# PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1926.

By H. S. ALTHAM.

1926 was a great year for English cricket and, in the enthusiasm and activity that marked its course. Public School cricket had a generous share. It is true that May was rather a wretched month, and that, apart from the weather, the general strike inevitably involved the cancellation of many of the earlier club fixtures or, by robbing the visitors of all previous practice, deprived their opposition of much of its value. But from June onwards the sun shone and school cricket proceeded in a steady crescendo of prosperity until its record climax in early August at Lord's. For sixteen consecutive playing days the headquarters of the game was monopolised by school cricket, and on the last two a representative fifteen of the schools for the first time in history met the Australian's eleven. The fixture excited very keen interest-over 3,000 people watched the cricket on the second day-but of its practical value I am not, I am afraid, altogether convinced. Public School cricket is already attended with so much publicity that objection on this score is not really considerable, but cricket, if it is to ring true, must be a contest rather than an exhibition, and I doubt whether, even with the handicap advantage of numbers, the Public Schools can put an Australian eleven to any serious test. I know that a similar fixture has for some time been included in the programme of our touring elevens overseas, but, by common consent of those qualified to judge, the Australian school batsman is much more mature in physique and temperament than his English contemporary. There is, of course, the argument that such fixtures are valuable in the same sense as Test Trial matches in that they discover the young player who has the 'morale' for the big occasion. But between the one game and the other there is a very big gap, and before any of our school cricketers can be serious candidates for the highest honours, there is a long cursus honorum to be run and many opportunities in our domestic programme of showing their mettle.

One further point: in the laudable desire to leave nothing undone in order to discover the best available talent for the great match, the M.C.C. devised two new trial games, each of two days. In the first of these two the Young Amateurs eleven was composed of boys who had not yet received a trial, but with that exception some of the leading school cricketers were playing continuously for eight days, and that too in an arduous and inevitably competitive atmosphere—for personal success meant so much—and at the end of a strenuous school season. Under such conditions it was not, perhaps, surprising that some reputations were not realised or that in the Australian match the players, for all their obvious keenness, failed in many instances to show their true form. I hope I am not

being too critical, but there is no harm in criticism, if the intention is honest, and the M.C.C. authorities, to whom school cricket owes so deep a debt, may be relied upon to give it just so much-or so little-attention as it deserves.

And now for a few comments on the representative games at Lords. The Rest once again defeated the Lord's Schools and handsomely too. The latter had a markedly long tail to their batting, but bowling that on paper looked rather better than their rivals'. As things turned out their batsmen failed-indeed with the exception of Crawley's 79 and Tegner's 50, there was no score of over 30 in either innings-whilst their bowling was gradually worn down by a masterly innings of Killick's who went in No. 4 and was undefeated at the close for 137. Forbes, by this time very stale, was quite ineffective. Leeham-Green very expensive, and the burden had to rest mainly on Whittaker's capable shoulders. All through his long bowl Whittaker, with his length and flight, kept the batsmen playing, looking-what indeed he was-a bowler of a different class from anyone else in the match. Of the Rest bowlers, Hay in both innings, Taylor in the first, and McIntosh in the second all justified their reputations. Killick's innings naturally dwarfed the rest of the batting, but Tegner played well for his 50 and Crawley's second innings recalled his own splendid form three weeks earlier against Eton. On the other side Valentine played quite brilliantly, if only for 32, Kingsley looked a batsman, and May and Taylor, with Brad-

shaw of Rugby, proved their value as all-rounders.

The selection of the team to meet the Army was a difficult business, reputations having as ever to be carefully weighed against contemporarily-disclosed form, but the side eventually picked looked strong enough to give a good account of itself, even if the batting tailed off rather earlier than usual. The result, however, was a sad disappointment. For the third year in four the schools' batting broke down badly, and they suffered a heavy defeat. For their collapse the school batsmen had really no-one but themselves to blame: the wicket, though not 'plumb,' was never difficult, the extra pace of Captains Burrows and Joy, which might have been disconcerting, played, as things happened, small part in the result, and most of the damage was done by Major Fink's leg-breaks and Captain Pank's left-hand slows. Both the soldiers bowled quite well, but the measure of their success was really unaccountable. The stout-hearted and successful hitting of Hay and McIntosh for the last wicket in each innings showed up in strong relief the failure of the earlier school batsmen, of whom only Kingsley and Killick did themselves any real justice. Nor was the out-cricket very much more convincing, though Whittaker and McIntosh stuck to their work well. In short the form of the schools was manifestly too bad to be true, so let us leave it at that.

There now followed the first of the two new trial games in which a fresh Young Amateur XI met a team of young professionals attached to the ground staff at Lord's. This was a fixture of real interest, as affording some grounds for comparison between the public school cricketer and his contemporary who, lacking the advantages of good wickets and good coaching in the preparatory school age, is, on the other hand, able to concentrate on the game all day and has the additional spur of professional ambition. The play, though much spoilt by rain on the first day, showed the amateurs well able to hold their own, particularly in bowling where one might rather have suspected them to be outclassed: no batting on the other hand was quite so good as the innings of Beet, the professionals' very efficient stumper. Both Fleming and Rimbault hit finely for the schools' side and Doggart showed himself a very useful allrounder.

The Schools XI that played the next XV in the last of the trials was the same as that defeated by the Army except that Rimbault and Le Marchand replaced Valentine and McIntosh of the next XV. Cazalet, Block, and Kempster had played for the Lord's Schools; Fleming, Andreae, Doggart, and Wilson for the young Amateurs. and the remaining eight were fresh experiments. The 'Possibles, a strong batting side, made a bad start, but recovered and were at the wickets all day for 377 runs: before the afternoon was very far advanced it was obvious that the 'Probables' bowlers were beginning to feel the effects of all their previous work and that it was a very good thing that the toss had gone the way it had and so assured them of the better part of a day's rest before the Australian match. Whittaker and Hay stuck grimly to their jobs and never got really loose, but they had not much life: May just bowled himself into the side, and so into immortality, and no-one did better than Le Marchand. The 'Possibles' batting was interesting, and for the most part distinctly enterprising: Miller was rather sedate, though he played a valuable part in the recovery from a bad start, but Ford hit well after lunch, Andreae played good cricket for 67, and the "tail" wagged most vigorously, particularly Fleming. On the next day the 'Probables' had to bat on a wicket none too easy and, with fifteen men in the field against them and Doggart and Wilson bowling very well, they found run-getting a very difficult business. Indeed, with Crawley injured and unable to bat, and Killick caught at the wicket as soon as he went in, only two players made a real fight of it. Tegner, for some time, contented himself with stubborn and most watchful defence, but when the end came in sight he hit hard and courageously and his 83 was worth a good deal more than 100 under ordinary conditions. Kingsley's 56 was in every way a first-class innings, remarkable for its quickness of foot work, judgment in placing the ball and admirable self-restraint. As a result of all the trials, the selectors were now left with a rather bewildering puzzle, and it says much for their efforts that I heard very little criticism of the side ultimately selected. Certainly there were several good batsmen whom one would have liked to have seen get their chance, but the only way of 'making a match of it' was to strengthen the bowling as much as possible and trust to the support

given by the extra four fieldsmen.

As everyone knows it looked at one time as if that extra help was not to be forthcoming: Collins objected to the fifteen fieldsmen. so I understand, on two grounds, first that it was not the custom in his country, and second that it was more instructive for the boys to watch the Australians make runs with a normal field; the first objection seemed to be simply nihil ad rem, the second to lose sight of the fundamental fact that the extra help in the field was essential if the game was to be in any real sense a cricket match at all. However all was well that ended well and when, after the sad disappointment of an almost blank first day, Ponsford and Woodfull were making runs next morning at the rate of well over 100 an hour, Collins must have felt really relieved that he had yielded the point. It was not to be expected that, where our best bowlers had often failed, school cricketers would give these two gentlemen very serious trouble, but it must be admitted that, with the exception of Whittaker —and even he after a time seemed rather to give up hope—the schools' bowling looked singularly undistinguished: it was not that the two Australians did outrageous things, they batted very well of course, but they had not to work for their runs-far too many were given to them. The fielding of the schools never relaxed, and Killick did many fine things at extra cover, though it was pity that here, as all through these matches, he persisted in standing several yards too deep. With the bowlers making the cardinal mistake of attacking the middle and leg stumps when Woodfull and Ponsford were batting, better use might well have been made of the extra fieldsmen in shutting up the on-side gaps. And then, when the spectators were speculating upon just how much batting practice Collins would ordain, May was given the new ball and the thing happened. Cricket balls last season varied immensely, but this one must have suited May to perfection: in seven overs he took five wickets for 24 runs and that, not as he modestly described it himself, by a fluke, but by downright good bowling which by the pace at which the ball cut back off the pitch genuinely defeated batsmen who wanted to make

Certainly Oldfield did something to steady our emotions, but as we watched the Australians file into the field it was still with the hope that some of the school batsmen would emulate May in defying the probabilities. In a quarter of an hour this ardour was severely damped, for Everett, bowling fast and making the ball swing late up the hill, had shot out three of the best school batsmen for fifteen runs: he was taken off and Killick and Tegner then treated us to some admirable batting. It was no mean achievement to defy the rest of the Australian bowlers for an hour and a half on a wicket that, if never difficult, certainly helped the ball to turn. Tegner's solid straight-batted defence, patience, and good judgment were of a high order, but though his was the longer innings, criticism was agreed in rating Killick's just a little higher. Of the latter I can only say that I have seen few boys play in more convincing style. Strictly orthodox in method, he was wisely content to wait for occasional opportunities to score, but when they came he moved his feet into the right place and hit the ball as a man should hit it: indeed there was about his batting a certain maturity surprising in a cricketer of his age.

Thanks to these two heroes the hundred went up with only six wickets down, but then ensued a dreadful collapse, and the next eight wickets fell for fourteen runs: Ryder and Grimmett did the damage, but the batting was a sorry business. It was generous of Collins to take the field again, but the generosity would have been more appreciated had he maintained the rigour of the game to the end. Everyone must have enjoyed Wilson's impudent and keeneyed hitting, but the cricket in the last hour of the day was not dignified nor can it have contributed to what was presumably

the object of the game.

So much then for the long schools' festival, and I have very little more to say before sounding the 'fall-in' for the march past of the sides. The trial matches at Lord's render superfluous any attempt to catalogue the leading players of the year, but in so vast a field many good men must unavoidably be passed over and of those who, for one reason or another, were not seen at Lord's, I am inclined to select the following, naming them, I need hardly say, in no order of merit :- amongst batsmen Stewart (Haileybury). Oscroft (Uppingham), Moore (Shrewsbury), Olliff (St. Paul's), Barnard (Brighton), Human (Repton), and though he was not striking. ly successful, Catlow (Haileybury); amongst bowlers Raphael (Harrow) Scott and Townsend (Winchester), Bradshaw (Malvern), Wilkinson (Uppingham), Moberley (Sherborne), Bovill (Bedford), Brearley (Wrekin), and, particularly, Garland-Wells (St. Paul's): whilst of all-round cricketers I would mention Green (Brighton), Hughman (Dulwich), Brown and Roper (Leys), and Black and Hasler (Christ's Hospital).

I am not going to attempt any selection of the best school side, but in a 'regional championship' Eton and Harrow might have had to play their best to wrest from St. Paul's the honour of representing the London area, Winchester and Tonbridge would have championed the South, the West would, I fancy, have been rather

weaker than usual, whilst in the Midland group, though one sound critic plumps for Uppingham, nothing short of an all-v.-all cup fight could have satisfactorily settled the issue.

One other striking feature of the past season occurs to me, the extensive success of slow leg-break bowlers, no fewer than a dozen gentlemen of this variety having done really important service to their sides. Can it be that at last spin is coming into its own again? Let us hope so. And so, with the happy acknowledgement of many reports of good fielding and zealous enthusiasm for the game, we will,

at all too long last, ring up the curtain.

At the end of May Eton were reported to be the best side seen on Upper Club for years and they were almost certainly at that time the best school team in England. The bowling of Forbes and Whittaker, the resource and enterprise of their forcing batsmen were excellently suited to the wickets that prevailed, and when after four decisive wins they had a good deal the better of their match with a strong Eton Rambler side, their prospects against Winchester and Harrow seemed bright indeed. As things turned out, however, though undefeated to the end, they never again tasted the sweets of victory, for after the M.C.C. and Foresters matches had been abandoned the five remaining games were all drawn. Another ten minutes at Winchester would surely have seen them victorious and few school sides could, I believe, have recovered so finely from their devastating batting collapse on the first morning. But from very early on the second morning at Lord's it was obvious that for the fifth year in succession the match was to be drawn. That event not unnaturally revived the agitation for the match to be extended to three days, but I fancy that the arguments with which Dr. Warre met the original proposal a quarter of a century ago will still commend themselves to the authorities. Two days-and such days-ought to be enough for any school match, unless the bowling on both sides is hopelessly weak, but I admit that, as long as the wickets at Lord's continue to be as immorally easy as they now are, both sides must go all out for a win if they are often to secure a finish.

In last year's game the Eton batsmen played up to form and scored well enough never to be in any danger of defeat: if one saw rather less than usual of the straight bat and the left shoulder. De Zoete played his natural hitter's game very brilliantly and Ward and Capel-Cure also batted well, whilst Cazalet in the second innings, when things might conceivably have gone wrong, made a versatile and convincing hundred. But when it came to attack, Eton were fairly and squarely held: Crawley's batting was so superlatively good that it perhaps provides no argument, but the later Harrow batsmen all played the bowling confidently and indeed gave the impression of enjoying themselves a good deal. Whittaker did wonders-five for 99 in fifty-six overs-but even he could get nothing from the wicket: had the match been played six weeks earlier I believe the Harrovians would have found Forbes a thorn in their side, for I have plenty of good evidence that on the turning wickets at the start of the year he was a really fine bowler, quite quick, if not genuinely fast, and combining a late swing with the power to break the ball back sharply from the off: against Winchester at the end of June he was still a good bowler, particularly with the new ball, but by 'Lord's' his long spell of hard work had told its tale, and after his first few overs he was neither accurate nor really lively. Hill-Wood, with his curious action, plugged away vigorously and sometimes made the ball come off the ground very quick, but Ward, a left-hander who had done quite well earlier in the summer, was expensive. And so on a fast wicket Eton had not the artillery to force the win which a month before would, I believe, have been well within their compass, and, unbeaten though they were throughout the year, their form in their two big games must rank them as a

good—definitely good—but not a great side.

Of their batsmen Cazalet was at once the most successful and the best: he has the quickness of foot and the nicety of timing that one expects in a good racquet player, but his defence was also good and he was clever at forcing the ball to the on. Hope, the captain, for a long time could get no runs, but with admirable tenacity fought through his troubles, and, while losing much of his attack, became a most serviceable opening batsman on quieter lines. De Zoete was the greatest fun to watch, and for one who went for the ball so consistently he really did very well: he has a fine eye and good wrists, and if he does not always get to the pitch of the ball he was often making splendid hits-and who doesn't enjoy seeing long and really high driving? Cecil made an invaluable hundred against Winchester and got runs at Lord's : he never looked sound outside the off-stump, but he does watch the ball, and he does mean business: in fact he flatters the opposition only to deceive. The Eton fielding was good, especially on the offside where their captain, Hope, set them a splendid example. Whittaker, who succeeds him on the leadership this season, with Ward and Capel-Cure in support, was, I believe, the best of the season's bowlers. He has a beautiful action, strongly reminiscent of Rhodes, and can bowl all day without getting loose: if the wicket helped him, he was capable of running through a side, and even on the truest pitch his subtle variations of pace and flight always made him dangerous.

There seems still to be in some quarters a sort of tacit conspiracy to speak of Harrow cricket as under a cloud. For such a view I can find no adequate evidence. It is true that it is now a long time since they have actually defeated Eton, but only once in the last five matches have they had definitely the worst of the balanceand then they made a gallant recovery—whilst in the same period

against Winchester they have won twice and they had as much the better of the 1924 draw as their opponents had of the following game. Last season Harrow were only once defeated in their dozen fixtures: they swamped Charterhouse, saw rain put an end to a low-scoring game with Winchester when it was in a very interesting situation, whilst at Lord's there was at one time just a remote chance that Eton might for the third year running find themselves with their backs to the wall.

In this game the Harrow batsmen were at their best, whilst their bowlers disappointed-a precise contrast with last year's play. I am sorry to say that I did not see Crawley's innings, but many experienced critics were united in describing it as the best batting seen in the match for a very long time: rather out of luck in several of the trial games Crawley only showed his best form on three occasions, at Lord's, at Charterhouse (96) and in one century on the Harrow ground. He is a beautiful player to watch with his correct footwork, long true swing, and flashing acceleration from the wrists; I am told that his defence is better than was his cousin's or brother's, but as yet he lacks consistency. Andreae for some time could not get going, but struck form suddenly and thereafter hardly knew what it was to fail: he batted excellently at Lord's, as did Carlisle whose delightful off-driving must have warmed the heart of at least one old Oxford captain. Ford, though never convincing against slow bowling, made a great many useful scores-often when they were wanted-and was a most popular captain, whilst Clover-Brown's stubborn defence was very hard to defeat. When we add that Lindsay-a first-rate wicket-keeper-made a very good century in one of their matches, and that three out of their four stock bowlers collected 107 runs between them by excellent cricket at Lord's, it is obvious that the consistency of the Harrow batting was quite out of the ordinary, indeed on their showing in the big match I am inclined to rate them as the strongest batting side of all the schools.

Their bowling was not so good: Raphael, a bowler with a nice action and a late swing, bowled really well against Charterhouse (seven for 45) but as the season wore on he could not keep his life for long after the shine was gone, and at Lord's he was steady and no more. Pennefather has an excellent method and can spin the ball, but the Lord's wicket proved too much for him too. Rome is a young all-rounder of considerable promise, whilst Robinson, not very well treated by his field in London, flighted his slows well and

has plenty of courage.

With seven of last year's side still available this summer, it will be surprising if Harrow do not turn out a formidable eleven. Clover-Brown will be captain.

Winchester were a good side and were only once defeated-by the M.C.C. in an exciting finish. Had Tew been able to reproduce his 1925 form, their attack would have been exceptionally strong, but he had constant trouble with his back, and though generally steady, was only spasmodically dangerous. The bulk of the work thus fell upon Townsend and Scott and very well they met its demands. Townsend's record for a young cricketer in his first year in the eleven is really rather remarkable; and I fancy he was just about as good as any of the great crop of leg-spinners which the year produced. He keeps, for a bowler of his type, a good length, turns the ball very sharply either way (the googly is well disguised) and does not in the least mind being hit: he has not the suppleness and rhythm of his father's delivery nor is his flight particularly difficult, but I shall be surprised, as well as disappointed, if he does not get a great many wickets in the two more years left to him at Winchester.

Scott was, to some extent, a bowler of days, but, when in the vein, he took a lot of playing: very well built for his trade-strong about the loins and back-he bowled quick medium and could make the ball race off the pitch at a great pace: he could bowl the offspinner, but I think his most dangerous ball to the good batsman was the one that ran away at the very end of its flight: with plenty of heart he would bowl for long spells without losing his life. The change bowling was useful and no more: Milligan, though always steady, was plainer than last year, but Cadogan improved as a fastish bowler and was at his best against Eton. The Wykehamists' fielding was for some time a long way below standard, but by the end of the season it had become, with one or two exceptions, at least adequate. King and Coates were active on the offside, Fleming a good catcher in the deep, and Kingsley a really fine slip field who caught some superlative catches against Eton.

In batting Winchester were not quite convincing: the net wickets in 'Riddings' did not get really fast until the middle of June, and another fortnight's net practice before their big match might have made a considerable difference: as it was, with Bonham-Carter injured and forced to stand down, there was not enough body to the batting order, and far too much depended upon the opening pair, Kingsley and Fleming. As a pair they were not successful, but as individuals they did very well, Fleming being in wonderful form in May and Kingsley, after a quiet start, finding his game against Marlborough and playing really well for the rest of the year. Together they conferred on their school the unique distinction of being doubly represented against the Australians, and though contemporary form was naturally to some extent a matter of luck, I do not think the distinction was undeserved. Kingsley, stronger and more resourceful than in 1925, is full of strokes and very quick on his feet: he should develop into a first-class player. Fleming is at first sight less convincing, but he is a really powerful driver and

hooker and has the great merit of watching the ball most closely and meeting it with the full face of the bat. The rest of the batting was, as I have suggested, not too reliable, but Milligan played many sound and useful innings in an unobtrusive way, King could hit finely on the off-side, and Coates, who came into the side late, was a

much better player than his average suggests.

Winchester drew all four of their school matches: the games with Harrow and Charterhouse were both spoilt by the weather, but in each the Wykehamists' bowling was good. Kingsley, helped by mistakes in the field, made a very attractive century against Marlborough: his rival captain, Block, countered with a splendid innings, but, once he had left, the Winchester bowlers, Townsend in particular, always seemed to have the match in hand until Neilson found a courageous partner in No. 11 and by capital batting they just "held the fort" till time. "Eton match" was most remarkable: certainly there had been a heavy dew overnight, and with some grass on the wicket the ball sometimes did unexpected things, but there was nothing whatever in the pitch to account for two such sides being dismissed for 76 and 63: it was just a case of really good bowling and the run of luck setting consistently against the batsmen all the way through. For a long time in their second innings Eton, despite a good start, could not really get their heads in front, but on the second morning Cecil (125) and Hill-Wood (58), aided by some good fortune but batting on the whole very well, gradually pulled the game round, and in the end the Wykehamists had to bat over 41 hours to save the game. Kingsley played a captain's innings, but at tea defeat stared Winchester in the face : then King, who off-drove splendidly, and Coates made a fine stand for an hour and with Cadogan and the young wicket-keeper, Walker, doing really more than could reasonably be expected of them, it was not until the stroke of time that the ninth wicket fell. It is only fair to record that Forbes had to be off the field for an hour and a half on the last afternoon to do an Army paper, and his absence may very probably have just turned the scale, but though Eton deserved to win, there was plenty of credit for the side that battled so stoutly and successfully to save the match. The feature of the game was undoubtedly the bowling of Whittaker, Forbes, Scott, Townsend, and Tew, who on the first day, was in something like his best form. But when there was much good fielding by both sides, Kingsley's slip-catching stood out by itself. With the last named again captain, Fleming, Townsend, Tew and two other old colours still at school, Winchester are not without hope for 1927.

Rugby gained, I believe, only two victories in their season, but inasmuch as two of their defeats in club fixtures were by the margin of one run and their great match with Marlborough was well and deservedly won, they cannot complain of lack of incident in

their summer, or look back to it without a good deal of satisfaction. The game at Lord's furnished another striking instance—if any were ever needed to drive home what is almost a platitude-of the supreme value of a good leg-spin bowler in school cricket. Whether or not Leedham-Green was as good a bowler of his type as Wilson of Repton or Townsend of Winchester I am not at all certain: bowling of this sort, unless it is of the highest class, seems to depend to some extent upon the "atmosphere" of a "needle" match or upon certain limitations in the opposing batsmen, and when I saw the Rugbeian at Lord's in the week after his great triumph, he did not seem to offer great difficulty to the picked batsmen opposed to him. But after all no man can do more than bowl unchanged through two innings, and refuse to be rattled on the rare occasions when the enemy looked like taking his measure, take fifteen wickets for 135 runs—nine in the last innings—and practically win with his own right arm the great match of his school's year; whatever success may await him in the future, Leedham-Green is sure of a very special niche in the shrine of Rugby cricket.

Though naturally the mainstay of the attack, he was far from being unsupported, for Bradshaw, the captain, and a capital cricketer, was a steady and hard-working bowler of medium pace. Connolly, another leg-breaker, often got useful wickets, and Dawson's pace also contributed. The Rugby batting was not particularly distinguished, but most of the side were good for some runs, and Monkhouse and Agnew, who in their different rôles of defender and attacker made most runs during the season, showed their mettle by being at their very best at Lord's. Pearson, who got into the side late, is described as distinctly promising. The fielding was good and Roberts clearly one of the best wicket-keepers of the year. Of their other two school fixtures the Clifton match was ruined by rain, but Uppingham, in their best form, won somewhat easily. Only three old colours remain at Rugby for the coming season.

Monkhouse will be captain.

I am not inclined to rate Marlborough so high as in some recent years. That there was plenty of cricket in the side goes without saying, for the game is very much alive in the Wiltshire school, and their elevens always strike me as playing it with an invigorating vitality and zest. Once again more than half the eleven could bowl, but though there was variety in the attack, it rather lacked distinction and sting. It is manifestly unfair to judge any eleven by its form in one match, even in what is admittedly the 'Test' match of their year, and the Marlborough batting against Leedham-Green's googlies at Lord's was really too bad to be true; but, considering the beautiful wickets that prevail on their ground, their collective batting figures are not impressive and too much depended upon their captain, Block. Though he "failed" at Lord's—and that this word should be applied

323

to scores of 31 and 27, lends point to my last remark, he can look back to a splendid season's record—an average of over 50 for an aggregate of just on 700 runs. In the representative games in the holidays he struck one of those patches that come the way of every cricketer, but his unhappy experience then cannot efface my memory of his grand innings against Winchester, which showed him a batsman of courage, resource, and a most powerful and attractive method. Against the M.C.C. he narrowly escaped the distinction of scoring a century in each innings. As he is a fine short slip, a superb thrower from any position, a bowler who often gets a wicket, and withal a really splendid physical specimen, I do not fancy that the authorities

at Cambridge are likely to lose sight of him.

Finch, his opening partner, was a useful batsman, and Neilson, whose bat is very straight and nerve of the stoutest, showed a great advance in this department, but, as I have suggested, there was not quite so much 'body' to the batting as usual. Of the bowlers, Marley, a left-hander who was very steady and could exploit a baffling swerve, was the most successful. Thornton was another left-hander of faster pace, Morton a third and Page comes out with quite a good record as a leg-spinner. The Marlborough fielding was always good—their throwing is generally the best that I see in school cricket-and reached its zenith at Lord's when by common consent it was particularly brilliant. In their other school games Marlborough easily defeated Wellington, had their Cheltenham fixture ruined by rain, and played the exciting draw with Winchester, to which I have referred above. Neilson will captain Marlborough this year, and, with five other old choices available, prospects must be considered good.

Cheltenham were unlucky in the fact that rain interfered with all three of their school matches and prevented a result in games that might well have been fought out to a really interesting finish The Marlborough fixture was limited to about three hours cricket but I fancy the two sides might have proved well balanced : against Clifton the Cheltonian batsmen made a really good recovery in scoring 348 in their second innings after being 100 behind on the first and at the finish had none the worse of the argument. The game with Haileybury at Lord's produced a capital 'soldier's battle' on a wicket never difficult but generally offering the bowlers a certain amount of help. Baker, Kempster, and Wellings all batted well and the Cheltenham fielding, which earlier in the year had been somewhat sluggish, was at its best. In their club games the school's most notable performance was their smashing victory over the "O.C.'s," when Alston took twelve wickets for some seven runs apiece. A medium-paced bowler, he could keep a really good length and make the ball 'run' with his arm, but he cannot afford to increase his weight. Colbeck also did very well with his leg-breaks, though his action is not very lively, but the best bowler-indeed the best all-round cricketer on the side, was Wellings. He could spin the ball back from the off, had a 'flight' as well, and varied his pace intelligently.

Of the batsmen Kempster was the best, his bat is very straight, he watches the ball, and he is not afraid of hitting the half volley. Against Haileybury his aggregate of 100 was much the highest in the match and his form rightly won for him a place in the Lords' Schools XI. Baker was an excellent man to go in first, sound and imperturbable, strong on the leg-side. Woollatt was a most uncertain performer, but if he can improve his defence and settle down, his natural gifts of eye and wrist must bring him to the front. Dick Cunyngham was an aggressive, courageous player who welcomed a situation. Wellings may easily develop into a really good batsman. Martin, an excellent wicket-keeper, whose form at Lord's won general approval, also showed promise with the bat. The Cheltenham captain, Edwards, met with modest success himself, but the side owed much to his leadership, personality, and inspiring example in the field at mid-off. This year he will be succeeded in the captaincy by Kempster, and with five other old colours, including Wellings, Alston, and Martin, Cheltenham have the prospect of a very useful team.

Of their thirteen matches Haileybury only won three, but the fact that they were only twice defeated in so heavy a programme certainly bears out my correspondent's contention that they were a difficult side to beat. Given one bowler of class and I would have written them down as the equal of most schools last year, for their attack had variety and figures suggest that it was commendably steady. Harvey, a left-hander, did the most work and his is a good record: Wennink had trouble with his back and hardly fulfilled his last year's promise, but on his day he was a good bowler, whilst Eastwood's quicker pace was useful and there were three other

change bowlers.

The Haileybury batting was distinctly above the average and extended right down the side, though of last year's promising young batsmen only Stewart trained on into quite what was hoped. It is somewhat disturbing to hear that he prefers Lawn Tennis, and that after a summer in which he scored over 500 runs and averaged 40! Wordsworth was an attractive and resolute player, strong on the offside, Heywood, though an uncertain starter, had strokes and liked the half-volley, Wright was more useful than stylish; Barber sound and promising. Catlow, the captain and a zealous one too, seems to have had the run of luck rather against him all the summer, and I do not think his figures represent his powers. I have not seen him myself, but more than one good judge has told me that in "posse," if not in "esse," he is a class batsman.

All three of Haileybury's school fixtures were drawn: the first day at Uppingham was a blank, and on the second the home side had a good deal the better of it. The home fixture with Wellington produced some high scoring and with the Haileybury bowling rather mediocre, it fell to Wordsworth and Stewart to do most for their side in a fairly even draw. Heywood will lead the eleven this year, and Wennink, Stewart, and two other old colours will

support him.

Turning now to the Midland group we find Repton, Uppingham, and Shrewsbury all coming out with distinctly creditable records, and, after considerable searchings of heart, I am not going to try to adjudicate between them. Uppingham, who defeated Marlborough's conquerors, Rugby, had the best of their draw with Shrewsbury, the latter equally the better of the argument with Repton, but inasmuch as Repton did defeat Uppingham, though only after a hair-raising finish, I shall consider Repton's resources first. Valentine in 1925 promised to be a batsman quite out of the ordinary in his last year at school, but two serious operations in the spring seriously undermined his strength and coming back late, he never found any consistency of form. From personal observation last summer I know him to be capable of playing beautiful cricket, orthodox in method yet with that indefinable something which is beyond the compass of the ordinary batsman, but on performance he was no more than useful and his loss of form prevents me from rating the Repton batting as more than serviceable—serviceable it certainly was, with almost all the eleven capable of getting runs. Human being the soundest and by some way, the most consistent of them.

The bowling was of a higher order. Sharpley and Piper were two medium-pace bowlers, rarely collared and thoroughly reliable, without being mechanical—I have seen Sharpley bowl with real life and enterprise. Both Peck and Human were useful changes. Wilson was a definitely match winning bowler. A googly bowler of slightly faster than ordinary pace, he could turn the ball sharply both ways. I am assured, collaterally from Uppingham and Shrewsbury, as well as direct from Repton, that he could be really difficult, and was always consistently hard to drive. His record of forty-six wickets for 13 each speaks for itself, and his bowling played a big part in the Uppingham match, whilst it proved altogether

too much for Malvern.

A word must be said about this Uppingham game: at the end of the first day Repton were right on top, thanks to Wilson and some stout-hearted batting by their tail-enders, but next morning a heavy dew to some extent immobilised the bowlers. Castle played an invaluable innings for Uppingham and Repton needed 147 runs to win. For some time things went by no means well for

them, but Wilson and Sharpley pulled the game round and got to within six of the required figure. Then they were both out, and the last pair had to fight through two maiden overs before getting past the post. Of Repton's other two school fixtures I shall speak shortly elsewhere, and shall here leave them with the verdict that they were a school side well up to, if not rather above, the average, with an excellent habit of making the best of their resources, always difficult to beat, and when things went Wilson's way, difficult to hold. Human is captain elect, but he will have to build up almost a new side.

Uppingham had a good season and were a good side, indeed my correspondent ranks them as the best eleven turned out by the school for several years. Their batting was sound and reliable all the way down: Oscroft and Thornley, an opening pair of excellent method, Enderby, if not quite so spectacular as of old, still a most attractive player to watch with his fine wrists and aggressive spirit. Perkins, though not altogether consistent, often played very good cricket, and five more of the side averaged over 17 each, so there

was little or nothing of a tail.

But satisfactory as such figures are, it was in the out-cricket that the main strength of the eleven lay. Uppingham is a beautiful ground to field on and most of the side seem to have done justice to it: Enderby was a really fine "slipper," Christopherson above the average in the same position, Waring a short-leg specialist who made a number of fine catches, and Castle a wicket-keeper who improved steadily all through the season: when I add that the catching was generally reliable, it is obvious that the bowlers were

very well supported.

But the wickets on "The Upper" are proverbially easy-paced, and when we find three bowlers taking 92 wickets between them at an average of about 12½ a wicket, it is clear that there must have been a good deal of intrinsic merit in the attack. Perkins' supplemented his useful batting by bowling out swingers very steadily: Wilkinson proved a real 'find': he has a first-rate action and distinct pace; in the drawn game against the very strong Oxford eleven brought by J. V. Richardson he took seven for 68, and he may be worth watching by the Cambridge authorities. But the backbone of the attack was, of course, supplied by McIntosh, and I fancy that one must go a long way back in Uppingham records to find a bowler with better figures. When I saw him bowl for the Rest at Lord's, he looked rather plain in the first innings, but in the second he bowled with much life and admirable accuracy: he runs up to the wicket well, brings his arm over very high, and every now and then makes the ball do something quickly and distinctly late. Like all bowlers of his type, who rely on 'cut' rather than spin, he needs a fast wicket to be at his best, and this makes his figures on the very easy-paced Uppingham ground all the more noteworthy. Given improved health—he is not, I am sorry to say, very strong as yet—he should do really well: in any case he can look back to his

season's captaincy with great satisfaction.

In their school matches Uppingham, as we have seen, were just defeated by Repton, but their bowling proved too much for both Rugby and Haileybury. The Shrewsbury match was spoilt by rain on the first day, but in the time remaining Uppingham, with the best of the wicket, established themselves in a commanding position. It is noticeable that in the four school fixtures 165 was the highest total against them: had their attack been reinforced by a spin bowler of merit, I should have been inclined to back Uppingham against almost any other school eleven. Perkins is next year's captain, and five other old choices remain.

Shrewsbury's record of five wins against one loss in a dozen games is satisfactory enough, but with a little more time available four of the draws must have been victories, and very few schools could then have pointed to better figures. Their strength, like that of their rivals, Uppingham and Repton, was collective, though Moore, who is still quite young, fully realised his early promise and was the best of their batsmen, in fact the most successful of all batsmen in the Midland group. Longrigg and Leach were a good opening pair and in the middle of the side Stow made runs in a taking style, besides fielding very well at cover. The bowlers were dogged by ill-luck in the form of disabling strains, and in none of the interschool matches was the attack at full strength. Nevertheless their figures make pretty good reading. Snow, the captain, was the best of them, not very convincing, perhaps, to watch from the ring but I am told by those who should know that he could swing the ball very late from leg and flight an off-break too: Staples and Duke were very useful all-rounders, and Mutter's fastish bowling met with much success. With fielding and catching described as distinctly good, four regular bowlers of fully average class, and almost every one of their batsmen capable of scoring fifty runs in a match (seven of them did so), Shrewsbury were clearly a side to be reckoned with.

Their three school matches were all drawn: against Uppingham, as we have seen, they had the worst of the wicket, but their batting was more convincing than Repton's in the one-day fixture at home, and if the luck rather ran their way, only time robbed them of victory. In the two-day game with Rossall they secured a big lead, only to lose it again owing partly to a brilliant innings by the Rossall captain, but also to unusual weakness in the field. Staples will captain Shrewsbury this year, and he is likely to find Moore's

batting a great stand-by.

Malvern once again were not a strong side, but their paper

record with five wins to be set against three losses is not so bad.

True the losses included a very heavy defeat at the hands of Repton, for whom Wilson was at his best and proved altogether too much for the Malvern batsmen. Malvern's victims included Westminster, they only went down to the Foresters in a gallant rear-guard fight by 20 runs, and drew creditably with a strong O.M. eleven. Their out-cricket seems to have been reasonably competent, for the fielding was keen, and if the attack was distinctly limited, both Bradshaw and Wedd come out with creditable records, indeed, considering the proverbial excellence of the Malvern wickets, Bradshaw's figures are really good. But the batting was weak and not for many years can the averages have made such modest reading. Parry, a late discovery, played some sound cricket and Acheson-Gray was very useful, but though most of the side could get runs, the batting as a whole lacked both confidence and class. This year Bradshaw, who, by the way, had a great match against Westminster, will captain the eleven, and two, or possibly three, old colours remain.

From Rossall I have little information, but their figures certainly suggest that the young eleven of 1925 trained on into a distinctly useful side. Their bowlers, Lambert, Smith, and especially Roncoroni all did well. There was batting most of the way down the team with Whitroft and Beattie some way ahead of their fellows. Whiteroft played a brilliant innings of 161 not out to save the Shrewsbury match, in which Beattie also batted very well, and if I add that against the Old Rossallians the school actually hit off the 97 runs required in half an hour and so won the match with three minutes to spare, it is clear that there can have been very little wrong in the

spirit in which Rossall played the game last summer.

From the far north we will now drop down to the Sussex coast and see what fortune attended the efforts of Brighton, whose cricket standard has for some years been really high. Once again the Brightonians seem to have been a thoroughly useful side, rather dependent, perhaps, on the prowess of a few champions, but managing to give a very good account of themselves in a long programme of fourteen matches. Their seven wins included the school games with Lancing and Epsom, whilst, if the Dulwich match might ultimately have gone against them, time alone saved Christ's Hospital from falling to their account. Their bowling was somewhat limited, too much work being thrown upon Green and Evans, but both shouldered the burden manfully, and if the hard wickets of the latter half of the season discounted some of the danger of the former's left-hand spinners, they suited Evans' pace. Generally to be relied on to bowl a length, Evans had some really good days, notably against Lancing where he took eight wickets for 43 runs.

Green, incidentally a very capable captain, reinforced his bowling with some very sound batting and only needs greater strength to be really good, but the heaviest scorer in the team was Barnard who if still somewhat cramped in style, was most solid in defence with improved power of stroke-play. Lawrence has yet to learn real discretion, but was a punishing, attractive player and made over 400 runs. The rest of the batting was rather immature and unreliable. The fielding throughout the year was good, sometimes brilliant, and in Levett the school had a brilliant wicket-keeper who had the honour of being picked against both the Army and the Australians. Green will lead Brighton again, but with only two old choices remaining he will have to build up a virtually new side.

Eastbourne did not win one of their thirteen engagements. Their bowling was weak, and yet my correspondent there is inclined to rate them above the 1925 eleven. Certainly theirs is a strong fixture list, and four out of the five drawn games might possibly have been victories. The fielding, as usual at Eastbourne, was always keen, occasionally brilliant, and I gather that the batting was stronger than the averages suggest, but the absence of spinbowling was too heavy a handicap for any side to carry. In their school matches they had the best of a rain-spoilt game with Christ's Hospital: against Lancing their opening batsmen made a fine start, but after a 'soldier's battle,' the enemy just got home by two runs; the fixture with King's School, Canterbury, was scratched owing to persistent rain. Of individuals Binge seems to stand out some way above the rest: a useful bowler and dashing field, he showed a great advance with the bat and played some high-class innings. His form this year, when he captains the side, will be carefully watched. Corrie was another very useful all-rounder, and Whitby, though, it seems, a shaky starter, batted very well at times. Skinner generally kept wicket well and captained the side with enthusiasm.

From Christ's Hospital comes a very cheery report. The side was quite definitely above the average: they had an inspiring captain in Osborne, the son of a famous old Oxford footballer; the fielding, thanks to his practice and precept, became really good; the batting was stronger than for year past, and the bowling, if rather limited in quantity, was at least strong enough to win five of the last seven matches played, after five of the first six had been drawn. In school fixtures Christ's Hospital won close victories over Cranleigh and Leatherhead, annihilated Epsom, were robbed by time of a big win over Merchant Taylors, and played interesting draws with Eastbourne and Brighton. In bowling Done did really well with his leg-breaks, and Hasler and Black, who also spun the ball, stuck well to their heavy work, but the lack of a bowler of pace was seriously felt when the real summer set in.

The batting was strong, practically every member of the side could bat, though some of them, owing to the prowess of their leaders, got few opportunities of showing their form.

The captain, Osborne, batted consistently well all through the season, and Black and Hasler were of equal service with the bat as with the ball: sound and patient players they made a capital opening pair. Their partnership of 206 against Epsom is a record for the school. With the same leader and five other old colours available this season Christ's Hospital should turn out another formidable eleven.

Clifton are not an easy team to place. Their bowling was weak, only Tilly, a young cricketer of promise, being at all reliable: in batting they were subjects to disastrous collapses, and clearly lacked solidity in the body of the team, whilst their fielding, with one or two exceptions is described as slow, their throwing as poor, and their stumper as indifferent. So far this is not a cheering picture; yet the fact remains that in all their school games they led on the first innings, and though both Cheltenham and Rugby pulled the game round on the second day, honours were fairly easy at the finish. At Lord's, where a blank first day made inevitable a draw for the fourth year in succession, Clifton held a decided advantage.

For this measure of success the explanation is not far to seek: the team included one, and only one, really reliable batsman, and in the three big games he gave of his very best with scores of 73, 12, 64, 51, and 113. When I was up at Lord's at the beginning of the Representative games in August, I found opinion somewhat divided as to Tegner's class, some critics being inclined to question his early selection for the School's side against the Army. But before the ten days were over Tegner had effectually silenced this criticism. for, though he failed against the soldiers, he made 83 runs against the Next XV (all fielding), and then batted nearly two hours against the Australians for a really good innings of 45. Like most left-handers Tegner does not always look very convincing, especially outside the off-stump, but his defence is good and beautifully straight, and when he plays forward, which he does a great deal, he makes admirable use of his reach. That he can also hit the ball extremely hard the "Next XV" would surely testify. Tegner, though not fast in the field, is a very good catcher, especially in the slips, and he bowls leg-breaks, so that I do not suppose that the authorities at Cambridge are likely to lose sight of him.

Tegner apart, the Clifton batting was somewhat sketchy; the Carrs maintained their character as brilliant, but reckless, hitters; Barnard showed promise, and White and Walker were useful; but if their one star failed, the side were always liable to be outed for a small score by anything like good bowling. All the more credit to them, then, for doing so well in the three school matches.

Four old colours are left, but the name of the new captain has not reached me.

Tonbridge were decidedly one of the stronger sides last summer; they began the season indifferently, losing three out of their first five matches, but from the beginning of June until the end of the season they were undefeated and actually won seven of their last ten games. At Lord's-when will the weather relent and give us a fine Clifton and Tonbridge match again ?-they played like a rather stale side—not surprising perhaps at the end of so arduous a programme—but the form they showed in defeating such strong teams as Eton Ramblers and Free Foresters was really good. The greatest match-winning force in the side was, of course, the bowling of Tucker and his record of sixty-three wickets for 13.76 each compares favourably with any in the year's school cricket, especially when we remember the proverbial ease of run-getting on the Tonbridge ground. By the time he came up to Lord's he had not unnaturally lost some of his 'nip,' but even then, without knowing his record, I marked him down as a bowler of parts: his action is admirable, for his arm comes over very high and there is an elasticity about the movement that suggests life off the pitch. I am told by those best qualified to judge that all through the year his length was very steady and that, given any help from the wicket, he could spin the ball sharply. Clearly he was good enough for most of the school batsmen opposed to him: witness his "bags" of twelve for 45 against Lancing, nine for 53 against Sherborne, and five for 97 at Lord's. Nor was he by any means unsupported in attack, for Baiss was a useful leg-breaker, and Holman and Smith both bowled at a good pace and at times were decidedly effective: add the fact that the Tonbridge fielding was first-rate, and an explanation of much of their success is already clear.

In batting they had no star of Tucker's magnitude, but there was run-getting all down the side and they were a difficult lot to bowl out. Miller has shed much of his earlier brilliance, but has gained greatly in soundness and an average of 31 with a highest score of 50 in sixteen innings is consistency itself. Holman, besides his bowling and fine fielding, was a capital batsman who scored the only century hit for the school last year, and Baiss was also a most useful all-rounder. There must be something in a man who can hit his first ball in a school match at Lord's for 6, and I am not surprised to hear that Rowan was a "hitter of the very best." With Tucker as captain, Baiss, Smith, and probably three other old choices available this summer, Tonbridge should surely continue in the path of prosperity.

My Sherborne correspondent writes: The side was a useful one, though it was very young: we expected a "building-up" year, and hope for good things next season,—a very sensible attitude towards a record which, though modest enough, is not without promise for the future. I have always been a strong advocate of the policy of playing young cricketers in their school elevens: indeed

I believe that except at such schools as Eton with their vast resources, strong sides will be the exception on any other policy, but, of course, experience has got to be bought, and unfortunately the lack of it is apt to tell most when most store is set upon victory. So it was with Sherborne last year. In their club games—and the opposition down in the West is not always very formidable,—the eleven did very well, but when it came to their two big school matches the batsmen could not rise to the occasion. On a sticky wicket Tucker of Tonbridge did almost what he liked and Sherborne went down by an innings. The Westminster match, owing to rain, had to be converted into a one-day game, and after Moberley and Payne had done well to dismiss the London school for 155, the batting collapsed, only

Hodgkinson showing any real form.

Some consolation, however, was forthcoming from the other two school fixtures, Downside being defeated by 84 runs and a narrow margin of time and Blundell's being quite outplayed though just managing to 'save their bacon.' In this game Eglington, Moberley, and Hodgkinson scored 272 between them, and they were clearly the three best cricketers on the side. Eglington, the captain, a sound batsman, averaged over 50 for the year. Moberley's bowling figures of thirty-nine wickets for 11 apiece are excellent, and Hodgkinson is described as a young batsman and wicket-keeper of high promise. Kreyer and Payne made up quite a useful attack, but the fielding showed little life. No doubt Eglington, who is again captain, will tackle this problem with the energy which it demands, and with six other old choices to hand, I shall expect to see Sherborne give

a much better account of themselves in 1927.

And now from the far West let us turn back towards London, stopping on our way at Wellington. For some years past cricket here has been at rather a low ebb, and it is therefore really good news that a young side should have trained on steadily all through the season, and at the end of of it should have been able to look back to a record that is, I think, Wellington's best for the last five years. In this success their own keenness and May's captaincy played a large part. May, the best all-round cricketer in the eleven, had a capital season: tall, well-built and stout-hearted, he liked to put the bat up against the ball and his driving and leg-hitting, which I saw at Lord's, were really quite refreshing. Playing consistently all through the year he did more than a captain's share in the school matches, scoring over fifty four times in the five games and bowling very well against Charterhouse. Of course, his great performance against the Australians will go down to history, but, without wishing to detract for a moment from its merit, I feel that, like many bowlers of his type—the 'cut' as opposed to the 'spin' variety—he was a bowler of days, and cannot therefore be ranked quite on a level with a consistent performer like Whitaker.

Of the other Wellington bowlers P. J. Garthwaite did extremely well with his leg-breaks, especially in the school games, and with a little more steadiness he may become really good, whilst his brother's swerves and Hamilton's pace contributed useful variety. Had the fielding been better, the attack might be written down as above the average. In batting the team took a long time to settle down, but at the end of the season they were going strong and were quite a difficult side to dismiss. Wood and Benn did quite well as an opening pair, and were in great form against Haileybury. C. C. Garthwaite has sound defence and Dashwood, who is young and very large, has only to improve his to be a good player.

Perhaps the best performance of Wellington was their victory over the M.C.C. Their batting was at its best against Haileybury and the Foresters, (who just forced a win against a sporting declaration), and several of their fixtures produced exciting fights. Garthwaite's leg-breaks outed Westminster for 78, whereupon Wellington lost five wickets for 32, only to be shepherded to victory by a capital innings of 53 not out by Hamilton. Some very low scoring in their home match with Charterhouse saw them winners by 17 runs. Earlier in the year Marlborough had defeated them easily, two dropped catches and some indifferent batting settling the issue, but against Bradfield in July they had a terrific game. At lunch time Bradfield had scored 184 for four and seemed well on top; then Garthwaite did the 'hat-trick,' and the last six wickets fell for 9 runs. Thanks to May, who was at his best with 78, Wellington got to within five of the required total when the last man came in, and just on time they got their nose past the post. With the majority of last year's eleven remaining and the feeling that the tide is at last turning, Wellington should be looking forward to next season with some confidence.

From Wellington it seems a natural stage to Bradfield where the averages are designedly not kept-a policy no doubt excellent in intention, but surely unnecessary at a public school. If only as a permanent record, the yearly tables in Wisden are at least desirable. For the first time the school played on their new ground which promises, I am told, to be very good: last summer it had not quite settled down and perhaps this may in part account for the fact that the Bradfield batting, though it looked well in the nets, failed to come up to expectation in the middle: the batsmen rather lacked confidence and resolution. The attack, on the other hand, was well-varied and distinctly strong, had a wholly commendable belief in the value of 'spin," and generally managed to dispose of the opposition for well under 200. With the fielding keen and the catching safe the ultimate record of five wins to six defeats would have been a good deal better, if only the batting had been a little more reliable.

Crouch, a zealous captain, was a good all-round cricketer, and the same may be said of Shaw and Wells, whilst Breton's bowling, particularly in the earlier matches, was invaluable. Shenen, out of luck and so losing confidence, did not do as well as his abilities warranted. Both Shaw and Crouch made over 50 in the match against Wellington, of which I have spoken already, and Crouch, with seven for 68, had a big hand in his side's thrilling win by one wicket against Radley. Hunt, an improved wicket-keeper, will captain this year's

team, and has three old choices to support him.

Radley were rather a disappointing side: slow to get into form they looked for a short time likely to develop well, but flattered only to deceive. My correspondent describes them as 'distinctly patchy,' a verdict which their match scores certainly sustains. With Green again a goodish bowler, Osborne-Smith fastish and, though erratic, dangerous, and three other fair changes, their attack was up to the average, and indeed generally dismissed the opposition for a reasonably small score, but the batting was very unreliable and subject to devastating collapses. Far too much depended upon Adams and Stampa and, well though they did in their different rôles of hitter and sticker, they could not carry the team. Adams still lacks judgment and rather wants the ball to come straight on to the bat, but he played some fine cricket, and, with two years still to run at school, he may, if he will remedy his weaknesses, develop into a really good batsman. Narrowly defeated by Bradfield, Radley were outplayed by Westminster and saw their two-day match with Sherborne limited to a miserable three hours. Adams and Osborne-Smith form a very useful, but small, nucleus for this summer's side.

So we come to London itself and if, as a royal foundation, Westminster is here generally given precedence, it must this year at least yield pride of place to St Paul's. Decidedly one of the best school sides in the country the Paulines had a really splendid season. They led off with six consecutive wins in five weeks-to which, I admit, the effects of the strike may have to some extent contributed. They then played five draws in three of which victory must have been theirs, beat Dulwich, annihilated a somewhat scratch side of Old Wykehamists, and only at the very end of term in what was no doubt a natural reaction did they lose their form and have to haul down their colours to their own Old Boys in a low-scoring match. With such a record it is not surprising that St. Paul's were too good for their school rivals. Leys ran them to a margin of 25 in a low scoring match, but Dulwich were beaten by over 100, and after Killick had played grandly for 185 not out in a total of 322 for two, only time saved Bedford from extinction.

At the beginning of the year the bowling prospects were thought uncertain, but in the event the attack was distinctly strong.

Garland-Wells, who bowled nearly a hundred more overs than the average 'stock' bowler, came out with a really fine record—fifty wickets for 15 runs each: right hand medium in pace he could nearly always be relied upon to keep a real length, whilst, when conditions suited him, his late swing was most effective. To support him Kershaw bowled at a good pace and had a convenient way of flicking out the early batsmen; whilst Barmby and Johnston, two slow bowlers, left and right-handed respectively, generally did what was required of them when the protagonists needed a rest. Keen and accurate fielding helped this good attack, the captain setting the side an infectious example; and Patterson, for the second

year running, kept wicket most efficiently.

There was plenty of body to the batting, even if some of the later men in the order were inevitably short of match practice. Eyles, a rather shaky starter, was most attractive to watch when he got going: Olliff in any ordinary year would no doubt have been the particular star, for he has beautiful wrists, and though his backplay needs strengthening, he could play most punishing cricket with a wide range of strokes. But as things were he had to take quite a back seat to his captain. Killick's figures are not, like last year positively abnormal, but he has fulfilled all the hopes then formed for him and was, to my thinking, definitely the best school batsman of the year. Of his play at Lord's I have spoken elsewhere. Suffice it to add here that I really do not see how he can 'come unstuck' at Cambridge. His bat is straight, he judges the ball early in its flight, moves his feet correctly, is well balanced and always playing a definite stroke: he is equipped for slow and fast wickets alike, for attack and defence, and I do not think he is lacking in confidence. University cricket has been the grave of many reputations but if Killiek joins the lost legion I shall hesitate to prophesy again. In the coming summer Patterson succeeds him in the captaincy, and Olliff and three others are also staying on.

From St. Paul's we pass on to Dulwich where the cricket now seems to be quietly but decidedly on the up-grade. Last summer the Dulwich bowling was not strong; there was no bowler of real pace. Proctor was easily the best and did very well: I should like to see him bowl, for his 'character' stimulates curiosity—"a good right-hand medium bowler with great variety of deliveries (swingers, both breaks and googly, slow to fast medium), coupled with good control of length." My wonder is not that he got thirty-four wickets but that he don't get double as many: but he must have been rather a terror to keep wicket to. Musgrave's swingers were often dangerous at the start of an innings and Hughman's leg-breaks were very useful, but there was really no other change bowling and only the consistent excellence of the Dulwich fielding enabled the attack

to do as well as it did.

For this virtue the team owed much to the precept and practice of their captain, Rimbault, who, himself a splendid fielder, inspired his men to emulation. The victory over Bedford by five runs was a fitting reward for his labours. Dulwich had made 186 and Bedford had got to within 40 of that score with half their wickets still in hand, but the Dulwich fielding was so brilliant that fours were simply not to be had. Two brilliant catches adjusted the balance, and then after a final counter-attack another acrobatic feat by squareleg settled the issue. Apart from his captaincy, Rimbault did very well as a batsman and if hardly so sound and consistent as last year, he was again a most attractive player, especially on the offside, as he showed in his innings of 87 in one of the trial games at Lord's. Hughman, the all-rounder of the side, batted very soundly all the season, and Dewey often hit well. All these three scored a century for the school, but there was rather a tail. Hughman captains Dulwich next summer, and four old choices are available.

Westminster are one of the very few teams to play as many as five school matches. Of these they won two last season against Sherborne and Radley and lost the remaining three to Wellington, Malvern and Charterhouse. In their eight club games they scored wins over the Eton Ramblers and their own Old Boys, but went down to Incogniti, Butterflies, M.C.C., and Free Foresters. On the face of it this is a somewhat modest record, and yet my Westminster correspondent, who is not inclined to call his geese swans, gives, in some respect, a cheering account of the side and particularly of its fielding which was far in advance of the usual standard, and of the good leadership and judgment of its captain, Shepley-Smith. The batting showed far more enterprise than has been the case in some recent years, but only too often batsmen would get themselves out by a careless or ill-judged stroke just when their form promised a good score.

Shepley-Smith did not get as many runs as was hoped, but he was the soundest batsman on the side, and his method should stand him in good stead hereafter. Apart from his services as captain, he had the satisfaction of playing his highest innings in a school match, his 53 against Sherborne settling the issue. Haymes proved a more successful batsman: his defence may be insecure, but he hit the ball well and with 90 against Malvern, 59 against Radley, and 28 and 26 out of small totals against Wellington and Charterhouse he was clearly the man for a big occasion. Gardiner also lacked defence despite a fine pair of wrists; Cook took a long time to find any form and then ended brilliantly with 61 v. Radley and 122 v.

O.W.'s.

The bowling was rich in quantity, (all but two of the side were possible changes), but rather lacked quality. Stratford, a slow spin-bowler, was most effective. The modest cost of his victims points

to considerable accuracy, and I hear that he had any amount of resolution. Of the others perhaps the best was Foster, a young allround cricketer of considerable promise. Of a fine fielding side, he was just about the smartest, though Haymes was an active cover and Mordaunt's throwing in from the deep exceptionally good. In their school games Westminster really played better than the bare results suggest, for they held Malvern on the first day and gave Wellington quite a fright by getting six of them out for 50 when 78 runs were wanted to win. The big disappointment was the Charterhouse match in which Westminster, showing no sort of form, were very heavily defeated. Cook will lead the eleven this year, and I hear good reports of the prospective recruits.

Charterhouse are to me a somewhat puzzling side, for their batsmen's form was so inconsistent that it is very difficult to place them at all. Against Westminster, for instance, they played like quite a good eleven and neither Oriel nor the Butterflies would, I fancy, write them down as at all weak, yet they collapsed for 59 against Wellington and showed poor batting against Harrow and Winchester. At the nets, I am told, many of them looked capable enough, but in the middle they lacked 'gumption,' and often got themselves out unnecessarily. Morgan, their captain, and much their best all-round cricketer, lost a good deal of his enterprise, but his defence was very sound; of the other batsmen, whose aggregates for the season were really astonishingly small, much the best was Pearce who, quite young but very promising, played excellently against Westminster and the Grasshoppers.

The Charterhouse bowling consisted mainly of Morgan, who was consistently successful and had very good days against Wellington and Winchester. Slower than he was, Morgan bowled an admirable length and his swing-in from the off always needed watching. Jackson was another steady bowler; Branston could bowl a fine ball, but was far from reliable. In their school matches Charterhouse were outplayed by Harrow, and lost to Wellington by a narrow margin owing to a sorry display of batting. The Winchester match was limited to three hours' play and ended in a draw; Charterhouse bowled quite well, but their batsmen threatened to throw away the advantage won. Vincent Square, as I have said, showed them at their best against Westminster and they won very easily. Frankland is this year's captain and three others of the last team remain.

Leys School had the exceptional number of sixteen fixtures and as they won eight of these and lost only four they were clearly a goodish combination. Had their batting been a little more reliable, they would have been definitely formidable, for their bowling was exceptional and very few of their opponents made many runs against them. Last year I wrote of Raper as a young man of promise, and that promise has now been well fulfilled. Tall and with a high

action, he could swing the ball either way and keep a length: a record of fifty-four wickets for eleven runs apiece speaks for itself, and when I add that he was an effective and hard hitting batsman, averaging over 30, one begins to wonder why he did not appear in one of the trial games at Lord's. Brown, quite young, was another all-rounder of high promise who actually headed both the average tables; the third regular bowler was Rocyn-Jones, a left-hander, who improved steadily. Apart from the two young 'stars,' Smith, a capital first-wicket batsman, only needed a little more restraint, and Green, his partner, was an attractive player to watch.

Considering their resources I find Leys' record in school matches rather disappointing: they annihilated Oundle and Felsted, but lost to U.C.S., Bedford and St. Paul's. In this last game, however, they ran that fine side close, allowing them to make no more than 120. In their club fixtures they did excellent performances at the expense of Incogniti and Old Leysians. With both Raper and Brown available this summer Leys have an invaluable nucleus, and I hope the authorities at Lord's will keep their eye on the form of

these two young players.

The report from Bedford expresses a certain disappointment that some of the old colours did not train on as well as had been hoped, but there is surely some satisfaction in a record of five wins against two losses out of ten games. Unfortunately the losses were both in school fixtures, Wellingborough beating them by 28 runs, and Dulwich in the thrilling tussle of which I have already spoken. St. Paul's outplayed them, though they could not quite force a win, but Bedford defeated both Levs and Merchant Taylors. The strength of the side lay in the bowling of Bovill, a fastish left-hander who swung the ball late and made real pace off the pitch. He was often most formidable with the new ball, and was probably unlucky not to find a place in some of the trial games at Lord's. At the other end Davison also did well, but of change bowling there was all too little. McVittie was the best batsman, with strokes and a very watchful defence; against Dulwich he played a really good innings; Stewart, too, was sound, and the captain, Kellett, if rather lacking in discretion, could play good forcing cricket. If the batting all through rather lacked quality, the later men had a cheerful way of coming to the rescue when things were going wrong. Altogether a fair average school side, with one really dangerous bowler.

Oundle had a young and inexperienced team; four of their early matches being scratched owing to the strike or the weather, they got a bad start and never subsequently ran into form. Their chief weakness was in bowling, but their batsmen too were unreliable and lacked the confidence and judgment that as a rule only experience can give. Franklin, who made a fine century against Northants. C. & G., came out with quite a good record and the two Johnsons scored fairly well, but there was a long tail. However, with the memory of Wykes, Newton and Mair still fresh in their minds, the men of Oundle will not be discouraged by one season's set-back. With a good fielding tradition and some considerable batting promise in the material remaining, I shall expect a better record this summer.

A line from St. Lawrence, Ramsgate, tells me that the school had a successful season, defeating all their school rivals. In Perfect they had a capital all-round cricketer who might become really good, and Harding, who is still quite young, may develop well as a left-hand slow bowler. I hear that the wickets at St. Lawrence are very fiery: as long as this is so, the school batting must remain hopelessly handicapped: young cricketers, especially, can only learn to bat on good practice wickets: surely a few eart-loads of

marl are worth the expense!

St. John's, Leatherhead, if they did not quite fulfil the hopes of their supporters, won two and lost only one of their five school matches. In their captain, Whittaker, they had a capital cricketer, a sound batsman, good medium-paced bowler with a high delivery, and a leader whose enthusiasm always kept his side on their toes. Largely as a result of his example, the eleven fielded splendidly all through the year, earning the warmest praise from visiting teams, and when such fielding exists there can be nothing wrong with the spirit of a school's cricket. Yonge was another good man in both departments, O'Brien, a steady slow-bowler, whilst of the 'new hands' Haworth, who will lead the side this year, showed promise as a batsman.

From Scotland my information is again fragmentary, a fact that I regret all the more, as cricket is obviously gaining steadily in popularity across the border. May I, then, appeal to the authorities at the Scottish schools to overcome their natural modesty and give a fuller account of themselves to Wisden at the end of the coming summer? In the schools championship the Academy finished first and I fancy well deserved their position, if only because they could boast in Tod the best school cricketer that Scotland has turned out for some few years. I was sorry that he was not rewarded by more success in return for his long journey South to play for "The Rest" at Lord's: but his selection for that match was a welldeserved compliment and he can look back to a splendid record in his four school seasons. During those years he has made 2,646 runs with an average of 42 and taken 148 wickets for under 14 apiece! Campbell of Fettes, and Munro of Glenalmond were other good allround players, and Milligan of Merchiston did well with the ball.

And now my task is over, but I cannot end without tendering to all and sundry of my correspondents thanks, habitual but none the less sincere, for the information without which this article could not be written. For the omissions of which I am sadly conscious I make apology, but plead with others to take the remedy that lies ready to their hands. With the memory of one of Mr. John Buchan's delightful essays fresh in my mind, I am not likely to forget that all too much history is written by "diligent hacks": my hope can be no more than to merit in some degree the epithet.

The following are reported to be the destinations of some members of last season's school elevens:—

			CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
		Oxford.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton		P. V. F. Cazalet	H. E. H. P. C. Hope
		C. K. H. Hill-Wood	M. H. De Zoete
		D. Lomax	L. Cecil
Harrow		N. M. Ford	A. Atha
		A. M. Crawley	G. E. M. Pennefath
			C. M. Andreae
Winchester		P. W. Milligan	A. D. Bonham-Carte
,, 1101101101		E. P. King	N. U. Coates
Rugby		A. G. Bradshaw	F. K. Roberts
rug of		H. L. Leedham-Green	C. G. Agnew
		R. B. Wingate	J. S. R. Reeve
		H. M. Gow	9. D. 10. 10ccvc
Marlborough		II. M. GOW	S. A. Block
mairborough			M. Robertson
			T. C. Worsley
			R. T. Fison
Cheltenham		D C IIl	F. Willcox
	•••	P. C. Hordern	F. WILLOX
Haileybury		M. Wordsworth	TIM O Theman
Clifton			F. M. S. Tegner
			R. C. Barnard
			F. D. Walker
Tonbridge		R. G. Saunders	T. L. Rowan
			R. D. Wallers
			W. H. Gabb
Repton		S. H. Sharpley	B. H. Valentine
		A. F. Abell	R. S. Piper
			A. J. McAlpine
			H. R. Griggs
Uppingham		R. I. F. McIntosh	E. S. Waring
THE STATE OF		C. H. Thornley	K. Wilkinson
Shrewsbury		P. S. Snow	R. M. Stow
		J. F. Longrigg	W. Murton
		A. C. E. Mutter	
Westminster		A. M. Shepley-Smith	M. F. L. Haynes
		S. Chapman	D. R. P. Mills
		1	

Charterhouse .	OXFORD. C. E. S. Gordon	Cambridge. J. T. Morgan J. C. Connell S. P. Jackson
Wellington .	R. C. Dobson N. J. Mordaunt	
St. Paul's .	H. M. Garland-Wells T. D. B. Kimpton	E. T. Killick
Dulwich .		L. R. Procter
Sherborne .	R. H. Kendall	R. V. Payne
Bradfield .	W. G. H. Crouch	R. S. Shaw
	I. C. Stuart	H. J. Sherren
		A. N. Breton
Brighton .	Not be a server of the second	C. de B. Barnard
	A C. A. C. Straff M. Straff M.	C. A. R. Lawrence
* Hart of Stone 1 of 1		V. H. Huntington
Christ's Hospital	A. C. Jones	A. Y. Cann
There I beautiful		E. G. Done

St. John's, Leatherhead P. R. K. Whitaker

To Woolwich.
Repton—R. H. Hewetson.
Malvern—V. C. Begg.
Christ's Hospital—J. A. Hasler.

To Sandhurst.
Winchester—H. E. Scott and E. H. Cadogan.
Cheltenham—Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham.
Repton—G. O. Peck.
Malvern—S. S. Fielden.
Uppingham—S. Enderby.
Shrewsbury—W. D. H. Duke.
Wellington—W. D. S. May.
Radley—J. F. Metcalfe.
Eastbourne—D. R. Skinner.

# PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1926.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Chellenham v. Haileybury, and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

EMMANUEL SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at Wandsworth, Saturday, May 15.—An easy win for Bedford Modern, due chiefly to the splendid all-round ability of Cowley.

To the state of th	
EMMANU   C. N. Stevens b Cowley   1   C. N. Stevens b Cowley   6   T. W. Bennett b Williams   1   T. H. Snelling b Cowley   20   A. J. Tinker b Cowley   33   E. W. Gomm b Cowley   0   L. M. Rawnsley c Ashwell b Shepherd   0	Byes, etc
C. H. Williams c Bennett b Gomm W. L. Davis c and b Page	A. W. Ryan not out

# EPSOM COLLEGE V. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at EPSOM, Wednesday, May with all their wickets intact.	, 19.—Drawn, Epsom bei	ing 162 benina
RING'S COLLEGE   P. R. Wood run out.   29	SCHOOL, WIMBLEFON. C. O. Liffen c Lewis b A. G. Cross st Leaning l J. T. Gilbert c Be Bevington B. G. Atkins not out Byes, etc.	b Bevington 31 owerman b
P. H. Nankivell not out	MLEGE. R. S. Lewis D. A. Cotman W. J. Leaning D. L. Rhys J. D. W. Pettigrew J. Piggott A. M. Reid C. R. A. Schofield	did not bat

E. W. Bevington

# UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Wednesday, May 19.—Rain caused this game to be given up as a draw. H. Taylor bowled finely for Mill Hill.

D. M. Llo	UNIVERSITY ams lbw, b Taylor byd c Carris b Taylor ams c Lawther b Taylor	7 2	D. F. Surfleet c Powel T. C. Rowney b Carri C. A. Fox b Taylor.	s (
	ace b Taylor	11	A. C. Moran c Lloyd-O	wen b Abden 1
C. M. Co.	lley b Taylor	0		
	owe not out	19		58
D. A. C.	Kelly c and b Carris	1		
		HILL		
H. Taylor	r not out	8	H. H. Pool	1
H. E. Ca	arris c B. Abrahams b		R. Zilka	1
L. Abr	ahams	0	T. H. B. Lawther	
A. P. Pov	well not out	3	S. Abden	did not bat.
			M. W. Lloyd-Owen	7
		11	G. W. Purchase	
			L. H. Collison	
			E. H. M. Grimsdell	J
			The state of the s	

### DULWICH COLLEGE v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, May 22.—At the close of a drawn game, Brighton were 69 behind with four batsmen to be disposed of.

DULWICH	
G. A. Rimbault c Green b Whitsed 35	C. Wellesley-Smith run out 33
T. M. P. Hughman c Hordern b	C. C. Suther c Whitsed b Green. 19
Bartlett	L. R. Procter not out 10
G. R. Musgrave c Green b Whitsed 34	H. A. Hardman b Evans 0
R. M. King b Evans 12	Byes, etc 12
G. E. Dewey b Evans 25	24 II 以 14 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
D. R. Wilcox lbw, b Evans 3	204
A. J. Sloan run out 3	
BRIGHTON	COLLEGE.
J. H. Green st Suther b Procter 6	W. H. A. Evans not out 2
C. de B. Barnard c Dewey b Procter 2	Byes, etc
C. A. R. Lawrence st Suther b	
Hughman 21	135
U. H. Huntington lbw, b Procter 47	J. A. P. Bartlett
P. C. Hordern b Procter 17	G. E. Bennett did not bat.
W. H. V. Levett not out 8	J. M. Whitsed
E. L. Taylor c and b Wellesley-	
Smith 15	

### UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at HAMPSTEAD, Saturday, May 22.— N. H. Trace (seven wickets for 6 runs) had all the Bedford batsmen in trouble, paving the way for a victory by 93 runs for University College School.

BEDFORD	MODERN SCHOOL.	
C. H. Williams b Abrahams	7   E. C. W. Sowman e and	
W. L. Davis lbw, b Moran		
H. H. Cowley c Abrahams b Trace		
L. G. Ashwell c Abrahams b Trace	0 R. Woodfine run out	1
H. R. Wratten c Moran b Trace	0 Byes, etc	6
C. H. J. Ward b Trace	0	- Delivere
D. C. Riddy c Abrahams b Trace	0	40

557

556 Public School	l Matches.
University Col.  L. Abrahams lbw, b Cowley. 12 D. M. Lloyd b Williams. 0 B. Abrahams b Williams. 2 N. H. Trace c Woodfine b Williams 3 D. W. Howe b Cowley 2 D. A. C. Kelly lbw, b Cowley 1 C. M. Colley b Sowman 19	LEGE SCHOOL.   4   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2
MERCHANT TAYLORS S Played at Bellingham, Monday, M in bowling of C. R. A. Schofield, Epsom	CHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.  fay 24.—Thanks largely to the success proved victorious by 67 runs.
MERCHANT TAYLOR	
EPSOM CO	OLLEGE.
R. S. Lewis e W. D. Heck b Dolthie 14 W. J. Leaning b Greenup. 2 D. A. Cotman c Walker b Greenup 19 P. H. Nankivell c and b Greenup. 11 E. R. M. Bowerman c Walker b H. D. Heck 3 J. D. W. Pettigrew b Dolthie 22 D. L. Rhys b Dolthie 22	J. Piggott c Dolthie b H. D. Heck 0 A. M. Reid c Greenup b Dolthie 0 C. R. A. Schofield not out 9 E. W. Bevington st Best b Greenup 6 Byes, etc. 11
end. G. L. Raphael bowled with deadly display of batting.	May 29.—Much too strong for their ts, increasing their lead to 90 before the effect and A. M. Crawley gave a stylish
Hon. R. N. Frankland lbw, b Raphael	D. I. Deakin c Clover-Brown b   Robinson   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1
	ROW.
A. M. Crawley c Jackson b Linton 96 C. Clover-Brown c Gordon b Branston 22 A. Atha c Huth b Connell 11 N. M. Ford c Morgan b Beare 45 C. M. Andreae b Morgan 5 K. R. M. Carlisle c Branston b Linton 27 G. E. M. Pennefather not out 6 Byes, etc 14	R. H. Palmer G. L. Raphael J. F. Robinson

#### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 29.—Excellent batting by A. P. Powell, followed up by the good bowling of H. Taylor, gave Mill Hill a win by 37 runs.

	LL SCHOOL.
H. E. Carris b Williams 21	G. W. Purchase lbw, b Williams 1
H. Taylor c and b Williams 7	S. Abden c Ward b Sowman 21
A. P. Powell not out	L. H. Collison not out 3
H. H. Pool Ibw, b Sowman 16	Byes, etc 20
R. Zilka run out 8	25,000,0000
M. W. Lloyd-Owen run out 12	184
F. H. B. Lawther c Shepherd b	E. H. M. Grimsdell did not bat.
	E. H. M. Grimsden and not pat.
Williams 2	
	ODERN SCHOOL.
C. H. Williams c Carris b Grimsdell 17	L. G. Ashwell run out 5
W. L. Davis b Taylor 0	C. H. J. Ward not out 38
H. H. Cowley c Lawther b Taylor 11	E. C. W. Sowman c Carris b Taylor 14
H. R. Wratten b Taylor 9	W. F. Russell b Carris 0
D. C. Riddy b Pool	Byes, etc 17
A. Riddiford c Lloyd-Owen b	2,00,000
	147
Taylor 3	147
D. H. Shepherd b Carris 5	

## BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at Berkhamsted, Saturday, May 29.—An easy win for University College by 87 runs, the Berkhamsted batting being poor.

BERKHAMS	STED SCHOOL.
P. C. Hawes c Lloyd b Trace 12	P. W. Bliss b Trace 0
R. D. Felton b Trace 5	T. G. L. Gale b L. Abrahams 0
F. L. Fletcher lbw, b Howe 1	L. R. S. Cork b L. Abrahams 8
G. F. Carter b Howe 9	R. W. Finnemore not out 0
H. J. Timberlake c B. Abrahams b	Byes, etc
Howe 1	The second of th
B. R. Perry b Moran 16	80
M. Jelley Ibw, b Trace 14	A REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
	OLLEGE SCHOOL.
D. M. Lloyd b Bliss 19	A. C. Moran lbw, b Finnemore 0
L. Abrahams b Perry 49	B. Abrahams b Finnemore 8
C. A. Fox lbw, b Cork 2	D. F. Surfleet run out 7
N. H. Trace b Finnemore 23	T. C. Rowney not out 4
C. M. Colley c Fletcher b Cork. 13	Byes, etc 20
D. W. Howe run out 9	2500, 000, 111111111111111111111111111111
D. A. C. Kelly lbw, b Perry 13	167

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, May 29.—Good hitting by S. A. Block and several of the later batsmen helped Marlborough to a big total, and stern batting by W. D. S. May for Wellington could not prevent the visiting College winning by 141 runs.

MARLBOROUGH	COLLEGE.
S. A. Block b Hamilton 72	M. H. Thornton c Hamilton b
T. C. Worsley b May 2	Madden 60
J. S. M. Paul b May 4	G. W. Finch c Slater b May 48
M. Robertson b May	R. H. Neilson b May 27
J. F. Anderson b Haigh 5	R. J. Marley not out 9
R. Y. Fison run out 0	Byes, etc
R. K. Page run out 23	
	283

103

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

J. Q. Wood b Marley       7         A. A. Benn st Worsley b Page       30         C. C. Garthwaite b Marley       4         W. D. S. May c Marley b Thornton 62       J. F. Dumiord-Slater st Worsley b         Page       0         G. F. Haigh b Marley       0         A. C, Hamilton b Thornton       27	P. F. Garthwaite b Block
Page 0 G. F. Haigh b Marley 0	amente

# UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at UPPINGHAM, Wednesday, Thursday, June 2, 3.—No play took place on the first day owing to bad weather, the match resulting in a draw. Good batting displays were given by M. Wordsworth and F. I. W. Stewart, for Haileybury, and D. S. Oscroft and S. Enderby, for Uppingham.

#### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

M. Wordsworth c Oscroft b Brims 56 P. G. Barber b McIntosh. 2 C. S. Catlow b Wilkinson. 0 F. I. W. Stewart c Perkins b Wilkinson. 68 L. B. Neame c and b McIntosh. 9 B. G. Wennink c Perkins b McIntosh 0 F. M. Heywood c Waring b Wilkinson. 5	H. V. Rhodes b McIntosh. 0 H. Eastwood b Wilkinson. 0 K. Johnson c Waring b McIntosh G. B. Harvey not out. 7 Byes, etc. 15
UPPINGHAM	SCHOOL
C. H. Thornley c Rhodes b Eastwood	R. I. F. McIntosh K. Wilkinson E. Brims M. F. Hurdle
210	

### WINCHESTER v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 3.—Drawn, Winchester, for whom P. G. T. Kingsley batted in most attractive style, having the best of matters. Mariborough, with one wicket to fall, were 44 behind when stumps were drawn.

16
00
.09
0
18
34
14
7

WING	CHESTER.
16	H. E. Scott run out
109	A. M. Tew run out
0 18	P. N. Townsend not out 0 Byes, etc
34	231
3 14	202

# Мартроронди

MAKLD	OKOUGH.
S. A. Block c Fleming b Scott	G. W. Finch c B-Carter b Tew. 25 R. H. Neilson not out. 35 T. L. Hughes b Tew. 3 R. J. Marley not out. 1 Byes, etc. 9
R. K. Page lbw, b Townsend 3 R. Y. Fison b Scott 7 M. H. Thornton c B-Carter b	187

# SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at STERBORNE, Thursday, June 3.—Uneven batting marked this match, which Westminster won by 52 runs, though A. M. Shepley-Smith and D. R. P. Mills showed good form.

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

A. M. Shepley-Smith c a H. L. Jones b Moberly. D. R. P. Mills c Eglingt M. F. L. Haymes b Mobe J. A. Cook c and b Moberly D. A. Bompas not out. N. L. Foster c Eglington M. G. Stratford lbw, b	0 — c Hiffe b Moberly. 3 on b Kreyer. 54 — c Hodgkinson b Kreyer. 15 orly. 5 — c Kreyer b Moberly. 22 orly. 4 — lbw, b Moberly. 0 b Hiffe 5 — b Moberly 4 10 — c Hodgkinson b Payne. 10 b Payne. 9 — c Knight b Kreyer. 29 Payne. 1 — b Payne. 0
A. Clare c Eglington b	
S. Chapman b Moberly.	4 — not out 4
Byes, etc	4 Byes, etc 11
	155
	SHERBORNE SCHOOL.
R. Eglington lbw, b Fost	
R. H. Kendal c Foster b	Chapman / It. V. Taylor Chapman
D. A. Hodgkinson b Hay	ymes 30
E. Knight b Chapman	R. G. Kreyer c Shepley-Smith b
P. G. Merriman c Haymes	s b Foster 0 Foster 6
I. D. M. Wilson c	

# TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Stratford .....

C. M. G. Moberly b Jones...... 17

Played at Tonbridge, Friday, Saturday, June 4, 5.—The bowling of E. J. G. Tucker (twelve wickets for 45 runs) proved too good for the Lancing batsmen, Tonbridge winning by an innings and 53 runs.

#### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL

P. N. Miller b Davis	T. L. Rowan c Vassar-Smith b Davis. 42 D. S. Clarke c and b Mount. 11 E. J. G. Tucker not out. 14 M. W. Smith st Quill b Davis. 5 Byes, etc. 16
G. A. Hill C vassar-Simen b Mount 12	223

LANCING CO		
A. R. D'A. Mount c Hill b Smith	2 — b Tucker	
J. H. H. Salaman c Baiss b Tucker	9 — c Wallers b Tucker	
D. O'Neill b Tucker	13 — b Tucker	
J. R. Willis b Tucker	5 — c Clarke b Smith	
E. Cawston st Clarke b Rowan	3 — lbw, b Tucker	
M. G. Harrison b Tucker	5 — b Tucker	
G. A. K. Collins c Rowan b Baiss	8 — st Clarke b Baiss	
B. H. S. Davis b Tucker	0 — run out	
R. R. Vassar-Smith b Tucker	12 — st Clarke b Baiss	
J. N. Bewsey b Tucker	1 — lbw, b Baiss	
A S N K O'S. Quill not out	7 — not out	
Byes, etc	17 Byes, etc	8
	program (	
	82	88

# BEDFORD SCHOOL V. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 5.—The Merchant Taylors' batsmen failed badly before the bowling of E. M. Bovill, and Bedford won by 92 runs.

BEDFORD	SCHOOL.
R. D. Stewart c W. D. Heck b	T. Brown c Dothie b Greenup 6
Walker 32	N. F. Reed not out 5
C. A. B. McVittie c Whicher b Greer 30	F. O. G. Long b Walker 16
W. A. Sime lbw, b Greer 0	E. M. Bovill b Walker 2
E. F. Davison c Dothie b Greer. 1	Byes, etc 20
R. Kellett b Greenup 30	
T. P. Moll run out 0	145
A. Norris c H. D. Heck b Walker 3	
MERCHANT TAY	LORS SCHOOL.
D. K. Walker b Bovill 7	P. J. G. Best b Bovill 4
E. N. Greer b Bovill 0	A. H. P. Gashell b Bovill 4
B. W. Greenup c Kellett b Bovill 0	E. T. Goulding not out 7
D. M. Parry c Noll b Davidson 2	J. R. Dothie b Long 6
W. D. Heck b Bovill 5	Byes, etc 6
A. C. Whicher b Bovill 1	
H. D. Heck c Norris b Kellet 11	53

# DOWNSIDE SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Saturday, June 5.—Downside batted inconsistently, and Sherborne won with little difficulty by 84 runs. P. G. Merriman showed admirable form.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

R. Eglington b Pettit 32	J. R. Anderson run out 3
R. H. Kendal lbw, b H-Gordon 4	R. V. Payne b Turnbull 12
D. A. Hodgkinson b Weld 16	E. L. Iliffe st Sholto b Weld 4
E. Knight c Sholto b Pettit 21	R. G. Kreyer not out 4
P. G. Merriman b Turnbull101	Byes, etc 23
I. D. M. Wilson c Markes b Pettit 34	Account to the second s
C. M. G. Moberly not out 19	*273
* Innings de	clared closed.
DOWNSIDE	SCHOOL.
J. B. Radcliffe b Payne 5	R. R. Sholto lbw, b Payne 15
P. H. Glass b Payne	C. C. Markes b Kreyer 5
H. F. Cronin lbw, b Payne 4	A. Macmillan b Payne 0
G. R. Hamilton-Gordon c Kreyer b	G. J. Pettit not out 3
Payne 7	Byes, etc 16
E. H. Weld b Moberly 86	Marin
P. K. Geoghegan c Kreyer b Payne 5	189
C. B. Turnbulle Knight b Anderson 32	

# WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, June 5.—Wellington won readily enough, but only A. C. Hamilton and J. F. Dumford-Slater met with real success against the bowling of S. Chapman (four wickets for 24 runs) for Westminster.

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

A. A. Benn run out	J. F. Dumford-Slater c S-Smith b Stratford
WESTMINSTEI	R SCHOOL.
A. M. Shepley-Smith c D-Slater b May M. F. L. Haymes b Hamilton D. R. P. Mills c Corbett b P. F. Garthwaite J. A. Cook b P. F. Garthwaite J. A. Cook b P. F. Garthwaite R. J. Gardiner st Corbett b P. F. Garthwaite R. G. A. Mordaunt c C. C. Garthwaite b P. F. Garthwaite D. A. Bompas not out N. L. Foster c Madden b P. F. Garthwaite M. G. Stratford b May A. Clare b May S. Chapman b May Byes, etc.	7 — st Corbett b Madden
27,00,000	general Section .
	78 122

# ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at Aldenham, Saturday, June 5.—Aldenham won a game of many batting failures by 5 runs. For Highgate, G. M. May took fifteen wickets for 40 runs.

#### ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

	C. R. Walmsley b May	c Pilcher b May 13 b May 3 b Stuart 1 c Lushington b May 0 b May 6 not out 4 Byes, etc. 2	
--	----------------------	---	--

#### HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. L. Stuart lbw, b Heaton E. W. W. Cunningham lbw, b C. R.	5 — b Heaton	5
Walmsley	2 - b C. R. Walmsley	0
D. A. Goodrich c Shearer b Heaton	2 — b C. R. Walmsley	4
W. Bray b C. R. Walmsley	1 — c Shearer b C. R. Walmsley	1
P. C. Carter b C. R. Walmsley	0 — b Heaton	
G. M. May c Tours b C. R. Walmsley	6 — b Heaton	3
J. W. Luck b C. R. Walmsley	4 — b C. R. Walmsley	5
G. F. Lushington b Heaton	3 — lbw, b Heaton	6
W. M. Webster not out		
M. Ward b Heaton	1 — run out	2
A. B. Pilcher b Heaton		0
Byes, etc	3 Byes, etc	7
	-	
	49	35

# KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM V. DENSTONE COLLEGE.

Played at BIRMINGHAM, Saturday, June 5.—Great all-round play by C. W. Timmis, who dismissed thirteen King Edward's batsmen for 34 runs, brought victory to Denstone by an innings and 68 runs.

#### KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

W. E. Sandbach b Preece 0	— c Lloyd b Timmis	44
R. A. Cohen c and b Preece 5	— b Timmis	13
	— lbw, b Timmis	
	- st Hart b Timmis	
	— b Timmis	2
		0
	— b Timmis	4
D. A. Hougham c Hart b Bluett 10	- c and b Timmis	0
A. Smith b Bluett 5	- run out	0
	— b Timmis	2
E. J. Long b Bluett 1	— c Lloyd b Preece	0
J. O. Middleton not out 1	— not out	0
Byes, etc 8	Byes, etc	5
	-v,	
Chicago .		-
41		75

#### DENSTONE COLLEGE.

J. Preece c Dudley b Raine 1 P. F. Bluett c Smith b Hougham 0	J. T. Marshall not out
C. W. Timmis b Raine	Byes, etc 1
T. N. Hart lbw, b Sutton 2	*184
H. N. Place lbw, b Sutton 2 A. H. Lloyd c Smith b Long 26	B. Cooke B. L. Leeson did not bat

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

#### BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at BRIGHTON, Saturday, June 5.—Fine batting by C. de B. Barnard and W. H. V. Levett, who put on 128 without being parted, followed by effective bowling on the part of J. H. Green (six wickets for 33 runs) enabled Brighton to win by 117 runs.

#### BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

J. H. Green lbw, b Schofield C. de B. Barnard not out. C. A. B. Lawrence b Cotman U. H. Huntington c Bowerman b Schofield P. C. Hordern b Schofield. W. H. V. Levett not out. Byes, etc.	100 E. L. Taylor 9 C. Odgers G. V. D. Davy 10 J. M. Whitsed 7 42 11	did not bat.
	182	

#### EPSOM COLLEGE.

EPSOM	COLLEGE.	
R. S. Lewis c Odgers b Green 9 W. J. Leaning st Levett b Evans 2 D. A. Cotman b Evans 0 P. H. Nankivell st Levett b Green 0 E. R. M. Bowerman st Levett b Green 3 J. D. W. Pettigrew c Green b Whitsed	MA SET SEC. DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRES	8

#### CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL V. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at GROVE PARK, Saturday, June 5. An easy victory for Whitgift by six wickets. K. H. Virley bowled in irresistible form.

#### CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

CITI OF LONDON	SURUUL.	
D. W. Wakeford c Ling b Virley. 7 B. B. Cohen b Peart. 1 A. A. E. Moore not out 24 G. L. M. Tippett c Sturges b Virley 3 B. J. Garrett c Stutchbury b Virley 4 J. F. Clarke b Goodwin. 3 R. G. W. Ross c Goodwin b Virley 13	H. N. Hoather b Virley       2         L. T. Phillips lbw, b Peart       3         V. E. Joslin b Peart       1         G. F. Clark b Virley       0         Byes, etc       11	

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

11 1122012	TT CITE	CALLETTE COLLOGIA		
G. P. Goodwin b Garrett. C. A. J. Gage b Garrett. F. R. Ewing not out. H. H. Peart b Tippett. G. F. Pullinger b Tippett. J. E. Cox not out.	8 12 26 0	K. H. Virley N. M. Ling H. G. Sturges W. J. Stutchbury F. S. Thompson	1	did not bat.
Byes, etc.	1			
	79			

# ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, June 5.—A close struggle, St. John's
winning by 13 runs.
KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLE ON.
P. R. Wood lbw b Whitaker 21   C. O. Liffen b O'Brien 10

A. J. Gale b O'Brien	R. L. Smart b Whitaker 5 R. Coe lbw, b Whitaker 3 P. M. Zabell not out 0
R. E. C. Goldsmith c Dunbar b	Byes, etc 3
Yonge	93
St. John's Sc	HOOL, LEATHERHEAD.
P. R. K. Whitaker b Gale 0	W. G. O'Brien run out 25
S. V. Lloyd-Rees run out 38	G. A. C. Dunbar not out 2
G. W. Pink st Liffen b Goldsmith 17	C. E. Neep b Gale 2
J. H. C. Cowgill hit wkt., b	G. A. Barker c Goldsmith b Gale 12
Goldsmith 3	Byes, etc 1
W. J. L. Haworth b Blackman 2	
R. U. Yonge c and b Gale 1	106

#### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. REPTON SCHOOL.

R. U. Yonge c and b Gale..... 1
R. A. S. Farnfield lbw, b Gale.... 3

Played at SHREWSBURY, Tuesday, June 8.—A narrow escape for Repton, who at the close were still 61 behind with only one wicket standing.

SHREWSBURY	y School,
J. F. Longrigg st Vaughan b       8         Wilson 8       8         K. W. Leach Ibw, b Wilson 32       32         P. H. C. Staples c Vaughan b Peck 25       25         D. N. Moore c Piper b Peck 26       26         P. S. Snow c Valentine b Peck 9       9         R. M. Stow b Peck 50       50         W. D. H. Duke c Piper b Peck 0       0	D. C. G. Raikes st Vaughan b Wilson
REPTON S	SCHOOL.

W. D. H. Duke c Piper b Peck 0	
W. D. H. Duke of their block	191
REPTON	
B. H. Valentine st Murton b Snow 3	C. J. Wilson c Leach b Moore 7
A. F. Abell c Duke b Mutter 3	S. H. Sharpley c Leach b Snow 21
H. R. Griggs b Snow 10	R. T. Vaughan not out 22
R. H. C. Human lbw, b Moore 30	D. S. Piper not out 2
A. J. McAlpine c Duke b Snow 3	Byes, etc 5
R. H. Hewetson c Sover b Snow 3	arrow .
G. O. Peck c Leach b Mutter 21	130

#### EASTBOURNE COLLEGE V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at EASTBOURNE, Wednesday, June 9.—Spoiled by rain, this match was given up as a draw, Christ's Hospital being 74 behind with two men out.

EASTBOURNE	
F. W. Binge run out 0	D. R. Skinner lbw, b Hasler 23
G. F. Branch b Black 5	
B. G. W. Bowyer b Hasler 9	H. D. White c Undery b Hasler 9
A. W. Glossop c Done b Black 36	D. H. Duder not out 0
J. E. Graham b Hasler 0	Byes, etc 3
B. Parke c Bartlett b Seaman 6	-
J. A. H. Whitby c and b Seaman 0	130

#### CURIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL

UHRIST S	TIUDITIE	III BUILDUI.			
J. A. Hasler not out	5 8 8 0 12 1	C. D. Craig C. R. Black C. M. E. Seaman G. G. Done A. Bartlett	}	did not	bat.

# ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at CANTERBURY, Wednesday, June 9.—A win by 38 runs for King's for whom H. C. Honey took six wickets for 20.

### KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Symonds 23 G. C. Valder run out 4	C. G. A. Paris c Phair b Symonds J. O. Green c Roots b Symonds H. C. Honey c Smith b Phair D. G. Whittall not out Byes, etc.	0 0
R. A. W. Binny b Symonds 11	OOK CLAMMED DUDY	

ST. EDMUND'S	SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.
G. E. Aisbitt b Honey	E. M. Phair lbw, b Honey. 14  R. G. Roots b Honey. 5  C. B. David not out. 0  Byes, etc. 1

# GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL v. ST. BEES SCHOOL.

Played at GIGGLESWICK, Wednesday, Thursday, June 9, 10.—W. J. Clark (seven wickets for 38) had all the St. Bees batsmen in trouble, and Giggleswick won by 50 runs.

	GIGGL	ESWICK	SCHOOL.
V. A. Law b	Udall	13	T. G. Lumb
	b Coombe	27	F. M. Clayte

W. A. Law b Udall	F. M. Clayton not out
St. Bees R. E. H. Cookson b W. J. Clark. 0	SCHOOL.  E. J. H. Burnett b Sidebottom 5

R. E. H. Cookson b W. J. Clark B. A. Ferns b W. J. Clark	2	E. J. 1 W. G.
J. T. Crawford c Catton b W. J.		G. H.
Clark	19	W. H.
F. E. Webster c and b Sidebottom	19	B
J. P. Blaiklock c Cartwright b W. J.		
Clark	19	
T. Colver hit wkt., b W. J. Clark	0	
T. COIVEI III WKU., D W. J. CAMIN	7	
F. C. Scorah run out	7	

J. H. Burn G. Bannist								
H. Coombe	b V	N.	J.	Cl	ar	k		
. H. Udall								
Byes, etc.		• • •		٠.				•

c Bannister h Coombe 7

#### CHARTERHOUSE V. WINCHESTER.

Played at GODALMING, Thursday, June 10.—On a wicket which helped bowlers in this drawn game. batting failures were plentiful. Charterhouse, with half their wickets down, finished up 57 behind.

#### WINCHESTER.

J. A. K. Fleming c and b Jackson 34 P. G. T. Kingsley b Branston 1 R. L. Micklem c Jackson b Morgan 2 P. J. W. Milligan b Morgan 7 R. S. G. Scott c Beare b Morgan 1 E. P. King b Connell 18 A. D. Bonham-Carter c Connell b Morgan 11	H. E. Scott b Morgan 2 R. S. Walker c Beare b Morgan 2 A. M. Tew not out 7 P. N. Townsend c Weatherall b Jackson 2 Byes, etc. 2 94

#### CHARTERHOUSE.

CH.	ARTEI	RHOUSE.	
H. E. Weatherall b H. E. Scott. J. E. F. Linton c Bonham-Carter b H. E. Scott. C. E. S. Gordon lbw, b Townsend T. A. Pearce b H. E. Scott. R. B. Beare not out. J. T. Morgan lbw, b Townsend. I. C. Connell not out. Byes, etc.	18 0 2 2 3 1 3 8 37	D. I. Deakin G. P. Jackson P. J. Branston P. Huth	did not bat

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD

Played at Cranleigh, Friday, June 11.—The steadiness of G. W. Plnk saved St. John's from complete collapse. At the close of a drawn game they were 93 behind with seven men out.

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

S. R. Peatfield c Barker b O'Brian H. H. L. Lambert not out D. H. Morrison-Jones c Whitaker b		H. A. Bellini not out	
O'Brian	0	*176	;
H. R. Heard c and b Whitaker. R. H. S. Hatton c Cowgill b Yonge I. H. Cameron lbw, b O'Brian. H. J. West c Farnfield b Whitaker	26	E. R. J. Leggett J. B. Bamford E. Hodges  did not bat.	

#### \* Innings declared closed.

#### ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

S. V. Lloyd-Rees b Hodges 0 R. U. Yonge b Hodges 4 G. W. Pink not out 37 J. H. C. Cowgill c and b Hodges 4	C. E. Neep lbw, b Hodges. 1 R. A. S. Farnfield not ot . 1 Byes, etc. 12	
W. J. L. Haworth run out 0 P. R. K. Whitaker c and b Leggett 12 W. G. O'Brien c Cameron b Heard 12	G. A. Barker G. A. C. Dunbar did not bat.	0000

#### CLIFTON COLLEGE v. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, June 11, 12.—Clifton, for whom F. M. S. Tegner and N. E. White showed excellent form in batting, took the honours in a drawn game. J. F. Connolly and C. G. Agnew played well for Rugby.

#### CLIFTON COLLEGE.

F. D. Walker c and b Bradshaw 5 — c Greenwood b L-Green 10 F. M. S. Tegner c Reeve b Connolly 73 — c and b Connolly 12 — c Monkhouse b L-Green 20 R. C. Barnard run out 27 — c L-Green b Wingate 0 W. C. Carr c and b Bradshaw 4 — c Greenwood b L-Green 0 N. E. White c Greenwood b Bradshaw 9 — not out 55 A. M. Tilly st Roberts b L-Green 18 — c Bradshaw b L-Green 55 A. M. Tilly st Roberts b L-Green 18 — c Bradshaw b L-Green 65 X. W. Burton c Connolly b Bradshaw 4 — c Monkhouse b Connolly 4 R. C. Arendt c and b Agnew 3 X. G. H. Whittington not out 6 N. M. Bell b L-Green 1 Byes, etc. 14 Byes, etc. 5	
RUGBY SCHOOL.	
J. M. Monkhouse c and b R. E. Holford not out	

J. M. Monkhouse c and b Whittington 0 R. B. Wingate c Tegner b Whittington 0 J. F. Connolly c W. Carr b Barnard 45 J. S. R. Reeve c Tegner b Barnard 12 A. S. Bradshaw run out 11 G. V. F. Dawson c Barnard b Bell 5 C. G. Agnew run out 34	R. E. Holford not out

### MARLBOROUGH v. CHELTENHAM.

Played at Marlborough, Friday, Saturday, June 11, 12.—This match was completely ruined by wretchedly wet weather. C. M. N. Bater and W. F. H. Kempster showed sound form in giving Cheltenham a good start.

#### CHELTENHAM.

C. M. N. Baker c Worsley b Marley W. F. H. Kempster lbw, b Neilson	39 H	. N. E. Alston l	Page b Marley 14 Page 22
Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham c Page b	T.		
Marley	2	Byes, etc	9
R. J. Woollatt b Page			Outered
E. M. Wellings c Page b Neilson	2		179
R. P. Edwards c Thornton b	L	B. S. Colbeck	did not bat.
Neilson	1		
E. W. Lovegrove c Page b Marley	4		6

#### MARLBOROUGH.

S. A. Block, T. C. Worsley, M. H. Thornton, R. H. Nellson, J. S. M. Paul, G. W. Finch, R. J. Marley, M. Robertson, R. Y. Fison, R. K. Page, and T. L. Hughes.

#### CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

Played at Horsham, Saturday, June 12.—Christ's Hospital had all the best of the match, but just failed to win, Merchant Taylors, with arrears of 94, having a wicket in hand.

#### CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Chaisi's Hosri	TAL SUBUUL.	
J. A. Hasler c W. D. Heck b       31         Goulding	T. D. Craig A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones A. Bartlett C. P. Organ E. G. Done	did not bat.

#### \*Innings declared closed.

#### MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

D. K. Walker st Undery b Black 2	P. J. G. Best c and b Hasler
E. N. Greer b Hasler 0	E. T. Goulding not out (
H. D. Heck c Osborne b Done 4	A. H. P. Gashell st Undery b
D. M. Parry st Undery b Hasler 12	Black
W. D. Heck c Osborne b Black. 2	J. R. Dothie not out (
B. W. Greenup b Hasler 4	Byes, etc
A. C. Whicher c Craig b Hasler 0	- Common
	36

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, June 12.—Wellington won a closely-contested match by 18 runs.

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

A. A. Benn b Branston 6 J. Q. Wood c Jackson b Morgan 8 C. C. Garthwaite b Morgan 7 W. D. S. May c Pearce b Morgan 28 B. J. G. Madden b Morgan 5 A. C. Hamilton c Pearce b Connell 1 J. F. Dumford-Slater b Morgan 0	N. J. Mordaunt c Connell b   Jackson   8   P. F. Garthwaite c Huth b   Jackson   0   U. Corbett b Morgan   5   H. C. B. Berens not out   0   Byes, etc.   9
Charterhou	77
CHARIERHOU	
H. E. Weatherell b May	D. I. Deakin Ibw, b Madden 0 P. Huth Ibw, b Madden 0 P. J. Branston b May 1 G. P. Jackson not out 1 Byes, etc. 7 59

 ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, v. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL,

Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, June 12.—St. Lawrence won easily by ten wickets, and subsequently, for the loss of eight wickets, gained a lead of 154 runs.

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL	, CANTERBURY,
G. E. Aisbitt run out 6	E. M. Phair c Burgess b Arthur 0
V. H. Dale b Perfect	A. F. Smith b Perfect 17
A. G. Collis c Hinge b Harding. 1	R. G. Roots c and b Harding 1
W. E. Image b Perfect 3	C. B. David not out 0
A. Kirconnell b Harding 1	Byes, etc 7
A. W. Symonds b Harding 1	
B. N. Matson b Harding 13	65
ST. LAWRENCE	E COLLEGE.
H. R. Hinge c Symonds b Roots 42	A. G. Arthur not out 33
R. Perfect c Phair b Roots 61	H. W. Harding b Smith 13
J. F. Alston lbw, b Nisbitt 37	T. A. Burgess not out 8
H. J. Linnell c and b Smith 4	Byes, etc 5
G. T. Hindley c Matson b Roots 1	-
H. L. Salmon run out 15	219
B. M. Bird c Matson b Kirconnell 0	F. W. Houghton did not bat.
Water and the second of the se	The second second second second second second second

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

Played at STERBORNE Tuesday, June 15.—Admirable batting by R. Eglington, C. M. G. Moberly and D. A. Hodgkinson enabled Sherborne declare with only two men out, but H. G. L. Harvey saved the game for Blundell's, who at the close were 96 behind, with three wickets standing.

SIERBORNE SCROOL

R. Eglington lbw, b Blackmore. 140 C. M. G. Moberly b Phillips 64 D. A. Hodgkinson not out	E. Knight P. G. Merriman R. H. Gladden I. D. M. Wilson J. R. Anderson R. V. Payne E. L. Iliffe	
Drumberi	T'a Sanoat	

inings deciment crosses.	and the second
BLUNDELI	's School.
E. T. Benson c Hiffe b Payne 2	P. H. Scoones lbw, b Moberly 1
N. C. Phillips b Moberly 3	G. P. M. Blackmore not out 11
J. W. Stephens b Kreyer 33	Byes, etc 25
L. G. Newton b Payne 0	N M O
C. G. Stowell b Iliffe 28	176
C. L. Ashford b Kreyer 0	R. H. S. Bickham   did not bat.
H. G. L. Harvey not out 73	A. J. C. Block
	The state of the s

#### KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, June 15.—Despite a great effort by H. L. Hill, for King's, St. Lawrence won easily, after declaring with five wickets down, by 131 runs. H. R. Hinge and R. Perfect showed splendid form in a big opening partnership.

ST. LAWRENCE	COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.	
H. R. Hinge c Taylor b Paris 96	B. M. Bird	
R. Perfect c Green b Whittall 120	A. G. Arthur	
J. F. Alston lbw, b Whittall 20	H. W. Harding   did not be	at.
H. L. Salmon c Kennedy b Whittall 2	T. A. Burgess	
G. T. Hindley not out 43	F. W. Houghton	
H. J. Linnell run out 13		
Byes, etc 9		
*303 😿	* Innings declared closed.	
- 3U3 <u>2</u>	Illings declared closed.	

Da	h	1:	 In	h.	00	1	M	in	toi	hes.

King's Sch	OOL, CANTERBURY.
J. A. D. Slater b Perfect.       34         G. C. Valder b Perfect.       0         A. C. Goold b Harding.       1         A. L. Hill b Harding.       83         B. Taylor b Perfect.       0         R. A. W. Binny b Burgess       6         G. O. Coreen b Perfect.       0	C. G. A. Paris not out

## WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at CROYD )N, Wednesday, June 16.—Remarkably fine batting by G. P. Goodwin and good bowling on the part of H. H. Peart just failed to bring victory to Whitgift.

WHITGIFT GRAN	IMAR SCHOOL:
G. P. Goodwin run out	D. W. Bottle not out. 6 Byes, etc. 11 *277
F. R. Ewing c and b Lewis	R. S. Clark W. J. Stutchbury F. S. Thompson
EPSOM (	COLLEGE.

Tillings de	ciared closed.
EPSOM  R. S. Lewis c Clark b Goodwin . 13  D. A. Cotman c and b Peart . 60  J. D. W. Pettigrew b Peart . 27  W. J. Leaning c and b Peart . 27  D. L. Rhys b Peart . 0  H. E. Carpenter lbw, b Peart . 7  G. A. Harrison c Cox b Virley . 12	D. B. Penman b Virley. 9

# HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Wednesday, June 16.—Consistent batting by Cranleigh helped them to success by 95 runs. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.
Played at Tiverton, Wednesday, June 16.— The steadiness of C. G.
Stowell, for Blundell's, robbed Downside of victory.

Downsid	
J. B. Radcliffe c and b Blackmore 45	G. J. Pettit st Benson b Phillips 41
P. H. Glass c Benson b Blackmore 2	P. K. Geoghegan lbw, b Phillips 10
E. H. Weld st Benson b Blackmore 4	R. R. Sholto c Block b Bickham 3
H. F. Cronin b Phillips 0	T. F. Arbuthnot not out 3
G. R. Hamilton-Gordon b Phillips 15	Byes, etc 7
C. B. Turnbull c Stephens b	
Phillips 10	163
C. C. Markes c Blackmore b Block 23	
BLUNDELI	L'S SIHOOL.
E. T. Benson b Pettit 10	P. H. Scoones b Gordon 0
J. W. Stephens c Markes b Pettit. 13	G. P. M. Blackmore b Pettit 4
L. G. Newton b Pettit 0	R. H. S. Bickham c and b Pettit 0
N. C. Phillips b Gordon 38	A. J. C. Block not out 0
C. G. Stowell not out 38	Byes, etc 7
H. G. L. Harvey b Turnbull 17	person.
C. L. Ashford b Gordon 5	132
And the second s	The state of the s

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON V. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL. Played at Wimble on, Wednesday, June 16.—King's College School, for whom P. R. Wood took five wickets for 43 runs, won by four wickets.

Berrhamsted School.

DERREAM	SIED SCHOOL.
R. D. Felton b Wood 8	B. R. Perry c Atkins b Wood 21
F. L. Fletcher run out	T. G. L. Gale c Atkins b Lidell. 18
H. J. Timberlake b Wood 0	L. R. S. Cork not out 10
G. F. Carter c Wood b Atkins 19	R. W. Finnemore lbw, b Wood 0
P. C. Hawes b Atkins 0	Byes, etc 2
T. C. Wall c Goldsmith b Wood 15	
M. Jellev c Liffen b Cant 8	118
KING'S COLLEGE	SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.
P. R. Wood c Jelley b Cork 19	A. G. Cross not out 18
R. E. C. Goldsmith b Finnemore 1	Byes, etc 15
F. L. Freeman b Finnemore 2	-
T. A. Q. Lidell b Wall 20	123
G. F. J. Coe b Perry 1	C. O. Liffen
R. L. Smart c Jelley b Cork 25	K. A. T. Cant did not bat.
K. A. Collyer not out 22	B. G. Atkins

REPTON SCHOOL V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at REPTON, Thursday, Friday, June 17, 18.—An exciting match went in favour of Repton by one wicket. C. J. Wilson had a big share in the success, batting well and securing six wickets for 31 runs in the Uppingham first innings

mmigs.	I American
UPPINGHAM	SCHOOL.
C. H. Thornley c Hewetson b Piper	4 — b Sharpley 20
D. S. Oscroft st Vaughan b Wilson	7 — c Sharpley b Piper 8
J. C. B. Perkins c Human b Wilson	12 — lbw, b Peck 3
S. Enderby c Human b Wilson	20 — b Wilson 30
J. C. Christopherson st Vaughan b Wilson	5 — b Wilson 0
L. M. T. Castle b Piper	6 — c Griggs b Peck 59
E. S. Waring c Griggs b Sharpley	11 — b Sharpley 25
R. I. F. McIntosh c Sharpley b Piper.	9 — b Wilson 30
K. Wilkinson c Hewetson b Wilson	4 — b Peck 14
E. Brims c Vaughan b Wilson	0 — run out 0
M. F. Hurdle not out	0 — not out 0
Byes, etc	6 Byes, etc 10
	84 199

Dynmous	On room
	SCHOOL.
B. H. Valentine c Enderby b Wilkinson	8 — c Castle b Wilkinson 3
A. F. Abell lbw, b McIntosh	3 — run out
H. R. Griggs c and b Wilkinson	8 — lbw, b Hurdle 16
R. H. C. Human b Wilkinson	0 — b McIntosh 34
A. J. McAlpine c Perkins b McIntosh	2 — run out 0
R. H. Hewetson c Waring b McIntosh	17 — c Waring b McIntosh 7
G. O. Peck b Brims	30 — c Castle b McIntosh 5
C. J. Wilson c Hurdle b McIntosh	24 — b McIntosh 17
S. H. Sharpley b Enderby	30 — c Perkins b Wilkinson 20
R. T. Vaughan b Wilkinson	1 — not out 0
D. S. Piper not out	5 — not out 3
Byes, etc	9 Byes, etc
	137

## SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL

Played at Sherborne, Friday, Saturday, June 18, 19.—Stronger at all points, Tonbridge won with little difficulty in an innings with 65 runs to spare. E. J. G. Tucker took seven wickets for 26 runs in the first innings, and H. M. O'M Holman five for 17 in the second innings of Sherhorne

o m. Holman live for 17 in the second infinings of Sherborne.	
SHERBORNE SCHOOL.       SHERBORNE SCHOOL.     SHERBORNE SCHOOL.     SHERBORNE SCHOOL.	3 0 4 2 3 1 4
R. G. Kreyer c Crawford b Holman 7 — run out	
E. L. Iliffe not out 0 — b Holman 0	0
Byes, etc	1
	-
52 84	4
TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.	
P. N. Miller b Kreyer 21 ( T. L. Rowan lbw, b Moberly 24	1
R. G. Saunders c Kreyer b Moberly 10 D. S. Clarke c Payne b Iliffe 34	1
H. W. Dods b Moberly	
H. M. O'M. Holman b Kreyer 0 Byes, etc	5
R. D. Wallers lbw, b Payne 17	1100

#### WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL V. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

201

W. H. Gabb not out..... 36

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, June 19.—The Bedford batsmen failed badly, and Whitgift won by 52 runs.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.	
C. H. Williams b Ewing	EERN SCHOOL.       26         L. G. Ashwell c Cox b Ewing.       26         H. A. Southgate b Peart.       2         D. H. Shepherd c Peart b       8         Ewing.       3         A. Riddiford not out.       1         Byes, etc.       3
H. H. Cowley b Peart 8	75

#### WHITGIRT GRAMMAR SCHOOL,

G. P. Goodwin lbw, b Williams. 24	H. G. Sturges run out 13
K. H. Virley b Cowley 8	D. W. Bottle b Cowley 6
J. E. Cox b Sowman 30	W. J. Stutchbury not out 5
H. H. Peart run out 4	F. S. Thompson c Wratten b
F. R. Ewing lbw, b Williams 0	Williams 4
G. F. Pullinger c Williams b	Byes, etc 9
Cowley 4	Marie
N. M. Ling b Cowley 20	127

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at Cranleigh, Saturday, June 19.—Mill Hill, after a bad start, won easily by 94 runs, thanks chiefly to a sound batting display by A. P. Powell and the excellent bowling of H. Taylor, who took eight wickets for 43 runs.

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL.

H. E. Carris c Heard b Leggett. 9 G. W. Purchase b Hodges 6 H. Taylor c and b Leggett. 0 A. P. Powell lbw, b Bellini 74 H. H. Pool b Hodges 23 R. Silka c Mundy b Hodges 0 S. Abden st Bamford b Bellini 11	T. H. Lawther c Bellini b Leggett 12 M. W. Lloyd-Owen b Hodges. 6 L. H. Collison not out 12 E. H. M. Grimsdell b Hodges 14 Byes, etc. 7 174
CRANLEIGH S. R. Peatfield lbw, b Taylor 12 J. G. Mundy c Purchase b Grimsdell 5 H. H. L. Lambert b Grimsdell 0 H. R. Heard b Taylor 2 R. H. S. Hatton c Carris b Taylor 0 I. H. Cameron c Powell b Taylor 5 H. A. Bellini b Taylor 21	I SCHOOL.  H. J. West b Taylor

#### WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at Wellingborough, Saturday, June 19 .-- An evenly-contested match, Wellingborough winning by 28 runs.

#### WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

G. G. Tebbitt run out	J. B. Gamble c McVitie b Davison 0 J. A. Dorr run out
Bedfori	SCHOOL.
R. D. Stewart lbw, b Burt 2 C. A. B. McVitie lbw, b Burt 0 E. F. Davison c Tebbitt b Casselton 24 W. A. Sime c Craddock b Dickson 5 R. Kellett b Gamble 26	T. Brown b Gamble       5         D. E. Tite not out       12         F. O. G. Long b Burt       5         E. M. Bovill b Gamble       7         Byes, etc       17

Distric	KD SOITSSIN
R. D. Stewart Ibw, b Burt.       2         C. A. B. McVitie Ibw, b Burt.       0         E. F. Davison c Tebbitt b Casselton       24         W. A. Sime c Craddock b Dickson       5         R. Kellett b Gamble       26         A. Norris b Burt       8         R. P. Mall c Hitchook b Gamble       8	T. Brown b Gamble D. E. Tite not out F. O. G. Long b Burt E. M. Bovill b Gamble Byes, etc.
P P Moll c Hitchcock b Gamble 7	1

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE. Played at GROVE PARK, Saturday, June 19.—City of London found the bowling of L. G. Garnett (seven wickets for 7 runs) far too much for them, and Hurstpierpoint won by 65 runs.		
	NDON SCHOOL.	
D. W. Wakrford b Garnett	H. N. Hoather not out   3     B. B. Marsh   b Garnett   0     V. E. Joslin   b Garnett   0     C. R. Macbeth run out   1     Byes, etc.   5     32	
Urragenter no	THE COLLEGE	
	INT COLLEGE.	
N. M. Porter lbw, b Moore.       6         S. L. Goatly run out.       7         E. F. Mitchell b Moore.       0         D. D. Largen lbw, b Tippet.       15         L. G. Garnett b Joslin.       12         J. L. Kirk b Garrett.       17         J. E. Stephens lbw, b Joslin       1	M. D. Rhoden b Hoather. 21 D. G. Piggott b Hoather. 1 E. G. Hindsley c Wakeford b Hoather	
OMONIVITIDOM COLLECTO	- DOGGATT COLLOOT	
STONYHURST COLLEGE v. ROSSALL SCHOOL. Played at STONYHURST, Saturday, June 19.—Stonyhurst won narrowly by 6 runs. A. McDowell batted nicely.		
	L SCHOOL.	
A. M. D. Whiteroft hit wkt., b H. S. de Caires	H. V. Jones b F. I. de Caires. 1 R. D. Lambert not out. 6 P. J. Fuller c Hickey b Smith. 6 P. J. Bubb b F. I. de Caires. 1 Byes, etc. 17	
A. S. Roncoroni c and b H. S. de Caires 8	141	
N. B. Smith c Cooper b F. I. de Caires	×	
de Caires	ST COLLEGE.	
T. Christie c Whiteroft b Smith 3		
	III OI OI MAINS O MILE O DIMPONITO	
D. C. Smith c and b Smith	D. P. Hickey not out	
M. T. Cooper b Roncoroni 2 A. McDowell c Fuller b Smith 62	Smith 0 Byes, etc	
J. C. Fletcher c Jones b Fuller 0	147	
	147	
FETTES COLLEGE v. TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND. Played at E^INBURGH, Saturday, June 19.—Drawn, Fettes finishing 12		
runs behind with two wickets to fall.	CLENALMOND	
H. R. Price b Duke * 9 H. R. Munro b J. T. Campbell 89 K. R. Pearson lbw, b Duke 1 R. Stewart b Duke 6 H. Cameron c Duke b Cumming 10 R. C. V. Ash c C. S. C pbell b Duke 2	F. GENALMOND.   G. G. M. Batchelor b Duke 5   J. Mitchell st C. S. Campbell b   J. T. Campbell 13   R. Stevenson c Cumming b Duke   3   G. G. Gulliver not out 3   Byes, etc.   9	
B. E. A. Pollard-Urquhart run out 3	153	

#### FETTES COLLEGE.

J. T. Campbell c Pearson b Cameron	N. M. S. McPherson c Ash b Stevenson
P. G. Cumming st Gulliver b Cameron	

# DOVER COLLEGE v. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at DOVER, Saturday, June 19.—Though batting inconsistently, Dover won by 72 runs, only Aisbitt, of the St. Edmund's side, showing ability to cope with the bowling of G. R. F. Jenney (seven wickets for 38 runs).

#### DOVER COLLEGE.

2501000	
G. R. F. Jenney c Aisbitt b Image 38 K. G. Bradford b Phair	D. E. Fox c Image b Roots
ST. EDMUND'S S	CHOOL, CANTERBURY.
V. H. Dale b Jenney	A. F. Smith lbw, b Falloon       2         E. M. Phair b Jenney       7         R. G. Roots b Jenney       2         C. B. David not out       1

W. E. Image lbw, b Falloon.... 6 A. Kirkconnel b Jenney..... 0

A. W. Symonds b Jenney.... 0 B. N. Matson lbw, b Falloon... 4

# FELSTED SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Felster, Saturday, June 19.—Aldenham had the best of the position in a drawn game, being only 23 runs behind with half their wickets in hand.

#### FELSTED SCHOOL.

T DEDITED	IC CARO CARO
W. L. Ogle b Walmsley 0 P. H. Phelps b Walmsley 2 A. N. Skelton c and b Gottschalk 50 H. F. Cresswell c Potts b Tours 17 D. Bowie c Shearer b Gottschalk 39 L. A. Powell c Shearer b Tours 8 R. J. Stuart-King b_Gottschalk 38	S. W. Behrend b Heaton

ALDENHA  J. G. Potts c Behrend b Norton. 29  E. D. R. Shearer c Stuart-King b Phelps 49  K. C. Tours retired hurt. 60  M. A. Nelson b Phelps 5  S. R. C. Walmsley run out 11  R. K. Saper b Bowie 3  Byes, etc. 10  167	M SCHOOL.  E. H. Hawkins C. R. Walmsley L. G. Gottschalk A. B. P. Heaton W. A. Leach-Lewis	did not bat.
---	--	--------------

#### TRENT COLLEGE v. OAKHAM SCHOOL,

Played at Long Eaton, Saturday, June 19.—Oakham, for whom M. H. Jones took four wickets for 6 runs, won by 90 runs.

TRENT COLLEGE.		
P. H. Keigwin run out 10		
C. C. Barker run out 0 — not out	20	
A. Sanders b Schwind	3	
J. L. Baker c Ryley b Jones 8 — c and b Sinker	0	
W. H. Walker lbw, b Allen 2		
S. W. Sidford b Jones		
H. R. Dorning c Ryley b Jones 3 — not out	2	
W. Burton lbw, b Allen 0		
E. J. S. Pairtt st Fisher b Jones 5		
Byes, etc 2 Byes, etc	4	
$\frac{\overline{76}}{76}$	39	
OAKHAM SCHOOL.		
J. M. A. Ennion b Barker 4 R. P. Fielders lbw, b Dorning	7	
R. G. Ryley lbw, b Keigwin 27 R. B. Marshall b Barker P. N. C. Sinker b Keigwin 10 W. J. B. Johnson not out	12 29	
M. H. Jones hit wkt., b Walker. 32 D. L. Atter b Dorning	16	
D. G. Allen c and b Keigwin 3 Byes, etc	18	
C. H. Schwind c Baker b Dorning 8		
T. S. R. Fisher c and b Keigwin. 0	166	

## RUGBY SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at RUGBY, Tuesday, June 22.—Uppingham won by 75 runs. D. S. Oscroft played a splendid innings, and R. I. F. McIntosh bowled effectively.

UPPINGHAM	r School.
C. H. Thornley c Monkhouse b  Agnew	R. I. F. McIntosh c Reeve b Bradshaw

F1000	7,010
RHODY	SOHOOT

J. S. R. Reeve b Hurdle 3	
R. B. Wingate c Christopherson b	McIntosh
McIntosh 3	31 H. L. Leedham-Green run out
J. M. Monkhouse c Castle b	L. C. Davies b McIntosh
Wilkinson	15 F. K. Roberts not out
C. G. Agnew b Wilkinson 4	40 Byes, etc
A. S. Bradshaw c Castle b	-
Wilkinson	0 168
G. V. F. Dawson c and b McIntosh	7
L. H. A. Hankey c Perkins b	
McIntosh 1	11

#### LANCING COLLEGE V. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

Played at Lancing, Tuesday, June 22.—J. R. Willis took eight wickets for 5 runs apiece for Lancing, who won by two wickets.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

F. W. Binge b Willis 54	B. Parke c Salaman b Willis 0
G. F. Branch b Willis 44	J. E. Graham c Quill b Willis 1
A. W. Glossop b Cawston 0	H. D. White b Forsyth 15
J. A. H. Whitby b Willis 10	D. H. Duder not out 2
B. G. W. Bowyer b Willis 9	Byes, etc
J. C. Corrie b Willis	
D. R. Skinner b Willis 5	178
LANCING	College.
A. R. D'A. Mount lbw, b Corrie. 45	A. S. N. K. O'S. Quill c Whitby b
J. H. H. Salaman lbw, b Parke 19	Bowyer 3
D. O'Neill b Corrie 23	H. W. Forsyth c and b Binge 3
J. R. Willis b Corrie 36	R. R. Vassar-Smith not out 0
E. Cawston run out 22	Byes, etc 17
B. H. S. Davis b Bowyer 5	2,00,000
G. A. K. Collins not out 7	180
	R. J. M. Simmonds did not bat.

### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at Vincent Square, Tuesday, June 22.—Malvern won by six wickets. Good batting by M. F. L. Haymes and N. L. Foster gave Westminster an excellent first innings total, which, thanks mainly to V. C. G. Parry and C. R. Acheson-Gray, Malvern just passed, but the School went down badly at the second attempt before the bowling of W. H. Bradshaw. Parry again played finely when Malvern went in a second time.

#### MALVERN COLLEGE.

J. L. Battersby lbw, b Paulson. V. C. G. Parry c Paulson b Stratford. G. D. Wedd b Paulson. L. H. T. Cliff c Mordaunt b Paulson. L. G. B. Ashroev Crown a Mordaunt b	55 16 0	- c Shepley-Smith b Stratford	57 29
G. R. Acheson-Gray c Mordaunt b Haymes . F. E. Blackstone b Gardiner . C. R. Tuff b Gardiner . C. R. Tuff b Gardiner . W. H. Bradshaw c Mills b Chapman . J. F. E. Short not out .	61 1 29 1 18 1 14	— c Foster b Stratford — not out	6
L. C. Nunneley c Gardiner b Stratford Byes, etc	4	Byes, etc.	Resembled to
	236		119

# EPSOM COLLEGE V. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at Epsom, Wednesday, June 23.—For their win by 34 runs, St. John's owed most to the sound batting of P. R. Whitaker.

#### EPSOM COLLEGE.

D. A. Cotman c Haworth b O'Brien W. J. Leaning c Cowgill b Whitaker 4 P. H. Nankivell c Farnfield b O'Brien	J. Piggott run out
R. U. Yonge run out	W. G. O'Brien c Leaning b Cotman G. A. Barker c Bowerman b Cotman O. E. Neep c and b Cotman R. A. S. Farnfield lbw, b Penman Byes, etc.  140

# CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Hors TAM, Wednesday, June 23.—An intensely keen struggle went in favour of Christ's Hospital by 2 runs. C. R. Black claimed seven Cranleigh wickets for 48 runs.

#### CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

A V Cann e Heard h Rellini 19	J. A. Hasler b Hodges	24 18 5 0 8	A. Bartlett c Bellini b Leggett. 26 C. P. Organ lbw, b Hodges. 11 C. M. E. Seaman b Bellini 4 E. G. Done not out. 0 Byes, etc. 26
-------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---

#### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

S. R. Peatfield c Jennings b Black	12	H. J. West c Undery b Black	4
H. H. L. Lambert c Cann b Organ	5		8
H. R. Heard lbw, b Hasler		E. Hodges e Organ b Black	5
E. R. J. Leggett c Seaman b Hasler		J. B. Bamford not out	1
H. A. Bellini lbw, b Black		Byes, etc 1	C
D. H. Morrison-Jones lbw, b Black		THE PARTY OF THE P	-
R. H. S. Hatton b Black	15	14	

#### DURHAM SCHOOL v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at DURHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 25, 26.—J. A. Adamson batted very well for Durham, who won by six wickets.

#### SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

W. M. L. Smethurst c Hobbis b Beardsell 12 — b Hobbis G. M. Crabbie b Smeddle. 1 — run out R. W. Skene b Beardsell. 46 — c Adamson b S J. D. Worthington b Beardsell. 4 — b M-Gordon J. E. Nicholls b Beardsell. 0 — c Grayrigge b A W. E. Mitchell-Innes c Barber b Hobbis 7 — run out J. F. A. Turner c Adamson b Hobbis 1 — b Smeddle R. M. Phillips c McLaren b M-Gordon. 12 — b Askew T. Connolly b Askew. 4 — not out W. Pearson not out. 5 — b Askew Byes, etc. 5 — b Byes, etc.	0 3meddle 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
in	88

#### DURHAM SCHOOL.

J. G. Askew lbw, b Skene 2 A. H. Barber b Pearson			
J. A. Adamson not out 5 W. F. Reid c Worthington b Pearson.		- not out	
F. Grayrigge c Crabbie b Connolly R. W. Smeddle b Connolly			
D. O. Hobbis b Pearson	0		
G. I. Mackinlay b Skene	0		1000
S. Beardsell st Worthington b Pearson	1		
Byes, etc.	8	Byes, etc 16	5
11	10	90	)

#### ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at WINCHESTER, Friday, Saturday, June 25, 26 .- As in the previous year, the game was drawn, Eton again having much the best of the position. Winchester, indeed, narrowly escaped defeat, for with only one wicket remaining they needed 114 runs for victory when stumps were drawn. In disposing of Eton for 76, Winchester seemed to have gained an advantage, but they fell short of even this moderate total, having arrears of 13. Whittaker, taking four wickets for 7 runs, had a big share in their downfall. Eton batted steadily when they went in again. Cecil and Hill-Wood showed admirable form in a partnership of 140, Cecil hitting six 4's. Left with 337 to get, Winchester made a plucky fight, but apart from Kingsley and King, they batted with little success.

ETON.

H. E. H. P. C. Hope c Walker b H. E.

Scott	6 — lbw, b Cadogan	35
P. V. F. Cazalet run out	17 — c Kingsley b Townsend	98
L. Cecil c Kingsley b H. E. Scott	3 — c Kingsley b Townsend	195
M. Ward b H. E. Scott	2 — b Cadogan	120
M. H. de Zoete b H. E. Scott		4
D. H. Studley Herbert c King b Tew	0 — c and b Townsend	1
G. M. Ganal China h. Thomas and	5 — lbw, b Townsend	6
G. N. Capel Cure b Townsend	25 — c Walker b Tew	29
D. Lomax c Kingsley b Tew	0 — b Townsend	0
C. K. H. Hill-Wood c Cadogan b Tew	2 — run out	58
R. C. C. Whittaker not out	10 — not out	8
D. W. A. W. Forbes b Tew	0 — c Kingsley b Townsend	8
Byes, etc	6 B 11, 1-b 2, w 4, n-b 4	21
		-
	76	323
WING	Authorist (Leidanti a Structurali 1 300	
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbas	Authorist (Leidanti a Structurali 1 300	11
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes	HESTER. 22 — b Forbes	14
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes	98
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes R. S. G. Scott b Forbes	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes	14 58 7
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes. R. S. G. Scott b Forbes. P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker.	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood	98 7 7
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes R. S. G. Scott b Forbes P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker. N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure	58 7 7 28
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes R. S. G. Scott b Forbes P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker. N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker E. P. King b Forbes	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood.  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure	58 7 7 28
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes. R. S. G. Scott b Forbes. P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker. N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker E. P. King b Forbes. H. E. Scott b Whittaker.	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker	58 7 7 28 50 4
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes R. S. G. Scott b Forbes P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker E. P. King b Forbes H. E. Scott b Whittaker E. H. Cadogan not out	#ESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  1 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker  11 — c Whittaker b Forbes	58 7 7 28 50 4 23
<ul> <li>L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes</li> <li>P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes</li> <li>R. S. G. Scott b Forbes</li> <li>P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker</li> <li>P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker</li> <li>E. P. King b Forbes</li> <li>H. E. Scott b Whittaker</li> <li>E. H. Cadogan not out</li> <li>R. S. Walker c Whittaker b Ward</li> </ul>	#ESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  1 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker  11 — c Whittaker b Forbes	58 7 7 28 50 4 23
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes. R. S. G. Scott b Forbes. P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker E. P. King b Forbes. H. E. Scott b Whittaker E. H. Cadogan not out. R. S. Walker e Whittaker b Ward. A. M. Tew c de Zoete b Ward.	HESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker  11 — c Whittaker b Forbes  1 — not out	58 7 7 28 50 4 23
L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes. R. S. G. Scott b Forbes. P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker N. U. Coates c Capel Cure b Whittaker E. P. King b Forbes. H. E. Scott b Whittaker E. H. Cadogan not out. R. S. Walker e Whittaker b Ward. A. M. Tew c de Zoete b Ward.	#ESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  1 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker  11 — c Whittaker b Forbes	58 7 7 28 50 4 23
<ul> <li>L. D. K. Fleming c Whittaker b Forbes</li> <li>P. G. T. Kingsley c Ward b Forbes</li> <li>R. S. G. Scott b Forbes</li> <li>P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker</li> <li>P. S. W. Milligan b Whittaker</li> <li>E. P. King b Forbes</li> <li>H. E. Scott b Whittaker</li> <li>E. H. Cadogan not out</li> <li>R. S. Walker c Whittaker b Ward</li> </ul>	#ESTER.  22 — b Forbes  10 — b Hill-Wood  4 — c Lomas b Ward  4 — c Ward b Hill-Wood  1 — c Hope b Capel Cure  1 — b Capel Cure  0 — b Whittaker  11 — c Whittaker b Forbes  1 — not out  0 — b Forbes	58 7 7 28 50 4 23 14 9

	Overs	Mans.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs '	Wkts.
Tew		3	38	4	28	15	44	1
H. E. Scott		1	24	4	33	9	71	0
Townsend	2	0	8	1	29	4	77	6
			Cadog	gan	22	5	57	2
			Millig	an	14	3	39	0
			Kings	ley	3	1 4	14	0
		E	TON BO	WLING.				
Forbes	12	3	29	4	28	9	43	3
Hill-Wood	2	0	12	0	15	5	36	- 2
Whittaker	14	8	7	4	32	9	64	1
Ward	4	1	8	2	25	12	33	1
			de Zoe		12	3	17	0
			Capel	Cure	15	1	21	2

63

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

223

#### DEGULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES

	RESULTS OF ETON AND	WINCE	IESTER MATCHES.
1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1877	Eton won by inns, and 121 runs
1829		1878	Winchester won by six wickets
		1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1830		1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1832	Eton won by 14 ming	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1833		1882	Winchester won by an inns. and
1834		1004	20 runs
1833		1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1836			Eton won by five wickets
1838		1884	Drawn
	and 34 runs	1885	
1839		1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1887	Eton won-by nine wickets
184		1888	Drawn
1845	2 Eton won by seven wickets	1889	Winchester won by 114 runs
1843		1890	Drawn
184	Eton won by 27 runs	1891	Winchester won by five wkts.
1843	5 A Tie	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1840	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1893	Eton won by five wickets
184	7 Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1848		1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1849		1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1850		1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
185		1898	Drawn
185		1899	Eton won by one wicket
185	Winchester won by 35 runs	1900	Drawn
185		1901	Eton won by two wickets
185		1902	Eton won by four wickets
185		1903	Eton won by 43 runs
185		1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
185		1905	Drawn
185		1906	Drawn
186		1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
186		1908	Eton won by inns. and 14 runs
186		1909	Drawn
186		1910	Drawn
186		1911	Eton won by 58 runs
186		1912	Eton won by inns. and 46 runs
186		1913	Eton won by inns. and 47 runs
		1914	Drawn
186		1919	Winchester won by 69 runs
186	8 Etter were by inne and 26 runs	1920	Winchester won by 131 runs
186	9 Eton won by inns, and 36 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wkts.
187		1922	Drawn
187		1923	Drawn
187		1923	Eton won by an innings and 19
187	3 Eton won by five wickets	1924	runs
187	4 Eton won by inns. and 202 runs	1925	Drawn
187	5 Eton won by five wickets	1926	Drawn
187	6 Eton won by inns. and 99 runs	1 1940	Diawii
	From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the m	atch was	s played at Lord's, but since 1855
	en the sides met at Eton) the gar	nog borr	taken place alternately on the
( WE	en the sides met at ruon) the gal	nes nave	vancu place alculiated of the

rd's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 93 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26, one has ended in a tie and 15 have been drawn.

The one day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

#### MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, June 26.—Drawn. W. D. Heck, for Merchant Taylors, and J. M. K. Barr, for Forest School, showed the best batting form.

#### MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL

D. M. Parry c Hudson b Scott. 26 P. J. G. Best Ibw, b Murrant 2 A. H. P. Gashell run out. 2 D. K. Walker b Scott. 7 W. D. Heck c Millar b Scott. 61 B. W. Greenup b Seymour. 21 Byes, etc.	27 0 4
H. D. Heck c Millar b Murrant. 12	180

#### FOREST SCHOOL

R. C. Scott c Best b Greenup. G. A. Hudson c Gashell b Dot J. A. Seagram b Goulding D. R. Vine b Goulding J. M. Barr not out F. M. Hall b Greenup	thie 23	6 0 15
L. R. S. Luton c Dothie b Green	nup 0 K. B. Murrant did not bat.	155

# EPSOM COLLEGE v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at the Oval, Saturday, June 26.—An easy win for Cranleigh by eight wickets. J. G. Mundy gave only one chance, and hitasix and fifteen fours in his 113, while H. H. L. Lambert also gave a sound display.

#### EPSOM COLLEGE.

W. J. Leaning c Morrison-Jones b Hodges 28 P. H. Nankivell lbw, b Hodges 0 H. E. Carpenter c Peatfield b Leggett 1 E. W. Bevington b Bellini 28 J. D. W. Pettigrew c West b Bellini 0 D. L. Rhys st Bamford b Morrison- Jones 3	G. A. Harrison b Bellini
E. R. M. Bowerman c and b Leggett 12	

### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL

CNAMILLIG	n School.
S. R. Peatfield b Reid	H. A. Bellini E. R. J. Leggett H. J. West E. Hodges J. B. Bamford

DENSTONE COLLEGE v. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.
Played at DENSTONE, Saturday, June 26.—Denstone were much too good
for King Edward's, winning, after declaring with eight wickets down, by 137 runs.

DENSTONE COLLEGE.

J. Preece b Cohen 8	P. F. Bluett b Raine 10
C. W. Timmis c Baker b Cohen 8	B. Cooke not out 1
R. W. Eyre b Cohen 70	B. L. Leeson not out 4
H. N. Place c Hougham b Cohen 82	Byes, etc 9
J. T. Marshall b Sutton 2	
A. H. Lloyd b Paine	*256
f. B. Carey b Sutton 1	F. M. Short did not bat.
* Innings d	eclared closed.
Erre Hamanala 6	langer - Directory of the
MING EDWARD & C	SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.
W. E. Sandbach Ibw, b Eyre 35	A. Smith b Preece 2
D. R. Ludlev lbw, b Preece 2	R. Dickenson b Bluett 2
A. F. Goode b Timmis 12	A. D. Sutton b Lloyd 6
D. E. Raine c Short b Bluett 6	G. Mountiord not out
R. A. Cohen c Leeson b Bluett 21	Byes, etc
D. O. Hougham b Eyre 2	
E. S. Baker b Preece 10	119
21. 21. 200104 0 2.0000 111111111111111111111111111111	

### EDINBURGH ACADEMY v. TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND.

Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, June 26.—B. R. Tod who, besides putting together a three-figure score, took seven Glenalmond wickets for 49 runs, was chiefly responsible for the victory by 99 runs of the Academy.

G. T. Chiene c Pearson b Stevenson 52 B. R. Tod b Munro	R ACADEMY. W. A. H. Druitt S. C. Thomson K. W. Marshall F. Ranken L. B. Fairbairn I. G. Gunn	did not bat.
---	--	--------------

Byes, etc 9	I. G. Gunn
227	
TRINITY COLLEGE, H. R. Price c Druitt b Tod	GLENALMOND,   S. Cruikshank lbw, b Tod   0   15   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5

#### FELSTED SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at Felsted, Saturday, June 26.—An easy win for Leys by seven wickets.

FELST	TED SCHOOL.
S. W. Behrend lbw, b Raper 0	W. L. Ogle b Brown 15
P. H. Phelps c Nichol b Raper 0	A. S. Kent b Endacott 26
A. N. Skelton b Raper 2	C. Jarvis not out 30
H. F. Cresswell b Endacott 9	F. G. Norton c and b Raper 4
D. Bowie b Endacott 12	Byes, etc
L. A. Powell c Birch b Alliston. 8	His two and result is -
R. J. Stuart-King b Raper 17	135

#### LEYS SCHOOL. J. M. Smith c Stuart-King b H. B. Parkinson P. G. Nichol Behrend ..... 38 K. G. Hughes run out..... 40 P. R. Whiston did not bat. J. R. S. Raper not out........ 65 H. Rocyn-Jones c Ogle b Norton 2 J. T. Alliston L. H. Birch F. R. Brown not out..... 24 J. A. Endacott Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . 8 172

#### GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at GIGGLESWICK, Tuesday, June 29.—The bowling of R. W. Skene (thirteen wickets for 40 runs) and consistent batting gave Sedbergh a win by an innings and 104 runs.

#### GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

W. A. Law b Pearson	0 — c and b Skene	2
		0
G. S. Simpson b Skene		~
J. A. Sidebottom b Skene	o commonly b business.	0
R. Cartwright lbw, b Skene	1 — c Phillips b Pearson	1
A. Clark b Skene	25 — b Pearson	2
J. B. Deanis b Skene	10 — b Pearson	0
W. J. Fodd not out	17 — c Phillips b Skene	2
F. M. Clayton b Connolly	5 — b Skene	1
C. G. Kenyon b Skene	8 — not out 1	0
W. J. Clark b Skene	0 — c Skene b Pearson	0
D. H. Catton c March b Skene	7 - c and b Skene	
Byes, etc	14 Byes, etc 1	1
		-
	91	4

SEDBERGH	SCHOOL.
W. M. L. Smethurst c Simpson b Cartwright	S. R. Nicholls not out
P. Highley c Dennis b W. J. Clark 18 R. W. Skene c Law b Sidebottom 26	Byes, etc
J. D. Worthington c A. Clark b W. J. Clark	T. Connolly ) and not bet
J. E. Nicholls b Cartwright 3 S. G. March lbw, b Cartwright 25	W. Pearson did not bat.
W. E. Mitchell-Innes c Sidebottom	
b W. J. Clark	ared closed.

# KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, June 29 .- Highgate won by four wickets.

# KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

A. L. Hill b Stuart 2	J. B. Booth b Fabian 10
G. C. Valder c Pilcher b Stuart 2	J. O. Green b May 6
A. C. Goold lbw, b May 23	R. O. Crouch lbw, b Webster 20
R. A. W. Binny run out 44	D. G. Whittall not out 8
R. A. Finnis b Pilcher 9	Byes, etc
H. C. Honey run out 10	The state of the s
C C A Porio h More	148

HIGHGAT	E SCHOOL.
R. L. Stuart b Paris	A. M. Fabian not out
G. M. May b Paris	155
A. B. Pilcher b Hill. 5 G. F. Lushington not out 23 M. G. R. Keeling run out 11	R. D. Metcalfe J. C. E. Stevens K. G. Kemp.

# LEYS SCHOOL v. OUNDLE SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Tuesday, June 29.—Leys won by 236 runs, the Oundle batsmen shaping very poorly.

Oundle patsmen shaping very poorly.
LEYS SCHOOL.
J. M. Smith c Hosken b G. R. A.         Johnson W. S. Green c B. R. W. Johnson b Whelen
Johnson 3
OUNDLE SCHOOL.
G. S. K. Johnston run out. 2 — c Birch b Rocyn-Jones 16 E. S. Franklin lbw, b Raper. 0 — lbw, b Rocyn-Jones 19 G. R. A. Johnson b Rocyn-Jones 7 — st Birch b Rocyn-Jones 39 B. R. W. Johnson b Raper. 6 — b Brown 0 H. J. Hobbins b Rocyn-Jones 1 — not out 16 A. G. Skinner c Hargreaves b Brown 2 A. C. Dixon c and b Brown 5
F. A. Yarrow not out. 0 — not out 3 J. C. Hosken b Brown 2 J. H. L. Whelen b Brown 0 P. A. Greig b Brown 0 Ryes, etc. 11 Byes, etc. 3
36

# DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Tuesday, June 29.—Much the better batting side, Downside declared with half their wickets down and won by 82 runs.

J. B. Radcliffe c Smyth b Simpson P. H. Glass c Napper b Smyth. E. H. Weld c Bennett b Napper. C. B. Turnbull not out.	88 4 3 24	R. R. Sholto C. C. Markes L. Christmas A. Maemillan	did not bat.
G. R. Hamilton-Gordon lbw, b Bennett	58 19		

King's Schill   King's Schill   King's Schill   King's Schill   Line   Line	OOL, BRUTON. C. G. Napper c Glass b Radcliffe 15 P. E. Paine c Sholto b Macmillan 0 T. E. Smyth not out
--	---

# ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Wednesday, June 30.—Christ's Hospital won by 16 runs. Both sides batted unevenly.

ST. JOHN'S	SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.
S. V. Lloyd-Rees c Bartlett b Glock 0	W. G. O'Brien c Jennings b Done 2
J. H. C. Cowgill lbw, b Hasler 3	G. A. Barker b Done 1
G. W. Pink b Glock 8	C. E. Neep b Done 0
R. U. Yonge st Undery b Done. 58	G. A. C. Dunbar not out 0
P. R. K. Whitaker b Black 25	Byes, etc 11
W. J. L. Haworth c Undery b Glock 5	Dy05, 000
R. A. S. Farnfield run out 4	SE SE SESSE METROPET DE PARENTE 117
an an o. a difficient tall offer 4	The second secon
Cuprar's H	OSPITAL SCHOOL.
C D Disels - Desk - 1 Office of the	
C. R. Black c Barker b O'Brien. 14	A. C. Jones b Yonge 2
J. A. Hasler c and b Cowgill 21	A. Bartlett b Whitaker 2
P. S. Osborne c Lloyd-Rees b	E. G. Done not out 6
Whitaker 3	W. F. Glock b Whitaker 4
T. G. Jennings b Yonge 37	Byes, etc 6
A. Y. Cann c Lunbar b Yonge 6	
E. M. Undery lbw, b O'Brien 22	133
T. D. Craig b Whitaker 10	100
	Called the action of the actio

# ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, v. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at RAMSGATE, Wednesday, June 30.—R. Perfect took eight wickets for 28 runs for St. Lawrence, who won an exciting game by 5 runs.

ST. LAWRENCE COI	LEGE, RAMSGATE.
H. R. Hinge c Tennant b Falloon 19	B. M. Bird b Jenney 5
R. Perfect c and b Jenney 0	A. G. Arthur not out 5
J. F. Alaton c Falloon b Jenney. 2	H. W. Harding c Tennant b Falloon 0
H. L. Salmon c and b Jenney 4	T. A. Burgess b Jenney 1
G. T. Hindley b Jenney 7	Byes, etc 25
H. J. Linnell c Tennant b Jenney 0	
S. J. Saunders lbw, b James 4	72
Dover (	College.
G. R. F. Jenney & Arthur b Perfect 0	M. R. H. Nethersole c Perfect b
F. H. B. Ashby b Perfect 3	Arthur 6
S. G. Tennant c Linnell b Perfect 4	D. E. Fox b Perfect 4
C. L. Falloon b Perfect 2	M. F. Bannerman b Perfect 15
W. S. Burkitt b Perfect 12	W. M. James not out 1
P. F. Robins c Alston b Perfect. 1	Byes, etc 10
E. G. H. Ryall b Perfect 9	

#### FOREST SCHOOL V. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at Walthamstow, Thursday, July 1.—Forest won by 41 runs, the later Chigwell batsmen failing badly.

#### FOREST SCHOOL.

G. A. Hudson lbw, b Skeates 11 R. C. Scott b Papineau 16 J. A. Seagram b Skeates 4 D. R. Vine b Carter 43 J. M. Barr run out 1 F. M. Hall b Eve 8 L. R. S. Luton b Papineau 14	B. T. Gry c Allwood b Papineau 15 G. G. Tudor c Goldle b Eve. 5 F. L. Seymour not out. 5 J. T. C. Miller c and b Skeates 0 Byes, etc. 10
--	--

J. E. W. Waddington b Seymour 14   G. W. Reid b Seymour 15 E. Wheeler c Vine b Scott 12   A. J. H. Barnette Miller b Seymour 15 J. G. Eve c Miller b Seymour	
G. E. Skeates run out. 18 E. K. Goldie not out. 31 Byes, etc. Byes, etc. 4  A. M. Allwood b Seymour 0	F. G. E. J.

#### ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at Rossall, Friday, Saturday, July 2, 3.—Drawn. F. D. Beattle showed excellent form for Rossall in both innings, and A. M. D. Whitcroft batted in good style, as did D. N. Moore and W. H. D. Duke for Shrewsbury.

#### ROSSALL SCHOOL.

A. M. D. Whiteroft c Mutter b Duke F. D. Beattle e Leach b Mutter. W. Philipson Ibw, b Snow. A. S. Roncoroni e Leach b Mutter. H. V. Jones e Snow b Mutter. J. C. Hammend c Sover b Mutter.	23 — not out
R. H. Gibson b Moore R. D. Lambert c Stow b Snow. P. J. Fuller lbw, b Moore J. S. Buck c Stow b Snow.	33 — c Snow b Heneker 21
G. W. Bubb not out	1
	218

#### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

J. F. Longrigg e Bubb b Roncoroni 90 K. W. Leach e Roncoroni b Lambert 6 P. H. C. Staples lbw, b Roncoroni 0 D. N. Moore b Lambert 106 P. S. Snow b Buck 7 R. M. Stow e Beattle b Fuller 18 W. H. D. Duke lbw, b Buck 68	H. S. Sover b Buck 10 W. Murton not out 10 A. C. E. Mutter st Bubb b Fuller 0 C. L. Heneker c Roncoroni b Lambert 3 Byes, etc. 15	

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL V. FELSTED SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, July 3.—Mill Hill, after declaring with eight men out, won easily by 143 cuns.

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL.

H. E. Carris c Stuart-King b Jarvis 12 G. W. Purchase c Stuart-King b Jarvis 9	T. H. B. Lawther c Cresswell b Behrend
A. P. Powell c Phelps b Behrend. 79 H. Taylor c Jarvis b Phelps. 13 H. H. Pool b Phelps. 9	Jarvis
R. Zilka c Skelton b Behrend 19 S. Abden not out 19	L. H. Collison did not bat.

#### \* Innings declared closed.

#### FELSTED SCHOOL.

R. J. Stuart-King b Taylor       4         A. S. Kent c Taylor b Pool       0         C. Jarvis c Taylor b Pool       0         F. G. Norton not out       0         Byes, etc.       11
61

#### BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Brighton, Saturday, July 3.—Though G. A. K. Collins and B. H. S. Davis added 76 for the sixth Lancing wicket, Brighton won by 106 runs and lost only three batsmen. C. A. R. Lawrence and C. de B. Barnard gave styllsh displays for Brighton, for whom W. H. A. Evans (eight wickets for 43 runs) bowled very well.

#### LANCING COLLEGE,

	Property property of the prope
A. R. D'A. Mount c and b Evans 20	G. A. Church lbw, b Evans 0
R. J. M. Simmonds st Levett b Evans 0	A. S. N. K. O'S. Quill run out 3
D. O'Neill c Green b Evans 3	H. W. Forsyth c Levett b Evans 1
J. R. Willis b Evans 8	R. R. Vassar-Smith not out 6
E. Cawston b Green 9	Byes, etc 11
G. A. K. Collins st Levett b Evans 34	
B. H. S. Davis c Lawrence b Evans 52	147

#### BRIGHTON COLLEGE

DIMBITOR	COLLEGE,
J. H. Green b Forsyth. 0 C. de B. Barnard c Forsyth b Davis 72 C. A. R. Lawrence b Cawston. 145 U. H. Huntington not out. 9 E. L. Taylor not out. 5 Byes, etc. 22 253	W. H. V. Levett W. H. A. Evans P. C. Hordern C. Odgers J. A. P. Bartlett J. M. Whitsed

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL V. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, July 3.—Stronger at all points, Charterhouse won by 164 runs, after consistent batting had enabled them to declare with five wickets down.

#### CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Hon, R. N. Frankland c Paulson b Cook C, E. S. Gordon c Chapman b Foster R. B. Beare st Bompas b Gardiner J. E. F. Linton c Chapman b Paulson T. A. Pearce not out D, I. Deakin c Cook b Stratford J. T. Morgan not out. Byes, etc.	70 5 5 3 60 32 53	I. C. Connell P. Huth P. J. Branston G. P. Jackson	did not bat.
	298		

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

M. F. L. Haymes b Branston 26 R. G. A. Mordaunt c Morgan b Conwell	N. L. Foster c Gordon b Linton 16 G. M. E. Paulson c Jackson b 7 Conwell

#### HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Hurstpierpoint, Saturday, July 3.—The all-round play of K. H. Virley and the batting of G. F. Pullinger brought success by 72 runs to Whitgift.

Whitgift Grammar School.

G. P. Goodwin lbw, b Piggott 22	H. G. Sturges c Hindersley b
K. H. Virley c Kirk b Piggott 50	Piggott 0
J. E. Cox b Goatly 0	D. W. Bottle b Piggott 0
F. R. Ewing b Garnett 2	W. J. Stutchbury b Piggott 0
G. F. Pullinger b Largen 51	F. S. Thompson not out 0
N. M. Ling b Garnett 10	Byes, etc 16
H. H. Peart c Garnett b Kirk 5	-
	156
HURSTPIERPO	INT COLLEGE.
S. L. Goatly c Sturges b Virley 13	M. D. Rhoden b Virley 2
N. M. Porter c Pullinger b Virley 19	D. G. Piggott b Peart 3
D. D. Largen b Peart 1	E. G. Hindersley b Peart 13
G. G. Stevenson c Stutchbury b	J. F. Campbell not out 2
Virley 0	Byes, etc
J. G. Garnett b Virley 2	
L. L. Kirk c Sturges b Virley 8	84
J. E. Stephens c Thompson b Virley 3	

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL v. KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

Played at Monkton Combe, Saturday, July 3.—Monkton Combe won by seven wickets, the strong batting of J. M. MacAndrew, who hit nine 4's, being invaluable when runs were needed quickly.

King's Sch	OOT DD	HWO.	
W. A. Sharwell b Watson	1 1	h Parry	a
C. A. Burrough c MacAndrew b Elwell	23	b Elwell	14
H. D. Burrough c MacAndrew b	-	D 221702211111111111111111111111111111111	
Reichwald	5	c Reichwald b Elwell	14
G. M. Bennett c Elwell b Reichwald		b Elwell	24
J. K. Daw b Watson	8		
R. T. Simpson run out		not out	14
W. H. Powell b Watson.	2		
T. E. Smyth c Perry b Watson	0 -	Committee of the state of the	-
C. G. Napper c Elwell b Streather		b Elwell	10
P. J. Henderson c and b Streather	6		
Byes, etc		Byes, etc.	16
Dyes, see	-	Dyes, etc	
Line to Lease	94	*1	01
* Tourismus de			
* Innings de	ciarea c	closed.	
Monkton Co	MBE SCH	HOOL.	55
J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson	MBE SCH 12 —	HOOL. b Smyth	
J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson	12 — 0 —	HOOL. b Smyth b Bennett	3
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett.	12 — 0 —	HOOL. b Smyth	3
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan	MBE SCI 12 — 0 — 5 —	HOOL. b Smyth b Bennett	3
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2	HOOL. b Smyth	3
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 —	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 1 28 — 1	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 1 28 — 1	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough G. B. Russell c H. D. Burrough	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 10	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0
MONKTON CO C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough G. B. Russell c H. D. Burrough b Bennett.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 10 3	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0
MONKTON CO J. M. MacAndrew b Simpson. C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough Bennett. W. G. Streather not out	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 10 3 1	HOOL. b Smyth b Bennett c Halahan b Smyth b Smyth not out	3 14 0 1
MONKTON CO C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough G. B. Russell c H. D. Burrough b Bennett.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 10 3 1	HOOL. b Smyth	3 14 0 1
MONKTON CO C. G. Reeves lbw, b Bennett. M. Hobbs c Simpson b Bennett. E. G. Perry c Sharwell b Halahan P. W. Gauntlett b Bennett. R. B. Munro b Halahan M. K. Watson run out. C. W. W. Elwell b Halahan. O. F. Reichwald b C. A. Burrough G. B. Russell c H. D. Burrough b Bennett. W. G. Streather not out. Byes, etc.	MBE SCH 12 — 0 — 5 — 0 1 2 45 — 10 3 1	HOOL. b Smyth b Bennett c Halahan b Smyth b Smyth not out	3 14 0 1

ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL. Played at ALDENHAM, Saturday, July 3.—Aldenham won by 22 runs. G. P. Longfield claimed four Berkhamsted wickets for 10 runs. ALDENHAM SCHOOL

J. G. Potts b Perry	R. K. Saker c Perry b Finnemore 1
E. D. R. Shearer Ibw, b Perry 27	L. G. Gottschalk c Gale b Cork 0
K. C. Tours b Wall 1	A. B. P. Heaton c Wall b Cork. 5
S. R. C. Walmsley c Howes b	W. A. Leach-Lewis not out 3
Finnemore 16	Byes, etc
M. A. Nelson st Gale b Finnemore 64	
E. H. Hawkins b Finnemore 7	144
G. P. Longfield run out 0	
	ED SCHOOL.
P. C. Howes b Gottschalk 26	M. Jelley b Longfield 0
F. L. Fletcher b Heaton 7	L. R. S. Cork lbw, b Heaton 0
T. C. Wall b Gottschalk 17	T. G. L. Gale c Gottschalk b
G. F. Carter lbw, b Tours 17	Longfield 3
R. O. Felton c Longfield b Heaton 18	R. W. Finnemore not out 2
R. C. Edwards lbw, b Longfield., 19	Byes, etc
B. R. Perry b Longfield 2	The state of the second of the second
	122

# WEYMOUTH COLLEGE v. ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL.

Played at WEYMOUTH, Saturday, July 3 .- A win for Weymouth by 89 runs.

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE.   3	
153	
*Innings declared closed.	
ALLHALLOW'S SCHOOL.	
C. J. Blew c Phillips b Hodge	
64	5

# ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, July 3.—Despite the huge total at which, with only two wickets down, they declared, St. Paul's could not force a win. E. T. Killick played finely, while Bedford owed much to the batting of R. Kellett and T. P. Moll.

ST. PAUL'S  E. T. Killick not out	F. D. C. R. Eyles C. J. Patterson R. Feeton T. D. B. Kimpton A. G. Barmby N. G. Kershaw N. K. Johnston.
* Innings decl	ared closed.

BEDFORD   R. D. Stewart b Kershaw	T. P. Moll not out
D. E. Tite c Kimpton b G-Wells. 8 A. Norris b G-Wells. 18	E. M. Bovill did not bat.

bat.

#### WELLINGTON COLLEGE V, BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Saturday, July 3.—A keen fight, Wellington winning by one wicket.

#### BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

H. J. Sherren c Madden b Hamilton 28 R. G. Shaw c Benn b P. F. Garthwaite 50 R. W. E. Groves lbw, b Hamilton 2 W. G. H. D. Crouch not out 63 W. R. de W. Waller run out 35 V. E. J. Wells lbw, b May 0 I. C. Stuart c Hamilton b P. F.	J. B. Wilson run out
Garthwaite 1 Wellington	COLLEGE.

A THILL	MATON	COLLEGE.	
J. Q. Wood hit wkt., b Shaw	27	C. E. Godby lbw, b Hilliard	1
A. A. Benn lbw, b Crouch	11	B. J. G. Madden c Sherren b Shaw	
T. J. R. Dashwood b Shaw	21	R. C. Dobson not out	6
W. D. S. May c Shaw b Crouch	78	H. C. B. Berens not out	2
P. F. Garthwaite c Sherren b Shaw	2	Byes, etc	7
C. C. Garthwaite b Crouch	7	Horaco Maria Walter P. C.	-
A. C. Hamilton lbw, b Hilliard	17	The state of the s	194
and of the state o		The state of the second	LOX

#### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at S REWSBURY, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 6, 7.—Bad weather ruined this drawn game, at the end of which Shrewsbury, in reply to Uppingham's solid batting display, needed 99 to avoid defeat in a single innings with eight wickets standing.

#### UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

C. H. Thornley b Staples 35 R. I. F. McIntosh c Murton b Duke	4
D. S. Oscroft run out 33 K. Wilkinson b Staples 20	n
J. C. B. Perkins b Duke. 51 R. G. Lascelles b Snow. 1	1
C. D. Jerkins D Duke	1
S. Enderby b Snow	
J. C. Christopherson run out 19 Byes, etc 10	0
L. M. T. Castle b Moore 17	
E. S. Waring b Snow 23	5
223	
Company Company	
SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.	
J. F. Longrigg c and b McIntosh 3 — c Waring b McIntosh 0	0
K. W. Leach c Enderby b Wilkinson 1 — st Castle b Wilkinson	0
	U
P. H. C. Staples c Enderby b McIntosh 23 — not out	L
D. N. Moore st Castle b Perkins 26	
P. S. Snow e McIntosh	
R. M. Stow c Waring b McIntosh 27 — not out 2	)
W. H. D. Duke c Thornley b Lascelles. 3	-
D C C D-11 T 11- 1 D-11	
D. C. G. Kalkes C Lascelles b Perkins 0	
W. Murton c Waring b Perkins 4	
A. C. E. Mutter c Perkins b McIntosh 15	
R. Bland not out 3	
Byes, etc 2	
2, 3,	
	-
13 Mary Mary Mary 113	3

# MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at MALVERN, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, July 6, 7, 8.—Repton were far too good for their opponents, winning by an innings and 76 runs. Though R. H. C. Human batted stylishly, and S. H. Sharpley hit well, Repton owed most to C. J. Wilson, who dismissed ten Malvern batsmen for 34 runs.

REPTON	SCHOOL.
B. H. Valentine c Whitehorn b	C. J. Wilson b Nunneley 25
	S. H. Sharpley b Nunneley 64
Diadishan	R. T. Vaughan b Nunneley 39
A. F. Abell c Whitehorn b	D. S. Piper not out
Battersby 3	D. B. Tiper not out.
H. R. Griggs b Bradshaw 19	Byes, etc 10
R. H. C. Human b Battersby 77	000
A. J. McAlpine b Nunneley 4	286
R. H. Hewetson c Wedd b	
R. H. Hewerson C would b	# TRY # 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
Battersby	A STREET AND STREET OF STREET
G. O. Peck c Cliff b Battersby 17	
MALVERN	COLLEGE,
	7 — st Vaughan b Wilson 5
J. L. Battersby b Piper	
V. C. G. Parry c Human b Piper	
G. D. Wedd b Wilson	11 b willow
L. H. T. Cliff b Wilson	10 - 0 Human
C. R. Acheson-Gray b Human	1 — absent 0
F. E. Blackstone b Sharpley	12 — b Peck 1
B. H. Whitehorn b Wilson	11 — b Wilson 14
	0
W. H. Bradshaw not out	2 — not out 8
V. C. Begg c Piper b Wilson	2 - 1100 000
J. F. E. Short c and b Wilson	6 — b Wilson 1
L. C. Nunneley b Human	1 — b Human 12
Byes, etc	7 Byes, etc 2
	(A)
	126

# WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at Croy. on, Wednesday, July 7.—Admirable batting on the part of C. P. Goodwin, F. R. Ewing and H. H. Peart placed Whitgift in a strong position, but when St. John's had reduced their arrears to 210 for the loss of one wicket, rain put an end to the match.

Wicker, Itali Par and	
WHITGIFT GRAMMA	R SCHOOL. G. Sturges b Haworth 2
	J. S. Walder not out 5
J. E. Cox c Haworth b Whitaker 0	Byes, etc 4
F. R. Ewing c Neep b Cowgill 85	*245
H. H. Peart b Haworth 52	
	. J. Stutchbury   did not bat.
Cowgill 12 F.	S. Thompson
N M Ling c Taitt b Haworth 16	
* Innings declared	d closed.
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL	, LEATHERHEAD.
THE C Cowaill not out 14   P.	R. K. Whittaker
P. U. Vonge b Peart. 18 S.	V. Lloyd-Rees
	G. P. Goodwin lbw, b Cowgill. 62 K. H. Virley lbw, b Whitaker 7 J. E. Cox c Haworth b Whitaker 0 F. R. Ewing c Neep b Cowgill. 85 H. H. Peart b Haworth. 52 G. F. Pullinger c Whitaker b Cowgill 12 N. M. Ling c Taitt b Haworth. 16  * Innings declare ST. John's School L. H. C. Cowgill not out. 14 P.

* Innin	ngs declared closed.	
J. H. C. Cowgill not out	2 R. A. S. Farnfiel	d did not

# CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Horsham, Wednesday, July 7.—An overwhelming victory for Christ's Hospital by 194 runs. C. R. Black batted finely.

#### CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

C. R. Black b Cotman	E. M. Undery T. D. Craig A. C. Jones A. Bartlett E. G. Done V. N. W. McCormick  did not bat.
*280	*Innings declared closed

#### EDSON COTTEGE

MI DOM C	OHEEGE.
P. H. Nankivell b Hasler	C. R. A. Schofield c Osborne b Done 4 J. Piggott c Hasler b Done. 1 A. M. Reid b Done. 0 D. B. Penman st Undery b Black 8 Byes, etc. 4  86
Done	STATE OF THE STATE

# MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, July 7.—Drawn, Mill Hill being 80 runs behind with five wickets outstanding.

### MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

D. M. Parry b Taylor	E. N. Greer H. D. Heck A. C. Whicher. E. T. Goulding J. R. Dothie	did not bat.
*179 * Innings de	clared closed.	

#### MILL HILL SCHOOL

TOTAL A	Transi POI	TOOL.		
H. E. Carris run out. 17 A. P. Powell c Best b Dothle 28 H. Taylor c Whicher b Greer 31 H. H. Pool not out. 22 G. W. Purchase c Goulding b Parry R. Zilka c W. D. Heck b Parry S. Abden not out. 6  Byes, etc. 6	9 T. 1 L. 7 E. 8 0	W. Lloyd-Owen H. B. Lawther H. Collison H. M. Grimsdell		bat.

#### TRENT COLLEGE v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

Played at TRENT, Thursday, July 8.—After a good start, the College broke down badly, and Wellingborough, losing six wickets, won by 27 runs.

TRENT	COLLEGE.
P. H. Keigwin c Gamble b Casselton 25	H. R. Dorning b Casselton 7
J. L. Baker c Stokes b Casselton 31	W. H. Walker b Burt 0
A. Sanders b Casselton 0	S. W. Sidford not out 18
J. M. Quarmby c Burt b Craddock 6	E. J. T. Pevett c Tebbitt b
G. H. Jackson st Stokes b Burt. 2	Gamble
C. C. Barker st Stokes b Burt 9	Byes, etc 6
G. H. Hartop c Dorr b Burt 3	
	108
	In Particular Control of the Control
WELLINGBORO	
G. G. Tebbitt c Barker b Keigwin 73	J. B. Gamble
B. D. F. Burt c Dorning b	J. A. Dorr did not bat.
Quarmby 5	J. C. Craddock
T. G. Dickson c Quarmby b	J. K. G. Sarjeant
Dorming 0	
D. W. Stokes b Quarmby 21	
R. Hitchcock c Keigwin b Dorning 14	
H. G. Casselton lbw, b Quarmby 7	
C. E. Richardson not out 1	
Byes, etc 14	
	The state of the s
135	Transfer (Tambinia o Beauty C. C. )

# WATSON'S COLLEGE, EDINBURGH v. TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND.

Played at Edinburgh, Thursday, July 8.—Trinity College were much too good for Watson's, and won by 77 runs.

H. R. Price b Marshall . 23 H. R. Munro lbw, b Bayne . 52 K. R. Pearson c and b Marshall . 36 R. Stewart c Marshall b Oliver . 9 S. Cruikshank c Millar b Marshall 17 R. C. V. Ash not out . 4 H. Cameron not out . 26 Byes, etc 11	GLENALMOND.  J. Mitchell B. E. A. Pollard- Urquhar R. Stevenson G. G. Gulliver
*178	aclared closed

innings declared closed.

WATSON'S COL	LEGE, EDINBURGH.
F. J. Inglis b Stewart 23	W, H. Marshall not out 11
G. A. S. Gemmell c Munro b	A. Montgomery c Urguhart b
Cameron 8	Cruickshank 12
G. L. Whitton c Price b Cameron 0	A. N. Usher c Ash b Urquhart . 1
D. M. Bayne c Cruickshank b	W. R. Eckford b Urquhart 0
Stewart 1	Byes, etc
D. M. Oliver lbw, b Cameron 20	
H. H. Millar b Stewart 11	101
H. Erskine b Stevenson 0	

#### ARDINGLY COLLEGE V. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at Ardingly, Thursday, July 8 .-- Ardingly won by an innings and 52 runs, Hurstpierpoint batting only moderately.

ARDINGLY	COLLEGE.
K, J. Bennett b Goatly       0         G, F. Page b Goatly       15         A. C. Bryant b Piggott       24         N. W. Lansdown c Garnett b Piggott       3         H. W. Roberts b Largen       18         A. D. Aldridge b Kirk       14         J. Tilley c Porter b Campbell       67	K. G. Couper b Largen
HURSTPIERPOINT	r College.
S. J. Goatly c Lansdown b Tilley D. D. Largen b Tilley G. G. Stevenson c Tilley b Sewell L. G. Garrett lbw, b Sewell J. L. Kirk c Bennett b Sewell M. D. Rhoden c Tilley b Sewell J. F. Campbell c Couper b Tilley E. F. Mitchell c Aldridge b Sewell D. G. Piggott c Bennett b Sewell E. G. Hindsley not out Byes, etc.	19 — b Roberts     23       10 — c King b Lausdown     17       1 — c Bryant b Aldridge     7       4 — c Couper b Aldridge     6       0 — b Lansdown     1       16 — run out     36       0 — run out     1       5 — b Aldridge     5       10 — run out     0       0 = c Sewell b Aldridge     1       1 — not out     1       2 — Byes, etc     7       68     105

#### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL V. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Thursday, July 8.—Repton were fortunate to escape, as, with only one wicket standing, they still needed 62 for victory at the close.

#### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

J. F. Longrigg st Vaughan b Wilson	D. C. G. Raikes st Vaughan b Wilson
REPTON	SCHOOL.
B. H. Valentine st Murton b Snow 3 A. F. Abell c Duke b Mutter 3 H. R. Griggs b Snow 10 R. H. C. Human lbw, b Moore 30 A. J. McAlpine c Duke b Snow . 3 R. H. Hewetson c Sover b Snow 3 G. O. Peck c Leach b Mutter 21	C. J. Wilson c Leach b Moore. 7 S. H. Sharpley c Leach b Snow 21 R. T. Vaughan not out 22 D. S. Piper not out 2 Byes, etc. 5 130

# CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at Cheltenham, Friday, Saturday, July 9, 10.—An interesting game, in which much admirable batting was seen, had to be left drawn, Clitton, with four wickets in hand, being 76 behind. F. M. S. Tegner showed especially fine form in each innings for Clifton.

an exem minings for compon.
CHELTENHAM COLLEGE,
C. M. N. Baker hit wkt., b Carr 10 b Corr
". I. II. Achiester full out
E. M. Wellings c Carr b Bell. 20 — run out 22
Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham c Carr b
Tegner 59 — c Barnard b Tilly 27
J. M Bryce-Smith lby b Tilly
H. N. E. Alston run out
T. Martin not out 8 — b Tegner 4
T. Martin not out.       16 — c W. Carr b Tegner.       33         L. B. S. Colbeck c Tilly b Tegner.       3 — not out.       1
L. B. S. Colbeck c Tilly b Tegner
Byes, etc 7 Byes, etc
177
TO TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
CLIFTON COLLEGE.
N. E. White c Dick-Cunyngham h
Wellings 14 h Wellings 22
W. N. Kendall c Willcox h Alston 21
G. H. Whittington b Wellings 4
R. M. Bell st Martin b Wellings 5
Byes, etc 9 Byes, etc 8
Byes, etc
278
276
HAILEVEURY COLLEGE

# HAILEYBURY COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at HAILEVRURY Friday Saturday July 0 10

batting was shown by members of both sides in this drawn game.	1
Wellington College.   34   34   35   36   36   36   36   36   36   36	
* Innings declared closed. *244	

599

#### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

K. Johnson b P. F. Garthwaite 6 G. B. Harvey b C. C. Garthwaite 2 A. R. Marshall not out 15	etc
---	-----

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL V. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, July 10.—The Radley batsmen, apart from G. C. A. Adams, failed badly, Westminster winning by 149 runs. M. F. L. Haymes, A. M. Shepley-Smith and J. A. Cook were in excellent form for Westminster.

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

M. F. L. Haymes c and b Teale 59 K. J. Gardiner b Teale 20 D. R. P. Mills c Green b O-Smith 5	G. M. E. Paulson not out
A. M. Shepley-Smith c Fairholme b O-Smith	M. G. Stratford S. Chapman did not bat.

# \* Innings declared closed. DIRTHY COTTECH

LADLEY	COLLEGE.
A. L. Stampa b Pawson.       3         J. F. Metcalfe b Stratford.       15         G. C. A. Adams b Stratford.       41         H. V. Green b Gardiner.       9         R. R. H. Few run out.       14	G. B. Teale c and b Foster 1  R. E. Osborne-Smith b Gardiner 0  A. V. Foster not out 19  I. W. F. Fairholme b Gardiner. 0  Byes, etc. 8
H. F. B. Foster b Foster 0	101
J. C. Palmers b Gardiner 11	121

# DENSTONE COLLEGE v. TRENT COLLEGE.

Played at DENSTONE, Saturday, July 10.-Denstone, losing five batsmen,

won by 18 runs.	TRENT	COLLEGE.
P. H. Keigwin b Lleyd J. L. Baker b Timmis A. Sanders b Timmis C. C. Barker c and b Lloyd J. A. Atkinson run out S. W. Sidford b Lloyd H. R. Dorning c Lloyd b Stott	2 1 35 14	W. H. Walker run out. 2 R. A. Ainsile b Stott. 15 E. J. T. Pevitt not out. 6 J. M. Quarmby b Lloyd. 0 Byes, etc. 19  136

### DENSTONE COLLEGE.

	C C L L C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
J. Preece c Barker b Quarmby . 47         H. N. Place b Quarmby . 59         R. W. Eyre c Aktinson b Quarmby 17         A. H. Lloyd c Sidford b Dorning . 19         C. W. Timmis c Keigwin b Dorning . 7         T. N. Hart not out	P. F. Bluett M. K. Stott J. T. Marshall B. L. Leeson T. B. Carey  did not bat.
154	

#### DULWICH COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, July 10.—Dulwich went down badly after starting well, St. Paul's winning by 105 runs.

## ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

E. T. Killick c Luther b Procter 0 P. Landells lbw, b Simmons. 26 J. S. Olliff c Luther b Musgrave. 17 F. D. C. R. Eyles b Hughman. 28 H. M. Garland-Wells c Simmons b Musgrave. 62 C. J. Patterson b Musgrave. 11 R. Feeton not out. 55	T. D. B. Kimpton c Luther b Procter 6 N. G. Kershaw c W-Smith b Hughman 18 M. K. Johnston run out 27 A. G. Barmby b Hughman 0 Byes, etc. 25
	COLLEGE.
G. A. Rimbault c Kimpton b  Johnston	C. C. Luther b Kershaw. 7 L. A. W. Simmons not out. 17 C. Wellesley-Smith lbw, b G-Wells 0 L. R. Procter b G-Wells 0 Byes, etc. 4

### BEDFORD SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, July 10.—Bedford won by 60 runs. LEYS SCHOOL.

J. M. Smith c Norris b Davison. 30 W. S. Green b Bovill	E. R. Hargreaves b Davison. 11 J. T. Alliston run out 1 L. H. Birch not out 1 G. A. Endacott c and b Davison 0 Byes, etc. 10
BEDFOR	School.
R. D. Stewart c Alliston b Raper 55         C. A. B. McVittle b Raper 55         E. F. Davison b Raper 0         W. A. Sime b Raper 35         R. Kellett b Rocyn-Jones 8         D. E. Titte b Raper 13         A. Norris c Birch b Brown 10	T. P. Moll st Birch b Brown

600 Public Sch	ool Matches.		
OAKHAM SCHOOL V. BE Played at OAKHAM, Saturday, July Williams carrying off the honours, both for 48 runs).  C. H. Williams b Schwind. 34 C. H. J. Ward b Jones. 4 D. H. Shepherd st Fisher b Schwind 12 D. C. Riddy b Jones. 19 H. R. Wratten b Johnson. 11 E. C. W. Sowman c Schwind b Allen. 7 L. G. Ashwell run out. 5	DFORD MODERN SCHOOL. 7 10.—Bedford won by 35 runs, C. H. 1 in batting and bowling (eight wickets DERN SCHOOL.  H. H. Cowley st Fisher b Jones 7 W. L. Davis c Sinker b Allen 13 W. F. Russell not out 18 A. Riddiford c Atter b Allen 11 Byes, etc 14  155  SCHOOL.  D. G. Allen c Ward b Williams 11 A. C. Martin b Williams 13 R. B. Marshall not out 16 D. L. Atter b Cowley 6		
M. H. Jones lbw b Williams 1	Byes, etc 23		
J. H. Fisher run out 6	120		
C. H. Schwind lbw, b Williams 0	The American Section 1991 and 1991		
J. A. D. Slater b Falloon. 12 G. C. Valder c Tennant b Jenney 4 A. L. Hill c Bannerman b Jenney 25 A. C. Goold st Tennant b Jarnes 24 R. A. W. Binny b Jenney. 30 B. Taylor b Falloon. 12 R. A. Finnis lbw, b Falloon. 4  C. L. Falloon b Taylor. 62 G. R. F. Jenney c and b Honey. 23 S. G. Tennant b Honey. 0 W. S. Burkitt c Finnis b Paris. 11 F. H. B. Ashby c Green b Taylor 32 E. J. H. Rvall c Binny b Honey. 4	S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY. 0.—The College won by 66 runs. L. CANTERBURY. C. G. A. Paris lbw, b Bannerman 5 J. O. Green b Jenney 0 H. C. Honey not out 3 D. G. Whitall c Nethersole b Bannerman 0 Byes, etc. 17  COLLEGE. D. E. Fox lbw, b Slater 15 P. M. Man c Taylor b Finnis 13 M. F. Bannerman b Finnis 11 W. M. James not out 2 Byes, etc. 20		
M. R. H. Nethersole b Hill 9	202		
KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON Played at BRUTON, Saturday, July Burrough and G. M. Bennett for King's game.  KING'S SCHO W. A. Sharwell c Phillips b Hodge 48 C. A. Burrough b Marshall	v WEVMOUTH COLLEGE		
* Innings declared closed.			

WEYMOUTH   R. J. Marshall b Bennett   10   H. S. Senior b Halahan   23   J. H. L. Phillips run out   3   J. L. Woods b Halahan   0   H. F. Hodge c C. A. Burrough b	E. C. Ball b Napper 6 E. L. Updegraff not out 32 H. Dodwell not out 20 Byes, etc. 10
Bennett 8 G. T. Barton e Smyth b Simpson 6 G. F. Senior lbw, b Bennett 2	G. R. Ormiston did not bat.

# MILL HILL SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 14.—Drawn. Mill Hill gave a most consistent batting display.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.			
H. E. Carris lbw, b Stoner 33	R. Zilka		
A. P. Powell c Potts b Heaton 36	S. Abden		
H. Taylor run out	M. W. Lloyd-Owen	did not bat.	
H. H. Pool c Gottschalk b Heaton 48	L. H. Collison		
G. W. Purchase b Heaton 7	D. H. Comson		
T. H. B. Lawther c Potts b Tours 19			
E H M Crimadell and and 10			
E. H. M. Grimsdell not out 13			
Byes, etc 18			
*200			
* Innings de	clared closed.		
TO IT II ALDENHA	M SCHOOL.		
E. H. Hawkins b Grimsdell 6	R. P. Stoner	100	
S. R. C. Walmsley c Powell b	L. G. Gottschalk	did not bat.	
Grimsdell 0	A. B. P. Heaton	did not bat.	
K. C. Tours c and b Grimsdell 36	W. A. Leach-Lewis		
E. D. R. Shearer e Pool b Toylor 46			

# Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . 4

#### HARROW V. WINCHESTER.

120

Played at Harrow, Saturday, July 17.—Rain spoiled what seemed likely to prove a most interesting finish, Winchester, at the close of a drawn game, needing 104 for victory with six wickets in hand. C. Clover-Brown batted extremely well for Harrow, whose later batsmen found the bowling of P. N. Townsend (six wickets for 50 runs) too much for them.

C. Clover-Brown c Kingsley b Milligan	RROW.   G. E. M. Pennefather b Townsend   9   D. A. M. Rome not out   1   R. H. Palmer b Townsend   2   G. L. Raphael b H. E. Scott   1   Byes, etc.   10
K. R. M. Carlisle b Townsend 1	

#### WINCHESTER. I. D. K. Fleming c Andreae b A. D. Bonham Carter Pennefather..... 34 H. E. Scott P. G. T. Kingsley c Raphael b R. S. Walker did not bat. Palmer ..... A. M. Tew R. S. G. Scott c Lindsay b Raphael 2 P. N. Townsend P. J. W. Milligan not out..... 10 E. P. King lbw, b Rome..... 4 N. U. Coates not out..... 4 Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . 1

# ARDINGLY COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Ardingly, Saturday, July 17.—The Whitgift batting broke down badly, before excellent bowling of J. Tilley for Ardingly, who won by 66 runs.

ARDINGL	COLLEGE.
G. F. Page c Sturges b Virley 11	S. J. S. Kinder b Peart 0
K. J. Bennett c and b Virley 0	K. G. Couper not out 13
A. C. Bryant b Virley 11	K. King b Virley 0
H. W. Roberts c and b Virley 18	E. V. Sewell c Ling b Peart 0
N. W. Lansdown b Peart 3	Byes, etc 2
J. Tilley c Goodwin b Virley 31	
S. F. Paine b Virley 21	110
G. P. Goodwin c King b Tilley 4 K. H. Virley b Tilley 1	A. J. S. Walker c Kinder b
J. E. Cox c King b Tilley 0	Lansdown 0
F P Fwing b Tiller	N. M. Ling b Lansdown 0
F. R. Ewing b Tilley	W. J. Stutchbury b Tilley 7
G F Dullinger b Tiller 10	F. S. Thompson not out 0
G. F. Pullinger b Tilley 10	And the second property of the second
H. G. Sturges c King b Tilley 0	at a affair a mile a mile a 44
the state of the s	

### BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at BRIGHTON, Saturday, July 17.—Drawn. J. H. Green and C. de B. Barnard were in fine form for Brighton, scoring 204 before they were separated.

B. Barnard were in fine form for Brighton	n, scoring 204 before th	ey were separate
J. H. Green not out. 130 C. de B. Barnard b Parks-Smith. 108 C. A. R. Lawrence c Jennings b Black 2 U. H. Huntington b Parks-Smith 1 E. L. Taylor lbw, b Parks-Smith 0 W. H. V. Levett not out. 22 Byes, etc. 12	G COLLEGE, J. A. P. Bartlett W. H. A. Evans C. Odgers P. C. Horden J. M. Whitsed	did not bat.
* Innings dec	elared closed.	
CHRIST'S HOSP J. A. Hasler c Green b Evans 32 O. R. Black b Evans 8 T. G. Jennings c Horden b Green 43 E. M. Undery c Whitsed b Bartlett 17	T. D. Craig A. C. Jones A. Bartlett E. G. Done	did not bat

134

R. G. Parks-Smith

V. N. W. McCormick

A. Y. Cann not out........... 16

# UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at Hampstead, Wednesday, July 21.—A low scoring match, University College winning by 8 runs.

#### KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

J. V. Blackman b L. Abrahams. P. R. Wood c Howe b L. Abrahams R. L. Smart b Moran. R. E. C. Goldsmith c and b Moran A. J. Gale c Howe b Abrahams. T. A. Q. Lidell b Moran	2 10 0 2 24	G. F. J. Coe b L. Abrahams A. G. Cross b Moran K. A. Collyer not out R. C. Steel b Trace Byes, etc.	0 6 1
F. L. Freeman b. Moran	0		84

#### UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

L. Abrahams lbw, b Lidell. 2 D. M. Lloyd b Lidell. 2 N. H. Trace c Wood b Lidell 17 B. Abrahams b Lidell 13 T. Rowney b Lidell 0 P. Pettit run out. 25 D. W. Howe lbw, b Collyer. 7	A. C. Moran c Smart b Collyer. 0 D. A. C. Kelly b Lidell. 4 C. M. Colley not out. 6 C. P. Bronson c Collyer b Lidell 4 Byes, etc. 12 92
---	---

### LORETTO SCHOOL v. TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND.

Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, July 24.—An easy win for Glenalmond by 109 runs.

#### LORETTO SCHOOL.

J. M. Scoular c Cameron b Munro 2 H. A. F. Mitchell c Price b Munro 0 W. N. Mitchell c Mitchell b Cruikshank 2 W. Nicholson c Gulliver b Cameron 16	J. A. Drysdale c Cruikshank b Cameron
D. A. Gaunt st Gulliver b	nu-t-
Cruikshank 0	56
D. I. Brown c Stevenson b Stewart 29 F. H. Waters b Stewart 5	

#### TRINITY COLLEGE, GLENALMOND.

H. R. Price b Drysdale	H. Cameron b Baxter
------------------------	---------------------

#### STONYHURST COLLEGE v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at Liverpool, Monday, Tuesday, July 26, 27.—Much admirable batting form was shown in this drawn game. R. W. Skene bowled well in the first innings of Stonyhurst, taking eight wickets for 100 runs.

#### SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

W. M. L. Smethurst b Smith 0— b Smith 102 R. C. W. Dent b H. de Caires 7— b Smith 24 R. W. Skene b H. de Caires 49— st Hickey b Smith 70 J. D. Worthington b McDowell 7— run out 48 J. E. Nicholls b McDowell 1— c McDowell b H. de Caires 4 J. E. Arch lbw, b Smith 22— not out 2 J. F. A. Turner b Smith 0— not out 7
W. E. Mitchell-Innes b Cooper
T. Connolly run out
W. Pearson not out 22 — c and b Smith 8
Byes, etc 18 Byes, etc 7
200
* Innings declared closed.
Things declared closed.
TO A PERCENT AND AND AND A PERCENT AND A PER
D. C. Smith b Pearson
T. Christie b Skene
M. T. Cooper c Connolly b Skene103
H. S. de Caires c Dent b Skene
A. McDowell b Skene
R. Poole c Connolly b Skene
N. F. McGrath b Skene
J. C. Fletcher lbw, b Skene 4
A. G. C. King not out
Byes, etc 33 Byes, etc 4

July 8, Highgate {	M.C.C. HIGHGATE		2nd inns.	}	Result. Won by 87 runs.
July 8, Catford {	M.C.C	110 239	94*	}	Lost by 129 runs. *Seven wkts. down.
July 9, Byfleet	M.C.C. BYFLEET	147 255*	Arrenna Arrenna	}	Lost by 108 runs. *Eight wkts. down.
July 9, 10, Sheffleld	M.C.C. SHEFFIELD COLLEGIATE	272 200	86*	}	Drawn. *Four wkts. down.

#### ETON V. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 9, 10. — Two full days, with play beginning at eleven o'clock, proved msufficient for a definite result, Eton and Harrow for the fifth time in succession being drawn. Both Schools went up to Lord's with good credentials. Eton would have beaten Winchester had time permitted, and Harrow a week before had done some sensational hitting when defeating Harrow Wanderers. To some extent form worked out accurately, Harrow securing on the first innings a lead of 64, but any chance of their beating Eton for the first time since 1908 had disappeared before another wicket fell. Beyond question, most of the batsmen on both sides exercised undue caution. The average rate of secoring worked out at about a run a minute, under conditions entirely favourable to batting. De Zoete and Crawley stood out as notable exceptions from the general type of careful player. No doubt the state of the game warranted restraint among the batsmen at different stages, but de Zoete gave such ample proof of the value of aggression against successful bowlers, that it was remarkable more batsmen did not follow his example.

Eton had five old choices—Hope, Cazalet, Lomax, Hill-Wood and Whittaker; Harrow six—Ford, Crawley, Clover-Brown, Andreae, Carlisle, and Pennetather, and both sides benefited by having a good leaven of seasoned players. Cecil and Ward, a left hander, displayed excellent nerve when Eton lost two of their best batsmen for 32 runs. They faced good bowling of varied type, changed often enough to unsettle the batsmen, and occupied nearly an hour and a half in adding 76 runs. Cecil actually took two hours and a half over his 45, but he saw the score rise from 12 to 141, his untiring defence averting the danger of a serious collapse. De Zoete completely changed the character of the cricket. Patient before lunch, taking twenty minutes over 3 runs, he suddenly started to force the game. He drove with power, hitting ten 4's and scoring 70 runs in fifty minutes. A firm-footed batsman, de Zoete lifted the ball too often for safety and he enjoyed much good fortune in the way lofty drives cleared the fieldsmen, but at last a "skyer" fell into mid-off's hands. After Cecil left, 78 runs came in forty minutes, but at all other periods of the innings, the cricket proved slow. Capel-Cure, a left hander, and Lomax got their runs well.

Of the Harrow bowlers, G. L. Raphael, a brother of A. C. Raphael, who had bowled so finely a year before, used his height, and made the ball swerve at a fair pace. Rome had a curious run up to the wicket, while Robinson pitched his slows well into the air. Pennefather got on some break either way, and after working hard without success, he fully deserved to be the most effective bowler when Eton batted a second time. Andreae, slow right hand, might have been more used as he dismissed Ward cleverly very soon after being first tried.

Eton's innings lasted five hours and Harrow took almost exactly the same to obtain the lead. When, thanks to Crawley's splendid hitting, Harrow's first wicket made 77 in an hour, Eton had cause for alarm, but Whittaker bowled Lindsay without addition, and when the third wicket fell to a catch at point at 113, Harrow's turn for anxiety arrived. Crawley then nursed the bowling, secring 33 out of 118 made in an hour and a half before stumps were draw. He hit his thirteenth 4 in the morning, but then Hill-Wood beat him with a faster

ball than usual—a yorker on the leg stump.
especially well, batted freely for two hours. In dismissing this dangerous opponent so early in the second day, Eton had occasion for much relief, as there was no one else who could score fast enough to become troublesome. Andreae and Carlisle added 113 in eighty-five minutes by thoroughly sound cricket for the seventh wicket, and Pennerather and Rome put on 77 in an hour, great enthusiasm being shown during this latter partnership, which secured the lead. Harrow were batting altogether five hours and three-quarters. As was the case twelve mouths previously, Whittaker did the best bowling in the match. He kept such a wonderfully accurate length, with the ball well pitched up, that, though extremely slow, his left hand deliveries seldom could be punished with any freedom. Hill-Wood, also left handed, bowled medium pace with a swinging overhead action, getting rid of the ball without checking his run. Forbes, de Zoete and Capel-Cure, all right hand, and Ward, left hand medium, seems useful changes—nothing more.

Eton went in a second time at four o'clock and, though clouds affected the light, Cazalet and Hope cleared off the arrears by good batting. They made 70 in sixty-five minutes against five bowlers. Cazalet went on to complete his 100. Except for a chance at short-leg when 94, he played practically faultless cricket for two hours forty minutes. He hit five 4's, eight 3's, using skill in placing the ball rather than power in hitting a feature of the match.

a feature of the match.	Timon
70.11	ETON.
Mr. H. E. H. P. C. Hope c Robin Rome Mr. P. V. F. Cazalet b Raphael Mr. L. Cecil c Pennefather b Rap Mr. M. S. C. Ward c and b Andree Mr. M. H. de Zoete c Rome b Rap Mr. D. H. Studley-Herbert b Ro Mr. D. H. Studley-Herbert b Ro Mr. D. Lomax b Andreae Mr. C. K. H. Hill-Wood st Lind	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Robinson Bannofe	
Mr. R. C. C. Whittaker c Pennefa	. 19
Andreae	
Mr. D. W. A. W. Forbes not out	B.7, 1-b 3, w 1, n-b 1 12
B 6, l-b 10, w 3, n-b 3	22 2.1,100,112,22
	312 204
	HARROW. 87 Mr. K. R. M. Carlisle c Ward b
Mr. A. M. Crawley b Hill-Wood.	
Mr. C. Clover-Brown c Lomax	Mr. C. E. M. Ponnofether h Ward 41
Whittaker	
Mr. W. O'B. Lindsay b Whittake	
Mr. A. Atha c Capel-Cure	Mr. T. F. Pohinson not out
Whittaker	
Mr. G. L. Raphael c Whittaker	D .
de Zoete	376
Mr. N. M. Ford c Lomax b Cape	1-
Cure	
Mr. C. M. Andreae st Lomax	0 =4
Whittaker	RROW BOWLING.
	Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
0,1610 111	68 3 10 2 17 0
Total Principal	73 2 11 0 55 0
Toolito	79 2 13.4 1 44 2
	36 0 17 6 45 3
London	29 3 9 2 22 0
Androad	5 0 1 0 9 0
Clover-Brown 2 0	9

			ETON	BOWLI	NG.	
Forbes	18	3	74	0		
Whittaker	56	20	99	5		
Ward	28	4	76	1		
Hill-Wood	24.4	6	47	2		
de Zoete	11	3	33	1		
Capel-Cure	8	0	24	1		
-	Un	pires:	J. Mo:	ss and	H.	Young.

	RESULTS OF ETON	AND H	ARROW MATCHES
1805	Eton won by an inns, and 2 runs	1875	Drawn
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs
1822		1877	Drawn
1823		1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1824		1879	Drawn
1825		1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1827		1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1828		1882	Drawn
1832		1883	Drawn
1833			Drawn
1834		1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1835		1886	Eton won by six wickets
1836		1887	Eton won by five wickets
1837		1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1838		1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1839		1890	Drawn
1840		1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1841		1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1842 1843		1893 1894	Eton won by nine wickets Drawn
1844		1895	Drawn
1845		1896	Drawn
1846		1897	Drawn
1847		1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1848		1899	Drawn
1849		1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1850		1901	Harrow won by ten wickets
1851		1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1852		1903	Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1904	Eton won by inns, and 12 runs
1854		1905	Drawn
1855		1906	Eton won by four wickets
1858		1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1859		1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1860		1909	Drawn
1861	Drawn	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1863		1912	Eton won by six wickets
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1913	Eton won by nine wickets
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1914	Eton won by four wickets
1866		1915	to 1918. No regular match
1867			during the war
1868		1919	Eton won by 202 runs
1869		1920	Eton won by nine wickets
1870		1921	Eton won by seven wickets
1871		1922	Drawn; no play on first day
1872		1923	Drawn
1878		1924	Drawn
1874	Eton won by five wickets	1925	Drawn
		1926	Drawn

Of the 97 matches played, Eton have won 39, Harrow 35, and 23 have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-four three-figure inni	ngs have now been played in matches between
the two schools, as follows:—	180 mars = 1 - 1
183 D. C. Boles (in 1904), Etc.	112*A. W. T. Daniel(in 1860), Harrow
173 G. Wilson (in 1913), Harr	ow 108 C. J. Ottaway (in 1869), Eton
159 E. W. Dawson (in 1923), Etor	1 108 R. B. Hoare (in 1888), Harrow
152 E. Bayley (in 1841), Etor	103 L. G. Crawley (in 1921), Harrow
142 T. G. O. Cole (in 1897), Harr	ow 102*P. H. Stewart-Brown
137 C. H. B. Blount(in 1912), Harr	ow (in 1923), Harrow
135 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Har	ow 101 H.C.Pilkington (in 1896), Eton
131 M. C. Bird (in 1907), Har	ow 100 E. Crawley . (in 1885), Harrow
124 J. H. Stogden (in 1895), Har	
120 B.J.T.Bosanquet(in 1896), Etc	on shaw (in 1903), Eton
117 A. W. Ridley (in 1871), Eton	100*M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 100 R. H. Cobbold (in 1923), Eton
114 C. P. Foley (in 1886), Eton	
113 W. F. Forbes (in 1876), Eton	1 100 P. V. F. Cazalet (in 1920), Etoli

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. M. C. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match \* Signifies not out.

1st 2nd inns. inns. Result. July 10, Lost by 154 runs. R.N.C. (OFFICERS AND Dartmouth ... CA ETS) ..... 164\* --Drawn. M.C.C. ..... July 10. \*Ten wkts. down. NORTHWOOD . . . . . . . 252 Northwood 259 Drawn. July 12. \*Seven wkts. down. 245\* ---EPSOM COLLEGE . . . . Epsom ..... R.E. won by seven wkts. ROYAL ARTILLERY . 192 157 July 12, 13, \*Three wkts. down. 196 154\* ROYAL ENGINEERS . Lord's ..... Drawn. 135 191\* July 12, 13, \*Eight wkts. down. AL ERSEOT COMMAND 244 194† Aldershot .... †Eight wkts. dn. Deld. 201 -July 13, Won by 52 runs. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE West Hamp-SCHOOL ..... 149 stead ..... Won by seven wkts. M.C.C. ..... 249\* --July 14, \*Three wkts. down. 244 ---DULWICH COLLEGE . Dulwich ..... 269 Drawn. \*Eight wkts. down. 205\* ---SUTTON ..... Sutton ..... Won by 121 runs. 248\* -July 14. M.C.C. ..... \*Nine wkts. down. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL . 127 Cranleigh .... \

July 22, Reigate {	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 114 — }	Result. Lost by 143 runs. *Ten wkts. down.
July 22, 23, Llandudno {	M.C.C	150 186* }	Won by six wkts. *Four wkts. down.
July 23, Sevenoaks {	M.C.C	105 - }	Lost by 28 runs. *Nine wkts. down.
July 23, 24, Bridport	M.C.C. BRIDPORT	286 105* }	Drawn. *Three wkts. down.
July 24, Lord's {	M.C.C	206* — }	Won by four wkts. *Six wkts. down.
July 24, Aldenham {	M.C.C	120* — }	Won by seven wkts. *Three wkts. down.
July 24, Bradfield {	M.C.C. BRADFIELD COLLEGE	186* — } *	Won by 139 runs. Six wkts. dn. Deld.
July 26, 27, Bridport	M.C.C. MR. W. S. EDWARDS' WESSEX XI	154 209* 206 — }	Drawn. *Eight wkts. down.

### CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, July 26, 27. — Persistent rain prevented cricket on Monday and, though Clifton gained a lead of 73 on the first innings, the game had to count as a draw, the rules for a one-day match not operating in regard to the result. On a rather slow pitch from which the ball got up nastily at times, Tegner—missed in the slips off the second ball of the match and enjoying some other escapes—played right through the Clifton innings and scored half the full total. Batting about three hours, the Clitton captain showed most of the left hander's characteristics. He made some admirable cuts, drives and leg hits, his chief strokes being fourteen 4's. H. L. Carr, forcing the game well, played in good style. Clitton were the superior side in the field and, apart from Miller and Holman, the Tonbridge batsmen gave comparatively little trouble, but the follow-on was just saved and then the match ended.

#### CLIFTON.

Mr. N. E. White b Tucker 3	Mr. R. C. Arendt run out 9
Mr. F. M. S. Tegner c Clarke b	Mr. F. D. Walker c Wallers b
Mr. H, L. Carre Rowan b Baiss 56	Mr. G. E. Peck c Holman b Wallers 10
Mr. R. C. Barnard b Tucker 3	Mr. G. H. Whittington not out 13
Mr. W. C. Carr c Smith b Rowan 9	B 4, l-b 4 8
Mr. E. S. V. Burton b Tucker 0	and the same of th
Mr A M Tilly h Smith	226

#### TONBRIDGE.

Mr. P. N. Miller lbw b Peck. 46 Mr. J. A. Baiss c H. L. Carr b Peck 5 Mr. H. W. Dods c Tilly b Peck. 10 Mr. H. M. O'M. Holman c H. L. Carr b Tegner 27 Mr. W. H. Gabb lbw, b Peck. 1 Mr. G. A. Hill c W. C. Carr b Tegner 9 Mr. R. D. Wallers c Tegner b Tilly 12	Mr. T. L. Rowan b Tilly 19 Mr. D. S. Clarke not out 14 Mr. M. W. Smith b Tilly 11 Mr. E. J. G. Tucker run out 0 B 5, l-b 3, w 1 9  153

TONBRI	GE I	BOWLING	<b>7.</b>	
	vers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Holman	7	0	36	0
Tucker	25.5	4	97	- 5
Smith	8	1	31	1
Baiss	3	- 0	12	1
Rowan	8	2	22	1
Wallers	6	0	20	1
	on B	OWLING		
W. C. Carr	3	1	6	0
Tilly	19	6	44	3
Peck	21	10	34	4
Whittington	7	3	9	0
Barnard	3	1	11	0
Tegner	8	0	40	2
Umpires: W. A.	J. W	est and	W. Sr	nith.

July 27, East Molesey	M.C.C EAST MOLESEY	1st 2nd inns. inns. 226* — }	Result. Won by 147 runs. *Nine wkts. down.
July 27, 28, Jersey	M.C.C	220 55* 140 133	Won by six wkts. *Four wkts. down.
July 28, East Grin- stead	M.C.C EAST GRINSTEAD	157 — 291* — }	Lost by 134 runs. *Eight wkts. dn. Deld
July 28, Camberley {	M.C.C	229 — }	Won by 94 runs.

#### RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at LORD's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 28, 29. — Thanks mainly to a splendid performance on the part of Leedham-Green, Rugby gained a victory by 112 runs. A left hander, Leedham-Green bowled his slow leg-breaks with such accuracy of pitch that he obtained fifteen wickets—nine in the second innings—for 135 runs. His remarkable work with the ball followed a notable achievement twelve months earlier, when in the match against Marlborough he took eleven wickets. Outside Leedham-Green's bowling the main factors in Rugby's success were the batting of Agnew and Monkhouse. The value

87

of what the early Rugby batsmen accomplished was emphasised by the facts that in the first innings the last four wickets fell for 9 runs, and that the second that in the first innings, after the score had reached 154 for five, closed for an addition of 45 runs. Apart from Block, who unluckily played-on, the Marlborough batsmen failed badly on the first day when Bradshaw, with good length off-break bowling, gave Leedham-Green useful help. In the effort to win the match, Marlborough scored freely, but false strokes off Leedham-Green caused the regular dismissal of batsmen just when valuable partnerships seemed in prospect. Marlborough fielded well, but their work never quite equalled that of Rugby, who supported their bowlers splendidly, holding practically every catch offered, and saving many runs by alertness, sure picking up, and accurate returns to the wicket.

M.C.C. Matches.

many runs by alerthess, sure picking up, and accurate returns to the wicket.
Rugby.
Mr. J. E. G. Pearson c Thornton b Page 6 - st Worsley b Marley 34
Mr. J. M. Monkhouse c Marley b Page. 37 — c Anderson b Marley 60
Mr. C. G. Agnew c Block b Page 73 — c Worsley b Thornton 7
Mr. J. F. Connolly run out
Mr. G. V. F. Dawson c Thornton b Page 23 — c Worsley b Thornton 19
Mr. A. S. Bradshaw st Worsley b Page. 24 — c Robertson b Marley 20
Mr. R. B. Wingate c Neilson b Marley 2 — b Marley
Mr. J. S. R. Reeve run out 0 — c Robertson b Marley 19
Mr. H. L. Leedham-Green c Block b
Marley
Mr. H. M. Gow b Marley 0 - c Block b Thornton 2
Mr. F. K. Roberts not out 0 — b Thornton 0
B 2, w 1, n-b 2 5 B 12, l-b 1, w 2 15
Annual Section 2
175
MARLBOROUGH.
Mr. S. A. Block b Bradshaw 31 — c Wingate b Leedham-Green 27
Mr. G. W. Finch st Roberts b Leedham-

Mr. G. W. Finch st Roberts b Leedham-		
Green	0 — c Wingate b Leedham-Green 20	
Mr. J. F. Anderson c Roberts b Leedham-		
Green		
Mr. M. Robertson b Bradshaw	7 — c Leedham-Green b Dawson 12	
Mr. R. H. Neilson c Connolly b Bradshaw	15 — c Bradshaw b Leedham-Green 23	
Mr. T. C. Worsley b Leedham-Green	4 — lbw, b Leedham-Green 7	
Mr. R. Y. Fison c Bradshaw b Leedham-		
Green	1 — c Bradshaw b Leedham-Green 18	
Mr. M. H. Thornton c Gow b Leedham-	and the second s	
Green	6 — b Leedham-Green 11	
Mr. R. K. Page b Leedham-Green	8 — b Leedham-Green 0	
Mr. R. J. Marley b Dawson		
Mr. M. J. Morton not out		
B 4, 1-b 2		
	94 168	

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Marley		4	22	3	10	5	43	5
Page		2	73	5	. 10	0	48	0
Thornton		0	27	0	. 14	2	41	4
Morton		0	20	0	. 6	1	16	0
Neilson	. 4	1	16	0	. 6	1	24	0
Block	. 7	2	12	0	. 5	1	12	0
			RUGBY	BOWLING.				
Bradshaw	. 16	3	36	3	. 14	2	46	0
Leedham-Green		1	48	6	. 25	1	87	9
Dawson	2.1	1	4	1	. 11	3	25	1
	Umnir	1 . 80	ATI	Vest and	A. J. At	field.		

# RESULTS OF RUGBY AND MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1888	Abandoned. No play.
	Lord's	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
	Lord's	1891	Drawn
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
	83 runs, at Oval		and 78 runs
1860	Rugby won by an innings and	1893	Drawn
	50 runs, at Lord's	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
1862	Marlborough won by an innings		82 runs
	and 17 runs, at Lord's	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
1864	Rugby won by an innings and		35 runs
	83 runs, at Islington	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1898	Drawn
	Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1901	Mariborough won by five wkts.
	Oval	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1903	Drawn
	133 runs, at Rugby	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1905	Drawn
	Lord's	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
	Marlborough	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets		runs
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts
	35 runs	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
	24 runs	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1918	Marlborough won by an innings
1880	Rugby won by an innings and		and 94 runs
	120 runs	1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1920	Drawn
1882	Mariborough won by five wkts.	1921	Drawn
1883	Drawn	1922	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1885	Drawn	1924	Rugby won by three wickets
1886	Rugby won by 37 runs	1925	Drawn
1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs	1926	Rugby won by 112 runs
Of the	60 metabes Bughy hove wen 95	nd Man	

Of the 69 matches Rugby have won 35 and Marlborough 22. Eleven have been unfinished and one abandoned

July 29, Witham {	M.C.C. WITHAM	1st 2nd inns. inns. 145 — 83* }	Result. Won by 54 runs. *Three wkts. down.
July 29, East Acton {	M.C.C	88 — 152 — }	Lost by 64 runs.
July 29, 30, Guernsey {	M.C.C. GUERNSEY	188 220 111 77 }	Won by 220 runs.

July 29, 30, { Gloucester	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 197 90* 177 33†	Result. Drawn. *Six wkts. dn. Deld. †Three wkts. down.
July 30, Leatherhead . {	M.C.C OLD JOHNIANS	108 — }	Lost by 55 runs. *Three wkts. down.

#### CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July, 30 31.—For the fourth time in five years, this Schools' match at Lord's ended in a draw. Rain restricted the playing hours to four and a quarter on the first day, and though a lot happened on Saturday, when Cheltenham tried hard to force a victory, little likelihood of a definite result then existed. Baker, scoring most of his runs on the leg side—in accordance with modern methods,—batted about two hours and a half for 68 runs, the conditions generally favouring the bowlers, who received good support from their field. Stewart kept an accurate length with an appreciable off-break as the pitch dried, and he batted so well, that Halleybury might have secured a useful lead, had he not been beautifully stumped. Three wonderful catches followed. Henderson held one at point; Wilcox took a hard return with his right hand, while Woollatt after running quite twenty yards, secured a big hit near the screen. As Martin did a second smart piece of stumping, Cheltenham owed their advantage largely to effective fielding. Alston, exceptionally tall, bowled too good a length to be hit at all freely, and Colbeck did well with his leg breaks. Then, when the Cheltenham batsmen took risks, Marshall—medium paced right hand—found ready victims apart from Kempster, who played a sound free game for the second time in the match. Improved conditions for run-getting compelled Edwards to delay his declaration. Wordsworth failing, the task of making 183 runs in a hundred minutes became additionally difficult and the game, after a lot of good cricket, had a quiet finish.

#### CHELTENHAM.

Mr. C. M. N. Baker lbw, b Stewart 68 — lbw, b Eastwood 11
Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham c Johnson b Harvey
Mr. W. F. H. Kempster c Stewart b Heywood 34 — b Marshall 66
Heywood
Mr. R. J. Woollatt c and b Stewart 5 — b Marshall 4
Mr. N. S. Henderson c Barber b Harvey 14 — b Harvey
Mr. F. Willcox b Stewart 0 — not out 8
Mr. T. Martin c Heywood b Stewart 21 — b Harvey
Mr. L. B. S. Colbeck not out
235 *155
235

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed,

### HAILEYBURY.

Mr. M. Wordsworth b Wellings Mr. R. H. Wright lbw, b Alston Mr. F. I. W. Stewartst Martin b Colbeck Mr. C. S. Catlow c Henderson b Alston Mr. P. G. Barber st Martin b Colbeck Mr. F. M. Heywood c and b Willcox Mr. H. Eastwood c Woollatt b Colbeck Mr. B. G. Wennink c Baker b Colbeck Mr. K. Johnson run out Mr. G. B. Harvey not out Mr. G. B. Marshall c Baker b Wellings B 5, 1-b 1, w 1, n-b 1	12 — Ibw, b Wellings	3
D 0, 1-D 1, W 1, H-D 1	8 B 7	
20	8 124	
	A ACT	

#### HAILEYBURY BOWLING.

Weithink 11 4 30 0 2 0 7 Eastwood 7 3 11 0 6 1 20 Marshall 11 4 32 0 8 2	Vkts.
Harvey 24 3 73 2 13.4 3 44  Heywood 9 4 15 2 8 3 27  Barber. 2 0 12 0 8  Stewart 13.3 2 46 6 3 0 19	1 0
CHELTENHAM BOWLING.	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 0 2 1 0

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and W. Smith.

# RESULTS OF CHELTENHAM AND HAILEYBURY MATCHES.

1893 Drawn	1908 Drawn
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	
1895 Drawn	1909 Drawn
1896 Drawn	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings
	and 3 runs
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets
1898 Halleybury won by seven wkts	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1899 Haileybury won by an innings	1012 Hancybury won by II runs
and 45 runs	1913 Haileybury won by an innings
	and 217 runs
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1914 Drawn
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1920 Drawn
1903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1021 Choltonham man 1 aa
1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and	1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs
41 runs	1922 Drawn
	1923 Drawn
1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1924 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1925 Drawn
1907 Cheltenham won by an innings	1926 Drawn
and 51 runs	TODO DIGNII

<sup>†</sup> Played at the Crystal Palace.

July 31, High Wycombe .	M.C.C	1st ' 2nd inns. inns. 140* — 220† —	Result. Drawn. *Eight wkts. down. †Eight wkts. dn. Deld.
August 2, Blackheath	M.C.C BLACKHEATH	183 — 255* —	Lost by 72 runs. *Five wkts. down.
August 2, Slough	M.C.C. SLOUGH	89 — 119 —	Lost by 30 runs.

#### LORD'S SCHOOLS v. THE REST.

F Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, August 2, 3.—Outplayed, Lord's Schools suffered defeat by ten wickets. Apart from Tegner, the left hander, who batted well, and in admirable style, they showed to little advantage against Hay, a left hander able to keep a length and flight the ball, and Taylor who bowled very steadily. For their lead of 136, The Rest had to thank Killick Completing his 100 in two hours on the first aiternoon, when he claimed 126 out of 273, Killick received little help on the second morning, the last four wickets falling for 27 runs. Taking out his bat for 137, made without a mistake, Killick was altogether at the wickets three hours and he hit seventeen 4's. Whittaker the slow left-hander and Bradshaw bowled by far the best. Killick alone mastered them, though Valentine, who showed particularly good form, May, and Taylor batted very creditably. Crawley, staying in two hours and hitting eight 4's, relieved Lord's Schools' second innings from insignificance; there was nothing better in the match than his driving. Again helped by very smart fielding in which Killick showed up prominently, The Rest bowlers never looked like being mastered. Facing a light task, Kingsley and Snow batted carefully, taking over an hour to obtain the 48 runs required to win.

### LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. P. V. F. Cazelet (Eton) c Hay b May	7 — run out	4
Mr. S. A. Block (Marlborough) b Hay	23 — c McIntosh b Taylor	
Mr. A.M. Crawley (Harrow) lbw, b Taylor	18 — c Killick b McIntosh	79
Mr. W. F. H. Kempster (Cheltenham) c		
Kingsley b Taylor	16 — b Hay	0
Mr. F. M. S. Tegner Clifton) c Snow b Hay	50 — b McIntosh	13
Mr. A. S. Bradshaw (Rugby) c Kingsley	A STATE OF THE STA	10
b Taylor	30 — c and b Hay	12
Mr. D. W. A. Forbes (Eton) lbw, b		1.1
Taylor	6 — c and b Taylor	
Mr. H. L. Leedham-Green (Rugby) b Hay	3 — b McIntosh	
Mr. E. J. G. Tucker (Tonbridge) b Hay	0 — b McIntosh	
Mr. R. C. C. Whittaker (Eton) not out	13 — b Hay	
Mr. F. K. Roberts (Rugby) b Hay	1 — not out	
B 4, l-b 4, n-b 1	9 B 9, 1-b 2	11
	and the same of th	attinuon

173

Mr. D. II II I	REST.		
Mr. B. H. Valentine (Repton) c Lee	d-		
b Whittaker	. 19 — not	out	
Mr. G. P. Goodwin (Whitgift) c Lee	d-		
ham-Green b Whittaker	16		
Mr. E. T. Killick (St. Paul's) not out	.137		
Mr. P. S. Snow (Shrewsbury) b Bra	d-		
shaw	· 0 — not	out	24
	D		
Bradshaw	. 34		
Bradshaw Mr. R. I. F. McIntosh (Uppingham) Whittaker	h		
Leobor os D Drausnaw	0		
B 10, 1-b 5		2, I-b 1	0
	Personal		0
	302		
	302 BOWLING.		50
Overe Mana Dans	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O	vers Mdns.	50
May 6 2 15	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{vers} & \mathrm{Mdns.} \\ 4 & 1 \end{array}$	
May 6 2 15 McIntosh 11 1	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1	vers Mdns.	50 Runs Wkts. 13 0
May         Overs         Mdns.         Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Taylor         19         5         46	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5	vers Mdns.	To 50  Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4
May         Overs         Mdns.         Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Taylor         17         2         46           Taylor         17         2         2	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 5 4	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4	50 Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4
May         Overs Mdns.         Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         10	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 5 4 0	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0	50 Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3
May         Overs Mdns.         Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         14	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4	Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           de intosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         14           Forbes         10         Lord's School	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 0 ULS BOWLING.	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           McIntosh         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         14           Forbes         10         LORD'S SCHOOL           Whittaker         2         40	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 5 4 0 0 bls Bowling.	vers Mdns. 4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0	50 Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
May         Overs Mdns.         Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           Hay         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         14           Lord's School         4         4         2           Whittaker         28         6         85           Bradshaw         16         4         2	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 5 4 0 0 20LS BOWLING. 0 5	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0	50 Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           McIntosh         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         14           Lord's School         10         2         40           Whittaker         28         6         85           Bradshaw         16.4         6         37           Leedham-Green         16         9         37	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0	50  Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	302 BOWLING. Wkts. 0 1 0 5 4 0 0 DLS BOWLING.	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0  7 3 5 0 0.4 0	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           Mcintosh         6         2           Mcintosh         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         14           Forbes         10         2         40           Whittaker         28         6         85           Bradshaw         16.4         6         37           Leedham-Green         16         2         64           Tucker         11         2         31           Tegner         2         3         31	302 BOWLING, Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 ULS BOWLING. 0 5 2 0	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0	50  Runs Wkts. 13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           McIntosh         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         10           Forbes         10         2         40           Whittaker         28         6         85           Bradshaw         16.4         6         37           Leedham-Green         16         2         64           Tucker         11         2         31           Tegner         2         0         30	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 0 18 BOWLING. 0 5 2 0 0 0 2 0 0	vers Mdns.  4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0  7 3 5 0 0.4 0	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 LIS BOWLING. 0 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vers Mdns. 4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0 7 3 5 0 0.4 0 3 1	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
May         Overs Mdns. Runs           McIntosh         6         2         15           McIntosh         11         1         49           Hay         19         5         46           Taylor         17         3         33           Tod         3         0         10           Snow         3         0         10           Forbes         10         2         40           Whittaker         28         6         85           Bradshaw         16.4         6         37           Leedham-Green         16         2         64           Tucker         11         2         31           Tegner         2         0         30	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 LIS BOWLING. 0 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vers Mdns. 4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0 7 3 5 0 0.4 0 3 1	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	302 BOWLING. Wkts. O 1 0 5 4 0 LIS BOWLING. 0 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vers Mdns. 4 1 11.1 4 19 5 18 4 2 0 1 0 7 3 5 0 0.4 0 3 1	Runs Wkts.  13 0 31 4 49 3 46 2 18 0 5 0

Played at Lorn's, Wednesday, Thursday, August 4, 5.—The Army proved far too strong for the Schools, who, failing badly in batting, suffered defeat by an innings and 67 runs. Of the boys regarded as dependable rungetters, only Kingsley and Killick showed anything like their proper form, and bad judgment in running contributed to a most disappointing display on each day. Wilkinson and Williams, making 95 in fifty-five minutes, assured the Army of a useful advantage, but a collapse ensued until Stanyforth and Pank hit up 83 in three-quarters of an hour for the eighth wicket. Though freely punished at times, Whittaker and McIntosh bowled up to their form shown in school matches, and the fielding all round deserved praise, even if some of the boys had done better in previous games. In fact, had their average ability with the bat been displayed, the Schools might have made a big fight instead of allowing the Army a very easy victory.

# PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Mr. B. H. Valentine (Repton) c Tudor b  Burrows 6 — b Pank	
Mr. A. M. Crawley (Harrow) c Bryan b Fink Mr. E. T. Killick (St. Paul's) run out. 8 — c Stanyforth b Hyndson. 3	
MI. W. D. S. May (Wellington) S off.	2 4 8
Mr. R. C. C. Whittaker (Eton) b Hyndson 7 — b Hyndson	7
Mr. R. I. F. McIntosh (Uppingham) not out	
B 4, 1-b 1 5 L-b 2, w 1, n-b 1	4
115 THE ARMY.	۷
Capt. A. C. Wilkinson b Whittaker 69 (Capt. R. T. Stanyforth b McIntosh 4	6

1.1.		111000 2 .
Capt. A. C. Wilkinson b Whittaker 6: Capt. E. S. B. Williams c Tegner b Taylor 5 Capt. M. B. Burrows c Kingsley b Whittaker	8 2 0	Capt. R. T. Stanyforth b McIntos Capt. C. D. Pank b McIntosh Capt. J. G. W. Hyndson c Kingsle b McIntosh

### THE ARMY BOWLING.

294

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Burrows	7	2	17	1	 8	2	14	0
Pank		2	25	0	 17	5	31	4
Joy		$\bar{2}$	16	2	 7	1	16	0
Fink		0	38	4	 9	0	24	2
Hyndson		4	14	1	 10.4	6	16	3
II JI WOOD		-	Bry	an	 1	0	7	0

### PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.

McIntosh	17.3	4	64	4
Whittaker	23	2	73	3
Hay	8	1	41	0
Taylor	12	0	48	1
May	12	0	49	2

Umpires: W. Smith and T. Oates.

# AVERAGES.

The name of the Captain is marked thus †
Innings marked \* are not outs.

AT	D	RIVI	$H^{r}\Delta$	M	SCIT	TOOT.	RA	TTIN	a
AL	w			141	DUL		137	1 1 1 1 1 4 4	T.

	Inning	S	Runs	 Highest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
K. C. Tours	. 12		234	 60*	State !	2		23.40
E. D. R. Shearer	. 12		245	 49	-0.	0		20.41
M. A. Nelson	. 12		154	 64		1	1,19	14.00
†J. G. Potts	. 12		152	 33	701.	0		12.66
S. R. C. Walmsley	. 12		121	 43		0		10.08
C. R. Walmsley	. 6		48	 25		1		9.60
E. H. Hawkins	. 12		101	 29		1		9.18
L. G. Gottschalk			65	 32		2		7.22
A. B. P. Heaton	. 10		70	 29	2 12 23	0		7.00

# ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
C. R. Walmsley	92.2	 25		179		28		6.39
G. P. Longfield	33	 8		77		7		11.00
L. G. Gottschalk	137.3	48		302		26		11.61
A. B. P. Heaton	186	 33		497		36		13.80
K. C. Tours	43.1	 3		133		6		22.16

# ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Runs	1	Highest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average		
A. F. Pape	. 17		526		77		1		32.87
†C. J. Blew	. 18		481		89		1		28.29
P. F. Nicoll	. 17	sa. J	262	8	52		3		18.71
S. F. M. Goddard .	. 17		290		52		0		17.05
H. W. Tyler	. 14		165		40		1		12.69
L. R. W. Salmon			114		34*		5		12.66
D. E. O. Hayter	. 18		184		38		2		11.50
M. F. Athay	. 15		134		31		2		10.30
G. E. Tyler			107		26		1		8.91
T. W. Bigge			51		14		2		5.66
G. C. Bird			43		18		0	Epite.	5.37

# ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs		Wicket	bs	Average
C. J. Blew	. 75		. 8	293	ONL	20		14.65
S. F. M. Goddard	238		50	 774	35	46	7.45	16.82
D. E. O. Hayter .	50	1	5	 196	Det.	11	MUNT	17.81
L. R. W. Salmon	205		25	 777	100	- 40	See 18	19.42
A. F. Pape	107	370	16	 404	98	16	e Gerlin	25.25

000		1 "	veec	School .	1100	uyes.					
	AML	LEF	JRT	H COL	LEC	E BA	TTI	NG.			
		Inning	8	Runs	H	ghestin	ns.	Not out	8	Average	
D. E. Walker .		13		459		82*				41.72	
R. Rapp		12		379		89*		2		37.90	
A. J. MacDonal	d	11		315		108*		ī		31.50	
A. Boyle				216		65		2		21.60	
†D. R. Morgan				162		50		9		18.00	
J. Rabnett		7	0.3	69	1 73	22		2		13.80	
W. H. Bayliff		8		85		26		ĩ		12.14	
B. B. Carroll		5		29		19		0		5.80	
P. Whitfield		5		24		11		0		4.80	
L. G. Purcell		9		36	No. of	12		1	• •	4.50	
E. W. Whitfield				24		8*		1		3.42	
13. W. William			111	24	10	0	100	1		0.44	
A	MP	LEFC	R.T	H COL	LEC	E BO	WL	ING			
		Overs		Maidens		Runs	,,	Wicket	0	Avonage	
J. Rabnett						153			S	Average 19.12	
A. J. MacDonal	4		15.	20		723		36			
L. G. Purcell				11		457				20.08	
				6						20.77	
B. B. Carroll		49		0		195		7		27.85	
	AT	TATA	TV	COLL	POI	PATT	TINI	C			
		Inning	S	Runs		ghest in				Average	
†A. C. Bryant				263		75					
K. King		12		206		74*				22.88	
J. Tilley		13		258		67		1		21.50	
H. W. Roberts		13		216		73*		1		18.00	
G. F. Page		13		171		62*		1		14.25	
A. E. Cameron		9				57		0		12.22	
N. W. Lansdow	n	13		135		49		0		10.38	
							-				
	4.70	DINT	VT TT	COTTT	TOT	DOTT	T T.	T.C.			
	AR	-	XLL	COLLI		-	LIL				
		Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average	
J. Tilley		238.3		75		553		55		10.05	
N. W. Lansdow	n	129.3		40		307		18		17.05	
E. V. Sewell		157.5		41		454		21		21.61	
K. G. Couper		65		12		189		8		23.62	
10.36		**********									
	TO	TOTAL	OTH	O COTT	001	DAM	TOTA	0			
				'S SCH							
		Inning	S	Runs	F	Highest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average	
†D. C. Farrow .			014	199		66		1		33.16	
R. W. Brookes				297		56		0		21.21	
C. R. Fyfe		13		150		43		0		11.53	
E. D. Jarman		14		157		46		0		11.21	
E. R. Blake		14		133		24				11.08	
C. F. Harvey				91		39*		2		8.27	
D. H. Curtis .			·	50		18		1		7.14	
R. E. Warne		5		21		12		2		7.00	
F. R. Bullen		14	-	92		31		0		6.57	
	2.14	-									
	70 1	STOT	- Triery	a aar	00	DOTT	T T-	TO			
	BA	NCR	DE.L.	S SCH			LLI				
		Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average	
E. R. Blake		46		13	16 4	130				10.83	
R. W. Brookes			95.			577					
E. D. Jarman			No.	24		370	il.	28		13.21	
A. G. Waterman				12		332	715	16		20.75	
a. C. II wood man							7	17.00			

	BEAUMON	COLLEG	E BATTIN	G.	
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
	15	481	77	0	32.06
A. Russell		362	64	1	27.84
†C. R. Russell		247	52	3	22.45
J. Strange		281	52	1	21.61
L. Le Marchand	15	301	67	0	20.06
		. All			
	BEAUMONT	COLLEG	E BOWLIN	ıa	
	Overs	Maidens		Wickets	Average
L. Le Marchand		65	560	74	7.56
D. Russell		47	434	41	10.58
C. R. Russell	67.2	14	207	17	12.18
R. Harraway		13		14	13.92
	BUTCHEN BUTCHEN TO COMMENT AND A STORY				
	DEDECOT	TOOTION	BATTING	PENDERSON	
				Not outs	Arramaga
C. A. B. McVitt	Innings ie 11	Runs 281	Highest inns.	2	Average 31.22
R. D. Stewart.		263	65	<u>0</u>	23.90
†R. Kellett		220	61	0	20.00
D. E. Tite		115	47	1	19.16
A. Norris			32	2	16.00
F. O. G. Long		77	28*	3	12.83
W. A. Sime	11	127	51	1	12.70
E. F. Davison		126	31	0	11.45
T. P. Moll	10	86	31*	1	9.55
			1.5		
	28				
	BEDFORI	SCHOOL	BOWLING	<del>)</del> .	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. M. Bovill		90	422	42	10.04
E. F. Davison	175.2	48	453	32	14.15
R. Kellett		14	233	11	21.18
F. O. G. Long .	74.2	18	203	8	25.37
BE	DFORD MO	DERN SCI	HOOL BAT	TING.	
	Innings		Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. H. Williams		270	67	0	24.54
H. R. Wratten		139	81*	2	15.44
H. H. Cowley	11	133			13.30
D. C. Riddy C. H. J. Ward	11	117 114	32	1	11.70
		114	38* 18*	1	11.40
W. F. Russell E. C. W. Sowma	in 11	32 99	18* 23		10.66 9.00
L. G. Ashwell		79	26	0	7.90
A CHARLINGII	10 ,.	10	40		1.00
75.73	DECED NOT	TIDAT COT	TOOT DOT	T TATO	
BE	DFORD MOI				
TT XT 0	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. H. Cowley .	191.3	43	479	0.0	11.40
C. H. Williams	182	47			12.13
E. C. W. Sowma	n 86.1	13	263	17	15.52

P	ubi	lic	Se	chool	A	lvera	aes

			OOL BATTI	NG.	
	nnings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
B. C. Edwards	5	114 .	. 35*	1	28.50
†G. F. Carter	14	189 .	. 55	0	13.50
P. C. Howes	13		. 35	2	13.09
L. R. S. Cork	11	0.0	. 19*	4	11.71
T. C. Wall	8	444			11.62
M. Jelley R. O. Felton				$\frac{2}{0}$	$\frac{11.50}{9.57}$
F. L. Fletcher		115 .		1	8.84
B. R. Perry	14	The state of the s	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	6.41
T. G. L. Gale	11		. 18	1	6.20
R. W. Finnemore	11		. 4	4	2.28
100 401					
Crest Control		~~~			
		Marie Control	OOL BOWL		
	vers	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
	169		. 365	22	16.59
R. W. Finnemore		40 .	. 410	24	17.08
B. R. Perry G. F. Carter	79.2		. 262	$\begin{array}{cccc} 15 & \dots \\ 12 & \dots \end{array}$	17.46 19.16
T. C. Wall			. 230		37.50
I. C. Wall	42	θ.	. 150	4	37.30
BLU	INDELL	S SCHO	OL BATTIN	G.	
Th	nnings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. G. L. Harvey	9	266 .	. 73*	2	38.00
†E. T. Benson	12	341 .	. 112*	1	31.00
C. G. Stowell	12	305 .	. 41	1	27.72
J. W. Stephens	7	130 .	. 36	0	18.57
L. G. Newton	11	160	. 46	1	16.00
N. C. Phillips	9	88 .		0	9.77
G. P. M. Blackmore.	11	86 .	. 22	1	8.60
S. A. Walker	7	59 .		0	8.42
S. A. Armstrong	6		. 13	0	7.83 6.50
J. G. Wilkins	5	13 .	. 0,	3	0.50
All the same of the same					
			OL BOWLIN		
	vers	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
S. A. J. Walker		3 .	. 115	10	11.50
N. C. Phillips			. 557	36	15.47
J. G. Wilkins			. 172	11	15.63
G. P. M. Blackmore.			433	22 12	19.68 30.08
R. H. S. Bickham	103	19 .	. 361	12	30.00
Contract of the contract of th					
BRI	GHTON	COLLE	GE BATTIN	G.	T. HOLL
	nnings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. de B. Barnard		591 .	. 106	2	42.21
†J. H. Green	13	394 .	. 130*	1	32.83
C. A. R. Lawrence .	15		. 145	2	31.07
W. H. V. Levett	12		. 42*		18.62
U. H. Huntington .	17	238 .	. 62 29*	$\frac{3}{2}$	17.00
C. Odgers	0				$\frac{14.50}{12.00}$
J. A. P. Bartlett P. C. Hordern			. 29		11.80
E. L. Taylor	13 12		34		10.70
W. H. A. Evans	11	The second second	17*	3	8.12.
,, 11, 21, 12 (011)					

BRIG	HTON	COLL	EGE	BOW	LIN	IG.					
	ers	Maiden	S	Runs		Wicket	S	Average			
	20.3	1		90	-	7		12.85			
	44	51		669		46		14.54			
	81	20		253		16		15.81			
J. H. Green 2	14.1	53	(45)	546		29		18.82			
J. A. P. Bartlett	77.2	14		237	BE.	11		21.54			
		*********		,							
CAMPBEL	r coli	LEGE,	BEI	FAST	, BA	ATTIN	G.				
In	nings	Runs	H	ighest ir	ms.	Not ou	ts	Average			
	14	470		83	111	1		36.15			
C. M. Stewart	13	241		70*		1		20.08			
G. M. Ievers	14	239		44*		1		18.38			
	7	70		31		3		17.50			
	13	191		42		1		15.91			
W. H. Barnett	10	111		29		2		13.87			
	13	180		37		0		13.85			
M. B. Cochran	12	156		52		0		13.00			
CAMPDELL	COTT	DOD 1	DITT	TA A CITT	DO	TITT TN	0				
CAMPBELI	COLL				RO	WLIN	G.				
Ov.		Maidens	100	Runs	I	Vickets		Average			
G. M. Ievers 2	22	6		84		8		10.50			
W. G. R. Loughery	94.5	12		338		27					
J. D. Farrell 14		32		386		25		15.44			
	88.1	22		244		15					
D. M. Kyle 14	8.4	. 41		392		24		16.33			
CITADI	EDITOI	TOTA OC	TTO.	OT DA	mm	ma					
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.											
	ings	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not out	S	Average			
T. A. Pearce	8	213		64		1		30.42			
J. T. Morgan 1	0	198		59		1		22.00			
R. B. Beare 1	1	181		53		1		18.10			
	6	108		70		0		18.00			
D. I. Deakin	9	141		32		1		17.62			
I. C. Connell	8	86		-26*		3		17.20			
J. E. F. Linton 1	1	162		33		1		16.20			
C. E. S. Gordon 1	1	151		74		0		13.72			
CHARTI	ERHOU	SE SC	HOO	IL BO	WI	ING					
Ove		faidens	1100	Runs		Wickets		Averege			
G P Jackson 17	9	33		225		22		Average 10.22			
J. T. Morgan 20	1.3			379		31		12.22			
J. E. F. Linton 3	7.4	7		122		8		15.25			
P. J. Branston 16	9.5	43	9	428		24		17.83			
J. C. Connell 6		14		168		8		21.00			
or continent 0	T	1.2		100		0		21.00			
CHELT	ENHAN	I COL	LEG	E BA	TTI	NG.					
Inn	ings	Runs		ghest in		Not out	S	Average			
F. Willcox	7	194		99		1		32.33			
W. F. H. Kempster 1		306		66	RAT	0		27.81			
C. M. N. Baker 1	2	309		68		0		25.75			
Sir C. K. Dick								All the Control			
Cunyngham 1		259		59		0		23.54			
E. M. Wellings 1		227		46	12.	1					
R. J. Woollatt 1	3	010				0	10.000	16,61			
T. Martin 1:	3			0.0		3		16.50			
N. S. Henderson					200	0		16.00			
R. P. Edwards 13	3			0.0	3.			13.46			
H. N. E. Alston 15					2.0						
TA. 11. 12. 111000011 12		138		22		1		12.54			
L. B. S. Colbeck 10		00		6		0	1 1 2	12.54 6.50			

WULLD DURCHUL A DETUNER.	ublic	Schoo	l Averages.
--------------------------	-------	-------	-------------

		wood	c School	226	cruyes.				
017							de la companya de la		
CI	IELTEN	HA				WL			
70 M W. W.	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
E. M. Wellings . L. B. S. Colbeck	100.2		26		463		29		15.96
F. Willcox	122.3		6		501 139		81		16.16 19.85
H. N. E. Alston	249.2		89		740		81		23.87
N. S. Henderson	36 3	198	4	1	135	17.	5	1	27.00
1.1. CT HOMACT BOIL	50.0				100				21.00
	OHION	TAT 1	COTTO	TO	TO A FIVE	TATA	a		
	CHIGW		Runs		ighest in		Not out		Average
†J. E. W. Waddin		3			62	us.	0		21 00
G. E. Skeates	14				36*	1	1		16.61
F. E. Wheeler	14				37		0		14.71
E. K. Goldie	1.4				38		1		11.53
J. H. Leiper	14		116		27		2		9.66
A. J. H. Barnett	14 12 13				22				9.10
F. E. L. Carter .	13		93		34		ō		7.15
				-		-			
	CHIGW	ELI	SCHO	OL	BOW	LIN	G.		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
J. G. Eve	60.3		6		185		21		8.80
B. C. Papineau	149.5		0.1		339		30		11.30
A. J. H. Barnett	58.2		10		170			127	11.33
G. C. Skeates	169.3		25	11.	489		40		12.22
	-	-		-					
CHRI	ST'S CO	LLE	GE. F	INC	HLEY	B	ATTIN	G.	
	Inning	S	Runs	В	lighestir	ns.	Not out	ts	Average
G. R. Parker	11		342		58				
K. G. Partridge .	11		288		63*		1		
†R. F. Tillott R. G. Pollett	11				49*		1		17.60
	11				61		1		15.90
R. H. Atkins	10	10.5	138		30		0		13.80
A T M Logg									10 40
	9	47	94		27		2		13.42
F. W. B. Kittel	5		94 26 55	1.1	8* 23	11.	2 3 2		13.42 13.00 9.18
F. W. B. Kittel .	5		26 55		8* 23		1 0 2 3 2		13.42 13.00 9.18
			<u>DUM 22</u>		8* 23				13.42 13.00 9.18
	sr's co		GE, FI	NC	8* 23 HLEY,		WLIN	īG.	read of a
CHRIS	ST'S CO	LLE	GE, FI	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs		WLIN Wickets	īG.	Average
CHRIS	ST'S CO	LLE	GE, FI Maidens	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211	ВС	WLIN Wickets 29	īG.	Average 7.27
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge	ST'S CO Overs 98.4 52.4	LLE	GE, FI Maidens	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119		WLIN Wickets 29 15	īG.	Average 7.27 7.93
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge	ST'S CO Overs 98.4 52.4	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128	ВС	WLIN Wickets 29 15 12	rG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard	Overs 98.4 52.4 59	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280	BC	WLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.38
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge	Overs 98.4 52.4 59	LLE	GE, FI Maidens	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128	ВС	WLIN Wickets 29 15 12	rG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge  F. W. B. Kittel  L. W. P. Buszard  E. J. Pinches	ST'S COI Overs 98.4 52.4 59 1 80.7	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334	B(	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.38
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge  F. W. B. Kittel  L. W. P. Buszard  E. J. Pinches	Overs 98.4 52.4 59.4 1 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32	NC	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334 HOOL	BO	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHF	Overs 98.4 52.4 59.4 10.80.7 133.6 RIST'S H	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs	NC	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in	BO BA	WLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25 FTING Not our	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge  F. W. B. Kittel  L. W. P. Buszard  E. J. Pinches  CHF	Overs 98.4 52.4 59.1 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 29 32 PITAL Runs 300	SCI	8* 23 HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334 HOOL Lighest in 48*	BA'	WLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  TTING Not our 5	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge  F. W. B. Kittel  L. W. P. Buszard  E. J. Pinches  CHR  †P. S. Osborne  C. R. Black	Overs 98.4 52.4 52.4 59.4 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11 10	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396	NC	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL Lighest in 48* 139	BA	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not our 5	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHR  †P. S. Osborne C. R. Black J. A. Hasler	ST'S COI Overs 98.4 52.4 59 1. 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11 10	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396 336 336	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest ir 48* 139 67	BA'	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not our 5 0 2	IG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60 37.33
CHRIS  R. C. Stewart  K. G. Partridge  F. W. B. Kittel  L. W. P. Buszard  E. J. Pinches  CHF  †P. S. Osborne  C. R. Black  J. A. Hasler  T. D. Craig	ST'S COI Overs 98.4 52.4 59 1. 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11 10	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396 336 336	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in 48* 139 67 76*	BA'	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not our 5 0 2	iG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHR  †P. S. Osborne C. R. Black J. A. Hasler	ST'S COI Overs 98.4 52.4 59 1. 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11 10	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32  PITAL Runs 300 396 336	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in 48* 139 67 76*	BA'	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not out 5 0 2 2 2 2	icts	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60 37.33 30.00
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHF  †P. S. Osborne C. R. Black J. A. Hasler T. D. Craig T. G. Jennings A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones	ST'S COI Overs 98.4 52.4 59 1. 80.7 133.6 RIST'S H Inning 11 10	LLE	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396 336 120 220 160 78	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in 48* 139 67 76* 43 56 29*	BA'	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not out 5 0 2 2 2 2	iG.	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60 37.33 30.00 24.44 22.85 19.50
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHF  †P. S. Osborne C. R. Black J. A. Hasler T. D. Craig T. G. Jennings A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones A. Bartlett T. Dass A. Bartlett	Overs 98.4 52.4 52.4 59.1 33.6 EIST'S Haning 11 10 11 9 6 11 9 6 6	IOS	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396 336 120 160 78 64	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in 48* 139 67 76* 48 56 29* 28*	BA'.	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not out 5 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	icts	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60 37.33 30.00 24.44 22.85 19.50 16.00
CHRIS R. C. Stewart K. G. Partridge F. W. B. Kittel L. W. P. Buszard E. J. Pinches CHF  †P. S. Osborne C. R. Black J. A. Hasler T. D. Craig T. G. Jennings A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones A. Y. Cann A. C. Jones	Overs 98.4 52.4 52.4 59.1 33.6 EIST'S Haning 11 10 11 9 6 11 9 6 6	IOS	GE, FI Maidens 24 17 15 19 32 PITAL Runs 300 396 336 120 220 160 78	SCI	8* 23  HLEY, Runs 211 119 128 280 334  HOOL lighest in 48* 139 67 76* 43 56 29*	BA'.	OWLIN Wickets 29 15 12 21 25  FTING Not out 5 0 2 2 2 2	icts	Average 7.27 7.93 10.66 13.33 13.36 Average 50.00 39.60 37.33 30.00 24.44 22.85 19.50

CHRIST'S	HOSP	ITAL SCI	HOOL BOY	VIING	
Ov	ers	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. G. Done	08	8	393		17.08
J. A. Hasler 18 C. R. Black 23	37 31	40		25 31	
				01	20.01
O'EMPT O	W T 0377		007 71		
CITY O	I LONI	ON SCH	OOL BAT	FING.	
J. F. Clarke	lings	238	lighest inns.	Not outs	Average 29.75
A. A. E. Moore	12	238	75	1 800,00	21.63
B. J. Garrett R. G. W. Ross	15	227 169	36* 50*	4	20.63 18.77
L. W. Gaite	A	73	33		18.25
D. W. Wakeford	3		36	0	13.53
†G. L. M. Tippet	8	72	27	0	9.00
CITY OI	LOND	ON SCH	OOL BOW.	LING.	
Ove	ers	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. A. E. Moore 1	169	28	428 122	32	13.37
B. J. Garrett 21	2.4	40	122	8	15.25 15.62 X
H. N. Hoather 21 B. J. Garrett 21 G. L. M. Tippet 5	0	4	122 500 164	32 8	20.50
	101		7. 1002	- comment	
CLI	FTON C	OLLEGE	BATTING	1	
	ings		lighest inns.	Not outs	Average
	4	540	113	1	41.53
R. C. Barnard	8	300 157	70 53	0	21.42 19.62
W. C. Carr	4	267	90	0	19.07
F. D. Walker 1	2	211	65	0	17.58
N. E. White	13 12	208 131	55*		17.33 16.37
E. S. V. Burton	4	164	55* 41 58		14.90
A. M. Tilly	4	165	41	3 1	12.60
Minutes A					
CLII	TON C	OLLEGE	BOWLING	Ä.	
	era 1	Maidana		Wickets	Average
F. M. S. Tegner 8	88	3	349	22	15.86
G. H. Whittington. 19	10.2	27	255 569		
11. 11. 1111y 10	1.1	40	000	20	10.02
	14.421	Hongaria.	strate in the		
			L BATTIN	78137773	
	ings		ighest inns.	Not outs	Average
†J. G. Mundy 1 H. A. Bellini 1	1	556 275	113 53	1	55.60 34.37
H. H. L. Lambert 1	5	366	89*	4	33.27
	5	308		0	20.53
	1	201	63*	1	20.10 18.37
H. J. West 1	0	155	4.5	00 Sept. 1	17.22
H. R. Heard 1	3	198	40	1	16.50
D.H.Morrison-Jones 1	2	111	27	3	12.38

Average 16.45 17.40 24.66 25.38

Average 37.50 35.78 26.23

18.50

14.50 14.00 12.70 9.60 9.57

8.45

Average

19.60 19.86 20.24 23.00

38.00

Average 20.00 17.25 13.00 11.00 9.22

8.63

8.40

Average

Average 46.60

44.20 37.30 21.66

17.37 14.50

9.14 8.81

11.42 14.70 14.80

ACTIVITIES OF THE PROPERTY OF
DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
E. H. Weld 132 22 362 22
G. 1. Goldon 100
A. Machinan 54 4
G. J. Pettit 123 21 457 18
THE PERSON AND THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AD
DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
T. M. P. Hughman . 14 450 101* 2
TG. A. Rimbautt 14 501 101
G. E. Dewey 13 341 103 0
D. R. Wilcox
G R Musgrave 12 . 168 . 34 . 0
C. C. Luther 12 127 64 2
L. R. Procter 12 48 21* 7
A. G. W. Knowland 7 67 26 0
C. Wellesley-Smith . 12 93 . 33 1
Chipman and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second a
DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
TMP Hughman 96.5
G. R. Musgrave 130.1 26 437 22
L. R. Procter 205 33 688 34
L. A. W. Simmons . 74.1 9 253 11
C. Wellesley-Smith . 79.5 10 304 8
DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BATTING.
Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs
00 00*
G. S. Walker 4 60 25* 1 R. F. P. Morris 12 207 72 0
E. C. Pigott 12 143 30 1
†A. L. Butt 9 99 28 0
A. H. Faulkner 11 83 43 2
J. Fickering II oo or
F. A. J. Walker 11 84 30* 1
DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BOWLING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
F. A. J. Walker 147.1 42 377 33
R. S. Harden 111.3 36 250 17
J. Pickering 83.1 19 222 15
DISTRIBUTE CONTROL TO A FRONT TO
DURHAM SCHOOL BATTING.
Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs
10. 11. Dilloddio
J. A. Adamson 15 TTE
4T C Aclrow 19 378 71* Z
C F Cardele 5 65 37* 2
C. F. Cardale 5 65 37* 2
C. F. Cardale 5 65 37* 2 F. Grayrigge 11 189 38 3 J. S. Maitland-
C. F. Cardale 5 65 37* 2 F. Grayrigge 11 189 38 3 J. S. Maitland- Gordon 4 29 16* 2
C. F. Cardale 5 65 37* 2 F. Gravrigge 11 189 38 3 J. S. Maitland- Gordon 4 29 16* 2 P. G. McLaren 8 64 13 1
C. F. Cardale 5 65 37* 2 F. Grayrigge 11 189 38 3 J. S. Maitland- Gordon 4 29 16* 2

			aarroo	* 1	DOTTIT 1	ENTO			
		AM	SCHOO	1			Walnah		Arrownero
TO THE CO. 141.	Overs		Maidens 73		Runs 489		Vicket 43	S	Average 11.37
R. W. Smeddle D. O. Hobbis	51.4		8		157		12	315	13.08
J. S. Maitland-	01.1			37					
Gordon	33.1		6		124		9		13.77
J. G. Askew	192.2		58		489		33 11		14.81 20.18
S. Beardsell	90	• •	25		222	• • •	11	• •	20.10
	-07	100000			. T. A.	mmra	T.0		
E	ASTBOU			E	E BA	TIII	NG.	5, 111	
	Innings	1	Runs	I	lighest in	nns.	Not o		Average 32.30
F. W. Binge			323 187		54 40*	81	1 3	1100	26.71
J. C. Corrie J. A. H. Whitby	10		0.10		121		1		26.66
†D. R. Skinner	10		# 00		41*		1		22.11
D. H. Duder			42		32*	Ĭ	5	141	14.00
B. Parke			115		32		0		12.77 12.50
G. F. Branch	11		125		44 26		1 0	on'T	10.50
B. G. W. Bowyer	10		105 85		36	35.0	0	100	9.44
A. W. Glossop H. D. White			38		15		1		7.60
n. D. Willie	0	• • •							
T71.A	STBOU	DAT	E COLT	FC	E BO	WLI	NG		
E.F.	Overs	INTA:	Maidens	1110	Runs	** 171	Wicket	s	Average
J. E. Graham	-		Mardens 0		93	SET.	6		15.50
J. E. Graham F. W. Binge			12		405	78	14	11.50	28.99
J. C. Corrie			25		551		19		25.00
B. G. W. Bowyer	103.1		17		375		12		31.25
E		RGF	I ACAD	EM	Y BA	TTI	NG.		
E	DINBUI Inning		Runs	EM	<b>Tighest</b> i	TTII	Not o	uts	Average
†B. R. Tod	DINBUI Innings	3	Runs 762		Tighest i 156*	TTII	Not o	100	47.62
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene	DINBUI Innings 18 19	S	Runs 762 518		Tighest i 156* 98	nns.	Not o		47.62 30.47
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11	S 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Runs 762 518 206		Tighest i 156* 98 72*	nns.	Not o	7.5	47.62 30.47 25.75
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16		Runs 762 518 206 369	 	Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1		47.62 30.47
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 14		Runs 762 518 206 369 194		Tighest i 156* 98 72*	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1	7.5	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 14 12		Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176		156* 98 72* 69 32	nns.	Not o	110	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 16 14 12		Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133		Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35*	nns.	Not o 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 3	MATERIAL STATES	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 14 12 14 den 12		Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196		Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62	nns.	Not o		47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlash	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 14 12 14 den 12		Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133		Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35*	nns.	Not o 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 3	MATERIAL STATES	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn	DINBUI Innings . 18 . 19 . 11 . 16 . 14 . 12 . 14 ten 12 . 7	š	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99		Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29	nns.	Not o 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0	MATERIAL STATES	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn	DINBUI  Innings 18 19 11 16 14 12 14 12 14 7  DINBUI	š	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99	EM	Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0 0 NG.		47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlash L. B. Fairbairn	DINBUI Innings	š	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99	EM	Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0  NG.  Wicke	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Drutt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlask L. B. Fairbairn	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 14 12 14 17 DINBUI Overs 83	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99 H ACAD Maidens 22	EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227	wLI	Not 0 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0 0 NG.		47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene G. T. Chiene	DINBUI Innings Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins Ins In	RGI	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99 H ACAD Maidens 22 25	EM	Tighest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0  NG. Wicke 22	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Drutt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlask L. B. Fairbairn	DINBUI Inning: 118: 18: 19: 116: 16: 16: 14: 12: 7  DINBUI Overs: 83: 76: 205	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99 H ACAD Maidens 22	EM	156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29  IY BO Runs 227 179	nns.	Not 0 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 0  NG. Wicke 22 15	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlash L. B. Fairbalrn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod	DINBUI Inning: 118: 18: 19: 116: 16: 16: 14: 12: 7  DINBUI Overs: 83: 76: 205	RGI	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99 H ACAD Maidens 22 25 29	EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227 179 694	mns.	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 0 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn	DINBUI Innings 18 18 19 10 11 16 14 12 14 19 17 19 10 10 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99  H ACAL Maidens 22 25 29 53	EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653	wLI	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 0 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn	DINBUI Inning Inning 18 18 19 19 11 16 14 12 12 14 19 17 DINBUI Overs 83 76 205 211	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99  H ACAD Maidens 22 25 29 53	DEM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 98 32 46 62 35* 29 FY BO Runs 227 179 694 653	WLI	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 3 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlash L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 16 14 12 14 en 12 7  DINBUI Overs 83 76 205 211  MMANU Inning	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99  H ACAL Maidens 22 25 29 53 SCHOO Runs	)EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653	WLI	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 0 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn  E †B. M. Kirkwood	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 11 11 12 12 14 12 17 17 18 19 19 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 133 99  H ACAL Maidens 22 25 29 53  SCHOO Runs	DEM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29	WLI	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 3 3 0 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50 50 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn  E R. M. Kirkwood L. M. Rawnsley A. J. Tinker	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 11 16 14 12 14 17 18 19 19 11 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99 H ACAD Maidens 22 25 29 53 SCHOO Runs 330	)EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653 BATTI Highest 74* 29 58	WLI	Not 0 2 2 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 0  NG. Wicke 22 15 50 50	ts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06 Average 33.00 14.22 12.78
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn  E †B. M. Kirkwood	DINBUI Inning: 18 19 16 12 12 7  DINBUI Overs 83 76 205 211  MMANU Inning 14 11 14 14	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99  H ACAD Maidens 22 25 29 53  SCHOO Runs 330 128 179 118	)EM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29  IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653 BATTI Highest 74* 29 58	wli	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 1 3 0 0 Wicke 22 15 50 50 0 0 4 2 2 0 2	tts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06 Average 33.00 14.22 12.78 9.83
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlash L. B. Fairbairn  E S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn  E  †R. M. Kirkwood L. M. Rawnsley A. J. Tinker T. H. Snelling T. W. Bennett T. W. Bennett T. W. Bennett	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 16 16 14 12 14 en 12 7  DINBUI Overs 83 76 205 211  MMANU Innings 14 11 14 14 14 14 14	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 196 196 22 25 29 53  SCHOO Runs 330 128 179 118 81	DEM	Highest i 156* 98 98 72* 699 32 46 62 35* 29 IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653 BATTI Highest 74* 29 58 20 19*	wli	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 1 3 0 0 NG. Wicke 22 15 50 50 Not o 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	tts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06 Average 33.00 14.22 12.78 9.83 8.10
†B. R. Tod G. T. Chiene F. Ranken W. A. H. Druitt R. J. Henderson K. W. Marshall S. C. Thomson R. P. R. McGlast L. B. Fairbairn  E  S. C. Thomson G. T. Chiene B. R. Tod I. G. Gunn  E  †R. M. Kirkwood L. M. Rawnsley A. J. Tinker T. H. Snelling T. Snelling T. H. Snelling T. Snelling T. H. Snelling	DINBUI Innings 18 19 11 16 16 16 14 12 14 en 12 7  DINBUI Overs 83 76 205 211  MMANU Innings 14 11 14 14 14 14 14	RGH	Runs 762 518 206 369 194 176 196 133 99  H ACAD Maidens 22 25 29 53  SCHOO Runs 330 128 179 118	DEM	Highest i 156* 98 72* 69 32 46 62 35* 29  IY BO Runs 227 179 694 653 BATTI Highest 74* 29 58	wli	Not o 2 2 3 1 1 1 3 0 0 Wicke 22 15 50 50 0 0 4 2 2 0 2	tts	47.62 30.47 25.75 24.60 17.63 16.00 15.07 14.77 14.14 Average 10.31 11.93 13.88 13.06 Average 33.00 14.22 12.78 9.83

Tet	MMANTI	EL SCH	OOT	ROW	TIN	C		
121								
and agreed and a state of the	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs		Wicket		Average
L. M. Rawnsley	. 159	. 29		1 444	15	34		13.05
E. A. Passenger E. F. Clinton	. 69 .	. 11		223		15		14.86
E. F. Clinton	. 28	. 0		152	-	8		19.00
R. M. Kirkwood	. 78	. 9		297		10		29.70
	-	and the later of t						
	EPSOM	COLLE	TE	RATTT	NG			
	Tanina	COLLEGE	UILL .			TT 1		
W T T	Innings	Runs 430		Highest in	nns.	Not or		Average
W. J. Leaning	. 14 .	. 430	2001	107*		1		33.07
R. S. Lewis			1	66		0 0		26.33
D. L. Rhys	. 9 .	. 174		29		0		19.33
D. A. Cotman	. 12 .	201		69		0		16.75
			-	Control Colonia, and Colonia	-			
	MODER	COLLEG	NTA T	DOTTE	DIE			
		COLLEC			LING.			
	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs		Wicket	8	Average
C. R. A. Schofield .	. 264.5 .	. 58		629		48		13.10
D. A. Cotman	. 213 .	. 53		451		20		22.55
C. R. A. Schofield . D. A. Cotman A. M. Reid	. 139 .	. 18		480				28.23
		A LOS PERSONS		The French		100		
	ETON	COLLEG	E	BATTI	NG.			
	Innings	Runs	- 1	Highest i	nns.	Not or	nts	Average
P. V. F. Cazalet .	. 12 .	. 483		100*		9		53.66
L. Cecil	10	381		125		1		42.33
L. Cecil	10	343		80		1		38.11
H. E. H. P. C. Hope	19	333	1111	80*		i		30.27
M de S C Ward	11	965	au i	20*	richit.	1		26.50
G N Capal-Cura	. 11	161	2:	40		1 1	willia.	20.12
R C C Whittaker	. 9 .	. 101	Test.	01#		1 3		00 00
D W A W Forbox		. 00		40		3		20.00
D. W. A. W. Folloes		100		40		3		10.00
O. H. Studiey-Herbi	9 .	. 123		28	08. 01.	2		20.00 18.00 17.57 11.66
C. K. H. HIII-WOOD	6 .	. 70		58		0		11.66
D. Lomax	. 8 .	. 60		33		2		10.00
M. de S. C. Ward G. N. Capel-Cure R. C. C. Whittaker D. W. A. W. Forbes D. H. Studley-Herbe C. K. H. Hill-Wood D. Lomax			Victor I		_			
		COLLEG						
								7 10 39 9
D C C White-land	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs		Wicket		Average
R. C. C. Whittaker D. W. A. W. Forbes M. de S. C. Ward M. H. de Zoete C. K. H. Hill-Wood	. 260 .	. 81 . 46		458		31		14.77
D. W. A. W. Forbes	189 .	. 46		457 301 139 219		29		15.75
M. de S. C. Ward .	. 124.5 .	. 37		301		19		15.84
M. H. de Zoete C. K. H. Hill-Wood G. N. Capel-Cure .	. 67 .	. 16		139		19 8 10		17.37
C. K. H. Hill-Wood	101.4 .	. 22		219		10	.00	21.90
G. N. Capel-Cure .	. 49 .	. 3		115		5		23.00
The state of the s	-	-	-			T CONT	27.50	
	CITAL OFFI	D GOTTO	0.7	TO A PRICE	****			
		D SCHO	OL	BATTI	NG.			
	Innings	Runs	I	Tighest in	ins.	Not or	its	Average
A. N. Skelton	12			92		0		28.84
A. S. Kent	6			27		1	100	19.80
H. F. Cresswell	19	991		84		0	0.00	18.41
R. J. Stuart-King	13	. 211		66		Ö		16.23
R. de P. Gauvain	12	147		968		2		14.70
L. A. Powell	7 :	98	W.	90	1.	0		14.00
P. H. Phelps				40		4		
S W Behrend	11 .	128		91		1		13.30
S. W. Behrend O. Jarvis	13 .	101		004	iin	3		12.80
D Powie	11 :	121 140		30* 40	••			12.10
D. Bowle				40		U		10.77
F. G. Norton	10 .	40	+ 9	1.8*	++	6		10,00

	THE COL	m	gatton.	r	BOWLIN	ta			
	FELSTE	D		L .	Runs	· C.	Vickets		Average
ALS:	Overs		Maidens 35		430	4.			13.87
S. W. Behrend	142.1			•	314		18		17.44
F. G. Norton	109			::			30		17.63
P. H. Phelps							8	Original States	22.37
C. Jarvis			1	• •	196		7		28.00
R. de P. Gauvain	46	• •	1	• •					
	FETTE	9 (	OLIEC	T	BATTIN	G			
		0 0	Runs		Highest inn		Not ou	ts	Average
	Innings		436		101	ь.	4		36.33
†J. D. Campbell	16	• •	269			•	2		22.41
L. N. Robertson.			274				1		18.26
C. S. Campbell		::	145		48*		5		18.12
G. B. Hodson			225		33		1		17.30
P. G. Cumming			112		67		0		14.00
A. F. Watt			112		25		3		12.44
D. M. Marr		on the	84		31		1		9.33
D. M. Store		D.S.L.				_			
	THE THE	9 0	OLLEG	T	BOWLI	VG	77 2		
	Overs		Waldens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
T (7) (1) -11	overs		21		799		51		15.66
J. T. Campbell .	210	::	36				46		16.56
D. M. Marr D. A. Duke	95.3		11	21	429		19		22.57
D. A. Duke		• •	1.1			1			
	TODE	orm	COTTO	т	DATTITAL	0			
				T	BATTIN	u.	Not or	140	Average
	Innings		Runs		Highest in		Not or		25.83
	7		155		65*	• •			21.33
G. A. Hudson	9		192		60		1		20.71
D. R. Vine			145		67*	• •			19.25
J. M. Barr			154 161		62	• •	ō	3835	16.10
J. A. Seagram	10		123	•	40		0		13.66
B. T. Guy			98		26		0		10.88
F. M. Hall R. C. Scott			106	• • •	19		0		10.60
R. C. SCOLU	10		100	9 1	To I Wu				
	FODE	am	SCHOOL	T.	BOWLII	VG			
		DI	Maidens	11	Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
_4.4.	Overs		51	5	321		24		13.37
K. B. Murrant .	104		29				24		19.16
F. L. Seymour		8.4	17	6.	341		17		20.05
R. C. Scott	94			-					
	A DEC TOT	OTT	ANT OO	тт	ECE BA	m	TNC		
FI	RAMLIN		AM UU.	עע	Highest in	ng	Not c	nts	Average
** 0 D	Inning		Runs 226		f 61	шъ.	0		
V. G. Bromage			52		-		0		13.00
J. Bryson			67	A.	27		0		11.16
G. D. Mabey			121		34	-	0		
K. D. Paine C. F. Youngs	8		- 00			10.	1		
W. Summerson	11			-	21		0		8.54
R. Hall					42		0		8.00
п. пан	11								
17.1	RAMLIN	OTT	AM CO	LT	EGE BO	W	LING	Buyers	
FI		UII	AM UU.	and a	Runs	11.	Wicke	ts	Average
	Overs		Maiden 24	8	321		33		9.92
R. Hall	120		30		000	9 9	00	- ::	10.34
K. D. Paine	128	9.9	90		, 900	9 9	-	1.7	1 12.

	GIGGL	ESW.	ICK SC	HOOK	OL BA	TTU	NG.		
	Innir	ags	Runs	1	Highest i	inns.	Not o	uts	Average
J. A. Sidebotton			212		52		1		16.30
G. S. Simpson			191		36		0		13.64
W. A. Law			185		38		0		13.21
†R. Cartwright			142		32*		1		10.92
W. J. Todd		-	109	2015	45		0		10.90
J. B. Dennis		- 50	125	535	26	eri.	ĭ		10.41
C. G. Kenyon .	11		92		21	21	2	200	10.22
W. J. Clark			78		23*		4		9.75
			102		25		1		8.50
A. Clark	19	15.	104		20			111	0.50
		0.00		Mark A.	- VIII	1			
Commence of the Commence of th	HIGGLE	SWI	CK SC	HOO	IL BO	WLD	NG.		STATE OF THE PARTY
	Overs		Maiden		Runs		Wicket	bs	Average
W. J. Clark			27		305		32		9.53
G. S. Simpson			1	<b>B</b>			7		10.00
R. Cartwright			50		388	ē	35	. 53	11.08
J. A. Sidebottom			25		346		24		14.41
J. A. Sidebotton	117	• •	25		940	-	24		14.41
		-				Det .			
	GLASC	MOP	ACAD.	EMY	BAT	TINO	Ī		
									Arromomo
	Innin	gs	Runs		lighesti		Not o		Average
K. I. Macrosson			129		41*	out.			11.72
J. R. Peebles			134		30	5.	0	7.44	11.16
†P. G. Solbé			78		27		0		9.75
D. D. Marshall			96		35*		1		8.72
R. B. Clark	7		45		18		1		7.50
	Programme of the Control		Can annual Menson brown as been	_	A PROPERTY OF THE				
		Transport of the last of the l			TOTAL		~,		
	GLASG	OW	ACAIN	HIMY	ROW	1 1 1 1	A second		
	MULLING	011	TICTITI	and photolic wife.	DOW	TITTA	J		
	Overs		Maiden		Runs		Wicket	ts	Average
	Overs	3						ts	Average 6.11
R. B. Clark	Overs	2	Maiden	s	Runs		Wicket		
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles	Overs 33. 135.	2 1	Maiden 10 46	s 	Runs 55 247	::	Wicket 9 29		6.11 8.51
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson	Overs 33. 135. 99.	2 1 4	Maiden 10 46 26	s 	Runs 55 247 237		Wicket 9		6.11
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles	Overs 33. 135. 99.	8 2 1 4	Maiden 10 46	s 	Runs 55 247	::	Wicket 9 29 24		6.11 8.51 9.87
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson	Overs 33. 135. 99.	2 1 4	Maiden 10 46 26	s 	Runs 55 247 237		Wicket 9 29 24		6.11 8.51 9.87
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47.	2 1 4 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11	s	Runs 55 247 237 124		Wicket 9 29 24 11		6.11 8.51 9.87
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell	Overs 33 135 99 47	2 1 4 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI	s   LLE(	Runs 55 247 237 124 GE BA	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11		6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. ————————————————————————————————————	2 1 4 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI Runs	s   LLE(	Runs 55 247 237 124 GE BA Highest i	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell H	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. IAILEY Innin 15	2 1 4 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI Runs 537	s   LLE(	Runs 55 247 237 124 GE BA Highest i 137*	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47.  IAILEY Innin 15.	2 1 4 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11  EY COI Runs 537 390	LLE(	Runs 55 247 237 124 GE BA lighest i 137* 84	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. 15. 15. 16.	8 2 1 1 1 3  BUH gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI Runs 537 390 371	s	Runs 55 247 237 124  GE BA Highest i 137* 84 66	TTU	Wicker 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 27.85 26.50
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. 47. IAILEY Innin 15 15 16 12	8 2 1 1 1 3  BUH gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 EY COI Runs 537 390 371 297	LLEC	Runs 55 247 237 124  GE BA Highest i 137* 84 66 87	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47.  IAILEY Innin 15. 16. 12.	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI Runs 537 390 371 297 265	LLE(	Runs 55 247 237 124 GE BA Highest i 137* 84 66 87 63	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3	outs	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber	Overs 33 135 99 47 IAILEY Innin 15 16 12 14.	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 RY COI Runs 587 390 371 297 265 240	LLE(C	Runs 55 247 237 124  GE BA Highest i 137* 84 66 87 63 63	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame L. B. Neame	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. IAILEY Innin 15 16 16 12 14	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11  EY COI Runs 587 390 371 297 265 240 138	LLE(	Runs 55 247 287 124 GE BA Highest i 137* 84 66 87 63 63 52	TTU.	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2 0	uts	6.11 8.51 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood H. Eastwood	Overs 33. 135. 99. 47. IAILEY Innin 15. 16. 12. 14. 14.	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11    RY COI Runs 587 390 371 297 265 240 138 108	LLE(C	Runs 55 247 237 124	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2 0 4	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow F. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 199, 47, 15, 15, 16, 16, 12, 144, 14, 18, 111, 111	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11  Runs 537 390 371 297 265 240 138 108	LLEC	Runs 55 247 227 124 GE BA Highest I 137* 84 66 87 63 52 50 51*	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2 0 4 1	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42 11.40
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood H. Eastwood	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 199, 47, 15, 15, 16, 16, 12, 144, 14, 18, 111, 111	BUH BUH BUH BUH	Maiden 10 46 26 11    RY COI Runs 587 390 371 297 265 240 138 108	LLEC	Runs 55 247 237 124	TTU.	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2 0 4	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow F. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 199, 47, 15, 15, 16, 16, 12, 144, 14, 18, 111, 111	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11  Runs 537 390 371 297 265 240 138 108	LLEG	Runs 55 247 227 124 GE BA Highest I 137* 84 66 87 63 52 50 51*	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 3 2 0 4 1	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42 11.40
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey .	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 135, 135, 147, 15, 166, 12, 14, 14, 14, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	BUHgs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 26 11 Runs 537 390 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58	LLEG	Runs 55 247 287 124 3	TTU uns.	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 4 1 3	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42 11.40
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey .	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 199, 47, 15, 15, 16, 16, 12, 144, 14, 18, 111, 111	BUHgs	Maiden 10 46 26 11 26 11 Runs 537 390 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58	LLEG	Runs 55 247 287 124 3	TTU uns.	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 4 1 3	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42 11.40
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey .	Over: 33, 33, 135, 135, 135, 135, 135, 147, 15, 166, 12, 14, 14, 14, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	BUF BUR	Maiden 10 46 26 11 26 11 Runs 537 390 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58	LLEC	Runs 55 247 287 124 3	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 4 1 3	uts	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 15.42 11.40
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow F. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey H.	Over: 33. 33. 135. 135. 99. 47.  IAILEY Innin 15. 16. 12. 14. 14. 18. 9  AILEY Over	BUF gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11    EY COI Runs 587 390 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58 24 COI	LLEC	Runs 55 247 287 124 37 124 384 66 87 63 52 50 51* 26* \$\frac{1}{3}\$E BO	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 4 1 3 8 NG.	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85 26,50 24,75 24,09 20,00 17,25 11,40 9,66
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey H. F. I. W. Stewart	Over, 33. 1355, 1355, 1355, 1355, 1356, 1456, 146, 147	BUR s 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11	LLEC	Runs 55 247 287 124  GE BA dighest i 137* 84 66 87 63 63 52 50 51* 26*  EE BO Runs 129	TTU uns.	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 4 1 3 3 2 2 0 4 1 3 3 Wicket NG.	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85 26,50 24,75 24,09 20,00 17,25 15,42 11,40 9,66
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  H  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey H  F. I. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood	Overs 33. 33. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35.	8 2 2 3 BUH gs	Maiden 10 46 26 11    EY COI Runs 587 390 390 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58    EY COI Maiden 7 24	LLECO	Runs 55 247 287 124 RE BA Highest i 137* 84 66 87 63 52 26* Runs 129 246	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 3 2 0 4 1 3 3 Wicket 10 18	uts	6.11 8.51 9.87 11.27 Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 11.40 9.66 Average 12.90 13.66
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber I. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink H. F. I. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood H. G. B. Harvey  H. F. I. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood B. G. Wennink H. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood B. G. Wennink	Overs 33. 33. 135. 135. 135. 135. 135. 135. 1	BUR BUR 8 8 3 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11	LLEO	Runs 55 247 287 124  EE BA lighest i 137* 84 66 87 63 52 50 * 26*  EE BO Runs 129 246 422	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not 0 3 1 2 0 4 1 1 3 NG. Wicket 10 18 24	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85 26,50 24,75 24,09 20,00 17,25 15,42 11,40 9,66 Average 12,90 13,66 17,58
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey  H  F. I. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood B. G. Wennink H. Eastwood	Overs 33. 1355 1355 1355 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 1	8 2 4 4 3 1	Maiden 10 46 26 11	LLECO	Runs 55 247 287 124	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11   NG. Note. Note. S 1 2 0 4 1 1 3   NG. Wicket 10 18 24 25	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85 26,50 24,75 24,09 20,00 17,25 15,42 11,40 9,66 Average 12,90 13,66 17,58 19,56
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey  H. F. M. Heywood B. G. Wennink H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink H. Haywood B. G. Wennink H. Haywood B. G. Wennink H. Haywood B. G. Harvey G. B. Harvey	Overs 33. 33. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 36. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37	BUR BUR 3	Maiden 10 46 26 11 297 298 371 297 265 240 138 108 114 58 24 COL Maiden 7 24 51 30 23	LLEC	Runs 55 247 287 124 GE BA lighest 1 137* 84 66 63 63 63 52 50 51* 26*  **E BO Runs 129 246 422 489 246 722	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11 NG. Not o 3 1 2 0 4 1 1 3 NG. Wicket 10 18 24 25 33 33	uts  	Average 44.75 27.85 26.50 24.75 24.09 20.00 17.25 11.40 9.66  Average 12.90 13.66 17.58 19.56 21.87
R. B. Clark J. R. Peebles K. I. Macrosson A. C. Motherwell  F. I. W. Stewart M. Wordsworth †C. S. Catlow R. H. Wright F. M. Heywood P. G. Barber L. B. Neame H. Eastwood B. G. Wennink G. B. Harvey  H  F. I. W. Stewart F. M. Heywood B. G. Wennink H. Eastwood	Overs 33. 33. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 36. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 37	8 2 4 4 3 1	Maiden 10 46 26 11	LLECO	Runs 55 247 287 124	TTU	Wicket 9 29 24 11   NG. Note. Note. S 1 2 0 4 1 1 3   NG. Wicket 10 18 24 25	uts	6,11 8,51 9,87 11,27 Average 44,75 27,85 26,50 24,75 24,09 20,00 17,25 15,42 11,40 9,66 Average 12,90 13,66 17,58 19,56

010	1 000	00 2011001						
	HARRO	W SCHO	OT.	BATTI	NG.			
			T	ighest in	TOR	Not out	4	Average
0.35 1.3/	Innings 12	Runs 432		111*		4		54.00
C. M. Andreae K. C. G. Dower .		400	22:	94*		1		50.00
		979		101*		1		33.90
A. M. Crawley G. E. M. Pennefat		000		103		2		33.66
C. Clover-Brown		278		56		1		30.88
†N. M. Ford	4.0	. 276		43		3		30.66
W. O'B. Lindsay		233		118		2 0		25.88 25.00
K. R. M. Carlisle	8 .	. 200		81		2	21-7	23.33
D. A. M. Rome	6 .			41 85		0		23,10
A. Atha	10 .	. 231		00	LIN	18.5		20120
	White the same		-		-			
<b>编程</b> 34 - 32 - 32 - 32 - 32 - 32 - 32 - 32 -	HARROY	N SCHO	OL	BOWL	ING			
CONTRACTOR OF	Overs	Maiden		Runs		Wickets	HOTO	Average
Mr. Mr. Word		0	mi.	16				16.00
N. M. Ford				154	211.	9		17.11
K. C. G. Dower . G. L. Raphael	198	47		573		32		17.90
J. F. Robinson .	100	. 11		342		16		21.37
G. E. Pennefathe	r . 150 .	. 32		518		24		21.58
C. M. Andreae	33 .	. 5		86	HEATE	4 14		21.50 28.50
D. A. M. Rome	105	. 23				7		
R. H. Palmer	98 .	. 15		347				10.01
	-	6.5	CX.	-	353			
				COOT :	DATE	DIKTO		
GE	ORGE HE	RIOT'S	SCE	TOOF	BAT	TING.		A
	Innings	Runs		Highest	inns.	Not or		Average 31.00
A. D. Millar	7	. 186	1.0	54 89	000	1		24.72
D. C. Giffen	. 12 .	. 272	11:00	52*	7011	4		20.44
†F. S. Fiddes	13	. 184		30	ER.	5		19.00
W. Davies		440		47	200	2	2010	13.81
D. T. Melville . S. F. Cranston .				22*	-	2	-	7.25
M. Robson		**		34		2		1.40
R. Watson		58		16		2		6.44
10. 17 000001	Production Line	7-1-1-1		THE PERSON NAMED IN				
CE	ORGE HE	ERIOT'S	SCI	TOOL	BOV	VLING		
CIA2	Overs	Maider	ns .	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
F. S. Fiddes		40	7.	402		45		8.93
M. Robson	54	14	195.	118				9.83
W. Davies		17		152				10.85 11.57
D. C. Giffen		41		405		35	7.0	11.57
					-			
			151		D 11	DETATO	dine	
HU	RSTPIER	POINT	COL	LEGE	BA.	TIME	r.	TSUB-AL AT
	Innings	Run	S	Highest	inns.	Not	uus	Average 15.50
N. M. Porter		155		27		0		
†J. L. Kirk	11			36 26	110	0		13.36
S. L. Goatly	11	147	no Dies	13	-117			11.75
J. F. Campbell	9 8	.00		-00	0.00			
D. D. Largen .				33	6470	2		11.00
L. G. Garnett		00		22		. 1		8.00
M. D. Rhoden J. E. Stephens		58		. 16	F 70.	. 0	-	0.44
D. G. Piggott		63		. 20		. 0		6.30
G. G. Stevenso	n 7	43	3 .	. 22		. 0		6.14

HURSTP	IERPO!	INT CO	LL	EGE B	OW.	LING.	201	
	rers	Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
D. G. Piggott 1		15.		315		27		11.66
I. G. Connett	00.0	26		544	R .	34		16.00
L. G. Garnett 1	38.3	13		343	588	21		16.33
S. L. Goatly 1	21					5		19.40
D. D. Largen	30	6	30.	97		5		29.80
J. L. Kirk	62.3	9		149		9		29.00
TITO	CTTO A POT	a COTTO	OT	DATIVIT	TATO			
	HGATI			Highesti		Not or	+0	Average
	nings	Runs				7		77.66
A. M. Fabian	10	233				0		25.82
R. L. Stuart P. C. Carter	17	439		84			• •	17.54
	11	193		46		0 2	::	13.00
W. M. Webster	16	182		40				
G. F. Lushington	15	130		23*		2		10.00
	7	67		18		0		9.57
G. M. May	16	110		42*		2		7.85
W. Bray	12	74		20	27.	0		6.16
†J. W. Luck	14	- 73	-	23		0		5.21
A STATE OF THE STA								
	HGATI							A weema m-
	vers	Maidens	3	Runs	V	Vickets		Average 9.37
W. M. Webster	19.4	2		75		8		
G. M. May	345.5	63		701		72		9.73
R. L. Stuart 2	253.1	58		562		36		15.61
A. B. Pilcher	82	17		253		16		15.81
_						· D	ma	DIATO
KING EDWARI				RMING				ING.
In	nings	Runs	A .	Highest i	nns.	Not ou		Average
W. E. Sandbach	18	611	-	92*	20.	2		38.18
E. S. Baker	7	135		49		0		19.28
A. F. Goode	11	162		42		2		18.00
D. O. Hougham	12	113		24*		3		12.55
D. E. Raine	17	166		26		2		11.06
D. R. Dudley	14	136		53		1		10.46
†R. A. Cohen	18	182		35		0		10.11
E. W. Elwood	17	137		59*		3		9.78
A. Smith	11	61		22*		4		8.71
AMENAY SHOULDS	egal 1-113							and the second
KING EDWARI	D'S SCI	HOOL.	BII	RMING	HAN	I, BC	WI	LING.
	vers	Maidens		Runs	7	Vickets		Average
E. W. Elwood 1		27		317	27	25		12.68
D. E. Raine		56		623		48		12.97
W. E. Sandbach	56.4	13		177	20.	10		17.70
R. A. Cohen		47	200	590	100	32		18.43
A. D. Sutton		29		421	FET.	.12		35.08
A. D. Silvion	1.20	40		144				
WINOS COLLE	OT GO	TTOOT	77	IMBLI	DO.	N B	ATT	TING.
KING'S COLLE		HOOL,		Highest i		Not or		Average
	nnings			112*	ппъ.	1		23.66
				112	2 6			
P. R. Wood	16	355				1		19 68
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart	16 13	236		74		1		19.66
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale	16 13 12	$\frac{236}{170}$		74	теур	0		14.16
P. R. Wood	16 13 12 8	$   \begin{array}{r}     236 \\     170 \\     102   \end{array} $		74 33 41	TAVIO	0	::	14.16 12.75
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale T. A. Q. Lidell G. F. J. Coe	16 13 12 8 16	236 170 102 172		74 33 41 28	10V()	0 0	::	14.16 12.75 10.75
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale T. A. Q. Lidell G. F. J. Coe K. A. Collyer	16 12 8 16 12	236 170 102 172 74	11. 11.	74 33 41 28 22*	10/10 21 21 211	0 0 0 5	::	14.16 12.75 10.75 10.57
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale T. A. Q. Lidell G. F. J. Coe K. A. Collyer †J. V. Blackman	16 13 12 8 16 12 13	236 170 102 172 74 109		74 33 41 28 22* 26*	10/1) 27 27 311 35	0 0 0 5 2	:	14.16 12.75 10.75 10.57 9.90
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale T. A. Q. Lidell G. F. J. Coe K. A. Collyer J. V. Blackman R. C. Steel	16 13 12 8 16 12 13	236 170 102 172 74 109 48	11. 11.	74 33 41 28 22* 26* 19*	HAYD SI ATU ATU ATU ATU ATU	0 0 0 5 2		14.16 12.75 10.75 10.57 9.90 9.60
P. R. Wood R. L. Smart A. J. Gale T. A. Q. Lidell G. F. J. Coe K. A. Collyer †J. V. Blackman	16 13 12 8 16 12 13	236 170 102 172 74 109	が を を を を の の の の の の の の の の の の の	74 33 41 28 22* 26*	10/1) 27 27 311 35	0 0 0 5 2	:	14.16 12.75 10.75 10.57 9.90

KINOS	COLLEGE	SCHOOL	WIMBLEDON,	BOWLING.
NINITA	City Light Court	DUHUUL.	WINDLING.	TO III TITLE OF

	Overs		Maiden	18	Runs	1	Vickets	3	Average
T. A. Q. Lidell	88.4	1.00	12		360		27	Der.	13.33
A. J. Gale			21		407		28		14.53
P. R. Wood		514	24		479	76	32	ft	14.96
J. V. Blackman			23		492	38	21		23.42

# KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	H	ghest in	ns.	Not ou	its	Average	
W. A. Sharwell			648		151*		4		64.80	
G. M. Bennett		57.	428		101*		5		53.50	
C. A. Burrough			353		91		1		27.15	
H. D. Burrough			345	Di	139	1.	0		26.53	
R. T. Simpson	. 12		200		49	31.	3		22.22	
J. K. Daw	. 13		204		43		1		17.00	
C. G. Napper	. 9		134		65*		1		16.75	
D. A. Halahan			23		12*		4		11.50	
W. H. Powell	. 4		41	and the	30		0		10.25	

# KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.

	7.5	Overs	Maiden	IS	Runs	W	ickets	5	Average
D. A. Halahan		84.4	 20		210		16		13.12
T. E. Smyth .	26.72	84.2	10		259		1.5		17.26
G. M. Bennett			27		548		26		21.07
C. G. Napper .		52	 4		181		8		22.61
R. T. Simpson			17		294		11		26.72

# KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Runs High			Not outs	Average
A. L. Hill			368		83		0	26.28
R. A. Finnis			49		16*		2	16.33
R. A. W. Binny	. 14		202		44		1	15.53
G. C. Valder			187		42		0	14.38 13.61
†J. A. D. Slater			177		34		0	12.23
A. C. Goold			159		25 25*		1	9.75
C. G. A. Paris			78 107		41*	201	1	8.91
B. Taylor	. 13		101		4.1		1	

# KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs	W	ickets	1 3	Average
R. A. Finnis	12.3	1.0	0		46		5		9.20
C. G. A. Paris			6		313		17		18.41
H. C.Honey		THE .	14		422	27.	21		20.09
B. Taylor			14	Distance of the last of the la	264		12		22.00
R. A. W. Binny			6	0.00	163	-	7		23.28
D. G. Whittall			11		497		20		24.85
			17		365	G.K.	14		26,07
A. L. Hill	94	* *	+ 6		909	* *	T.M.		40101

64 TO 12 1 12	LIAIN C.	TTACL	COLLE						
	Innin	gs	Runs	Highest inns.			Not o	Averag	
G. A. K. Collins .	. 11	ME CO	186	15/2	34	MG	3		23.25
B. H. S. Davis	. 9		170		52		1.		21.25
E. Cawston	. 11	20	187		51		2		20.77
†A. R. D'A. Mount	. 12	001	238		55	18	0	rides.	19.83
D. O'Neill	. 12	Ser.	216		78*		1		19.63
J. R. Willis		822	175		54		0	1500	14.58
A. S. N. K. O'S.									
Quill	. 7		56		21		2		11.20
J. N. Bewsey			42	-	20	1.7.1	3		10.50
J. H. H. Salaman			80		19		0		8.88
G. A. Church	. 4	2 150 0	33	4525	28	THE STATE OF THE S	0		8.25
R. R. Vassar-Smith			38		14		2		7.60

LA	INCIN	G	COLLI	LGE	ROMI				
THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	W	lickets	3	Average
A. R. D'A. Mount	115.1		_		324		20		16.20
J. R. Willis	90.0				297		14		21.21
B. H. S. Davis	49.5		-		193		8		24.12
J. N. Bewsey	45.2		-		181		7		25.85
E. Cawston	86.0				299		11	5000	27.18
H. W. Forsyth	48.3		1000		197		7		28.14
D. O'Neill	61.0		-		232		4		58.00

	LEYS	5 6	SCHOOL	E	ATTING.			
	Innings		Runs	H	ghest inns.		Not outs	Average
F. R. Brown	. 15		390		87 .		3	 32.50
J. R. S. Raper	15	2.	417		79*		2	 32.07
J. M. Smith	. 15		353		81		0	 23.53
W. S. Green	. 14		289		41		0	 20.64
H. Rocyn-Jones			215				1	 17.91
P. R. Whiston			151		60		1	 15.10
P. G. Nichol			157		46*		2	 13.08
E. R. Hargreaves								 7.50
J. T. Alliston			60			٠.		 7.50
†L. H. Birch ,	. 10		44		17	٠.	2	 5.50

	LEYS	S	CHOOL	B	OWLIN	G.			
	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
J. R. S. Raper	225		60		553		50	129	11.06
J. T. Alliston	. 48		9		152		13	**	11.69
F. R. Brown	200		44		478		39		12.25
H. Rocyn-Jones	97		19		295		23	9.0	12.82

	LUKE	LIO	SCHO	OL	BALL	LING.			
	Inning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. N	ot ou	ts	Average
W. Nicholson	16		424		93		2		30.28
†J. M. Scoular	16		353	***	49*	direct	4		29.41
D. I. Brown	15		298	N. S.	69	E La leyer	2		22.92
J. Biggs	7		89	SEC.	39		2		17.80
D. A. Gaunt	16		240		69		2		17.14
F. H. Waters	13		128		37		0		9.84
J. A. Drysdale	12		100		47*		1		9.09
W. N. Mitchell	14		125		25		0	10.11	8.92
A. D. Baxter	11		37		10		5		6.16

TOD	EEEO GOTTO	OI DOWN	TATCI	
LUK.	ETTO SCHO		Wickets	Arrozada
J. A. Drysdale 104		233	WICKELS	Average 7.76
D. I. Brown 21		62	7	8.85
A. D. Baxter 216		456	42	10.85
W. Nicholson 181		559	41	13.63
M. M. Millar 99	25	278	14	19.85
			.86	
IN THE REAL PROPERTY.	TTDN GOTT	TOT DAM	MITATO	
	ERN COLL			Lat N. H. A.
V. C. G. Parry 8		Highest inn	is. Not out	s Average
V. C. G. Parry 8 C. R. Acheson-Grav 11		57*	$\frac{1}{2}$	30.42 28.66
J. F. E. Short 9		31*	4	19.80
†J. L. Battersby 15	288	59	0	19.20
L. H. T. Cliff 15		63	1	18.85
G. D. Wedd 15	400	59 32	0	18.40
W. H. Bradshaw 14 V. C. Begg 11	Date See		8	18.00
F. E. Blackstone 13		24*	3	15.00
B. H. Whitehorn 7		21	0	13.71
S. S. Fielden 11	146	72	0	13.27
L. C. Nunneley 9	88	29	1	11.00
	TOTAL TO			
MALV	ERN COLL	EGE BOW	LING.	
Over			Wickets	Average
W. H. Bradshaw 288		805	46	17.50
L. C. Nunneley 80	).4 15	288	16	18.00
G. D. Wedd 235		689	32	21.53
J. L. Battersby 136	).5 21	472	16	29.50
TORREST CONTRACTOR	4,000	13.4	the state of the	
98.5				
MARLBO	DROUGH CO	OLLEGE E	BATTING.	
Inni				
†S. A. Block 18		143	0	53.69
R. H. Neilson 11 G. W. Finch 12		56	3 0	40.62
			0	19.18
M. H. Thornton	148	60	ĭ	18.50
M. Robertson 19	2 217	49		18.00
R. Y. Fison 13	3 192	73	0	14.76
M. J. Morton		23	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & 1 \end{array}$	13.66
R. K. Page 12 R. J. Marley 12		23	2	9.22
J. F. Anderson		11	0	7.00
white when the same	A DESIGNATION OF	HS TOTAL	KANATAN Interest	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				
MADEDO	DOTTOTT OF	TTROP	OWIT TATO	
	ROUGH CO			
R. J. Marley 20		ns Runs	Wicket 30	
	0.0 48	549	50	
		649	98	22.92
	0.9 6	642		22.92
M. J. Morton 5 M. H. Thornton 10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	187	8	23.37 24.56
M. J. Morton 5	$egin{array}{ccccc} 0.9 & \dots & 6 \\ 5 & \dots & 7 \\ 1.5 & \dots & 12 \\ 8.5 & \dots & 12 \\ \end{array}$	187	16	23.37

# Public School Averages.

MERCH	IANT	TA	YLORS	SC.	HOOL .	BAI	LITI	G.	
	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	. N	ot out	3	Average
W. D. Heck	14		308		61		2		25.66
†E. N. Greer	13		158		29		5		19.75
H. D. Heck	11		208		63		0		18.90
B. W. Greenup			224		81		1		17.23
D. M. Parry			236		54		0		16.90
D. K. Walker	. 15	136	160		37		3		13.33
A. C. Whicher			125		45		0		10.41
J. R. Dothie	. 15		15		6		13	and a	7.50

MERCHANT	TAY	LORS	SCI	HOOL			
Over	S	Maiden	S	Runs	W	ickets	Average
D. K. Walker 124.	3	31		375		22	 17.04
E. N. Greer 39.	5	2		188		10	 18.80
H. D. Heck 46		8		168		. 8	 21.00
J. R. Dothie 161		32		563		26	 21.65
B. W. Greenup 130		22	18:56	476		15	 31.73

MERCH	ISTON	CASTLE	SCH	TOOP	RAI	TING.	
I TENEDOLENIA I	nnings	Runs	High	nest in	as. N	iot outs	Average
†H. J. Sinclair		. 470		65		3	33.57
J. Connel		. 404		52		. 0	21.26
J. O. Grieve				72		3	18.88
G. S. Young		011		58*		2	17.21
H. L. Duke			007	69	11.00	0	16.70
W. L. Connel		. 233	27	38		0	11.65
S. A. Milligan		. 148		34		5	11.38
W. R. Logan		157		43		2	11.21
J. W. Morton		144		31		1	10.28
R. T. Rintoul		91		21		4	10.11
10. 1. Itilitoui	. 10 .		•••				

MERCE	HSTON	C	ASTLE	SC.	HOOL				
	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average	
S. A. Milligan	. 190.4		25		578		56	 10.32	
G. S. Young			26		294		22	 13.36	
J. W. Morton			2		170		10		
H. J. Sinclair	. 186.5		52		439		18		
W. Steven			43		391		1.3	 30.07	
J. W. Morton H. J. Sinclair	40.5		2 52	• • •	170 439		10 18	17.00 24.38 30.07	

M	ILL HII	LL SCHO	OL	BATTI	NG.		
A STATE OF THE STA	Innings	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not	outs	Average
A. P. Powell		517		79		2	39.76
L. H. Collison			3	20*		5	36.50
H. E. Carris		453		72		1	30.20
H. H. Pool				48	To be	2	19.66
E. H. M. Grimsdell				23*		3	19.00
H. Taylor		262		55		1	18.71
S. Abden				30		5	17.14
G. W. Purchase		135		26*		3	13.50
R. Zilka		117	- 5		1000	1	10.63
T. H. B. Lawther		75	•••	19		0	7.50
M. W. Lloyd-Owen		44		13*		- 3	7.38
m. w. moyd-owen .		. 44		20			

Pui	blic	School	Averages.

Average

12.44 ... ... 13.36

13.40 28,66

Average

36.57 24.75 22.83

22.44 21.42 16.00

14.00

13.14 6.66

22.66 32.83

38.12 44.00 44.60

Average 32.71 27.16

24.85 15.00

13.85 12.16 10.23

8.18

Average

14.54

16.75

17.91

18.94

20.76

Average 57.50 28.66 27.00 25.00 22.63 22.60 20.75 18.40

16.88

16.54

1 word Shoot Hoerages.	
MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           H. H. Pool         89         17         223         22         10.13           H. Taylor         292.2         71         673         63         10.68           H. E. Carris         93.1         14         250         19         13.63           E. H. M. Grimsdell         125         33         312         16         19.50	OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         ¶ Runs         Wickets           C. H. Schwind         109         12         311         25           M. H. Jones         43.2         7         147         11           D. G. Allen         223.5         48         603         45           W. J. B. Johnson         102         11         344         12
MONKTON COMBE   SCHOOL BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not outs   Average	OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.           Innings         Runs         Highest Inns.         Not outs           B. R. W. Johnson         9         198         59*         1           A. C. Dixon         8         137         61*         2           †G. R. A. Johnson         9         202         50         0           H. J. Hobbins         9         150         46         2           A. G. Skinner         7         96         41         1           F. A. Yarrow         6         42         25*         3           G. S. K. Johnston         7         92         50         0           J. C. Hosken         4         20         10         1
MONKTON         COMBE         SCHOOL         BOWLING.           M. K. Watson         Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           M. K. Watson         169.2         46         445         39         11.41           O. F. Reichwald         169.4         43         449         39         11.51           W. G. Streather         75.4         20         191         16         11.93           C. W. W. Elwell         106.1         17         295         19         15.52           C. G. Reeves         28         2         128         6         21.33	OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs *Wickets G. R. A. Johnson 60.4 8 204 9 P. A. Greig 51 2 197 6 B. R. W. Johnson 76 7 305 8 A. G. Skinner 36 4 132 3 J. H. L. Whelen 44 2 223 5  RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.
NEWTON COLLEGE BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not outs   Average   12   478   82   3   53.11   128   53.11   121	Innings   Runs   Highest inns.   Not outs
NEWTON COLLEGE BOWLING.   Overs   Maidens   Runs   Wickets   Average	RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.       Overs     Maidens     Runs     Wickets       H. V. Green     184     24     509     35       G. B. Teale     101.5     18     268     16       G. C. A. Adams     71     10     215     12       J. F. Metcalfe     122     24     322     17       R. E. Osborne-Smith 159     32     436     21
OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING:           Innings         Runs         Highest Inns.         Not outs         Average           P. N. C. Sinker         7         167         45*         2         31.40           W. J. B. Johnson         11         231         74         1         23.10           †C. H. Schwind         11         173         90*         1         17.30           T. S. R. Fisher         4         49         39*         1         16.33           A. C. Martin         7         74         17         2         14.80           M. H. Jones         12         144         56         0         12.00           D. G. Allen         10         106         33         0         10.60           R. G. Ryley         12         125         27         0         10.42           J. H. Fisher         11         100         31*         1         10.00           J. M. A. Ennion         6         60         25         0         10.00           R. B. Marshall         10         89         21         1         1         9.88           D. L. Atter         9         43         19         2         <	REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highestinns.   Not outs   R. H. C. Human   12   344   77   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0

	REPTON	SCHOOL	BOWLI	NG	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. H. C. Human	. 42.1	15	91	11	8.27
R. H. C. Human C. J. Wilson	. 225.2	37	642	46	13.95
S. H. Sharpiey	. 155	37	449	26	17.26
D. S. Piper	171.4	47	348	18	
G. O. Peck	. 97.4	26	449 348 274	12	22.83
	ENTERT			MIND TO THE	
	ROSSALI	L SCHOOL	BATTI	NG.	
	Innings		lighest inn	is. Not outs	
A. M. D. Whiteroft	. 15	691		2	53.15
F. D. Beattie W. Philipson	. 14	485	121	0	
N B Smith	19	307 236	78 62	1	21.45
N. B. Smith R. D. Lambert J. C. Hammond H. V. Jones	9	133	50	2	19.00
J. C. Hammond	. 14	133 237 147	53	1	18.23
H. V. Jones	. 12	147	29*	2	14.70
A. S. Roncoroni P. J. Fuller	. 15	195	04	1	13.92
P. J. Fuller	9	74		3	12.33
R. H. Gibson	11	126	29	0	11.45
TOTAL STATE					
10000		SCHOOL			
n n r	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. D. Lambert	. 115	21		20	16.30
A. S. Roncoroni N. B. Smith			581 498	34	17.08 17.17
и. В. виции	. 207.4	92	498	29	11.11
DOTAL TE	TOTE GOT	FOOT TO	TATALTA	OTT DAMEN	270
KUYAL H.		LOOL, ED	INBUR	GH, BATTI	NG.
AW D. Emalia	Innings	Runs	lighest in	ns. Not outs	Average
†W. D. Emslie A. E. A. Anderson	15	101	51	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12.85
J. G. Sclater	15	150	23	1	11.35
J. R. Urguhart	16	106	25	2	7.57
M. M. H. Inglis	. 16	108	20	0	6.75
J. J. Wright	. 14	82	34	0	5.85
J. G. Sclater J. R. Urquhart M. M. H. Inglis J. J. Wright J. C. Murdoch	. 10	23	. 8	6	5.75
ROYAL H	IGH SCH	OOL, ED	INBUR	H, BOWLI	NG.
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. D. Emslie		22	131	23	5.69
W. H. Mackay	186	49	378	54	
J. R. Urquhart J. C. Murdoch	111.0	13	395 166	50	10.37
or or murdoul	. 01.4	13	100	Ti di di de conic	
	And the second		in the sec		
		SCHOOL			
	Innings		Highest in		Average
J. E. G. Pearson .		172	. 80*	1	
C. G. Agnew			73	0	22.92
J. M. Monkhouse	14	312	80	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.28 19.50
A. S. Bradshaw J. F. Connolly R. B. Wingate	13	234 187 148 187	49*		15.58
R. B. Wingate	13	148	37	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13.45
J. S. R. Reeve	. 14	187	34	0	13.35
J. S. R. Reeve G. V. F. Dawson .	13	100	31	0	12.15
H. M. Gow	7	77	44	0	11.00
H. L. Leedham-Gro	een 10 ,.	95	. 22	.,, 1 .,	10,55
	4.3	12 100			

	RIIGR	V	SCHOO	T.	BOWLI	VG.			
	Overs		Maiden				ickets		Average
H. L. Leedham-									
Green	147.3		6		525		46		11.41 13.68
J. F. Connolly	111.2		21 7			m.	11		19.09
R. B. Wingate	914		40	41	620		32	T. IK	19.37
A. S. Bradshaw G. V. F. Dawson	98.1		15		265		13		20.38
	-			5 S.M.	X 41 K 1 - 51				
			NS SCH		L BATT				Anna Pro Print
	Innings		Runs		ighest inns				Average
J. Williamson	13		216	19.		.,	2		19.63 15.16
†R. F. George C. F. Knighton	13		182 132	101			0		13.20
G G Fragar-Smith	20		69	18			2		11.50
G. G. Fraser-Smith G. D. Wiggs	8 9	٧.	78	H.			1	1000	9.75
J. Hodgson	- 77		an	200	21		0		8.72
J. McKechnie	9		10				. 0		7.77
T. S. Hignett	. 10		64		24	THE	1		7.11
	7		I San						
ST		Al	IS SCH	.00	L BOW	LIN(	x.		- M. H
	Overs		Maiden		Dame	337	ickets		Average
R. F. George T. S. Hignett	. 136		39 29	.,.	310 387				9.68 12.48
J. Williamson	25		5		102	20,	6		17.00
J. Williamson	. 00		,	• •	102	1			1
OR THE METATE	20 00	NTT	TOO	CA	MINITED D	TIDX	ъ	mm	TNO
ST. EDMUNI			.UUL,	UA	NIERD	URI	ot outs	77.1	Avorogo
G. E. Aisbitt	Innings 14		Runs 251	п	ighest inn: 58	S. 170	0 Outs		Average 17.92
A G Collie	12		202		in to		. 0		15.50
H. G. Anthony	7		77		10		2		15.40
H. G. Anthony V. H. Dale	7 14		77 159		10		$\frac{2}{1}$		12.23
H. G. Anthony V. H. Dale A. W. Symords	7 14 13		77 159 145		10		1		12.23 12.08
H. G. Anthony V. H. Dale A. W. Symords †W. E. Image	7 14 13 9		77 159 145 83		10		1 1 0		12.23 12.08
H. G. Anthony V. H. Dale A. W. Symonds †W. E. Image A. F. Smith	7 14 13 9		77 159 145 83 74		43 34 59 22 17		1 1 0		12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22
E. M. Phair	7 14 13 9 11 12		77 159 145 83 74 74		43 34 59 22 17		1 1 0		12.23 12.08
H. G. Anthony V. H. Dale A. W. Symords †W. E. Image A. F. Smith E. M. Phair A. Kirkconnel	7 14 13 9 11 12		77 159 145 83 74 74		43 34 59 22 17		1 1 0 2 3		12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22
A. Kirkconnel	7 14 13 9 11 12 12		77 159 145 83 74 74 78		43 34 59 22 17 14 33		1 1 0 2 3 1		12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09
E. M. Phair	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 7'S SO		77 159 145 83 74 74 78	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 33	URY	1 0 2 3 1		12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09
E. M. Phair	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 O'S SO		77 159 145 83 74 74 78	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 33	URY	1 1 0 2 3 1		12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09
ST, EDMUNI E. M. Phair R. G. Roots	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 O'S SC Overs 147 39		77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4	CAl	43 34 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO Vickets 38	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI  E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 Overs 147 39 45	HC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4	CAl	43 34 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO lickets 38 11 11	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI  E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 Overs 147 39 45	HC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17	CAI ns	143 159 122 177 14 133 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 566	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO lickets 38 11 11 39	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51
ST, EDMUNI E. M. Phair R. G. Roots	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 Overs 147 39 45	HC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17	CAl	143 159 122 177 14 133 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 566	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO lickets 38 11 11	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63
E. M. Phair. ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 12 12 147 39 45 154.4 79.2	) ) ) )	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11	CAI	43 44 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 566 277	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO lickets 38 11 11 39 19	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 JING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51
E. M. Phair. ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2	) (O)	777 1559 145 83 74 74 74 78  OOL, Maidet 20 4 4 17 11	CAI	43 44 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 566 277 HERHE	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO'lckets 38 11 11 39 19	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 14.51 14.57
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2	O(0)	77 159 145 83 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 17 11	CAI CAI CAT H	43 43 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 566 277 HERHE	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 , BO lickets 38 11 11 39 19 BAT	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 SCH Innings	CO(	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11	CAI CAI CAT H	48 48 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Runs 475 147 150 506 277 HERHE lighest inn 58	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO lickets 38 11 11 39 19 BAT ot outs 2 0	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 SCH Innings	COC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78 OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11 DL, LE Runs 805 192	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBU Rums 475 147 150 506 277 HERHE lighest inn 58	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO Vickets 38 11 11 39 19 BAT ot outs	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  P. R. K. Whitaker R. U. Yonge S. V. Lloyd-Rees G. W. Pink	74 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SC Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 154.4 Innings 12 13	CO(	77 159 145 83 74 74 78  OOL, Maider 20 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 3055 1992 158 140	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 38 NTERBU Rums 475 147 150 566 277 HERHE lighest inn 58 58 58 58	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 BO Vickets 38 11 139 19 BAT ot outs 2 0	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.36 12.72
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  P. R. K. Whitaker R. U. Yonge S. V. Lloyd-Rees G. W. Pink	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 12 11 12 12 12 13 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	COC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78  OOL, Maider 20 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 3055 1992 158 140	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 38 NTERBU Rums 475 147 150 566 277 HERHE lighest inn 58 58 58 58	URY W CAD, s. N	1 0 2 3 1 , BO Vickets 38 11 11 139 19 BAT ot outs 2 0 1	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 IING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.36 12.72 11.00
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  †P. R. K. Whitaker. R. U. Yonge S. V. Lloyd-Rees G. W. Pink G. A. Barker W. J. L. Haworth	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 11 13 12 12 12 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	COC	77 159 145 83 74 74 78  OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 3055 192 158 140 88 90	CAI	43 34 59 22 17 14 33 NTERBI Runs 475 147 150 566 277 HERHE ighest inn 58 6 6 37* 43*	URY WE SAD,	1 0 2 3 1 1 BO Cickets 38 11 11 89 19 BAT ot outs 2 0 1	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 ING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  †P. R. K. Whitaker. R. U. Yonge S. V. Lloyd-Rees G. W. Pink G. A. Barker. W. J. L. Haworth R. A. S. Farnfield	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 12 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 12 13 12 12 12 13 13 12 12 12 13 11 10	(O)	77 159 145 83 74 74 74 78  OOL, Maidet 20 4 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 805 1902 158 140 88 90 80	CAllas	43 34 59 22 17 14 38 NTERBU Rums 475 147 150 506 277 HERHE lighest inn 58 58 58 58 44 44 45 17	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 , BO Vickets 38 11 11 13 9 19 BAT ot outs 2 0 1	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.76 14.36 12.72 11.00 10.00 10.00
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI  E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  †P. R. K. Whitaker. R. U. Yonge G. W. Pink G. A. Barker W. J. L. Haworth R. A. S. Farnfield J. H. C. Cowgill	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	OH	77 159 145 83 74 74 74 78  OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 305 192 158 140 88 90 80 100	CAll	48 48 49 22 17 14 33 NTERBI Rums 475 147 150 277 HERHE lighest inn 58 58 58 58 37* 44 44 44 44 47 47 47 47 47 47	URY W CAD,	BO 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.76 14.76 11.00 10.00 10.00 9.16
E. M. Phair. A. Kirkconnel  ST. EDMUNI E. M. Phair. R. G. Roots W. E. Image. A. W. Symonds A. F. Smith  ST. JOHN'S  †P. R. K. Whitaker. R. U. Yonge S. V. Lloyd-Rees G. W. Pink G. A. Barker. W. J. L. Haworth R. A. S. Farnfield	7 14 13 9 11 12 12 12 0'S SO Overs 147 39 45 154.4 79.2 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	(O)	77 159 145 83 74 74 74 78  OOL, Maider 20 4 4 17 11  OL, LE Runs 305 192 158 140 88 90 80 100	CAllas	48 48 49 22 17 14 33 NTERBI Rums 475 147 150 277 HERHE lighest inn 58 58 58 58 37* 44 44 44 44 47 47 47 47 47 47	URY W	1 0 2 3 1 , BO Vickets 38 11 11 13 9 19 BAT ot outs 2 0 1	WI	12.23 12.08 9.22 8.22 7.09 LING. Average 12.50 13.36 13.63 14.51 14.57 NG. Average 30.50 14.76 14.76 14.76 11.00 10.00 10.00 9.16

628	T	uoirc	School	110	erages.				
OF TOTTANO	aarr	OOT	T 77 A	mari'	A SELECTE	D	DOWN	TN	C
ST. JOHN'S	SCH	OOL	, LEA	TH	EKHEA	$\nu$	DU W	TIM	Average
	vers		Maidens		Runs 445	11	ickets 40		11.12
P. R. K. Whitaker.	57		67		154		13		11.84
J. H. C. Cowgill W. G. O'Brien		• • •	26		470		29		16.20
R. U. Yonge	94				294		40 000		17.29
- 0.5-46						-			
ST. LAWREN	CE	COL	LEGE	R	AMSGA	TE.	BAT	LIN	rG.
DI. IIII WILLIAM	nnings	3	Runs	Hig	hest inns	No	t outs		Average
†R. Perfect	10						0		31.70
H. R. Hinge					96		0		27.20
G. T. Hindley	10				52				23.37
H. J. Linnell	10				84		0	•	15.50
A. G. Arthur					33		3		11.42 9.00
J. F. Alston	10				37		0	•••	7.75
B. M. Bird	8		62		34 10	• • •	3	••	7.33
F. W. Houghton	6		22		10				1.00
ST. LAWREN	CIE	TOD	LEGE,	P	AMSGA	TT	ROW	TT	NG.
		COL	Maidens		Runs	TI,	ickets	111	Average
R. Perfect	vers		30		372	**	41		9.07
H. W. Harding		1	20	4.00	351		26		13.50
T. A. Burgess		WER ?	9		188		13		14.46
A. G. Arthur	62.1	188	7		236		12		19.66
ST.	PA	UL'S	SCHO	OL	BATT	ING			
	nning	g	Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No	ot outs		Average
*E. T. Killick					185*				49.38
J. S. Olliff	15	2.	548		152			• • •	39.14
R. Feeton	9		173		55*				34.60
F. D. C. R. Eyles	13				47*		4		31.44 19.58
H. M. Garland-Wells	15 11	18.	235		62		3		19.37
C. J. Patterson			155		57* 47		0		17.14
P. Landells	14		240 63		18	• •	1		9.00
N. G. Kershaw M. K. Johnston	10		60		27		0		6.00
M. A. Johnston	1.0		00			-	1	LA MA	
QID.	70.43	CTT 10	COTTO	OT	DOTT	TATO			
		OTIE			BOWL	LING	r.		Arronago
	vers		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets 17		Average 13.47
N. K. Johnston			2 48		229 410		30		13.66
	198.2			6.	800	3	53	2450	15.09
H. M. Garland-Wells A. G. Barmby			25		369		21		17.57
T. D. B. Kimpton .	56		12	9	154	X	6		25.66
1. D. D. Kimpton .	30		.1.44		201				
CALL	DDE	DOT	r garra	OAT	TO A TEVT	TNIC			
				JOI	BATT	TIVO	r.		Average
	nning	S			hest inns	. 14			43.53
†R. W. Skene	13		566		114 102	3114		-	23.61
W. M. L. Smethurst	13				39	1	4		23.33
R. M. Phillips J. D. Worthington			208	21.	57	• • •			#0 00
W. Pearson					22*	2 1000	4		18.20
W. E. Mitchell-Innes	11		140	2.	50*		3	200	17.50
S. G. March			203	8	53		1		16.91
P. Highley	9		146		49		0		16.22
J. E. Nicholls	10		134		51		0		18.40
T. Connolly			56		19		3		11.20
J. F. A. Turner	10		49		8		2		6.12

# SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

emera eller neile	Overs	Maide	ens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. W. Skene	206.1	53	010. ·	467		44	 10.61
W. E. Mitchell-Innes	50.2	6	·	156		13	 12.00
W. Pearson	177.3	37	232	445		29	 15.34
T. Connolly	99	18	E8.	271		16	 16.93

# SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	High	nest inns.	No	touts	Average
†C. S. Balhatchet	. 10		151		54*		5	30.20
A. J. Combes	. 13		387		94		0	29.76
R. B. Brown	. 13		253		52		0	19.46
H. G. Kennedy	. 10		152	5.14	44		1	16.88
R. H. Hitch			209	10 m	60		0	16.07
W. E. Loney		188. ·	142	23	41*		3	15.77
H. G. Young			81		27*		2	13.50
D. N. Hoddinott		-	168		61		0	12.92
E. A. G. Manton	. 10	1	70	78.	22*		3	10.00
A. W. Robertson .	. 10		97		22		0	9.70

# SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	8	Runs	W	ickets	3	Average	
R. H. Hitch	143.1	55		276		32		8.62	
A. J. Combes		60	95	365	7	41		8.90	
H. G. Kennedy	36	8		109		10		10.90	
R. B. Brown		15	S	184		15		12.26	
D. H. Tovey	43	2	E	193		7		27.57	
A STATE OF THE STA									

# SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	His	thest inns	5.	Not outs	Average
†R. Eglington	12	566		140		1	51.45
L. A. Hodgkinson .	12	336		119		1	30.54
I. D. M. Wilson	9	211		76		2	30.14
P. G. Merriman	7	149		101		1	24.83
J. R. Anderson		146		46		2	24.33
R. H. Gladden	8	151		75		1	21.57
R. G. Kreyer	5	64		31*		2	21.33
C. M. G. Moberly		187		64		1	20.77
E. Knight		174		79		1	19.33
R. H. Kendal		110		48		0	15.71
R. V. Payne	5	42	18. 7	18*		1	10.50

# SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
C. M. G. Moberly	205	 58	 431		39	 11.05
R. G. Kreyer	123	 29	 268		24	 11.16
R. V. Payne	165	 31	 437		23	 19.00
J. R. Anderson	60	 1	 267		7	 38.14

	SHREWSBU	RY SCH	OOL BATT	ING.	
	Innings		Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
D. N. Moore .			. 166	. 3	51.81
J. F. Longrigg		101	. 116	2	30.28
A. C. E. Mutter		010		2	26.50
K. W. Leach		000	404	. 0	24.37
R. M. Stow		275		. 2	22.91
	8	100	00	. 3	21.80
†P. S. Snow		271	m m	. 0	19.35
P. H. C. Staples		266		2	19.00
W. H. D. Duke		130	0.0	0	14.44
D. C. G. Raikes		400		. 1	13.50
		100	22		
	SHREWSBU	RY SCH	OOL BOW	LING.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. S. Snow		48	. 554	42	13.19
W. D. H. Duke			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	20	13.75
A. C. E. Mutter		0.0		44	18.29
P. H. C. Staple		OM	102	23	
D. N. Moore	59			8	27.25
D. II. MOOIO .					
	District Assessment and Assessment	- The second second second second			
	STONYHUR	ST COLL	EGE BAT	TING.	
	Innings		Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
†T. Christie		593	155	. 1	53.90
D. P. Hickey			43*	4	30.25
H. S. de Caires		265	and the second second	0	29.44
F. I. de Caires		273	66	2	27.30
M. T. Cooper .				. î	24.66
A. McDowell.	9	151		î	18.87
D. C. Smith		186		î	18.60
R. Poole		126		î	18.00
N. F. McGrath	5	71	10	1	17.75
J. C. Fletcher		86	00	0	10.75
A. G. C. King		43	the second secon	i i	7.16
zar or or axing	SA THERE	SHART STREET	L. Septime		
	982	13	1 1 1 2 2 1	All and creates	
	STONYHUR	ST COLL	EGE BOW	LING.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. S. de Caires		22	424	OF	12.11
M. J. Cooper .		17	0.00	0.0	14.36
D. C. Smith		1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	359	26	14.84
A. McDowell.		27	340	n 200	20.00
A. MUDOWell.	104	41	040	17	20.00

			-						
	Innings		Runs	High	est inns.	Not	touts	Average	
E. M. Pitts	15		404		136		1	 28.85	
E. T. Clark			198		39*		5	 18.00	
G. A. F. A. Farman		1	247		90*		3	 17.64	
H. Starkey	17		196		49		2	 13.06	
†A. K. Woodhouse			163		44		0	 10.18	
D. Ll. Jones	12		79		19		4	 9.87	
K. S. B. Lucking			33		22		0	 8.25	
R. J. Harris			94		47*		0	 7.23	
T W Daws	10		61		90		- 7	- 5 54	

TAUNTON SCHOOL BATTING.

# TAUNTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

SECTION ASSESSMENT	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	3	Average
A. K. Woodhouse	35		4	Sim.	118		15		7.86
	266.4		73		618	F	63		9.80
F. W. Dawe	55.2		10	500	226		16		14.12
G. A. F. A. Farmar	224.4		43	parties.	587		34		17.26
R. J. Harris			7	77	183	al	9		20.33

# TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs		hest inns			Average
†P. N. Miller	. 16		465		50			
J. A. Baiss					66*		2	28.80
H. M. O'M. Holman			420	19	130*			
T. L. Rowan			295	NEW MARKET	54*	- 12.	2	 24.58
G. A. Hill				27.	82		0	 22.58
R. D. Wallers								18.46
D. S. Clarke				9				17.12
H. W. Dods				180				16.50
M. W. Smith	10	-	55					7.85
W H Gabb pla	ved in	four	innings	(3 tim				

W. H. Gabb played in four innings (3 times not out) and had a total of 11 runs, his highest score being 72, not out.

# TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	W	ickets		Average
T. L. Rowan	68	16	2	204		15		13.60
R. D. Wallers		6		109		8	-	13.62
E. J. G. Tucker		61		867		63		13.76
J. A. Baiss		11		328		22		14.90
		34	BST	425		23		18.47
M. W. Smith		30	EE	505	1 4.0	26		19.42

# TRENT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inn	s. No	touts	Average
†P. H. Keigwin	. 14 .		416	22.	83		0	29.71
C. C. Barker			220	20	75	E	1 2000	
A. Sanders	. 12		186	8	42		0	
J. L. Baker			162	E	42		0	
S. W. Sidford			130		47		1	10.00
R. A. Ainslie			26		15		3	8.66
E. J. T. Pevitt	. 10	.IC	47	12	22*	16.5	2	5.87
G. H. Hartop		seinil	28	and.	14	and.	0	5.60

# TRENT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Average
J. M. Quarmby	57.3	10	179	18	9.94
C. C. Barker	119.2	22	400	27	14.81
H. R. Dorning .	144.2	10	572	32	17.87
W. H. Walker .	36	5	155	6	25.83
P. H. Keigwin .	205.5	41	575	19	30.26

032	Pu	oue sensoi	Averuyes.		
			******	To A migration	7.0
TRINITY			NALMOND		NG.
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.		Average
H. R. Munro		460	89	0	27.05
†H. R. Price		402	78		23.64
H. Cameron		245	50		18.84
K. R. Pearson		288	63*		18.00
S. Cruikshank		219	62*		16.84
R. C. V. Ash		177	48		16.09
R. Stewart		194	43		14.92
G. G. Gulliver	. 10	84	34	2	10.50
B. E. A. Pollard-		-			0.00
Urquhart		106	44	4	9.63
J. Mitchell	. 11	73	15	2	8.11
100					
TRINITY	COLLE	CE CLE	NALMOND	BOWLI	NG.
TIMINITI	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H Camaran		12	275	27	10.18
H. Cameron B. E. A. Pollard-	. 00.0	. 12	210		10.10
Urquhart	. 62.3	. 9	200	19	10.52
R. Stevenson		26	285	27	10.55
S. Cruikshank	108	10	327	25	13.08
H. R. Munro		33	293	20	14.65
R. Stewart		24	226	13	17.38
16. Ole wal U	. 00		220		
		NOTTENT	COTTOOT	TO A PRIPATATA	_
UNIVE	RSITY	COLLEGE	SCHOOL		х.
	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
I. Abrahams	. 17	367	59		21.59
B. Abrahams		214	66	2	15.26
†N. H. Trace	. 17	238	36	1	14.87
T. Rowney	. 17	201	41		13.40
A. C. Moran	. 17	191	45	2	12.73
D. M. Lloyd	. 17	181	42	0	10.64
C. M. Colley D. W. Howe	. 16	120	34*		9.23
D. W. Howe	. 17	142	44	1	8.87
UNIVE	STTV	COLLEGE	SCHOOL	BOWLIN	G.
ONIVE		Maidens		Wickets	Average
A C Moren	Overs	43	405	40	10.12
	. 168	48	222		11.42
L. Abrahams		The second second	400	44	12.00
D. W. Howe N. H. Trace			440	34	15.05
N. H. I.race	. 170	34	512	04	10.00
	-			-	
TT	DDING	TAM SOT	OOL BATT	TNC	
0.					Avorago
T) O Onemath	Innings	Runs 496	Highest inns.	4	Average 45.09
D. S. Oscroft		000	105		04 55
S. Enderby		286	60	0	26.83
J. C. B. Perkins		322	67		00 40
L. M. T. Castle		261			01 00
J. C. Christopherso					21.90
K. Wilkinson	. 7	147	50		00 00
M. F. Hurdle					*M MF
C. H. Thornley	. 10	213	0.5	0	
E. S. Waring	9		0.0	0	TOFF
1 A. I. P. MCIHOSH	9	113	32	0	12.55

# UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	ıs	Runs	W	ickets	Talk.	Average
The Te T. C. DECTEROUSE	209.4	 59		506 181		16		11.24 11.31
J. C. B. Perkins	64.1	16 41		452	21.	31		14.58
R. G. Lascelles	33	6		75		4		18.75
M. F. Hurdle	124	 32		296	77.	10		29.60

# WATSON'S COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	High	nest inns.	Not	outs	Average
G. A. S. Gemmell .	. 15		313		48		0	20.86 15.56
†F. J. Inglis	. 16		249		63		0	15.25
D. M. Oliver	. 16		244		60	-	1	14.93
G. L. Whitton	. 16		239		61		1	11.54
A. Montgomery			127		31		0	8.07
W. H. Marshall			105		28 14		9	6.27
H. H. Millar	. 13		69		14		4	0.2.

# WATSON'S COLLEGE BOWLING.

Ox	ers	Maiden	8	Runs	W	ickets	,	Average
No. of the Control of	41	9		125	A	10		12.50
	59.5	48	33.4.	452		30		15.06
	30.3	42		572		35		16.34 22.95
W. H. Marshall 1	30.1	23	111	482		21		22,95

# WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs		Average
B. D. F. Burt			469		113	2		36.07
C. E. Richardson .			97		24*	7		32.33
G. G. Tebbitt			423		87	0		30.21
J. C. Craddock			59		47*	4		29.50
D. W. Stokes			322		46	1		24.76
R. Hitchcock	. 15		249		69*	3		20.75
T. G. Dickson	. 13		224	in.	48	0		17.23 14.00
J. A. Dorr	. 10		98		30	3		12.23
H. G. Casselton	. 14		159	5.	33*	1		11.00
†J. B. Gamble	. 12		121		24	1	-17	11.00

# WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

		Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
B. D. F	Burt	203.3	 19		622		48		12.95
	asselton	110.1	 16	33	218		16		13.62
J. C. Cr	addock	91	 17		300	900	19		15.78 16.27
T. G. D	ickson		19		179		33		18.42
J. B. G	amble	205,4	 44		608	1.	99	١.	10.46

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.	WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.
Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
†W. D. S. May 11 6 381 78 1 38.10	G. P. Goodwin 16 726 131 3 55.84
R. C. Dobson	J. E. Cox 15 314 58 3 26.16
H. C. B. Berens 6 47 22 4 23.50	†H. H. Peart 14 260 56 0 18.57
C. C. Garthwaite 12 230 54 2 23.00	F. R. Ewing 16 248 85 1 16.53
A. C. Hamilton 10 177 53* 2 22.12	K, H, Virley 15 187 50 3 15.58
J. Q. Wood 12 252 81 0 21.00	G. F. Pullinger 13 187 51 1 15.58
A. A. Benn 12 243 62 0 20.25	W. J. Stutchbury 6 54 29 2 13.50
T. J. R. Dashwood . 7 123 43 0 17.57	N. M. Ling 11 117 26 1 11.70
J. F. Dumford-Slater 7 90 32 1 15.00	WITHOUTEN OD ARMAD COLLOOT DOWN INC
C. E. Godby 4 49 30 0 12.25 N. J. Mordaunt 4 37 18 0 9.25	WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.
	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
D T C Walden C 40	K. H. Virley 166.1 32 486 48 10.12
B. J. G. Madden 6 43 15 0 7.16	G. P. Goodwin
WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.	
BL (1200년 - 1200년 120	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. C. Garthwaite	F. R. Ewing 38.4 4 131 6 21.83
	WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.
D F Conthauntte	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
A. C. Hamilton	†P. G. T. Kingsley 13 517 130* 3 51.70
	I. D. K. Fleming 14 521 134 1 40.07
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.	A. D. Bonham-Carter 6 124 55 2 31.00
에는 그 10 10 전문에 보려는 15.10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	E. H. Cadogan 4 51 23 2 25.50
3 F 13 T YY	P. J. W. Milligan 14 251 56 3 22.81
T T Candinan 10 001	E. P. King 12 222 50 2 22.20
R. G. A. Mordaunt . 9	R. L. Micklem 10 180 79 0 18.00
J. A. Cook	R. S. G. Scott 14 182 34 2 15.16
†A. M. Shepley-Smith 10 216 53 0 21.60	H. E. Scott 7 62 18 2 12.40
N. L. Foster 13 189 54* 2 17.18	N. U. Coates 6 53 28 1 10.60
G. M. E. Paulson 10 131 39 2 16.37	A. M. Tew 6 27 9 2 6.75
D. R. P. Mills 10 130 54 0 13.00	R. S. Walker 5 23 14* 1 5.75
D. A. Bompas 12 116 29 2 11.60	WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
	P. N. Townsend 176 19 520 40 13.00
N. C. CL. 1	A. M. Tew 139.5 40 394 19 20.73
	H. E. Scott 235.2 55 623 30 20.76
N T Waster 000 to or to	P. G. T. Kingsley 9.4 . 2 43 2 21.50
G. M. E. Paulson . 100 14 294 13 22.61	E. H. Cadogan 56 10 166 6 27.66
S. Chapman 136.5 19 524	P. J. W. Milligan 99 20 345 10 34.50
12 180	WREKIN COLLEGE BATTING.
WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	W. E. Hampton 14 391 100* 3 35.54
J. H. L. Phillips 14 424 171 2 35.33	L. G. Hales 15 463 88* 1 33.07
H. S. Senior 13 388 157 0 29.84	F. Bullivant 13 228 36 4 25.33 A. D. Wilkie 15 343 57 1 24.50
R. J. Marshall 14 344 70* 1 26.46	
†H. F. Hodge     12     278     125     1     25.27       J. L. Woods     13     269     56     2     24.45	7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7
T) T #7-1 # 2	J. D. Penn
II Ded-1	J. W. Elliott 13 200 52 0 15.38
	Approximate the second
G. T. Barton 8 53 31* . 3 10.60	WREKIN COLLEGE BOWLING.
WEYWOTHIT GOTTEGE DOWNTAG	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.	A. W. Brearley 167.4 28 444 37 12.00
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	J. W. Elliott 173.3 25 595 40 14.87
H. S. Senior 101 15 322 30 10.73	J. E. Harrisson 67 6 263 17 15.47
H. F. Hodge 227.2 37 719 52 13.82	J. E. L. Mathias 135.1 23 351 22 15.95
R. J. Marshall 179.2 31 548 27 20.29	R. G. Chandler 77 18 196 12 16.33