their inter-school games. As it was Blundell's were beaten easily, Radley by five wickets in a hard fought match, and Westminster by 100 runs in what should have been a two-days' fixture but was limited by rain to one and regarded, by agreement, as a one-day match. There was plenty of batting in the side, as the averages clearly indicate, and Nunn, Wright and Macdonald were all players above the average, who believed in putting the bat up against the ball. But the big man on the side was obviously Forbes-Bassett, who averaged 25 with the bat, and with the ball took fifty four wickets for 11 runs apiece—a really memorable achievement, which might readily have won for him a place in 'The Rest' Side at Lord's. Neve, Turnbull and Olivier all lent him valuable help in the attack, and if the Sherborne wickets were up to their usual standard of excellence, the bowling figures of this quartette are quite remarkable.

In short, Sherborne cricket looked up with a vengeance last year and with Nunn and Wright to bat and Neve to bowl in 1924 it may very well continue in the path of prosperity.

Wellington, who in 1922 were a totally inexperienced side and had a really rather disastrous season, made a distinct advance in all round form last year, though their match record was still very

modest. Playing five school matches, they lost to Marlborough and Westminster rather easily, collapsed dismally before Bradfield, but played quite good cricket to draw with Haileybury and Charterhouse. In the first match, after establishing a lead of 64 on the opening innings they perhaps erred on the side of caution in their second, and thereby lost a chance of a win: in the second, at Godalming, their bowling was thoroughly collared, but their batsmen made a courageous reply (234 for three) to Charterhouse's big total of 360

In batting Dobson stood out head and shoulders above the rest and his figures for the season are really good. He has nearly all the strokes, played two very good innings of 113 and 49 in the Haileybury match, and with another year still at school, he may make even a bigger name for himself in 1924. There were several other batsmen of promise on the side, of whom Cowie was the best, but taken as a whole they were not reliable. The real weakness, however, was in the bowling and fielding: the latter was very uncertain and lacking in dash, while of the three more or less stock bowlers none averaged less than 23 per wicket. Bryan was the best, but suffered from dropped catches. But it was a young team, and the six of them who are staying on, with Dobson to lead them, should profit by experience and be able to give a much better account of themselves.

Westminster had this year lost Taylor, to win distinction on other fields, but in batting McBride proved a worthy successor, and in bowling Jacomb-Hood imitated his method with great success. Lowe was still left and on individual and aggregate performance had claims to be considered the outstanding all-rounder of the schools' year. He averaged 51 with the bat for a total of 668 runs, and took fifty-one wickets for 14½ each. Only time will show whether it is to be as a batsman or bowler that he is destined to make a mark, and how big that mark will be: but from what I hear, I rather fancy the latter. He is not yet a genuinely fast bowler, but he is distinctly "pacey," with that invaluable quality of "nip" from the pitch, and his action is fluent and attractive to watch. For his pace, too, he was very steady, and he could swing the ball either way when new. In batting (left-handed) he is at present perhaps brilliant rather than sound, but no man can score over 600 runs in a school season without having a fair degree of defence. His best innings was certainly his century at Malvern, when his side had their backs very much to the wall, but his greatest feat was that of taking nine wickets (eight clean bowled) against a strong M.C.C. side and following it up with a finely hit 90. At Lord's in August he was out of form with the bat. As a batsman McBride was, on figures, at least, only a little way behind Lowe. At present he is a strong defensive player with an admirable temperament,

very good on the leg side, but rather weak as yet on the off: a man who can score three consecutive centuries in school matches must clearly be ranked as one of the personalities of the year, and if he is available, as is hoped, to captain the side next season, his develop-

ment will be watched with interest.

The last, perhaps the least, but certainly a very useful member of the Triumvirate was Jacomb-Hood, who thoroughly justified his selection when very young in 1922. His batting showed a marked advance and is full of promise, while his bowling, slow leg breaks, was quite invaluable to the side. These three cricketers really carried the team on their shoulders, for, truth to tell, there was not much other batting and no other bowling. How well they answered the heavy calls made on them can be seen from a glance at the match results which showed eight wins to be set against five losses and one draw: unfortunately they were not always at their very best in the school games and, whereas in 1922 four of these allimportant meetings were won, last season Westminster, though successful against Wellington and Radley, went down before Sherborne, Malvern, and Charterhouse. The Wellington win was a good performance, but the 'battle of the Pinks' found the visiting side deservedly beaten owing to an unaccountable failure with the bat on a good wicket. Six old choices will be available next May, and the cricket tide seems flowing strongly at Westminster just now.

The Charterhouse record looks quite modest on paper, four wins, five losses and three draws, but among their victims were Harrow and Westminster, so that the season was not without material satisfaction. Harrow were fairly beaten away, thanks to good batting by Crawford and Morgan, and some admirably steady bowling by Pritchett: the disastrous rout of 1922 at Vincent's Square was amply avenged at Godalming when the Charterhouse batting successfully defied the redoubtable Lowe, and Grove came out with a fine all-round performance. The Wellington match, also at Godalming, produced an orgy of run-getting, Charterhouse declaring at 360 for nine, but their opponents countering very pluckily with 234 for three. At Winchester on none too easy a wicket the Carthusians found Stewart and Grimston a formidable pair of bowlers, and though Pritchett again bowled excellently, the Wykehamists always had something in hand.

There were plenty of runs in the side, at any rate so long as the conditions were those normal at Godalming: Crawford and Harker-Taylor were too fine aggressive batsmen, the former especially being a very fine driver, and the latter playing brilliantly for his century against Wellington. Morgan, their young left hander, who played a great innings against Harrow last year, fell away, and seemed to have lost in freedom and confidence, but Quinney, the captain, Grove and Bett all did useful work.

In bowling Pritchett stood out by himself: bowling slow, medium left-hand with admirable steadiness, and some command of both spin and swing he came out with the really splendid figures of thirty-six wickets for 12 each, and that, be it remembered, in conditions which are enough to break most slow-bowlers' hearts. He had the sovereign virtue of keeping the ball well up and making the batsmen come and fetch his runs. It is a matter for regret that he is not recorded as destined for either University. The only other stock bowler was Bett, who stuck to his work with great perseverance and with a little more luck might have met with considerably greater success. Tomlinson had a good season behind the wicket, his victims numbering seventeen.

G. E. Blundell will lead the side next summer, and Morgan, Bett,

and Carter of old choices will still remain.

The Haileybury record reads modestly enough with but two wins to be set against five losses in thirteen games. But it is always to be remembered that Haileybury is very handy for the London cricketer and much of the opposition is accordingly of a stiffish order. There was this year no Hudson to take the bowlers by the scruff of the neck, but the batting, if rather undistinguished, was pertinacious and the later men had a knack of asserting themselves just when they were wanted. The most reliable batsman was Hamilton-Smythe, who scored consistently well all the year and was at his best against Cheltenham at Lord's. Meyer, considering the strain thrown upon him, also scored well: he is a natural ball-game player, who only seems to need more solidity and experience to be really good. Battye and Bagley too averaged over 20 and Hope was valuable in the role of Scotton. But undoubtedly the strength of the Haileybury side lay in the bowling of Meyer and Tiplady who, as a school pair, could at least bear comparison with any others of the season. Meyer got through more work with the ball than any other school bowler whose figures for the year I have seen, but in spite of this he very rarely lost his length, and even at the end of term at Lord's there was a lissomeness and nip about his bowling which suggested a certain class. He was, as a captain should be, at his best in the school games, bowling very well in the second innings against Cheltenham and having a real field day against Uppingham—eight for 75 in the first innings and five for 39 in the second. No doubt the Cambridge captain will not lose sight of him. Tiplady was slower in pace and less formidable than Meyer, but he was a very persevering and enterprising slow medium bowler who seemed to have something in his flight and a head on his shoulders. All honour to these two, who together bowled 576 oversvery few other pairs exceeding the 400 mark—and really carried their side upon their willing backs. In their inter-school games Haileybury at least held their own, but on each occasion rain, time, or both

prevented a decisive result. The Uppingham match they would almost certainly have won. Hamilton-Smythe is captain elect, with three old colours returning to help him.

Lancing had a capital season and quite fulfilled the promise of last year. They were lucky enough to have the services of their three stock bowlers of 1922 again available, and though all three fell away a little in attack, they all made a very big advance with the bat, and proved themselves all-round cricketers out of the common, to whom the success of the side was in a very large measure due.

Both Burrough and Eve scored heavily all the year, and in an attractive way withal, while Melsome, who was nothing of a batsman a year ago, became a really excellent man to open the innings, sound and steady but no sluggard. D. E. Eve and Pearsse also did quite well. In bowling Eve and Burrough got through a lot of work with their fast-mediums, and the former especially was in great form in the later matches. Melsome, slow right, was the steadiest bowler on the side, and could be relied upon to keep an end going for a long time. Pearsse had a swerve which made him dangerous at times, but his length was not immaculate. Machin kept wicket very well, and was especially strong on the leg side : he also got runs on occasions when they were most wanted.

In school matches Lancing drew with Tonbridge, replying to a big score with a very creditable total of over 300, and defeated Brighton, Christ's Hospital, and Hurstpierpoint, though the latter pushed them hard.

Melsome, who should be a fine cricketer, will captain them this

year, and will have three other old choices to support him.

Brighton began well and ended well, but there was a disastrous period in the middle of the season, when everything went wrong, and two school matches-against Epsom and Lancing-were lost. This was disappointing after the fine batting form they had shown in their opening school game with Dulwich which was drawn, as were six other of their fixtures. The truth seems to have been that in both bowling and batting they just lacked class, though there were a number of quite useful performers on the side. Had Adams and Kilcoin come on as much as was hoped, they would have been a formidable pair of school bowlers, but, though they took sixty-five wickets between them for just over 21 apiece, they were more expensive than in 1922, and their attack rather lacked method and head work. As a contrast Chester was a thoughtful bowler, who did quite well and, with a batting average of 26, was obviously the allrounder of the team. Walter and Peel did well with bat, both favouring attack rather than defence: Lyon, the captain, was out of form for a time, but finished well, and Recordon is mentioned as a young player of promise.

Christ's Hospital School had an uneventful season, beating Leatherhead and Cranleigh, losing to Lancing and Eastbourne, and drawing with Brighton in a rain-ruined match. The batting was not very strong; Kemple was the greatest run-getter, and Giddings and Wright were two useful left-handers. The latter, who captained the side, was a good slow bowler who could spin the ball either way and keep a length: his record of thirty-one wickets for under 11 each is really good, but unfortunately he had only one other bowler to help him, Spence, fastish and on his day deadly, but not too reliable and over-fond of his slow ball.

Eastbourne started the term with rather slender resources and, with a young and inexperienced side, runs were not very easily come by, though the batsmen improved steadily in method and defence. This is all to the good, and when next season they take the field with ten old choices I have no doubt they will reap the reward of their painstaking work. In school games they beat Christ's Hospital and drew with King's School, Canterbury. Of their club games they won three and lost seven, but it is to be remembered that Eastbourne is so pleasant a place for cricket that visiting sides are apt to be very strong. Ellaby was the one noticeable all-rounder on the side and may develop into a really good bowler; Morres too, did well with both bat and ball, and Anderson, who headed the bowling averages, was perhaps the most naturally-gifted bowler on the side. In batting Frerichs showed a great advance and is very sound. Howell played a great innings at Horsham, which clearly won the match; and Carter, the captain, showed at the end of the season that he should make plenty of runs in 1924. The great feature of the side was the fielding, which was always good and sometimes brilliant: a side, so inspired, cannot help developing in the other departments of the game.

King's School, Canterbury, had just a fair average side, rather stronger in bowling than in batting. Stonehouse and Brocklehurst bore the brunt of the attack: the latter was the steadier of the two. for Stonehouse after an excellent start rather fell away. In batting St. Croix stood out some way ahead of the rest, and was particularly successful in the school games in which he averaged 49. Toplis played one fine innings of over a hundred, but was otherwise disappointing. Both the two last-named and Mizen are noted as fine fielders. The great need of the side was a bowler of some pace and more solid batting in the middle of the order. In school matches St. Edmunds and St. Lawrence were defeated, Dover and Highgate proved too strong, while the game with Eastbourne was drawn. St. Croix will captain the team next May and will have five old choices

to support him.

Dulwich had a young and inexperienced side, and not unnaturally their record works out very modestly: indeed they have only one win to set against eight losses. On the other hand there were not lacking signs of encouragement for the future, especially in the fielding which, when once the weather gave the fieldsmen a chance, was uniformly good. The inexperience of the side was naturally most felt in batting, especially under the anxious conditions of school matches, and only Stark and Musgrave were at all consistently successful. The latter was also a wicket-keeper distinctly above the average. In bowling the captain, Whiteley, was the best, but he was dogged by wretchedly bad fortune: Woodhouse, who bowls slowish and is young, came out with good figures and is considered very promising. With six old colours available next summer, Lewis being the captain, Dulwich will no doubt be able to give a much better account of themselves.

Bedford rather more than held their own with their school rivals, and were a hard-working, hard-fighting side, relying rather on 'good packing and hard shoving' than upon any particular stars outside. They had three steady bowlers of satisfactory variety in Robinson, Proudlock and Reed, and they were backed up by sound fielding. Proudlock did two fine performances with the ball against St. Paul's and Merchant Taylors. Davidson was a brilliant batsman and came out with a really fine record, scoring 50 or more half-adozen times, in spite of a hole or two in his defence. Robinson, in addition to his bowling, averaged 28 with the bat and was an excellent cover, so that his value to the side is not far to seek; and there were a number of others who were capable of a useful score and preferred making it when it was most wanted. Finally, Bailey managed the bowling well and was, in general, a capable leader. Fuller will captain the side in the coming season and with four other old choices and a second eleven systematically trained and enthusiastic in the field, he has good material to his hand.

A glance at the Sedbergh bowling averages would seem to belie the information that the weakness of the side lay rather in this than in the batting department, but it is to be remembered that North country wickets, especially in such a year as last, make for low bowling figures, whilst in batting an average of 15 or more takes some earning. Under these conditions Harris' performance of scoring 377 runs and averaging 31 is something well out of the ordinary and marks a great advance upon his form of last year. His 70 against Stonyhurst at a critical stage of the game was very good and probably saved his side from defeat. It was a great misfortune for the side that their captain, J. H. Wood, was prevented by illness from playing in any but a few fixtures, as he was the best all-round cricketer in the side, and even handicapped as he was, put in some useful work. In bowling the bulk of the work fell upon King, fastish, and Scott, slow left; the former was

rather erratic and the latter content to rely upon swing rather than spin, but the records of both seem commendable. In school matches Sedbergh defeated Giggleswick comfortably, were beaten by Durham by 25 runs, and played an interesting draw with Stoneyhurst, in which they collapsed badly at the start, recovered, and ended up with honours easy. Wakefield leads next year, and four old choices remain.

Downside were rather an in and out team, or at least this is strongly suggested by their form against Sherborne. In the home match they were very heavily defeated, but at Sherborne they got home by 32 runs in a low-scoring match. This alone is evidence -for Sherborne were a school side above the average—that there were distinct possibilities about the Downish team, a belief that is reinforced by their very good win over the M.C.C., the first in the annals of the school. The batting was fairly strong with Allan and M. J. Turnbull in their very different styles scoring consistently well: but in bowling too much depended upon Quinn, who stuck to his length very well all the year. Van der Straten's slows also met with some success, but the change bowling was very moderate. In school games, apart from the two encounters with Sherborne, Downside were outplayed by the strong Clifton eleven, and drew favourably with Blundell's and Bruton. Allan is captain-elect and will be able to call upon the majority of last year's side.

From Oundle I have received a report full of enthusiasm for the merits and keenness of the team. Unfortunately the school lies somewhat off the beaten track and could therefore only match their powers with one school rival—the Leys, whom they defeated, though playing some way below the form of their club games of which they won five and lost but two, while all but one of the five drawn games were left decidedly in their favour. Quite apart from the evidence of results, I have the testimony of those best acquainted with Oundle cricket to the effect that this was the best side turned out by the school for a quarter of a century, and reflected great credit on those responsible for their coaching, who themselves testify with gratitude to the unremitting interest and enthusiasm of the Headmaster. The batting averages certainly make most convincing reading, Mair and Wykes both topping the half century and scoring a thousand runs between them, and Greenwood, Ireland, Bull, and Harvey all coming out with very good figures. Mair was the most brilliant player on the side and his 246 in under two hours against Lord Lilford's XI must have been worth going a long way to see: but Wykes was the soundest and scored 110, 79 not out, and 80 in consecutive innings. Harvey was the all-rounder of the team, bowling steadily all the season and scoring well: moreover, he captained the side with energy and steadily increasing judgment. Ireland and Cartwright were two very useful fast bowlers; and Reekie's slow spinners often met with success. Harvey will captain the side again this year. Wykes and Mair are again available and with Walters, the stumper, and two others returning Oundle hope to continue in the path of glory.

Leys School had a very long programme of eighteen matches, of which half were drawn, five won, and four lost. They seem to have been very much a team of all-rounders, being blessed with no fewer than seven bowlers of excellent variety who were well handled by the captain, Thompson, and supported by good fielding. Spurr, fast, and Field, medium, were the most successful of a level lot. In batting Carnegie Brown was a really good forcing player, who well earned his trial for Cambridgeshire: after him—but longissimo intervallo—came half-a-dozen others who could all make runs at need, but the collective batting strength was not so great as that of the bowling. Nevertheless it was enough to win for them three out of their four school games, over Bedford, St. Paul's and Felsted.

Felsted were rather a weak side, and could only beat Aldenham in their school games, though they allowed Forest to evade defeat by delaying their own declaration. Their captain, Burton, certainly cannot reproach himself for their lack of success in general, for the did consistently well, scored a splendid century against Essex C. and G., and was altogether in a different class as a batsman from the rest, though Parsons was sound and rather unlucky, and G. Burton is very promising. In bowling Brown took thirty-two wickets for 17 apiece—a similar record to that of last year—but he hardly came on as much as was expected, and in the later matches rather lost his length, probably from over-work. Lintott, on the other hand, steadily improved and Gilbert did good work. Newman was good behind the stumps, and the fielding, especially in the slips, creditable.

Before we pass across the border, mention must be made of Magdalen College School, Oxford, who for the second year in succession had a side so good that its batting was never even seriously put to the test. To account for this one need look no further than the possession of a first-rate pair of bowlers, Bushell and Evans in 1922, and Evans and Clark last season. Evans indeed was a tower of strength, batting splendidly all the year, and averaging 74, besides being an excellent slip field.

From Scotland I have only received two reports—those from *Merchiston* and *Loretto*. They, in company with all other cricketers north of the Tweed, suffered terribly from the weather which for a long time was such as to make true cricket almost impossible, and

certainly a burden to the flesh. Not unnaturally, therefore, the batting figures make very modest reading indeed, and the fact that the bowlers come out with nothing sensational as a record suggests that the general standard of cricket was low. Loretto drew with both Merchiston and Fettes, but won a thrilling game with Glenalmond, thanks to a courageous stand for the eighth wicket when all seemed lost. Macdonald, their captain, was also their best allround player, but Dykes was the only really sound bat in the side: the majority on the mud heaps of May and June never learnt the fundamentals and, when the weather changed, the crooked bat could not be made straight. Apart from the captain, the best bowlers were Mitchell and Sanson, but the attack was not strong. It is good, though, to hear that the side as a whole was very keen, and that enthusiasm for cricket runs high in the school. Merchiston had no player of outstanding merit, though Pullar, Milligan, Carr, and Wilson were all fairly consistent. In bowling Mackenzie and Milligan got through a vast amount of work and together took ninety-one wickets, but for Scotland and such a summer, their averages work out rather high.

And so I draw to a close, and nothing remains except to express my warmest thanks to the many kind friends and correspondents, without whose help this review, with all its inadequacies and inaccuracies could never have been written at all. For errors of commission and omission, for failure to do justice to their 'cures' I ask their pardon, and wishing them [and their's the best of seasons in 1924, I humbly take my leave.

The following Public School players of last season are reported as having gone into residence at the Universities:—

OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
. G. C. Newman	M. R. Bridgeman
W. P. Thursby	E. W. Dawson
G. M. Crossman	
J. E. Hurley	
G. S. Incledon-Webbe	er
. P. H. Stewart-Brown,	J. Bullock
M. F. Kemp	
. D. G. Stewart	
E. H. L. G. Sinclair	
G. E. H. Palmer	
B. McGowan	
C. W. Thring	
D. W. Hoare	
	G. C. Newman W. P. Thursby G. M. Crossman J. E. Hurley G. S. Incledon-Webbe P. H. Stewart-Brown, M. F. Kemp D. G. Stewart E. H. L. G. Sinclair G. E. H. Palmer B. McGowan C. W. Thring

	OXFORD	CAMBRIDGE.
Marlborough .		G. G. C. Streatfeild
No. of the last of	R. A. Lord	A. Sandford
Clifton	. R. F. P. Holloway	C. S. Barlow
*	H. T. Williams	V. B. V. Powell
	E. H. L'Estrange	V. H. H. Riddell
Repton	. N. C. E. Ashton	E. K. M. Hilleary
represent the second	A. C. G. German	
	H. W. Baines	
Shrewsbury .		F. Robinson
	. F. R. Terras	S. Hey
Oppingnam .	C. H. M. Waldock	
	W. R. B. Dodgson	
Tonbridge .		A. G. Greatrex
Tonoriage .	•	C. B. Prowse
		H. D. Pell
TT-:1h	. F. K. Butler	R. J. Meyer
		R. H. Lowe
	. C. T. Owinner	E. V. Tomlinson
	. C. T. Quinney	R. H. Smith
The same of the sa	· GABD	N. H. Silliul
	. C. A. F. Dundass	P. P. Roome
	. H. G. Scott	E. J. Whiteroft
Rossall	. J. Batson	E. J. Whiteroit
	H. T. Lambrich	D. H. H
Lancing	J. W. Burrough	P. E. Eve
	R. S. Machin	B. H. Molony
Oundle		J. N. Bull
Felsted	. D. S. Chatwood	G. R. Brown
Bedford		C. P. Bailey
		L. H. Davidson
To R.M.A., Wool		
	R. H. A. Foster (Chelt	enham)
	R. D. W. Uniacke (Up	pingnam)
	C. H. Harker-Taylor (C	charternouse)
	L. T. Grove	,,
	G. Peddie (Sherborne)	
To R.M.C., SAND		
	F. G. B. Arkwright (E	ton)
	G. S. Grimston (Winch	ester)
	I. D. K. Macrae (Malv	ern)
	W. Enderby (Shrewsbu	ry)
	A. H. Kilcoin (Brighto	n)
	R. P. Peters ,,	VI V
	B. L. Burton (Felsted)	
	L.C. Robinson (Bedfor	rd)

## PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1923.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

## WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Thursday, May 24.—Sherborne won by 100 runs, chief credit for the easy victory going to J. A. Nunn, who batted brilliantly while so many of his colleagues failed.

WESTMINGTER SQUARE.

WESTMINST	
R. G. H. Lowe b Bassett 2	J. A. Cook b Neve 7
W. N. McBride c Nunn b Olivier . 13	T. G. Lund not out 17
L. Clare b Turnbull 16	A. M. Shepley-Smith st Spens b
J. W. Jacomb-Hood, lbw, b Bassett 0	Olivier 0
J. M. Hornsby c MacDonald b	D. Campbell c Bean b Olivier 6
Bassett 7	Byes, etc
J. H. Blair c Spens b Turnbull 14	-
R. W. Hartley c MacDonald b	103
Olivier 7	The same of the sa
SHERBORNE	SCHOOL.
D. MacDonald lbw, b Lowe 20	F. M. Turnbull c Lund b Jacomb-
L. H. Bean c Clare b Lowe 6	Hood 9
J. A. Nunn c and b Lowe129	G. C. Neve, c Shepley-Smith b
J. A. C. Grant b Lowe 2	Lowe 6
G. Peddie lbw, b Shepley-Smith 7	S. J. Olivier b Jacomb-Hood 6
R. G. Forbes-Bassett b Lowe 3	A. L. Spens not out 0
G. B. Sharpe lbw, b Jacomb-Hood 8	Byes, etc 7
	203

## CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at WEST HORSHAM, Saturday, May 26.—The sound batting of R. G. W. Melsome and J. W. Burrough made Lancing's task a fairly easy one.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

F. H. Kemple c Walker b Eve..... 20 | N. T. Buchan b Eve...... 9

T. H. Kemple C walker b 12vc 20	N. I. Duchan Dilve
H. H. McCleery lbw, b Burrough 5	N. C. Selfe c Melsome b Pearse 22
G. H. Jennings b Pearse 10	G. R. Walkinshaw b Burrough 4
H. E. Wright c Pearse b Eve 15	E. J. Carter not out 10
M. K. de C. C. Spence b Pearse 11	Byes, etc 16
T. S. Usherwood c Brooke b Eve 65	
S. E. Fry b Burrough 5	192
LANCING	COLLEGE.
R. G. W. Melsome run out 88	R. S. Machin
C. Walker run out 2	I. C. Morrison
D. E. Eve c Fry b Usherwood 11	B. Brooke did not bat.
P. E. Eve b Wright 19	J. F. Godwin
J. W. Burrough b Spence 59	
M. C. Gordon-Wilson b Spence 1	
H. F. Pearse not out 7	
Byes, etc 8	

195

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at CATFORD, Saturday, May 26.—Much the stronger team, University College School gained victory by 126 runs.

CITY OF LON.	DON SCHOOL.
E. R. Lawrence b MacColl 0	K. W. Andrews b Greer 20
W. R. Francis b Wright 24	J. F. McMinn c and b MacColl 21
L. D. Gilliam b MacColl 0	C. F. Blake not out
A. A. E. Moore b Wright 5	A. R. Fulford lbw, b Greer (
G. L. M. Tippet b Wright 0	Byes, etc 21
W. K. Fulford b Greer 1	and the second of the second o
S. E. D. Offord lbw, b Wright 0	92
University Col	LEGE SCHOOL.
R. M. Wilson run out 12	W. V. H. Robins b Fulford 10
J. Hankins c Blake b Tippet 34	P. H. Brooking not out 14
H. F. C. Shipham c Blake b Fulford 65	R. Almenrader b Lawrence
R. B. D. Wright lbw, b Tippet 2	J. F. Greer lbw, b Francis
R. M. MacColl b Moore 44	Byes, etc 10
F. J. Millard run out	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O
C. E. Chambers lbw, b Fulford 0	218

## EPSOM COLLEGE v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at Ersom, Saturday, May 26.—The skilful bowling of H. D. Mackay and R. D. Robinson being followed up by brilliant batting on the part of W. J. Taylor, Epsom won with a lot to spare.

St. John's School.

H. E. Goodchild b Robinson 10	J. C. Shenton not out
G. W. Trepte b Mackay 7	G. R. Arundel lb Cotman
J. W. Cowgill c Cotman b Mackay 13	A. L. Bryan c Mackay b Cranford
P. H. F. Harvey b Mackay 17	G. W. Pink b Robinson
P. K. Whitaker b Robinson 0	Byes, etc.
C. W. Rowling c Ayres b Robinson 0	The second secon
F. R. Neep c Gashion b Mackay 21	11
	COLLEGE.
W. J. Taylor b Bryan139	H. D. Mackay
J. Newson b Bryan 44	O. H. Puicott   did not bat.
J. S. Cotman c Cowgill b Whitaker 21	R. D. Robinson
A. L. Gashion c and b Puik 21	R. G. Cranford
G. G. Gillam b Cowgill 2	
G. W. Avres b Cowgill 0	
J. F. Newton not out 10	
Byes, etc 15	
252	
	1000000

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. DULWICH COLLEGE.
Played at BRIGHTON, Saturday, May 26.—Drawn, much in favour of
Brighton, for whom L. G. R. Chester played a glorious innings.

BRIGHTON	COLLEGE.	
G. W. F. Lyon b Stark	R. A. Peel	did not bat.
R. P. Peters not out 9		Marke Street
G. W. Hortin not out 5		
Byes, etc 15		
*285		

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed,

#### DULWICH COLLEGE.

K. J. Stark c Recorder b Kilcoin       7         E. A. Lawrence b Kilcoin       4         W. A. Thompson not out       10         P. E. Musgrave not out       13         Byes, etc.       7         41	
---	--

## OUNDLE SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at Oundle, Tuesday, May 29.—Oundle won a close game by two wickets.

LEYS SCHOOL.
S. H. Bach c and b Harvey 13 T. E. Field c Ireland b Harvey 6 W. H. Clarke c and b Greenwood G. Carnegie-Brown c Walters b Loyd
OUNDLE SCHOOL.
E. H. Walters b Clarke 3 N. G. Wykes not out

E. H. Walters b Clarke 3	N. G. Wykes not out 31
F. E. Greenwood c Birch b Sherwin 26	T. N. Cartwright c and b Clarke 3
P. B. Mair c Bach b Clarke 0	E. P. Harvey not out 14
J. N. Bull b Spurr 0	Byes, etc 18
J. B. Newton c Smith b Clarke. 6	
J. B. S. Hatton b Spurr 38	151
M. N. Ireland c Clarke b Sherwin 12	M. D. Loyd did not bat.

## EPSOM COLLEGE v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at Ersom, Saturday, June 2.— Epsom had a simple task, being greatly superior both in batting and bowling.

## FOREST SCHOOL.

B. J. Van der Gucht c Russell b Cotman	L. W. Sims c Gillam b Gaffney. R. E. Wiles b Cranford. N. J. B. Stuart not out. C. W. V. Gane b Gaffney. Byes, etc.	8 1 0 3 4 
Epsom (	College.	
W. J. Taylor c Gane b Staddon. 31 J. Newson lbw, b Staddon. 8 J. S. Cotman c Buffham b Gane. 46 A. L. Gashion st Parry b Gane. 44	G. W. Ayres not out	11

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. ARDINGLY COLLEGE. Played at Croydon, Saturday, June 2.—Whitgift won by an innings and 54 runs

and 54 runs.	
ARDINGLY	
G. N. Robarts b Harrison	2 — c Avenell b Harrison 3
G. C. Gunn b Harrison	1 — b Harrison 4
M. Dawkins b Harrison	5 — c and b Harrison 14
R. A. Robertson b Avenell	1 — c Brenchley b Harrison 1
G. M. Robinson b Harrison	4 — not out 6
W. H. P. Ager lbw, b Butterworth	6 — b Harrison 1
K. C. Bryant c Butterworth b Harrison	0 — b Harrison 2
R. M. Bradley b Harrison F. T. Maxted lbw, b Harrison	0 — b Harrison 2
N. G. Read not out	1 — b Harrison 6 3 — b Avenell 3
N. W. Lansdown b Butterworth	
11. W. Bensdown b Butter worth	
	Byes, etc 6
	23 . 48
WHITGIFT GRAM	
J. H. Amos c Lansdown b Bradley 5	H. H. Peart b Dawkins 0
H. F. Paine run out 7	E. C. Avenell not out 20
G. T. Harrison c Maxted b Dawkins 21	M. C. Butterworth b Lansdown 3
K. R. Wright lbw, b Dawkins 37	J. H. Russell lbw, b Maxted 0
F. Savaage lbw, b Dawkins 4	Byes, etc 5
R. Brenchley c Gunn b Bradley 3	
C. G. Chartres b Maxted 20	125
C. G. Chartres b Maxted 20 ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F CANTER	AAMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY.
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs.
C. G. Chartres b Maxted 20  ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. t, CANTERBURY.
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	tAMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall 4 O. H. de St. Croix c Brown b Bye 6 K. I. Topliss c Bodell b Bye 0	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted 20  ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER. Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst G. P. Mount c and b Lyall 4 O. H. de St. Croix c Brown b Bye 6 K. I. Topliss c Bodell b Bye 0 A. E. Brocklehurst c Brown b Bye 3 A. L. Mizen c Bye b Cantrell 23	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. G. CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted 20  ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER. Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst G. P. Mount c and b Lyall 4 O. H. de St. Croix c Brown b Bye 6 K. I. Topliss c Bodell b Bye 0 A. E. Brocklehurst c Brown b Bye 3 A. L. Mizen c Bye b Cantrell 23	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. U. CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall Lyall 26 D. M. Harrison not out. 66 L. B. Andrews c Brown b Bye. 1 C. G. Stonehouse c and b Bye. 11 Byes, etc. 1  5153
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER. Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst. KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L. CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c. Harrison b Lyall
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. t, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. G. CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King'S School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, F. CANTER Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, K. I. Topliss and A. E. Brocklehurst KING'S SCHOOL G. P. Mount c and b Lyall	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King'S School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. t, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall 26 D. M. Harrison not out
C. G. Chartres b Maxted	AMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL BURY. June 2. — King's School, for whom bowled superbly, won by 105 runs. L, CANTERBURY. V. C. de St. Croix c Harrison b Lyall

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL. Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, Saturday, June 2.—A low scoring match ent in City of London's favour by 47 runs.

went in City of London's favour by	
	COLLEGE.
L. R. Dixon lbw, b Moore 2	E. R. Smart c Radcliffe b Moore 11
W. G. Michels c Francis b Moore 0	I. C. McLean b Moore 1
J. G. Youngman b Francis 3	D. G. Jeffrey c Francis b Moore 0
N. R. Brigg b Francis 5	E. D. Dew b Moore 0
G. L. D. Allison b Francis 0	Byes, etc 2
T. S. Carter b Moore 2	Dj cs, ccc
F. du B. Wilson not out 8	34
2. du 2. 11250H HOU OUU	34

## CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

W. R. Francis c and b Jeffrey 0 A. A. E. Moore lbw, b Jeffrey 2 G. L. M. Tippett c Michels b Jeffrey 54 E. R. Lawrence c McLean b Jeffrey 2 L. D. Gilliam b Dew 0	S. E. D. Offord c Jeffery b Brigg
K. W. Y. Andrews run out 4 J. F. McMinn c McLean b Jeffrey. 12	81

## ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at Aldenham, Saturday, June 2.—Holding the advantage throughout Mill Hill won somewhat easily.

#### ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

A I Convers a Nicholls h Croisdela O I I D Walker h Raid

C. S. Harris b Jackson . . . . . 5 G. H. Nicholls b Cronin . . . . 30

A. R. Cronin c Harris b Edgar 28 P. S. McDougall b Spong 1	G. H. More b Spong. 2 A. J. Wolff c Glichrist b Reid. 5
D. C. Jackson c Hume b Croisdale 2 L. H. Pirie c Hume b Reid 42 R. F. Alderton b Reid 16	B. E. Abbott b Croisdale 9 Byes, etc 7
M. A. Nelson not out 15  MILL HIL	L School.
W. R. C. Reid hit wkt., b Jackson 63 J. W. G. Hume run out	M. E. Edgar c Alderton b Cronin 36 J. P. Croisdale lbw, b Cronin

## MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

206

Played at Marlborough, Saturday, June 2.—Capital batting, mainly on the part of G. E. B. Abell, earned Marlborough an easy win.

#### MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

I. M. Chappel c and b Bryan       6         I. H. Smith not out       29         A. Rotherham c R. Allom b       17         Cowie       17         J. Hawthorn not out       7         Byes, etc.       26         367

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.				
S. C. Dobson run out	R. Bryan c Smith b Waydelin. 3 R. K. S. Murray b Waydelin. 3 W. U. Ritson c Streatfelld b Hawthorn. 15 W. D. S. May not out. 0 Byes, etc. 10 87			

## BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played	at TIVERTON,	Saturday,	June 2.	-Another	splendid	innings	by
a. A. Nunn	paved the way	for an eas;	y Sherbo	rne success	• 4	31.73.	

or all article one may for the oney	Differ Dorme Success.
BLUNDELL'S	SCHOOL.
F. M. Shepherd b Forbes-Bassett 35	R. D. H. Arundell b Neve 17
R. Dewar-Durie b Forbes-Bassett 2	J. Gittins lbw, b Neve 0
A.R.Gabe-Jones c Spens b Turnbull 8	R. Wilson b Forbes-Bassett 0
H. G. Harman b Turnbull 17	J. W. Stephens not out 0
J. G. Parkin c Spens b Neve 32	Byes, etc 10
T. E. Williams c Nunn b Peddie 21	
H. J. Tremenheere b Forbes-Bassett42	184
SHERBORNE	SCHOOL
D. MacDonald b Wilson 42	F. M. Turnbull not out 16
L. H. Bean c and b Gabe-Jones 21	Byes, etc
J. A. Nunn not out	10,000,000,
J. A. C. Grant b Gabe-Jones 1	255
G. Peddie b Arundell0	S. J. Olivier
R. G. Forbes-Bassett b Arundell. 0	A. L. Spens did not bat.
R. H. Smith c Shepherd b Gabe-	G. C. Neve
Jones 14	
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	S. Davidson C. Companied a difference W. 71. 7

# DULWICH COLLEGE v. BEDFORD SCHOOL. Played at DULWICH, Saturday, June 2.—Bedford won by 83 runs.

DULWICH	COLLEGE
K. J. Stark b Reed 0	A. J. D. Lewis run out 4
P. E. Musgrave run out 0	K. M. Hall run out 6
W. A. Thompson c Lane b Proud-	
leels Thompson C Dane o Floud-	F. M. Woodehouse not out 9
lock	J. W. Perts c Lane b Robinson 5
E. A. Lawrence c and b Reed 8	Byes, etc 3
E. C. P. Whitely c Yarde b Robinson 8	- AL LINE BERGER A , SIL MART LA -
P. W. Wright st Lane b Robinson 18	67
M. L. Barnes st Lane b Robinson 4	S & Township of Cold S properties.
C. P. Bailey c Thompson b Wood-	C. N. Reed c Perts b Barnes 18
house 11	B. W. Lane b Hall 0
H. Davidson c Perts b Lewis 1	J. S. Mackay run out 13
R. W. B. Fuller c and b Hall 62	J. L. Proudlock not out 1
L. C. D. Robinson c Whitely b	Prog oto
Woodhouse 4	Byes, etc 5
C W Dipper a Charle b Wardham 11	
C. W. Rippon c Stark b Woodhouse 11	150
A. Patterson c Stark b Hall 15	
B. C. Yarde b Hall 9	
	A STATE OF S

## SEDBERGH SCHOOL v. GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

Played at Sedbergh, Saturday, June 2.—Their batting breaking down badly, Giggleswick suffered a heavy defeat by 179 runs.

SEDBERGH	SCHOOL.
J. M. Atkinson b Wiseman 2	W. F. Scott b Ashcroft 70
G. E. Jones c and b Moore 45	
G. E. Jones Cand D Moore 45	E. A. Allen c Winney b Alder 6
D M. Gamon b Alder 3	J. K. Macfarlan not out 31
J. M. Russell lbw, b Ashcroft 6	
T IT Wood b Deserve	Byes, etc 7
J. H. Wood b Pogson 23	
C. W. Harris b Pogson 41	*270
R. C. Wakefield b Ashcroft 36	
IV. C. Wakeheld D Asheroto 50	W. King did not bat.

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

514 Public School Matches.	Public School
S. Redmayne b King	CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v Played at WEST HORSHAM, Wednesd W. A. S. Ellaby took five wickets for 40 CHRIST'S HOSPIT H. H. McCleery b Ellaby
LEYS SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.	T. S. Freeborough c Smythe b Meyer. 3 C. B. Gillespie c Sheppard b Brown. 2 R. D. W. Uniacke c Butler b Meyer. F. R. Terras b Meyer. M. C. Layton b Meyer. Byes, etc. 1  HALLEYBURY A. F. Hamilton-Smythe c Dodgson b Uniack 1 A. R. Battye c Freeborough b Waldock 4 C. W. Tiplady c Atkins b Waldock. B. J. O. Meyer c Hey b Terras. 2 A. I. Forde b Waldock. F. K. Butler c Beisiegel b Uniacke. P. J. A. Scott c Sleigh b Uniacke. 4 R. T. Sheppard c Beisiegel b Uniacke. 2 K. A. Sinker not out. B. J. D. Brown c Uniacke b Waldock. Byes, etc. 1

## v. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

sday, June 6.—Eastbourne, for whom 40, won by 51 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.					
H. H. McCleery b Ellaby 0 F. H. Kemple lbw, b Ellaby 8 G. H. Jennings st Howell b Anderson 8 H. E. Wright b Ellaby 1 M. K. de. C. C. Spence run out 9 T.S. Usherwood st Howell b Anderson 3	W. F. Giddings not out. 47 N. T. Buchan b Ellaby . 0 J. B. Walkinshaw lbw, b Ellaby 7 G. M. Simmons c Else b Morse . 8 Byes, etc 22				
S. E. Fry b Anderson 2	115				
EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.					
G.R. Frerichs cGiddings b Usherwood 9         R. A. Howell c Fry b Spence       61         A. B. Carter b Wright       23         E. M. Morres Ibw, b Kemple       6         A. F. Anderson b Spence       17         W. A. S. Ellaby Ibw, b Simmons       5         G. A. C. Frost b Spence       11	A. A. Shaw lbw, b Spence				

## v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

, Thursday, June 6, 7,.—A remarkable to get to win, Haileybury collapsed so 17 they had to struggle hard to avoid

UPPINGHAM	SCHOOL.	
S. Hey not out	82 — c Sheppard b Tiplady	27
J. S. Atkins c and b Meyer	7 — c Tiplady b Meyer	4
J. G. Sleigh b Meyer	2 — c Brown b Tiplady	10
W. K. Beisiegel c Young b Meyer	0 - b Tiplady	1
C. H. M. Waldock b Meyer	0 — b Meyer	1
W. R. B. Dodgson c Sinker b Young	30 b Tiplady	16
T. S. Freeborough c Smythe b Meyer	35 — b Tiplady	1
	22 — c Battye b Meyer	0
R. D. W. Uniacke c Butler b Meyer	1 — not out	
F. R. Terras b Meyer	2 — c Brown b Meyer	4
M. C. Layton b Meyer	0 — c Sheppard b Meyer	0
Byes, etc	13 Byes, etc	12
	194	89
HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.		
A. F. Hamilton-Smythe c Dodgson		
b Uniack	12 — c Layton b Terras	0

194	89
HAILEYBURY COLLEGE. b. Unlack	0 0 0
$\overline{203}$	17

## CLIFTON COLLEGE v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Thursday, June 7.—The stronger side at all points Clifton gained an overwhelming victory. Downside were outclassed.

DOWNSIDE	SCHOOL
----------	--------

M. J. Turnbull c Barlow b Homan 6 C. F. Bull c Barlow b Homan	H. Butcher c L' Estrange b Kennedy 0 R. R. Scrope b Coates
CLIFTON	COLLEGE.
R. F. P. Holloway c Allan b Quinn 25 G. G. Aslett c Arbuthnot b Scrope 28 E. H. L'Estrange c Arbuthnot b Quinn 60 V. H. H. Riddell c Lamb b Bull. 54	H. T. Williams not out
V. II. II. Itlation o Danis o Danis.	D D Haman did not hot

## LANCING COLLEGE v. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

D. D. Homan J. E. Coates

H. J. H. Alpass

V. B. Powell c Straton b Quinn. .141

C. S. Barlow not out..... 7

did not bat

89

Played at LANCING, Friday, Saturday, June 8, 9.—A most interesting match had to be left drawn.

#### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

C. W. H. Howard c P. E. Eve b Burrough 193 — b Burrough 4	0
T. F. Mitchell c Brooke b Melsome 21 — b Burrough	7
C. B. Prowse b Pearse 13 — c Eve b Pearse 4	1
T. G. H. Holman lbw, b Eve	10
G. E. Hudson b Pearse 1 — not out	7
J. M. Bradshaw b Melsome	
J. H. Piesse lbw, b Pearse 16 — b Pearse	4
R. C. Hubbard c Molony b Melsome 10	
J. H. G. Pell c Molony b Melsome 11 - b Burrough	0
A. G. Greatrex c Machin b Burrough. 0	
J. B. Paul not out	
Byes, etc	
	-
*10	18
* Innings declared closed.	

#### LANCING COLLEGE.

R. S. Machin c Mitchell b Hubbard	. 22.
C. Walker c Prowse b Pell	2 — c Bradshaw b Greatrex, 14
D. E. Eve b Greatrex	0 — not out 23
P E Eve c Holman b Mitchell	97 — b Pell 1
J W Burrough b Hudson	22 — c Prowse b Greatrex 12
R. G. W. Melsome c Piesse b Greatrex	6 — run out 31
J H. Goodman st Paul b Greatrex	28 — not out 3
H. F. Pearse c Prowse b Mitchell	58 — c Hubbard b Greatrex 2
B. M. Molony c Mitchell b Greatrex	
B. Brooke c and b Hudson	
I. C. Morrison not out	
Byes, etc.	
20,000	

305

## SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at SHERBORNE, Friday, Saturday, June 8, 9.—After securing a lead of 136 on the first innings, Sherborne won by five wickets despite a capital recovery by Radley.

	COLLEGE

A. E. Blair c Macdonald b Bassett. J. A. R. Collinge b Bassett. F. C. Dawnay c Peddie b Turnbull. D. H. Hibbert c Spens b Bassett. S. S. Robinson b Turnbull. St. L. Morris b Bassett. C. A. F. Dundas lbw, b Peddie. J. Barmby c Peddie b Bassett. C. I. Collier b Turnbull. C. McConaghy not out C. S. J. Purcell c Neve b Bassett. Byes, etc.	2 — b Bassett. 63 — b Neve. 5 — b Peddie. 38 — b Turnbull 0 — not out	2 6 3 10 74 34 0 16 5 4 8
	157	28
2		
SHERBORNE	SCHOOL.	
D. Macdonald b Purcell. L. H. Bean b McConaghy. J. A. Nunn b Dundas. R. H. Smith b Morris. G. Peddie c Morris b Dundas. F. H. Wright e Robinson b Blair. R. G. Forbes-Bassett c Barmby b Morris F. M. Turnbull b Dundas. S. J. Olivier c and b McConaghy. A. L. Spens c Dundas b Purcell. G. C. Neve not out Byes, etc.	8 — b Morris 55 — b McConaghy 4 — b McConaghy 15 — c Collier b Purcell 18 — not out 122 — b Morris 9 — not out 5 21 1 5	

## HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

293

Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, Saturday, June 9.—The Hurstpierpoint batting broke down so badly before the bowling of G. J. Harrison (seven for 15) and E. C. Avenell (three for 19) that Whitgift won with the greatest ease by 92 runs.

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

J. H. Amos c Cowen b Michels       4         H. F. Paine b Dew       1         G. J. Harrison c and b Michels       45         K. B. Wright b Dew       16         F. Savaage c Carter b Dew       36         C. G. Chartres c Michels b Dixon       0         T. M. Calhaem b Dew       8	R. Brenchley hit wkt., b Michels 2 E. C. Avenell b Michels 4 M. C. Butterworth b Dew 1 J. H. Russell not out 0 Byes, etc 10	
HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.		
L. R. Dixon b Avenell. 2 T. S. Carter c Russell b Harrison. 1 I. C. McLean c and b Harrison. 0 J.G. Youngman c Russell b Harrison 11 W. G. Michels c Butterworth b	G. L. D. Allison c Chartres b Avenell 6 D. G. Jeffrey c Savaage b Harrison 5 E. D. Dew c Calhaem b Harrison 1 S. Cowen not out. 4 Byes, etc. 1	

N R. Brigg c Brenchley b Harrison 0

E. R. Smart c Calhaem b Avenell . . 0

Byes, etc. ..... 13

519

## LEYS SCHOOL v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday,	June 9.—Leys gained a narrow victory
by 17 runs.	
LEYS S	School.
S. H. Bach c Rigby b Hutchins 33	R. W. Sherwin c Pocock b Gilson 5
W. H. Clarke c Rigby b Hutchins 28	G. N. Carrell run out 2
G. Carnegie-Brown b Fitz-Aucher 7	J. A. Spurr lbw, b Gilson 0
N. H. B. Thompson c Rigby b	L. H. Birch not out 0
Fitz-Aucher 2	Byes, etc 8
T. E. Field c Gilson b Hutchins 28	
R. G. Field b Fitz-Aucher 2	132
L. R. Smith c Dearden b Greig. 17	
ST. PAUL'	's School.
J. Grieg b Sherwin 52	F. E. T. Marshall c Spurr b Bach 17
W. E. Hutchins b Bach 1	C. B. Cook c Birch b R. G. Field 3
E. Leighton c Birch b Bach 8	G. A. Gilson not out 1
H B Pocock b Spurr 8	D. K. Rigby b Bach 0

## BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

H. S. Dearden lbw, b Bach..... 12 R. W. Fitz-Aucher lbw, b Sherwin 1

J. L. A. Dudeney b Sherwin .... 0

Played at BERKHAMSTED, Saturday, June 9. — Berkhamsted just managed to save the game, having only one wicket in hand and arrears of 53 when the match was given up as a draw.

W. J. Taylor run out.	OLLEGE.  J. F. Newton G. G. Gillam O. H. Puicott J. H. Gaffney R. D. Robinson
-----------------------	---

*Innings declared closed.		
BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.		
H. W. F. Clay c Gashion b Mackay 13	G. F. George b Gaffney 4	
H. Peck c Cotman b Mackey 9	E. K. Laws not out 6	
L. Wilson lbw, b Gaffney 57	R. S. Stainer c Gashion b Mackay 0	
L. H. Pearce b Mackay 8	G. F. Harrison not out 0	
H. P. Hutchins lbw, b Cotman 31	Byes, etc 13	
K. S. McKay b Gaffney 0		
N. F. S. McKinnell b Mackay 8	149	

#### HARROW SCHOOL V. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at HARROW, Saturday, June 9.—Charterhouse gained a capital victory by three wickets. They were placed well on the way to success by a sound second wicket stand between Harker-Taylor and Crawford, both of whom showed good form. TI. Drown Corroor

HARROW	
J. H. N. Foster c Grove b Best 6	M. F. Kemp b Pritchett 44
G. O. Brigstocke lbw, b Pritchett 0	R. E. C. Butterworth run out 18
I. Bullock c Best b Grove 25	C. M. Andreae b Pritchett 34
P. H. Stewart-Brown c and b Grove 59	A. C. Raphael not out 1
K. E. Crawley c Hoyle b Grove 4	Byes, etc 11
R. T. W. Awdry b Pritchett 6	The state of the s
J. V. Hermon b Pritchett 5 *	213

#### CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL

C. H. Harker-Taylor c Hermon b Raphael 44 L. T. Grove b Butterworth 12 H. C. Crawford b Butterworth 75	T. K. D. Pritchett b Brigstocke. 0 L. V. Tomlinson not out. 3 Byes, etc. 14
R. C. Bott b Andreae       18         J. T. Morgan not out       39         C. J. Quiney c Bullock b Brigstocke       10         R. C. Hoyle lbw, b Brigstocke       2	A. A. Best G. E. Blundell did not bat.

## WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, June 9.—Scoring 243 runs for the loss of only four wickets, Westminster won in great style. McBride batted finely after Lowe had taken six Wellington wickets for 83.

## WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

S. C. Dobson c R. W. Hartley b	M. J. C. Allom b Lowe 0	
McBride 75	R. K. S. Murray b Lowe 0	
R. Bryan b Lowe	W. U. Ritson not out 15	
M. B. Turner lbw, b Jacomb-Hood 8	W. D. S. May c and b Lowe 31	
D. G. C. Cowie c Clare b Lowe 65		
E. J. Foord run out		
R. F. A. David b Jacomb-Hood 7		
R. W. C. Allom b Lowe 15		
Westminster Square		

WESTMINST	ER SCHOOL.	
W N. McBride lbw, b Bryan 105 J. W. Jacomb-Hood b Bryan 7 L. Clare b Murray 56 R. G. H Lowe c Turner b Dobson 33 T. G. Lund not out 12 J. H. Blair not out 4 Byes, etc 26	R. W. Hartley R. W. Gorman G. D. Harvey A. M. Shepley-Smith C. O. Omerod	did not bat.

## FOREST SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at Forest School, Saturday, June 9 .- Forest had none the best of a drawn game, being 97 behind with only four wickets outstanding.

## MERCHANT TANTORS' SCHOOL

MEDICHANI TATRONS DUNOUS.		
F. F. Spragg b Staddon 19 J. T. Hetherington b Gane 99 R. Ostler run out 13 J. E. Sargent b Staddon 36	T. G. Hughes not out. 7 E. O. Lacey not out 5 Byes, etc. 9	
J. 11. Bargent D Bladdon 30		
D. Ducat Ibw, b Staddon 7	*195	

## \* Innings declared closed.

### FOREST SCHOOL

TOREST	BUHOUL.
K. A. Latter c Ostler b Rales 7 A. J. Buffham c Lacey b Sketchley 17	L. W. Sims not out
B. D. Kennettrun out 9	•
R. A. Staddon b Lacey 3	98
B. J. Van der Gucht not out 20	C. W V. Gane
I. E. Parry c Sargent b Lacey . 12	R. E. Wiles   did not bat.
C. R. Elwis c Sargent b Lacey 0	N. D. Jekyll

Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . 10

111

## BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. OAKHAM SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 9.—Drawn.	Bedford	Modern made	a
fine but unsuccessful effort to force a victory.			

### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

L. W. Hodge c Bristowe b G. O.  Brooks	E. G. W. King c G. O. Brooks b  Bristowe
T. Plant not out.       37         H. C. Johnson b Bristowe.       2         D. M. King run out.       1         J. T. Thody b Bristowe       2	N. B. Larby R. D. Cotton   did not bat.

## \* Innings declared closed.

#### OAKHAM SCHOOL.

R. O. Brooks lbw, b Hodge	M. T. Crick b Hodge 11 A. D. Smith not out 8 W. Tyler not out Byes, etc. 10
T. S. Bramsdon c Thody b Cotton 4 W. J. Thompson c Johnson b E. G. W. King	D. J. Bristowe did not bat.

## DENSTONE COLLEGE v. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

Played at Denstone, Saturday, June 9.—Remarkable bowling by D. P. Lutter (eight for 20) made Denstone's task a light one.

## KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

R. Pascal b Lutter	0 T. E. Mayell b Lutter. 2 3 H. Metcalf not out. 1 8 R. J. Pringle-Brown b Lutter. 4 0 Byes, etc. 2
DENS	TONE COLLEGE.
D. P. Lutter c Knight b Brown	16 M. S. Barker c and b Knight 5

H. R. Lewis lbw, b Rawson . . . . 12

 R. J. Lofthouse b Rawson
 6

 A. S. Richards c Metcalf b Bedingfield
 11

 H. F. Harvey lbw, b Knight
 1

W. Burgess b Bedingfield ..... 0

J. Preece b Bedingfield ..... 6

## UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. REPTON COLLEGE.

Played at Uppingham, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 12, 13.—Drawn, Uppingham finding little difficulty in saving the game.

REPTON COLLEGE.	
E. K. M. Hilleary lbw, b Terras 52 — lbw, b Terras	
A. C. German c Hey b Layton 21 — lbw, b Terras R. O. Burton c Hey b Waldock 9 — not out	49
N. C. E. Ashton c and b Terras 41 — not out	47
H. W. Baines b Uniacke 31	
H. L. Frenkel c Freeborough b Terras 11	
J. W. Buckland b Uniacke 1	
R. J. Barber c Sleigh b Uniacke 0	
R. N. Shorter lbw, b Uniacke 4 P. R. Hill not out 6	
E. S. Hobbs e Layton b Terras	
Byes, etc 8 Byes, etc	4
	-
185	*179
* Innings declared closed.	
UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.	
S. Hey lbw, b Shorter 60 — not out	59
J. S. Atkins b Shorter 5 — c Baines b Short	er 31
J. G. Sleigh c Buckland b Hill 23 — b Baines	0
J. S. Freeborough c Ashton b Baines. 19 W. R. B. Dodgson lbw, b Shorter 1	
C. H. M. Waldock run out 17	
S. Enderby c Ashton b Shorter 0	
C. B. Gillespie lbw, b Shorter 1 — not out	
R. D. W. Uniacke c Baines b Burton 4	
F. R. Terras not out 0	
M. C. Layton c and b Shorter 0	The state of the s

EPSOM COLLEGE v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON. Played at EPSOM, Wednesday, June, 13.—King's College School won by runs.

146

EPSOM	COLLEGE.		
W. J. Taylor lbw, b Thornbill 0 J. Newson c Thornhill b Na Ranong 20 J. S. Cotman b Na Ranong. 18 A. L. Gashlon run out. 40 G. W. Ayres b Loe. 6 H. D. Mackay b Thornhill. 27 O. H. Puicott b Blackman 15	G. G. Gillam c and b Thornhill. 0 J. F. Newton c Hughes b Black- man 3 J. H. Gaffney not out. 1 R. D. Robinson c Briggs b Thorn- hill 11 Byes, etc. 28		
	169		
King's College School, Wimbledon.			
B. Briggs c Gillam b Gaffney 30 C. I. Clarke c Gashlon b Cotman 11 E. F. Hughes run out 13 P. B. Heather c Newson b Gaffney 49 B. Boxall c Newton b Mackay 23 L. Na Ranong b Mackay 1 D. A. Collier c Gashlon b Robinson 50	G. L. Loe c and b Cotman. 7 J. V. Blackman b Robinson 5 W. F. Thornhill not out 1 H. C. Broom run out 0 Byes, etc. 34 224		

#### FELSTED SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL. Played at Felsted, Wednesday, June 13.—Felsted passed their opponents' total with a lot to spare, and eventually finished up 86 runs ahead. ALDENHAM SCHOOL. A. R. Cronin c Knight b Gilbert .. 31 J. G. Potts run out..... 0 G. H. More c Light b Lintott .... 10 A. J. Convers c G. W. S. Burton b A. J. Wolff b Brown ..... 0 Lintott ...... 16 D. C. Jackson b Brown..... 0 B. E. Abbott not out..... 6 Byes, etc. ..... 16 J. Hockin lbw, b Gilbert...... 33 L. H. Pirie c Tomlinson b Brown 22 J. D. Walker b Brown ......... 33 H. R. Hurrell c Light b Brown. 32 FELSTED SCHOOL. P. A. Newman b Wolff...... 24 L. H. B. Light c Conyers b Pirie. . 25 H. A. Knight c Potts b Cronin. . 19 D. S. Chetwood hit wkt., b Abbott 18 B. E. L. Burton c Conyers b Cronin 72 Byes, etc. ..... 13 L. A. M. Parsons c Conyers b Cronin 39 285 G. H. Lintott b Cronin ...... 12 G. W. S. Burton b Cronin ..... 1 G. R. R. Brown did not bat J. G. Tomlinson not out..... 62 L. H. Gilbert BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL. Played at BERKHAMSTED Wednesday, June 13.—Mill Hill won by 77 runs. MILL HILL SCHOOL. F. A. Strachan b Petty...... 18 W. R. C. Reid hit wkt., b Harrison 0 J. W. G. Hume b Harrison..... 0 C. S. Harris run out..... 8 R. S. Spong lbw, b Pearce..... 9 K. E. Beart not out..... 17 R. R. Gilchrist b Harrison ..... 6 G. H. Nicholls b Harrison ..... 43 Byes, etc. ..... 18 M. E. Edgar c Lilly b Harrison . . . 5 J. C. Wolstenholme b Pearce ..... 12 143 J. P. Croisdale c Harrison b Pearce 7 BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL. G. F. George b Reid ..... 0 H. W. Clay lbw, b Spong ..... 3 H. Peck run out ..... B. Lilly b Spong..... 0 L. Wilson c Harris b Nicholls . . . . 12 G. F. Petty not out..... 0 G. F. Harrison c Hume b Reid . . L. H. Pearce b Spong. ..... 15 Byes, etc. ..... 10 H. A. P. Hutchins c Gilchrist b Croisdale ..... 66 N. F. S. McKinnell c Reid b Spong 9 E. K. Laws lbw, b Spong...... 10 WINCHESTER COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL. Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 14.—Their bowlers dismissing Charterhouse very cheaply. Winchester knocked off the 90 runs required for

Charterhouse very cheapty, winchester	KHOCKCO OH OHE BO Talls Todales
victory for the loss of only five wickets.	Going in a second time Charterhouse
were still behind at the close.	
	Characa
CHARTERHOUSE	SCHOOL.
C.H. Harker-Taylor c McGowan b Stewart	23 — b Hoare 20
L. T. Grove c Horsley b Grimston	3
H. C. Crawford b Grimston	
R. C. Bott c McGowan b Awdry	16 — st Palmer b Hoare 17
J. T. Morgan run out	
	11 — 1un out
C. J. Quiney c Hoare b Awdry	4
L. V. Tomlinson c Horsley b Grimston	12 — not out 4
R. C. Hoyle c Hoare b Stewart	6 — b Sinclair
T. K. D. Pritchett c and b Grimston	2
A. A. Best b Stewart	
G. E. Blundell not out	
Byes, etc	11 Byes, etc 11
Dyes, etc	11 17,00,000
	The same of the sa
	89 72

#### WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

D. W. Hoare b Blundell 17	C. E. Awdry c Blundell b Best 51
R. H. Horsley c Crawford b Pritchett28	G. E. H. Palmer b Pritchett 14
C. W. Thring b Pritchett 9	G. S. Grimston b Best 18
E. H. Sinclair c Quiney b Pritchett 11	D. G. Stewart not out 7
C. E. Frazer lbw, b Blundell 0	Byes, etc 12
P. G. T. Kingsley b Pritchett 23	
B. McGowan c Tomlinson b Best 19	209

### CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 15, 16.—Cheltenham's victory by ten wickets was a most convincing one. At all points were they superior to Marlborough, whose batsmen gave a disappointing display against the clever bowling of Duleepsinhji (5 for 34 in the first innings) and Formby (7 for 47 in the second innings).

#### CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

N. Sharpe b Streatfeild	0	
A. W. Tyler c Rotherham b Wayde	elin 26 — not out	0
K. S. Duleepsinhji c Smith b Wayde		
G. A. Thomas lbw, b Coleridge		
P. Higson run out		
F. R. Pacey c Heard b Waydelin		
F. D. Rome b Coleridge		
R. H. A. Foster c and b Wavdelin		
H. Jowett b Waydelin	15	
M. R. Formby c Waydelin b Streatfe		
J. H. Fox not out	0	
Byes, etc.		2
	245	8

#### MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

R. A. Lord c Tyler b Formby	2 — c Jowett b Formby	26
W. R. Heard c Foster b Formby	12 — c Fox b Formby	
R. H. Coleridge c Duleepsinhji b Formby		24
W. M. Mitchell b Duleepsinhji	28 — c Foster b Formby	0
G. E. B. Abell st Fox b Duleepsinhji	8 — c Foster b Formby	39
J. K. Waydelin st Fox b Duleepsinhji	17 — b Formby	0
G. G. C. Streatfeild c Jowett b Duleep-		
sinhji	6 — c Formby b Duleepsinhji	
H. H. Smith not out	4 — b Foster	18.
S. A. Block b Foster	4 — lbw, b Formby	0
A. J. Hawthorn b Duleepsinhji	0 — not out	
J. A. Rotherham, absent ill	0 — absent ill	0
Byes, etc	17 Byes, etc	14
	106	146

#### RUGBY SCHOOL V. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at RUGBY, Friday, Saturday, June 15, 16.—Splendid batting form was shown in this drawn game. A. J. H. Cassells played a remarkably sound innings for Rugby, whilst C. S. Barlow did extremely well for Clifton.

## RUGBY SCHOOL.

E. F. Longrigg b Homan 37 — b Homan	19
T. N. Cartwright b Homan 1 — c Powell b Kennedy	39
H. C. Pattisson b Homan 0 — c Riddell b Homan	0
A. J. H. Cassels, c Barlow b Coates200	
A. D. Cave c Kennedy b Alpass 38 — lbw, b Homan	18
J. R. Heckle c Barlow b Holloway 65 - b Holloway	
R. W. A. Speed c Barlow b Holloway 13 - not out	
M. R. Kittermaster c Holloway b Coates 18 — c Barlow b Homan	0
M. C. C. Daniel c Kennedy b Coates 0 — not out	1
G. M. Goodbody not out	
C. T. Middleton b Kennedy 7	
Byes, etc 15 Byes, etc	8
	108

### CLIFTON COLLEE.

R. F. P. Holloway b Goodbody H. T. Williams c and b Daniel G. G. Aslett c Daniel b Goodbody V. H. H. Riddell b Goodbody V. B. Powell c Heckle b Daniel A. J. W. Smart c Cartwright b Goodbody C. S. Barlow c Pattisson b Heckle	34 H 49 D. I 4 bo 14 J. E H.	E. de V. Kennedy c Cassels b eckle
		335

## SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at SHREWSBURY, Friday, Saturday, June 15, 16.—Capital bowling by C. E. Dodd and P. S. Snow gained Shrewsbury victory by 124 runs.

## SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

A. T. Barber c Batson b Turnbull	
B. Smallpiece c Knight b Turnbull	7 — c and b Turnbull 47
L. T. Vyvyan c Earnshaw b Fenwick	0 — not out 14
P. S. Snow c Knight b Turnbull	
G. W. Pomeroy b Fenwick	. 10 —
H. F. Robinson run out	
W. Enderby c Lambrick b Turnbull	
R. L. Howland b Buckingham	
B. C. Lee c Knight b Turnbull	
C. E. Dodd b Turnbull	
W. E. Protheroe-Bevnon not out	
Byes, etc.	
	The state of the s
	156 *200

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

ROSSALL	SCHOOL.	
E. J. Whiteroft c Robinson b Dodd T. Batson c Enderby b Protheroe		15
Beynon		7
F. Earnshaw b Dodd	7 — b Snow	20
H. T. Lambrick b Dodd	0 — not out	23
J. C. Hammond run out	8 — run out	0
W. O. Wood c Snow b Robinson	8 — b Snow	
K. Gibson lbw, b Robinson	2 — lbw, b Robinson	
J. Fenwick c Pomeroy b Robinson	9 — b Snow	2
A. F. Knight c Pomeroy b Snow	8 — c Dodd b Snow	
J. G. Turnbull c Snow b Protheroe	-	
Beynon		14
R. H. Buckingham not out	8 — b Dodd	1
Byes, etc		
	_	
	98	

## ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, June 16.—St. John's gave such a moderate batting display that Christ's Hospital had an easy task.

## ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL LEATHERHEAD.

H. E. O. Goodchild b Wright 5 J.W. F. Cowgill c Osborne b Spence 2 P.H.F. Harvey c Simpson b Spence 28 J. C. Shenton c Spence b Wright	2 0
G. W. Trepte c McCleery b Wright 25 A. L. Bryan not out	0
P. K. Whitaker hit wkt., b Wright 2 Byes, etc.	
C. W. Rowling b Wright 0	-
	75

## CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

H.H. McCleery c Shenton b Whitaker 7 F. H. Kemple st Neep b Whitaker 53 G.H. Jennings c Goodchild b Trepte 56	P. S. Osborne O. G. Simpson K. G. Kettle
H.F. Wright c Goodchild b Arundell 18	G. M. Simmons
M. K. de C. C. Spence c Trepte b Arundell	CONTRACTOR STATE
W. F. Giddings not out 10	
Byes, etc	

## DOVER COLLEGE V. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.

Played at Dover, Saturday, June 16.—The St. Lawrence batting breaking down badly, Dover won very comfortably by 172 runs.

#### DOVER COLLEGE

	COLLEGE
36	S. C. Smallman c Lyall b Bye 16
	H. W. M. Fleury c Lyall b Bye 2
7	J. de C. Beamish c Alliott b Bye
32	B. W. Hurrell not out 1
26	Byes, etc 10
2	231
	25 7 32 26 74

526 Public School M	atches,		
Beamish	RAMSGATE.  H. Cantrell lbw, b W. Jenney 4 Perfect run out		
ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. FO	DREST SCHOOL.		
Played at ALDENHAM, Saturday, June : behind with five wickets in hand.			
ALDENHAM SCHO	OL.		
A. J. Conyers b Staddon. 76 G. J. Hockin c Sims b Elwis. 22 B. D. C. Jackson b Sims. 0 L. H. Pirie c and b Wiles. 44 J. D. Walker run out. 9	R. Hurrell not out		
A. J. Buffham b Cronin 0 B. D. Kennett c Conyers b Cronin 15 I. E. Parry c Pirie b Wolff 10 B. J. Van der Gucht not out 33 R. A. Staddon b Wolff 5 L. W. Sims not out 25 Byes, etc 9	R. Elwis E. Wiles R. Symes W. V. Gane		
100			
LEYS SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.  Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, June 16.—Leys won a close and interesting game by two wickets.  BEDFORD SCHOOL.			
C. P. Bailey b Spurr	N. Reed b Spurr		
LEYS SCHOOL	DL.		
N. H. B. Thompson c and b Reed 8 G. Carnegie-Brown b Robinson. 67 L. T. E. Field b Proudlock 1 G. N. Carrell c Patterson b Robinson 0 L. B. Smith c Proudlock b Robinson 0	G. Field c Rippon b Robinson . 13 Lindsay st Lane b Robinson . 0 H. Birch not out 10 Byes, etc		

## DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Saturday, June 16.—Outplayed, Downside were rather heavily beaten, Sherborne gaining a lead of 188 runs.

#### DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull c Olivier b Neve C. F. Bull lbw, b Forbes-Bassett. A. P. Allan b Forbes-Bassett. B. R. Turnbull c Hill b Forbes-Bassett R. C. Arbuthnot c Forbes-Bassett R. C. Arbuthnot c Forbes-Bassett b Neve H. F. Cronin c Nunn b Forbes-Bassett	J. E. Hutton b Turnbull   J. Van der Straten c MacDonald b Neve	3 7 4 2 -
Bassett		
	ODER CAROOF	

SHERB	ORNE	SCHOOL.
D. MacDonald c Turnbull b Allan L. H. Bean b Scrope J. A. Nunn b Quinn B. H. Smith Ibw, b Bull J. Peddie run out F. H. Wright b Allan R. G. Forbes-Bassett c and b Quinn	47 2 20 46 92	F. M. Turnbull b Scrope. 4 S. J. Olivier not out. 16 J. K. Hill run out. 0 G. C. W. Neve not out. 5 Byes, etc. 22  278

## RADLEY COLLEGE v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

Played at RADLEY, Saturday, June 16.—Radley displayed splendid allround form to beat Bradfield by an innings and 66 runs. Morris took eight Bradfield wickets for 23 and Blair played a bright three-figure innings.

### BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

DRADFIELD	COLLEGE.
H. G. Scott b Dundas	6 — b Morris 14
A. J. Dashwood b Morris	15 — c Blair b Dundas 9
E. C. Gilbert c Barmby b McConaghy	8 — c Blair b McConaghy 0
J. G. Wagener b Morris	0 — c Dawnay b Dundas 1
G. E. Oliver c Robinson b Morris	0 — c Robinson b Morris 4
J. Covrington lbw, b McConaghy	1 — b Morris 0
W. H. C. Birkbeck b Purcell	11 — lbw, b Morris 5
P. P. Roome c Barmby b Morris	0 — c Collier b McConaghy 36
J. Kitcat run out	3 — st Collier b McConaghy 3
R. G. Shaw not out	
C. A. Ramsden hit wkt., b Dundas	1 — not out 1
Byes, etc	13 Byes, etc 10
	<del>-</del>
	63
RADLEY	COLLEGE.

RADLEY	COLLEGE.		
A. E. Blair b Birkbeck121	J. Barmby not out 0		
J. A. Collinge c Ramsden b Roome 16	Byes, etc		
F. C. Dawnay'c Birkbeck b Wagener 62			
J. E. G. Rowland b Wagener 1	*230		
S. S. Robinson st Ramsden b			
Birkbeck 7	C. I. Collier		
St. L. Morris not out 8	C. McConaghy did not bat.		
C. A. F. Dundas c Gilbert b Birkbeck 2	C. S. J. Purcell		
* Innings declared closed.			

WINCHESTER v. HARROW.	CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.
Played at Winchester, Tuesday, June 19.—Winchester won by 172 runs. Harrow's moderate batting came as a great disappointment. No one played the bowling of Grimston (live for 40) and Stewart (four for 37) with any real confidence. In marked contrast Winchester batsmen gave a consistent display, Frazer especially showing good form.  Winchester.	M. A. McCanlis c Jefferies b Robins 6 C. V. Raffety b Robins 55 L. W. Paget c Hitchcock b Jefferies 46 E. P. Barry not out 51 J. W. W. Jones b Robins 6 G. R. K. Lee b Hitchcock 0   S. H. C. Gray b Robins 5 T. E. Norman c Carter b Hitchcock 2 Byes, etc. 22  224  224
D. W. Hoare b Leveson-Gower. 11 R. H. Horsley c Andrea b Leveson-Gower. 14 C. W. Thring c Kemp b Butterworth 34 E. H. Sinclair c McCall b Crawley 36 C. E. Frazer st SBrown b Lindsey 59 P. G. T. Kingsley b Butterworth. 0  C. E. Awdry b Lindsey. 3 G. E. H. Palmer b Andreae 4 G. S. Grimston not out 17 Byes, etc. 13	W. G. Somervaille b Hitchcock 11   L. S. F. Leroy K. W. D. Hartley did not bat.
B. McGowan e Foster b Butterworth 46   D. G. Stewart did not bat.  * Innings declared closed.	Played at EPSOM, Wednesday, June 20.—Epsom gained a capital victory by 12 runs.  BRIGHTON COLLEGE.
HARROW.   HARROW.   R. E. C. Butterworth c Palmer b   Stewart   27   1. Bullock c Frazer b Grimston   0   C. M. Andreae b Grimston   8   H. D. Leveson-Gower run out   13   B. McCall st Palmer b Stewart   6   J. H. N. Foster c Hoare b Stewart   16   M. F. Kemp c Hoare b Stewart   6   Byes, etc.   10   101	G. W. F. Lyon b Gaffney
FELSTED SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.	EPSOM COLLEGE.
Played at FELSTED, Wednesday, June 20. — Drawn, with Forest still 142 behind with half their wickets outstanding.  FELSTED SCHOOL.  L. H. B. Light c Staddon b Wiles 21 D. S. Chetwood b Wiles 35 B. E. L. Burton c Buffham b Wiles 61 L. A. M. Parsons c Parry b Sims 40 G. H. Lintott b Sims 11 G. W. S. Burton b Wiles 30 Byes, etc 8	W. J. Taylor b Recordon       49       J. F. Newton b Kilcoin       13         J. Newson b Adams       3       G. F. Hutchinson not out       7         A. L. Gashion c Lyon b Adams       0       J. H. Gaffney c Peel b Recordon       0         J. S. Cotman b Chester       27       R. G. Cranford b Chester       0         H. D. Mackay lbw, b Peters       15       Byes, etc.       12         G. W. Ayres c Recordon b Adams       3       3         O. H. Puicott b Recordon       23       152
J. G. Tomlinson lbw, b Wiles 0	HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.
FOREST SCHOOL.  K. A. Latter c Newman b Knight 32   R. A. Staddon b Burton. 15 I. E. Parry b Lintott. 6   L. W. Sims not out. 1 B. D. Kennett c Parsons b Burton 20   Byes, etc. 28	Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, on Thursday, June 21.—A low scoring match ended in Lancing's favour by 22 runs. P. Eve (four for 6) and Michels (six for 19) were the outstanding bowlers.
A. J. Buffham not out	HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.  J. G. Youngman b P. Eve 16   E. Edwards b Burrough 1 F. du B. Wilson c D. Eve b Bur- T. S. Carter b P. Eve 1
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.  Played at CRANLEIGH, Wednesday, June 20.—The Cranleigh bowling proved too good for Highgate, who were rather heavily beaten. McCanlis had the remarkable bowling figures of five wickets for nine runs.  HIGHGATE SCHOOL.	rough
R. W. V. Robins c McCanlis b Norman   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	LANCING COLLEGE

## MILL HILL SCHOOL V. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played	at MII	L HILL,	Saturday,	June	23.—Mill	Hill	won	by six	wickets.
	A. T. Carlotte and		-	~					

FELSTED	School.
L. H. B. Light c Flume b Croisdale 1	P. A. Newman b Reid 19
D. S. Chetwood b Croisdale 0	H. A. Knight c Gilchrist b Crois-
B. E. L. Burton b Nicholls 6	dale
L. A. M. Parsons c Reid b Nicholls 15	G. R. R. Brown lbw, b Croisdale 12
G. H. Lintott b Croisdale 8	L. H. Gilbert not out 0
G. W. S. Burton b Reid 12	Byes, etc 7
J. G. Tomlinson c Harris b Reid 19	
	126
MILL HIL	L School.
W. R. C. Reid b Burton 26	J. P. Croisdale c and b Tomlinson 0
J. W. G. Hume c Brown b Lintott 0	F. A. Strachan not out 33
R. S. Spong c Brown b Gilbert 17	K. E. Beart not out 3
G. H. Nicholls b Tomlinson 51	Byes, etc 23
M. E. Edgar c Knight b Gilbert 0	The second secon
W. H. Sobey c Newman b Tomlin-	179
son 24	R. R. Gilchrist did not bat.
C. S. Harris c Tomlinson b Gilbert 2	

## HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Highgate, Saturday, June 23.—Never recovering from a disastrous start, Aldenham were beaten by 90 runs.

ALDENHAM A. R. Cronin c Grice b Jeffries 3 A. J. Conyers c Morley b Jeffries 2 T. C. Longfield c Hitchcock b Robins	H. R. Hurrell c and b Robins
L. H. Pirie lbw, b Jeffries 0 J. D. Walker c Stuart b Robins. 34 R. F. Alderton b Hitchcock 2	Byes, etc
R. W. V. Robins c Pirie b Longfield 5 D. L. B. Jeffries lbw, b Abbott. 27 G. N. Paxton c Walker b Cronin 14 D. W. Spong lbw, b Wolff. 4 M. A. Jones c Walker b Wolff. 53 C. W. A. Pepper b Cronin	R. L. Stuart b Wolff

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at Croydon, Saturday, June 23.— Whitgift gained an easy victory by 134 runs, thanks mainly to remarkable bowling of G. T. Harrison (seven for 18).

KING'S COLLEGE SCH	fool, WIMBLEDON.	
R. Briggs b Harrison 3	G. L. Loe b Harrison	2
C. I. Clarke b Harrison 0	W. F. Thornhill b Avenell	
E.F. Hughes c Brenchley b Harrison 12	J. V. Blackman run out	
P. R. Heather b Harrison 2	H. C. Broom not out	
B. Boxall b Avenell 8	Byes, etc	7
L. Na Ranong c Amos b Harrison 0		
D. A. Collier c Wright b Harrison 0		44

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

J. H. Amos c Na Ranong b Thornhill	R. Brenchley st Hughes b Broom 58  J.H. Russell c Hughes b Blackman 8  E. C. Avenell not out

## UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Saturday, June 23.—Shipham's sound batting went a long way towards gaining University College School victory by 109 runs.

## UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

R. M. Wilson st Johnson b King 24 J. Hankins lbw, b Hodge 4	W. V. H. Robins lbw, b Babb 9 D. M. Lloyd c and b Hodge 0
H. F. C. Shipham b Quarry111 R. B. D. Wright b Williams 8	C. E. Brown run out
R. M. MacColl b Babb 36	Byes, etc 12
S. M. Abelson c Williams b Quarry 4	
F. J. Millard b Hodge 8	240

#### BEDEORD MODERN SCHOOL

Dabi out atomi	III SOLIOOLI
A. B. Poole b Greer	R. D. Cotton c MacColl b Hankins 33 C. H. Williams not out. 18 E. G. W. King b Greer 1 C. H. Quarry run out 1 Byes, etc. 5  131

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL. Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, June 23.—Cranleigh won by 53 runs.

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

G. R. K. Lee c Rowling b Watts-
Reid
W. G. Somervaille lbw, b Watts-
Reid 1
S. H. C. Gray not out
T. E. Norman b Bryan 2
Byes, etc
95

### ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

or. com a consta	
H. E. Goodchild run out 0 A. L. Bryan lbw, b McCanlis 10 J. W. Cowgill lbw, b McCanlis 2 P. F. Harvey c and b McCanlis . 0 G. W. Trepte c Raffety b Lee 17	G. R. Arundell not out
C. W. Rowling b McCanlis 4 F. R. Neep b McCanlis 4	42

## BEDFORD SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 23.—After a capital struggle, Bedford triumphed by 12 runs.

WELLINGBOROTICH SCHOOL	

D. G. Coney b Reed 3	D. H. Burgess c Rippon b Robin-
I. R. Richmond c and b Patterson 13	son 1
A. E. Barker b Reed 2	C. H. Sturgess c Lane b Reed 24
W. H. Tomlinson st Lane b Robin-	T. E. Dunkley not out 15
son 4	P. E. Needes b Robinson 0
H. Peck run out	Bves, etc 4
G. Ainsworth c Proudlock b Rob-	
inson 5	109
E. J. Goodliff b Reed 24	

#### BEDFORD SCHOOL

BEDFORD SCHOOL.			
H. Davidson c and b Ainsworth 37 A, Patterson lbw, b Needes 10 R. W B. Fuller st Burgess b Needes 4 L. C. D. Robinson c Sturgess b Dunkley	B. W. Lane c Tomlinson b Needes C. W. Rippon lbw, b Tomlinson. 11 R. Kellett (i) c Sturgess b Dunkley J. L. Proudlock not out		

## UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Played at West Hampstead, Wednesday, June 27.—Bowlers triumplied in this match, which Berkhamsted won by 29 runs.

## BERKHAMPSTED SCHOOL.

II W Clar h Treemen

16 | C T Potty h MacColl

H. W. Clay b Freeman. 10 L. Wilson b Freeman. 9 L. H. Pearce c Freeman b MacColl 0 E. K. Laws c and b MacColl . 15 N. F. S. McKinnell b MacColl . 7 G. F. George b Freeman . 2 H. Weatherill b MacColl . 0	G. F. Fetty B maccoll   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0
University Coi	LEGE SCHOOL.
R. M. Wilson run out	C. E. Brown lbw, b Jackson

## MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, June 27.— Sound batting by D. W. Spong turned the game in favour of Highgate, who gained a capital victory by four wickets.

## MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

r. r. spragg c and b Hitchcock 5	W. W. Deeth lbw, b Robins 1 J. E. Sargent b Robins 2 L. W. Turner b Robins 16 N. Bywater not out 8 Byes, etc. 18

## HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. W. V. Robins b Hughes       20         D. L. B. Jefferies b Deeth       3         G. N. Paxton b Lacey       12	R. L. Stuart not out
D. W. Spong not out.       57         M. A. Jones c Turner b Hughes.       3         C. W. A. Pepper run out.       10         J. A. Carter b Hughes.       22	L. C. H. Grice C. C. Morley R. C. Hitchcock

## HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. ARDINGLY COLLEGE.

Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, Thursday, June 28.—The skilful bowling of Dew (eight for 21), and a fine innings by Youngman made Hurstpierpoint's task a simple one, Ardingley being beaten by 99 runs.

## ARDINGLY COLLEGE

G. C. Gunn b Dew	H. C. Bryant b Jeffrey 0 F. T. Maxted not out 2 R. L. Moir c Jeffrey b Dew 0 R. W. Martin c Brigg b Dew 2 Byes, etc. 2
------------------	--

## HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

E. T. D.

J. G. Youngman	c Roberts b
Downleins	c itobalts b
Dawkins	
F. du B. Wilson b	Mortin
T TO COM	Martin 5
A. D. Gilkes c Da	wkins b Bradley 4
L. R. Dixon c F	C D
DIAON C F	. C. Bryant D
Robertson	40
I. C. McLean b M	oin a
i. C. mchean b M	oir 2
W. G. Michels c	H C Bryant b
Dohowtoom	a. C. Digano o
Troperison	10
N. R. Brigg c Guni	h Robertson
	a between the transfer of the

D. Lintott c Bradley b Dawkins	
D. Dew b Dawkins	2
S. Carter b Maxted	
	7
Byes, etc.	7
	-
19	1

1875 Eton won by five wickets

Eton won by inns, and 14 runs

Eton won by inns. and 46 runs

Eton won by inns, and 47 runs

Winchester won by 69 runs

Winchester won by 131 runs

Eton won by seven wkts

Eton won by 58 runs

Winchester won by 53 runs

Eton won by inns. and 194 runs

Eton won by inns, and 10 runs

Eton won by inns. and 36 runs

Winchester won by one wicket

Eton won by inns and 125 runs

Eton won by inns, and 202 runs

Eton won by nine wickets

Eton won by ten wickets

Eton won by eight wickets

Winchester won by 8 runs

Eton won by five wickets

1865

1866

1867

1868

1869

1870

1871

Drawn

#### ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at Eton, Friday, Saturday, June 29, 30.—Despite a brilliant second wicket partnership of 301—a record for the match—between Dawson and Arkwright, Eton could not force a victory. Throughout the game batsmen carried off the honours. After lunch on the first day Sinclair, McGowan and Palmer all punished the Eton bowling rather severely and, every batsmen except one reaching double figures, Winchester appeared to have established a comfortable position. Dawson and Arkwright, however, batted so skilfully that, after the fall of the first Eton wicket at 10, the Winchester bowlers did not meet with further success until the total had reached 311. More enterprising than his partner, Arkwright scored all round the wicket in splendid fashion, his mastery over the bowling being so complete that not until just before he left did he give the semblance of a chance. Dawson, in contrast, played very careful cricket, he was rarely or never at fault. Winchester, 62 behind on the first innings, had gained a lead of 73 when the match had to be given up as a draw.

WINCHESTER.					
D. W. Hoare c Thursby b Incledon-					
Webber	13 — b Bridgeman 5				
R. H. Horsley run out	26 — run out 18				
C. W. Thring b Cobbold	31 — c Thursby b Bridgeman 9				
E. H. Sinclair c and b Bateson	79 — b Kennerley-Rumford 17				
C. E. Frazer c Incledon-Webber b					
Newman	35 — not out 39				
P. G. Kingsley c Incledon-Webber b					
Bridgeman	0 — b Kennerley-Rumford 25				
B. McGowan b Bridgeman	51 — not out 18				
G. E. H. Palmer not out	73				
C. E. Awdry c Incledon-Webber b					
Cobbold	19				
G. S. Grimston c Kennerley-Rumford b					
Incledon-Webber					
D. G. Stewart c Newman b Bateson	14				
B 7, 1-b 10					
	371				
Ero	N.				
E. W. Dawson run out 113	R. H. Cobbold not out 33				
W. P. Thursby b Grimston 5	G. C. Newman b Sinclair 22				
F. G. B. Arkwright b Stewart175	M. R. Bridgeman b Stewart 3				
G. M. Crossman b Sinclair 12	D. M. Bateson c and b Stewart 1				
G. S. Incledon-Webber c Palmer b	B 37, 1-b 4, n-b 2 43				
Stewart					
J. E. Hurley c Kingsley b Stewart 1	433				
R. G. M. Kennerley-Rumford b					
Sinclair 4					
ETON BO					
Overs Mdns. Runs	Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.				
Bridgeman 37 14 83	$2 \ldots 15 \qquad 4 \qquad 37 \qquad 2$				
Kennerley-Rumford17 3 60	$0 \dots 18   5   50   2$				
Incledon-Webber 14 0 63	$2 \dots 12   5   20   0$				
Cobbold 19 9 38	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Bateson 12.4 3 51	2				
Hurley 2 0 9	0				
Newman 14 1 50	1				
WINCHESTER	BOWLING.				
Stewart 47.1 7 138	5				
Grimston 30 7 76	1				
Awdry 20 6 41	0				
Sinclair 23 3 86	3				
Kingsley 10 2 29	0				
Hoare 2 0 20					

1020	WINCHES DEL WOIL DY 33 IUUS	1010	ELOH WOR DY IIVE WICKELS
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1876	Eton won by inns. and 99 runs
1830	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1877	Eton won by inns, and 121 runs
1832	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1833	Eton won by 14 runs	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1835	Eton won by five wickets	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1836	Eton won by two wickets	1882	Winchester won by an inns. and
1838	Winchester won by an innings		20 runs
	and 34 runs	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1884	Eton won by five wickets
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1885	Drawn
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1887	Eton won by nine wickets
1843	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1888	Drawn
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1889	Winchester won by 114 runs
1845	A Tie	1890	Drawn
1846	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1891	Winchester won by five wkts.
1847	Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1893	Eton won by five wickets
1849	Eton won by inns. and 27 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1850	Eton won by five wickets	1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1898	Drawn
1854	Winchester won by three wkts.	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1900	Drawn
1856	Eton won by inns. and 4 runs	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1857	Eton won by inns. and 31 runs	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1903	Eton won by 43 runs
1859	Winchester won by three wkts.	1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1905	Drawn
1861	Eton won by nine wickets	1906	Drawn
1862	Eton won by one wicket	1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1989	Then were besiden and 100	1000	The way of the ways.

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

Drawn

Drawn

Drawn

Drawn

Drawn

Of the 90 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 50 and Winchester 26; one has ended in a tie and thirteen have been drawn.

The one-day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

77

## ROSSALL SCHOOL V. ST. BEES' SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Friday, Saturday, June 29, 30.—Drawn. Rossall made such a good recovery that they were able to declare their second innings closed. The position at the close, however, favoured St. Bees, who, with seven wickets in hand, required 89 to win.

Rossall	School.	
E. J. I. Whitecroft c Holloway b Meihle	5 - b Holloway 12	
T. Batson c Russell-Leonard b Mennim	52 — c Bevan b Holloway 5	)
F. Earnshaw c and b Relf	8 — b Holloway 31	
A. N. D. Whitecroft st Robinson b		
Meihle	50 — c Meihle b Holloway 5	
J C. Sharp c Bevan b Mennim	0 — b Meihle 4	Ŀ
K. Gibson c Mennim b Meihle	0 — run out 48	5
J. Fenwick c Russell-Leonard b Mennim	8 — b Relf	5
II T Tambriels a Maible h Mannim	0 - c Ogilyy h Mennim 34	

## N. B. Smith not out. 7 — not out 4 Byes, etc. 11 Byes, etc. 11

## \* Innings declared closed.

## ST. BEES' SCHOOL.

C. M. Russell-Leonard c Gibson b Fenwick R. M. Lowe b Fenwick A. G. Holloway lbw, b Sharpe B. X. Jessop c Whitcroft b Fenwick G. L. Ogilvy run out J. W. Bevan c Batson b Fenwick H. H. Lawrence b Sharp F. Mennim b Sharp S. S. C. Mehle not out H. K. Robinson b Fenwick	2 20 33 28 20 45 33 9 11	- c Fenwick b Turnbull c Buckingham b Fenwick not out	0 48 13
J. Relf c and b Sharp  Byes, etc		Byes, etc	5
	215		92

## BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played on the COUNTY GROUND, HOVE, Saturday, June 30 .- Outplaying their opponents at all points, Lancing gained an overwhelming victory by 271 runs. Their splendid batting was followed up by skilful bowling on the part of P. Eve (four for 23) and Melsome (five for 33).

### LANGING COLLEGE

LIMITOTING	COMME CO.
R. G. W. Melsome b Chester 22	B. M. Molony b Adams 59
C. Walker b Adams 4	Byes, etc 24
D. E. Eve c and b Kilcoin 3 P. E. Eve b Adams 97	*348
	D. Ducalro
J. W. Burrough c Lyon b Adams 89	B. Brooke
H. F. Pearse not out 50	R. G. Bowen   did not bat.
R. S. Machin c Eastwood b Kilcoin 0	I. C. Morrison
20.0.22	

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

G. W. F. Lyon c Burrough b Mel-	R. P. Williamson lbw, b P. Eve	4
L. G. R. Chester lbw, b Melsome 0	J. T. Bell IDW, b Melsome	2
J. C. Walter b Pearse 29	A. H. P. Kilcoin c Malony b Mel-	
F. D. Eastwood C Machin h P Eve o	F. B. Adams not out	8
G. W. Hortin b P. Eve. 5 R. A. Peel b Melsome 13	Byes, etc.	4
I. W. Roserden e. D. Francisco 13	and the state of t	

L. W. Recordon c D. Eve b P. Eve 5

BRIGHTON COLLEGE

## AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE v. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Ampleforth, Saturday, June 30.—Drawn, Durham being 94 runs behind with only three wickets in hand.

AMPLEFORTH	COLLEGE.
J. B. Ainscough b Meikle 0 P. E. Hodge b Meikle 5 G. G. Bond st Clayton-Greene b Meikle 16 M. P. Davis c Askew b Grierson 17	K. S. Roche c Aarvold b Askew. 72 E. Forster c Aarvold b Askew. 6 H. R. Welsh not out. 0 Byes, etc. 15
P. J. King c Gee b Askew 35 R. P. Utley c Arnold b Meikle 8 E. H. King not out 26	D. R. Morgan did not bat.

* Innings declar	red closed.
DURHAM So	

# DULWICH COLLEGE v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, June 30.—After a most interesting struggle, Cranleigh won by 30 runs. Musgrave made a great effort for Dulwich but he received poor support.

M. A. McCanlis c Musgrave b Woodehouse	W. G. Somervaille not out
Wright	158

DULWICH	COLLEGE.
X. J. Stark c Raffety b Norman 18 V. A. Thompson b Norman 7 V. W. Wright b McCanlis 1 P. E. Musgrave st Gray b Lee 41 W. Perts c Norman b McCanlis 1 M. Barnes c McCanlis b Hartley 4 D. C. P. Whiteley b Hartley 14	F. M. Woodehouse run out. 7 A. J. D. Lewis b Hartley 0 C. G. H. Hitchcock not out. 10 K. M. Hall c McCanlis b Norman 0 Byes, etc. 202

## DOVER COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at Dover, Saturday, June 30.—Dover won by 50 runs, the sound batting of Fuente going a long way towards gaining them success. W. R. Jenney and Brocklehurst each took seven wickets.

## KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

G. P. Mount b W. R. Jenney	R. T. Flack b Hurrell
DOVER	COLLEGE.
R. C. N. Jenney b Brocklehurst. 37 G. M. Watson c Noakes b Brocklehurst. 20 N. C. Fuente c Topliss b Stonehouse 1 L. R. Mayall b Brocklehurst. 32 B. G. Winthrop b Brocklehurst. 0 S. Reynolds b Brocklehurst. 3 W. R. Jenney c Flack b Brocklehurst. 0	L. G. Tennant run out

## CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Cranleigh, Wednesday, July 4.—H. E. Wright (six for 36) and Spence (four for 23) caused the Cranleigh batting to break down so badly that Christ's Hospital had an easy task. When each side had completed an innings Christ's Hospital led by 145 runs.

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

C. V. Raffety c Simpson b Spence 13 W. G. Somervaille c Kettle b Spence 5 L. W. Paget b Wright	K. W. D. Hartley b Speence 1 R. H. White b Wright 4 S. H. C. Gray b Spence 0 T. E. Norman not out 0 Byes, etc. 3 64
CHRIST'S HOSPIT	AL SCHOOL.
F. H. Kemple b Hartley	G. M. Simmons c and b Norman 9 N. T. Buchan not out 0 O. G. Simpson b Paget 31 E. J. Carter run out 4 Byes, etc

## HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at Highgate, Wednesday, July 4,—Highgate won by 73 runs, victory being made almost certain by the splendid play of their early batsmen. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

G. F. Mount c Grice b Robins. 4 K. I. Topliss c Morley b Jefferies. 25 C. H. Gadney b Jefferies. 0 D. M. Harrison c Stuart b Robins. 26 A. E. Brocklehurst c Morley b Jefferies 0 V. C. de St. Croix run out. 16 O. H. de St. Croix not out. 81	C. G. Stonehouse c Morley b Robins 8 G. Noakes c Grice b Robins. 3 R. T. Flack c and b Stuart. 26 W. K. Clarke b Hitchcock. 0 Byes, etc. 3 192
R. W. V. Robins b Topliss 27	
D. L. B. Jefferies h Flack 70	R. L. Stuart run out 8 R. C. Hitchcock c O. H. de St.
G. N. Paxton b Stonehouse 57 D. W. Spong lbw, b Flack 17	Croix b Mount
M. A. Jones b Flack0 C. W. A. Pepper c Brocklehurst b	L. C. H. Grice not out 5
Stonenouse	Byes, etc 10
J. A. Carter st Gadney b Mount. 36	265

## UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

A T Barber b Torres

Played at Uppingham, Wednesday, Thursday, July 4, 5.—Close and interesting throughout, the match resulted in a narrow victory for Shrewsbury by 38 runs. Only two runs divided the first innings' totals, but the later Uppingham batsmen failed when their side went in to get 229 to win, SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

1. Darber b Terras	00
B. Smallpiece b Layton. 11 — lbw, b Waldock H. W. Newell b Enderby	
H. W. Newell b Enderby	4
R. L. Howland c Beisiegel b Waldock. 59 — c Pope b Waldock	34
L. T Vyvvan not out	22
	40
C. E. Dodd b Layton	
Byes, etc	90
	29
182	-
	26
Hey c and h Snow	
3. Hey c and b Snow	53
J. S. Atkins c Robinson b Snow. 21 — c Robinson b Dodd	22
W. K. Beisiegel lbw, b Snow	33
W. K. Beisiegel lbw, b Snow	16
C. H. M. Waldock lbw, b Dodd 0 — b Robinson	1
	2
	1
	8
	0
R. Terras b Robinson	29
R. Terras b Robinson	11-
Byes, etc 15 — Byes, etc	14
180	0

REPTON COLLEGE v. MALVERN COLLEGE. Played at REPTON, Wednesday, Thursday, July 4, 5 .- Repton, who won by six wickets, gained such a pronounced advantage on the first innings that Malvern's improved batting at the second attempt proved of no avail. MALVERN COLLEGE. H. C. D. Abrams c Renwick b Burton.. 30 — b Baines...... 0

L. S. Foster c Shorter b Baines..... 12 — b Renwick ...... 3 E. B. Horfield c Buckland b Shorter. 12 — c Watts b Shorter . . . . . 4 A. E. Mackinnon b Renwick...... 12 — c Burton b Baines ...... F. P. Woolf b Shorter. 1 — not out 0 Byes, etc. 22 Byes, etc. 28 REPTON COLLEGE. 

 E. K. M. Hilleary b Holmes
 39 — lbw, b Greenstock
 32

 A. C. German b Abrams
 0 — b Greenstock
 14

 R. O. Burton c Holmes b Abrams 0 — retired hurt 2

R. O. Burton c Holmes b Abrams 0 — retired hurt 2

R. O. E. Ashton c Aird b Welch 7 — b Woolf 18

C. J. Watts c Wadley b Woolf 12 — not out 61 J. W. Buckland b Greenstock ..... 6 L. H. Merton b Mackinnon...... 67 — st Aird b Woolf ...... 12 H. W. Baines c Aird b Foster ......... 63 E. W. Renwick retired hurt..... 12 Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . . 20 Byes, etc. ..... 6 147

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE. Played at CHARTERHOUSE, Thursday, July 5.—The batting reached a high standard in this drawn game. Taylor played a delightful three-figure innings for Charterhouse, whilst Cowie and David took part in a great fourth wicket partnership for Wellington, who were 126 behind at the close. OTTARRESTOTICE SCHOOL

CHARTERHOU	
C. H. Harker-Taylor st Allom b	L. V. Tomlinson run out 2
Ritson	T. K. D. Pritchett b Ritson 25
L. T. Grove c Bryan b May 19	A. A. Best not out 42
H. C. Crawford lbw, b Bryan 16	G. E. Blundell not out 31
H. C. Crawford flw, b Diyan 10	Byes, etc 27
J. T. Morgan b Bryan 17	Dyes, 600
J. N. Carter c Ritson b Bryan 1	The second of second se
R. C. Bott c Dobson b Cowie 39	360
C. J. Quiney b Cowie 39	The second part to exact the second second
WELLINGTO	N COLLEGE.
S. C. Dobson c Harker-Taylor b	M. J. C. Allom
S. C. DOUSON C Harker laylor b	R. W. C. Allom
Pritchett	
M. B. Turner c and b Pritchett 2	
E. J. Foord c Tomlinson b Grove 42	W. U. Ritson
D. G. Cowie not out 65	R. K. Murray
R. F. A. David not out 69	R. Bryan
Byes, etc 5	
Dycs, 600	

234

## SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Thursday, July victory by 32 runs.	5.—Downside	gained	a capital
victory by 32 runs.			
DOWNSIDE SCH	OOL.		

victory by 32 runs.	
DOWNSIDE	School.
M. J. Turnbull Ibw, b Forbes-	H. C. Cronin c MacDonald b
Bassett 9	Forbes-Bassett 6
C. F. Bull b Olivier 37	J. Van der Straten not out 8
R. C. Arbuthnot c Peddie b Turn-	P. A. Quinn b Forbes-Bassett 0
bull 3	R. Scrope c MacDonald b Forbes-
A. P. Allan b Olivier	Percett
B. R. Turnbull b Forbes-Bassett 41	Bassett 15
P. D. Tamb a Whicht h Coult	Byes, etc 18
R. B. Lamb e Wright b Smith 10	Control of the contro
C. Maxwell-Lefroy b Forbes-	154
Bassett 1	
SHERBORNE	E SCHOOL.
D. MacDonald c Arbuthnot b Allan 4	F. M. Turnbull b Straten 19
L. H. Bean c Straten b Guinn 3	S. J. Olivier b Scrope 5
J. A. Nunn c Maxwell-Lefroy b	A. L. Spens b Straten 3
Allan 12	C. C. Nove not out
R. H. Smith b Allen 48	G. C. Neve not out 16
G. Peddie c Maxwell-Lefroy b Guinn 2	Byes, etc 10
F H Wright a Dall h Allen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
F. H. Wright c Bull b Allan 0	122
R. G. Forbes-Bassett lbw, b Scrope 0	

## UPPINGHAM SCHOOL V. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at Uppingham, Saturday, July 7 .- Drawn, rather in favour of Unpingham, who with seven wickets in hand were 108 habind

o pp. 18 mile, with seven wickers in hand, were 100 belling.		
RUGBY SCHOOL.		
E. M. Dawson not out		
C. W. Haworth b Layton 3 UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.		
C. B. Gillespie not out. 2 Byes, etc. 9  146		

## CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Godalming, Saturday, July 7.—Charterhouse gave a splendid batting display to defeat Westminster by six wickets. Grove also bowled with great effect, taking six wickets for 10 runs apiece.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.		
W. N. McBride st Tomlinson b Grove 2 J. W. Jacomb-Hood c Grove b Best 69 L. Clare c Carter b Grove 14 R. G. H. Lowe c Harker-Taylor b Best 41 J. H. Blair st Tomlinson b Grove 23 R. W. Gorman st Tomlinson b Grove 9 R. W. Hartley b Grove 0	T. G. Lund c Tomlinson b Grove 12 J. A. Cook c Tomlinson b Blundell	

542 Public Scho	ol Matches.		
C. H. Harker-Taylor c Hartley b  McBride	E. V. Tomlinson J. N. Carter A. N. Barker A. A. Best G. E. Blundell		
ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.  Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, July 7.—Dulwich lost by 53 runs, despite the splendid bowling of Woodehouse, who secured six St. Paul's wickets for 61.			
ST. PAUL'S W. L. Hutchins b Whiteley	B. W. Fitz-Aucher o Perts b Woodhouse		
K. J. Stark c Marshall b Nash	Colleger.   C. G. Hitchcock b Greig.   9   F. M. Woodehouse not out   8   A. J. D. Lewis b Greig.   12   K. M. Hall run out.   2   Byes, etc.   35		
REPTON COLLEGE v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.  Played at REPTON, Saturday, July 7.—The stronger side at all points, Repton won by 202 runs. Consistent batting placed them in a strong position and good bowling did the rest.			
REPTON	H. W. Baines not out		
L. H. Merton b Robinson 16	E. S. Hobbs did not bat.		
A. T. Barber run out	SCHOOL.   R. L. Howland c Ashton b Baines 12   B. C. Lee c Baines b Hilleary 0   C. E. Dodd not out		

## LEYS SCHOOL v. FELSTED SCHOOL

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, July 7.—There were a couple of excellent bowling performances in this match, which Leys won by 71 runs. Spurr took five wickets for 11 for Leys, and Gilbert seven for 21 for Felsted.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

FELSTED	SCHOOL.
L. H. B. Light c Thompson b	P. A. Newman c Carnegie-Brown
Sherwin 24	b R. G. Field 0 H. A. Knight b Spurr 0
D. S. Chetwood run out 7	H. A. Knight b Spurr 0
B. E. L. Burton c and b R. G. Field 30	L. H. Gilbert not out 1
L. A. M. Parsons b R. G. Field 18	C. A. W. Archer c Thompson b
G. H. Lintott b Spurr 3	Spurr 3
G. W. S. Burton b Spurr 8	Byes, etc 19
J. G. Tomlinson b Spurr 0	Dycs, etc 19
5. G. Tollinson b Spuit 0	110
Tarre C	113
LEYS S	
W. H. Clarke c Archer b Lintott 12	L. R. Smith b Gilbert 16
S. H. Bach lbw, b Archer 23	R. G. Field lbw, b Gilbert 13
G. Carnegie-Brown c and b Gilbert 87	J. A. Spurr lbw, b Gilbert 0
T. E. Field b Gilbert 7	L. H. Birch not out 0
A. Riley b Gilbert 0	Byes, etc 18
N. H. B. Thompson b Tomlinson 8	
R. W. Sherwin b Gilbert 0	184
	101
MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHO Played at BELLINGHAM, Saturday, ease, exceeding their opponents' total up 137 runs ahead.  MERCHANT TAYL R. Ostler c Fuller b Proudlock. 22 T. G. Hughes c Davidson b Reed 0 D. Ducat b Reed. 2 J. A. Sketchley b Proudlock. 1 F. F. Spragg c Lane b Reed. 1 J. T. Hetherington c Fuller b Proud- lock. 3 W. W. Deeth run out 6 BEDFORD H. Davidson b Deeth. 60 A. Patterson b Deeth. 11 R. W. B. Fuller b Deeth. 5 L. C. D. Robinson c Ostler b Hughes 39 C. P. Balley c Spragg b Hughes 55 C. N. Reed b Hughes. 0 R. Kellett (3) b Spragg 15 B. W. Lane not out 2 Byes, etc. 18	July 7.—Bedford won with the greatest for the loss of two wickets and finishing         ORS' SCHOOL.         J. E. Sargent c Lane b Proudlock       11         L. W. Turner c Fuller b Proudlock       0         N. Bywater not out       3         W. F. Phillips b Proudlock       0         Byes, etc.       21         68
205	

# CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. CHIGWELL SCHOOL. Played at CATFORD, Saturday, July 7.—Drawn.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.		
W R. Francis c Hill b Chapman 105	W. K. Fulford c Burden b Chap-	
K. W. Andrews st Savill b Hill 4	man 1	
G. L. M. Tippet b Burden 0	S. E. D. Offord c Burden b Chap-	
E. R. Lawrence c and b Hill 0	man	
L. D. Gilliam b Hill 3	W. E. Radcliff lbw, b Hill 16	
J. F. McMinn b Chapman 1	C. F. Blake not out 1	
A. A. E. Moore b Chapman 0	Byes, etc	
or an as acces a chapman	Dy 05, 000	
	167	
	101	

## CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

L. C. Down b Radeliff	C. A. Lyon not out
P. J. B. Savill c Fulford b Francis 9 V. A. L. Hill not out	W. R. Kemsley
W. E. Shepherd b Fulford 2 C. D. Coxall c Gilliam b Radcliff 8	G. C. M. Allwood did not bat. L. A. Chapman

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, July 7.— Bradfield gained a handsome victory by 144 runs, their bowling being much too strong for the Wellington batsmen.

Wellington College.

S. C. Dobson b Birkbeck	0	D. W. S. May c Scott b Roome	1
M. B. Turner lbw, b Wagener	6	W. U. Ritson c and b Roome	10
E. J. Foord run out 1	16	R. K. S. Murray b Birkbeck	13
D. G. C. Cowie b Birkbeck	4	R. Bryan not out	0
R. F. A. David c Gilbert b Wagener	11	Byes, etc	
M. J. C. Allom c Oliver b Covington		to the second the second the second the second the second	-
R. W. C. Allom b Birkbeck			84
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	
BRADFI	IELD	COLLEGE.	
E. C. Gilbert c R. Allom b Murray 4	48	P. P. Roome lbw, b David	4
A. J. Dashwood lbw, b Turner 7	75	A. G. Tudor-Evans b Bryan	20
J. G. Wagener b M. Allom 1	16	W. H. C. Birkbeck b Bryan	7
G. E. Oliver lbw, b Ritson 1	14	C. A. Ramsden not out	2
J. Covington c R. Allom b May	7	Byes, etc	
H. G. Scott st R. Allom b Dobson			_
G. N. N. Nunn run out	1		228
			N 4 18

## KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

## Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, July 10.-Drawn.

EASTBOURNE	College.
R. A. Howell b Stonehouse 8 G. R. Frerichs c Missen b Brockle- hurst	J. G. Gubbins not out
22, 22, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31	

hurst 9	
King's School	, CANTERBURY.
P. Mount b Heath 32	K. I. Topliss
D. M. Harrison c Anderson b	G. L. Missen
Frerichs 10	R. T. Flack
D. H. de St. Croix not out 22	G. Noakes   did not bat.
A. F. Brocklehurst not out 1	C. G. Stonehouse
Byes, etc	W. K. Clarke
N. C	L. B. Andrews
75	

## CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Kennington Oval, Wednesday, July 11.—Superior at every point Cranleigh gained the easiest of victories. In one instance their batting and bowling reached a high standard, McCanlis following up his three wickets for 15 runs with a splendid three-figure innings.

EPSOM   C	Oo. H. Puicott c Lee b Norman. 3   J. L. Newton c McCanlis b Carver 0   J. H. Gaffney b Carver . 3   R. G. Cranford not out . 3   Byes, etc. 6   54
C. V. Raffety b Cranford	H. School.  K. W. D. Hartley c Cranford b  Mackay 15  T. E. W. Carver run out. 0  T. E. Norman c Newson b Cotman 26  S. H. C. Gray not out 0  Byes, etc. 17
	217

## MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, July 11.—Carrying on after they had passed their opponents' total with three wickets in hand, Mill Hill finished up with a lead of 30 runs.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL

T. G. Hughes Ibw, b Croisdale	J. E. Sargent run out
MILL HILL	SCHOOL.   C. S. Harris c Deeth b Hughes

## WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at Croydon, Wednesday, July 11.— Whitgift won with five wickets to spare.

W. R. Francis b Harrison 2	DON SCHOOL. W. K. Fulford c Savaage b Butter-
K. W. Andrews b Harrison 3 G.L.M.Tippet st Savaage b Harrison 12 L. D. Gilliam b Harrison 5 E. R. Lawrence b Paine 5 A. A. E. Moore c Savaage b Peart 23 J. F. McMinn b Peart 16 S.E.D. Offord st Savaage b Harrison 4	worth 0  W. E. Radcliffe c and b Butterworth 4  C. F. Blake not out 15  Byes, etc. 4  93

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

J. H. Amos c Andrews b Francis 13 H. F. Paine c Radcliffe b Moore 26	R. Brenchley not of Byes, etc	ut 0
G. T. Harrison b Radcliffe 1 F. Savaage c Radcliffe b McMinn 22	institutes about a cont	104
K. R. Wright c Fulford b Moore. 15 C. B. Cooch not out 16	H. H. Peart P. W. P. Brook	
C. B. Cooch not out	G. P. Goodwin	did not bat
	M. C. Butterworth	

#### MALVERN COLLEGE V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Malvern, Wednesday, Thursday, July 11, 12.—In spite of Lowe's great effort Westminster suffered a heavy defeat by an innings and 24 runs. Malvern displayed splendid all-round form.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.		
W. N. McBride b Abrams		
J. W. Jacomb Hood c Holmes b Woolf . 24 — b Woolf 2		
L. Clare b Abrams		
R. G. H. Lowe lbw, b Woolf		
J. H. Blair c Greenstock b Woolf 5 — b Abrams 2		
R. W. P. Gorman run out		
R. W. Hartley c Maxwell b Woolf 0 — c Horfield b Abrams 39		
T. G. Lund not out		
J. A. Cook b Woolf		
A. M. Shepley-Smith b Woolf 2 — not out 0		
A. C. D. Ensor c and b Greenstock 0 — lbw, b Abrams 0		
Byes, etc		
。		
92 23		
MALVERN COLLEGE.		
L. A. Maxwell b Jacomb-Hood 34   J. B. Aird st Hartley b Jacomb-		
J. D. K. Maciar b McBride 65 Hood 6		
E. R. T. Holmes c Clair b Jacomb- Hood		

#### DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

Smith ..... 53

Byes, etc. ..... 38

F. P. Woolf not out..... 2

P. B. G. Welch b Lowe . . . . . . . . . 12

L. S. Foster b Jacomb-Hood .... 36

C. G. Toppin b Jacomb-Hood.... 0

T.W. Greenstock c Lund b S-Smith 68

Played at Downside, Thursday, July 12.—A high scoring match had to be left drawn.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.		
M. J. Turnbull c and b Shepherd 125	R. R. Scrope c and b Parkin 0	
C. F. Bull b Arundell 11	J. Van de Straten b Shepherd 0	
R. C. Arbuthnot e Phillips b Parkin 69	H. G. Butcher not out 0	
B. R. Turnbull c G. Jones b Arundell 0	Byes, etc	
A. P. Allan not out 57		
R. B. Lamb b Parkin 5	*305	
J. E. Hutton b Shepherd 2	P. A. Quinn did not bat.	

\* Innings declared closed.

#### BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

F. N. Shepherd c Lamb b Van de Straten98	N. C. Phillips not out
H. J. Tremenheere c Scrope b Van	10. D. 11. 111 and on 100 out 11. 11. 11
de Straten 1	Byes, etc 10
R. V. Dewar-Durie lbw, b Van de	DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF T
Straten 0	243
I. Scott-Dalgleish c Hutton b Quinn 4	
J. G. Parkin c Arbuthnot b Allen 24	and the control of th
A. R. Gabe-Jones b Van de Straten 37	R. Wilson E. T. Benson did not bat
H. G. Harman c Lamb b Allen 57	E. T. Benson   and not bat

#### CLIFTON COLLEGE V. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, July 13, 14.—Drawn. Very interesting throughout, the game produced a close struggle between two evenly matched sides. Cheltenham secured a lead of 24 on the first innings, and, after Clifton had declared, required 182 to win with all their wickets in hand at the close.

#### CLIFTON COLLEGE.

	E. H. L'Estrange lbw, b Foster	11 4 7	— b Formby
	V. H. H. Riddell b Formby		— not out 1
	D. E. de V. Kennedy rnn out	10	— not out 13
	H. T. Williams c Jowett b Formby	50	- st Fox b Duleepsinhji 41
	A. J. W. Smart c Duleepsinhji b Formby	4	Control of the Contro
	V. B. Powell c Pacey b Formby	93	
	D. D. Homan c Duleepsinjhi b Formby	40	
	D. D. Homan c Dulcepsinjin b Formby	U	
	H. J. H. Alpass not out	0	
	Byes, etc	27	Byes, etc 16
	· and a second s	-	Entra le Constantina de la constantina
	a magne mercha and	.99	*172
Transland develop by Transland			
*Innings declared closed.			

#### CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

A. W. Tyler c Kennedy b Homan       0         K. S. Ramsinhji b Barlow       22         K. S. Duleepsinhji c and b L'Estrange       34         P. Higson b L'Estrange       20	5
G: A. Thomas c sub b Kennedy 11	
F. D. Rome b Kennedy 3	
F. R. Pacey b Kennedy	
H. Jowett b Alpass 39 — not out	9
R. H. A. FOSTER C HOHOWAY D Kennedy 48	
M. R. Formby not out 10	
J. H. Fox b Homan 9	
Byes, etc 15 Byes, etc	1
223	15

## WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Friday, Saturday, July 13, holding the upper hand, this match had to be left drawn.	14.—Batsmen always Haileybury were still
107 behind at the close.	

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.	
S. C. Dobson c Ramsbotham b Young113 — c Forde b Young	17
lady	1
R. F. A. David c Hamilton-Smythe b Tiplady	26 0 8
W. U. Ritson b Tiplady 1 R. Bryan not out 2 — c Tiplady b Forde Byes, etc. 28  Byes, etc. 28	8 12
	31

#### \* Innings declared closed.

	Y COLLEGE.	
F. R. Bagley lbw, b Allom	7 — st R. W. C. Allom b David 3	14
To C Hope a P Allom h Cowie	13 — not out	U
W Tipledy e Turner h Bryan	5 — not out	20
P I O Mover c Bryan h M. Allom	40 - c and D David	LU
A E Demilton-Smythelbw b M Allom	82 — b Cowie	U
A. R. Battye not out	58 — c May b Bryan	5
C. A. Hue-Williams c Foord b Bryan	4	
J. A. Ramsbotham b Cowie	4	
R. T. Sheppard b M. Allom	4	
C. M. Young c Cowie b Murray	38	
A. I. Forde b M. Allom		
Byes, etc.	36 Byes, etc	2
By os, coor in the contract of		

## WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

242

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, July 14.—Epsom gained a capital victory by 35 runs. EPSOM COLLEGE.

W. J. Taylor c Amos b Butterworth 32 J. Newson b Harrison	J. F. Newton c Goodwin b Avenell 8 G. F. Hutchinson b Avenell 9 J. H. Gaffney c Savaage b Harrison 4 R. G. Cranford, not out 0 Byes, etc. 8
WHITGIFT GR	AMMAR SCHOOL.
H. F. Paine b Cotman       2         G. P. Goodwin b Mackay       4         J. H. Amos b Cotman       6         G. T. Harrison b Cotman       19         K. R. Wright b Mackay       31         F. Savaage lbw, b Gaffney       21         C. B. Cooch b Mackay       3	C. G. Chartres b Mackay

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL V. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, July 14.—Leys won by 22 runs.

LEYS SCHOOL.		
W. H. Clarke lbw, b Croisdale 3	R. W. Sherwin b Croisdale 7	
S. H. Bach c Reid b Nicholls 5	R. G. Field b Croisdale 6	
G. Carnegie-Brown b Nicholls 48	L. H. Birch not out 2	
T. E. Field c Spong b Reid 12	J. A. Spurr lbw, b Croisdale 0	
A. Riley c and b Gilchrist 12	Byes, etc 8	
	Dyes, etc	
N. H. B. Thompson lbw, b Croisdale 7	100	
L. R. Smith b Spong 10	120	
MILL HILL	SCHOOL	
M. E. Edgar c Clarke b Bach 18	K. E. Beart not out 4	
F. A. Strachan c Birch b Field 23	J. P. Croisdale b Spurr 0	
W. R. C. Reid c Riley b Field 16	C. S. Harris b Spurr 0	
J. W. G. Hume c Smith b Spurr 8	A. J. C. Air c Clarke b Field 1	
R. R. Gilchrist c Smith b Spurr 8	Byes, etc	
G. H. Nicholls run out 6		
	00	
R. S. Spong st Birch b Field 1	98	

#### BEDFORD SCHOOL v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, July 14.—Bedford won by 65 runs, St-Paul's finding their task beyond their powers. BEDEORD SQUOOL

DEDFORD	SCHOOL.
H. Davidson b Fitz-Aucher 2	B. A. Batchlor c Rigby b Hutchins 8
A. Patterson c Dudeney b Hutchins 34	C. W. Rippon c Fitz-Aucher b
R. W. B. Fuller lbw, b Nash 2	Nash
L. C. D. Robinson c Rigby b Nash 0	B. W. Lane c Dudeney b Fitz-
C. P. Bailey b Fitz-Aucher 1	Aucher 11
C. N. Reed c Rigby b Fitz-Aucher 17	J. L. Proudlock st Rigby b Nash 13
R. Kellett (3) not out 53	Byes, etc 19
10. 1201000 (0) 100 010 111111111 00	
	195
ST PAUL	's SCHOOL.
P. G. E. Nash b Proudlock 26	R. W. Fitz-Aucher not out 33
H. S. Dearden b Proudlock 5	G. A. Gibson run out 8
J. Grieg c Lane b Proudlock 7	G. L. A. Dudeney c Proudlock b
W. L. Hutchins b Proudlock 9	Robinson 1
H. R. Pocock c Davidson b Proud-	D. K. Rigby lbw, b Proudlock 7
lock 10	Byes, etc 6
C. B. Cook c Lane b Patterson 2	120
F. E. T. Marshall c Fuller b Bailey 16	130
	A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE

#### RADLEY COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at RAULEY, Saturday, July 21.—Skilful bowling being followed up by sound batting, Westminster gained a handsome victory by eight wickets. They eventually finished up 77 runs ahead. Lowe (four for 54) and Jacomb-Hood (five for 56) laid the foundation of their side's success.

RADLEY	COLLEGE.
A. E. Blair c Gorman b Jacomb-	C. A. F. Dundas c Lowe b Jacomb-
Hood 22	Hood 0
J. A. Collings b Lowe 7	C. I. Collier lbw, b Lowe 3
F. C. Dawnay c Harvey b Lowe 21	C. McConaghy b McBride 15
J. E. G. Rowland c Harvey b	C. S. J. Purcell not out 7
Jacomb-Hood 0	Byes, etc
J. Barmby c Blair b Jacomb-Hood 14	_
St. L. Morris b Jacomb-Hood 11	124
S. S. Robinson c Lund b Lowe 11	

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

WESTMINSTEI	R SCHOOL.
W. N. McBride not out	R. W. Hartley T. G. Lund J. A. Cook A. M. Shepley-Smith G. D. Harvey

201
SEDBERGH SCHOOL v. STONYHURST COLLEGE.
Played at AIGBURTH, Monday, Tuesday, July 30, 31.—Drawn, a splendid second innings recovery enabling Sedbergh to save the match.
SEDBERGH SCHOOL.
G. E. Jones b F. d'Abreu 5 — c Fletcher b Hardy 3 C. W. Harris c Kennedy b F. d'Abreu 3 — not out 77 W. F. Scott b Kennedy 2 — c Hardy b d'Abreu 21 J. M. Russell c and b Kennedy 2 — b Kennedy 11 D. M. Gamon c and b Kennedy 2 — b Kennedy 12 J. H. Wood c Christie b Kennedy 0 — c Horton b d'Abreu 26 E. A. Allen b Hardy 6 — not out 24 R. W. Skene c F. d'Abreu b Hardy 5 — b Hardy 3 D. W. Purdie b Kennedy 20 — b d'Abreu 21 R. C. Wakefield c F. d'Abreu b Maion-Lee 11
W. King not out
Byes, etc
68 *203
* Innings declared closed.
STONYHURST COLLEGE.
T. Christie run out
J. A. Whyatt c Purdie b Scott 6 W. H. K. Horton b King 38 — c Jones b King 0
A. L. d'Abreu c Wood b King 19
R. A. S. Hardy b King 8 — not out
J. B. Fletcher b King 10
R. G. Muir Ibw, b Gamon
A. A. Kennedy not out 0 Byes etc 15 Byes, etc 2
Byes etc 15 Byes, etc 2
172

July 12, Wimbledon	M.C.C King's College School	inns. inns	Result.  Won by 120 runs.  *Ten wkts. down. Dckl.
July 12, Highgate {	M.C.C. HIGHGATE	245 — 246* —	Lost by three wkts. *Seven wkts. down.
July 12, Byfleet	M.C.C. BYFLEET	213 — 124 —	} Won by 89 runs.

#### ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 13, 14. - Never, perhaps, in the history of the Eton and Harrow match has the bat beaten the ball so completely. In the course of the two days 1,040 runs were scored and only twenty-seven wickets fell. The explanation of this abnormal cricket is quite simple. There was plenty of batting on both sides, but neither team included any bowler of real class, and the wicket was, perhaps, the best of the many excellent ones prepared at Lord's last summer. Moreover, the heat on the first day was almost tropical. Three individual scores of a hundred or more were obtained, and Eton's total of 502 set up a new record for the match, the previous best being 425 by Eton in 1903. One of the best features of the game was Eton's fielding. On winning the toss, Harrow staved in till about halfpast three for a total of 322. The score was even better than it looked on paper, three of the early batsmen, including Stewart-Brown, being run out. These disasters might well have upset a far more experienced eleven, but there was no suggestion of panic. The most successful batting came at the end, Brigstocke, the last man, helping Foster to put on 92 runs. Foster, who fell in the end to a catch at extra cover-point, played in first-rate form, and Brigstocke made fine hits all round the wicket. Dawson and Thursby gave Eton a brilliant start by scoring 111 together, but though the score stood at 171 when the second wicket fell, there were five wickets down at the drawing of stumps for 227. Dawson, not out 95, went on batting the next morning, and found such an admirable partner in Cobbold that 145 runs were added for the seventh wicket. Both batsmen played well, but considering the super-excellence of the wicket and the moderate bowling, they were often very slow. In hitting up his score of 159, Dawson showed great patience and self-control, rarely or never attempting more than he could safely accomplish. He was especially strong in playing off his legs, and now and then he made a good off drive. After Dawson left, Cobbold and Newman added 78 runs together, Cobbold—a boy of only just seventeen having the distinction of getting a hundred in his first Eton and Harrow match. Newman hit very hard and rarely failed to punish the bad balls, of which, it must be admitted, there were many. Requiring 180 to avoid a single innings defeat, Harrow went in at four o'clock, it having been arranged to continue play if necessary until half-past seven. It is quite possible that Eton would have won the game if Stewart-Brown had not escaped a run out. With three wickets down for 27, the position was almost desperate, but a partnership of 65 runs for the sixth wicket by Stewart-Brown and Bullock made a draw inevitable, Stumps were pulled up at ten minutes past seven, courtesy being stretched a little in allowing Stewart-Brown to get his hundred, after it had become obvious that the match could not be played out. Apart from a sharp chance at slip, Etewart-Brown's innings was almost flawless,

#### HARROW.

HAIO	au week a seed of the seed of
Mr. K. E. Crawley b Kennerley-Rum-	
ford Mr. J. V. Hermon run out	3 — c and b Incledon-Webber . 7 28 — c Kennerley-Rumford b
	Incledon-Webber 0
Mr. J. C. Butterworth run out	13 — b Bridgeman 12
Mr. P. H. Stewart-Brown run out	14 — not out
Mr. R. E. C. Butterworth c Hurley b	
Kennerley-Rumford	56 — c Cobbold b Bridgeman 22
Mr. M. F. Kemp c Thursby b Bridgeman	22 — c Kennerley-Rumford b
	Incledon-Webber 18
Mr. I. Bullock b Kennerley-Rumford	10 — c Incledon-Webber b Newman 30
Mr. J. H. N. Foster c Dawson b Incledon-	
Webber	75 — c Cobbold b Newman 10
Mr. F. M. Bucher c Cobbold b Bridgeman	
Mr. C. M. Andreae b Cobbold	22
Mr. G. O. Brigstocke not out	47
B 11, I-b 1, w 1	
	- Company of the comp
The state of the s	322 216

#### ETON.

Mr. E. W. Dawson b Brigstocke 1	59
Mr. W. P. Thursby c J. C. Butter-	
worth b Andreae	
Mr. F. G. B. Arkwright c and b	
Crawley	
Mr. G. M. Crossman st Stewart-	
Brown b Crawley	4
Mr. G. S. Incledon-Webber b	
Crawley	8
Mr. J. E. Hurley c and b Bucher	

Mr. R. G. M. Kennerley-Rumford
c Foster b Brigstocke 23
Mr. R. H. Cobbold c and b Andreae 100
Mr. G. C. Newman not out 82
Mr. M. R. Bridgeman b Andreae 0
Mr. D. M. Bateson c Stewart-
Brown b Brigstocke 5
B 17, 1-b 8, w 3, n-b 4 32
— ·
roo

#### ETON BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Bridgeman	22	5	60	2	. 24	9	53	2
Kennerley-Rumfo		1	75	3	. 1	0	9	0
Bateson	12	5	23	0	. 5	1	16	0
Cobbold	17	2	71	1	. 11	2	26	0
Incledon-Webber	6.4	2	29	1	. 21	3.	77	3
Newman	7	0	46	0	. 8	3	13	2
Hurley	1	0	5	0	. 5	1	9	0

#### HARROW BOWLING.

Hermon R. E. C. Butter-	17	2	49	0
worth	26	2	93	0
Andreae	30	4	102	3
Bucher	14	4	44	1
Brigstocke	27.4	3	82	3
Crawley	22	6	66	3
Stewart-Brown .	4	0	23	0
Bullock	1	0	11	0

Umpires: J. Moss and W. A. J. West.

#### RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

	TH 1 10	1074	Etan man by five mielrote
1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1874	Eton won by five wickets
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1875	Unfinished
1822	Harrow won by 87 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs
1823	Eton won by inns. and 33 runs	1877	Unfinished
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1825	Eton won by seven wickets	1879	Unfinished
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1828	Eton won by six wickets	1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1832	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1882	Unfinished
1833	Harrow won by eight wickets	1883	Unfinished
1834	Harrow won by 13 runs	1884	Unfinished
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1890	Unfinished
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1843		1893	Eton won by nine wickets
1844	Harrow won by 20 runs	1894	Unfinished
	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1895	Unfinished
1845	Eton won by inns, and 174 runs	1896	Unfinished
1846	Eton won by inns, and 135 runs	1897	Unfinished
1847 1848	Eton won by nine wickets	1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1849	Harrow won by 41 runs	1899	Unfinished
	Harrow won by 77 runs	1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1901	Harrow won by ten wickets
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1903	Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1904	Eton won by inns. and 134 runs
1854	Harrow won by 98 runs	1905	Unfinished
1855	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1906	Eton won by four wickets
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1860	Unfinished	1909	Unfinished
1861	Unfinished	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1863	Unfinished	1912	Eton won by six wickets
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs		
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1913 1914	Eton won by nine wickets Eton won by four wickets
1866	Harrow won by inns. and 136		
1000	runs	1915	
1867	Unfinished	1010	during the war
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	1919	Eton won by 202 runs
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1920	Eton won by nine wickets
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wickets
1871	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1922	Unfinished; no play on first day
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1923	Unfinished
1873	Harrow won by five wickets		

Ninety-four matches have been played, of which Eton have won thirty-nine, Harrow thirty-five, and twenty have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not counted as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-three three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows:—

183 D. C. Boles (in 1904), Eton	112*A. W. T. Daniel(in 1860), Harrow
173 G. Wilson (in 1913), Harrow	108 C. J. Ottaway (in 1869), Eton
159 E. W. Dawson (in 1923), Eton	108 R. B. Hoare (in 1888), Harrow
152 E. Bayley (in 1841), Eton	103 L. G. Crawley (in 1921), Harrow
142 T. G. O. Cole (in 1897), Harrow	102*P. H. Stewart-Brown
137 C. H. B. Blount(in 1912), Harrow	(in 1923), Harrow
135 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Harrow	101 H.C.Pilkington (in 1896), Eton
131 M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow	100 E. Crawley . (in 1885), Harrow
124 J. H. Stogden (in 1895), Harrow	100 E. N. S. Crank
120 B.J.T.Bosanquet(in 1896), Eton	shaw (in 1903), Eton
117 A. W. Ridley (in 1871), Eton	100*M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow
114 C. P. Foley (in 1886), Eton	100 R. H. Cobbold (in 1923), Eton
113 W. F. Forbes (in 1876), Eton	

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match.

orginine	s not	out.

July 14, Northwood {	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 218 — 220 — }	Result.  Lost by 2 runs.
July 14, Dulwich {	M.C.C	242* — }	Won by 32 runs. *Seven wkts. down.
July 14, Dover {	M.C.C	256 <del> </del> }	Won by 159 runs.
July 16, Epsom	M.C.C EPSOM C.C	151 — }	Lost by 61 runs.
July 16, 17, Dorchester	M.C.C	389* 152† 246 154§ ] †	Drawn. Five wkts, down. Deld. Four wkts. down. Deld. §Six wkts. down.

#### ETON RAMBLERS V. HARROW WANDERERS.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, July 16, 47.—The Harrow Wanderers had the best of a drawn game, their superiority in bowling, with Falcon and Jameson on the side, being rather marked. It will be seen that, in Bennett, Bagnall, L. G. Crawley and Enthoven, their team included four members of the Cambridge eleven. D. G. Wigan and Wilkinson gave an admirable display of batting for the Ramblers in the last innings.

25

July 25, Finchley	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 91* — }	Result Drawn. *Four wkts. down.
	R.A.S.C. R.A.O.C.	324 — 125 171* }	Drawn. *Nine wkts. down.
July 25, 26, Tidworth {	M.C.C TIDWORTH GARRISON	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lost by 39 runs.
July 26, Witham {	M.C.C. WITHAM		Won by 176 runs. Six wkts. down. Deld.
July 26, Cricklewood Lane (	M.C.C. BRONDESBURY	115 — }	Lost by 115 runs. *Seven wkts. down.
July 27, Sevenoaks {	M.C.C SEVENOAKS VINE	174 — }	Lost by 46 runs.
July 27, 28, Lord's {	M.C.C	230 44* 118 153 }	Won by ten wkts. *No wkt. down.
July 27, 28, Salisbury {	M.C.C	$\begin{array}{cc} 252 & 19* \\ 175 & 95 \end{array} \}$	Won by seven wkts. *Three wkts. down.
July 28, Bradfield {	M.C.C. BRADFIELD COLLEGE	178* — }	Won by 52 runs. *Eight wkts. dn. Deld.
July 28, HighWycombe {	M.C.C	196 — }	Drawn. *Seven wkts. down.
July 30, 31, Colchester	M.C.C. 4TH DIV. EASTERN AREA		Drawn. *Four wkts. down, Deld. †Four wkts. down.

M.C.C. Matches.

#### CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, July, 30, 31.—The first match in a fortnight of school cricket at Lord's had to be left drawn, rain setting in on the second afternoon and preventing a ball being bowled after three o'clock. So far as it went, the play was interesting enough, but in no respect exciting. In running up their first-innings score of 276, the Clifton batsmen were consistent but lacking in enterprise. Williams and Aslett, showed the most attractive form putting on 90 runs together in an hour and a quarter. Holloway was at the wickets for two hours and a quarter and gave no chance. For Tonbridge, T. F. Mitchell—Frank Mitchell's son—played surprisingly well for so young a boy. Not out 41 at the drawing of stumps on Monday, he was run out the next morning after having had two escapes. Clifton had the better side, but scarcely made the most of their opportunities.

CLIFTON.
Mr. R. F. P. Holloway cTedder b Hudson 52
Mr. C. S. Barlow c Mitchell b Pell 8 — c and b Pell 2
Mr. E. H. L'Estrange c Greatrex b Howard
Mr. H. T. Williams b Holman 58
Mr. G. G. Aslett b Greatrex 43 — not out
Mr.V. H. H. Riddell c Greatrex b Holman 31
Mr. D. E. de V. Kennedy c Howard b
Mr. V. B. V. Powell hit wkt., b Greatrex 11
Mr. D. D. Homan not out 20
Mr. J. E. Coates b Holman 0
Mr.H. J. H. Alpass c Hubbard b Greatrex 0
B 3, 1-b 5, w 3, n-b 1 12 B 4, w 3 7
$2\overline{76}$
TONBRIDGE.  Mr. C. W. Howard b Homan 1   Mr. H. J. Tedder c Alpass b Homan 5
Mr. T. F. Mitchell run out 52 Mr. A. G. Greatrex b Alpass 6
Mr. T. G. H. Holman st Riddell b Mr. J. H. G. Pell b L'Estrange. 24
Kennedy
Mr. G. E. Hudson c Barlow b Kennedy
Kennedy
Mr. R. C. Hubbard not out 44
Mr.J. H. Piesse st Riddell b Homan 15
TONBRIDGE BOWLING.
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
McDermid 15 7 24 05 0 1 0
Hubbard 14 4 21 0 5 2 14 0
Mitchell 9 1 34 0 3 2 8 0
Pell
Howard 6 3 13 1
Hudson 3 0 11 1
Holman 5 0 23 3
CLIFTON BOWLING.
Homan 22 5 59 4
Alpass 14 1 48 1
Kennedy 16 0 54 2 Coates 2 0 9 0
Coates 2 0 9 0 Barlow 3 2 4 0
L'Estrange 4.4 0 10 2
Umpires: H. Butt and T. Attewell.
omproof 21 Date and 21 Hotomore,
1st 2nd
inns, inns, Result, 234* — ) W k 55
FELIXSTOWE AND EAST WON DY 57 runs.
Felixstowe Suffolk 177 — *Two wkts. down.
Ang 1 (MCC 253* ) Won by 202 runs.
*Eight with down Dall
East Molesey   East Molesey 51 86†   *Fight wks. down. Deld †One wkt. down.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, August, 1, 2.—Securing a substantial advantage on the first day, and having everything their own way afterwards, Marlborough beat Rugby very easily by ten wickets. Their superiority to their rivals as an all-round side was quite obvious. Their batting, though good in a collective sense, was not marked by any individual brilliancy. Six wickets were down in their first innings for 113, and yet the score reached 25x Smiths and Hyrbingers in a rotate number strateging representing nutting on 90 runs. wickets were down in their first innings for 113, and yet the score reached 255, Smith and Harbinson, in a rather uninteresting partnership, putting on 90 runs together. In Rugby's second innings, Hechle made a great effort, staying in for just over two hours, but he received little support. The feature of the match the quality of the wicket-keeping. Pattisson was very good and Abell quite first-rate. The two cover-points—Coleridge for Marlborough and Dawson for Rugby—did brilliant work and earned golden opinions.

	RUGB	Y.			Carlotte Control of the Control of t	
	Mr. E. F. Longrigg c Abell b Hawthorn	13 -	- st	Abell b	Rotherham	
	Mr J R. Hechle c Streatfeild b Smith	45 -	S	t Abell b	Hawmorn .	
	Mr A I H Cassels b Smith	24 -	— b	Smith .		
	Mr. H. C. Pattisson c Abell b Rotherham	10 -	- c	Coleridge	b Waydelin	1
	Mr. C. W. Haworth c Streatfeild b Smith	2 -	_ T	in out .		
	Mr. E. M. Dawson b Rotherham				Smith	
	Mr. T. N. Cartwright not out					
	Mr. T. N. Cartwright not out	9	_ 11	Strootfiel	d b Waydeli	n 10
	Mr. A. D. Cave st Abell b Rotherham					
	Mr. D. S. Milford lbw, b Smith					
	Mr. M. C. C. Daniell c Abell b Rotherham					
1	Mr. G. M. Goodbody b Rotherham	7 -	— b	Coleriage	9	
	Bl12, l-b 1, w 4, n-b 2	19		B 5, 1-b	1, w 6	
		_				10
	1	31				16
	MARLBOR	OUG	H.			
	Mr. R. A. Lord7lbw, b Goodbody	20 -	— n	ot out		'
	Mr. W. R. Heard c Longrigg b Goodbody	21 -	- n	ot out		2
	Mr. R. H. Coleridge st Pattisson b					
	Mr. D. H. Coleringe so Lacousson b	18				
	Milford	10				
M.	Mr. G. E. B. Abell b Cassels	10				

10	
7	
18	
33	
33	
23	
15	
18	1
	8
	-
255	9
DOWLING	
	7 18 33 33 22 31 15 18 31 L-b 4, w 3, n-b 1 255

		MAR	LBOROUG	эн Вс	WLIN	G.			
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkt	s.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Streatfeild	7	2	18	0			1	9	. 0
Knight		3	24	0			2	18	0
Hawthorn		1	12	1		13	6	28	1
Smith		2	16	4		13	7	29	$\frac{3}{2}$
Coleridge		0	25	0		1.1	0	1	2
Rotherham		0	17	5		16	2	51	1 2
Trouling 1111			Way	delin		15	8	14	2
			RUGBY	Bowl	ING.				
Cassels	26	5	69	2					
Milford		8	93	5		6	2	21	0
Goodbody		3	22	2		6	0	10	0
Daniell	4	0	17	0					
Pattisson	^	4	11	0					
Hechle	E	2	12	1					

Umpires: H. Butt and T. Attewell.

#### RESULTS OF RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1886	Rugby won by 37 runs
4050	Lord's	1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
	Lord's	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1891	Drawn
	83 runs, at Oval	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
1860	Rugby won by an innings and		and 78 runs
	50 runs, at Lord's	1893	Drawn
1862	Marlborough won by an innings	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
	and 17 runs, at Lord's		82 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
1864	Rugby won by an innings and	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
1001	83 runs, at Islington		35 runs
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
1000	Lord's	1898	Drawn
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
1001	Oval	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
1000	133 runs, at Rugby	1903	Drawn
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
1009	Lord's	1905	Drawn + way
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
1010	Marlborough	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1010	runs
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
1010	35 runs	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts.
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
1010	24 runs	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
1880		1918	
1000	Rugby won by an innings and 120 runs	1910	Marlborough won by an innings and 94 runs
1881		1919	
1882	Rugby won by two wickets Marlborough won by five wkts.	1920	Marlborough won by six wkts. Drawn
1883	Drawn	1921	Drawn
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1921	
		1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1885	Drawn		Marlborough won by ten wkts.
Of the	e 65 matches Rugby have won 33	and M	arlborough 22. The remaining

ten have been unfinished.

Aug. 2, East Grinstead (	M.C.C East Grinstead	1st 2nd inns. inns. 285* — 184 — }	Result. Won by 101 runs. *Five wkts. down.
Aug. 2, East Acton	M.C.C. SHEPHERD'S BUSH	255 — 259* — }	Lost by five wkts. *Five wkts. down.
Aug. 3, Leatherhead	M.C.C	158 — }	Lost by 31 runs. *Two wkts, down.

#### CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD's, Friday, Saturday, August, 3, 4.—A keenly-fought game had to be left drawn, Haileybury, at the finish—play on Saturday was carried on until seven o'clock—requiring 64 runs to win with three wickets to fall. They had been left with 188 to get in two hours and, as the first hour's batting produced only 50 runs, victory was out of the question. A belated effort to force the pace made defeat possible, but Hamilton-Smythe played uncommonly well. The game produced some excellent all-round cricket.

#### CHELTENHAM.

Mr. A. W. Tyler c Hope b Young 27 — b Meyer	5
Mr. K. S. Ramsinhji c Meyer b Forde 2 — b Meyer	4
Mr. K. S. Duleepsinhji b Sheppard 63 — c and b Meyer 2-	4
Mr. P. Higson run out 4 — c and b Meyer 1:	3
Mr. F. D. Rome c Bagley b Sheppard 16 — b Tiplady	
Mr. H. Jowett b Forde	
Mr. F. R. Pacey b Tiplady 71 — c Forde b Tiplady 20	6
Mr. G. A. Thomas b Forde	2
Mr. R. H. A. Foster lbw, b Meyer 1 — b Meyer	0
Mr. M. R. Formby not out	2
Mr. J. H. Fox c H. Smythe b Meyer 3 — c Meyer b Tiplady	6
B 14, 1-b 3, w 1, 18 B 6, 1-b 2, w 1, n-b 1 10	0
	Die Control
237	7

#### HAILEYBURY.

33 — b Percy	23
1 — not out	
	2
O DEPARTMENT OF THE PERSON OF	
20 — lbw, b Formby	50
19 — b Duleepsinhji	4
28 — not out	4
	7
	7
i 0	
. 10	
21 B 8, n-b 2	10
	-
217	24
	1 — not out 19 — b Percy 15 — b Percy  20 — lbw, b Formby 19 — b Duleepsinhji 28 — not out 14 — c and b Pacey 37 — c Duleepsinhji b Pacey i 0 10 21 — B 8, n-b 2

#### HAILEYBURY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Meyer	22.2	9	34	2		25	5	56	6
Forde		9	59	3		11	2	18	0
Young		1	35	1		6	3	10	1
Tiplady		7	60	1		14.4	1	57	3
Bagley		0	19	0		3	0	6	0
Sheppard		5	12	2		2	0	10	0
		CHEL	TENHAM	Bowl	ING.				
Foster	19.4	4	56	2		8	0	31	0
Formby	11 17 17 17 17	10	53			13	3	30	1
Duleepsinhji		5	46			10	3	22	1
Jowett		1	41 Poce	1 y		15	3	31	5-
			1 acc	3		40	5 28 6	0.	The state of the state of

Umpires: Smith and Burton.

#### CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY MATCH RESULTS.

1	893 Drawn	1907 Cheltenham won by an innings
	894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	and 51 runs
	895 Drawn	1908 Drawn
	896 Drawn	1909 Drawn
	897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings
1	898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	and 3 runs
	899†Haileybury won by an innings	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets
	and 45 runs	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1	900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1913 Haileybury won by an innings
	901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	and 217 runs
	902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1914 Drawn
	903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts
	904 Haileybury won by an inns. and	1920 Drawn
	41 runs	1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1	905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1922 Drawn
10.5	906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1923 Drawn
	t Played at the	Crystal Palace.

			2nd inns.	Result.
Aug. 6, Slough	M.C.C. SLOUGH	236* 107†	= }	Drawn. *Nine wkts. down. Deld. †Eight wkts. down.

#### LORD'S SCHOOLS v. THE REST.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, August, 6, 7.—The Lord's Schools proved altogether too strong for The Rest, and at half-past four on the second afternoon they won in the easiest fashion by nine wickets. Duleepsinhji, of Cheltenham, was the hero of the game. He played a beautiful innings of 108, completing his hundred in an hour and three-quarters, and in the follow on by The Rest he bowled high-tossed leg-breaks with surprising success, taking five wickets for 41 runs. For the most part, the batting of The Rest was very disappointing, but Holmes hit up a brilliant 83 in an hour and a half, and Blair played well in

both innings.
Lord's Schools.
Mr. E. W. Dawson (Eton) c Holmes b
Stewart
Mr. R. A. Lord (Marlborough) c Holmes
b Woolf 5 — not out 8
Mr K S Duleensinhii (Cheltenham) c
Blair b Stewart
Mr. F. G. B. Arkwright (Eton) c Ashton
b Hilleary 54
Mr. R. J. O. Meyer (Haileybury) c Lowe
b Holmes
Mr. P. H. Stewart-Brown (Harrow) st
Ashton b Woolf
Mr. C. S. Barlow (Clifton) b Stewart. 8 — not out
Mr. C. S. Barrow (Cinton) D Stewart. S— not out
Mr. R. G. M. Kennerley-Rumford (Eton)
Mr. D. D. Homan (Clifton) b Woolf. 12
Mr. M. R. Formby (Cheltenham) not out 6
B 22, 1-b 7, w 2, n-b 6 37

342

A STEPPEN RECEASE	THE	REST.					
Mr. E. K. M. Hilleary (Re	epton) st	t					
Stewart-Brown b Formby		. 11 —	- c Lo	rd b	Formby		13
Mr. S. Hey (Uppingham) run o			- b Me	eyer .			19
Mr. E. R. T. Holmes (Malvern)	Formby		- c St	ewart-	Brown	b Barlo	w. 83
Mr. R. H. Lowe (Westminster)	Stewart		-				
Brown b Smith			- c Da	twson	b Dule	epsinnji	3
Mr. A. E. Blair (Radley) c Du							04
b Homan			ים מ -	meeps	inhji		31
Mr. H. C. Crawford (Charter			-4 04	- arreat	Daowin	h Dulo	070
Stewart-Brown b Smith		. 4 -			-Brown		
Mr. H. G. Coates (St. Peter's) 1	Formbr	- 18	a De	mili .	h Dules	nginhii	
Mr. N. C. E. Ashton (Repton	h Smith	111	not	Out	n Duice	pamini	18
Mr. E. H. Sinclair (Winchester)	h Barlon	7 19 _	h Be	rlow			
Mr. D. G. Stewart (Winchester	not out	14 -	- lhw	h Du	leensinh	ii	0
Mr. F. P. Woolf (Malvern) b	Meyer	1 -	- h Ba	rlow	roopamin	J	2
B 10, l-b 3, w 1, n-b	Mojor.	23	B	12. 1-	b 1, n-k	3 .	16
D 10, 10 0, W 1, R 0 .			354	77'	~,		
		153					200
	THE RE	ST BOY	WLING.				
Overs Mdns	Runs	Wkts	. (	vers	Mdns.	Runs	Witte
Holmes 23 1	85	1		7 1015	muis.	Leans	W ILOS
Woolf 16.4 5	52	3					
Lowe 18 3	55	ő		1.3	0	8	1
Stewart 16 2	63	3		1	0	5	0
Hilleary 9 1	32	2					
Sinclair 5 1	18	0					
Lord'	s School	LS BOY	VLING.				
Homan 14 3	30	1		4	1	15	0
Formby 12 3	41	3		9	1	18	1
Smith 13 2		3		5	3	6	0
Barlow 8 3	9	1		13.1	2	53	3
Meyer 6.3 2	14	1		13	5	31	1
the same the second and the same of the sa	Dul	eepsinh	nji	10	1	41	5
	Ker	nerley					
	B	tumfor	d	. 2	0	20	0
Timonimon	T Moss	bee .	XXT A	T 337	oat		
Umpires	. J. MOS	sand	W. A.	J. W	CSU.		
		and the second		O'RECOLUMN	lissii y		
		48.44					
			st 2nd			D	14
the second of th		inn	s. inns	3.		Resu	III.

#### THE ARMY v. PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Won by 35 runs.

Schools did well to get the Army out on a hard, true wicket for 235, but their own batting went to pieces so completely that, at the end of the first afternoon, they had to follow-on. Dawson kept up a strong defence against good bowling for an hour and three-quarters, being the ninth man out and Duleprinthji had a brilliant half-hour or so, but that was all. On the second day, the batting was far more consistent, but there never seemed a chance of saving the game. Left with only 106 to get, the Army won by seven wickets, hitting off the runs in an hour and a half.

THE ARMY.
Mr. A. C. Wilkinson b Meyer 12 — b Lowe
Lowe
Capt. A. C. L. Stanley-Clarke b Duleep-sinhji
Mr. P. V. Williams lbw, b Duleepsinhji 18 — c Formby b Holmes. 43 Mr. H. P. Miles c Duleepsinhji b Formby 0 — not out
Capt. F. R. R. Brooke c Blair b Duleep-
sinhji
Cupe. 10. Dt. 11. Powici o Dian b Polimby 52
Mr. N. J. O. Carbutt b Lowe 6
Capt. J. G. W. Hyndson c Holmes b Meyer 4
B 23, 1-b 2, n-b 4 29 B 4, n-b 3
235
PUBLIC SCHOOLS.
Mr. E. W. Dawson (Eton) c Wilkinson b Fowler
b Fowler 22 — b Shaw 0 Mr. A. E. Blair (Radley) b Shaw 6 — lbw, b Kirkwood 30 Mr. K. S. Duleepsinhji (Cheltenham) lbw,
b Kirkwood
wood
Mr. F. G. B. Arkwright (Eton) b Fowler 0 — run out
b Kirkwood
Mr. P. H. Stewart-Brown (Harrow) b Kirkwood
Mr. R. J. O. Meyer (Halleybury) b
Kirkwood
son b Fowler       2 — lbw, b Kirkwood       57         Mr. M. R. Formby (Cheltenham) not out       1 — b Shaw       0
B 6, l-b 1, 7 B 35, w 3, n-b 1 39
79 261
Public Schools Bowling.
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.  Lowe 21 2 54 3 9 2 27 1
Meyer 18.5 6 51 2 6 2 14 0
Formby 11 1 44 2 4 1 13 1 Smith 3 0 10 0
Duleepsinhji 10 0 47 3 4.4 0 22 0
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
THE ARMY BOWLING.
Hyndson 5 2 9 0 16 8 24 0 Shaw 5 0 24 1 27 13 54 4
Fowler 18.5 5 25 4 21 4 62 2
Kirkwood 18 10 14 5 21 9 37 3 Carbutt 16 5 37 0
Miles 9 5 8 0
Umpires: Smith and J. Moss.

# AVERAGES.

Innings marked \* are not outs.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.											
		Inning	gs -	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. N	ot out	S	Average	
T. C. Longfield .		8		280		123		1		40.00	
J. G. Potts		7		81		31		3		20.25	
H. R. Hurrell		10		159		35*		2		19.88	
A. R. Cronin		17		308		48		0		18.12	
J. D. Walker		17		251		50		1		15.62	
L. H. Pirie		12		177		44		0		14.75	
A. J. Conyers		17		184		76		0		10.82	
P. S. McDougall .		12		102		18		2		10.20	
R. F. Alderton .		13		120	1	33		1		10.00	
B. E. Abbott		11		52		15		4		7.43	
A. J. Wolff		9		59		14*		1	• •	7.37	
			- 1 3 5	COTTO	O.T.	DOW	TINI	7			
				SCHO	OF		LING	л.			
		Overs		Maidens		Runs		ickets		Average	
T. C. Longfield .		38		7		161		15		10.73	
A. J. Wolff		91		16		365		22		16.60	
A. R. Cronin		208		26		702		40		17.55	
J. G. Potts		45	1600	4		252		13		19.38	
B. E. Abbott		97		7		417	5 · ·	21	• •	19.88	
ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BATTING.											
		nning		Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. N	lot out	s	Average	
P. S. M. Molyner		16		466		84		0		29.12	
V. J. Keyte		16		332		62*		3		25.53	
J. L. Felton		15		273		66		2		21.00	
C. J. Blew		10		130		35		3		18.55	
L. J. Wood		9		111		26		3		18.50	
G. H. R. Dew .		15		190		42		0		12.66	
R. J. Benwell		9		50	200	29*		5		12.50	
D. K. S. Camero		16		176		28		0		11.00	
H. R. Pape		14		108		34	£	1		8.30	
W. P. Day-Dewdi		14		91		19*		1		7.00	
G. L. Plummer		6		21		8		2		5.25	
1000											
A	LLI	HAL	LOW	S SCE	[00]	BO	WLI	NG.			
100	(	Overs		Maidens	3	Runs		Wicket	S	Average	
V. J. Kevte		231		44		678		52		13.03	
W. P. Day-Dewo				37		747		48		15.54	
	AR	DIN	GLY	COLI			TTIN				
		Inning	gs	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average	
M. Dawkins		14		397		72*		1		28.36	
K. C. Bryant		10		187		44*	and the	2		18.70	
G. C. Gunn		15		217		49		0		14.46	
R. A. Robertson		15		179		65		0		11.93	
		14		165		33		1		11.78	
G. N. Robarts		15		155		41		0		10.33	

Public	School Ave	erages.
BEDFORD	SCHOOL	BATTING.

#### ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.

F. T. Maxted	Overs	Maidens 6		Runs 86	ickets	Average 7.16
R. M. Bradley	192		1.71	558	 34	 16.41
R. A. Robertson M. Dawkins		 32 13		445		17.11 17.43

#### AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innir	Innings I		Highest inns.			ot outs	Average	
M. P. Davis	14		424		90		0	DT US	30.28
G. H. Nelson	8		136		60		3		27.20
E. Forster	7		76		24*		4		25.33
P. E. Hodge	14	-	349		128		0		24.92
E. H. King					41		3	C.	22.28
P. J. King	14		286		58*		1	900	22.00
R. P. Utley	12		229		58		1	-1.	20.81
H. R. Welsh		61.18	101		35		3		20.20
K. S. Roche		11.	176				4		19.55
G. G. Bond			232		41		0		16.57
J. B. Ainscough									9.85
K. S. Roche G. G. Bond	13 14	1:	176 232		72 41	-:	4 0		19.55 16.57

#### AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BOWLING

E. H. King	Overs 95	Maidens 14	Runs 310	Wickets 19	Average 16.63
M. P. Davis			 353	 18	 19.61
K. S. Roche	128	 24	 378	 17	 22.23
R. P. Utley	181.2	 35	 547	 23	 23.78

### BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	H	ighest in	nns.	Not outs	Average
J. Mann	. 17	 331		68		1	20.63
G. C. Sheldon	. 17	 240		63		0	14.13
W. G. Reeves	. 17	 220	6	68		1	13.75
H. G. Howe	. 15	 118		20		3	9.83
F. W. Bull	. 15	 137		29*		1	9.13
H. J. Arnold	. 17	 147		25		0	8.23
O. H. Christensen .	. 11	 84		23		0	7.64
M. B. Whittingham	. 12	 44		13	6	6	7.50
L. C. Devonshire	. 13	 72		35*		2	6.55
T. H. Crawley	. 16	77	DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	22*	185	3	5.93
F. W. Musgrave		 67		10		4	5.58
A SECTION OF SECTION							

### BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	W	ickets		Average
T. H. Crawley	. 191		43		353		35		10.08
J. Mann			30		511		44		11.61
W. G. Reeves	. 103	4	20		318		26		12.23
G. C. Sheldon	. 52		11	er.	167		12		13.92
H. J. Arnold	. 136		25		414		23	- I have	18.00

	SUBMINIST AND SUPERIOR	nning	rs	Runs	His	Average		
1	H. Davidson			563		92	 1	46.91
	L. C. D. Robinson .		0.5	284		72	 1	28.40
	B. W. Lane			119		40*	 4	23.80
	R. Kellett (3)		1	82		53*	 1	20.50
	P. Bailey			187		55	1	17.00
	J. I. Dancy				200	0.1	-	15 54

T	C. D. Robinson .	11	98	284		72		1	28.40
	. W. Lane			119		40*		4	
	. Kellett (3)			82	E	53*		1	
	P. Bailey			187		55		1	17.00
	N. Reed					34		1	15.54
	W. B. Fuller			155		62		1	14.09
	W. Rippon			128			*	1	12.80
				125			de la	0	11.36
	. Patterson			46		13		3	
	. L. Proudlock							0	
E	3. C. Yarde	9		10		9		0	0.20
					1000		-		

SURFICE STATE	BEDFORD	SCHOOL	BOWL	ING.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. C. D. Robinson	. 107.3	16	337	27	12.25
J. L. Proudlock	190.3	53	413	26	15.84
C. N. Reed	186.5	42	476	25	19.04

#### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs 27.18 299 64 L. W. Hodge ..... 11 .. 25.30 63 253 A. B. Poole ...... 11 19.60 196 53 B. A. Babb ..... 11 50\* 163 T. Plant ..... 11 34\* 86 C. H. Williams .... 8 33 R. D. Cotton ..... 8 39 H. C. Johnson ..... 10 8.87 6.75 J. T. Thody ..... 9 71 18 D. M. King ..... 9 ... E. G. W. King .... 8 ... 54

L. W. R. D. ( B. A

BEDFO	RD A	IOI	DERN	SCH	OOL 1	30 W	LIN	7.	
	Overs		Maide	ns	Runs	W	ickets		Averag
Hodge	168		48		384		35		10.97
Cotton			19		. 390		23		16.96
Dobb	64		10		196		9	- 1	21.77

A. Babb	64	10	196 .	. 9	21.11	
	BERKHAMST	ED SC	HOOL BAT	TING.		
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Averag	e
Wilson	11	182	57	$\frac{1}{2}$	18.20	

T.	Wilson	. 11	182	57		1	18.20
E	K. Laws	11	99	28	3	2	11.00
	F. S. McKinnell		92	32	3	1	10.22
				39	3	1 100000	9.55
	F. George						
	A. P. Hutchins.			01		0	7 79
L.	H. Pearce	. 11	85				
G.	F. Harrison	. 10			)		
G	F. Petty	. 7	29	(		0	4.14

BERKHAMSTI	ED SCH	UU.	P BO	W LI	NG.		
Overs	Maidens		Runs		Wicke	ets	Average
G. F Harrison 114.3	14		355		20	1130	17.75
H. A. P. Hutchins. 83	5		375		19		19.21
L. H. Pearce 89.3	17		336		10		33.60

	I N I ) H	T.T.	'S SCH	00	L BAT	TT	NG	
	Innings		Runs		hest inns.			Average
F. N. Shepherd	14		564	1118	169		0 .	
H. I. Tremenheere .	15		313		73			
R. D. H. Arundell .	15		229		61			
N. C. Phillips	11		132		48			
J. G. Parkin	15		275					
R. V. Dewar-Durie .	15		255					
A. R. Gabe-Jones	15		254		54			
H. G. Harman	15		208		61*			
I. Scott-Dalgleish	10		95		20			
R. Wilson	12		65		20			
BLI	INDE	LT,	S SCH	001	BOW		NG.	
Date	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average
A. R. Gabe-Jones			34		618		38 .	
J. G. Parkin			16		554		32 .	0-
R. D. H. Arundell .			36				21 .	01 10
R. Wilson			24	84	345		14 .	
H. I. Tremenheere .	99		14		388		14 .	27.71
ii. ii iiomemeere i								
BR	IGHT	ON	COLL	EGI	E BATT	T	VG.	
	Innings		Runs				Not outs	Average
R. A. Peel	12		290		46		4 .	
J. C. Walter	14		399		85			
L. G. R. Chester	14		346		137			
G. W. F. Lyon			323		76		The state of the s	
L. W. Recordon	6		90		45			
F. B. Eastwood	13		247		56		1 .	
R. P. Williamson	11		162		67			
G. W. Hortin	13		141		32			
BR	IGHT	ON	COLL	EGF	E BOW	Г.Т	NG.	
			COLLI.			4.1		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average
L. G. R. Chester	Overs 143						Wickets 27	Average 18.26
F. B. Adams			Maidens		Runs		27 .	18.26
	143		Maidens 20		Runs 493		27 .	18.26 20.71
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin	143 209 174	••	Maidens 20 37 29	•••	Runs 493 725 645		27 35 30 .	18.26 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin CAMPBEI	143 209 174 LL CC	···	Maidens 20 37 29 EGE,	EEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST,	  B	27 35 30 30	18.26 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin CAMPBEI	143 209 174 LL CC Innings	···	Maidens 20 37 29 EGE, Runs	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns.	 B N	27 35 30 30 SATTING	18.26 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin CAMPBEI	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19	···	Maidens 20 37 29 EGE, Runs 609	EEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns. 124	В К	27 35 30 30 3ATTING ot outs 2	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average
F. B. Adams	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18	··· DLL	Maidens 20 37 29 ZEGE, Runs 609 409	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns. 124 62	 B N	27 35 30 : 3ATTING tot outs 2 0 :	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average 35.82 22.72
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15	··· DLL	Maidens 20 37 29 ZEGE, Runs 609 409 338	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92	 B N	27 35 30 . 3ATTING ot outs 2 0 0	. 18.26 . 20.71 . 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18	··· DLL	Maidens 20 37 29 ZEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46	 B N	27 35 30 30 3ATTING of to outs 2 0 0 2	. 18.26 . 20.71 . 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53 . 15.56
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19	DLL 	Maidens 20 37 29 AEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32	В м	27 35 30 30 3ATTING Tot outs 2 0 0 2 4	. 18.26 . 20.71 . 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53 . 15.56 . 13.00
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19	)LL	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41	 B N	27	. 18.26 . 20.71 . 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53 . 15.56 . 13.00 . 12.21
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 19	DLL 	Maidens 20 37 29 29 2	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27*	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53 . 15.56 . 13.00 . 12.21 . 10.60
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie W. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell	143 209 174 LL CO Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 19 19	DLL ::	Maidens 20 37 29 29 ZEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50	B N	27	. 18.26 . 20.71 . 21.50 . Average . 35.82 . 22.72 . 22.53 . 15.56 . 13.00 . 12.21 . 10.60 . 10.08
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Baille M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney	143 209 174 L CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 18 19 15	) LL	Maidens 20 37 29 29 EGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 . Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 12.21 10.60 10.08 9.72
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19	)LL	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, ghest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26	B N	27 35 : 3 30 : 3 30 : 3 4 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 :	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 12.21 10.60 10.08 9.72 8.66
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Baille M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney	143 209 174 L CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 18 19 15	) LL	Maidens 20 37 29 29 EGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 . Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 12.21 10.60 10.08 9.72
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 13 16	DLL .:	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 195 232 106 121 107 156 137	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 27* 50 18 26 38	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 12.21 10.60 10.08 9.72 8.66 8.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19 16 LL CC	DLL .:	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 JEGE, TEGE, TE	BEI	Runs 498 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26 38 LFAST,	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 10.08 9.72 8.66 8.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers  CAMPBEL	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 13 15 16 LC CO	DLL .:	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 JEGE, Maidens	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26 38	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Baille M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers  CAMPBEL  H. C. M. Stone	143 209 174 LL CO Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19 16 LL CO Overs 207	)LL	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 EGE, Maidens 46	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26 38 JFAST, Runs 545	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 Average 35.82 22.72 22.53 15.56 13.00 10.08 9.72 8.66 8.50 Average 11.60
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers  CAMPBEL  H. C. M. Stone J. H. MacElney J. H. MacElney	143 209 174 LL CO Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19 16 LL CO Overs 207 228.4	)LL	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 JEGE, Maidens 46 59	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26 38 LFAST, Runs 545 702	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers  CAMPBEL  H. C. M. Stone J. H. MacElney J. H. MacElney CAMPBEL  H. C. M. Stone J. H. MacElney C. B. Mitchell	143 209 174 LL CC Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19 16 L CO Overs 207 228.4	DLL 	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 JEGE, Maidens 46 59 11	BEI Hig	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 18 26 38 JFAST, Runs 545 702 200	B N	27 35 30 34 30 2 4 30 4 4 4 10 30 30 4 4 10 30 30 4 4 10 30 30 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 15 5 15 5 15 5 15 5 15 5 15 5	18.26 20.71 21.50 20.71 21.50
F. B. Adams A. H. P. Kilcoin  CAMPBEI  H. C. M. Stone H. W. Bailie M. V. Delap W. R. G. Loughery R. J. G. Grainger J. Ritchie I. C. V. Gibson C. B. Mitchell J. H. MacElney J. G. M. Erskine R. M. Byers  CAMPBEL  H. C. M. Stone J. H. MacElney J. H. MacElney	143 209 174 LL CO Innings 19 18 15 18 19 19 15 13 15 19 16 LL CO Overs 207 228.4	)LL	Maidens 20 37 29 JEGE, Runs 609 409 338 249 195 232 106 121 107 156 137 JEGE, Maidens 46 59	BEI	Runs 493 725 645 LFAST, thest inns. 124 62 92 46 32 41 27* 50 18 26 38 LFAST, Runs 545 702	B N	27	18.26 20.71 21.50 20.71 21.50

CUT A DI	PEDICI	TOTAL SIC	TTO	T DA	mmT.	NC		
	TERHOU		nou	JL DA	1 11.	INCI.	٠.	erage
	nnings	Runs		est inns.		touts		49.27
H. C. Crawford	13	542		90	• •	2		30.79
C. H. Harker-Taylor	14	431	• 1	102		1	• •	21.44
C. J. Quiney	10	183	• •	51		0	•••	21.00
L. T. Grove	12	252	• •	39	• •	2	•	19.83
R. C. Bott	14	238		36		4		18.25
L. V. Tomlinson	12	146	••	42*		3		16.75
A. A. Best	7	67 183	• •	39*	• •	2	::	15.25
J. T. Morgan G. E. Blundell	14	40	• •	31*		3		13.33
G. E. Blundell	6	92		25		1		11.50
T. K. D. Pritchett	9	92	•••	2.0				11.00
					-		7	
CHAR	TERHOU	JSE SC	CHO	OL BO				
	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	Wi	ickets		Average
T. K. D. Pritchett	181.3	39		467		36		12.97
G. E. Blundell	107.2	8		442		20		22.10
A. A. Best	195	42		594		23		25.83
L. T. Grove	110	17		389		15		25.93
D. 21								
A COLUMN TO SERVICE TO	TARREST -	784-1						
CHEI	TENHA							
]	nnings	Runs	Hig	hest inns		ot out		Average
K. S. Duleepsinhji .	12	576		162		1		52.36
F. R. Pacey	10	310		87	• •	1		34.44
M. R. Formby	9	78	3	22*		6		26.00 24.80
P. Higson	10	248		67		0	111	23.66
F. D. Rome	8	142		50*		$\frac{2}{1}$	1992	23.66
H. Jowett	7	142		70		7 (5333)		18 11
G. A. Thomas	10	163		58		2	• • •	16.11
A. W. Tyler K. S. Ramsinhji	11	145		27 22	• •	ī		10.30
K. S. Ramsinhji	6	54		34		0		10.50
N. Sharpe	6	63 87		48	• •	0		9.66
R. H. A. Foster	. 9		••	10*		2		6.83
J. H. Fox	8	41	• • •	10.		#10		0.00
CHEL	TENHA	M COI	LEC	E BO	WL	ING.		
OILEI		Maiden		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
35 D Hamaker	Overs 246.2	Maiden 49		623		44		14.15
M. R. Formby		16		221		14		15.78
H. Jowett	76.1	52	9	644		35		18.40
K. S. Duleepsinhji . R. H. A. Foster	$240.2 \dots 125.4 \dots$	24		373		19	1945	19.63
R. H. A. FOSUEI	120.4							
OT.	HIGWEL	T SOH	COL	. BATT	PTN	G.		
				ighest in			a	Average
	Innings	Runs 246	H	ignest ini	us. IV	3		30.75
V. A. L. Hill	. 11	180		51		0		16.36
P. J. B. Savill	. 11	132		35		ĭ		13.20
C. D. Coxall		46		27				11.50
E. A. Porter		109		44		0	pino.	10.90
W. E. Shepherd	. 8	0.0		42		Ö	16.2	10.75
R. W. Martindale				22*		3		9.66
C. A. Lyon L. C. Down	. 9			29		0		8.77
N. A. Burden	. 10	77		23		0		7.70
M. A. Duiden	. 10							

Lie et a	nicional de la company									
CHIGWELL SCHOOL BOWLING.										
V. A. L. Hill	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average					
R. W. Martindale		48 17	005	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{14.12}{17.73}$					
N. A. Burden	. 145.1	28	100	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18.11					
L. A. Chapman	. 35.4	10	000	. 10	20.20					
				- 1						
CHRIS	T'S HOS			ATTING.						
W. F. Giddings	Innings 7	Runs 125	Highest inns		Average					
H. E. Wright	. 12	180	0.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.00 20.00					
F. H. Kemple	. 12	217		. 0	18.08					
S. E. Fry		102		. 1	17.00					
H. H. McCleery G. H. Jennings	$\begin{array}{cccc} \cdot & 10 & \dots \\ \cdot & 12 & \dots \end{array}$	155		. 0	15.50					
M. K. de C. C. Spence	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	165 134		. 1	$15.00 \\ 13.40$					
G. M. Simmons	. 6			1	13.20					
O. G. Simpson	. 3	33	31 .	. 0	11.00					
T. S. Usherwood	. 6	51	27 .	. 0	8.50					
CHRIST	"S HOS	PITAL SC	HOOL BO	WLING.						
CILLUIDI	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average					
H. E. Wright	. 145	11	340 .	. 31	10.97					
G. M. Simmons	. 35	9	121 .	. 10	12.10					
M. K. de C. C. Spence	169.2	32	459 .	. 33	13.91					
CITY	OF LOI	JDON SCI	HOOL BA	TTING.						
	Innings		Highest inns		Average					
W. R. Francis	. 11	288		. 0	26.18					
L. D. Gilliam		163	76* .	. 2	16.30					
W. E. Radcliffe		46		. 5	15.33					
K. W. Y. Andrews . G. L. M. Tippet	10	$\begin{array}{cccc} 151 & \dots \\ 139 & \dots \end{array}$		. 0	15.10					
E. R. Lawrence	11	139		. 0	12.63 10.23					
J F McMinn	11	109	00	. 0	9.91					
S. E. D. Offord	10	74	25 .	. 2	9.25					
A. A. E. Moore C. F. Blake		77	23 .	. 0	7.70					
C. F. Blake	9	35	15* .	. 3	5.83					
CITY	OF LON	DON SCH	OOL BOY	WLING.						
springer and the	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average					
A. A. E. Moore W. E. Radcliffe		28	313 .	. 28	11.18					
W. R. Francis	119	00	209 . 339 .	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$12.30 \\ 15.40$					
E. R. Lawrence	45	3	189	4.0	18.90					
					20.00					
CI		COLLEGE								
R. F. P. Holloway .	Innings		Highest inns.		Average					
H. T. Williams	$\begin{array}{cccc} 13 & \dots \\ 12 & \dots \end{array}$	450 373	66* . 58 .		45.00					
C. S. Barlow	14	373 432	58 . 95 .		41.44 34.00					
V. B. V. Powell E. H. L'Estrange	11	295	141		26.81					
E. H. L'Estrange	12	292	60	1	26.54					
V. H. H. Riddell	15 15	301	54		25.08					
G. G. Aslett D. E. de V. Kennedy	15	344 271	49 65		24.57 20.84					
D. D. Homan	9	144	0.		18.00					
J. E. Coates										
H. J. H. Alpass	6	45 20	20*		11.25					

CLIFTON   COLLEGE   BOWLING.		OT TEMON	COLLE	CF I	ROWLI	NG		
D. E. de V. Kennedy   122							leata	Average
D. B. de V. Keillery   122								
D. D. Homan	D. E. de V. Kenn	nedy 122						
C. S. Barlow   109   18   309   12   25.75	D. D. Homan .	190						
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.   Average	H. J. H. Alpass	170						
M. A. McCanlis	C. S. Barlow	109	10		000		10	
M. A. McCanlis					- 2011	-		
M. A. McCanlis 13 371 116* 2 33.72 C. V. Raffetty 15 289 71* 1 20.64 C. V. Raffetty 15 213 46 2 16.38 E. P. Barry 15 194 56* 3 16.16 E. P. Barry 13 168 35 0 12.92 E. W. D. Hartley 13 138 24 1 11.50  CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average M. A. McCanlis 119 44 184 36 5.11 G. R. K. Lee 60 16 153 17 9.00 C. V. Raffety 88 16 237 25 9.48 T. E. Norman 201 49 463 36 12.86  DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs 17.28 H. R. Lewis 14 242 43 0 13.28 H. R. Lewis 14 242 43 0 13.28 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 G. S. A. Smith 14 132 33 0 9.42 A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 15 19 82 26 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.65 C. Sutton 178 38 448 35 13.82 D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 74 G. C. Sutton 178 38 448 35 13.82 D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 74 G. C. Sutton 178 38 448 35 13.82 H. R. Lewis 135.6 25 403 21 19.19  DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average 9.0 R. C. N. Jenney 9 258 91* 1 32.25 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. H		CRANI	EIGH SO	CHOC	L BA	LIIN	<b>x</b> .	
M. A. McCanlis 13 371 116* 2 33.72 C. V. Raffety 15 289 71* 1 20.64 L. W. Paget 15 213 46 2 16.38 L. W. Paget 15 194 56* 3 16.16 E. P. Barry 15 194 56* 3 16.16 E. P. Barry 15 194 56* 3 16.16 E. P. Barry 15 194 56* 3 16.16 L. W. Jones 9 117 33 0 13.00 L. S. F. Leroy 13 168 35 0 12.92 K. W. D. Hartley 13 188 24 1 11.50  CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Rums Wickets Average M. A. McCanlis 119 44 184 36 5.11 G. R. K. Lee 60 16 153 17 9.00 C. V. Raffety 88 16 237 25 9.48 T. E. Norman 201 49 463 36 12.86  DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Rums Highest inns. Not outs 17.28 H. R. Lewis 14 242 43 0 17.28 H. R. C. Garman 14 186 44 0 13.28 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 S. A. Smith 14 132 33 0 9.42 A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 R. J. Lofthouse 14 78 27 2 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 R. J. Lofthouse 14 78 27 2 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 H. R. Lewis 135.6 25 403 21 19.19  DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Rums Highest inns. Not outs Average 19 19 19 2 6.33 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.63 C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 H. R. Lewis 135.6 25 403 21 19.19  DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Rums Highest inns. Not outs Average 9.7 C. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 7.44 C. C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 7.44 C. C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 7.44 C. C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 93 93 0 29.62 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 1 22.25 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 55* 20 18.27 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 55* 20 18.27 W. M. Selminan 12 110 30* 3 12.22 W. R. Jenney 12 6.685		Innings	Runs	Hig				
C. V. Raffety	M. A. McCanlis							
L. W. Pagef	C. V. Raffety							
J. W. W. Jones	L. W. Paget	15						
J. W. W. Jones	E. P. Barry	15						
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL   BOWLING.	J. W. W. Jones	9						
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL   BOWLING	L. S. F. Leroy .	13						
Overs   Maidens   Runs   Wickets   Average	K. W. D. Hartle	ey . 13	138		24			11.50
Overs								
Overs   Maidens   Runs   Wickets   Average		CRANLE	GH SCH	OOL	BOWI	ING.		
M. A. McCanlis 119 444 184 36 5.11 G. R. K. Lee 60 16 153 17 9.00 C. V. Raffety 88 16 237 25 9.48 T. E. Norman 201 49 463 36 12.86    DENSTONE   COLLEGE BATTING.					Runs	Wi		
G. R. K. Lee 60 16 237 25 9.48 C. V. Raffety 88 16 2337 25 9.48 T. E. Norman 201 49 463 36 12.86    DENSTONE   COLLEGE BATTING.	M A McCanlis		4.4		184			
C. V. Raffety			16		153			
DENSTONE   COLLEGE BATTING.					237			
DENSTONE   COLLEGE BATTING:   Not outs   Average	T E Norman				463	3	6	12.86
H. R. Lewis	1. M. Norman					_		
H. R. Lewis		DENSTO	NE COL	EGE	BAT	TING.		
H. R. Lewis 14 242 43 0 17.28 D. P. Lutter 13 175 40 0 13.46 R. C. Garman 14 186 44 0 13.28 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 S. A. Smith 14 132 33 0 9.42 A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 11 50 6.83 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 J. Precee 11 50 13 1 5.00    DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.				Hig			outs	Average
D. P. Lutter	TT D Tamie							
R. C. Garman 14 186 44 0 13.28 G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 S. A. Smith 14 132 33 0 9.42 A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 12 82 27 2 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.33 J. Preece 11 50 13 1 5.00    DENSTONE   COLLEGE   BOWLING.								13.46
G. C. Sutton 8 38 18 4 9.50 S. A. Smith 14 132 33 0 9.42 A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 228 0 6.50 R. J. Lofthouse 14 78 27 2 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.33 J. Prece 11 50 13 1 5.00    DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.			400				0	13.28
S. A. Smith         14         132         33         0         9.42           A. S. Richards         12         78         18         2         7.80           M. S. Barker         12         82         28         0         6.83           R. J. Lofthouse         14         78         27         2         6.50           C. Nicholls         8         38         19         2         6.33           J. Preece         11         50         13         1         5.00           DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.           Overs Maidens Runs Wickets         Average           D. P. Lutter         242         57         551         74         7.44           G. C. Sutton         178         38         484         35         13.82           H. R. Lewis         135.6         25         403         21         19.19           DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.           Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs         Average           W. M. A. Harris         6         198         69         0         33.00           R. C. N. Jenney         9         258         91*         1         32.25 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td>18</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			0.0		18			
A. S. Richards 12 78 18 2 7.80 M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 12 28 28 0 6.83 M. S. Barker 12 28 27 2 6.50 M. C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.33 M. DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.    Overs					33	15	0	
M. S. Barker 12 82 28 0 6.50 R. J. Lofthouse 14 78 27 2 6.50 C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.33 J. Prece 11 50 13 1 5.00    DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.								
R. J. Lofthouse								
C. Nicholls 8 38 19 2 6.35 J. Preece 11 50 13 1 5.00    DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average								
DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.   Overs   Maidens   Runs   Wickets   Average								
D. P. Lutter	J. Preece	11	50	• •	13	•	1	5.00
D. P. Lutter						_		
D. P. Lutter		DENSTON	TE COLL	EGE	BOW	ING.		
D. P. Lutter 242 57 551 74 7.44 G. C. Sutton 178 38 484 35 13.82 H. R. Lewis 135.6 25 403 21 19.19  DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs 4 33.00 R. C. N. Jenney 9 258 91* 1 32.25 N. C. Fuente 8 237 93 0 29.62 N. C. Fuente 8 237 93 0 29.62 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.25 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 111 2 6.85							kets	Average
Dove temperature   College Barring   College B	D D Intton					-		
DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not outs   Average   R. C. N. Jenney   9   258   91*   1   32.25   N. C. Fuente   8   237   93   0   29.62   W. R. Jenney   12   321   74   0   26.75   N. G. Fuente   13   206   51*   2   18.73   S. Reynolds   13   201   59*   2   18.73   S. Reynolds   13   201   59*   2   18.73   S. C. Smallman   12   110   30*   3   12.22   S. G. Tennant   12   128   31   0   10.66   S. G. Tennant   12   128   31   0   10.66   S. G. Smallman   12   128   31   0   10.66			0.0	eti -			5	13.82
DOVER   COLLEGE BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not outs   Average   W. M. A. Harris   6   198   69   0   33.00	H R Lewis	135.6			403	2	1	19.19
Innings	11. 10. 1101113							
Innings		TO TITE	COLLE	OTA T	ATTITITA	TO		
W. M. A. Harris 6 198 69 0 33.00 R. C. N. Jenney 9 258 91* 1 32.25 N. C. Fuente 8 237 93 0 29.62 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 U. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85				GE I	DALLII	NO.	n ka	Awaraga
W. M. A. Harrs 0 258 91* 1 32.25 R. C. N. Jenney 9 258 91* 1 32.25 N. C. Fuente 8 237 93 0 29.62 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 S. Reynolds 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85				Hig	nest inns	s. Not		
R. C. N. Jenney 9 236 0 29.62 N. C. Fuente 8 237 93 0 26.75 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85								
N. C. Fuence 3 231 74 0 26.75 W. R. Jenney 12 321 74 0 26.75 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 S. Reynolds 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 S. H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85			000			No.		
W. R. Jenney 12 321 72 18.73 L. R. Mayall 13 206 51* 2 18.73 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85								
L. R. Mayan 13 201 59* 2 18.27 S. Reynolds 13 201 59* 2 18.27 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85			000					
S. Reynons 13 207 58 0 13.69 G. M. Watson 13 178 58 0 13.69 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85	L. R. Mayall		201					
G. M. Watson 12 110 30* 3 12.22 S. C. Smallman 12 110 30* 3 12.82 S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleur 9 48 11 2 6.85								
S. G. Tennant 12 128 31 0 10.66 H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85			440				3	
H. W. M. Fleury 9 48 11 2 6.85							0	
	H W M Fleur		10		11			
J. de C. Beamish . 10 34 19 1 5.00			01		19		1	3.66

Runs

206

556

505

Runs Highest inns. Not outs

109

125

69

Wickets

0

19 ...

10.84

13.56

15.78

18.07

43.20

39.91

20.08

14.00

Maidens

41

18

12

432

479

247

168

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING.

..

Overs

Innings

W. M. A. Harris ... 80.3 ...

J. de C. Beamish .. 188.4 ...

W. R. Jenney . . . . 131 . . H. W. M. Fleury . . 77.2 . .

A. P. Allan ..... 12

M. J. Turnbull .... 12

B. R. Turnbull .... 12

R. B. Lamb ..... 12

S. N. Turner 6	69	28	1 .	. 13.80
C. F. Bull 12	164	51	0 .	. 13.66
R. C. Arbuthnot 12	147	49	0 .	. 12.25
J. Van der Straten . 9	71	21*	3 .	44 00
R. R. Scrope 9	47	19	4 .	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1				
DOWN	SIDE SCHO	OL BOWI	TNO	
				05 J. B 3
J. Van der Straten . 106		Runs	Wickets	Average
	20	325	19 .	
P. A. Quinn 194	48	574	32 .	
R. R. Scrope 58	11	229	11 .	
A. P. Allan 149	11	587	19	30.89
DULW		EGE BATT	ING.	
Innin	gs Runs	Highest in	ns. Not outs	Average
K. J. Stark 10	246	59	0	
P. E. Musgrave 10	208	41	1	
C. G. H. Hitchcock 7	42	10*	4	
W. A. Thompson 9	93	27	1	
M. L. Barnes 9	103	29	0	
P. W. Wright 9	95	34	0	
F. M. Woodehouse . 8	58	18	2	
J. W. Perts 7	50	30	0	
E. C. P. Whiteley 9	64	14	0	
K. M. Hall 8	33	18*	3	
A. J. D. Lewis 9	38	12		
II. C. D. Hewis 5	00	12	0	4.44
DULWI	CH COLLE	OH DOWN	TATO	
Ove.			Wickets	Average
F. M. Woodehouse . 96	15	337	25	
E. C. P. Whiteley 98	13	362	18	
A. J. D. Lewis 93	18	296	13	
K. M. Hall 87	18	268	12	22.33
Concords Education Capital	The second second			The state of
DUNST	ABLE SCHO	OOL BATT	ING.	
Innin			as. Not outs	Average
A. L. Butt 8	276	68	0	
R. B. Waller 8	147	31*	i	
C. R. Dixon 6	59	29	3	
W. J. Dixon 7	115	51*	1	
L. M. Walker 8	113	54	0	
F. R. Brown 8	102	32	0	
A. H. Jones 5	51	40	1	
E. O. Andrews 7	57	The second secon		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
H. S. Fletcher 5	33			
ii. o. riotomor	55	13	1	0.25

#### DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

			Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L.	M.	Walker	103.1	18	295	 19	 15.53
F.	R.	Brown	66	 13	 181	 11	 16.45
L.	R.	Holmes	62.5	 16	 171	 9	 19.00
		Fletcher		18	227	11	 20.64

#### DURHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	H	ighest in	its	Average	
H. A. Clayton-Greene	12		414		78	 1		36.73
C. L. Adamson		100.	317		52	 2		31.70
J. G. Askew	11		228		54*	 3		28.50
F. A. Youngman	11		214		58	 1		21.40
B. Aarvold			143		39	 3		20.43
G. I. M. Turnbull	7		69		41	 3		17.25
C. F. Meikle			163		55	 0		16.30
B. Grierson		red and	77		23	1		11.00
C. D. Aarvold			87		31	 1		10.85

### DURHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	Maidens		7	Wicket	ts	Average	
C. F. Meikle	146.4		31		407		29	-	14.03	
J. G. Askew	42		4	ation.	162		11		14.72	
E. D. Good	74.4		11		248		15		16.53	
F. A. Youngman	48.3		5	00.1.	209	18	11		19.00	
B. Grierson			27		546	-	27		20.22	

#### EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	ore co	Runs	T	lighest ir	ne N	Tot ou	e	Average
		30							
G. R. Frerichs	. 15	and Property	274		67		1		19.57
R. A. Howell		885.	279		61	bear.	0		18.60
W. A. S. Ellaby	13		217		46		1		18.09
E. M. Morres	15	10 TO 10	268		74		0		17.86
A. B. Carter	14		214		79		2		16.46
G. A. C. Frost	10		154		48		0		15.40
R. E. Heath	10		73		18*		5		14.60
A. F. Anderson	14		173		44*		1		13.31
A. A. Shaw	12		114		27		3		12.66
J. G. Gubbins			74		22*		4		10.55
F. H. Else	10		67		19		1		7.44

#### EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ens	Runs	201	Wieket	s	Average
A. F. Anderson	155.2	. 21	2	549		29		18.89
E. M. Morres	101.1	. 15		458				19.91
F. H. Else			10.	186		8		23.25
W. A. S. Ellaby				827				
R. E. Heath	77.4	5	• •	362		10		36.20

EDINBURGH	ACADEM	IY BATTI	NG.	
Innings		ghest inns. N		Average
B. R. Tod 17	499	102	1	31.19
J. W. A. Wright 16	278	82*	2	19.86
A. D. Aitchison 11	142	38*	2	15.78
J. B. L. Munro 16	189	40	0	11.81
A. Mackay 18	182	31	1	10.71
C. D. L. Murray 15	141	35	0	9.40
G. A. G. Robertson 14 R. S. G. Strachan 8	118	30	1	9.08
TT TO IDI	56	31	1	8.00
T C C 10	$\begin{array}{c}44\\25\end{array}$	12* 17	$\frac{5}{2}$	5.50
1. G. Gunn 12	25	17	z	2.50
EDINBURGH		A BOMFI	NG.	
	Maidens		Wickets	Average
I. G. Gunn 258.1	74	605	49	12.35
J. B. L. Munro 71.1	14	241	17	14.18
H. D. Thomson 201.3	49	574	38	15.11
B. R. Tod 91	14	263	17	15.47
EMANUEL	SCHOOL	BATTING		
Innings		lighest inns.		Average
J. R. Cole 17	356	71	3	25.43
F. A. J. Cole 16	160	62	6	16.00
H. J. Marshall 7	99	32	0	14.14
C. W. Comber 14	127	41*	3	11.50
N. S. Deeks 17 J. E. Spikins 16	179	29	1	11.20
	140	50*	2	10.00
E. Parker 16	158	62	0	9.90
A. J. Head 15	102	16	2	7.90
EMANUEL	SCHOOL	BOWLING		Average 9.00
	Maidens		ickets	Average
E. W. Gomm 213	56	504	56	9.00
A. J. Head 58.5	11	218	19	11.50
H. J. Marshall 40.2	5	146	12	12.17
C. W. Comber 139.3	27	369	19	19.40
A. J. Austin 112	33	348	12	29.00
EPSOM CC	LLEGE	RATTING		
Innings		ighest inns.	Not onto	Avonogo
H. D. Mackay 14	276			Average 27.60
W. J. Taylor 16	435	53 139	0	25.94
H. N. Greene 5	129	56	0	25.80
A. L. Gashion 16		51		24.19
J. F. Newton 13	209	70	4	23.22
G. W. Ayres 14 J. S. Cotman 16		112	3	22.10
J. S. Cotman 16		65	0	21.63
J. Newson 16	333	99	0	20.81
O. H. Puicott 6	66	23	0	11.00
EPSOM CO	LLEGE I	BOWLING.		
	Maidens		Wickets	Average
R. G. Cranford 101.3	17	334	21	15.90
J. H. Gaffney 137.5	20	521	32	16.28
H. D. Mackay 251.3	57	788	47	16.77
J. S. Cotman 197.2	28	684	31	22.06

ETON	CO	LLEGE	: I	BATTIN	G.		
Innin		Runs		ighest inn		ot outs	Average
F. G. B. Arkwright 10		472		175		1	52.44
E. W. Dawson 11		560		. 159		0	50.91
R. G. M. Kennerley-							
Rumford 10		299		71		3	42.71
G. S. Incledon-Webber 10 W. F. Thursby 10		245		65		1	27.22 24.60
	• • •	246 238	• •	62 58		0	23.80
G. M. Crossman 10 M. R. Bridgeman 5		59	• •	23		$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots \end{array}$	14.75
J. E. Hurley 10		91	• •	26		1	10.11
	THE ALL	u de or			1		
Also batted:— R. H. Cobbold 4		179		100		3	
G. C. Newman 2		104	• • •	82*	**	1	
D. M. Bateson 4		16	•	7*		i	_
Di M. Butoscii IIIII	200					1000	
ETON	7 00	TIEGE		O WIT TN	TO		
		LLEGE	L	BOWLIN	ICI.	Wielrot	Augnore
D. M. Bateson 104.4		Maidens 24		Runs 329		Wickets 14	Average 23.50
G. C. Newman 47	• •	7	• •	180	••	7	25.71
M. R. Bridgeman 214		50		713		23	31.00
R. G. M. Kennerley-		00		120		20	,01.00
Rumford 84		14		320		9	35.55
G. S. Incledon-Webber 155.4		22		636		15	42.40
R. H. Cobbold 74.4	E C	15		271		5	54.20
FELST	CED	SCHOO	T.	BATTI	NG		
Inning		Runs		ighest inn			Average
B. E. L. Burton 14	,0	491		148*		1	O
G. R. R. Brown 10		204		65*		2	25.50
H. A. Knight 12		216		47		3	24.00
G. W. S. Burton 14		271		99		1	20.85
D. S. Chetwood 9		179		75		0	19.89
L. A. M. Parsons 14	• •	269		40		$\frac{0}{3}$	19.21 19.00
J. G. Tomlinson 12 P. A. Newman 12	• •	171 223		62* 71			18.58
L. H. B. Light 14	••	230		36	• •	0	16.43
G. H. Lintott 14	••	185		48		0	13.21
G. 11. Milliott				10		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
FELST	ED I	SCHOO	L	BOWLI	NG		
Over	S	Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average
G. H. Lintott 116.2		19		355		23	
L. H. Gilbert 104		18				20	16.00
				320			
G. R. R. Brown 183		43	::	562	::	32	17.56
G. R. R. Brown 183 B. E. L. Burton 87.5							
B. E. L. Burton 87.5		43 10	::	562 343	··· 	32 16	17.56
B. E. L. Burton 87.5 FETT	ES C	43 10 COLLEC	:: #E	562 343 BATTI	 ING	32 16	17.56 21.44
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT Inning	ES C	20 COLLEG Runs	:: #E	562 343 BATTI Highest in	 ING	32 16 Not outs	17.56 21.44 Average
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT. W. B. Scott 20	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569	;; ;;	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113	NG ins.	32 16 Not outs 1	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT  United W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18	ES C	20 COLLEG Runs	:: #E	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74	 ING	32 16 Not outs 1	17.56 21.44 Average
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT  United W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569 443	∷ }E ∷	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74	NG ins.	32 16 Not outs 1 3 4 2	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT  Inning W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18 H. L. Normand 18 R. K. Ferguson 20 H. L. Robertson 19	ES C	43 10 COLLEG Runs 569 443 365 377 310	.: <b>∤E</b> .:	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74 53* 63 50	ING	32 16 Not outs 1 4 2 1	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94 17.22
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT Inning W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18 H. L. Normand 18 R. K. Ferguson 20 H. L. Robertson 19 J. B. Cooke 10	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569 443 365 377 310 54	∷ Æ ∷	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74 53* 63 50 23	ING	32 16  Not outs 1 2 4 2 6	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94 17.22 13.25
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT Inning W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18 H. L. Normand 18 R. K. Ferguson 20 H. L. Robertson 19 J. B. Cooke 10 J. M. Scott 17	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569 443 365 377 310 54 197	<b>≵E</b>	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74 53* 63 50 23 58	ING	32 16 Not outs 1 4 2 6	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94 17.22 13.25 13.13
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT  Inning W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18 H. L. Normand 18 R. K. Ferguson 20 H. L. Robertson 19 J. B. Cooke 10 J. M. Scott 17 K. A. Waugh 14	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569 443 365 377 310 54 197 120	# <b>E</b>	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74 53* 63 50 23 58 37	ING	32 16 Not outs 1 4 2 6 2	17.56 21.44 Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94 17.22 13.25 13.13 12.00
B. E. L. Burton 87.5  FETT Inning W. B. Scott 20 E. K. Brown 18 H. L. Normand 18 R. K. Ferguson 20 H. L. Robertson 19 J. B. Cooke 10 J. M. Scott 17	ES C	43 10 COLLEC Runs 569 443 365 377 310 54 197	<b>≵E</b>	562 343 BATTI Highest in 113 74 53* 63 50 23 58	ING	32 16 Not outs 1 4 2 6	Average 29.95 29.53 26.07 20.94 17.22 13.25 13.13

Dublia	Sahaal	1
worte.	DOMOOR	Averages.

### FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
H. L. Robertson	90.1	 14	 251		23	10.91
W. V. Berkley	84.4	 8	 261		21	12.43
D. M. Williams	183.1	 34	 482		30 /	16.07
J. M. Scott		 46	 565		33	17.12
R. K. Ferguson	111.4	 25	 315		16/	19.69

#### FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	H	ghest in	ns.	Not out	S	Average
K. A. Latter	. 9		249		127		0	Electric Control	27.66
B. J. Van der Gucht	. 10		139		33*		2		17.37
B. D. Kennett	. 10		141		29		0		14.10
R. E. Wiles	. 7		38		13*		4		12.66
I. E. Parry	. 10		108		39		0		10.80
C. W. V. Gane	. 7		54		14		2		10.80
L. W. Sims	. 10		73		25*	E	3	40.5	10.50
A. J. Buffham			89		30	10.	1		9.88
R. A. Staddon	. 10		57		17	H.,	0-		5.70

### FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

D E Wiles	Overs	Maidens			Wickets		Average 20.69
R. E. Wiles C. W. V. Gane				600 303		• •	23.30
R. A. Staddon	121	 10		466	17	2600	27.41
L. W. Sims	79.2	 7	1	333	. 11		30.27

## FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

- 新進於 24200 (A) 2	Innings		Runs	]	Highest i	nns.	Not outs	Average
J. M. Badcock	. 17		371		53	1.	3	26.50
C. A. Humphreys .	. 24		423		81	14.	2	19.22
W. A. Badcock	. 17	-	315		78	-	0	18.52
A. D. Burbidge	. 22		324		42	70.4	2	16.20
R. V. Howard	. 24		355		77		2	16.13
T. G. Saul			247		28		3	13.00
C. W. Soar			272		60		1	12.95
H. F. Burbidge	. 18 .		197		86		1	11.58
D. L. Pinney	. 8 .		64		45*	1000	1	9.14
A. E. Frank	. 18 .		86		25		1	5.05
P. N. Worsfold	. 17 .		39		12*		8	4.33

## FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	3	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average	,
H. F. Burbidge	275.1	96.	68	516	674		82		8.22	
P. N. Worsfold			73	44.	637		66		9.65	
C. A. Humphreys			39		432	· · ·	38		11.37	
C. W. Soar			17		330		24	10.00	13.75	
A. D. Burbidge	38.3		9		140		10	74000	14.00	

#### GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

		Runs	His	ghest inns.	Not	outs	Average	
J. Moore	. 9		162		52*		1	20.25
A. Coats	. 7		127		44		0	18.14
S. Hickling	. 7		102		46		0	14.57
J. M. Ashcroft			110		46		0	12.22
R. M. Stirling	. 9		83		23*	a distance	1	10.37
F. J. Wiseman			62		31*		2	10.33
J. A. Sidebottom .			60		27		0	10.00
I. R. Alder			80		49		0	8.88
J. S. Redmayne			65		32		0	8.12
A. H. Pogson			53		15		0	5.88
P. A. Scott	8		16		12*		4	4.00

### GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
J. M. Ashcroft	59.3	12	 195		17		11.47
A. H. Pogson	57	13	 169		10		16.90
F. J. Wiseman	92	19	271		15		18.06
I. R. Alder	109.1	24	 322		16		20.12

### GLASGOW ACADEMY BATTING.

	nnings	Runs	Highest inns	. Not outs	Average
J. W. R. Orr	12	123	39* .	. 3	13.66
I. A. R. Peebles	12	137	50* .	. 1	12.45
K. Aitken	12	120	30 .	. 0	10.00
					Control of the contro

## GLASGOW ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	3	Average
I. A. R. Peebles	141	 56	 211	 38		5.55
K. Aitken	142	 40	 306	 36		8.50
J. W. Dallachy	86	 21	 212	 18		11.77

### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings				lighest in	ts	Average					
A.F. Hamilton-Smythe			393		100*		1		26.20			
F. R. Bagley	7		149	7.	34		1		24.83			
R. J. O. Meyer	16		360		79*		1		24.00			
A. R. Battye			309		58*		2		23.77			
C. W. Tiplady			252		45		2		19.38			
C. M. Young			142		46		3		17.75			
F. G. Hope			169		39		2		16.90			
A. I. Forde	11		108		33		4		15.43			
	9	51	139		37		0		15.33			
R. T. Sheppard			141		32		0		11.75			
P. J. A. Scott	7		63		23		0		9.00			

## HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Over	'S	Maiden	S	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
R. J. O. Meyer			86		954	 59		16.17
C. W. Tiplady	242.4		42		728	 39		18.61
C. M. Young	54	4	9		230	11		20.91
A. I. Forde	153		37	4	450			

KINGG GOTG	OI DDI	TON DA	DITATO				TENTO
KING'S SCHO							LEYS
Innings		lighest inns.		Average			Innings
C. A. Norton 14		100 .		. 24.92		. Carnegie-Brown .	
T. H. Y. Bonsey 12		68 .		. 23.16		. H. Clarke	
J. A. Gardner 13		59 .		20.81	S.	H. Bach	. 5 .
E. H. S. Walker 13	192	69* .	. 2	. 17.45	L.	H. Birch	. 5 .
J. A. McDonald 13	169	50 .		15.36	L.	R. Smith	. 6 .
W. M. C. Kennedy . 10	144	56 .	. 0	. 14.40	T.	E. Field	. 6 .
H. D. Burrough 10		26* .	. 3	. 13.28	N.	H. B. Thompson	6 \ .
E. B. Tremlett 12		58 .		13.25		W. Sherwin	
						G. Field	
KING'S SCHO	OI PRI	TON ROL	WITNO			or along triting	
							LEYS
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average			Overs
J. A. McDonald 225.1		599 .		. 12.47	n.	O TV-13	
E. B. Jarman 51		184 .		16.72		G. Field	
C. Dowding 167.2		581 .		20.75	J.	A. Spurr	. 83.1 .
J. A. Gardner 75.4	13	249 .	. 11	22.63		W. Sherwin	
The state of the s						H. Bach	
ETNOS COTOO	CANTE	DIIDV 1	DATITITAL	a	W	. H. Clarke	. 59 .
KING'S SCHOOL		RBURY, 1					
Innings		Highest inns		Average		M	ALVER
O. H. de St. Croix . 13	341	81* .	. 3	34.10			Innings
K. I. Topliss 12		107 .		20.75	E.	R. T. Holmes	
V. C. de St. Croix . 12		56* .	. 3	17.33	T.	B. G. Welch	. 13 .
D. M. Harrison 13	179	66* .	. 2	16.27		S. Foster	
G. P. Mount 13	169	32 .	. 0	. 13.00	$\ddot{c}$	G. Toppin	$\frac{13}{13}$ .
C. H. Gadney 7	71	25 .	. 0	. 13.00	E.	B. Horefield	12
A. L. Mizen 7	83	26 .	. 0	11.86		E. Mackinnon	
G. Noakes 12	444	25 .	. 1	10.36	N.	J. P. Wadley	11
R. T. Flack 7		26 .	. 1	9.66		D. K. Maciae	
A. E. Brocklehurst . 13	00	17* .		6.90			
C. G. Stonehouse 10	67	17 .	0	6.70		C. D. Abrams	
e. e. stollenouse 10					J.	W. Greenstock	12 .
					E.	P. Woolf	9 .
KING'S SCHOOL	L, CANTER	RBURY, I	BOWLIN	G.	1.	B. Aird	12
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average		Mr.	A T YZTADA
G. Noakes 89	10	270 .	. 21	12.86		IVLE	ALVER
A. E. Brocklehurst . 166		450 .	00	15.00			Overs
C. G. Stonehouse 233		528 .	00	16.50		P. Woolf	
K. I. Topliss 108	30	259 .	4.4	18.50		C. D. Abrams	
and it replies that the					J.	W. Greenstock	110 .
			T. C.		C.	G. Toppin	69
LANCING	COLLEGI	BATTIN	VG.		T.	B. G. Welch	90
Innings	Runs F	lighest inns.	Not outs	Average	E.	R. T. Holmes	121
J. W. Burrough 9 .	401	101 .		50.12			
P. E. Eve 10	110	97 .		45.77		MARI	BOROU
R. G. W. Melsome 10	0.50	123 .		35.90			Innings
D. E. Eve 10	000	77 .	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	25.25	G	E. B. Abell	13
H. F. Pearse 9	100	58 .	0	23.71	Ğ.	G. C. Streatfeild	13
J. H. Goodman 6	0.0		0	23.25	Ř.	A. Lord	
B. M. Moloney 7			AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	21.16	H.	H. Smith	12
		44	: î	16.80		R. Heard	4 4
C. Walker	0.0	39 :		14.66		H. Coleridge	
и. в. масши 0 .	. 88	99 .	. 0	14.00	A.	A. Rotherham	0
						K. Waydelin	10
LANCING	COLLEGI	E BOWLI	NG.				0
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average	W.	M. Mitchell	
J. W. Burrough 130.4 .		2-2	. 27	13.81	W.	K. Harbinson	8
P C W Melsome 1175		000			H.	M. Chappell	5 .
R. G. W. Melsome 117.5 .				17.54	A.	J. Hawthorn	
P. E. Eve 141.2 .		110	1.5			G. Knight	
H. F. Pearse 132,3 ,	. 23	442 .	, 15	29.46	S.	A. Block	4 .

	LEYS	SCHOOL	BATTING.		
	Innings		Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. Carnegie-Brown			87 .		51.50
W. H. Clarke S. H. Bach	$\begin{array}{ccc} 6 & \cdot \\ 5 & \cdot \end{array}$		50		19.83
L. H. Birch			33 10*		14.80 14.00
L. R. Smith		0.0	20*		13.20
T. E. Field	6 .	. 54	28 .		9.00
N. H. B. Thompson	6 \.		12		7.33
R. W. Sherwin R. G. Field		0.4	13* 13	0	7.00
it. G. Fleid	5 .	. 04	13	0	6.80
	LEYS	SCHOOL	BOWLING.	<b>医腹切别性</b>	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. G. Field	41.2 .	. 11	99	11	9.00
J. A. Spurr	83.1 .		171	. 19	9.00
R. W. Sherwin S. H. Bach	$\frac{42}{42.2}$ .		96		12.00
W. H. Clarke			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		15.75
W. H. Clarke	59	24	121	6	20.16
MA	LVERN	COLLE	GE BATTIN	1G	
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.		Average
E. R. T. Holmes	13	730	142		60.83
T. B. G. Welch	13		89	2	31.27
L. S. Foster	13	000	84		28.15
C. G. Toppin E. B. Horefield	13 12		. 73	0	25.07
A. E. Mackinnon	6	0.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$24.00 \\ 23.25$
N. J. P. Wadley	11		. 62* . 82	The second secon	21.90
I. D. K. Maciae	5	100	. 65		21.80
H. C. D. Abrams	12	100	. 53	2	18.60
J. W. Greenstock	12		. 68	1	16.45
F. P. Woolf I. B. Aird	9	0.0	. 16*	6	10.33
1. D. Alfd	12	96 .	. 23	0	8.00
MA	LVERN	COLLE	E BOWLI	NG.	
	Overs	Maidens		Wickets	Average
F. P. Woolf		32 .	. 611	40	15.27
H. C. D. Abrams			. 412	27	15.26
J. W. Greenstock C. G. Toppin		10	. 395	23	17.17
T B G Welch	69 90	10	. 232		19.33 33.90
T. B. G. Welch E. R. T. Holmes	121	$\frac{12}{21}$	. 339	10	33.90
					51.10
		GH COL	LEGE BAT	TING.	
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. E. B. Abell G. G. C. Streatfeild	$\begin{array}{ccc} 13 & \dots \\ 13 & \dots \end{array}$	00-	. 156 . 82*	0	43.15
R. A. Lord	13 15	117	105	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots \end{array}$	$\frac{32.92}{31.50}$
H. H. Smith	12	101	. 42*		30.67
W. R. Heard	15	000	. 91		24.00
R. H. Coleridge	14		. 55		23.38
J. A. Rotherham	40		. 37		18.29
J. K. Waydelin W. M. Mitchell	13	105	. 49		16.17
W. K. Harbinson	8		. 36		15.22
H. M. Chappell	5	0.0	. 33		11.29 9.00
A. J. Hawthorn	9		15*		8.50
H. G. Knight	4	23 .	. 18	0	5.75
S. A. Block	4	7 4	. 7	0	3.50

MA	RI	RO	DRC	HOLL	COLL	TOT	BOWLING	

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	w	ickets		Average
H. H. Smith		47	652		41		15.90
T. A. Rotherham		 31	. 315		19		16.58
G. G. C. Streatfeild		 32	 382		18		21.22
J. K. Waydelin	199	 49	 535		24	100	22.28
R. H. Coleridge		 18	 275		12		22.92
A. J. Hawthorn	123.1	 27	 333		13		25,62

### MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnings	Runs	Hi	ghest inr	S	Average	
T. G. Hughes	13	317		73*	 3		31.70
R. Ostler	12	275		100*	 1		25.00
F. F. Spragg	10	196		50	 0	14.4	19.60
D. Ducat	13	203		62	 0		15.62
N. Bywater	8	61		15*	 4		15.25
	13	180		99	 0		13.85
W. W. Deeth	10	117		49*	 1		13.00
J. A. Sketchley	10	84		37	 1		9.33
E. O. Lacey	9	55		24	 2		7.86
J. E. Sargent	9	69		36	 0		7.67
L. W. Turner	8	39		16	 0		4.63

#### MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. O. Lacey	102	 21	319	 23	 13.87
R. R. L. Ralls	69	 18	 218	 13	 16.77
T. G. Hughes	104	 19	 475	 27	 17.59

### MERCHISTON CASILE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	High	est inns.	Not outs	Average
G. L. Pullar	. 19	336		85 .	. 1	 18.66
W. Milligan	. 19	231		63*	. 6	 17.76
D. Carr	. 20	293		53* .	. 3	17.23
P. Wilson	. 19	256		50 .	. 4	17.06
H. J. Sinclair	. 19	277		49 .	. 1	 15.38
D. O. Dickson	. 15	175		46* .	. 3	 14.58
J. Cruickshank	. 16	197		40 .	. 0	 12.31
A. K. Mann	. 18	177		64 .	. 1	 10.41
J. A. Cruickshank .		69		17 .	. 5	9.85
D. P. McKenzie	. 16	132		22 .	. 1	 8.80
W. F. McKenzie .	. 11	32		7* .	. 2	 3.55

### MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. F. McKenzie	237.4	 49	605	 39	15.51
W. L. Milligan	304.3	55	846	 52	16.26
H. J. Sinclair	114	 28	328	 19	17.26
D. O. Dickson	103.3	 17	284	 15	18.93
D. Carr	54.2	 4	208	 10	20.80

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	79	Runs	Hi	chest in	ns	Not outs	Average
W. R. C. Reid			503					
F. A. Strachan	. 7	05.1.	161	1	40		2	32.20
J. W. G. Hume	. 14	THE .	348		105*		1	26.76
G. H. Nicholls	. 13	E .	215		51		0	16.53
M. E. Edgar	. 14	SEL	195		41		0	13.92
R. R. Gilchrist	. 12	100	137		25*		2	13.70
R. S. Spong	. 12		121		39		2	12.10
K. E. Beart	. 14		75		17*		6	9.37
C. S. Harris	. 13		75		18		1	6.25
J. C. Wolstenholme			43		18		0	6.14
J. P. Croisdale	. 12		66		18		1	6.00

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. P. Croisdale	230.2	 73	 565	 50	 11.30
R. S. Spong			 264	 22	 12.00
W. R. C. Reid	133.4	 30	 374	 27	 13.85
G. H. Nicholls	165	 28	 534	 27	 19.78

### MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	t out	8	Average
G. O. M. Taylor	. 12	604		162		1		54.90
C. G. Daun		288		72		0		24.00
J. C. Watson		 228		63*		1		20.72
H. Ll. Hodgkinson.		 175		105		1		15.90
J. H. W. James		 143		44		1		14.30
E. J. H Hart		140		39		1		14.00
T. R. H. Chalcraft.	. 11	 -139		23		1		13.90

### MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. Ll. Hodgkinson .		16	 317	 19	 16.68
J. C. Walkey		18	 271	 16	 16.93
J. C. Watson		 14	 365	 19	 19.21
G. F. F. Thomas	126	26	 406	 18	22.58

### OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	touts	Average
R. O. Brooks	. 12		395		135*		3	43.88
G. O. Brooks	. 12		368		63		0	30.66
A. D. Smith	. 10		121		46*		4	20.16
A. B Ross			118	4.4.6	32		1	16.85
W. J. Thompson	. 9		99		17		1	12.37
W. H. G. Saunt	. 11		109		29		0	9.90
W. Tyler			49		27		1	8.16
M. T. Crick	. 10		67		18		1	7.44
T. S. Bramsdon	. 11		72	E	24		1	7.20

## OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	N	lickets	Average
M. T. Crick	211.4	37	582		38	15.31
W. J. Thompson	84.3	14	247		13	19.00
D. J. Bristow	157.2	24	493		22	22.40

Public School Avera	laes.	
---------------------	-------	--

### OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	E	lighest in	nns.	Not ou	ts	Average
P. B. Mair	. 11		513		246		2		57.00
N. G. Wykes	. 12		489		110		3		54.30
F. E. Greenwood	. 12		389		90*		1		35.40
M. N. Ireland	. 11		237		59		3		29.60
J. N. Bull			279		105		0		27.90
E. P. Harvey	. 6		83		27*		3	-	27.60
J. B. S. Hatton			129		38		1	4.0	18.45
T. N. Cartwright .	. 7		72		37*		2	1000	14.40
E. H. Walters	. 11		. 119		44		0		10.80

### OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	ıs	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
M. N. Ireland	79	 21		235	 21		11.25
F. E. Greenwood	46.2	 7		217	 13		16.85
E. P. Harvey		17		460	27		17.00
H. E. Reekie		2		397	 23		17.25
T. N. Cartwright	100	 13		304	 16		19.00

### RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	His	ghest ini	as. No	t outs	Average
A. E. Blair	19 .	. 1011		196*		4	67.40
F. C. Dawnay	19 .	. 607		105*		2	35.70
St. L. Morris	14 .	. 254		74*		5	29.22
J. E. G. Rowland	11 .	. 253		73*		2	29.11
S. S. Robinson	16 .	. 221		38*		3	17.00
C. A. F. Dundas	11 .	. 145		34		2	16.11
J. A. R. Collinge	17 .	. 214		32		2	14.27
C. S. J. Purcell	8 .	. 47		14		4	11.75
J. Barmby	13 .	. 125		36		2	11.36
C. McConaghy	9 .	. 59		15		3	9.83
C. I. Collier	9 .	. 55		17		1	6.87

### RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average
C. A. F. Dundas	189.1		6		758		41		18.49
St. L. Morris	167		30		593		28		21.18
C. McConaghy	312.4		49	Ass.	911		39		23.36
C. S. J. Purcell	118		15		466		18		25.89

### REPTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	H	lighest i	nns. 1	Not outs	Average
E. K. M. Hilleary .	. 16	09	549		99		0	34.31
A. C. German	. 16	4.5	462		129		1	30.80
C. J. M. Watts	. 9		225		61*		1	28.15
R. O. Burton	. 16		329		68		4	27.40
L. H. Merton	. 4		98		67		0	24.50
J. W. Buckland	. 10	11.16	212		54	14.1.1	1	23.55
N. C. E. Ashton	. 15	-	287		51		2	22.07
H. W. Baines	. 12		169		63		2	16.90
H. L. Frenkel	. 11		90		25		1	9.00
R. N. Shorter	. 11		60		18		3	7.50

### REPTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	Maidens		Wickets	Average	
R. N. Shorter	240		50	0.00	620	 47	13.19	
E. S. Hobbs	83		11		229	 17	 13.41	
H. W. Baines	212		45		508	 36	14.10	
E. W. Rennick	73		16		201	 13	 15.54	

#### ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	s Highest inns.			Not out	Average	
F. Earnshaw	. 14		316		69		1		24.31
T. Batson	. 14		280		53*		1		21.54
J. Fenwick			222		51		3		20.18
A. M. D. Whiteroft			95		50		0		19.00
K. Gibson			206		69*		1		18.73
R. A. Buckingham .			121		26*		4		15.30
H. T. Lambrick	. 14		172		34		2		14.33
E. J. I. Whiteroft			200		40		0		14.29
J. G. Turnbull			142		26		2		12.84
W. O. Wood			60		28		0		12.00
J. C. Sharp	6		32		18		0		5.32

#### ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

		Overs	Maide	Maidens		Wickets	Average
	Fenwick					 46	15.72
J.	G. Turnbull	196.2	 39		561	 30	16.70

## ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BATTING.

nings		Runs	High	est inns	. Not	outs		Average
15	20.1	249		54	8	0		16.60
		137		22*		3		11.40
		161		34		0		10.73
16		1.64		30		0		10.25
14		71		12*		7		10.14
16		142		51		1		9.46
13		87		21		1		7.25
17		99		28*		3		7.07
16		110		34		0		6.88
11	March 1	51		22		1		5.10
15		41		14		1		2.90
	15 15 15 16 14 16 13 17 16 11	15 15 16 14 16 17 16 11	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15     249       15     187       15     161       16     164       14     71       16     142       13     87       17     99       16     110       11     51	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	Maidens		Wickets			Average
A. Inglis			6		77		16		4.81
W. A. Stoker			56	1	535		71		7.53
W. G. Ferguson	46.6		15	7	101		12		8.41
I. Robertson			15		161		16		10.06
A Ritchie	94		18		256		23		11.13

#### RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	A STATE OF THE STA							
	Inning	S	Runs	Highest inns		nns.	Not outs	Average
A. J. H. Cassels			567		200	Section	2	40.50
J. R. Hechle		100	377		72		0	39.75
E. F. Longrigg	. 17	UE IT	387		82*	DEST	1	24.12
H. C. Pattisson			384		76		0	22.58
T. N. Cartwright	. 16		277	25	64		2	19.78
A. D. Cave	. 17		242		38*		2	16.13
D. S. Milford	. 8		87		41*		3	15.20
E. M. Dawson	. 13		140		43*		3	14.00
M. C. C. Daniel	. 15	PH 1-9	100	F4 52	22*		5	10.00
C. W. Haworth	. 5	-	38	13000	19		0	7.60
G. M. Goodbody		-	43		10*	depart.	3	4.30

### RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
G. M. Goodbody	. 136	 . 28	 571	27		21.14
D. S. Milford		 13	 467	15		31.13
M. C. C. Daniel		 13	 469	15		31.27
A. J. H. Cassels	. 133	 23	 660	 18		36.67

## ST. BEES' SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nning	8	Runs	]	lighest inns.		Not outs		Average
B. X. Jessop	10		264		60*				
J. W. Bevan	7.		106		45		3		26.50
A. G. Holloway	10		186		67		2		23.25
G. L. Ogilvy	10		166		40	WHI.	1		18.40
H. H. Lawrence	7		107	1000	33		. 0		
J. Relf	5		41		19	81	2 .		13.60
F. Mennim	4		51		41				
R. M. Lowe	6		69		25		ő		11.50
S. S. C. Meihle	7		30		11*		2		6.00
C. M. Russell-Leonard	10	e la	51		14		1 1		

## ST. BEES' SCHOOL BOWLING.

F. Mennim	Overs 38.2		Maidens 7	101	Runs	Wickets 12	
S. S. C. Meihle	187.4		47			39	
A. G. Holloway	130.4	••	26	1.	395	 27	14.63

### ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	]	Highest in	nns.	Not out	s	Average
P. K. Barker			282		46		1		18.80
W. N. Banks			271		56		ō	•	18.07
E. H. Elam	14		246		64		Ŏ.		17.57
W. E. Image	15		138		38		1		9.86
A. B. Stanley	10		69		20*		3	12	9.86
A. M. David	15		147		36		0		9.80
G. M. Hamilton .			134		37	944	1		9.57
F. E. Caswell	16		70		18*		8		8.75
O. R. Bingham .		0	81		26		0	• •	8.10
C. M. Smith	15		95		22		1		
T. C. H. Cook			46		10	23	1 5		6.79
COOR	10		40		10		9		4.60

## ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. C. H. Cook						10.86
G. M. Hamilton P. K. Barker						13.64 14.64
I. R. Daiket	100.1					

## ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	Н	ighest in	nns.	Not out	8	Average
G. W. Pink	. 10		146		46*		4		24.33
C. W. Rowling			210		49		2		23.33
P. H. F. Harvey			222		92		0		20.18
F. R. Neep		30.00	176		59	100	0		16.00
J. W. Cowgill			161		29		1		14.63
G. W. Trepte			144		31		0		14.40
H. E. Goodchild			128		26		0		11.63
G. R. Arundell			78		27*		3		11.14
A. L. Bryan		44.5	86		24*	1010	2		9.55
P. K. Whitaker			61		16	4124	1	••	6.77

## ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.

0	vers	Maidens		Runs	W	lickets		Average
A. Watts-Read A. L. Bryan P. K. Whitaker	251.2 132	18 32 37	••	137 309 275	::	18 25 22 13		7.61 12.36 12.50 16.07
G. R. Arundell	68	17		209		19	• •	10.07

## ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs	H	ighest in	nns. N	ot outs	Average
A. Brown	. 10	A FEE	172		48	2010	3	24.57
E. W. Harrison			253		81		0	23.00
J. E. A. Bye			168		62		1	18.66
R. Perfect			125	- 11	53		0	13.89
E. L. Bodell			114		56*		1	12.66
E. L. Hartley			115		30		0	10.45
E. S. Barrett			45		28*		1	5.63
L. T. Lyall			29		13*		2	4.83
J. W. W. Martin			19		9		0	3.16
W. H. Cantrell			22		8		1	3.14
A. C. Alliott	. 8		18		8		2	3.00

## ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs Wickets		Average	
J. E. A. Bye L. T. Lyall			34 23	•••	396 427			13.65 17.08
R. Perfect			23		476			17.62

Public	School A	nergaes.
WOLLD !	DUNGOU Z	verwyco

### SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING.

C. W. W.	Inning	gs	Runs	E	lighest in	ns. I	Not outs		Average
C. W. Harris	14		371		77* .		2		30.91
J. H. Wood	8		208		82*		-		
E. A. Allen	11						1		29.71
W E Scott	11		164		47		3		20.50
W. F. Scott	13		235		70		1		19.58
G. E. Jones	14		214		51		1	•	16.46
R. W. Skene	8		98		49*		1		
R. C. Wakefield	9						1		14.00
W Ti-	9	2 22	112		36		0		12.44
W. King	9	A 42.44	61		14		4		12.20
D. M. Gamon	13		156		59		0	•	
D. W. Purdie	12		93				0		12.00
J. M. Russell		* * *			21		1		8.45
". Ht. Hussell	14		72		20		1		5 53

## SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

W. King J. H. Wood W. F. Scott D. M. Gamon	$\frac{46.2}{150}$	• •	5 29	443	34 14 33	11.92 13.42
D. M. Gamon	104		26	 272	 15	

## SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

D A TILL	Innings	Runs	H	ighest in	ins.	Not outs	Average
R. A. Hitch	11	312		56		0	28.36
C. W. Coaker F. Windebank	11	257		75		1	25.70
A C Egrana	7	75		38*		4	25.00
A. G. Egremont J. Icke	10	185		67		2	23.12
J. E. R. Dean	11	196		43		0	17.82
L. D. D. Brett	10	132		40		1	14.66
E. B. Brice	7	41		14		4	13.66
E. L. Hesse	9	100		36		1	12.50
R. Geyton	8	64		26		0	8.00
ze. dejton	6	41		21		0	6.00

# SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

R. A. Hitch F. Windebank	45.1	Maidens 42 9		Runs 369 133	. W	ickets 42 15	ilisa) T	Average 8.78 8.86
J. Icke	125.3	33	1	346	::	30		8.86 11.53

## SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs	Hi	ghest inns		Not outs	A rrome
F. H. Wright	9		318	202	122	•	1100 outs	Average
J. A. Nunn	14						1	39.75
D C Final D	14		452		130*		1	34.77
R. G. Forbes-Bassett	13		231		61		4	25.67
D. MacDonald	14		312				7	
L. H. Bean	7.4				51		1	24.00
C D 11	14		319		55		0	22.79
G. Peddie	13		231		49			
R. H. Smith	11		188		52*			19.25
S. J. Olivier	10	2011					1	18.80
C C ST	10		128		30*		2	16.00
G. C. Neve	9		67		21		4	
F. M. Turnbull	10		110	400			*	13.40
A. L. Spens	10				29		1	12.22
A. L. Spens	1		37		19		2	7 40

## SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

			-	X71 -1		Average
Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	Wicke	US .	
R. G. Forbes-Bassett 230	41		597	 54		11.06
R. G. FOIDES Dassell 196	31		282	 21	-	13.43
F. M. Turnbull 126	32		457	32		14.28
G. C. Neve 169	 34		230	16		14.37
S. J. Olivier 67	 6		250	 10		11.01

## SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

A. T. Barber B. Smallpiece W. Enderby H. W. Newell H. F. Robinson L. T. Vyvyan R. L. Howland C. E. Dodd	. 15 . 14 . 9 . 17 . 17 . 13 . 16 . 15		Runs 350 283 260 157 302 264 207 181 205		the street in th		ot outs 3	. 20.21 . 20.00 . 19.62 . 17.76 . 17.60 . 15.92 . 13.92
			302	20	84		0 .	
					51*		2 .	. 17.60
							0 .	. 15.92
	4.0						3	13.92
C. E. Dodd								10 00
P. S. Snow	. 15							
B. C. Lee	. 15		124		30		Charles and the second	0.97
G. W. Pomeroy		2	102		29*		1 .	. 9.21
W. E. Protheroe- Beynon			74		15	niir	4 .	. 6.73

## SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	Wicke	ets	Average
G. W. Pomeroy		18		199	 17		11.71
P. S. Snow	168.5	 26		520	 32	**	$16.25 \\ 19.23$
C. E. Dodd	254.1	 39		846 718	 36		19.23
H. F. Robinson	119.2	 33	• •	/10	 30		10.01

## STONYHURST COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	His	ghest inns.	Not outs	Average
A CONTROL OF THE STATE OF			356		93 .	. 2	39.55
T. Christie							26.50
W. H. F. K. Horton	1.1		265				22.44
A. L. d'Abreu	. 10		202		94 .	0	19.25
J. A. Whyatt		NO.	77		28* .	. 2 .,	
J. W. Butler			128		41 .	. 1	18.28
			139		32* .	. 2	17.37
R. A. S. Hardy					26 .	0	17.00
R. J. Muir			119	- T	20	Control of the second	12.85
J. B. Fletcher	. 8	20,000	90			1 -	8.50
F. A. d'Abreu			34	10.00	20* .	. 1	0.00

## STONYHURST COLLEGE BOWLING.

0	vers	Maider	ns	Runs	,	Wicket	s	Average
A. A. Kennedy 19 F. A. d'Abreu 8 R. A. S. Hardy 6	41 37.3	22 12 7		329 301 204		34 28 11		9.67 10.75 18.54

#### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

chmarks amalain I	nning	zs.	Runs	Hi	ghest in	as. N	ot out	S	Average
C. W. H. Howard	17		733		193		1		45.81
T. F. Mitchell	17		589		114*		1	4	36.81
T. G. H. Holman	16		381		91*		3		29.30
J. H. Piesse	15		334		68*		2		25.69
R. C. Hubbard	13		278		65		2		25.27
C. B. Prowse	12		196		41		1		17.81
G. E. Hudson	16		237		67		1		15.80
J. H. G. Pell	12	D 10	112		24	F	1		10.18
H. J. Tedder	5		49		25		0		9.80
J. McDermid	6	100	26		11*		2		6.50
A. G. Greatrex	12		60		26		2		6.00

#### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	8	Average
J. H. G. Pell	216	 55	 605	 27		22.40
A. G. Greatrex	296.5	 45	 1261	 55		22.92
T. F. Mitchell	127	 26	 417	 16		26.06
R. C. Hubbard	149 -	 39	 401	 14		28.64

## TRENT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	ζS	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
C. H. Walker	. 14		616		100		1		47.38
C. S. Lang	. 15		257		53*		4		23.36
E. M. Geddes	. 15		201		43		2		15.46
A. D. Lowson	. 14		146		27*		1		11.23
G. H. Webster	. 11		56		16		3		7.00
J. F. Littlewood	. 9		24		11		5		6.00
W. H. Bowen	. 13		66		12		1		5.50
P. H. Keigwin	. 13		66		24		0		5.07
R. H. Bland	. 13	b-4	41		14			••	3.41

### TRENT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
C. H. Walker	184 .	. 38	 436		41	10.63
E. M. Geddes	185.1 .	. 46	 446		34	13.11
A. D. Lowson	59 .	. 9	 157		11	14.27
P. H. Keigwin	93.1	. 12	 283		14	20.21

### UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	I	lighest in	nns.	Not ou	ts	Average
R. M. MacColl	. 16		455		115*		4		37.91
R. B. D. Wright	. 13		384		133		2		34.91
H. F. C. Shipham .	. 17		532		111		0		31.29
A. J. Barling		A4			34		1		13.13
J. Hankins	. 15		181		66		0		12.06
W. V. H. Robins	. 15		147		49		2		11.30
F. J. Millard		0	129		29		1		10.75
S. M. Abelson	. 13		131		25		0		10.05
C. E. Brown	. 11		80		24	0-0	3		10.00

TIMINEDCIMV	COLLEGE	SCHOOL	BOWLING.

and the property of the second	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. A. Freeman	71	 21	 186		. 13.29
R. M. MacColl	143	 28	 371	 26	14.26
R. B. D. Wright		 39	 626	 40 .	. 15.65
J. F. Greer	224.3	 55	 769	 35 .	. 21.97

## UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

A Charles of the A	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
S. Hey		531		. 5	59.00
W. R. B. Dodgson		191	42 .	. 1	21.22
J. S. Atkins		263	81 .	. 0	20.33
C. B. Gillespie		147		. 3	16.33
J. G. Sleigh			24 .	. 1	10.62
C. H. M. Waldock		92		. 0	
R. D. W. Uniacke		68	23		
		70		1	7.78
M. C. Layton		46	14*		7.67
F. R. Terras	10	40	. 14		

## UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Average
F. R. Terras	228.1	 39	 586		16.28
R. D. W. Uniacke		20	 355	18	
M. C. Layton		 37	 636		21.93
C. H. M. Waldock .		 15	 465	21	22.14

## WATSON'S COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Hi	ghest inr	s. Not outs	Average
J. H. Purves		754		107*	6	58.00
A. F. Blair		170		34	2	17.00
J. S. McLaren		60	6.	30*		15.00
W. G. Jeffrey		182		77		14.00
H. A. Ferguson		128		43		11.63
W. D. Craig		124		58*		. 10.33
J. R. Reat		116		42	0	9.66
W. C. Morrison		85		24	0	9.44
K. N. Graham		109		24	1	8.38
D. S. Berrie		70		16	0	6.36

## WATSON'S COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Average
J. H. Purves	101.8	 19	 267		
D. S. Berrie	118.6		 264		11.40
J. S. McLaren	215.1	 43	 544	 45	 12.08

## WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innir	ngs	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	t outs		Average
D. G. Coney		-0-	418		101*				38.00
I. R. R. Richmond			206		65		0 .		17.17
W. H. Tomlinson			193		54		0 .		14.84
			180	- Deline	71		0 .		15.00
H. T. H. Peck			168		40*		2 .		18.66
G. I. Ainsworth					24		ĩ ·		12.22
E. J. Goodliff			110			• •	9 .	•	11.36
C. H. Sturgess	10		91		24		-		7.30
D. H. Burgess	10		73		43		Contract of the last		
A. E. Barker	10		69		21		1 .		7.66
									00

28

Duhlic	School	Averages.
worte	BUILDE	Avelugeo.

All		210		
WELLINGBORG	OUGH SCH	OOL BO	WLING.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. E. Needes 194.5	26	638	38	16.8
P. E. Needes 194.5 W. H. Tomlinson 115.4	18	420	22	19.1
T. E. Dunkley 142.3	14	498	21	23.7
WELLINGTO		GE BATT		
Innings		lighest inns.		Average
S. C. Dobson 14	689	113		49.21
D. G. C. Cowie 14	424	109		35.33 23.16
R. F. A. David 14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	69* 52*		22.90
M. B. Turner 11	$\frac{229}{176} \dots$	42		17.60
E. J. Foord 12 R. W. C. Allom 12	179	55		14.91
M. J. C. Allom 13	174	38		13.38
R. K. S. Murray 12	103	52*	3	11.44
W. U. Ritson 8	68	16 .		11.33
W. D. S. May 10	54	31 .	4	9.00
R. Bryan 11	64	31 .	. 2	7.11
				· 194
WELLINGTO		FE BOW	ING.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. Bryan 229.1	30	705 .	. 31	22.74
D. G. C. Cowie 123	10	510 .	**	25.50 35.66
M. J. C. Allom 165.2	26	642 .	. 18	99.66
		or Dim	TATO	
WESTMINST	ER SCHO	OL BATI	ING.	
Innings		Highest inns.		Average
R. G. H. Lowe 15	668	110 .	0	51.38
W. N. McBride 15	643	114 69		49.46 19.73
J. W. Jacomb-Hood 15 T. G. Lund 12	296 165	69 28		18.33
T. G. Lund 12	208	56 .		14.85
J. H. Blair	127	23 .		14.11
R. W. P. Gorman 9	105	18 .	. 1	13.12
R. W. Hartley 12	110	39 .	. 2	11.00
J. A. Cook 7	43	16* .		8.60
A. M. Shepley Smith 10	49	23 .	. 4	8.16
			TITO	
WESTMINST		DL BOWI		
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. G. H. Lowe 216.1	42	733 .	. 51	14.37 $20.11$
J. W. Jacomb-Hood 114.1	24	684 419	4.0	26.18
W. N. McBride 121.3	16	419 .	. 16	20.10
***************************************	T COLLEC	TO DAMM	INC	
WEYMOUTI	H COLLEG	E BATT	Not out	Arramaga
Innings		ighest inns.		Average 28.28
A. D. Morton 15	396	64 54		21.43
F. H. B. Woodd 15	300 299	101		21.36
G. L. Jessop 14 J. D. P. Chapman 14			The second secon	17.23
	224	40 .		
K H Richard 15	224 206	$\frac{46}{75}$ .		15.84
K. H. Bichard 15		75 88	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.84 15.30
K. H. Bichard 15 E. R. Knapp 15	206	$\begin{array}{c} 75 \\ 88 \\ 26 \end{array}$	. 2 0 5	15.84 15.30 14.40
K. H. Bichard 15 E. R. Knapp 15 G. L. Cocks 14 S. H. Hodge 12	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 206 & \dots \\ 230 & \dots \\ 130 & \dots \\ 45 & \dots \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 75 & . \\ 88 & . \\ 26 & . \\ 13 & . \end{array}$	. 2 0 5 6	15.84 15.30 14.40 7.50
K. H. Bichard 15 E. R. Knapp 15 G. L. Cocks 14 S. H. Hodge 12	206 230 130 45 33	75	. 2 0 5 6	15.84 15.30 14.40 7.50 6.60
K. H. Bichard 15 E. R. Knapp 15 G. L. Cocks 14 S. H. Hodge 12	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 206 & \dots \\ 230 & \dots \\ 130 & \dots \\ 45 & \dots \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 75 & . \\ 88 & . \\ 26 & . \\ 13 & . \end{array}$	. 2 0 5 6	15.84 15.30 14.40 7.50

### WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

		Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wick	ets	Average
H. F.	Hodge	36	 6	131	10.	10		13.10
	Jessop		42	 614		36		17.05
	B. Woodd			 877		43		20.39
	Cocks		16	 277		9		30.77
J. M.	Potter	72	 15	 268		8		33.50

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	H	lighest i	nns. N	ot out	s	Average
C. B. Cooch			123		36		3		30.77
K. R. Wright			376		121		0		26.75
F. Savaage			307		50		2		25.58
J. H. Amos			234		47		0		18.00
G. T. Harrison			230		45		0		16.43
R. Brenchley			103		58		3		10.30
H. F. Paine			136		44		0		9.71
M. C. Butterworth			26		7*		5		8.66
E. C. Avenell			45		20*		2		5.62
C. G. Chartres			35		20		0		3.50
T. M. Calhaem	. 10	••	29		8		0		2.90
T. M. Calhaem	. 10	••	29		8		0		2.90

### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

			Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G.	T.	Harrison	244.4	 74	 624	 78	 8.00
		Avenell		43	 342	 25	 13.68
M.	C.	Butterworth .	90.2	 26	 271	 18	 15.05

### WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

Constitution of the latest of the	nning	gs	Runs	H	ighest in	nns. 1	Not outs	Average
E. H. L. G. Sinclair	13		454		97		2	 41.73
C. E. Frazer	13		376		77*		3	 37.60
D. W. Hoare	12		304		82		0	 25.33
R. H. Horsley	9		222		85		0	 24.66
B. McI. McGowan	11		236		51		1	 23.60
G. E. H. Palmer	10		188		73*		1	 20.88
G. S. Grimston	9		96		18		4	 19.20
P. G. T. Kingsley	13		158		31*		3	 15.80
C. W. Thring	11		167		35		0	 15.18
C. E. Awdry	9		105		51			 15.00
J. F. Richardson	6		54		29		0	 9.00
D. G. Stewart	6		39		14		1	 7.80

## WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWIING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
G. S. Grimston		29	520		30	17.33
D. G. Stewart		48	 751		40	18.77
C. E. Awdry			 290		11	 26.36
E. H. L. G. Sinclair	103	 18	 319		11	 29.00