## PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1927.

By H. S. ALTHAM.

The cricket season of 1927 is already sure of an unenviable immortality, but, writing as I do of school cricket only, I feel bound to register a rather reluctant protest against its wholesale condem-I fancy that in the West and the North it showed its true malevolence early enough. July was universally deplorable, and the 'Schools' festival at Lord's was as wet, but not wetter, than of recent years. But May, if generally cold, was as generally fine, and at most schools in the South and the Midlands batsmen enjoyed a first-rate opening month's practice on almost uniformly fast That, at any rate, was our experience at Winchester when I never remember more cricket being played right down to the end of June. Of course, when the weather finally broke, batsmen were in trouble, but the real players soon learned to adapt themselves, whilst one and all were the better for their opening month, which more than ever convinced me that only on fast wickets can the average school batsman learn strokes and confidence.

My 'preamble' this year must be reduced to a minimum, but the comments of one or two of my correspondents make a reference to one issue almost inevitable. Ever since the M.C.C. inaugurated a 'Representative Schools Match' at Lord's in 1904, competition for places in the side, or, as they now are, sides, has grown steadily keener, until now selection confers a distinction very much coveted, not only by the candidates themselves, but for them by their schools and, perhaps not least, by their coaches, both amateur and professional. With competition so keen and the field so big, it is quite inevitable that there should be disappointments: but from what I have heard I gather that in some quarters there is the feeling that the good cricketer at the smaller school has no chance compared

with the moderate cricketer at the big school.

Now as I happen to know just a little about the facts I would ask the critics to consider them. The body of school cricket is enormous, the general standard steadily rising, and if there are fewer school cricketers each year of the class that picks itself, there are more and more who, on performances at any rate, must be candidates for selection. The M.C.C. have no elaborate intelligence service for school scricket: they cannot send off a G.S.O. to watch X bat or Y bowl: even when the schools are playing at Lord's it is probably true that the Secretary's office sees least of the game. Inevitably they are dependent on figures and on such reports as reach them. In considering figures they quite naturally consider the class of

opposition involved and the sort of wicket on which runs have been made or wickets taken: there is, for example, no denying the fact that the schools in or within a reasonable radius of London meet better sides than those further afield, whilst a batting average of 40 at Marlborough probably means rather less than the same figures at Clifton. But what the authorities at Lord's really want is information: best of all is it when it comes from a responsible authority who can speak from a whole season's knowledge, but corroborative evidence may also be invaluable. For instance A, the master in charge of cricket at Blank, may write in mid-June and say that he recommends for consideration a batsman "the best we have had for three years and with an average at the moment of 53;" good evidence enough, but not, it may be, decisive: then along comes B who has gone down to Blank for the Foresters and says "I saw that Blank boy the other day: he only got 15, but he is the real thing all right," and together these testimonials carry the day. I am quite sure that the M.C.C. secretary would welcome all such reports, made in good faith and from experienced sources: in a sense that may complicate an already most difficult task by increasing the number of recommended candidates, but there will be no complaint on that score if the field is worth the backing: the one desire at Lord's is to give all possible schools and candidates the fullest possible consideration.

It was a thousand pities that the August Bank Holiday should have been hopelessly wet and so have ruined what promised to be a most interesting game between two good sides. On the Tuesday the Lord's Schools established a decided advantage, and though the cricket after tea, with a result out of the question, rather lacked malevolence, I left the ground with the impression that they were, on a turning wicket, distinctly the stronger team, especially in attack. Heywood, at the beginning of the game, had looked really difficult, even if not always accurate, and, though Whittaker was quite ordinary, Ward had again shown unmistakably what a good bowler he was last year at Lord's. Conversely 'The Rest' bowlers were disappointing: Tew looked very troublesome for three overs, and subsequently rather commonplace; Hay, though always steady, was little more and did not seem to have developed from last year. while neither Skene nor Elliott produced anything like the bowling form that had made them such terrors at Sedburgh and Wrekin. Elliott, both in action and ball-control, I found particularly disappointing, but I am told by those who knew his bowling best that he was by this time seriously stale, and that in June he was a really good school bowler.

The batting on both sides was singularly uneven: Human and Skene played capitally and saved their side from complete collapse, nobody else scoring more than five! Skene's innings was

thoroughly sound and convincing, old-headed yet never dull, whilst Human hit with a very stout heart, good wrists and clear eye, quick footwork, and a pleasant variety of strokes. Against the weaker attack the Lord's Schools looked more at home, but only three batsmen exceeded twenty. Wellings played like a good school No. 1, as he certainly is, and Stewart, though he gives hostages to fortune and looks as if there were holes in his defence, hit very well, especially on the off side, whilst Lindsay, though he never seemed really able to time the ball and had not much to bat against in the last hour, proved himself watchful and determined.

The task of selecting the side against the Army proved more than ordinarily difficult, contemporary form and performance having to be most carefully weighed against the records of the past term, but the side that eventually took the field, if perhaps a little lacking in variety of attack, strikes me as the best that has done duty for the Schools for some years—a conclusion that seems well supported

by the course of the match.

It is true that on the first day the Schools owed a great deal to Fleming who, combining a most watchful defence and great patience with the will and the ability to drive and hook very hard, played extremely well for his 110: but though no one else played a really considerable innings, the later half of the side batted well for useful totals. The final score 261, on a wicket that was for most of the innings quite easy, was reasonably good but no more, for the Army bowling, with Lieut. Gore not swinging the ball dangerously and Captain Joy only fast medium, was not particularly formidable. Ward and Heywood bowled definitely well to dismiss the Army for 215, which might have been considerably less but for a last wicket stand of 36, but by lunch time on the Thursday the Schools, with five wickets down for 58, had lost all their advantage.

Once more Fleming, alone of the opening batsmen, played in his best form. Only a hundred runs on and with plenty of time yet to go, there was now a very good chance of the Schools losing the match, but as they had done for 'the Rest' two days before, so once again Skene and Human came gallantly to the rescue. Indeed, once the immediate danger of a collapse had been averted, Skene made runs at a capital pace and Heywood hitting well also, Kingsley was able to declare at tea, leaving the Army 259 to get in two and half hours. This they looked no more like doing than losing the match, but their last innings did give Ward the opportunity of bowling very accurately again and the Schools side as a whole of fielding extremely well.

The Army were not so strong a side as they had been in some years of late, but there was general agreement that the form of the Public Schools had been definitely good. Kingsley's failure was a great disappointment and the best compliment I can pay him is to say that

I do not think it seriously affected his reputation. Whittaker in the Army match was disappointing, but he bowled extremely well for Sussex in the following week. Baiss had a bad match, but fielded splendidly; the same may be said of Wellings, by this time obviously tired; Skene, if he disappointed as a bowler-probably another case of over-work-did splendidly as a batsman, and both Ward and Heywood were school bowlers some way above the ordinarily good. On a fast wicket I should rank Heywood as the more dangerous to good batsmen, but, when the ball turned, Ward was nearly always difficult, whilst on all wickets his steady persistency and command of length were quite exceptional. In the three games in which he played at Lord's, versus Harrow, 'The Rest,' and The Army, he bowled 145 overs for 178 runs and fifteen wickets!

A year ago I attempted a list of the best school cricketers other than those selected for the representative games at Lord's: when I began looking through the past season's records with the same end in view, I was almost deterred by the number of strong candidates a pretty clear indication, it seems to me, of the strength of school cricket in 1927. No such list is likely to be comprehensive and any such must invite criticism, but the following at least seem to suggest themselves for mention: Of batsmen Woollatt (Cheltenham), Carlisle (Harrow), Sheepshanks (Eton), Benn (Wellington), Perkins (Uppingham), Neame (Haileybury), Olliff (St. Paul's), and Goodwin (Whitgift); Of bowlers Townsend (Winchester), Tilly (Clifton), Jarratt (Uppingham), Bland (Shrewsbury), Peart (Whitgift), Cruickshank (Glenalmond), Rutter (Tonbridge), and Johnson (Charterhouse); whilst there seems to be so many good all-rounders that I should really have liked to back the following side of them against either the Lord's Schools or the Rest:-Staples (Shrewsbury), Brett (Winchester), Crawford (Tonbridge), Garthwaite C. C. (Wellington), Sime (Bedford), Brown (Leys), Bradshaw (Malvern), Green (Brighton), Felton (St. Paul's), Wennink (Haileybury), and Tod (Edinburgh Academy): and even then there are half-a-dozen or so more clamouring for places!

The inter-school matches of last season produced collateral form more than usually perplexing, witness for instance the cricket played by Eton, Winchester, and Harrow against each other. The two first-named were unbeaten throughout the season: personally I believe that the experience and skill of their opening pair gave the Wykehamists an advantage, slight on a turning wicket, considerable on a fast one, over the Etonians, but that Harrow were much nearer to both than common opinion held. Haileybury were obviously another thoroughly good school side and Wellington, with four school scalps to the belt, another. Cheltenham seem to have been the best of the Western schools. It was a pity that they should have had the worst of the wicket against Hailevbury, and that Tonbridge,

another team with a good record, should have played so much below form at Lord's. Bedford were clearly the best in their group and were another unbeaten side. In the Midlands Rugby and Uppingham were the strongest.

The Eton and Harrow match was drawn for the sixth year in succession, thanks to rain on the second morning, to the unwillingness or inability of the authorities at Lord's to allow the teams to lunch early at short notice, and to some very slow batting against some very good bowling. In a sense I thought that some of the critics were rather inconsistent in praising to the skies the bowling of Whittaker and Ward and in the same breath heartily damning the Harrow batting. The two Etonians certainly bowled a wonderful length for a remarkably long time-178 overs for 92 runs and 14 wickets, is their collective record for the match! The wicket after lunch on the second day was never easy and for an hour or more was very difficult: Harrow had to hold the fort for four hours and hold it they did by a skilful defence and a degree of patience and tenacity that deserved much more recognition than it received. And yet—one wonders: is this the legacy of "test-match" cricket, and if so, is it worth having?

For the second year running Eton went through their season undefeated: of actual victories there were only two, but of the nine draws four were moral wins and only Winchester had clearly the better of the argument at the finish. Throughout May the wickets on Upper Club were fast and easy, and runs came readily enough: no batsman scored really consistently, but nearly all of them at one time or another played a considerable innings. When the vagaries of the weather began in June, however, it was soon obvious that there was more attack than defence about the Eton batting and right up to the last moment Whittaker had a hard task in picking his side. Witness the fact that Sheepshanks, hero of the Winchester match, was only picked at the very last moment, and then largely on the strength of his fielding. On the other hand the Eton bowling, which had been rather ordinary on the hard wickets became distinctly formidable when the ball began to turn. For a long time Whittaker was out of form, probably owing to his trying to spin the ball too much on the plumb wickets, gripping it too tight, and so losing his rhythm. Personally I do not think that he ever recovered his 1926 accuracy, but by July he was again a school bowler much above the average and his bowling played a big part in the moral victory at Lord's.

Ward, though his thirty-three wickets—the same bag as his captain's cost slightly more, got through an immense amount of work; indeed he bowled very nearly permanently at one end or another, and was, to my mind, the more difficult bowler of the two. Perhaps he bowled just a little short of a length, and certainly his

best ball had an exasperating way of beating everything, but on a wicket that helped him he was definitely difficult and his accuracy quite extraordinary. The other Eton bowlers did not bowl very much and were nothing out of the way when they did: Hubbard was a tear-away left hander, quite likely to get an occasional wicket; Capel-Cure could spin the ball and knew what he was doing, but never could get his leg-breaks under real control; Harboard, though criticised as "too plain," could both spin and swing the ball and, with more match practice and self-confidence might, I believe, become very distinctly better than ordinary. The Eton fielding was up to standard, with Sheepshanks perhaps the best of them.

The last named, with his excellent century against Winchester and his attractive batting on the Friday night at Lord's, was the surprise packet of the Eton side : he was known to have strokes and to time the ball well, but until he played against Winchester, his excellent defence and judgment had hardly been suspected. An attractive and resourceful player, he will captain Eton this summer and may very well prove himself an exceptional school batsman. For all Sheepshank's success, I shall still pick Capel-Cure as the best of the Eton batsmen, in the sense that he would, I think, have been the most likely of them to make runs against real bowling. He is compact, neat on his feet, plays all his strokes with decision, is strong on the leg-side, and he does watch the ball-in fact a definitely good player. Harbord constantly made 25 or 30 runs quite excellently, only to get out for no apparent reason—a failing that greater experience and concentration may well remedy. Nearly all the others could bat, though Ward and Packe did not make nearly as many runs as was hoped of them, but Buckston, a capable wicketkeeper, must surely have been one of the best school No. 11's on record. Against Winchester he just never looked like getting out!

To sum up, then, Eton, by virtue of their two left hand bowlers, were always a dangerous side on a turning wicket though I do not think their batting was sound or consistent enough for them to be rated as an exceptional school side. On fast wickets they would probably have made many runs, but in attack too much would then have depended on Ward. Sheepshanks will only have Hazelrigg and Gosling to support him next May, but the new members of an Eton side always seem much more mature than those of any others.

Harrow drew seven of their eleven fixtures, lost three of the remaining four, were beaten by Charterhouse, and were only saved by time from a heavy defeat at Lord's. And yet I am not at all inclined to regard them as a bad side. Perhaps I am prejudiced by the fact that they gave Winchester such a bad fright in 'Riddings' in June, but I should have liked to see them play Eton on a fast wicket: very likely three days would have been needed to reach a result, but there would, to my thinking, have been a rare struggle. The

truth was that Harrow were essentially a fast wicket side: Robinson had not developed as a spin bowler and the attack really consisted of Palmer, who could not last, but could bowl really quick for a few overs with a late swerve, two good, steady, body-swing bowlers in Rome and Mathieson, who always took some playing, and Raphael, a bowler of rather similar type, who might be quite bad but could be definitely good. As these four bowled at Winchester, the attack was lively and accurate, in fact much above school average. Moreover, though the catching was rather erratic, the Harrow fielding was very keen, and Lindsay a good, sound, stumper,

Of the batting I feel rather less certain: against Winchester Lindsay, who really played very well indeed, and scored the first century ever made for Harrow against Winchester, had hardly any support at all: at Lord's the Harrovians were only seen with their backs to the wall, but he who saw most of them and should know best tells me that in fine weather their batting was good. Lindsay is an awkward looking, rather stodgy, player, but he has first-rate judgment, a most watchful defence, and is good on the leg-side. I am told that when roused, he can hit extremely hard, but I fancy those occasions were not common. Rome and Carlisle were upstanding, straight-batted players who scored pretty consistently and were always good to watch. Calthorpe was a 'racket-batsman.' unsound but with strokes and courage; Clover-Brown, if his style was 'cribb'd, cabin'd and confined,' was a determined man who kept on getting runs, whilst young Shuckburgh struck me as really promising in method and temperament alike. There may have been something of a tail to the side, but Raphael, who is lowest but one in the averages, showed himself quite definitely a batsman at Lord's. Lindsay is staying on for a second year as captain, and Raphael, Rome, Robinson and Shuckburgh are a capital residue from last year's side.

Winchester were probably as good as any school eleven of the year: in fact on a fast wicket at the end of June and, given that the ball would swing, I would have backed them in guineas to pounds against all comers. They had in Kingsley and Fleming an opening pair of batsmen of exceptional resource and experience, one or other of whom was more rather than less likely to make 50 to 100 runs; Brett proved an excellent No. 3, Atkinson, for the greater part of the season, was very sound and reliable; Milligan and Guise were, on fast wickets at any rate, dangerous players, liable to rattle up 30 or 40 quickly, for they both had wrists and strokes and believed in attacking the ball. The later Winchester batsmen were always short of match practice, owing to the prowess of their earlier champions, but they were far from being passengers, as witness the average of Townsend, their No. 10!

In attack, too, the side was definitely strong: Tew, now very big and strong, had got over his back-trouble of 1926, and for the greater part of the year bowled very well, attacking the off-stump more than he did and varying a late swing with an occasional break back: Brett, who for a new comer had a really fine season with both bat and ball, relied chiefly on the in-swinger which at times, notably against the Old Wykehamists and Charterhouse, he bowled extremely well. At others—and unfortunately both Eton and Harrow matches were amongst them—he could not make the ball 'run,' and became immediately rather plain. Mason, still a name to conjure with at Winchester, was steady and very useful as a relief, whilst Townsend, after losing his length for three weeks, recovered it just in time for Eton and on the Saturday morning on Agar's Plough bowled as well as I ever expect to see a boy leg-spinner bowl—a verdict which I know George Hirst would altogether endorse. Atkinson could also bowl left hand slow quite sensibly, but hardly ever got on.

Unbeaten throughout the season, Winchester were only once seriously in danger: this was against Harrow, at home, when after dismissing them for quite a moderate score, which, but for an admirable century by the Harrow captain, would have been in the region of the sensational, the Wykehamists' batsmen cut up badly and were only saved by time from decisive defeat: Marlborough, as usual, provided a splendid day's cricket and a most exciting match: the failure of the earlier Winchester batsmen before lunch was redeemed by a prolonged and admirable wagging of the tail: a good start by their bowlers was almost countered by a stout Marlboro' recovery, but the new ball at 200 settled the issue. Charterhouse were outplayed and annihilated, Kingsley and Fleming, aided by some fortune, playing a long partnership and the bowlers being at their very best.

The Eton match was memorable for several things: for the fact that the groundsman, wholly without orders, had covered the wicket overnight, a proceeding that may quite possibly have made the difference between the match being finished or not: for Fleming's 210 and its magnificent driving, for the complete failure of the later Winchester batsmen to drive home their early advantage; for Townsend's splendid bowling on the Saturday morning; and finally for Sheepshanks' century, and the wonderful stand of 144 in two hours and ten minutes by him and Buckston for the tenth Eton wicket—a stand in which luck played no part at all, and which saved the follow-on and with it, if not the match, at least a very unpleasant situation for Eton.

Fleming's innings was the second highest ever made in the match, second to J. L. Guise's 278 in 1921. He was twice missed, once at slip and once off a hard hit to mid-off before he had scored 50, but he made astonishingly few false strokes, and kept his head admirably, while for power and persistency I have not seen driving to equal his in school cricket since J. N. Crawford was at Repton. For the second

year running Fleming came out with very fine figures for his school season and scored over 1,000 runs in good club cricket after term. Yet well though he did, I cannot put him quite on the same level, at any rate in potentiality, as his captain. Kingsley was five years at "Lord's" and five appearances against Eton is a Wykehamical record; last year he was definitely stronger than before, driving much more powerfully in front of the wicket without losing any of his soundness of defence or quickness of footwork. At Eton, though his scoring was, for him, quite modest, he looked like, and was widely hailed as, a first-class batsman. A fieldsman whom one could not put wrong anywhere, he is an exceptionally fine slip, and his temperament for all ball games is admirable.

One is always rather afraid of one's personal prejudices, so that in repeating my belief that the Winchester eleven of 1927 was something out of the ordinary I call up two supports: first the considered verdict of Mr. E. R. Wilson that they were stronger than the well-known side of 1904, and secondly the following simple statistics: for 82 completed innings last season the Wykehamists averaged just on 38 per man: their opponents, for 123 innings, barely 17!

Scott leads the team this year, Townsend, Brett, and four other old choices will be available.

My Rugby correspondent a twelve-month ago singled out Monkhouse and Pearson as two promising cricketers and very well has his forecast been justified by events. Monkhouse last season playing thoroughly sound, watchful, determined cricket, averaged close on 50 an innings and should be well worth watching in the Parks next season: he may not be spectacular, but as a school cricketer he was certainly reliable. Pearson, his partner in Rugby's opening pair, was a batsman of pleasant method and much the best bowler in the side: just under medium in pace, he could keep a length and, given any help from the wicket, his off-spin was apt to prove formidable. Against Uppingham he clean bowled their first three batsmen for ten runs; in the big match at Lord's he had a very successful spell of bowling and then batted attractively for 40 runs. Unfortunately there was little to support Pearson in attack: Dawson could bowl quite fast, but was rather badly handicapped by a strain; Sallitt had one glorious half-hour against Uppingham, but that was all, and Blunt, a really steady bowler with a swing-in from the off, was not discovered until so late in the half that he never got an innings for the school! Apart from Monkhouse and Pearson there was no great class about the Rugby batting, but nearly all the others could get runs and had the admirable habit of getting them when they were wanted: Dawson was quite a good dasher, at his best against Clifton.

This Clifton match which, owing to a confusion about dates, was confined to one day, ended after some free scoring against

some very moderate bowling (it was miserably cold) in a draw materially in Rugby's favour; against Uppingham Rugby had very much the better of the wicket and won comfortably, whilst the Mariborough match was altogether spoilt by rain. To sum up then, a vigorous side, not strong enough in bowling to be dangerous, but effective enough in batting to be difficult to beat. Dawson is captain

elect and has, I believe, four old choices to support him.

It must be some time since Marlborough went through a cricket season without tasting blood, but such was their fate last year. Results, however, can be deceptive, and I am not inclined to rank them as among the weaker school sides. As usual with them since the war, their strength was collective rather than individual, and it is really rather remarkable how year after year they go on turning out half-a-dozen men who can bowl quite respectably and two or more of them with the sinister hand. Is it the bracing air on that lovely down that makes them all want to bowl or is it the slope on their junior game wickets that flatters them into thinking they can, or the sleepless eye of their cricket 'Providence' that drives them to it? What is more, that attack is generally—and this year was no exception-supported by very good fielding: nothing surprising in that, for the man who does not want to field on that beautiful ground does not deserve to wear white buck.

The only drawback of having so many bowlers is that they take some managing: in the nature of things the attack will be better handled some years than others, and I gather that this was one of the others. Of the individual bowlers Armstrong came out with the best figures, and with his puzzling flight was at times quite good: Pank, the other stock right-hander, got his arm well up and could swing the ball from leg, but neither of the left-handers, Marley and Morton, quite fulfilled expectations. There were two slow bowlers of whom more use might have been made: Hewan, though expensive, took nine Cheltenham wickets. In batting they were a very level lot, with Neilson, the captain, and Paul just outstanding. In a fine fielding side the last-named stood out and was something like a class fieldsman on the off side. Morton was perhaps the best allround cricketer in the eleven, and Comber was certainly a wicketkeeper of very great promise: he is quick, and takes the ball very close to the stumps.

As usual Marlborough's game with Winchester produced an intensely interesting day's cricket, and if, the good Winchester eleven

got home with something to spare at the end, it was only after a bad fright in the morning and a distinctly uncomfortable quarter of an hour in the evening, when Morton batted excellently and looked as if he might pull the game round. The same player had been the only one to try to stem the tide in a really rather disastrous match

against Wellington. The two-day fixture with Cheltenham away

Marlborough were 74 down on the first innings but, with Armstrong and Page making 114 for the first wicket in the second and Neilson and Page putting on another hundred for the next, they were fully on terms by lunch on the Saturday: the later batsmen made useful scores and Cheltenham were set 301 to win, a tall order in a fourth innings. Six wickets fell for 152, but light rain began to fall and though Marlborough stuck pluckily to their task for another hour, conditions became almost hopeless for the fielding side and the ninth Cheltenham wicket put on the best part of 100 runs. The majority of last year's team will still be at school in May, and Marlborough should

be a school eleven above the average: I do not know who is to be captain.

Cheltenham disappointed themselves by collapsing badly before the strong Haileybury side at Lord's and being beaten by an innings and this after they had played Marlborough to an even draw and beaten Clifton who beat Tonbridge. The Clifton game was played on a rain-affected pitch and was practically won for Cheltenham by four men, Bryce-Smith who scored 52 in the first innings. Woollatt with 71 in the second, and Taite and Wellings who together took all but one of the twenty Clifton wickets. Against Marlborough Woollatt batted splendidly for 99 not out and 37, and Wellings got runs well both innings. The same player strove nobly to stem the tide against Haileybury, but missed catches by the Cheltonians, and some good bowling by the opposition left the margin a wide one at the finish. Had the catching all through the season been sounder, the Cheltenham attack would have been, at least, adequate, if always

rather lacking in variety.

The batting, so long as the weather held, prospered, but on turning wickets the sheep were rather sharply divided from the goats. Kempster, though a batting average of 28 is certainly not to be despised, was, I gather, rather unlucky-his left hand was injured for all the Lord's match-but he proved a vigorous and popular captain. Woollatt came somewhere near fulfilling his promise of two years back and is clearly a batsman of exceptional gifts: given good health and good weather this summer, I shall be rather surprised if he does not come to the very front. Lovegrove and Bryce-Smith were both very useful batsmen and Martin again kept wicket very well. But the backbone of the side was Wellings, clearly one of the best school cricketers of the year: his performance on the first day at Lord's, when after taking seven wickets for 113 runs in thirty-six overs he went in first and batted through the innings for 44 undefeated, gives some idea of his value to the side. When I saw him at Lord's in the following week his bowling had, quite naturally, lost most of its life, but his bag of forty-seven wickets is the largest I have noted at any of the leading schools,

and it is good to see such success attending a bowler of the old fashioned type who bowls a length and spins the ball. As a batsman, too, he is on thoroughly sound lines, watches the ball, and has the gift of 'cricket sense.' The captain for next season had not been elected at the time my information reached me, but five old colours

were expected to be staying on.

Clifton won but a solitary one out of their programme of eleven matches, but inasmuch as that one was the all-important game with Tonbridge and that victory in it came by a very narrow margin, after hair-raising vicissitudes, and very much 'practer opinionem, its memory still lingers pleasantly in Clifton minds to the exclusion of less pleasant recollections. This was clearly a great cricket match, not perhaps in the level of its technique, but certainly in extraordinary and dramatic vagaries and in the fighting spirit which again and again redeemed a seemingly lost cause. Clifton started horribly by losing five wickets for eight runs, then Owen and Tilly, by the pluckiest hitting, added 56 in half-an-hour and the last three batsmen collected 54 between them. On a wicket that gave the bowlers some help, that was at least not hopeless. By tea time Tonbridge, thanks chiefly to Baiss and Crawford, had 127 for three, but their later batsmen failed almost as badly as the Clifton leaders, and what might have been a decisive lead was reduced to a paltry 22. In the last forty minutes, however, Clifton lost four wickets 1 or 31 and the game seemed almost over.

Next morning Owen was soon out and Habibullah, badly hurt in the field overnight, found batting impossible and had to retire: but Cornes who had gone in first played well and soundly, and Watt, last choice for the eleven, took his courage in both hands and hit. In just over an hour these two added 91, when Cornes was out and Watts followed him almost at once. Habibullah, with a man to run for him, came in again and after two further quick disasters found a gallant partner in the last man, Crawford; together they actually added 55 runs and 'all was to play for again.' Once again Baiss batted finely, but after making 58 runs was bowled by a good breakback: Armour hung on grimly, but the wickets began to fall. Tilly kept a captain's length, Cornes caught two splendid catches, Clark, brought on again at the crisis, shot out the last two men and Clifton

were home by eleven runs.

I have written at such length about this dramatic game, that I have room for but little other comment. Clifton lost to Cheltenham rather easily on mud, and had a good deal the worst of the draw with Rugly on a good wicket. Their batting figures read very modestly: Cornes had quite a satisfactory season and may become good, Owen hit wel, and Kettlewell played one or two good innings, but, in common h most of the eleven, was very inconsistent. In bowling Tilly, captain, got through an immense amount of work, and Peck

gave him good support, especially with the new ball, but the attack badly lacked variety and was not too well supported in the field. Mention should be made, however, of Tilly's fielding and catching at point-not the modern square 'cover,' but the genuine old-fashioned article, where the Coroner used to stand 'at the point of the bat.' If, as is expected, the captain is again available this season, together with Cornes and three other old colours, Clifton should have the

nucleus of quite a useful side.

As Lord's recompensed Clifton, so did it bitterly disappoint Tonbridge. They went up there with a good record and with confidence in themselves, only to find their form had deserted them, to collapse twice with the bat and twice to be flouted by tail-end batsmen when the match seemed theirs. Both their other school games, against Westminster and Lancing, were drawn, though in each Tonbridge had the better of the argument. In club games their record is definitely good and goes some way to bear out the verdict of my local correspondent that at the beginning of July they were a strong side. They had a good opening pair of batsmen in Baiss and Armour, the latter very sound and stolid; the former, if he will keep his head and go on learning, quite likely to develop into a first-class player: there is no doubt at all about the class of his fielding. Dods, a left-hander, likes hitting the ball and played some fine innings and Davies showed considerable promise.

The averages and the Clifton match rather suggest that there was something of a tail, but I have heard nothing to that effect from Tonbridge. In bowling, the captain Tucker, got through an immense amount of work and came out with a good record, but I do not think he was quite the bowler he was in 1926. Rutter, who is young, supported him admirably and his figures prove clearly that he can keep a length. Smith was useful with his pace and Crawford, with fifteen wickets at a cheap cost in addition to 500 runs from his bat, seems clearly the all-round man of the side. Tucker led the team well and the fielding was good. Baiss succeeds him in the

leadership and five others of last year's team survive.

I realised only too late that my good friend Mr. Hake, who keeps me au fait with Haileybury cricket, was away in South Africa, and emergency enquiries proving unavailing, I find myself distressingly ignorant about a team that was clearly one of the best of the year. Let me then apologise in advance for failing to do justice to the gifts of individuals. At Lord's I saw both Heywood and Stewart and was told that both Neame and Wennink might quite well have been in "The Rest" side too. Heywood looked a definitely good bowler, not so elastic as that other Haileyburian, R. J. O. Meyer, but with something of his life and pace off the pitch : he is strong too. His bowling average of eleven for thirty-eight wickets proves that he is accurate, and altogether I shall expect him to make

a decided mark in bigger cricket. Wennink bowled after the same style and like Heywood could bat more than a bit, and if some of the change bowling, to judge from figures, seemed to have been rather mild, there is always merit in variety and five out of the six bowlers tried in the Cheltenham first innings of 120 tasted blood.

The batting was definitely strong and it is good to hear of a side that believed in attacking the bowling and hitting the bad ball hard. Seven of them averaged over 25, and if Neame has, by some way. the best record on paper, Stewart was a really attractive forcing batsman. Woolff is a young wicket-keeper of great promise, and the Haileybury fielding throughout the year was good and very keen. In short, a well-captained, well-balanced, determined side that played cricket in the right way and thoroughly enjoyed it.

In their school matches Haileybury drew with Wellington in a rain-ruined game which nevertheless served to show the strength of their batting, for having lost eight wickets for 160, they then totalled 362. The Uppingham match was also drawn and though Haileybury led by 50 on the first innings and were able to declare in the second, they got rather a fright before the finish when their enemy were within 15 of the required total. At Lord's, however, favoured by the luck of the wicket they made no mistake and scored a decisive win over Cheltenham—their first since 1913.

Once more the Midland group shows the most contradictory 'collateral form': Repton had their revenge for some past disappointments and deservedly, on the day's play, beat Shrewsbury, only to be virtually annihilated a week later by Uppingham, who in their turn were only just saved by the clock from a very thorough defeat by the Salopians at the beginning of July. And so if I begin with Repton, it is only because of a very long contracted habit

that makes me think of them first!

The Repton eleven last season was not strong, though their actual record of but one loss to set against four wins in eleven matches reads creditably enough. With wet wickets prevailing throughout the season the young batsmen, of whom some hopes were entertained, did not fulfil expectations: indeed the batting as a whole was inconsistent and unreliable and no satisfactory batting order was even discovered, in itself an unsettling condition for any eleven. Human, the captain, was probably the best batsman, though, apart from his century against Shrewsbury, his figures would have been very modest. His character in "The Reptonian" describes him as 'sound': when I saw him at Lord's I should rather have labelled him 'dangerous,' but, of course, it is futile to judge on so short a shewing. Certainly he has a cricketer's strokes and a cricketer's heart, and he moves his feet well. Williams played some sound cricket at times and Greenwood could hit, but the only other batting in the side of any distinction seems to have been Rowley-Morris

who, after taking a long time to find any form, played two invaluable innings against Uppingham and by his defence at the crisis just saved his side's bacon. The attack was reasonably steady; Williams could keep a length, Human could swing the ball a good deal, and Everett had some pace: plenty of attention was paid to the fielding, but even so Repton's out-cricket was never really formidable.

In the Shrewsbury match Repton owed much to their visitors' blunders in the field, but their captain, with a century and four for 42, had a very good match, whilst his young brother, a cricketer of some promise, made a capital first appearance. At Uppingham the Repton bowling was thoroughly collared—only some very stubborn defence by their batsmen in the second innings saved them from an innings defeat: Rowley-Morris batted nearly three hours for 29 not out, not perhaps magnificent, but, in the circumstances, most definitely war! The Malvern match, for the first, and let us hope, the last, time on record was abandoned without a ball being bowled. Human will again lead the side next summer, with four other old choices to support him.

Malvern continue rather in the doldrums, but then they always have to suffer comparison with their exceptional records in the past. Once again their batting figures make quite a modest showing, but, like most schools in the West, they seem to have had even more than a normal share of rain last season. Bradshaw, their captain, was clearly a good all-round cricketer and had an excellent match against Westminster, scoring 76 and taking eight wickets in the two innings for 69. He and Nunnely did practically all the bowling and the latter, who will lead the eleven this year, showed a big advance. He can now keep a real length with his left-hand medium, and, as he strengthens up to his height, his bowling should increase in natural life. Pyne was a sound No. 1, Pullan is promising, if he will learn restraint, and R. H. Bradshaw is a cricketer of possibilities.

The Repton match, as we have seen, was washed completely out: Westminster were quite outplayed and beaten by an innings at Malvern. Is a third school match quite beyond the bounds of possibility, a revival of the old fixture with Uppingham, or at least a one-day game with Shrewsbury, Clifton or Cheltenham? I know there are always difficulties about extending a programme, but it would be worth while dropping a club fixture to secure another school match. I mention this with all diffidence: no doubt it has been debated at Malvern already. Next season Nunnely leads the side, with Pullan, the younger Bradshaw, and Horrocks still remaining.

Uppingham hardly maintained their high standard of 1926, but that, on fast wickets at any rate, they could be a formidable side, their form against Repton and Haileybury clearly proved. Both those matches were drawn but in each the Uppingham batting was really good: it was no mean performance to give the strong Haileybury side such a fright as they did: Haileybury had declared with seven wickets down in their second innings, leaving the visitors 220 to make in just under two hours, and for the loss of only half their wickets they actually scored 207 of them.

The converse of the Uppingham shield is not so brilliant: against the good Shrewsbury bowling none of their batsmen really settled down and only time and rain saved them from an innings defeat, whilst in the one-day fixture with Rugby at home they went down by 50 runs. It is only fair to say, however, that the toss made

a big difference in this game.

Of individual cricketers, Perkins, who made a capital leader, lost his bowling, but had a good year with the bat, scoring attractive 'fifties' against Repton and Haileybury, and hitting up a century in seventy-eight minutes against the Foresters. Oscroft, apart from a fine century against Repton, hardly maintained his last year's form, but with his sound defence and enthusiasm for the game, he is likely to go on improving as a No. 1. Christopherson's record in inter-school matches is quite first-rate and his 72 not out from 116 off the bat against Rugby must have been a splendid effort. He is still at Uppingham in the coming season, and I should not be surprised to see him come right to the front. Of the rest Chalk is thought to be definitely promising.

There were three bowlers in the team, Jarrett, Hurdle, and Chandler, and all came out with quite good records: Hurdle unfortunately strained himself against Haileybury and was never quite the same afterwards, but he has a good action and, if sound, may be a formidable fast bowler next season when he will captain the side. Jarrett, whose baton seems to have lain long unsuspected in his knap-sack, showed himself a natural bowler with a distinct 'flight': if he will bowl his off-breaks more and slave for length, he may do very well. Taken as a whole the attack lacked variety and swing was more in evidence than spin. It was, however, fortunate in having a first-rate wicket-keeper in Upcher who caught 15 men and stumped 3 in the season, and under Perkins' example the fielding, if never reaching the standard of the last two years, was fairly adequate. Hurdle has four old colours to support him in 1928.

Charterhouse were a curiously inconsistent side: in their club games they did far from badly, scoring especially heavily against Oriel and the Butterflies. Thanks to some really brilliant fielding they defeated Harrow by one run at the end of May: ten days later they came to Winchester, dropped several catches before lunch, allowed the Wykehamists to make a long score, and then collapsed utterly in batting; and two days later they were decisively beaten

by Wellington. Their batting, on fast wickets, was, I am told, better than it had been for some years: Frankland certainly struck me as a definitely good player, quick on his feet and playing his shots naturally and with decision; Pearce, too, had a wonderfully consistent season, and Linton, Anderson and Hunt all did quite well, but the later men went short of match practice and were not be relied upon when the pinch came.

The bowling virtually consisted of Linton and Johnson: the former is tall, gets his arm well up, and with a new ball looked quite troublesome; Johnson was slower, very steady and businesslike: he struck me as a bowler who was always trying to do something, and that on a wicket that helped him he would need playing: he was at his best against Harrow, when he bowled unchanged through the innings. Rarely can there have been a more dramatic finish than the end of this game at Harrow. With two wanted to win and the last Harrow pair in, Raphael made an off-drive which looked a certain 4 only to see extra-cover throw himself full length and stop it: then Robinson made a similar hard hit on the off-side: Raphael ran, extra cover picked up the ball cleanly, made a brilliant return, and all was over bar the shouting. Five of last year's side will still be at Charterhouse next May.

Despite several appeals I have been unable to extract any information about Westminster from authoritative sources, but I gather that though they had an attack of considerable variety supported by admirable fielding, their batting was too unreliable to make them at all a formidable side. Neither Gardiner or Cook. of whom a good deal was expected, made any great advance: they could both play strokes, but only too seldom an innings. Evetts was young and quite promising and so was Symington: as they can both bowl they should be useful men next season. Of last year's bowlers Poulson was the best; left hand with a late swing he could at times, as against I.Z., be really difficult. In a really fine fielding side special mention should be made of the cover-point, Foster, and of the excellent wicket-keeping of Bompas.

In their school matches Westminster were badly beaten by Malvern and lost to Radley by four wickets in a match that was almost a duel between Gardiner and Adams who scored 100 and 86 respectively out of modest totals; on the other hand they gave the strong Wellington side a considerable fright by running them to a margin of 15, and though they had the worst of the draw with Tonbridge in what I believe is a new two-days match, their bowlers did very creditably against them. The Sherborne match had to be abandoned owing to infection and illness prevented the meeting with Charterhouse. Gardiner is captain for 1928 and as possibly eight other old choices are returning, the side should certainly field well,

335

might easily bowl well and, with their beautiful wickets and given anything like fine weather, should surely learn to bat.

The Sherborne season was largely ruined by mumps which washed out both the two-day school matches with Westminster and Tonbridge. The one-day games with Blundells and Downside were played under run-getting conditions and both drawn, but the Radley fixture provided plenty of excitement at the end of which Sherborne just went down by two wickets. The captain, Eglinton, who won a place in 'The Rest' side at Lord's, was the mainstay of the batting and his record was a very good one: he was a sounder player than before, but still batted attractively. Hodgkinson, if he did not develop as much as was hoped, played a big innings against Blundells. Gladden is young and has the strokes of a cricketer. The bowling figures do not read badly and I gather that Kreyer and Meyer, fast right and medium left respectively, lasted very well through long spells of hard work.

A year ago I was able to comment on the marked advance made by Wellington cricket, and it is good to know that in 1927 this improvement was more than maintained: indeed, there seems little doubt that Wellington were one of the best balanced and consistent of school sides. There were no outstanding stars in the cast, though Benn's batting average of 51 for an aggregate of 615 was very good and C. C. Garthwaite was obviously an all-round player above the ordinary: his brother's leg-breaks hardly seemed to have developed as much as had been hoped, but he got more wickets than anyone else, if at a considerably higher cost than last year; Hamilton, a fastish bowler, had a very steady record, whilst the captain, Wood, played a splendid innings of 130 to win the Marlborough match, their first success over the Wiltshire school since 1920.

In the other four school games Wellington defeated Bradfield rather easily, Charterhouse by 85 runs but only on the stroke of time, and Westminster after a game full of vicissitudes. Winning the toss they did well up to lunch, but then collapsed and were out for 196: Westminster lost six wickets for 60, but the seventh wicket added 89 runs and in the end Wellington got home by only 15! It was a thousand pities that rain should have spoilt the game with Haileybury, but the latter had certainly the better of things at the end of the first and only day's play. Of their club games Wellington lost only one—against the Foresters and after a declaration!

To sum up, then, a good workmanlike side, with four very useful bowlers and a good deal of batting: the catching was sound, but it seems that the ground fielding at Wellington still leaves something to be desired. For this there is only one remedy—but that a certain one-determination and plenty of practice.

Dulwich were strong where in 1926 they were weak, namely in attack: they had in fact six bowlers of very fair variety, except that there was none of any real pace, and the bowling was backed up by first-rate fielding. The batting was fairly good so long as the wickets were fast : and Wilcox and D. S. Smith were a capital opening pair, and Knowland and Hughman, if less reliable, played some good cricket; but when the weather broke most of the batsmen were in trouble, lacking, as many of them did, adequate defence and patience. In school matches Dulwich lost to Bedford and Mill Hill, beat Brighton very easily, and drew with St. Paul's in a thrilling finish.

My information about St. Paul's is, I am afraid, very scanty. but I gather that, though by no means up to their remarkable standard of 1926, they were, under Patterson's excellent captaincy, a keen side with two capital batsmen in Olliff and Felton, the former a very attractive, wristy player, and the latter a distinctly good all-round cricketer. The averages suggest that there was something of a tail to the side, but the attack, in which Burt with his changes of pace was the most prominent and which was supported by excellent fielding, was well up to standard. Of their fifteen fixtures St. Paul's only won a couple against five losses, but the majority of the eight drawn games were in their favour.

Rossall were a weak side with only one player of any distinction, their captain, Roncoroni; time saved them from defeat at the hands of Shrewsbury, but it was some slight consolation that their solitary

win was over another school, Stonyhurst.

Shrewsbury's record of two wins, five losses, and six draws, reads modestly enough, but I fancy that they were distinctly a better side than it suggests. The drawn games included virtual victories over Uppingham and Rossall, whilst if they lost to Repton, and on the day's play deservedly, there was probably very little between the sides.

More unlucky than most in their early weather, Shrewsbury only saw fast wickets twice on match days: naturally the young batsmen. of whom there were many, found batting difficult and never had a

real chance of acquiring confidence and learning strokes.

Fortunately, however, the side had two real stalwarts in Moore and Staples who rarely failed, and whose records under such conditions are quite outstanding. I admit that when I saw Moore bat at Lord's I was rather disappointed: he seemed to me to have been rather badly bitten by the modern microbe, to overdo foot-work, and to be very two-shouldered in defence, but he certainly watches the ball, has good judgment, and is strong on the on-side.

I hope next year he may have some more fast wickets to bat on and that he will concentrate on making more use of his left shoulder and being firm on his feet when he plays his strokes. If he will do so, I am sure that he may become a first-rate player. Staples has always had wrists and strokes and last year greatly improved his

defence: as he was also a very successful fast medium bowler his value to the side is not far to seek. The best of the Salopian bowlers was Bland, a young gentlemen whom I remember as being the best bowler in a man's match when he had not long entered his 'teens: left hand, with an action full of life and yet easy, he can swing and spin the ball, and best of all can make it come quick off the pitch. His form next season should be very well worth watching. With Bland and Staples, Sevirs' off-breaks, and Moore and Henchen as other useful changes, the Shrewsbury attack was quite formidable: unfortunately it was some time before the fielding was brought up to scratch and weakness in this department probably lost the Repton match. With ten old colours remaining and Moore in command, prospects for 1928 are really bright.

Radley lost exactly half of their long programme of sixteen matches, but inasmuch as their five wins included three out of their four school fixtures, they have considerable grounds for satisfaction. Against Bradfield the side batted very consistently and all four of their stock bowlers got a wicket or two-a very easy win; the twoday game with Sherborne was one of those admirable dog-fights which produce no innings under 100 or higher than 170 and considering that their great crack, Adams, only made 3 and 32, Radley did well to win by two wickets, a margin that may just about reflect the difference between the sides. Westminster were beaten by four wickets, thanks in the main to Adams who hit very well indeed for 86. Stowe went off great guns and reached 180 for two, only to collapse for an additional 70 odd runs; with 170 up for four at the call of time, Radley were on terms, but the weather all day was so cold that no bowler could feel the ball, whilst fielding was misery.

The great power on the side was, of course, Adams, who led the side well, set a fine example in the field, and made over 700 runs. Unfortunately, when I saw him at Lord's, he failed-indeed, if the truth must be told, he did not look very convincing on a slowish wicket—but I gather that he played some really fine cricket through the term, and has only to gain rather more experience and ringcraft to become quite first-rate: certainly he is splendidly put together, looks every inch a cricketer, and believes in putting the bat up against the ball. Osborne-Smith and Miller and Jeffrys were very useful all-rounders, and Van der Oucht, a wicket keeper and batsman of considerable promise who, to quote his 'character,' "should do very well when he grows to a respectable age and can hit the ball far enough for a run"! Rogers too was an excellent man to go in No. 5 and scored with admirable consistency in the school games. Adams will again lead the eleven in 1928, and with several old colours of promise to help him should be able to build up a goodish team.

Bradfield were a definitely weak side, losing eight and winning only one of their ten matches; both Radley and Wellington proved considerably too strong for them. Most of them bowled, but none of them very well, and the batting, especially on slow wickets, was rather pusillanimous. Hunt, the captain, kept wicket really well. With six old colours still available, prospects for 1928, when Groves will be in command, are considerably brighter than the retrospect.

Brighton have had some strong sides lately, but nearly all the old hands had left and youth and inexperience generally have to foot a bill for one season. As a matter of fact they did not do at all badly, winning six of their fourteen fixtures and only losing to Dulwich of their school opponents. The side owed a very great deal to their captain, Green: he led them with judgment, batted most consistently, and though his bowling did not develop as was hoped, he took over thirty wickets and could be difficult on a turning wicket. The attack as a whole is described as "unintelligent." but with Bartlett and Macdonald each taking over thirty wickets for under 15 apiece, it was probably better than some, and the fielding is said to have been good. The batting, as was natural with so many young players in the side, was unreliable and inconsistent, but it is wonderful what an extra year means and the nine of them who will again be at Brighton next season should present then a very different proposition. Easily beaten by Dulwich, the Brightonians beat Lancing by 63 runs, Epsom by 116, and had very much the better of the game with Christ's Hospital. Green failed in the first-named match, but in the others scored 106, 73 not out, and 60.

Christ's Hospital, with five old colours of their successful 1926 side available, had hoped for great things in 1927, and were rather disappointed with their form. Of their seven school opponents. only St. John's, Leatherhead, were beaten, whilst Lancing, Eastbourne and Cranleigh all lowered their colours; the drawn games with Epsom and Merchant Taylors were, however, substantially in their favour. Osborne, the captain, though a very good cover. rather fell away in batting, but Jennings and Bartlett both improved and finished with good records. Black was a very useful all-rounder, and Seaman was also successful with the ball. But there was a considerable tail to the batting, and it is rather sad to hear that the fielding and catching left a good deal to be desired. Now then next year's skipper, whose identity seems uncertain!

I have only the briefest note from Epsom, and gather that they were engaged in team-building and were well enough satisfied with the result. Rhys, their captain, had a good season with the ball; Pettigrew, who scored a century against Christ's Hospital, is a most

promising batsman, and the fielding all through the side was sound.

338

With eight old choices remaining, Epsom hopes for 1928 run high. Easthourne's record reads three wins against four losses and four draws, but of the latter three were virtual victories and the wins included two school games. My Eastbourne correspondent, who for all his enthusiasm remains judicial, considers the side the best they have had for some years, though his qualifying admission "To be quite candid we might have been a better batting side" is a verdict that seems very distinctly borne out by the batting figures!

Binge and Bowyer were two capital all-rounders, the former rated as high as any the school have had for years: he had plenty of strokes, bowled fast, was the best field in an exceptionally good fielding side, and led his eleven well both on and off the field. Bowyer was not far behind him. England did very well as a batsman and Parks was valuable as a change bowler. The one real disaster of the season was the Lancing match when after outing the opposition very reasonably, Eastbourne broke down rather badly in batting; the away game with King's School, Canterbury produced a splendid fight from which the visitors just emerged victors, thanks very largely to their captain who was in his best form with both bat and ball; another good performance was their game with the Foresters, when they outed seven of a good side for 49, only to be defeated in the end by their old friends and enemies, Ernest Smith and Miles Howell. Next season's captain is Bowyer: there will be four other old choices.

Bedford went through the year unbeaten and considering that the opposition they met was distinctly stronger than usual that was a real achievement. They defeated Dulwich comfortably enough; Wellingborough by 5 runs in a thrilling finish; played a slightly favourable draw with Oundle; another, very much in their favour, with St. Paul's, and had their game with Leys washed out altogether. The Crusaders, Cryptics, and M.C.C., all strong sides, scored freely against them, but the Bedford batsmen were in each case equal to the occasion, and easily held the fort. In Sime they had a capital all-round cricketer, a left-hand bowler who could spin the ball, a batsman of strokes and real consistency, and a good field: no wonder that he gained and kept his place in the Bedfordshire eleven in August. McVittie rather lost his freedom as a bat, but defended sturdily and was good enough as a wicket-keeper to be picked for 'The Rest' at Lord's. Stewart, the captain, played excellently in May, but rather lost his form later. The lower half of the side did not get enough match practice, but most of them showed by an innings or two that there was batting in them. The bowling, by comparison, was rather weak: Murray, righthand medium, bore the brunt of the work, and Smith, a comparatively late discovery, did well. To sum up, Bedford were hard to beat, but their batsmen were too quiet and their bowlers too ordinary

for them to be regarded as a really formidable team. Next summer Sime will have five old colours and there is promise of a good side.

Though I have full accounts of their inter-school matches, my general information about the Leys side is perilously near to nil. I do gather, however, that Brown, whose promise I noted last vear, more than fulfilled expectations and that he is an all-round cricketer quite out of the ordinary. Given further progress this summer he will surely be a strong candidate for a place in 'The Rest' side. Raper, too, as in 1926, was a great source of strength, but though his batting came on, his bowling I gather did not. Rocyn-Jones was another useful all-rounder and a very capable and unselfish captain. Beyond these three the batting looks as if it may have been somewhat thin, a conjecture which is rather borne out by the team's form in school games. The U.C.S. were annihilated, Brown getting six wickets and Raper 136; but the match with Oundle was lost, only Raper and Joslin making over a dozen, whilst similar weakness in batting left Leys with the worst of the drawn games with St. Paul's and Mill Hill.

Wellingborough won six and lost three of their thirteen matches. Had their batting and fielding been up to the level of their bowling, they would have been a good school team, but unfortunately both were weak. The one good batsman was Stokes who seldom failed to make runs: he also kept wicket admirably. There was some promise shown by Veitch. The captain, Gamble, was the best of a good quartette of bowlers and his figures are good, but would have been better still had he been supported by slip catchers who could have completed the work that his swingers began. Wellingborough just lost to Bedford and had virtually a blank day against Trent.

Sedbergh had the best side and the most successful season they have had for years. Indeed they were unbeaten in a programme of thirteen matches. It was all the more annoying that rain should have spoilt two out of their three school matches. Giggleswick were defeated, but after making a good start against both Durham and Stonyhurst, they saw both games washed out. Of course, Skene was a tremendous asset and I should certainly rate him very high amongst school cricketers of the year. By the time I saw him at Lord's, he seemed to have lost his nip as a bowler and his arm was rather low, but there is no getting away from a record of fifty wickets for 81 each. In batting, on the other hand, he was very convincing: his defence was most watchful and sound, his judgment excellent and he did not seem to play the off-side "if" strokes so natural to most of his kidney. He is just the type of straightforward, workmanlike cricketer that Oxford has needed of late, and I hope the Oxford captain will give him a good trial this summer.

But Sedbergh were by no means a one-man side: Hodgson was quite a useful fastish bowler, Crompton could flight the ball and keep

a length, and Nicholls, March and Turner improved very much as batsmen and often showed good form. There will only be a small nucleus for 1928, but with memories of their last season's success to inspire them, Sedburgh should set about the process of team

building with good heart.

Downside had an eleven quite up to the average: there was noone quite such a swell as Turnbull, but Marks, the captain, scored a couple of centuries, averaged 66 and set a splendid example to his team by his fielding. Radcliffe was very consistent with the bat, and P. K. Geoghegan played some very good innings. Pettit and Dalton were the two chief bowlers. Blundell's were beaten after a stiff fight, the Sherborne match ended in an open draw, but against Bruton Downside collapsed deplorably and were only just saved by time from a heavy defeat.

Beaumont won half of their fourteen games and lost three, a very high proportion of finishes. On fast wickets, at any rate, the batting was quite good, with the tail very ready to wag if the head drooped. C. A. Russell was almost a model of consistency. whilst his brother D. L. Russell, the captain, if he failed to find his 1926 form, was still a dangerous man with the bat and a left-hand bowler who came out with quite a good record. The fielding, it is good to hear, was good all-round. In school matches Beaumont lost to The Oratory after a sporting declaration, but defeated Douai, Reading, K.C.S. Wimbledon, and Epsom. Six old colours remain.

Bromsgrove won seven and lost four of their fifteen games: they beat St. Edward's School, Oxford, K.E.S., Birmingham, and Campbell College, Belfast, and just lost to Wrekin in a keen match. They were lucky to have a good captain and wicket-keeper in Whitby, a sound left-handed bat in Roberts, and a very useful all-round cricketer in Hallett. The batting, on the whole, was not very convincing, too many were getting out just when they ought to have got well in, but the bowling was very steady and excellently supported in the field. With eight old choices returning, hopes are high

for next May.

Whitgift lost to the M.C.C. and twice to their old boys, but they had five wins and most of the seven draws were in their favour these latter included the games with Ardingly, St. John's, Leatherhead and Hurstpierpoint; Epsom were decisively beaten. The two stars on the side were Goodwin and Peart: the former had a splendid season, averaging over 50 for 683 runs and scoring three centuries in consecutive innings: he also did well in August in the Young Surrey Amateur cricket. He could also bowl. Peart took fifty wickets for 12 apiece and made 360 runs—a good double. His length is said to lack consistency and his batting soundness: may be, but there is no getting away from the figures. Of the rest Gage and Stutchbury were very useful with bat and ball respectively and Ling, who also shows promise as a batsman, kept wicket well. Six old choices remain and prospects are held to be good.

St. Edward's School, Oxford, had a disappointing season and were never able to command their full strength. Of a long programme of fifteen matches, they only won four, though the drawn game with Cheltenham 2nd XI was distinctly in their favour. Brooke was a capital all-round player and Denne bowled his slows with success.

It is a real satisfaction that this year I am able to say something of the Scottish schools: for this happy event I am mainly indebted to a gentleman whose name would. I felt sure, draw blood out of a stone across the Border and who, for all his somewhat caustic comments from the pavilion roof at Lord's, is a very good friend of all school cricket.

Loretto and Glenalmond were, I gather, definitely the two best of the schools and it was a bitter disappointment when the rain utterly spoilt the match between these two unbeaten sides. It is certainly not for a Sassenach to judge between them, but I gather that Loretto were undoubtedly the stronger hitting combination, but had no bowler who could compare, as a match winner, with Cruickshank of Glenalmond. This young man, when the wicket gave him any help, which, in one of the wettest summers known it almost always did, was something very like a first-class googly bowler: his figures of 76 wickets for 9 each speak for themselves. A fine cover-point and a batsman who got runs: I hope the Cambridge captain of 1929 will keep an eye open for him. Stevenson, a fast bowler, did quite well considering the wickets; Pearson had an excellent defence as a batsman.

On the Loretto side the great star was undoubtedly their captain. Ian Brown, a good leader, a brilliant fieldsman, and a batsman and bowler who seldom failed at a pinch. Nicholson and Drysdale were two other capital all-rounders: in fact the first six batsman were all rather above school average. The rate of scoring too, was often high, 300 runs being scored in under three hours against George Watson's College. The attack, though the figures read all right, was, I am told, not really reliable, but the fielding was so keen and good that its deficiencies were generally well covered.

For Edinburgh Academy Tod was, of course, a tower of strength in this his fifth year in the eleven! In such a summer his batting aggregate of 824 with an average of close on 50 is most remarkable and with 69 wickets for 12 apiece he can have been second only to Cruickshank among Scottish school bowlers. In school matches he scored 75 v. Watson's, 105 v. Fettes, 47 v. Loretto, and 145 v. Merchiston: what is more he was a most enthusiastic captain where example and coaching did much for Academy cricket as a whole. Druitt on his day bowled fast and well (seven for 33 v. Fettes), but the rest of the attack was weak, and the fielding, I am sorry to hear, second-rate. It is, of course, to be remembered that it is virtually impossible for a day school to get as much regular practice as a boarding-school.

Merchiston were—shall we say—too modest to respond to our invitation for news of themselves, but I gather that they had a rather disappointing season in which the brightest spot was their virtual victory in July over the Academy when their captain, Logan, incidentally about the best of the school 'keeps' across the Border, played a splendid innings of 152. Connell made a real advance as a batsman, but the form of the team as a whole was very unreliable.

Fettes lost to Loretto, Glenalmond, and the Academy, beat Watson's and tied with Merchiston at '218 all'-which must have been good fun. The batting was rather 'sticky,' only Robertson and Jones playing with any real freedom, and there was no bowler of any real pace or one who could spin the ball at a length; but the fielding was good and there is plenty of promise in the younger generation, so that Fettes can face the future with good hope.

Watson's College certainly lost all their championship fixtures and were probably the weakest side of the six schools taking part in it. The bowling had some variety, and with better support might have fared reasonably well, but the fielding was very poor, a state of affairs that next season's captain will, it is to be hoped, make it his first business to tackle. The batting too was weak, though Gemmell and Inglis both played well at times. On the other hand it is good to learn that there was any amount of enthusiasm for the game in the school as a whole and that as many as thirty-two elevens were playing it. After all love of the game is more important than all the championships in the world, and when it persists championships are, incidentally, quite apt to follow.

From the general trend of the reports that reached me I gather that cricket in the Scottish schools has never been healthier and that the old idea that the game was never meant for Scotland is fast dying, if it is not already dead. Could not the M.C.C. be persuaded to send an eleven to play a week's, or better still a fortnight's, cricket against the leading schools across the Border? I am assured that it would provide a tremendous fillip to the game there and it could not help being a delightful tour. It might be necessary to base the attack on a couple of professional bowlers, but the M.C.C. have never grudged effort in 'missionary' work, and I do not believe they would find any lack of batsmen ready and anxious to apply for places.

The following are reported to be the destinations of some

members of last year's school elevens:—				
	Oxford.	CAMBRIDGE.		
Eton		G. N. Capel-Cure.		
		R. H. R. Buckston		
Harrow	K. M. Carlisle	R. H. Calthorpe		
	P. G. T. Kingsley			
Whenester	A. M. Tew			
Rugby	J. E. G. Pearson			
114803	J. M. Monkhouse			
	L. H. A. Hankey			
	R. E. Holford			
Marlborough	J. S. M. Paul	R. H. Neilson		
	E. M. Wellings	T. Martin		
Onervennam :.	E. W. Lovegrove	1.01/2020		
Haileybury	H. W. Hovegrove	F. M. Heywood		
Halley bury	Contraction Contraction in the	F. I. W. Stewart		
		B. G. Wennink		
Clifton	N. K. Crawford	A. B. Habibullah		
	G. T. G. Tucker	ii. D. Hubibulani		
Repton		R. T. Vaughan		
TT . 1		D. A. Oscroft		
Uppingnam		A. F. R. Jarrett		
Malvern .	. W. H. Bradshaw	H. F. IV. Guillett		
Charterhouse .		R. H. Frankland		
Charternouse .	est attach in a var a nedal	R. B. Beare.		
Shrewsbury	. P. H. C. Staples	Iv. D. Deure.		
	R. W. Skene			
	P. T. Miller			
	. R. D. Stewart			
		F. H. Rocyn-Jones		
Leys		D. G. Benson		
		T. W. Smailes		
ma DMC.		T. II. Milanos		

To R.M.C. : Eton—C. W. C. Packe and R. C. C. Whittaker.

Harrow-M. J. Lindsay.

Winchester—J. E. A. Atkinson. Cheltenham-W. F. H. Kempster.

Malvern-J. M. Pyne, C. R. Tuff, and R. A. Shelbeare.

Charterhouse-P. Huth.

Radley-R. E. Osborne-Smith, P. J. Jeffrys, A. C. W. May. Bradfield-W. R. de W. Walker and D. M. Shaw.

To R.M.A.: Rugby-G. W. F. de V. Hunt and T. W. Sallitt. Clifton-G. E. Peck. Uppingham-R. G. Lascelles. Charterhouse—J. S. F. Linton.

### Public School Matches.

	And married to the course of the course of
BROMSGRO	VE SCHOOL.
C. C. Chadwick c and b H. S. Bryan 17	R. L. Punnett not out 1
R. R. D'O. Hemingway run out. 12	D. Goodrick-Clarke
A. W. U. Roberts b Dudley 61	D. H. Swayne did not bat
H. J. Hallett c Bland b Elwood 18	J. N. L. Woodward
W. W. Whitley b F. N. Bryan 42	Byes, etc.
J. B. W. Brownsdon not out 25	25 cc, ccc
R. E. J. Daubeny run out 5	20

### MILL HILL SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, May 18.—Beginning well, the University College batsmen failed later, and Mill Hill won by five wickets.

UNIVERSITY COL  J. F. R. Smith b Carris. 30 C. M. Wilson b Grimsdell. 24 D. F. Surfleet b Abden. 11 T. H. Rowney c Powell b Abden. 2 D. A. C. Kelly b Abden. 28 D. M. Wilson b Grimsdell. 0 J. R. Keen lbw, b Abden. 6	D. S. Farmer c Jones b Grimsdell 11   T. H. Riches run out
A. P. Powell run out. 46 H. E. Carris lbw, b Rowney. 9 S. Abden c D. M. Wilson b Rowney 26 M. R. Das c Kelly b Smith. 2 T. Jones lbw, b Smith. 8 C. S. Mayes not out. 13 E. H. M. Grimsdell not out. 28 Byes, etc. 6  138	LL School.  I. H. Collison J. G. Hilton A. R. N. Carter A. O. Wills  did not bat.

## KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Wimbledon, Wednesday, May 18.—Epsom won by the narrow

margin of 5 runs.	
EPSOM	College,
D. Holmes c Goldsmith b Cant. 4 G. Casswell c Lidel b Cant. 6 J. D. W. H. Pettigrew run out. 36 J. Piggott c Hall b Gale. 31 E. R. M. Bowerman c Cant b	A. M. Littledale c Goldsmith b Cant
Grimwade 3 D. L. Rhys c Goldsmith b	W. N. Day not out
Grimwade	147
KING'S COLLEGE SCH	IOOL, WIMBLEDON.
B. Coe lbw, b Rhys	T. A. Q. Litell b Pennington
K. A. T. Cant lbw, b Rhys 11	144

## PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1927.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury, and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. EMMANUEL SCHOOL.

Played at Bedford, Saturday, May 14.—A win for Bedford Modern by 60 runs. C. H. Williams (six wickets for 14) had all the Emmanuel batsmen in difficulties.

Bedford Modern School.

C. H. Williams lbw, b Keeling. 11 W. L. Davis b Bartley. 25 H. H. Cowley c R. Williams b Kirkwood. 4 E. C. W. Sowman c Snelling b Kirkwood. 5 L. G. Ashwell b Bartley. 1 A. Riddiford b Kirkwood. 16 H. A. Southgate c Snelling b	D. H. Shepherd b Bartley
Kirkwood 0	

### EMMANUEL SCHOOL.

R. M. Kirkwood b C. Williams       17         A. J. Tinker Ibw, b Cowley       0         C. N. Stephens run out       11         J. H. Snelling b Sowman       1         S. W. Stephens Ibw, b C. Williams       0         E. F. Coles b C. Williams       0         A. G. Finch b C. Williams       0	T. H. Keeling b C. Williams R. M. O. Williams b C. Williams C. Williams C. W. R. Bartley b Sowman		

## KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM v. BROMSGROVE SCHOOL.

Played at BIRMINGHAM, Saturday, May 14.—Bromsgrove, for whom D. Goodrick-Clarke took six wickets for 30 runs, won easily by seven wickets, and, continuing to bat, wound up 102 ahead.

### KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

W. E. Sandbach c Punnett b  Hallett	D. J. Dawson c Punnett b Goodrick-Clarke
N. A. M. Plowright c Woodward b Goodrick-Clarke	102

ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at ALDENHAM, Saturday, May 21.—The splendid all-round play of
C. R. Walmsley (eight wickets for 17) had much to do with Aldenham's easy

win by 148 runs.

553

## Public School Matches. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 21.—A fine innings by C. H. Williams enabled Bedford Modern to declare with five men out, but rain prevented a definite result.

### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

C. H. Williams b Farmer 80 W. L. Davis b Surfleet 29 H. H. Cowley c Farmer b Rowney 0 E. C. W. Sowman b Surfleet 5	D. H. Shepherd A. C. Ryan T. H. McNicol H. W. Bingham	did not bat.
L. G. Ashwell lbw D. Wilson. 18 A. Riddiford not out. 8 H. A. Southgate not out. 2 Byes, etc. 15		
*157		

### \* Innings declared closed.

## UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

J. F. R. Smith b Williams 1	T. H. Rowney	And the second second
C. M. Wilson lbw, b Williams 16	D. M. Wilson	West Control of the C
D. F. Surfleet not out 36	J. R. Keen	The second second
D. A. C. Kelly not out 16	D. S. Farmer	did not bat.
Byes, etc 2	T. H. Riches	
	N. T. Ringdahl	
71	A. W. Webber	

### BEDFORD SCHOOL v. OUNDLE SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 21-Some admirable batting took place in this drawn game.

### BEDFORD SCHOOL.

R. D. Stewart c Marr b Johnson 46 W. A. Sime lbw, b Marsh 45	D. E. Tite lbw, b Marsh 44 Byes, etc
C. A. B. McVittie c Whelen b Marsh 3 T. P. Moll c Reynolds b Marsh 0 T. Brown b Griffiths 3 A. L. Brown b Marsh 14 N. F. Reed not out 72	*255 S. S. Murray B. P. F. Forrester J. E. Rylands  *255 did not bat.

### \* Innings declared closed.

### OUNDLE SCHOOL.

COMPER	School.
F. A. Yarrow b Murray. 11 N. C. Marr run out. 53 J. Marsh run out. 9 B.*R. W. Johnson c Rylands b Moll 27 A. G. Skinner not out. 25 F. H. P. Lassen not out. 0 Byes, etc. 9	D. E. Reynolds J. C. Hosken J. H. L. Whelen P. A. Greig H. C. Griffiths  did not bat.

134

ALDENHAM	SCHOOL.	
K. C. Tours c Hudson b Murrant 28 G. P. Longfield run out. 6 C. R. Walmsley c Hudson b Bray 47 B. A. S. Soole b Scott. 20 H. J. L. Wright b Murrant 3 R. P. Stoner b Coetzer. 8 C. M. Jackson c and b Murrant. 45	R. A. Bird b Scott. W. A. Leach-Lewis b Scott. C. H. Nicholson b Scott. A. H. B. Gross not out. Byes, etc.	0 17 0

C. R. Walmsley c Hudson b Bray 47 B. A. S. Soole b Scott	C. H. Nicholson b Scott. 17 A. H. B. Gross not out. 6 Byes, etc. 14
	SCHOOL.  A. T. Burden c Leach-Lewis b  Walmsley
C. H. Tanner b Walmsley 0 R. C. Scott c and b Walmsley 9 M. Coetzer b Longfield 0	R. V. Bray b Walmsley G J. C. T. Miller not out
B. T. Guy b Walmsley 0 A. H. Pickwoad b Walmsley 0	K. B. Murrant lbw, b Walmsley.
	55

DENSTONE COLLEGE v. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM. Played at DENSTONE, May 21.-J. G. Garman batted nicely for Denstone, who won by 83 runs.

DENSTON	E COLLEGE.
C. W. Timmis c F. Bryan b Elwood 5	F. M. Short b Plowright 8
J. G. Garman not out 58	T. B. Carey lbw, b Wyatt 6
P. F. B. Bluett b Wyatt 35	J. B. Place c Plowright b Wyatt. 2
H. N. Place c Goode b Plowright 1	C. K. Thacker c Kirk b Wyatt 3
A. H. Lloyd b Wyatt 1	Byes, etc 8
W. A. M. Davies c and b Wyatt 4	Dyes, etc 8
N. K. Stott st Goode b Elwood 11	140
KING EDWARD'S SC	HOOT BIRMINGHAM
W F Sandhash h Iland	
W. E. Sandbach b Lloyd 4	W. K. Wyatt b Bluett 7
D. R. Dudley c H. Place b Timmis 8	F. N. Bryan b Stott 1
N. A. M. Plowright lbw, b Timmis 4	G. J. MacKirk not out 7
A. F. Goode st J. Place b Timmis 15	G. E. Arblaster b Stott 1
E. W. Elwood b Timmis 1	Byes, etc 5
F. L. Bland c Thacker b Timmis 2	1908, 600
H. S. Bryan c Timmis b Lloyd 4	The state of the s
A. D. Dijan o Limins D Lioya 4	1 / 1/2 / 2007 - 1/2 / 2007 - 1/2 / 59

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.
Played at GROVE PARK Wednesday May 25 Giving a solid betting

rayed at GROVE PARK, Wednesday	, may 25.—Giving a solid patting
display, Epsom won by 73 runs.	
CITY OF LONDO	N SCHOOL.
L. W. Gaite c Holmes b Pennington	2 — c Pennington b Hodges 22
K. J. M. Darville c Piggott b Rhys	8 — c Piggott b Bowerman 15
C. J. Chidgey c Holmes b Pennington	9 — lbw, b Heasman 4
R. C. Goff b Rhys	0 — c Pettigrew b Heasman 5
M. J. Blake run out	13 — b Holmes 9
D. L. Godding b Pettigrew	7
C. R. Macbeth lbw, b Pettigrew	0 — not out
L. C. Hawker run out	2
T. N. Lawrence b Pennington	1
R. W. Busby c Hodges b Schilling	4
G. N. L. Harris not out	0
Byes, etc	16 Byes, etc 2

### EPSOW COLLEGE.

E. R. M. Bowerman c Harris b Goff 9	D. B. Penman b Blake 2
L. Heasman lbw, b Goff 12	A. M. Littledale b Goff 12
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew c Hawker b	J. D. Pennington b Goff 3
Goff 11	R. S. F. Schilling not out 0
A. D. Hodges c and b Harris 12	Byes, etc
D. L. Rhys c and b Chidgey 12	11 与人们是对一种关键的是 医皮肤的复数形式
J. Piggott lbw, b Harris 23	135
D. Holmes c Macbeth b Goff 25	

### HARROW V. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at Harrow, Saturday, May 28.—A very keenly contested game ended in a victory by one run for Charterhouse. T. A. Pearce and W. A. Anderson were the only Charterhouse men to face J. F. Robinson (five wickets for 28) with real confidence. When Harrow went in E. G. Johnson (six wickets for 26) did much towards winning the game.

### CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL. Hon. R. N. Frankland st W. C. Middleton not out.....

Lindsay b Robinson. 6	E. G. Johnson c Palmer b Raphael 0 H. F. C. Standing c and b Raphael 0 P. Huth c Riddell b Raphael 2 Byes, etc
HARROW	SCHOOL.
C. Clover-Brown lbw, b Johnson. 22 W. O'B. Lindsay c Huth b Linton 4 K. R. M. Carlisle e Beare b Hunt. 17 M. J. Lindsay c Hunt b Johnson. 2 D. A. M. Rome lbw, b Hunt. 19 W. J. Riddell c Pearce b Johnson 8	T. A. S. O. Mathieson c Pearce b Johnson

### MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

118

K. C. G. Dower b Johnson . . . . .

Played at Marlborough, Saturday, May 28.—Despite plucky batting following early failures, Marlborough lost by 117 runs. J. Q. Wood batted admirably for Wellington.

WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
A. A. Benn c Comber b Marley. 17 P. L. Lawson c Pank b Marley. 1 C. P. Hamilton st Comber b Marley 13 C. C. Garthwaite c Armstrong b Pank	P. F. Garthwaite c Comber b Pank 31 U. Corbett b Pank
A C Hamilton e Pank h Marley 1	

J. F. Anderson b C. C. Garthwaite R. D. Armstrong c P. F. Garthwaite	7	COLLEGE. J. T. H. Comber c and b P. F. Garthwaite
b A. C. Hamilton R. K. Page b P. F. Garthwaite R. H. Neilson b C. C. Garthwaite J. S. M. Paul c Dashwood b C. C.	7	R. J. Marley c and b Berens
Garthwaite T. A. D. Hewan run out M. J. H. Morton c Wood b Berens	19	151

### MILL HILL SCHOOL V. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, May 28.—Thanks to an excellent innings by C. H. Williams, Bedford Modern won by 9 runs. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

E. H. M. Grimsdell b Sowman .. 26

L. H. Collison run out..... 2

A. P. Powell c Riddiford b Williams 35

H. E. Carris c Riddiford b Williams 34

T. H. B. Lawther c Riddiford b Williams	A. R. N. Carter b Sowman 4 J. G. Hilton not out 8 Byes, etc. 8 155
BEDFORD M.   C. H. Williams not out.	DOERN SCHOOL.   D. H. Shepherd run out   3   A. C. Ryan b Lawther   3   T. H. McNicol c Powell b Lawther   3   H. W. Bingham   c   Abden   b   Lawther   0   Byes, etc.   17

### ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Saturday, May 28.—Though D. L. Rhys, of

Epsom, took six wickets for 10 runs,	St. John's won by five runs.	
EPSOM	COLLEGE.	
E. R. M. Bowerman lbw, b	D. B. Penman not out	16
Farnfield 0	A. M. Littledale b Farnfield	
L. Heasman c Marriott b	J. D. Pennington b Farnfield	
Mackie 1	R. S. F. Schilling run out	23
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew c Taitt b	Byes, etc	
Mackie 2		_
A. D. Hodges c Marriott b Mackie 0		64
J. Piggott c Taitt b Mackie 0		
D. L. Rhys c Dunbar b Mackie 8		
D. Holmes c Marriott b Mackie 5	The state of the s	
[2] [2] 2 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10] 10 [10]	T. C.	
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL		

D. Holmes c Marriott b Mackie 5		
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL,	LEATHERHEAD.	
A. L. Mackie b Heasman 9	E. D. Iliff b Rhys	
G. A. C. Dunbar b Pennington 11	S. H. H. Renshaw c Penman b	
R. A. S. Farnfield lbw, b Rhys 21	Rhys	ĺ
J. W. L. Haworth b Penman 11	Rhys	
J. D. A. Woodroffe b Rhys 1	H. F. Marriott c Piggott b	
C. H. Taitt lbw, b Rhys 4	Pennington	
C. S. Wallace b Rhys 0	Byes, etc.	
	_	
	Q.	,

556 Public School Matches.
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL. Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Saturday, May 28.—T. H. Rowney claimed five wickets for 27 runs and C. M. Wilson batted admirably for University College, who won by 75 runs.
BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.   12   V. M. F. Sheppard c Keen b   Rowney
T. C. Wall c Surfleet b C. M. Wilson 21 R. C. Edwards c Smith b Rowney 0 R. O. Feltar b Wilson
W. L. V. Catewell c D. M. Wilson b C. M. Wilson
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.
J. F. R. Smith b Finnemore 1 C. M. Wilson c Caldwell b Feltar 59 D. F. Surfleet c Edwards b Finnemore 12  F. Moore not out 27 N. T. Ringdahl c Jacobs b Feltar 0 D. S. Farmer c Finnemore b Feltar 8
D. A. C. Kelly c Jacobs b Perry . 1 T. H. Rowney b Perry
J. R. Keen run out 1
FOREST SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.  Played at WALTHAMSTOW, Saturday, May 28.—Forest won by 103 runs, G. A. Hudson showing very good batting form.  FOREST SCHOOL.
G. A. Hudson b Harris
M. Coetzer b Goff
CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.
K. J. M. Darville b Scott
And the same of th
KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. DOVER COLLEGE. Played on the COUNTY GROUND, CANTERBURY, Tuesday, May 31.—G. R. F. Jenney displayed wonderful skill in putting together his score of 232 for Dover, who gained an overwhelming victory by 235 runs.
KING'S SCHOOL CANTERBURY.
Nethersole
A. C. Goold c Jenney b Falloon 6 G. C. Valder b James 3 A. L. Hill c and b Hawkins 11 Byes, etc. 11
R. A. W. Binney c James b  Hawkins

G. R. F. Jenney not out232 K. D. Bradford c Valder b Paris 5	COLLEGE,  H. E. A. Hawkins b Hill 24  D. E. Fox not out
C. L. Falloon c Hill b Paris 0 M. R. H. Nethersole c and b Wyatt 31	Byes, etc
T. M. C. Burrowes b Hill 9 A. C. Fleury b Wyatt 15 I. S. Taylour c and b Paris 0	W. M. James T. P. A. Galloway  did not bat
1. S. Taylour C and D Faris	1.1. A. Galloway

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at Wimbledon, Wednesday, June 1.—King's College won by 39 runs, K. A. T. Cant following good batting with useful bowling.

KING'S COLLEGE	SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.	
f b Farnfield 12		
ackie 0	N. L. Norris b Woodroffe	0
field 0	I. T Hall c Dunbar b Taitt	11

F. L. Freeman c Taitt b Farnfield 8	A. G. Cross lbw, b Farnfield 34	T. A. Q. Lidell c Bamford b Taitt 7 N. L. Norris b Woodroffe
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--

St. John's School,	LEATHERHEAD.
A. L. Mackie b Cant	C. S. Wallace run out. 11 G. F. L. Bamford lbw, b Grimwade 6 B. H. Williams not out. 11 H. F. Marriott b Norris 6 Byes, etc. 22
C. H. Taitt c Lidell b Norris 36 E. D. Iliff c Freeman b Grimwade 16	135

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at GROVE PARK, Wednesday, June 1.—University College had much the best of this drawn game.

### UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

OHI GILLII	COM	and contoon
J. F. R. Smith c Blake b Goff	9	D. S. Farmer not out 33
D. F. Surfleet c Busby b Harris	0	R. A. Amlot c and b Chidgey 0
D. A. C. Kelly b Goff	1	T. H. Riches b Harris 8
T. H. Rowney c Cohen b Chidgey	28	N. T. Ringdahl b Goff 5
D. M. Wilson c Blake b Chidgey		Byes, etc
J. R. Keen b Chilgey	9	
F. Moore c Macbeth b Chidgey	14	132

### CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

4   D. L. Godding b Rowney 1
R. W. Busby not out 4
7 D. H. Miller not out 1
Byes, etc7
0 = 1 because $27.5$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$
1 G. N. L. Harris did not bat.

559

### MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Thursday, June 2.—More consistent batting brought success to Winchester by 51 runs. P. J. Brett dismissed four Marlborough batsmen for 30 runs, while for the losers R. D. Armstrong (five wickets for 56) bowled well.

### WINCHESTER COLLEGE

THE THE PART OF TH	Collings.
P. G. T. Kingsley b Neilson 12 I. D. K. Flemming run out 32	R. S. Walker b Pank 0 A. M. Tew c Anderson b Armstrong 23
R. S. G. Scott st Comber b Hampton 1	P. N. Townsend not out 26 P. E. Mason c Page b Armstrong 37
J. E. A. Atkinson b Armstrong 2 A. G. Manners st Comber b	Byes, etc
P. W. Milligan c Comber b Marley 60 P. J. Brett lbw b Armstrong 25	Executive results and the second seco

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.			
J. F. Anderson c Manners b Tew       1         R. D. Armstrong b Townsend       29         R. K. Page lbw, b Brett       0         R. H. Neilson c Brett b Tew       49         J. S. M. Paul b Mason       19         T. A. D. Hewan b Tew       24         M. J. H. Morton not out       60	R. J. Marley c and b Atkinson. 5 J. T. H. Comber b Brett. 13 J. C. Pank b Brett. 3 J. F. Hampton b Brett. 15 Byes, etc. 15		

### BROMSGROVE SCHOOL v. WREKIN COLLEGE.

Played at Bromsgrove, Thursday, June 2.—A narrow victory by 14 runs for Wrekin, for whom A. D. Wilkie batted nicely and H. W. Brearley bowled with deadly effect.

### WREKIN COLLEGE.

J. D. Penn lbw, b Swayne 4 A. D. Clark c Goodrick-Clarke b	A. N. Gwynne lbw, b Hollingworth 1 O. H. Middleton-Smith lbw, b
Hemingway	Swayne 4
A. D. Wilkie lbw, b Hollingworth 70	H. W. Brearley not out 1
J. W. Elliott c Whitley b	T. D. Hilton lbw, b Hollingworth 3
Hollingworth1	Byes, etc
J. E. Harrisson lbw, b Swayne 2	110
F. Bullivant b Swayne 0	119
R. T. Chadwick hit wkt., b	

### BROMSGROVE SCHOOL.

A. W. U. Roberts c Elliott b Brearley	J. A. Hollingworth c Harrisson b Brearley
	T. M. Chadwick b Brearley 0
b Brearley 5	
J. B. W. Brownsdon c Gwynne b	D. H. Swayne c Clark b Brearley 0
Brearley 8	Byes, etc
R. E. J. Daubeny b Brearley 5	The second of th
W. W. Whitley b Brearley 4	105
T. H. N. Mayson c Clark b Elliott 35	
T. D. Dingley c Brearley b Elliott 3	

### LANCING COLLEGE V. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at Lancing, Friday, Saturday, June 3, 4.—Fine batting by J. A. Baiss, L. L. Armour and G. A. Hill gave Tonbridge a lead of 163 on the first innings, but Lancing avoided defeat with three wickets standing. D. O'Neill and E. Cawston saved Lancing from a moderate total in the first innings with a second wicket stand of 114.

LANCING COLLEGE.			
D. O'Neill c Davies b Baiss	60 — c Tucker b Baiss		
J. H. Salaman b Smith	4 — b Smith 0		
E. Cawston c and b Baiss	65 — b Rutter		
G. R. Taylor lbw, b Tucker	14 — b Crawford 10		
G. A. K. Collins c Tucker b Baiss	24 — c Lewis b Tucker		
L. A. J. Roffey c and b Baiss	7 — c Smith b Baiss 0		
R. R. Vassar-Smith b Rutter	6 — b Rutter		
R. J. M. Simmonds b Rutter	3 — not out		
P. N. L. Pears b Rutter	3 — not out 8		
M. B. Beale not out	6		
A. N. Luxmoore c Richardson b Rutter	5		
Byes, etc	13 Byes, etc 15		
2	10 128		
TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.			
J. A. Baiss c Taylor b Cawston 102	R. H. Rutter not out 26		
L. L. Armour c Roffey b Luxmore 65	M. W. Smith not out		
J. G. W. Davies lbw, b Roffey 21	Byes, etc 30		
T. A. Crawford c Taylor b Roffey 8	-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -		
H. W. Dods lbw, b Roffey 37	*373		
G. A. Hill b Pears 58	I. H Lawie		
D. G. Richardson c and b Roffey 15	E. J. G. Tucker   did not bat		
* Innings declared closed.			

### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at Vincent's Square, Saturday, June 4.—The bowling of P. F. Garthwaite (five wickets for 58) helped materially in Wellington's victory by 15 runs. J. A. Evetts and I. W. A. Symington tried hard to save the game for Westminster.

W ELLING	TON COLLEGE.
A. A. Benn c and b Paulson	C. B. Godby lbw. b Symington
	ER SCHOOL.
T I D C C C WESTMINST	
D. A. Bompas c C. C. Garthwaite b P. F. Garthwaite 4	I. W. A. Symington b P. F. Garthwaite
H. L. Jones lbw, b Affleck-Graves 11	H. B. Graham lbw, b C. C.
J. W. M. Aitken b Affleck-Graves 15	Garthwaite 8
J. A. Cook b Affleck-Graves 2	L. J. Wakeley not out 12
K. J. Gardiner c Affleck-Graves b	G. M. Paulson c C. C. Garthwaite
Berens 2	b P. F. Garthwaite 3
N. L. Foster c C. C. Garthwaite b	
P. F. Garthwaite 9	Byes, etc 18
J. A. Evetts c Corbett b P. F.	The second of the Callet of Alberta, 191
Combonette	181
Garthwaite 46	The state of the s

560	Public School	ol Matches.
D. A. Ho C. B. Me J. B. Ra P. K. Ge P. H. Gl C. B. Tu C. C. Ma F. A. Al	ed at Downside, Saturday, Jurodgkinson gave stylish batting o yer (six wickets for 85) bowled s	SHERBORNE SCHOOL.  10 4.—A drawn gan e. C. C. Markes and displays for their respective sides and treadily for Sherborne.  11 M. Bostock not out
J. J. 10		
R. H. Gl J. R. A. E. Knigh D. A. H I. D. M.	SHERBORT   Grant   SHERBORT   Grant   Grant	XX SCHOOL. J. W. N. Sharpe P. H. F. Mern agen A. W. Hoperaft R. G. Kreyer C. B. Meyer
EPSOM COLLEGE v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE. Played at EPSOM, Saturday, June 1.—An easy victory for Brighton, for whom J. H. Green batted very well after a shaky start.  EPSOM COLLEGE.		
Bartler L. Hea Eartler J. D. W. J. Piggo A. D. H D. L. Ri	Bowerman c Ronald b	A. M. Littledale c Jarchow b  Bartlett
R. N. Jo M. G. Pennir J. D. R	BRIGHTON	COLLEGE.  J. W. Thompson not out

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE. Played at Horsham, Saturday, June 4.- Thanks to consistent batting, Eastbourne, with a lead of 35 and only five wickets down, won easily.

R. J. Baxter-Phillips not out.... 17

CHRIST'S	HOSPITAL	SCHOOL.
C. R. Black b Binge		L. Bexall b Bowver 1
A. Bartlett lbw, b Buelin		G. Parkes-Sn ith b Bowyer 0
P. S. Osborne b Binge	0 C.	M. E. Sean an b Parke 10
T. G. Jennings c Duder b Parke		E. Letts not out 1
E. M. Undery c Bowyer b Huelin	11	Byes, etc 17
C. S. Farnes b Huelin	1	Truth a status a accept of the
N. K. Allen b Binge	15	153

EASTBOURNE P. A. C. Bridgewater lbw, b Seaman 37 R. E. R. England b Letts	J. K. Mason D. R. Sadler E. Huelin	did not bat.
F. W. Binge c Undery b Parkes- Smith	W. A. Carey L. F. Stone	
188		

### LEYS SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, June 4.—Leys won by seven wickets and, declaring with six men out, got six University College wickets down. J. R. S. Raper hit splendidly, while F. R. Brown (six wickets for 25) had all the visiting batsmen in trouble in the first innings.

UNIVERSITY COLLE	GE SCHOOL	
J. F. R. Smith b Raper	6 - b Gaunt	35
D. F. Surfleet b Brown	0 — b Gaunt	
	16 — c and b Brown.	
	10 - c and b brown.	10
D. A. C. Kelly c Endacott b Rocyn-		10
	15 — b Gaunt	
	30 — run out	
D. M. Wilson b Rocyn-Jones	0 — not out	
J. R. Keen not out	15 — b Brown	4
T. H. Riches c Masina b Brown	0 not out	0
F. Moore run out	7	
N. T. Ring lahl b Brown	O section to the section of the sect	
A. W. Webber c Endacott b Brown	0	A THE RESERVE AND A PERSON NAMED IN
Byes, etc.		4
Dyos, 600	19 19,000, 000	
The state of the s	04	80
LRYS S	CHOOL.	
		4 10
H. Rocyn-Jones c and b Rowney 13	A. G. Gaunt not ou	
F. R. Brown Ibw, b Surfleet 5	Byes, etc	
J. R. S. Raper c and b Rowney136		4005
W. R. Clarke c Kelly b Farmer 11		*265
D. G. Benson lbw, b Surfleet 41	J. A. Endacott	
E. J. Hutchings not out 39	F. H. Masina	did not bat.
N. E. Dainty b Surfleet 0	D. R. Macpherson	) Harrison, X. St.
* Innings dec	lared closed.	
* Innings dec	lared closed.	

### AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE v. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at AMPLEFORTH, Saturday, June 4.—The School, after declaring with seven men out, won by 105 runs

with seven men out, won by 100 inns.			
DURHAM	SCHOOL		
C. F. Cardale b Rabnett 15	H. S. Green not out 25		
D. Y. Caldwell Ibw, b Barton 27	R. C. Griffin not out 16		
T A Adam on a and b Dahmett 20			
J. A. Adamson c and b Rabnett 39			
E. J. Jordan run out	*179		
P. G. McLaren c Scott b Carroll 8	T. E. Elliot did not bat,		
R. W. Smeddle c King b Rabnett 3	G. B. Wood full dot bat.		
	AN CHANGE THE THE PROPERTY OF		
R. F. Meikle b King 4			
* Innings declared closed,			
innings decisied closed,			

### AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE. H. D. King st Wood b Elliot .... 1 P. Larios b Smeddle..... H. W. Anderson c McLaren b G Rabnett hit wkt., b Elliot.... 5 Smeddle ..... A. G. Boyle run out ..... 4 W. H. Bayliff st Wood b Elliot .. 25 G Roche b Smeddle..... H. C. Barton st Wood b Elliot . . 19 F. A. Binge not out..... B. B. Carroll Ibw, b Smeddle .. 0 Byes, etc. E. J. Scott lbw, b Elliot ..... 6 74 HIGHGATE SCHOOL V. ALDENHAM SCHOOL. Played at HIGHGATE, Saturday, June 4.—Highgate, having won by five wickets, went on to gain a lead of 43 runs. ALDENHAM SCHOOL. C. H. Nicolson b Stuart..... 1 K. C. Tours b Stuart..... 39 R. A. Bird c and b Stuart..... 1 G. P. Longfield b Hav..... 7 F. G. Schofield b Hay..... 1 C. R. Walmsley b Hay..... 2 J. V. Howgate not out..... 0 B. A. S. Soole c E. Lushington b Hay ..... 5 R. P. Stoner b Stuart...... 11 93 H. J. L. Wright b Hav.... 0 C. M. Jackson c Bray b Stuart.... 15 HIGHGATE SCHOOL. M. G. R. Keeling lbw, b Walmsley 28 A. H. Fabian b Longfield ..... 44 G. F. Lushington lbw, b Stoner .. 1 R. L. Stuart lbw, b Longfield . . . . 13 E. W. W. Cunningham c and b P. C. Carter b Stoner.... 0 Longfield ..... 6 W. Bray b Longfield ..... 0 E. N. Lushington not out..... 0 W. H. Webster lbw, b Longfield... 13 G. M. Hay b Longfield......... 8 Byes, etc. ..... 8 F. H. Booth b Walmsley ..... 15 WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL. Played at CROYDON, Saturday, June 4.—Both sides showed good form in run-getting in this drawn match. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL. L. H. Emerson c and b Goff .... 12 G. P. Goodwin c Goff b Blake . . . . 70 F. S. Thompson not out..... 46 H. G. Sturges b Harris..... 5 W. J. Stutchbury b Blake ..... 28 Byes, etc. ..... 23 F. R. Ewing b Goff...... 55 \*299 C. A. Gage c and b Goff ..... 27 F. C. Dubois did not bat. K. H. Beglin run out..... 0 \* Innings declared closed. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL. L. W. Gaite b Peart..... 65 M. J. Blake R. W. Busby K. J. M. Darville not out..... 49 C. R. Macbeth R. C. Goff b Thompson..... 41 did not bat. B. B. Cohen b Thompson..... 0 W. G. Brown M. J. Hart C. J. Chidgey not out..... 14 G. N. L. Harris Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . 25

194

### FOREST SCHOOL V. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at WALTHAMSTOW, Saturday, June 4.—The bowling of E. T. Goulding (seven wickets for 37) and a fine display of batting by W. H. Wilson enabled Merchant Taylors to win by 13 runs.

A	4. A. Hudson lbw, b Parry	SCHOOL.  J. C. T. Miller c Spurling b Mill 1 I. C. W. Turner st Poulton b Goulding 9 K. B. Murrant lbw, b Goulding
N	I. Coetzer b Goulding 5	Byes, etc
	MERCHANT TAY	
D V H	9. M. Parry c Scott b Bray.       8         0. K. Walker c Tanner b Bray.       2         V. D. Heck c Miller b Murrant.       4         V. H. Wilson not out.       55         I. D. Heck lbw, b Murrant.       14         G. Williamson b Scott.       11         I. S. Mill c and b Coetzer.       7	W. M. Crocker lbw, b Murrant. 1 D. G. Spurling c Miller b Bray. 0 E. R. Poulton c Coetzer b Murrant 0 E. T. Goulding c Pickwoad b Bray 2 Byes, etc. 11

### EPSOM COLLEGE v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.

Played at EPSOM, Monday, June 6.—Merchant Taylors won by 59 runs.

rayed at Erson, monday, June 6.—merchant Taylors won by 59 runs.		
EPSOM COLLEGE.		
L. Heasman c Heck b Goulding 1	J. D. B. Wakefield c Heck b	
A. D. Hodges c Crocker b Parry. 18 Spurling		
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew c Heck b		
E P M Powerman b Coulding	D. B. Penman not out 10	
E. R. M. Bowerman b Goulding. 1	J. D. Pennington b Spurling 18	
D. L. Rhys c Poulton b Walker 20	Byes, etc 7	
J. Piggott c Heck b Walker 5		
J. L. Reid b Spurling 0	89	
MERCHANT TAY	LORS' SCHOOL.	
D. M. Parry b Pennington 4	W. M. Crocker c Wakefield b	
D. K. Walker lbw, b Rhys 8	Pennington	
W. D. Heck c Wakefield b Rhys 25	D. G. Spurling run out 23	
W. H. Wilson c Heasman b Rhys 26	E. R. Poulton lbw, b Heasman. 4	
H. D. Heck c Piggott b Pennington 9	E. T. Goulding not out 2	
K. G. Williamson lbw, b Heasman 15		
H. S. Mill b Rhys 2	Byes, etc 11	
11. D. Mill D IMIYS 2	140	
and the second s	148	

### REPTON SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at REPTON, Tuesday, June 7.—R. H. C. Human saved his side from collapse, and as Shrewsbury, following a good start, broke down, Repton won by 78 runs.

REPTON     REPTON	R. T. Vaughan not out       18         P. C. Oldfield c Raikes b Staples       2         J. H. Human c Moore b Staples       2         R. M. H. Everett b Moore       1         Byes, etc.       22
G. M. Knight b Staples 13	217

## CHRISTIAN SCHOOL

SHREWSBURI	
E. L. Birch c Williams b R. Human 0 D. N. Moore b R. Human 37 P. H. C. Staples c Knight b J. Human 37 D. C. G. Raikes c Speight b J. Human 14	D. Harratt c R. Human b Everett 10 S. J. S. Walker c Knight b J. Human
H. S. Sever c Speight b R. Human 14 J. F. Snow st Oldfield b Williams 1 M. G. F. Alexander b R. Human. 11	Telfile 139

### KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Wimbledon, Wednesday, June 8.—Thanks to a fine innings by A. J. Gale, King's College made a good reply to their opponents total. G. P. Goodwin showed exceptional form for Whitgift.

WHITGIFT GRAN	AMAR SCHOOL.
G. P. Goodwin c Goldsmith b Gale 116	K. H. Beglin lbw, b Lidell
H. G. Sturges c Cant b Grimwade. 0	Byes, etc 4
F. S. Thompson b Gale 17	*27
H. H. Peart c Cross b Lidell 44	*21
F. R. Ewing c and b Gale 10	L. H. Emerson
C. A. Gage c and b Gale 4	W. J. Stutchbury   did not bat
N. M. Ling not out 27	F. C. Dubois
* Innings dec	
KING'S COLLEGE SCH	OOL, WIMBLEDON.
R. L. Smart b Stutchbury 9	A. G. Cross
R. E. C. Goldsmith Ibw, b Peart 16	R. Coe
A. J. Gale not out 77	T. A. Q. Lidell did not bat.
F. L. Freeman lbw, b Goodwin. 45	N. L. Norris
I. II. Etcoment to., b dood in.	r m 17-11

# 166 HAILEYBURY COLLEGE V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

K. A. T. Cant not out...... 12

Byes, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . 7

Played at HAILEYBURY, Wednesday, Thursday, June 8, 9.—A splendidly contested match ended in a draw, Uppingham failing by 13 runs to obtain 220 for victory after Haileybury declared with seven men out. In the Uppingham first innings, F. M. Heywood took six wickets for 44 runs.

L. T. Hall

A. R. Grimwade

HAILEYBU	RY COLLEGE.
To M Transport a Uncher h Hurdle	15 — c Christopherson b Jarrett. 44
E. J. Stanley c Christopherson b Jarrett	14 — D Changler
F. I. W. Stewart c Chandler b Perkins	9 — D murule
P. J. Barber e Upcher b Perkins	9 — b Hurdle 11
E. N. Evans c Upcher b Jarrett	11 - c Chalk b Jarrett 1
L. B. Neame c Sutor b Oscroft	62 — c Upcher b Chalk 11
T. H. Hardy c Chalk b Jarrett	
W. A. R. Sumner lbw, b Chandler	68 — not out
B. G. Wennink c Chalk b Hurdle	17 - h Chalk
B. G. Wennink & Chark b Hurdie	26
G. R. Whitcombe not out	
J. A. H. Wolff b Jarrett	15 Byes, etc 10
Byes, etc	15 Dyes, etc
	254 *169

\* Innings declared closed.

### UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

D. S. Oscroft b Whitcombe. 0 — lbw, b Evans	47
J. Boardman b Heywood 51 — not out	
J. C. B. Perkins lbw. b Wennink 28 — b Hardy	99
J. C. Christopherson c Heywood b	50
Whitcombe	90
P. K. Spurrier c Stewart b Heywood 39	
F. G. H. Chalk b Heywood 2	0
G. M. Chandler b Heywood 8 - c Heywood b Wennink	9
P. G. Upcher b Heywood	0
A. F. R. Jarrett not out 30 - b Evans	0
M. F. Hurdle c Barber b Wennink 9	
Byes, etc 6 Byes, etc	2
. [20] [20] [20] [20] [20] [20] [20] [20]	annipute.
204	207

### WINCHESTER COLLEGE V. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 9.—Much the stronger side at all points, Winchester, declaring with four wickets down, won by 212 runs. I. D. K. Fleming, P. J. Brett and P. G. T. Kingsley batted soundly.

### WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

I. D. K. Fleming c Anderson b  Johnson	A. G. Manners R. S. Walker A. M. Tew P. N. Townsend P. E. Mason	did not bat
*291		
* Innings de	clared closed.	

### CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Hon. R. N. Frankland c Milligan b Brett 17 H. E. Weatherall lbw, b Tew. 11 T. A. Pearce b Brett 1 J. E. F. Linton c Fleming b Brett 2 W. A. Anderson lbw, b Tew. 0 R. B. Bearc c Kingsley b Tew. 6 J. W. R. Hunt not out 14	B. Tassel b Brett. 0 E. G. Johnson b Mason 15 P. Huth b Mason 0 H. F. C. Standing b Townsend 3 Byes, etc. 10 79
---	---

### SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Sherborne, Friday, Saturday, June 10, 11.—Radley, after a great fight, won by two wickets, their batting being the more consistent. Sherborne did better at the second attempt but, as in the first innings, the later men fared badly.

### SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

R. Eglington c Jeffreys b Osborne-Smith 21 — c R. A. Henderson b Baddeley D. A. Hodgkinson c R. A. Henderson b	47
Jeffreys	2
Miller	43
J. R. Anderson b Jeffreys. 5 — c R. A. Henderson b Jeffreys E. Knight b Miller. 15 — b Baddeley	19
1. D. M. Wilson run out	20
J. W. N. Sharpe c sub, b Osborne-Smith 14 — c and b Miller	0
P. H. F. Mermagen run out. 3 — b Osborne-Smith A. W. Hopcraft not out. 5 — not out.	10
R. G. Kreyer c R. A. Henderson b Blunt 0 — b Osborne-Smith	0
C. B. Meyer c Osborne-Smith b Blunt 0 — c and b Blunt	1
Byes, etc	7
118	68

RADLEY COLLEGE.	
P. J. Jeffreys c Kreyer b Sharpe       27       b Hopcraft         A. C. W. May lbw, b Meyer       20       b Meyer         G. C. A. Adams b Kreyer       3       b Kreyer         P. T. Miller b Meyer       4       c Hopcraft b Kreyer         W. K. Rogers run out       17       c Anderson b Meyer         C. M. Blunt lbw, b Hopcraft       0       lbw, b Kreyer         P. I. Van der Gucht c Hopcraft b       b	13 32 2 50
Mermagen   20 - not out	4 0 1
154	35

### RUGBY SCHOOL v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at Rugby, Saturday, June 11.—Rugby came near to victory in this drawn game, being only 30 behind with five wickets standing when stumps were pulled up. Much admirable batting was shown by both sides.

### CLIFTON COLLEGE.

J. F. Cornes c Dawson b Pearson. 22 A. B. Habibullah b Pearson. 41 E. S. V. Burton c Hunting b Davies 8 R. J. H. Stephens c Hankey b 6 Mothersill	K. W. Nattle c Hunting b       65         Mothersill       65         G. E. Peck c Pearson b Hunt       0         W. F. Clarke run out       2         J. M. Dwyer not out       1         Byes, etc.       14
---	--

RUGBY   Clarke   Line   Clarke   Clar	School. G. R. Mothersill W. R. Evers C. P. M. Hunting E. W. F. de V. Hunt
238	

## CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at GODALMING, Saturday, June 11.—Consistent batting enabled Wellington to declare with eight men out and win by 85 runs. P. F. Garthwaite claimed five Charterhouse wickets for 52. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

WELLINGT	ON COLLEGE.
A. A. Benn c Pearce b Johnson 49	P. F. Garthwaite lbw, b Frankland 2
C. P. Hamilton lbw, b Coutts-	
Trotter 21	
Trotter 21	Byes, etc 12
T. J. R. Dashwood c Linton b	
Coutts-Trotter 49	*238
C. C. Garthwaite c Pearce b Linton 20	D A Afficial Charges
A. C. Hamilton c Anderson b Hale 10	H. C. Berens did not bat.
J. Q. Wood c Pearce b Hale 37	ii. C. Derens
C E Godby not out	
C. E. Godby not out 30	
* Innings de	clared closed.
Снаржерно	USE SCHOOL.
Hon. R. N. Frankland lbw, b P. F.	
Conthaudita	J. P. R. Hale c C. C. Garthwaite
Garthwaite 4	b P. F. Garthwaite 2
H. E. Weatherall b C. C.	E. G. Johnson c A. C. Hamilton
Garthwaite 0	b P. F. Garthwaite 2
T. A. Pearce lbw, b A. C. Hamilton 48	P. L. Coutts-Trotter b C. C.
W. A. Anderson c Affleck-Graves	Garthwaite 1
b P. F. Garthwaite 23	D Tath De 1 D
D D Dooms at C TT.	P. Huth c Benn b Berens 8
R. B. Beare c A. C. Hamilton b	Byes, etc
P. F. Garthwaite 7	and the state of t
J. E. F. Linton b A. C. Hamilton 3	153.
J. W. R. Hunt not out 40	

## ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Leathernead, Saturday, June 11.—Put in first by their opponents, Cranleigh won by 184 runs.

568	Public School	i maiches.
	ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL,	LEATHERHEAD.
C. H. Taitt I R. A. S. Farr J. D. A. Woo C. S. Wallace W. J. L. Hav	c Poole b Bellini	B. H. Williams run out
		The same of the sa
	BRIGHTON COLLEGE v.	
Played a	t Brighton, Saturday, June	e 11.—Dulwich won by 143 runs.
	Dulwich (	
D. E. Smith E. D. Miller T. M. P. Hug J. Burnham A. G. W. K L. A. W. Sin	x b Macdonald	F. King c Edwards b Bartlett. 0 S. M. Scott lbw, b Macdonald . 1 G. G. V. Knight b Macdonald . 9 Byes, etc
	BRIGHTON	
R. N. Jones T. S. Levett J. D. Ronald F. C. Jarchov V. H. Han Knowland R. J. Baxter	b King	J. A. P. Bartlett c Hughman b  Knight 29  J. W. Thompson c King b  Knowland 14  A. R. M. Edwards not out 5  I. D. Macdonald b Knowland 1  Byes, etc. 23
	Torright The Control of the Control	
	LEYS SCHOOL v. ST	. PAUL'S SCHOOL.
Played saved Leys f	at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, J rom defeat in this drawn ga	une 11.—The steadiness of F. R. Brown me.
	ST. PAUL'S	
R. Felton c J. S. Oliff c J. R. Colvill E. J. Westo	c Brown b Hutchings 19 Smith b Raper	C. I. Luxemburg run out. 3 G. M. Smith not out. 10 V. Martinson lbw, b Gaunt 2 E. A. Joseph b Hutchings 10 Byes, etc. 15
	LEYS	SCHOOL.
D. G. Benso J. R. S. Ra R. Smith b F. R. Brown	ones b Joseph	J. A. Endacott b Felton. 0 F. H. Masina not out. 3 Byes, etc. 3

## ROSSALL SCHOOL v. STONYHURST COLLEGE.

Played at Bossall, Saturday, June 11.—Despite admirable bowling by D. C. Smith (6 wickets for 34 runs), Rossall won by 19 runs.

	F. D. Beattle lbw, b Williams 16 A. V. Haigh c Smith b H. de Caires 5 D. J. Douthwaite b Smith 26 A. S. Roncoroni b H. de Caires. 7 A. O. Wilson lbw, b Smith 40	School.       3         T. A. Marsden b Smith.       3         G. T. Mackarel b H. de Caires.       0         J. S. Buck b Smith.       14         J. H. Fielden not out.       4         Byes, etc.       8
	N. H. Lambert b Smith 0 J. S. Richardson lbw, b Smith 10	133
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STONYHURST   Q	COLLEGE. C. Williams b Roncoroni 4 N. F. McGrath not out 1 B. J. Morgan b Buck 2 A. D. Doyle b Buck 0 Byes, etc. 14

## ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Saturday, June 11.—G. R. F. Jenney dismissed five St. Edmund's batsmen for 44 to give Dover victory by 47 runs.

DOVER COLLEGE.

DOVER COLLEGE.

K. D. Bradford lbw, b Phair.       3         G. R. F. Jenney c and b Smith.       26         C. L. Falloon b Smith.       4         M. R. H. Nethersole c and b Smith       11         T. M. C. Burrowes b Phair       3         A. C. Fleury b Smith.       9         H. E. A. Hawkins b Smith.       12	D. E. FOX B ROOKS 3 P. M. Man not out 33 E. Robins c Cooper b Roots 16 T. P. A. Galloway b Roots 2 Byes, etc. 142
St. Edmund's Scheme   Scheme	OOL, CANTERBERY.  E. B. David c Man b Jenney

## GEORGE WATSON'S COLLEGE v. GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE.)

Played at Edinburgh, Saturday, June 11.—Watson's batted unevenly, and Glenalmond won easily, finally leading by 53 runs with four men out.

GEORGE WATSON'S COLI	LEGE.
F. J. Inglis b Stevenson c Price. 26 G. A. S. Gemmell b Stevenson . 10 H. Roxburgh run out 6 D. M. Oliver b Cruikshank 0 J. E. Robertson c Kennedy b Stevenson	Keir c Macfie b Urquhart

570 Public School Matches.
GLENALMOND (TRINTTY COLLEGE).
184
KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.
Played at Bruton, Saturday, June 11.—T. K. Daw and H. D. Burrough gave King's a fine start, but G. J. Pettit, showing sound defence, saved the match for Downside.
KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.  T. K. Daw c Turnbull b Pettit. 76 H. D. Burrough b Turnbull. 172 G. M. Bennett c and b Glass. 14 P. H. P. Weaver lbw, b Coope. 22 T. E. Smyth run out. 6 N. J. Simmonds not out. 10 A. M. W. Levy not out. 10 Byes, etc. 15
*325
*Innings declared closed.
Downside School.
OAKHAM SCHOOL v. TRENT COLLEGE.
Played at OAKHAM, Saturday, June 11.—Trent won a game of many batting failures by 13 runs.
TRENT COLLEGE   C. G. Buck c and b Johnson   2   J. L. Baker c Allen b Johnson   2   R. A. Ainslie b Allen   4   C. G. Barker b Johnson   38   F. R. C. Hounsfield run out   4   H. B. Brown b Allen   8   E. J. T. Pavitt not out   0   H. R. Dorning b Johnson   4   Byes, etc.   3   W. H. Walker c Martin b Allen   4   4   J. L. Barker b Johnson   5   95
OAKHAM SCHOOL.
D. G. Allen b C. C. Barker

J. S. Brown c Pavitt b Walker.... 7

## CHIGWELL SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at CHIGWELL, Saturday, June 11.—Chigwell won by 68 runs, breaking down completely.	Forest
CHIGWELL SCHOOL.	

Bray Watchington to Blanch b Bray 28  F. E. Wheeler b Bray 8  J. H. Leiper b Murrant 29  E. K. Goldie c Bianchi b Bray 6  F. E. L. Carter b Murrant 4  G. W. Reid b Murrant 1  O. C. Papineau c Miller b Bray 20	J. C. Cuttle lbw, b Murrant. 0 N. Giles not out. 13 O. G. Windeatt run out. 5 J. G. Eve b Bray. 10 Byes, etc. 11
G. A. Hudson run out. 4 A. J. Bianchi b Papineau. 0 B. C. Scott c Leiper b Papineau. 1 B. T. Guy b Papineau. 8 C. H. Tanner run out. 2 A. H. Pickwoad b Carter. 1 J. N. Dennis b Papineau. 8	SCHOOL.       K. B. Murrant c Waddington b         Carter
The state of the s	67

# KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

## Played at Canterbury, Tuesday, June 14.—King's won by 40 runs.

ST. EDMUND'S SCHO	OL CANTERRIEV
H. G. B. Anthony b Wyatt 3	J. Orpwood c Valder b Wyatt 2
R. G. O. Roots st Valder b Paris 2	E D Dord b Waste 2
A. F. S. Smith c Valder b Wyatt. 10	E. B. David b Wyatt 30
A. W. D. Clares and a M. I. S. Shifter of Wyatt 10	S. R. Knowles lbw, b Hill 14
A. W. B. Symonds c Valder b Allen 29	B. J. Walford not out 29
E. M. Phair st Valder b Paris 36	Byes, etc 7
M. W. Humphreys c Kennedy b	1 2 4 ASSESSMENT OF THE SECOND
Paris 22	185
G. H. B. Cooper c Goold b Paris. 1	100
G. 22. D. Cooper c doold b lais 1	
KING'S SCHOOL, C	ANTERBURY
R. O. Crouch c and b Smith 64	J. P. Wyatt not out 7
B. Taylor c Anthony b Smith 50	C C A Designation
A C Coold a Anthony b Smith 50	C. G. A. Paris not out 3
A. C. Goold c Anthony b Smith, 39	Byes, etc 8
A. P. D. Kennedy lbw, b Smith 1	2000 May 100 M
G. C. Valder c Humphreys b	225
Symonds 14	H Dundec
R. A. W. Binny b Smith 30	
A. L. Hill c and b Symonds 9	G. Allen

## EPSOM COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at EPSOM, Wednesday, June 15.—C. A. Gage. H. H. Peart and L. H Emerson batted skilfully for Whitgift, who won by 146 runs.

EPSON (A. D. Hodges lbw, b Stutchbury 10 L. Heasman b Peart 5 J. D. W. H. Pettigrew run out 22 J. A. R. Robertson b Peart 10 D. L. Rhys b Thompson 20 E. R. M. Bowerman run out 12 A. M. Littledale run out 25	OLLEGE.   J. D. B. Wakefield c Ewing b   Smith   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2
	139

W HITG:	FT URA	MMAR DUROUL.	
D. W. Bottle b Heasman F. S. Thompson c Pettigrew Pennington C. A. Gage b Rhys. H. H. Peart c Wakefield b Reid H. G. Sturges run out F. R. Ewing lbw, b Pennington N. M. Ling c Hodges b Penningt	b 18 52 50 8 0	K. H. Beglin c Rhys b Pennington L. H. Emerson b Pettigrew	67

### MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Wednesday, June 15 .- Christ's Hospital had all the best of this drawn match, Merchant Taylors finishing 109 behind with one wicket standing.

Christ's Ho	SPITAL SCHOOL.
C. R. Black b Goulding       2         A. Bartlett b Crocker       9         P. S. Osborne b Crocker       3         T. G. Jennings c W. D. Heck b Crocker       5         E. M. Undery c Poulton b Mill       42         N. K. Allen b Crocker       27         C. S. Farnes c Parry b Goulding       13	R. G. Parks-Smith c Crocker b Goulding
MERCHANT TAY	LORS' SCHOOL.
D. M. Parry b Black       29         D. K. Walker run out       0         W. D. Heck c Undery b Seaman       67         W. H. Wilson lbw, b Seaman       10         H. D. Heck b Letts       16         K. G. Williamson b Letts       0         H. S. Mill c Jennings b Osborne       15	W. M. Crocker b Letts. 1 D. G. Spurling b Letts 0 E. R. Poulton not out. 4 E. T. Goulding not out 0 Byes, etc. 10  152

### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at Cranleigh, Wednesday, June 15.—Cranleigh won by two wickets with a few minutes to spare. G. M. Hay (six wickets for 81) bowled steadily for Highgate.

	HIGHGATE	SCHOOL.
	R. L. Stuart c Poole b Suter 67 A. H. Fabian c Peatfield b Suter 16	F. H. Booth lbw, b Francis 2 G. F. Lushington lbw, b Francis 7
	P. C. Carter run out 22	E. W. W. Cunningham b Bellini 10
	W. Bray not out 28	Byes, etc 5
	W. H. Webster b Attwell 14	
	G. M. Hay c Francis b Bellini 16	*203
	M. G. R. Keeling c Poole b Mackay 16	E. N. Lushington did not bat
	* Innings de	clared closed.
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.		
	S. R. Peatfield b Hay 9	R. G. Mackay b Hay 10
	D. A. H. Windle c Stuart b Hav 49	C. W. Suter run out 0
	H. R. Heard st E. N. Lushington	Byes, etc 15
	b Cunningham	The state of the s
	H. A. Bellini b Hay 33	207
	R. H. S. Hatton b Hay 32	H. N. Poole add not bat.
	E. P. Hindley b Hay 34	R. H. Attwell

J. E. Francis not out...... 10

### UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at UPPINGHAM, Wednesday, Thursday, June 15, 16.—Uppingham batted attractively and, declaring with eight men out, almost forced a win, Repton at the end requiring 39 runs to avert an innings beating. For Repton E. Rowley-Morris played with great restraint.

### REPTON SCHOOL.

R. T. Vaughan b Chandler 0 — b Hurdle 0 J. S. Williams c Oscroft b Hurdle 5 — b Jarrett 5
W. A. Welch b Hurdle 4 — b Jarrett
R. H. C. Human c Spurrier b Jarrett 29 — c Upcher b Perkins 3  J. Greenwood c Chandler b Jarrett 56 — b Hurdle 4
E. Rowley-Morris not out
R. H. Partridge lbw, b Chandler 1 — c Perkins b Jarrett 6
P. C. Oldfield c Chalk b Chandler 0 — c Oscroft b Hurdle 17 J. H. Human b Hurdle 15 — b Jarrett 14
R. M. H. Everett lbw, b Perkins 2 — not out 0
Byes, etc
222 119
UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.
D. S. Oscroft lbw, b Everett136 G. M. Chandler b Williams 1

OFFINGHAM SCHOOL.		
D. S. Oscroft lbw, b Everett136 J. A. Sutor c Partridge b Williams 19 P. K. Spurrier c Oldfield b Everett 54 J. C. B. Perkins b R. Human 56 J. C. Christopherson st Oldfield b	G. M. Chandler b Williams . 1 P. G. Upcher not out	
Partridge	M. F. Hurdle did not bat.	

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

### WINCHESTER v. HARROW.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 16.—W. O'B. Lindsay hit finely for Harrow in this drawn match, but bowlers generally held the upper hand. Winchester wound up 56 behind with two wickets to fall.

### HARROW.

W. O'B. Lindsay b Mason101 C. Clover-Brown c Townsend b Tew 7 M. J. Lindsay c Mason b Townsend 1 K. R. M. Carlisle b Mason 0 D. A. M. Rome b Mason 1 R. H. A. G. Calthorpe c Guise b Tew 27	T. A. S. O. Mathieson b Townsend       6         G. L. Raphael llw, b Townsend       0         R. H. Palmer b Mason       15         J. F. Robinson not out       0         Byes, etc       8
K. C. Gander-Dower b Tew 0	166
	The state of the s

WINCH	IESTER.
P. G. T. Kingsley b Palmer       0         I. D. K. Fleming b Rome       30         P. J. Brett b Rome       11         J. E. A. Atkinson b Palmer       12         P. W. Milligan c W. Lindsay b	R. S. Walker b Raphael
Raphael	P. E. Mason did not bat.

### DENSTONE COLLEGE v. WREKIN COLLEGE.

Played at DENSTONE, Thursday, June 16.—Denstone won by 10 runs.

WREKIN	COLLEGE,	
J. D. Penn run out.       6         A. D. Clark c J. Place b Lloyd       6         A. D. Wilkie b Lloyd       0         J. W. Elliott c J. Place b Short       24         J. E. Harrisson b Bluett       8         D. S. Ship b Bluett       0         R. T. Chadwick c Garman b Bluett       0	F. Bullivant c J. Place b Fernie. 10 H. W. Brearley lbw, b Bluett. 3 T. G. V. Johns not out. 9 T. D. Hilton b Bluett. 1 Byes, etc. 17 84	
DENSTONE COLLEGE.		
J. G. Garman b Elliott.       0         S. Wright b Elliott.       12         A. H. Lloyd c Elliott b Bullivant 31       31         H. N. Place b Elliott.       5	N. K. Stott not out       6         C. K. Thacker lbw, b Bullivant       0         J. B. Place b Elliott       2         P. Fernie b Bullivant       0	

P. F. B. Bluett b Elliott...... 12

H. N. E. Alston c Pank b Marley 0 S. M. Ekin b Marley 1 Byes, etc. 12

### CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Byes, etc. ..... 8

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 17, 18.—Though 74 behind on the first innings, Marlborough recovered thanks to fine batting by R. K. Page and R. H. Neilson. Cheltenham at the finish were 41 behind with two wickets standing.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.
R. D. Armstrong run out
R. K. Page c Ekin b Wellings 10 — c Martin b Wellings 95
R. H. Neilson lbw, b Wellings 27 — b Henderson
M. J. H. Morton c and b Wellings . 2 — c Wellings b Alston 15
J. S. M. Paul not out
J. F. Neilson hit wkt, b Ekin 22 — b Wellings 36
T. A. D. Hewan c Graham-Smith b
Alston
J. T. H. Comber c GSmith b Alston. 5 — c Alston b Ekin
J. C. Pank c Ekin b Wellings 9 — b Furness 0
R. J. Marley b Wellings 1 — b Alston
J. F. Hampton c Ekin b Wellings 0 — not out 0
Byes, etc
$\sqrt{198}$
198
CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.
E. M. Wellings b Hewan 53 — not out
E. M. Wellings b Hewan 53 — not out
E. M. Wellings b Hewan
E. M. Wellings b Hewan       53 — not out       34         J. M. Bryce-Smith run out       30 — b Hewan       9         R. J. Woollatt not out       99 — b Hewan       37         N. S. Henderson c Marley b Hewan       18 — c Pank b Armstrong       4
E. M. Wellings b Hewan       53 — not out       34         J. M. Bryce-Smith run out       30 — b Hewan       9         R. J. Woollatt not out       99 — b Hewan       37         N. S. Henderson c Marley b Hewan       18 — c Pank b Armstrong       4         W. F. H. Kempster c Pank b Hewan       1 — b Hewan       10
E. M. Wellings b Hewan       53 — not out       34         J. M. Bryce-Smith run out       30 — b Hewan       9         R. J. Woollatt not out       99 — b Hewan       37         N. S. Henderson c Marley b Hewan       18 — c Pank b Armstrong       4         W. F. H. Kempster c Pank b Hewan       1 — b Hewan       10         E. W. Lovegrove c Pank b Hewan       10 — c Comber b Morton       15
E. M. Wellings b Hewan       53 — not out       34         J. M. Bryce-Smith run out       30 — b Hewan       9         R. J. Woollatt not out       99 — b Hewan       37         N. S. Henderson c Marley b Hewan       18 — c Pank b Armstrong       4         W. F. H. Kempster c Pank b Hewan       1 — b Hewan       10         E. W. Lovegrove c Pank b Hewan       10 - c Comber b Morton       15         T. Martin c Marley b Pank       4 — b Hewan       22
E. M. Wellings b Hewan       53 — not out       34         J. M. Bryce-Smith run out       30 — b Hewan       9         R. J. Woollatt not out       99 — b Hewan       37         N. S. Henderson c Marley b Hewan       18 — c Pank b Armstrong       4         W. F. H. Kempster c Pank b Hewan       1 — b Hewan       10         E. W. Lovegrove c Pank b Hewan       10 — c Comber b Morton       15

FELSTED SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.
Played at FELSTED, Saturday, June 18.—Mill Hill won by four wickets.
Both sides gave uneven batting displays

and the state of t	3.
FELSTEI	SCHOOL.
R. C. Parker c Carris b Lawther 3	S. S. Lane c Collison b Powell. 0
W. L. Ogle c Howard b Abden. 12	P. H. Phelps c Collison b Powell 0
R. J. Stuart King c Carris b	F. R. M. Thompson c Carris b
Abden 27	Powell 0
H. F. Cresswell not out 36	C. Jarvis c Lawther b Carris 4
S. W. Behrend c Powell b Abden 0	Byes, etc
F. G. Norton c Lawther b Carter 28	Dy 68, 600
A. J. Phelps c Carris b Powell 0	125
	ILL SCHOOL.
A. P. Powell c Phelps b Jarvis 0	E. H. M. Grimsdell not out 27
H. E. Carris c Jarvis b Phelps 67	Byog oto
C. S. Mayes c Behrend b Jarvis 3	Byes, etc
T. H. B. Lawther c Behrend b	140
Jarvis 0	L. H. Collison
S. Abden c Phelps b Behrend 2	
P. D. Howard b Phelps 26	A. R. N. Carter did not bat.
A. D. Wills not out	J. G. Hilton
A. D. Wills Hot Out 9	AL STREET, MINISTER STREET, AND STREET, AN

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL. Played at Downside, Saturday, June 18.—Downside won by one wicket after a capital struggle.

BLUNDELL	's School.
S. A. J. Walker b Pettit 0	R. H. M. Bull b Dalton 5
S. A. Armstrong b Dalton 11	D. L. Woods b Glass
C. G. Stowell lbw, b Dalton 34	T W Handan b Glass
H. G. L. Harvey b Pettit 1	J. W. Hunter b Cave 8
D. H. Constant D. Hall D. H.	B. L. E. Hebert not out 3
P. H. Scoones c Bostock b Pettit 9	Byes, etc
A. L. T. Sassoon run out 0	The state of the s
R. J. Barkley b Dalton 24	127
Downsi	DE SCHOOL.
J. B. Radcliffe c Bull b Woods. 3	
P. K. Geoghegan run out 31	T. M. Bostock b Hunter 0
C. C. Marken a American J. T.	H. H. Cave not out 22
C. C. Markes c Armstrong b Hunter 40	D. Brooke-Hitching b Hunter. 1
P. H. Glass b Woods 14	P. N. Dalton not out 2
C. B. Turnbull b Hunter 15	Byes, etc
F. A. Allan c Scoones b Woods 0	= 5 00, 000,
G. J. Pettit c Hebert b Walker 5	140
The second of th	148
DEDECADO COMO OF	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.
Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 18.—Despite a great effort by N. H.
Pitts for Wellingborough, Bedford won by 5 runs.

BEDFORD SCHOOL

PROPERTY SCHOOL

R. D. Stewart b Gamble 0 W. A. Sime lbw, b Dorr 60	ŠCHOOL. T. P. Moll b Dickson. 0 T. Brown not out. 4
C. A. B. McVittie lbw, b Gamble. 2 E. F. C. Smith b Dunkley. 29 N. F. Reed c Gamble b Dickson. 39 A. L. Brown b Dorr. 5	S. S. Murray c and b Dickson 1 J. E. Rylands lbw, b Dickson 4 Byes, etc. 7
D. E. Tite c and b Gamble 17 WELLINGBORD	GH SCHOOL.
D. W. Stokes b Smith 1 J. A. Dorr run out 4	D H M Veitch h Rylands 28

WELLINGBOROU	GH SCHOOL.
D. W. Stokes b Smith. 1 J. A. Dorr run out. 4 S. T. Smith c Sime b Rylands. 8 T. G. Dickson b Murray. 14 N. H. Pitts c Smith b Murray. 60 V. Martin Jones b Murray. 6	D. H. M. Veitch b Rylands 38 J. W. Dunkley run out 3 A. Barrett b Murray 0 P. A. Little not out 4 Byes etc. 14
J. B. Gamble c Moll b Murray	P. PULLIFERY MY DESTRICT

147

### CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Cranleigh, Saturday, June 18.—H. A. Bellini (six wickets for 31) bowled extremely well for Cranleigh, who won by one wicket.

CHRIST'S HOST	PITAL SCHOOL.
P. S. Osborne c Heard b Bellini       3         A. Bartlett Ibw, b Mackay       33         T. G. Jennings c Poole b Bellini       3         C. R. Black Ibw, b Bellini       2         E. M. Undery b Bellini       23         N. K. Allen c and b Bellini       14         C. S. Farnes c Heard b Mackay       28	R. G. Parks-Smith c Francis b Bellini 28 C. M. E. Seaman c Well b Mackay 4 P. E. Letts c Francis b Mackay 0 F. W. Austen not out 1 Byes, etc. 3
CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL.
S. R. Peatfield b Osborne       2         D. A. H. Windle run out       3         H. R. Heard b Osborne       10         R. G. Mackay c Black b Osborne       35         J. E. Francis c Allen b Seaman       5         H. A. Bellini b Austen       12         R. H. S. Hatton b Austen       1	G. Hall c Jennings b Osborne

## HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at Hurstpierpoint, Saturday, June 18.—Hurstpierpoint won by 76 runs, L. E. Ricketts and F. J. Davis showing sound form.

HURSTPIERPO	INT COLLEGE,
L. G. Garnett b Harris       0         W. A. Nichols b Harris       6         G. G. Stevenson lbw, b Harris       1         D. D. Largen c Rattey b Blake       6         L. E. Ricketts c and b Goff       69         F. J. Davis c Hart b Harris       48         A. S. Armstrong b Harris       9	M. D. Rhoden c Rattey b Goff. 10 R. G. Hill b Harris
CITY OF LOND	ON SCHOOL
L. W. Gaite c Hindsley b Garnett 0	C. R. Macbeth b Garnett
K. J. M. Darville lbw, b Campbell 23	F. G. Rattey b Garnett
R. C. Goff lbw, b Campbell 4	M. J. Hart c Hindsley b Garnett
D. D. Color b. Dorde	G. N. L. Harris run out
B. B. Cohen b Davis	
C. J. Chidgey lbw, b Largen 7	Byes, etc 1
M. J. Blake c Ricketts b Campbell 6	32 3 33 5
D III Douber and out	9

### TRENT COLLEGE v. DENSTONE COLLEGE.

R. W. Busby not out..... 5

Played at TRENT, Saturday, June 18.—Drawn, Denstone being 99 behind

with five men out.	
TRENT	College.
J. A. Atkinson c Fernie b Stott 33 J. L. Baker c Garman b Bluett 22	C. G. Buck b Stott 4 R. A. Ainslie lbw, b H. Place 30
C. C. Barker c H. Place b Lloyd 58 H. R. Dorning c and b Stott 0	E. J. T. Pavitt run out 10 F. R. C. Hounsfield not out 0
H. B. Brown c Stott b Lloyd 0	Byes, etc 12
W. H. Walker c H. Place b Lloyd 3	100
J. L. Barker b Bluett 8	180

DENSTONE	COLLEGE.
J. G. Garman run out 21	T. B. Carev
S. Wright b Barker 6	C. K. Thacker
A. H. Lloyd b Dorning 34	J. B. Place did not bat.
P. F. B. Bluett b Dorning 1	P. Fernie
H. N. Place c Ainsley b Dorning 11	7
F. M. Short not out 0	Wilder Williams
N. K. Stott not out0	and the second of the second of the
Byes, etc 8	In the committee that the party of the state of
	No. of the Walter of Edition of the 1984 of the In-
81	

## GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at GLENALMOND, Saturday, June 18.—Glenalmond won by 53 runs GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE)

Charles (III	INIII COLLEGE).
H. N. Price b Haig	D. M. Ross c Mitchell b Cumming 6
1. K. MacRosty c Robertson b Marr 1	G. G. M. Batchelor b Cumming. 0
K. R. Pearson Ibw, b Duke 3	R. Stevenson not out 43
S. F. Kennedy b Marr 1	A. N. Macfie c Jones b Marr 0
S. Cruikshank b Cumming 50	
R. C. V. Ash c Cumming b Haig. 5	Byes, etc 15
B. E. A. Pollard-Urquhart c	
Mitchell b Marr 27	177
mittoffeli b mail	
FETTES	COLLEGE.
L. N. Robertson b Stevenson 6	P. G. Cumming lbw, b Cruikshank 3
G. B. Hodson lbw, b Cruikshank 8	J. E. C. Mitchell not out 30
J. F. Jones st Macfie b Cruikshank 11	D. A. Duke b Price
R. T. West b Cruikshank 8	A W Hoig at Mange 1 Dele
D. M. Marr b Cruikshank 7	A. W. Haig st Macfie b Price 0
D. H. Mail D Cruikshank	Byes, etc 13
P. J. F. Williamson b Stevenson 11	
A. C. Hogarth c Cruikshank b	124
Stevenson 9	

### EASTBOURNE COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Eastbourne, Tuesday, June 21.—Fine bowling and fielding brought Lancing success by 66 runs.

LANCING COLLEGE.

Huelin 10 D. O'Neill c Parke b Sadler 24 G. R. Taylor run out 0 M. V. Fletcher c Parke b Huelin 39 L. A. J. Roffey c Carey b Sadler 1 G. A. Church c and b Parke 32 R. J. M. Simmonds c Carey b Sadler 20	P. N. L. Pears c Binge b Sadler 13 M. B. Beale b Binge 10 H. W. Forsyth lbw, b Parke 0 A. N. Luxmoore not out 1 Byes, etc 7
EASTBOURI	NE COLLEGE.
P. A. C. Bridgewater c Salaman b Roffey 9 R. E. R. England c Taylor b Roffey 7 B. G. Bowyer run out 5 F. W. Binge c Salaman b Roffey 0 B. Parke c O'Neil b Roffey 0 D. H. Duder lbw, b Roffey 2 J. K. Mason lbw, b Pears 8	D. R. Sadler b Luxmoore. 26 E. Huelin b Pears. 14 W. A. Carey not out. 13 L. F. Stone lbw, b Pears. 0 Byes, etc. 7 91
	90

577

### MALVERN COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Malvern, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 21, 22.—The stronger team at all points, Malvern won in an innings with 68 runs to spare. W. H. Bradshaw showed splendid all-round form, batting brightly and, in the two innings, dismissing ten Westminster batsmen for 76 runs.

missing ten Westminster batsmen for 76 runs.	
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.	
D. A. Bompas b W. H. Bradshaw 1 — c Horrocks b W. H. Bradshaw 4 H. L. Jones b W. H. Bradshaw 0 — b W. H. Bradshaw 0	
K. J. Gardiner c Pullan b Patchett 47 — c Shebbeare b W. H. Bradshaw	
N. L. Foster c Patchett b W. H.	
Bradshaw	
I, W. A. Symington c Illingworth b	
Nunneley	
J. A. Evetts b Nunneley 1 — b Nunneley 1	
H. B. Graham not out	
G. M. E. Paulson b W. H. Bradshaw. 1 — b W. H. Bradshaw. 12	
W. P. Mallinson b Nunneley 7 — lbw, b Nunneley 10	
Byes, etc 8 Byes, etc	
114	
MALVERN COLLEGE.	
J. M. Pyne b Gardiner	
W. H. Bradshaw c and b Paulson 76 C. D. A. Pullan c Jones b Evetts. 21 G. B. H. Horrocks b Paulson. 4	

### 

### BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at Tiverton, Wednesday, June 22.—Sherborne wound up 49 behind with three wickets remaining in this drawn match.

BLUNDELL'	s School.
S. A. J. Walker run out	R. H. M. Bull b Meyer. 0 D. L. Woods lbw, b Sharpe. 3 J. W. Hunter c Wilson b Hopcraft 21 B. L. E. Hebert b Mermagen 5 Byes, etc. 16 205
SHERBORN	E SCHOOL.
R. Eglington b Hunter       23         R. H. Gladden b Woods       0         E. Knight lbw, b Woods       5         D. A. Hodgkinson not out       76         J. R. Anderson b Hunter       2         I. D. M. Wilson c Stowell b Walker       41         J. W. N. Sharpe b Walker       0	P. H. F. Mermagen c and b  Walker 0 A. W. Hoperaft not out 3  Byes, etc. 6  156  R. G. Kreyer C. B. Meyer } did not bat.

### ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at ETON, Friday, Saturday, June 24, 25.—For the third year in succession the match was drawn, but on this occasion Winchester held the honours, for at the end they led by 162 with only one man out in their second innings. At one time Eton were in grave danger of having to follow-on, nine wickets being down for 108, but a plucky stand extending over two hours between Sheepshanks and Buckston, the last pair, realised 144, and made a draw certain. Sheepshanks, having reached three-figures in two hours twenty-five minutes and hit ten 4's, then had the misfortune to step on his wicket. On the opening day, when rain prevented play before lunch, Winchester put together a total of 351. For this they owed almost everything to Fleming, who, sixth to leave at 305, batted faultlessly for three hours twenty minutes. He had one 6 and twenty-two 4's as his chief strokes.

WINCHESTER.

			WIN	CHEST	ER.				
I. D. K. Flemi	ng e War	d b Wh	ittaker.	.210 -	- c 1	Hubban	rd h Wa	rd	14
					- not	out.			5
J. E. A. Atkir	son b W	ard		. 0	по	out .	100		3
P. W. Milligan	c C-Cure	b War	rd	. 11					
A. G. Manners	e Bucket	on h W	Thittole	r 0					
J. L. T. Guise of	Hubbar	d h Whi	ttolzon	4				1	
R. S. Walker o	Harbord	b Wor	daker.	. 4					
P. N. Townsen	d not ou	t b wai	u	2					
A. M. Tew c A	-Donglas	b Word		30					
P. E. Mason c	Bucketon	b Waru		0					
Byes of	C	i b wai	a	10		and and	Marin To		
Dyes, en				9	1	Byes, et	tc		9
- Saw Alban ya			ben ir	071					
				351					63
and the sound some				ON.					
W. E. Harbord	c Flemin	g b Tew	22	F.	J. R.	. Coler	ridge st	Walke	r h
C. W. C. Packe	e lbw, b	Townse	nd 16	r	Lowns	end		" talke	2
M. de S. C. War	d c and b	Townse	nd 1	R.	A	Hubba	rd c K	ingelor	, h
1. Akers-Dougla	as b Tow	nsend	13	r	Cowns	end	ia c is	Lingsiey	0 1
A. G. Hazlerigg	c Manner	s b Mas	on 2	R	CC	Whit	taker lb	w h	
G. N. Capel-Cur	re b Tow	nsend	99	r	Lowns.	end	· · · · · · · ·	w, D	a
E. R. Sheepsl	nanks hi	t wkt.	b	R	HR	Buelze	ston not		
Atkinson		-	116	10.					
			110	- 700	Dye	5, 600.		Market St.	9
									903,000
				nista.					
			ETON B	OWITA	TO				252
	Oxora		ETON B			tus res			
Hubbard	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Hubbard	11	Mdns.	Runs 29	Wkts 0		8	2	Runs 19	
Ward	11	Mdns. 2 16	Runs 29 98	Wkts		8 12	2 8	19 15	Wkts.
Ward Whittaker	11 43 27	Mdns. 2 16 4	Runs 29 98 105	Wkts 0 7 3		8	2	19	Wkts.
Ward	11 43 27	Mdns. 2 16 4 3	Runs 29 98 105 37	Wkts 0 7 3 0		8 12	2 8	19 15	Wkts.
Ward	11 43 27 11	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0	Runs 29 98 105 37	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0		8 12	2 8	19 15	Wkts.
Ward	11 43 27 11 1	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0		8 12	2 8 3	19 15	Wkts.
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge	11 43 27 11 1	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0		8 12	2 8 3	19 15	Wkts.
Ward	11 43 27 11 1	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0		8 12	2 8 3	19 15	Wkts.
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge	11 43 27 11 1	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 0	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 0		8 12 8 3	2 8 3	19 15 12 8	Wkts.
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks	11 43 27 11 1 6 5	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 WINC	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow		8 12 8 3	2 8 3	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks	11 43 27 11 1 6 5 2	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 WING 11	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow 1	LING.	8 12 8 3	2 8 3 0	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks  Tew Brett	11 43 27 11 6 5 2	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 WINC 11 9	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48 50	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow 1	LING.	8 12 8 3	2 8 3	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks  Tew Brett Townsend	11 43 27 11 6 5 2	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 WINC 11 9 7	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48 50 91	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow 1 0	LING.	8 12 8 3	2 8 3 0.	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks  Tew Brett Townsend Mason	11 43 27 11 1 6 5 2	Mdns.  2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 WINC 11 9 7 2	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48 50 91 46	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow 1 0	LING.	8 12 8 3	2 8 3 0	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks  Tew Brett Townsend	11 43 27 11 1 6 5 2	Mdns. 2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 WINC 11 9 7	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48 50 91	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 Bow 1 0	LING.	8 12 8 3	2 8 3 0	19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ward Whittaker Harbord Packe Capel-Cure Coleridge Sheepshanks  Tew Brett Townsend Mason	. 11 . 43 . 27 . 11 . 1 . 6 . 5 . 2	Mdns.  2 16 4 3 0 0 0 0 WINC 11 9 7 2 2	Runs 29 98 105 37 1 31 28 13 HESTER 48 50 91 46	Wkts 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 7 1 1	ZLING.	8 12 8 3		19 15 12 8	Wkts. 0 1 0 0

## RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

	KESULIS OF EIGH AND	11 1210	
1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1830	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1832	Eton won by 14 rung	1882	Winchester won by an inns. and
1833	Eton won by 14 runs	1001	20 runs
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1835	Eton won by five wickets	1884	Eton won by five wickets
1836	Eton won by two wickets	1885	Drawn
1838	Winchester won by an innings	1886	Eton won by eight wickets
	and 34 runs	1887	Eton won by nine wickets
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1888	Drawn
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs		Winchester won by 114 runs
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1889	
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1890	Drawn Winchester won by five wkts.
1843	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1891	Winchester won by 84 runs
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1892	Winchester won by 64 Itus
1845	A Tie	1893	Eton won by five wickets
1846	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1847	Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1849	Eton won by inns. and 27 runs	1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
1850	Eton won by five wickets	1898	Drawn
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1900	Drawn
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1854	Winchester won by three wkts.	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1903	Eton won by 43 runs
1856	Eton won by inns. and 4 runs	1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1857	Eton won by inns. and 31 runs	1905	Drawn
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1906	Drawn
1859	Winchester won by three wkts.	1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1908	Eton won by inns. and 14 runs
	Eton won by nine wickets	1909	Drawn
1861	Eton won by one wicket	1910	Drawn
1862	Eton won by inns. and 194 runs	1911	Eton won by 58 runs
1863	Eton won by nine wickets	1912	Eton won by inns. and 46 runs
1864		1913	Eton won by inns. and 47 runs
1865	Drawn	1914	Drawn
1866	Eton won by ten wickets	1919	Winchester won by 69 runs
1867	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1920	Winchester won by 131 runs
1868	Eton won by eight wickets	1921	Eton won by seven wkts.
1869	Eton won by inns. and 36 runs	1921	Drawn
1870	Winchester won by one wicket	1923	Drawn
1871	Winchester won by 8 runs	1923	Eton won by an innings and 19
1872	Eton won by inns. and 125 runs	1924	
1873	Eton won by five wickets	100-	runs
1874	Eton won by inns. and 202 runs	1925	Drawn
1875	Eton won by five wickets	1926	Drawn/
1876	Eton won by inns. and 99 runs	1927	Drawn
1877	Eton won by inns. and 121 runs	1	the state of the s
		and the same	1 7 11 1-4-4- 1055

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 94 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26, one has ended in a tie and 16 have been drawn.

The one day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

### SEDBERGH SCHOOL V. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at SEDBERGH, Friday, Saturday, June 24,	25.—Rain ruined this
match, no play taking place on the second day. R. W.	Skene made his runs in
skilful style.	and a contraction of the

Skill til Style.	
	SCHOOL.
S. G. March lbw, b Smeddle 25	J. F. A. Turner not out 9
J. G. Watherston b Smeddle 8	W. Somerville c Jordan b Elliot 0
R. W. Skene b Smeddle113	Byes, etc 5
R. H. Dahl b Smeddle 18	
J. E. Nicholls not out 40	219
G. M. Crabbie c Adamson b	J. W. R. Crompton } did not bat.
Smeddle 0	F. H. Hodgson
W. E. Mitchell-Innes b Adamson. 1	and the second of the second o
Dupures	Carroot

C. F. Cardale, D. Y. Caldwell, E. J. Jordan, J. A. Adamson, P. G. McLaren, R. W. Smeddle, H. S. Green, R. F. Meikle, G. B. Wood, T. E. Elliot, R. C. Griffin.

### DULWICH COLLEGE v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

25.—Bedford won by 54 runs.
School.   D. S. Gideon lbw, b Griffiths   9   T. P. Moll c Burnham b Knight   13   S. S. Murray not out   15   J. E. Rylands c Knowland b   Hughman   8   Byes, etc.   8
COLLEGE
F. King not out. 13 G. D. Griffiths c Murray b Sime 0 J. E. Pettifer c Stewart b Murray 0 G. G. V. Knight run out 14 Byes, etc. 7 83

### DOVER COLLEGE V. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.

Played at DOVER, Saturday, June 25.—St. Lawrence, sending their opponents in first, won by ten wickets. Batsmen failed badly on a rain-spoiled pitch. In the two Dover innings, H. W. Harding took nine wickets for 43 runs, and R. Perfect six for 41, while C. L. Falloon got rid of six St. Lawrence men for 30 runs.

DOVER COLLEGE.
Byes, etc
7. Van der Onen meh eut

ST. LAWRENCE C	OLLEGE, RAMSGATE.
H. J. Linnell c Fox b Jenney	30 — not out 18
R. Perfect b Falloon	$\cdot$ 1
J. F. Alston lbw, b Falloon	13 — not out 1
D. G. Oswald b Falloon	0
S. J. Saunders c Man b Falloon	
G. T. Hindley c Hawkins b Falloon	
A. L. Toop c Falloon b James	13
H. L. Salmon run out	
T. A. Burgess c Jenney b James	<b>3</b>
A. G. Arthur b Falloon	3
H. W. Harding not out	1
Byes, etc	17 Byes, etc 2,
	105

## HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at HIGHGATE, Saturday, June 25.—The honours of a drawn game went to Highgate.

HIGHGATE	SCHOOL,
R. L. Stuart lbw, b Wyatt 26	F. H. Booth not out 9
A. H. Fabian st Valder b Paris 50	G. F. Lushington st Valder b
P. C. Carter c Valder b Hill 19	Paris
E. W. W. Cunningham c Crouch b	E. N. Lushington c Crouch b Allen 12
Paris 2	W. Bray c Crouch b Paris 66
W. H. Webster c and b Paris 0	Byes, etc 13
G. M. Hay c Crouch b Hill 1	the state of the s
M. G. R. Keeling c Binny b Allen 34	243
	OOL, CANTERBURY.
R. O. Crouch c and b Cunningham 9	R. A. Finnis c Hay b Stuart 4
B. Taylor c Booth b Fabian 3	J. P. Wyatt lbw, b Stuart 0
A. P. D. Kennedy st E. N.	G. Allen c E. N. Lushington
Lushington b Stuart 27	b Hay 2
G. C. Valder b Hay 12	C. G. A. Paris not out 2
A. C. Goold not out 42	Byes, etc
A. L. Hill run out 3	The state of the s
R. A. W. Binny run out 14	135
The second secon	

### RADLEY COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at RADLEY, Tuesday, June 28.—The efforts of K. J. Gardiner could not save Westminster from defeat by four wickets. G. C. A. Adams batted nicely for Radley.

nicely for tradicy.	
WESTMINS	TER SCHOOL,
D. A. Bompas b Jeffreys 0	J. K. Luard b Jeffreys 4
K. J. Gardiner c Millar b Jeffreys 100	H. L. Jones c Henderson b Jeffreys 13
I. W. A. Symington cand b Jeffreys 0	H. B. Graham not out 18
N. L. Foster c and b Jeffreys 13	G. M. E. Paulson b Miller 7
J. A. Cook c and b Baddeley 2	Byes, etc 16
J. A. Evetts b Baddeley 1	
J. W. M. Aitken b Blunt 10	184
RADLEY	COLLEGE.
P. J. Jeffreys b Gardiner 7	C. M. Blunt not out 5
R. A. Henderson c Luard b	Byes, etc 3
Gardiner	and the state of t
G. C. A. Adams c Cook b Graham 86	185
P. T. Miller run out	D. C. H. C. Borgius
W. K. Rogers c Bompas b Gardiner 37	G. L. Millar did not bat.
P. I. Van der Gucht not out 17	J. F. Baddeley
C G Hearne e Foster h Graham 7	

### SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 28, 29.—The big advantage gained for Shrewsbury by magnificent batting on the part of D. N. Moore and P. H. L. Staples could not be turned to account, rain making play on the second day impossible, and the match was drawn.

the second day impossible, and the mat	ch was drawn.
ROSSALT	School.
A. V. Haigh lbw, b Heneker 15 A. O. Wilson b Heneker 33	E. L. Simpson c Harratt b Staples 2
F. D. Beattie b Heneker 0	J. S. Buck b Bland 6
N. H. Lambert c Birch b Sever 0	O. R. Acworth not out 11
A. S. Roncoroni c Raikes b Sever 14	R. M. Livingstone b Bland 5
H. S. Green c and b Sever 8	Byes, etc 8
G. T. Lavery b Bland 0	Djcs, coc 8
G. Z. Zurez, D. Diulia	102
SHREWSRI	RY SCHOOL.
D. N. Moore c Buck b Green 133	J. F. Snow
A. R. Attfield st Acworth b	H. S. Sever
Livingstone	E. L. Birch
P. H. C. Staples not out135	D. Harratt > did not bat
D. C. G. Raikes not out 0	R. Wave
Byes, etc 6	R. D. F. Bland
the same of the sa	C. L. Heneker
289	
Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, 36), causing Cranleigh to collapse Mill H	
	H SCHOOL.
S. R. Peatfield c and b Abden 0	E. P. Hindley c Priestly b Abden 10
D. A. R. Windle b Abden 4	H. N. Poole c Howard b Abden 5
H. R. Heard c Collison b Powell. 14	J. E. Francis not out 7
R. G. Mackay b Abden 0	R. H. Attwell c Grimsdell b
H. A. Bellini c Grimsdell b Abden 18	Powell 1
R. H. S. Hatton lbw, b Abden. 1	Byes, etc 3
C. W. Suter c Abden b Powell 4	
W TI-	67
A D Dowell not out	LL SCHOOL.
A. P. Powell not out	T. H. B. Lawther
	S. Abden
P. D. Howard not out	D. G. Priestley
Byes, etc 2	R. J. Edwards did not bat.
THE YOUR PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	E. H. M. Grimsden
	W. P. L. Chappell
the contract of the contract o	L. H. Collison

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, v ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.

J. G. Hilton

Played at CANTERBURY, Wednesday, June 29.—St. Lawrence won by six wickets, winding up 65 ahead. H. W. Harding took six St. Edmund's wickets for 28 runs.

ST. EDMUND'S SCHO R. G. O. Roots b Harding	OOL, CANTERBURY. G. H. B. Cooper b Harding E. B. David c Harding b Perfect J. H. Pope st Saunders b Harding B. J. Walford not out	0 7 10
A. F. Smith c Linnell b Harding. 10		72

### 584 ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE. T. A. Burgess b Smith.... H. J. Linnell c and b Smith..... 1 A. G. Arthur b Cooper..... R. Perfect c Pope b Walford .... 51 A. H. M. Martin b Smith..... J. F. Alston lbw, b Smith..... 13 H. W. Harding c Pope b Cooper D. G. Oswald run out..... 6 S. J. Saunders b Symonds..... 6 Byes, etc. ..... 7 G. T. Hindley b Smith...... 25 CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD Played at Horsham, Wednesday, June 29.—C. M. E. Seaman (six wickets for 16) paved the way to the victory of Christ's Hospital by nine wickets. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD. A. L. Mackie lbw, b Black..... 12 E. D. Iliff b Seaman..... 0 G. Lemmon b Seaman ..... 4 J. D. A. Woodroffe b Seaman . . . 0 R. A. S. Farnfield c Letts b Seaman 7 S. H. H. Renshaw st Jennings b C. H. Taitt c Hunt b Black ..... 0 Black G. A. C. Dunbar lbw, b Seaman . . 2 B. H. Williams not out..... C. S. Wallace c Allen b Black .... 2 Byes, etc. J. W. L. Haworth lbw, b Seaman 3 CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL. T. G. Jennings not out....... 18 E. M. Underv A. Bartlett C. R. Black c Wallace b Taitt .. 21 P. S. Osborne not out..... 0 N. K. Allen K. C. Hunt did not bat. C. M. E. Seaman A. L. Boxall P. E. Letts F. W. Austen UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. RUGBY SCHOOL. Played at Uppingham, Saturday, July 2.—A great innings by J. C. Christopherson failed to save Uppingham from defeat, Rugby, for whom R. E. Holford showed good form, winning by 50 runs. RUGBY SCHOOL. T. W. Sallitt b Perkins..... 0 J. M. Monkhouse b Jarrett ..... 19 G. Knox c Perkins b Chalk . . . . . 17 J. E. G. Pearson b Chandler .... 21 G. R. Mothersill c Jarrett b R. E. Holford c Upcher b Hurdle . . 65 Hurdle ..... 0 L. H. A. Hankey st Upcher b C. P. M. Hunting not out..... 5 Byes, etc. ..... 10 Jarrett ..... 176 L. E. C. Davies c Chandler b Jarrett 12 P. H. Williams c Christopherson b Jarrett ..... 2 UPPINGHAM SCHOOL. A. F. R. Jarrett b Sallitt ..... 0 D. S. Oscroft b Pearson ..... 9 G. M. Chandler b Sallitt..... 0 H. Hallitt b Pearson..... P. G. Upcher b Sallitt ..... 4 P. K. Spurrier b Pearson ..... 1 J. C. B. Perkins lbw, b Mothersill 14 M. F. Hurdle st Hunting b J. C. Christopherson not out.... 72 Monkhouse ..... F. G. H. Chalk run out ..... 7 Byes, etc. ..... 10 S. Wilkinson c and b Sallitt ..... 1 126

## BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE,

Played on the Sussex County Ground, Hove, Saturday, July 2.—The splendid all-round form of J. H. Green (five wickets for 22) had much to do with Brighton's success by 63 runs.
D. O'Neill lbw, b Green

E. Cawston hit wkt, b Green	P. N. L. Pears run out
BRIGHTON	
J. H. Green not out. 73 F. C. Jarchow c Forsyth b Pears . 3 M. G. Saunders c Forsyth b Taylor . 28 T. S. Levett lbw, b Taylor . 0 J. D. Ronald b Luxmoore . 4 R. N. Jones c Simmonds b Roffey 7 V. H. Hanschell c Taylor b Luxmoore . 10	J. A. P. Bartlett c Pears b Luxmoore 0 J. W. Thompson hit wkt, b Luxmoore 0 A. R. M. Edwards c Taylor b Pears 5 I. D. Macdonald c Collins b Luxmoore 11 Byes, etc 11

## ARDINGLY COLLEGE V. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL,

Played at ARDINGLY, Saturday, June 2.—Ardingly just avoided defeat, being 49 behind with one wicket remaining.

WHITGIFT GRA   C. P. Goodwin c Frost b Sewell	MMAR SCHOOL.         N. M. Ling c Paskins b Sewell 24           L. H. Emerson c Price b Abbott 3           W. J. Stutchbury b Abbott 1         C. G. Smith not out 2           Byes, etc
ARDINGLY   ARDINGLY   W. F. Frost run out.   42   H. Brown c Thompson b Ewing   29   A. E. Cameron b Ewing   0   D. H. Price b Peart   0   S. J. S. Kinder c Peart b Ewing   3   J. Tilley st Ling b Smith   8   C. H. Paskins b Peart   7	COLLEGE.  K. G. Couper st Ling b Ewing . 10  K. M. R. Abbott not out 0  K. J. Bennett b Ewing 0  V. E. Sewell not out 0  Byes, etc 6

## BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. OAKHAM SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, July 2.—Rain ruined this match, which resulted in a draw. C. H. Williams played a good innings for Bedford Modern,

BEDFORD MOT	EPN SCHOOL
C. H. Williams not out 73	DERN SCHOOL.
	E. C. W. Sowman
W. L. Davis b Jones 19	H. H. Cowley
H. A. Southgate c Ferrier b Jones 6	
To Contingues of Ferries b Jones 6	
L. G. Ashwell not out 0	F. W. Manning   did not bat,
Byes, etc	A Diddie 1 and not pat,
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	A. Riddiford
4	T. H. McNicol
	H. W. Bingham
701 * T 1	M. A. M. Miller Company of the Compa
 - innings de	clared closed.
	clared closed.

OAKHAM S	SCHOOL.
D. G. Allen b Cowley	G. Chatterton A. C. Marthn P. Vaulkhard R. B. Marshall T. S. Fisher
OUNDLE SCHOOL v.	LEYS SCHOOL.
	.—After declaring with seven men out, SCHOOL.  P. A. Greig c Gaunt b Raper
	SCHOOL.
H. Rocyn-Jones c Fryer b Skinner 3 D. G. Benson b Greig	J. A. Endacott c Yarrow b Whelen 11 E. J. Hutchings b Whelan

## KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE,

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, July 5.—A splendid all-round display by F. W. Binge had most to do with Eastbourne's victory by 9 runs.

EASTBOURE	(E COLLEGE.
F. W. Binge b Paris 65	J. K. Mason c Kennedy b Paris. 9
P. A. C. Bridgewater c and b Wyatt 12	E. Huelin c Crouch b Wyatt 4
B. G. Bowyer c Valder b Hill 9	J. R. Kingdon st Crouch b Paris 1
R. E. R. England b Paris 2	W. A. Carey not out
B. Parke c Valder b Binny 1	Byes, etc 11
D. H. Duder c Binny b Paris 12	
D. R. Sadler c Hill b Wyatt 0	126
King's School	, CANTERBURY.
R. O. Crouch lbw, b Bowyer 6	C. G. A. Paris lbw, b Binge
G. C. Valder c Kingdon b Binge 6	R. D. Hearder b Bowyer 23
A. P. D. Kennedy b Binge 49	J. P. Wyatt b Binge
A. C. Goold b Bowyer 1	G. Allen not out 0
A. U. Goold D Dowyer	Byes, etc
A. L. Hill b Binge	2) (5) (6)
R. A. W. Binny lbw, b Bowyer 9	117
B. A. Finnis b Bowyer 2	

### UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at Uppingham, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 5, 6.—Uppingham began badly and never recovered, and at the close, with one wicket to fall, were still 28 behind. D. N. Moore batted delightfully, so that Shrewsbury were able to declare with eight men out. R. D. F. Bland, in the two innings took eight wickets for 49 runs.

	M SCHOOL.
D. S. Oscroft b Staples	2 — lbw, b Bland
J. A. Sutor b Bland	0 — lbw, b Staples
P. K. Spurrier b Staples	3 — c Waye b Sever
J. C. B. Perkins run out	0 — c Staples b Bland 2
J. C. Christopherson lbw, b Sever	13 — lbw, b Bland 2
F. G. H. Chalk c Bland b Staples	23 — c Waye b Staples
E. G. Pank c Harratt b Sever	1 — c Raikes b Bland
A. F. R. Jarrett c Sever b Bland	4 — c Sever b Bland
G. M. Chandler b Bland	6 — not out 1
P. G. Upcher b Sever	14 — b Staples
M. F. Hurdle not out	15 — not out
byes, etc	11 Byes, etc
	00
	92
SHREWSBU	RY SCHOOL.
D. N. Moore c Christopherson b	E. L. Birch c Sutor b Jarrett
Chandler	D. Harratt not out 20
A. R. Attfield lbw, b Chandler 2	R. Waye not out
P. H. C. Staples c Upcher b Hurdle 8	Byes, etc 19
D. C. G. Raikes b Jarrett 46	
H. S. Sever b Jarrett 20	*229
R. D. F. Bland c Chandler b	C. L. Heneker did not bat.
Jarrett 0	
J. F. Snow c Upcher b Perkins 2	
* Innings de	clared closed.

## EPSOM COLLEGE v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Ersom, Wednesday, July 6.—The School had much the best of a game abandoned as a draw through rain. C. R. Black batted well, besides taking nine wickets for 63 runs, while J. D. W. H. Pettigrew, for Epsom, scored brightly.

brightly.	D. W. H. Pettigrew, for Epsom, scored
EPSOM (	COLLEGE.
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew c Parks-Smith b Black	J. D. Pennington c Farnes b Black C. S. Taylor c Parks-Smith b Seaman
CHRIST'S HOS	PITAL SCHOOL.
C. R. Black not out. 85  E. M. Undery c Hodges b Pennington 29 P. S. Osborne st Taylor b Day 2 T. G. Jennings not out 20 Byss, etc. 11	A. Bartlett N. K. Allen R. G. Parks-Smith C. S. Farnes C. M. B. Seaman P. E. Letts  did not bat.

147

F. W. Austen

### MILL HILL SCHOOL V. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 6.—An interesting match ended in

a draw.	SAME AND REAL PROPERTY AND A PERSON OF THE P
HIGHGATE	SCHOOL.
R. L. Stuart lbw, b Lawther 5	M. G. R. Keeling not out 21
A. H. Fabian c Priestley b Lawther 5	G. F. Lushington c and b Lawther 2
	F. H. Booth not out 2
E. W. W. Cunningham b Abden 5	Byes, etc 7
W. Bray lbw, b Carris 63	$*_{212}$
W. H. Webster c Grimsdell b	
Lawther 77	E. N. Lushington did not bat.
C M How a Dowell h Lawther 95	The second secon
* Inninge de	clared closed.
Illinings de	olarca closea.
MILL HILL	SCHOOL.
A. P. Powell not out	W. P. L. Chappell
H. E. Carris c Webster b Stuart 31	L. H. Collison
	E. H. M. Grimsdell   did not bat.
P. D. Howard lbw, b Hay 1	G. C. Williams
T. H. B. Lawther b Fabian 19	
D. G. Priestley b Stuart 0	J. G. Hilton
S. Abden not out 6	
Byes, etc 7	

### WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. TRENT COLLEGE. Played at Wellingborough, Thursday, July 7.—Drawn.

WELLINGBOR	OUGH SCHOOL.
D. W. Stokes c Pavitt b Dorning 34	R. W. K. Kefford b Walker
T. G. Dickson b Dorning 9	J. B. Gamble b Dorning
S. T. Smith st Pavitt b Walker 9	K. C. H. Knowles not out 1
J. A. Dorr c Pavitt b Walker 4	Byes, etc.
D. H. M. Veitch b Dorning 13	00
V. Martin Jones b C. C. Barker. 0	99
C. Rawlins c Baker b Dorning 11	J. W. Dunkley did not bat.

TRENT COLLEGE. J. A. Atkinson, J. L. Baker, C. C. Barker, H. B. Brown, H. R. Dorning, W. H. Walker, J. L. Barker, C. G. Buck, R. A. Ainslie, F. R. C. Hounsfield, E. J. T. Pavitt.

### CLIFTON COLLEGE V. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—The bowling of R. H. Taite, who took eleven Clifton wickets for 120 runs, paved the way to Cheltenham's victory by 94 runs. R. J. Woollatt batted splendidly in his second innings.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.	
E M Wellings c Kettlewell b Tilly 9 — c and b Crawford 10	
J. M. Bryce-Smith c Owen b Kettlewell 52 — b Crawford	
R. J. Woollatt c and b Tilly 0 — c and b Crawford	
W. F. H. Kempster c Tilly b Crawford 6 — b Tilly	
E. W. Lovegrove c Crawford b Tilly 5 — c and b Nattle 25	
R A Graham-Smith c Tilly b Crawford 16 - b Crawford	
N. S. Henderson c Burton b Kettlewell 3 — c Kettlewell b Tilly	
N. S. Henderson c Burton b Kettlewell 3 — c Kettlewell b Tilly	
B. H. Taite c Adams b Tilly 0 - c Adams b Tilly 8 S. M. Ekin b Peck 0 - not out carry and 7	i
S. M. Ekin b Peck	
BVes etc.	Ä
AND	
***************************************	

### CLIFTON COLLEGE.

J. F. Cornes b Taite	14 — c and b Taite 16
E. S. V. Burton b Taite	9 — st Martin b Wellings 11
R. W. Owen c Furness b Taite	
R. W. Kettlewell lbw, b Taite	
K. W. Nattle c and b Wellings	14 — c Henderson b Taite 17
A. M. Tilly b Taite	
J. M. Dwyer b Wellings	1 — b Taite 2
G. E. Peck b Wellings	
C. H. Adams b Wellings	
R. M. Bell c Bryce-Smith b Wellings	
N. K. Crawford not out	
Byes, etc	3 Byes, etc 2
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	Control of the State of the Sta
	115

### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at Toneridge, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—Much of the batting in this drawn game proved disappointing, but J. G. W. Davies, in the first innings, and J. A. Baiss, in the second, did good service for Tonbridge. R. H. Rutter caused a complete breakdown in Westminstster's first attempt, taking six wickets for 7 runs.

### TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

J. A. Baiss c Bompas b Cook		
L. H. Lewis c Paulson b Cook 0 — lbw, b Evetts 7		
T. A. Crawford lbw, b Cook 3 — c Evetts b Graham 16		
H. W. Dods c Paulson b Cook 2 — not out 5		
G. A. Hill c Luard b Graham 2 — c and b Foster 0		
C. W. Astell c Luard b Evetts 16		
R. B. Groves c Luard b Graham 7 — c Evetts, b Foster 2		
R. H. Rutter c Paulson b Symington. 0		
E. J. G. Tucker not out		
M. W. Smith b Paulson		
Byes, etc		
*118		
* Inning declared alored		

### Innings declared closed.

WESTMINSTER	SCHOOL.
	- c Davies b Tucker 14
	— c Lewis b Rutter 13
	— c Astell b Dods 26
N. L. Foster b Tucker 9	— c Baiss b Dods 23
J. A. Cook b Rutter 17	— c Smith b Dods 2
J. A. Evetts c Tucker b Rutter 13	— not out 23
J. W. M. Aitken not out	— run out 7
J. K. Luard c Smith b Rutter 3	— lbw, b Hill 0
C. W. J. Thurlow b Rutter 0	— b Hill 0
H. B. Graham b Rutter 0	— not out 6
G M E Paulson c Dods b Rutter 0	
Byes, etc	Byes, etc 7
Byes, etc	- Productive of the grade of the first of the contract of the
103	201 1. Faccasson (Follows) 1. 121

### WELLINGTON COLLEGE V. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—Haileybury had all the best of this drawn match, Wellington being 198 behind on the first innings L. B. Neame, B. G. Wennink and F. I. W. Stewart all showed skill in batting for Haileybury, and F. M. Heywood (six wickets for 57) bowled well. Wellington must have fared even worse but for the fine all-round form of C. C. Garthwaite, who dismissed six men for 72 runs.

### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

F. M. Heywood lbw, b Affleck-Graves 24 P. J. Barber c Dashwood b Hamilton 11 F. I. W. Stewart b C. C. Garthwaite 78 E. N. Evans c Hamilton b P. F. Garthwaite 0 L. B. Neame c Hamilton b Berens 108 W. A. R. Sunner b C. C. Garthwaite 0 E. J. Stanley c Dashwood b C. C. Garthwaite 0	T. H. Hardy b C. C. Garthwaite 11 G. R. Whitcombe lbw, b C. C. Garthwaite
WELLINGT	ON COLLEGE.
A. A. Benn lbw, b Whitcombe. 10 C. P. Hamilton b Heywood 3 T. J. R. Dashwood c Wolff b Whitcombe 6 C. C. Garthwaite c Evans b Heywood	A. C. Hamilton c Evans b  Heywood

### ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at West Kensington, Saturday, July 9.—Steady batting by R. Felton saved St. Paul's, who were 76 behind with one wicket intact.

P. F. Garthwaite lbw, b Whitcombe 1

# DULWICH COLLEGE. D. R. Wilcox c Oliff b Weston.... 46 | F. King c Patterson b Maclean... 1

J. E. Pettifer c Colville b Maclean 0 L. A. W. Simmons not out. 14 G. D. Griffiths run out 0 Byes, etc. 15 200
G. M. Smith lbw, b Hughman.   1   V. Martinson st Pettifer b Wilcox   6   F. D. Maclean lbw, b King.   3   E. A. Joseph not out   0   Byes, etc.   13
124

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL.
Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, July 9.—Drawn. A. P. Powell and P. D.
Howard showed good form for Mill Hill.
MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Howard showed good form for Mill Hill.		
	LL SCHOOL.	
A. P. Powell lbw, b Parry 86	W. P. L. Chappell	1
P. D. Howard lbw, b Mill 63	L. H. Collison	1 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
D. G. Priestly b Parry 0	E. H. M. Grimsdell	did not bat
T. H. B. Lawther not out 26	J. E. Lambert	1
S. Abden not out	A. R. N. Carter	
Byes, etc 5	J. G. Hilton	The state of the s
*190	2-46	
* Innings de	clared closed.	
	YLORS' SCHOOL.	
D. K. Walker b Grimsdell 19	H. S. Mill	
E. T. Goulding c Collison b Powell 19	W. M. Crocker	did not bat.
W. D. Heck c Hilton b Grimsdell 0	D. G. Spurling	did not bat.
W. H. Wilson not out 14	E. R. Poulton	
D. M. Parry b Grimsdell 0	All the state of the state of	
H. D. Heck b Grimsdell 6	THE RESIDENCE OF STREET	
K. G. Williamson not out 0	OF THE PERSON OF	
Byes, etc	NAME OF STREET	
2,000,000		
68		

# ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

G. C. Valder D Periect 4	R. D. nearder b Emilen 0
R. O. Crouch b Perfect 14	C. G. A. Paris not out 7
A. P. D. Kennedy c Saunders b	G. Allen b Harding 0
Perfect 45	J. P. Wyatt e Salmon b Harding 4
A. C. Goold b Perfect 14	Byes, etc 8
R. A. Finnis c Oswald b Perfect 1	
R. A. W. Binny c Burgess b	116
Perfect 7	
A. L. Hill b Harding 12	Section of the Control of the Contro
ST. LAWRENCE CO	LLEGE, RAMSGATE.
H. J. Linnell b Wyatt	G. T. Hindley
R. Perfect c Paris b Wyatt 5	T. A. Burgess
J. F. Alston b Wyatt 23	A. G. Arthur   did not bat.
D. G. Oswald not out 13	H. L. Salmon
A. L. Toop not out	S. J. Saunders
Byes, etc 9	H. W. Harding
193	It is not the sould be true to the state of the

# WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE. Played at CROYDON, Saturday, July 9.—Drawn.

WHITGIFT GR	AMMAR SCHOOL.	
G. P. Goodwin c Hindsley b	H. G. Sturges run out 1	
Garnett 63	L. H. Emerson not out 2	
C. A. Gage c Evelyn b Campbell 9	Byes, etc 6	
F. S. Thompson b Evelyn 15		
H. H. Peart b Garnett 8	*128	
F. R. Ewing b Evelyn 1	W. J. Stutchbury } did not bat.	
K. H. Beglin c Campbell b Garnett 5	C. G. Smith	
N. M. Ling not out 18		
* Innings declared closed.		

127

	592 Public Schoo	l Matches.
	Ниреприйра	INT COLLEGE.
	L. G. Garnett lbw, b Goodwin 38	A. S. Armstrong not out 0
	W. A. Nichols b Smith	M. D. Rhoden b Peart 1
	D. D. Largen b Goodwin 10	E. G. Hindsley not out 0
	L. E. Ricketts b Goodwin 17	
		Byes, etc
	F. J. Davis b Goodwin	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
	L. H. Evelyn c Peart b Goodwin 11	114
	D. L. Baylis st Ling b Peart 5	J. F. Campbell did not bat.
		The displaying 9
	OF TOWNS SOURCE THE PROPERTY	A THE THE COLUMN COLUMN TO
	ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHE	
	SCHO	OL.
	Played at LEATHERHEAD, Wednesday	y, July 13.—Batsmen generally showed
	up poorly in a drawn game.	
		OL, LEATHERHEAD.
	A T Mackie a and b Poort	
	A. L. Mackie c and b Peart 0	
	R. A. S. Farnfield run out 6	C. S. Wallace not out 28
	C. H. Taitt b Goodwin 21	G. Lemmon not out 10
	G. A. C. Dunbar lbw, b Peart 4	Byes, etc
	S. H. H. Renshaw b Peart 0	The second secon
	J. W. L. Haworth lbw, b	*147
	Stutchbury 35	
	* Innings decl	lared closed.
	WHITGIFT GRAMM	
	G. P. Goodwin b Farnfield 43	F. S. Thompson
	H. G. Sturges c Dunbar b	D W Bottle
	Farnfield	H. W. Seear did not bat.
	N. M. Ling c and b Mackie 9	C. G. Smith
	T. H. Dant a Washaffa h Taitt	C. G. Simon
	H. H. Peart c Woodroffe b Taitt 15	
	C. A. Gage not out 15	
	W. J. Stutchbury c Dunbar b	
	Farnfield 3	Salar and the first of the firs
	K. H. Beglin not out 1	
	Byes, etc 5	THE STREET STREET, STR
		Control of Northead States Andrews
	105	The second to small . We all the
	MILT HILL COHOO!	T TEXUS SOUTOOT
	MILL HILL SCHOOL	L v. LEY'S SCHOOL.
	Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, J	uly 13.—Drawn.
	MILL HIL	L SCHOOL.
	A. P. Powell run out 24	L. H. Collison b Rocyn-Jones 14
	H. E. Carris c Hutchings b	E. H. M. Grimsdell c Raper b
	Endacott 30	
	P. D. Howard c Masina b	
	Champness 40	J. G. Hilton c and b Rocyn-
	T. H. B. Lawther c Raper b Brown 17	Jones
	S. Abden b Raper 0	Byes, etc
	D. G. Priestley c Smailes b Rocyn-	
	Jones 19	164
	W. P. L. Chappell c Raper b	
	Champness 1	
		CHOOL.
	H. Rocyn-Jones c Lawther b Abden 5	T. W. Smailes not out 11
	F. R. Brown c Collison b Powell 22	E. J. Hutchings b Powell 3
		E. J. Hutchings b Powell 3 F. H. Masina not out 4
	J. R. S. Raper b Carris 26	Prop ofe
	R. Smith c Hilton b Powell 2	Byes, etc 5
,	J. H. Joslin c Priestley b Carris 17	The life of the standard of th
	R. E. Whiston c Hilton b Carris 11	T 4 Cl 1112
	T A Endacott h Carrie	J. A. Champness did not bat.

J. A. Endacott b Carris...... 6 J. A. Champness did not bat.

## KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON V. MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

Played at BRUTON, Thursday, July 14.—Plucky batting by T. B. L. Bryan could not avert defeat for Monkton Combe by 12 runs.

Could not divert design for		
KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.		
H. D. Burrough run out	K. Cottam b Watson	
MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.		
T. B. L. Bryan c Halahan b Smyth       57         H. J. S. Brooke c Napper b Weaver       2         R. H. Carpenter run out.       5         G. O. P. Eaton lbw, b Bennett       4         E. G. Perry run out       0         M. K. Watson c Weaver b Bennett       9         O. F. Reichwald b Smyth       18	T. R. R. Eve b Smyth. 4 M. E. Fortt b Bennett. 0 G. F. Earl lbw, b Smyth. 4 W. D. G. Mould not out. 3 Byes, etc. 10 116	
A. R. Woodforde b Reichwald 8  MONKTON COM. T. B. L. Bryan c Halahan b Smyth 57 H. J. S. Brooke c Napper b Weaver 2 R. H. Carpenter run out 5 G. O. P. Eaton lbw, b Bennett 4 E. G. Perry run out 0 M. K. Watson c Weaver b Bennett 9	BE SCHOOL.  T. R. R. Eve b Smyth. 4 M. E. Fortt b Bennett. 0 G. F. Earl lbw, b Smyth. 4 W. D. G. Mould not out. 3 Byes, etc. 10	

### DULWICH COLLEGE v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, July 16.—A win for Mill Hill by 22 runs.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

P. Revell at Pattices b Simmons 1 + 1 H. Collison c King b Knight. 17

A. P. Fowen is Pettiner b Silminons 1  H. E. Carris c Hughman b King. 18  P. D. Howard run out	E. H. M. Grimsdell c Smith b  Wilcox 41  A. R. N. Carter not out 7  J. G. Hilton c Wilcox b Light 0  Byes, etc. 9
DULWICH	COLLEGE.
D. R. Wilcox b Grimsdell	S. W. Light b Grimsdell       5         G. G. V. Knight b Grimsdell       0         L. A. W. Simmons b Abden       0         J. E. Pettifer run out       0         Byes, etc       2

### BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Horsham, Saturday, July 16.—J. H. Green and V. H. Hanschell showed admirable form with the bat for Brighton in a drawn match.

F. King st Hilton b Carter..... 20

T. M. P. Hughman not out..... 36

### BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

J. H. Green b Seaman 60 F. C. Jarchow b Black 1	J. A. P. Bartlett run out	
M. G. Saunders b Black 0 T. S. Levett b Black 4		
J. D. Ronald c Farnes b Seaman 8	A. R. M. Edwards	
R. N. Jones c and b Seaman 11	I. D. Macdonald did not bat.	

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared closed.

594 Public School Matches.	
A. Bartlett run out	Kin H. G. P. T.
MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL - CLEVALINON	D.
MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL v. GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, July 16.—Much the stronger team, Glenalmond was by 124 mass.	T. A.
distinction won by 124 tuns.	
Merchiston Castle School.   W. C. Connel b Stevenson   50   W. C. Peterkin c Ash b Stevenson   0   R. M. Connell run out   2   R. W. Connell run out   2   R. W. Ainsworth b Cruikshank   10   R. N. McKern c Macfie b   0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	G. E. J. J. H. G. G. A. P.
GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).	
H. N. Price c Peterkin b Ainsworth G. R. C. Wotherspoon c Morison b Peterkin Set K. R. Pearson b Connell S. M. C. Wotherspoon c Morison b Connell S. M. MacRosty c J. Connel b Connell S. M. MacRosty c J. Connel b Connell S. M. MacRosty c J. Connel b S. M. M. MacRosty c J. Connel	mat A. M. H.
- Allewords. 0	B
KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM V. DENSTONE COLLEGE.  Played at Birmingham, Tuesday, July 19.—Denstone won a close match by 25 runs.	R. R. D. R.
DENSTONE COLLEGE.	W.
J. G. Garman c Wyatt b Plowright 9 S. Wright c Bland b Dudley. 30 A. H. Lloyd c Wyatt b Plowright 18 P. F. B. Bluett c Plowright b 6 Sadler	C. I A. 0 T. 1 C. 0 W.
KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.	F. J. S. W. J. J. S. C. K. G. 6

101

### WEYMOUTH COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

Played at WEYMOUTH, Tuesday, July 19.—Possessing sounder batsmen, ing's won by 48 runs.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.					
H. D. Burrough c       Fennell       b         Norcock       21         G. M. Bennett lbw, b       Smithies       9         P. H. P. Weaver c and b       Updegraff       17         T. E. Smyth lbw, b       Updegraff       0         D. A. Halahan b       Updegraff       0         T. K. Daw b       Phillips       26         A. M. W       Levy       c       McCall       b         Updegraff       3	A. R. Woodforde run out. 14 K. Cottam c Ormiston b Phillips 6 N. J. Simmonds c Dodwell b Leonard 0 D. Bennett not out 1 Byes, etc. 119				
T. K. Daw b Phillips 26 A. M. W. Levy c McCall b	119				

Updegraff 3		
WEYMOUTH	COLLEGE.	
G. R. Ormiston b G. Bennett. 20  E. L. Updegraff b G. Bennett. 9  J. H. L. Phillips b Halahan 4  H. Dodwell b G. Bennett. 11  G. T. Barton e Woodforde b  Halahan 2  A. H. S. Henderson b G. Bennett 0  P. McCall b Halahan 14	P. L. Norcock b G. Bennett	3020

### BROMSGROVE SCHOOL v. CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST.

Played at Bromsgrove, Saturday, July 23.—Campbell College proved no atch for Bromsgrove, who won in an innings with 25 runs to spare. BROMSGROVE SCHOOL

#### W. U. Roberts c Dowling b Mossley ..... 20 J. Hallett c Dowling b Mossley 33 H. N. Mayson c Stewart b Dodd b Mossley ..... 14 E. J. Daubeny c J. S. Dodd b Dowling ..... 0

SCHOOL.	
T. M. Chadwick not out	0
D. Goodrick-Clarke c Mossley b Roome	
*1	_
D. H. Swayne did not bat.	

* Innings declared closed.
CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST.
C. M. Stewart lbw, b Swayne.  A. C. Smith c Hemingway b Swayne.  D. C. Smith c Hemingway b Swayne.  C. C. Smith c Hemingway b Swayne.  C. C. McCreight b Goodrick-Clarke.  D. C. McCreight b Goodrick-Clarke.  D. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. S. Dodd b Swayne.  D. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. S. Dodd b Swayne.  D. C. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. C. Swayne b Hollingworth.  D. Swayne b Hollingwor
G. G. Roome not out 0 — not out 2
Byes, etc
45 67

ORD's SUGITO 1881)	ogar al) are a somes ma 1st 2nd a	Result.
July 7, Highgate	M.C.C	Abandoned.
July 7, Byfleet {	M.C.C	Drawn. *Eight wkts. down.
July 7, Catford	M.C.C }	Abandoned.

# ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD's, Friday, Saturday, July 8, 9.—For the sixth year in succession the Schools' match was left drawn, Harrow, who just managed to escape defeat, being 27 runs on with a wicket to fall, when stumps were pulled up at a quarter past seven on Saturday evening. Dour to such an extent was the cricket, except for one partnership, that eleven hours and fifty minutes of actual play yielded no more than 477 runs. Eton obtained 225 of these in four hours and twenty minutes, Harrow, with much the worst of the wicket each day, taking seven hours and a half to score 252 runs. That freer methods, had they been adopted, would have met with a larger measure of success is more than probable, but it is only fair to state that the bowling—especially that of the two Ston left-handers, Ward and Whittaker—reached a high standard of excellence and was supported by smart and accurate ground fielding. Harrow had six old choices—Clover-Brown, W. O. B. Lindsay, Kome, Carlisle, Raphael, and Palmer, and Eton three—Whittaker, Ward and Capel-Cure.

Winning the toss, Whittaker sent Harrow in to bat. The course adopted by the Eton captain was justified as the play went but, with the pitch merely slow and the weather apparently unsettled, the advisability of it was certainly open to question. Indeed, had Harrow possessed batsmen with sufficient daring to try and knock Ward and Whittaker off their length, there might well have been a different tale to tell. So far from making any attempt in that direction Clover-Brown and W. O. B. Lindsay allowed themselves to be kept so entirely on the defensive that at the end of seventy minutes, when they both left, the score was only 24. Following upon the fall of the fourth wicket, Calthorpe hit up 31 out of 42 in forty minutes, but there was little else in the Harrow batting except the watchful cricket of Shuckburgh who withstood the Eton attack for nearly two hours. After lunch six wickets went down in seventy minutes for 38 runs, the last four falling after Shuckburgh's departure for only 6 runs. The innings proved a triumph for Whittaker and Ward who bowled with a measure of skill and steadiness that is rarely reached in a schools' match. In addition to showing such a pronounced lack of enterprise the Harrow boys ran badly between wickets.

Harbord and Packe began Eton's innings by putting on 26, making more runs in a quarter of an hour than Harrow's opening pair had obtained in seventy minutes. Still the third wicket went down at 50, Harrow, so far, holding their own despite the faster state of the ground. Greville batted in capital form for an hour and a half, yet when he left—fifth out at 107—Eton had not a great deal the best of the game. There followed, however, the one really bright spell of batting seen during the whole match, Capel-Cure and Sheepshanks playing so freely that they added 72 in three-quarters of an hour before the drawing of stumps. Capel-Cure drove hard and Sheepshanks made some capital strokes, Eton leaving of for Friday evening 40 runs ahead with five wickets in hand.

There had been more then 20,000 people present on Friday and even on Saturday, when rain fell so heavily during the morning that play proved impossible until one o'clock, another large company assembled, more than 30,000 paying for admission during the two days. The invaluable partnership of Capel-Cure and Sheepshanks soon came to an end, only four runs having been added when a brilliant one-handed catch at cover-point disposed of Sheepshanks who, batting for 55 minutes, had helped to put on 78 runs. Capel-Cure, ninth man out at 217, played the best innings of the match, withstanding the Harrow bowling for two

hours and a half. He drove hard, as well as scoring cleverly on the leg side, and had eight 4's in his 65. Harrow on Saturday got down five Eton wickets in an hour and a quarter for 46 runs.

Entering upon their second innings, Harrow found themselves 86 runs behind with rather more than four hours left for play. This was an anxious position to face on a drying, if not sticky, wicket against two such accurate and skilful bowlers as Ward and Whittaker. In the circumstances Harrow decided not to try and hit off the arrears and set Eton a task impossible of achievement in the time remaining, but to concentrate their energies upon stubborn defence in the hope of playing out time. They withstood the Eton attack for three hours and fifty minutes and in so doing, accomplished a performance of considerable merit. Clover-Brown being lame sent Calthorpe in with W. Lindsay, and although a smart catch soon disposed of Calthorpe, Lindsay and Shuckburgh stayed together for forty minutes. Still the third wicket fell at 43 and had a chance offered by Rome, when 2, been accepted, Eton would probably have won. As it was Rome and Carlisle, although adding only 16, resisted the Eton bowling for nearly an hour. Despite this stubborn work there were seventy minutes left when Harrow's seventh wicket went down at 79, and again the Dark Blues found themselves in sore trouble. Raphael came to the rescue with a sound piece of work at this anxious time, yet the issue hung in the balance when the ninth wicket fell at seven o'clock with Harrow only 18 runs on. Raphael and Robinson, however, proved equal to the situation and at a quarter past seven with 9 more runs added stumps were pulled up. Remarkable work was that of Ward and Whittaker who bowled for two hours on end, the latter, indeed, keeping himself on for half an hour longer. Whittaker in the course of the match sent down 84 overs for 98 runs and nine wickets. He showed great skill and perseverance but, possibly the finer piece of bowling was that of Ward, who, somewhat faster than his captain, delivered 84 overs and two balls for 61 runs and five wickets.

STATE OF STA	
1837 Eton won by eight wickets .WORARS Drawn	
Mr. W. O. B. Lindsay c and b Whittaker 9 — c Greville b Whittaker 20 Mr. C. Clover-Brown c Capel-Cure b	
Ward 14 — c and b Harbord 6 Mr. C. G. Shuckburgh c and b Whittaker 46 — c and b Ward 13 Mr. D. A. M. Rome c. Sheenshanks b	63
Harbord 4 — c Gosling b Capel-Cure 20	0
Cure 12 — b Harbord 6	6
Mr. R. H. A. G. Calthorpe b Whittaker 31 — c Capel-Cure b Whittaker	
Mr. T. A. S. O. Mathieson b Whittaker 4 — b Whittaker	
Mr. R. H. Palmer c Capel-Cure b Ward 2 — b Ward	3
B 5, 1-b 1, n-b 1 7 B 17, 1-b 3 20	
Harrow won by We rune 100 Harrow won by ten whiteter 1800 Harrow won by ten whiteter 1805 Harrow won by ten whiteter 1858 Harrow won by ten whiteter 1858 Harrow won by the same and runes 1809 Harrow won by the same and run	
1858 Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs. Total French wit lets	

Mr. W. E. Harbord b Palmer 18	Mr.
Mr. C. W. C. Packe c Rome b	b
Robinson 18	Mr.
Mr.M.de S.C. Ward c Palmer b Rome 8	Mr.
Mr. R.C. Greville c Mathieson b Rome 42	Mr.
Mr. A. G. Hazlerigg lbw, b Mathieson 7	SHU N
Mr. G. N. Capel-Cure lbw, b	881 1
Mathieson 65	BILLIE
Mr. E. R. Sheepshanks c M. J. Lind-	8393
b Raphael 30	Lame

			ETON	BOWLING				
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts
Ward	37.2	20	34	3	47	30	27	2
Whittaker	41	20	47	5	43	22	51	4
Harbord	6	2	5	1	5	4	1	2
Capel-Cure	10	2	32	1	4	2	8	1
Hubbard	2	0	14	0	4	2	6	0
		B	ARROW	BOWLIN	G.			
Palmer	21	3	56	2				
Raphael	22	7	66	1				
Robinson	14	3	33	1				
Rome	22	10	30	3				
Mathieson	14.4	6	22	3				
	Ump	pires: J.	H. Ki	ng and 1	H. Young			1400

#### RESULTS OF ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

1805         Eton won by an Inns. and 2 runs         1870         Eton won by 21 runs           1818         Harrow won by 18 runs         1870         Eton won by inns. and 77 runs           1822         Harrow won by inns. and 33 runs         1871         Eton won by six wickets           1825         Eton won by six wickets         1874         Eton won by five wickets           1827         Eton won by six wickets         1875         Drawn           1828         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by five wickets           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by five wickets           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1877         Drawn           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by five wickets           1832         Eton won by inns. and 156 runs         1879         Drawn           1833         Harrow won by 165 runs         1880         Harrow won by 112 runs           1836         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1881         1882         Drawn           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1884         Harrow won by 20 runs         1885         Harrow won by 165 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 135 runs         1890 <t< th=""></t<>
1818         Harrow won by 18 runs         1822         Harrow won by 87 runs         1872         Eton won by six wickets           1825         Eton won by seven wickets         1873         Harrow won by five wickets           1826         Eton won by seven wickets         1873         Harrow won by five wickets           1827         Eton won by six wickets         1875         1876         Eton won by five wickets           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by five wickets           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1877         Drawn           1833         Harrow won by 165 runs         1880         Harrow won by 20 runs           1836         Eton won by eight wickets         1880         Harrow won by 95 runs           1839         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1881         Harrow won by 95 runs           1841         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1880         Harrow won by 95 runs           1842         Harrow won by eight wickets         1880         Drawn           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1880         Harrow won by three wickets           1844         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1886         Harrow won by five wickets           1845         Eton won by inns. and 185 runs
1822
1823         Eton won by inns. and 33 runs         1873         Harrow won by five wickets           1826         Eton won by seven wickets         1874         Eton won by five wickets           1827         Eton won by six wickets         1875         Drawn           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by lnns. and 24 runs           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1877         Drawn           1833         Harrow won by eight wickets         1879         Drawn           1836         Harrow won by 165 runs         1880         Harrow won by 57 runs           1839         Eton won by eight wickets         1880         Harrow won by 112 runs           1839         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Harrow won by 65 runs         1885         Harrow won by 15 runs           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1882         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1885         Harrow won by 156 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1887         Harrow won by 156 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1886         Harrow won by 156 runs         1887         Harrow won by 156 runs         1887         Harrow won by 156 runs         1887
1824         Eton won by nine wickets         1874         Eton won by five wickets           1827         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by lnns. and 166 runs           1833         Harrow won by 187 runs         1878         1879         Drawn           1834         Harrow won by 165 runs         1880         Harrow won by 165 runs         1881         Harrow won by 165 runs         1881         Harrow won by 187 runs         1880         Harrow won by inns. and 30 runs         1881         Harrow won by 112 runs         1882         Drawn         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Drawn         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Drawn         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Drawn         1885         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1885         Harrow won by 112 runs         1885         Harrow won by 112 runs         1886         Harrow won by 112 runs         1887         Eton won by inx wickets         1887         Harrow won by 112 runs         1884         Harrow won by 112 runs         1885         Harrow won by 112 runs         1886         Harrow won by 112 runs         1886         Harrow won by 112 runs         1886
1825         Eton won by seven wickets         1875         Drawn           1828         Eton won by six wickets         1876         Eton won by inns. and 24 runs           1832         Eton won by six wickets         1877         Drawn           1833         Harrow won by eight wickets         1879         Drawn           1836         Harrow won by 165 runs         1880         Harrow won by 95 runs           1838         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1881         Harrow won by 112 runs           1839         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1885         Harrow won by 65 runs           1841         Eton won by inns. and 67 runs         1886         Harrow won by 166 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 67 runs         1886         Harrow won by 166 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 67 runs         1886         Harrow won by 166 runs           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Drawn           1849         Harrow won by 41 runs         1890         Drawn           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1851         Harrow won by 77 runs <td< td=""></td<>
1822
1828         Eton won by six wickets           1832         Eton won by inns. and 156 runs         1879         Drawn           1834         Harrow won by 18 runs         1879         Drawn           1836         Harrow won by 165 runs         1881         Harrow won by 95 runs           1837         Eton won by inns wickets         1881         Harrow won by 95 runs           1838         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by 31 runs         1884         Harrow won by 65 runs           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1886         Harrow won by 156 runs           1842         Harrow won by 57 runs         1887         Eton won by five wickets           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1887         Eton won by 156 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 50 runs           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Harrow won by 64 runs           1847         Eton won by inns. and 185 runs         1890         Harrow won by 64 runs           1848         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         <
1878
1833         Harrow won by eight wickets         1879         Drawn           1836         Harrow won by 15 runs         1880         Harrow won by 95 runs           1836         Harrow won by eight wickets         1881         Harrow won by 95 runs           1837         Eton won by eight wickets         1882         Drawn           1838         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         1885           1840         Eton won by 31 runs         1884         Harrow won by 15 runs           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1886         Harrow won by 55 runs           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1886         Harrow won by 156 runs           1843         Harrow won by 10ns. and 69 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1847         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Harrow won by 64 runs           1848         Harrow won by 67 runs         1893         Eton won by inne wickets           1851
1834         Harrow won by 16 runs         1880         Harrow won by 95 runs           1836         Harrow won by 165 runs         1881         Harrow won by 112 runs           1837         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1883         Drawn           1839         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by 1 runs         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1841         Eton won by 10 runs         1885         Harrow won by 156 runs           1842         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Drawn           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Drawn           1847         Eton won by 41 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1851         Harrow won by 71 runs         1894         Harrow won by 64 runs           1852         Harrow won by 91 runs         1890         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 91 runs         1899
1835         Eton won by 165 runs         1881         Harrow won by 112 runs           1836         Harrow won by eight wickets         1882         Drawn           1839         Eton won by eight wickets         1884         Drawn           1841         Eton won by 31 runs         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1842         Harrow won by 20 runs         1886         Eton won by ins. and 175 runs           1845         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1847         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Harrow won by 41 runs           1848         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Harrow won by 65 runs           1851         Harrow won by 71 runs         1894         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 97 runs         1894         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 98 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1899         Harrow won by one wickets           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         <
1836         Harrow won by nine wickets         1882         Drawn           1837         Eton won by eight wickets         1883         Drawn           1838         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by 31 runs         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1886         Eton won by five wickets           1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1887         Eton won by five wickets           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Drawn           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by 5 line wickets           1847         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1850         Bton won by even wickets         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 71 runs         1896         Harrow won by 17 runs           1853         Harrow won by 98 runs         1890         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 98 runs         18
1837         Eton won by eight wickets         1883         Drawn           1838         Eton won by eight wickets         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1886         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs           1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1889         Harrow won by 16 runs           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Drawn           1847         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1890         Harrow won by seven wickets           1848         Harrow won by 41 runs         1890         Harrow won by 64 runs           1855         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 57 runs         1895         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 58 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 98 runs         1899         Drawn           1856         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1899         Harrow won by one wickets           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900
1838         Eton won by inns. and 30 runs         1884         Drawn           1840         Eton won by eight wickets         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1886         Eton won by five wickets           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1887         Eton won by five wickets           1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1888         Harrow won by 150 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by nine wickets           1847         Eton won by inns. and 185 runs         1891         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1850         Eton won by even wickets           1851         Harrow won by 71 runs         1896         Harrow won by 97 runs           1852         Harrow won by 97 runs         1899         Drawn           1885         Harrow won by 98 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow won by one wickets           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1901         Harrow won by inns
1839         Eton won by eight wickets         1885         Harrow won by three wickets           1841         Eton won by 31 runs         1886         Eton won by six wickets           1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1886         Eton won by itw wickets           1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1889         Drawn           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1890         Drawn           1847         Eton won by inns. and 185 runs         1892         Harrow won by seven wickets           1848         Harrow won by 41 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1850         Eton won by 71 runs         1896         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 59 runs         1890         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 59 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 95 runs         1890         Harrow won by one wickets           1854         Harrow won by 64 runs         1890         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 64 runs         1890         Harrow won by inne wickets
1840         Eton won by 31 runs         1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1882         Eton won by 65 runs         1887         1888         Harrow won by 20 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs         1880         Harrow won by 162 runs         1890         Harrow won by 64 runs         1890         Drawn         1895         Drawn         1896         Drawn         1896         Drawn         1898         Drawn         1899         Drawn         1899         Drawn         1899         Drawn         1899         Harrow won by one wickets         1899         Drawn         1899         Harrow won by one wic
1841         Eton won by inns. and 175 runs         1887         Eton won by five wickets           1843         Harrow won by 65 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs           1844         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by 156 runs           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1889         Harrow won by nine wickets           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by 64 runs           1847         Eton won by 77 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1850         Eton won by seven wickets         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 67 runs         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 77 runs         1896         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 57 runs         1896         Drawn           1885         Harrow won by 57 runs         1896         Drawn           1897         Drawn         1897         Drawn           1890         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1899         Harrow won by one wickets           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow won by inne wickets           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow
1842         Harrow won by 65 runs         1888         Harrow won by 156 runs           1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by ine wickets           1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1890         Drawn           1845         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by seven wickets           1848         Harrow won by 41 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 17 runs         1895         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 57 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 17 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by 58 runs         1890         Drawn           1895         Drawn         1895         Drawn           1890         Drawn         1895         Drawn           1895         Drawn         1897         Drawn           1896         Drawn         1897         Drawn           1897         Harrow won by nine wickets         1899           1890         Harrow won by nine wickets         1899           1890         Harrow wo
1843         Harrow won by 20 runs         1889         Harrow won by inne wickets           1845         Eton won by inns, and 69 runs         1890         Drawn           1846         Eton won by inns, and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by seven wickets           1847         Eton won by on by 77 runs         1893         Eton won by 64 runs           1850         Eton won by seven wickets         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by eight wickets         1896         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 71 runs         1896         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 97 runs         1890         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 98 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 98 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow won by one wickets           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1901         Harrow won by ten wickets           1859         Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs           1860         Drawn         1904         Harrow won by inns. and 12 runs
1844         Eton won by inns. and 69 runs         1890         Drawn           1846         Eton won by inns. and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by seven wickets           1847         Eton won by inns. and 185 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1848         Harrow won by 41 runs         1894         Harrow won by 189           1850         Eton won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by eight wickets         1890         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 71 runs         1896         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 51 runs         1899         Drawn           1895         Harrow won by 64 runs         1890         Drawn           1890         Drawn         1890         Drawn           1891         Harrow won by inne wickets         1890         Drawn           1892         Harrow won by inne wickets         1890         Drawn           1893         Harrow won by inne wickets         1890         Harrow won by inne wickets           1894         Harrow won by inne wickets         1899         Harrow won by inne wickets           1895         Harrow won by inne wickets         1900         Harrow won by inne wickets
1845         Eton won by inns, and 174 runs         1891         Harrow won by seven wickets           1847         Eton won by inne wickets         1884         Harrow won by 41 runs         1892         Harrow won by 64 runs           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1890         Drawn           1850         Eton won by seven wickets         1890         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by 64 runs         1896         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 71 runs         1896         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 95 runs         1898         Drawn           1854         Harrow won by 95 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1901         Harrow won by one wickets           1856         Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs           1860         Drawn         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs           1860         Drawn         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs           1896         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs         1904         Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1846 Eton won by inns. and 185 runs 1847 Eton won by nine wickets 1848 Harrow won by 41 runs 1849 Harrow won by 77 runs 1850 Eton won by seven wickets 1851 Harrow won by eight wickets 1852 Harrow won by 71 runs 1853 Harrow won by 98 runs 1854 Harrow won by 98 runs 1855 Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs 1856 Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs 1860 Drawn 1890 Drawn 1900 Harrow won by one wicket 1901 Harrow won by one wicket 1902 Harrow won by ten wickets 1903 Eton won by inns. and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1847         Eton won by nine wickets         1848         Eton won by nine wickets           1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1894         Drawn           1850         Eton won by seven wickets         1895         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by eight wickets         1890         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 71 runs         1898         Harrow won by nine wickets           1853         Harrow won by trues         1890         Drawn           1854         Harrow won by 9 runs         1890         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow won by one wickets           1855         Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs         1901         Harrow won by ten wickets           1859         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs           1850         Drawn         1860         Drawn         1901         Harrow won by inns. and 154 runs
1848         Harrow won by 41 runs         1849         Drawn           1850         Eton won by seven wickets         1851         Harrow won by eight wickets         1895         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 71 runs         1898         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 93 runs         1898         Harrow won by nins.         1899         Drawn           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1900         Harrow won by one wicket           1858         Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs         1901         Harrow won by eight wickets           1859         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs         1902         Harrow won by eight wickets           1859         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs           1850         Drawn         1901         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs           1860         Drawn         1902         Harrow won by inns. and 15 runs           1860         Drawn         1904         Eton won by inns. and 15 runs
1849         Harrow won by 77 runs         1895         Drawn           1851         Harrow won by eight wickets         1896         Drawn           1852         Harrow won by 71 runs         1897         Drawn           1853         Harrow won by 51 runs         1899         Harrow won by ine wickets           1855         Harrow won by 91 runs         1890         Harrow won by one wicket           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1901         Harrow won by ten wickets           1860         Drawn         1890         Harrow won by one wicket           1902         Harrow won by eight wickets           1903         Eton won by inns. and 154 runs           1904         Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1850     Bton won by seven wickets     1896     Drawn       1851     Harrow won by eight wickets     1897     Drawn       1852     Harrow won by 71 runs     1898     Harrow won by hrne wickets       1854     Harrow won by 98 runs     1890     Drawn       1855     Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs     1900     Harrow won by one wicket       1858     Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs     1901     Harrow won by eight wickets       1859     Drawn       1850     Drawn       1898     Drawn       1890     Harrow won by one wicket       1901     Harrow won by eight wickets       1890     Harrow won by eight wickets       1891     Harrow won by eight wickets       1898     Drawn       1899     Harrow won by one wicket       1890     Harrow won by one wicket       1891     Harrow won by eight wickets       1892     Eton won by inns. and 154 runs       1893     Harrow won by eight wickets       1894     Eton won by inns. and 154 runs       1898     Harrow won by eight wickets       1899     Harrow won by eight wickets       1890     Harrow won by eight wickets       1890     Harrow won by eight wickets       1890     Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1851     Harrow won by eight wickets     1897     Drawn       1852     Harrow won by 71 runs     1898     Harrow won by inne wickets       1853     Harrow won by by 185     1899     Drawn       1855     Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs     1901     Harrow won by ten wickets       1858     Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs     1902     Harrow won by eight wickets       1860     Drawn       1901     Harrow won by ten wickets       1902     Harrow won by eight wickets       1903     Eton won by inns. and 154 runs       1904     Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1853         Harrow won by three wickets         1890         Drawn           1854         Harrow won by 98 runs         1900         Harrow won by one wicket           1855         Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs         1901         Harrow won by ten wickets           1859         Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs         1902         Harrow won by eight wickets           1860         Drawn         1903         Eton won by inns. and 154 runs           1904         Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1853Harrow won by three wickets1899Drawn1854Harrow won by 98 runs1900Harrow won by one wicket1855Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs1901Harrow won by ten wickets1858Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs1902Harrow won by eight wickets1860Drawn1903Eton won by inns. and 154 runs1904Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1855 Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs 1858 Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs 1859 Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs 1860 Drawn 1901 Harrow won by eight wickets 1903 Eton won by inns. and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1858Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs1902Harrow won by eight wickets1860Drawn1903Eton won by inns. and 154 runs1904Eton won by inns. and 154 runs19051906Eton won by inns. and 152 runs
1859 Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs 1860 Drawn 1903 Eton won by inns. and 154 runs 1904 Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1860 Drawn 1904 Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1861 Drawn 1905 Drawn
1862 Eton won by 54 runs 1906 Eton won by four wickets
1863 Drawn 1907 Harrow won by 79 runs
1864 Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs   1908 Harrow won by ten wickets
1865 Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs   1909 Drawn
1866 Harrow won by inns. and 136   1910 Eton won by nine runs
1867 Drawn [runs 1911 Eton won by three wickets
1868 Harrow won by seven wickets 1912 Eton won by six wickets
1869 Eton won by inns. and 19 runs   1913 Eton won by nine wickets

1914 1915	Eton won by four wickets to 1918. No regular match during the war	1923 1924	Drawn; no play on first day Drawn Drawn
1919	Eton won by 202 runs		Drawn
1920	Eton won by nine wickets		Drawn
1021	Eton won by seven wickets	1927	Drawn

Of the 98 matches played, Eton have won 39, Harrow 35, and 24 have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-four three-figure innings have now been played in matches between

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie.

M. C. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match

\* Signifies not out.

1st 2nd Result. inns. inns. Drawn. 127\* --M.C.C. ..... July 9, BRADFIELD COLLEGE 212 -\*Six wkts. down. Bradfield .... M.C.C. ..... 169 — July 9, Won by 90 runs. NORTHWOOD...... 79 — Northwood ... Drawn. M.C.C. ..... 174\* — \*Eight wkts. dn. Deld. July 9. BUSHEY ..... 117† — Bushey ..... †Six wkts. down. Drawn. July 11, \*Two wkts. down. EPSOM COLLEGE .... Epsom ..... Drawn. July 11, \*Five wkts. down. EMANUEL SCHOOL ... Wandsworth . . 1 232 -ROYAL ARTILLERY . July 11, 12, ( Drawn. ROYAL ENGINEERS . 265 Lord's ..... M.C.C. ..... 161\* — Drawn. July 11, 12 - ( \*Six wkts. down. ALDERSHOT COMMAND - -Aldershot ....

#### CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

July 25, 26 .- Beginning Tuesday, Played at LORD'S, Monday, so disastrously that in twenty-five minutes their first five wickets went down for 8 runs, Clifton nevertheless, snatched a remarkable victory over Tonbridge by eleven runs. Tilly and Owen, hitting freely, retrieved the early failures somewhat, yet Tonbridge reached their opponent's total with only four men out. Baiss, apart from one difficult chance, played faultless cricket, and driving hard, hit ten 4's but the last six Tonbridge wickets fell for 22 runs. Going in again Clifton lost two batsmen before they had cleared off the arrears and left off on Monday evening no more than 9 runs ahead with four wickets down. Cornes and Watt, however, hit up 91 in fifty minutes and Habibullah, although handicapped by a cut over the eye, helped Crawford to add 58 for the last wicket. In the end Tonbridge were set 201 to make to win. Baiss gave another fine display, staying for an hour and forty minutes and making 58 out of 69 while Armour withstood the Clifton attack for nearly three hours. For a time Tonbridge looked like winning comfortably, but again the batting broke down badly and although the total reached 189 with eight men out the two remaining wickets fell at that figure. Tonbridge indeed, lost their last five wickets for 47 runs.

#### CLIFTON. Mr. A. B. Habibullah b Smith..... 2 — not out ..... 6 - c Dods b Davies ..... 0 — b Rutter ..... 0 — lbw. b Rutter ..... Mr. R. M. Kettlewell b Tucker..... Mr. R. W. Owen lbw, b Baiss. 32 — c Tucker b Rutter Mr. C. A. Watt lbw, b Smith. 6 — c Lewes b Rutter Mr. G. E. Peck not out. 20 — c Astill b Davies. Mr. W. F. Clarke b Crawford 13 — c Asim b Davies. Mr. U. K. Crawford b Crawford 21 — b Smith b Baiss L-b 1, w 1, n-b 3..... 5 B 26, l-b 4, n-b 1..... 222 149 TONBRIDGE. Mr. J. G. W. Davies c Kettlewell b Nattle 19 - c Clarke b Nattle ...... Mr. T. A. Crawford b Peck...... 28 — b Clarke ..... Mr. H. W. Dods lbw, b Tilly...... 35 — c Cornes b Crawford ..... Mr. G. A. Hill c Tilly b Peck..... 4 — b Crawford Mr. C. W. Astell b Kettlewell. 8 - c Nattle b Kettlewell. Mr. M. W. Smith b Kettlewell. 0 - b Clarke Mr. E. H. Rutter b Nattle. 2 - c Cornes b Kettlewell Mr. E. J. Tucker c Cornes b Nattle. 0 - b Clarke Mr. L. H. Lewis not out. 2 - not out 3 8 0 B 1, w 1..... B 6, w 1..... 189 171

#### TONBRIDGE BOWLING.

0	vers Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	5.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Smith	12 6	20	4		16	1	57	1
Tucker	10 1	38	3		9	1	22	0
Rutter	7 0	35	0		19	7	41	4
	9 0	23	1		0	2	21	1
Baiss	9 0	14	ñ		0	ī	24	2
Davies	91 1	14	2		0	ī	23	1
Crawford	3.1 1	Dods			2	î	3	Ō

#### CLIFTON BOWLING.

Clarke	. 12	3	31	TabaoM.	18	ols da	36 3	
Peck	. 14	6	24	2	9	6	11 0	
Tilly	. 15	2	49	Owen thit	20	T8	47 2	(d
Nattle	. 11	5	22	3	13	6	31 1	
Crawford	. FAU3 ES	0	ol al 10 olw	Tooling of	16	6 d due	39 2	id
Kettlewell	. 10.5	4	22	3	10	3	23 2	M
Watt	2	1	6	noin yill	al IO qu			

Umpires: W. Smith and H. R. Murrell.

#### RESULTS OF CLIFTON AND TONBRIDGE MATCHES.

etestaiw gailiteinor	Covd and the man the	the daily	081 ho	although the total reach
1900 Drawn	st their lost five wicks	1921	Tonbri	dge won by 141 runs
1901-14 No Mate	ches	1922		won by inns. and 13
1915 Drawn	.nor		runs	
	tuo fon S	1923	Drawn	Mr. A. B. Habibullah b
1917 Drawn	6 - c Dods b Day	1924	Aband	oned—rain
1918 No Match	0 - b Rabber	1925	Drawn	
1919 Tonbridge	won by nine wickets	1926	Drawn	Mr. R. M. Ketplowell b
	won by nine wickets			won by 11 runs
Played at Lo	rd's from 1919.			Mr. A. M. Tilly b Tuck
				Mr. C. A. Watt ibw. b St
0 2000	78C d Misk o ho	F 10 0 0 0 10 10 0		Mr. G. E. Foole not our
	s B 26, l-b 4,			
		inns.	inns.	Result.
Tuly 26	MCC	244*	_ )	Won by 88 runs.
East Molesey	EAST MOLESEY	. 156	- 1	Won by 88 runs. *Seven wkts. down.
	7 - 1 - VIII 0 - 00	Howel	ateal d	Mr. J. A. Baiss e Burton Mr. A. L. Armour e Nave
St alle	all disserses of the state of t			Mr. L. L. Armour e Nast Mr. J. G. W. Davies e Ket
To a second	ar arability of an 80	010	140 Vin	of a decrease of the state of the state of
July 26, 27,	M.C.C.	212	149	LOST DY HVE WKIS.
Guernsey	GUERNSEY	. 323	99.)	Five wkes. down.
		1		Lost by five wkts. *Five wkts. down.
	CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	1 - 7 1 1 1 1 1	DVENIEND.	
				Mr. R. H. Rutter b N.
July 27,	M.C.C	. 167	mix d to	Lost by 59 runs.
Camberley	STAFF COLLEGE	. 226		Dose by go Tuns.
08t	171			
July 27,	M.C.COMITWOSE 2	126*		Drawn.
East Grinstead	EAST GRINSTEAD	254+	_antill	*Eight wkts. down.
1 16 1	31	08	0 ) -	Six wkts. dn. Deld.
0 88 1			1	Tucker 10
		- 66	-4-	Kntter 7
July 27, 28,	M.C.C		261 \	L8 Drawn brolward

Lymington ... LYMINGTON ..... 202 -

#### BEHOVAM HORUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH. NO STAUSER

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 27, 28.—Not only did wet weather interfere considerably with the progress of the Rugby and Mariborough match on Wednesday but on Thursday rain set in so heavily shortly after three o'clock that about an hour later the game was abandoned. On the opening day only twenty minutes cricket proved practicable before lunch and rain extended the interval by an hour. Mariborough began quite well, the opening pair, even if their batting had an element of uncertainty about it, raising the score to 40, and the second wicket, with Neilson putting plenty of power into his strokes, increasing the total to 93 in three-quarters of an hour. Later on Paul, showing the best cricket of the day, hit up 28 out of 44 for the fifth wicket. Following Paul's departure at 156, Pearson, a right-handed medium pace bowler, proved so deadly that in the course of 19 balls he dismissed four batsmen, only one run being scored off him meanwhile. In this way nine wickets were down for 178 at the drawing of stumps. Next day when play was not possible until noon. Neilson declared at the overnight total. Monkhouse and Pearson, beginning Rugby's innings on an easy lifeless pitch, hit so freely that they raised the score to 68 in just over an hour before Pearson, who had followed up his bowling success with a capital display of batting, ran himself out. Monkhouse stayed until the total reached 108 and just afterwards came heavy rain and the end of the match.

MARIPO	ROUGH. W. WIL yd now ydraft dasf
MARLBO	ROUGH.
Mr. R. K. Page c Sallitt b Blunt 11	Mr. C. J. Fletcher b Pearson 0
Mr. R. D. Armstrong b Dawson. 46	Mr. T. A. D. Hewan not out 11
Mr. R. H. Neilson c and b Dawson 29	Mr. R. J. Marley c Sallitt b Hunt 6
Mr. M. J. Morton c Williams b	B 14, I-b 2
Pearson	TALL MARINESS AND MOR OF BRIDGE SEEDS.
Mr. J. F. Anderson c Williams b	*178 *178
Pearson	Mr. J. C. Pank did not bat.
Mr. J. S. M. Paul c Hunt b Pearson 28	has sharin on As son Annue eror
3	
* Innings decl	ared closed, d now disposed at 1781 BY. a spring us yd now ydrust 8781
1915 Wighy won by plac vickets	1877 Marlborough won by Tel runs
emer delais ed new edgeth   81 Rug	1873 Runby won by an inning an YE
Mr. J. M. Monkhouse c Page D	Mr. G. V. F. Dawson
Armstrong	Mr. L. E. C. Davies
Mr. J. E. G. Pearson run out 40	Mr. P. H. Williams
Mr. R. E. Holford not out 23	Mr. G. W. Sallitt did not bat.
Mr. L. H. A. Hankey not out 0	Mr. E. W. F. de V. Hunt
B 8, w 1 9	Mr. C. P. M. Hunting
list Mariborough won by ten with	Mr. A. G. Blunt
1101 Marchoreum was to tell with	last Mariborough won by sight witte.
station south we now well Rugsy B	OWITNG gward 5881
Overs	Mana Dung Wilste
	6 25 2 2 10 10 disable 1881
Dawson	12 47 5
	그 사람이 무슨데 하는 사람들은 사람들이 살아내려면 살아서 아니는 아니는 것이 없는데 얼마나 없었다.
Blunt	
Hunt 4.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Monkhouse 4	0 11 0
Cumito trittiti	4 21 0
Davies 9	4 21 0
MARLBOROUG	H BOWLING.
Pank 15	7 95 0
Morton 18	10 10
Neilson 5	112 0 2 day 17 land 0 1 co motor tank
Marley 7	2 14 0
Hewan 6	0 18 0
Armstrong 7	0 1
BIRW XIE VO THUTTO TO THE WORLD	
Umpires: W. A. J. We	st and J. Hardstaff.

#### RESULTS OF RUGBY AND MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1888	Abandoned. No play.
	Lord's	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
	Lord's	1891	Drawn
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
	83 runs, at Oval		and 78 runs
1860	Rugby won by an innings and	1893	Drawn
	50 runs, at Lord's	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
1862	Marlborough won by an innings		82 runs
	and 17 runs, at Lord's	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
1864	Rugby won by an innings and	1000	35 runs
7 3 1	83 runs, at Islington	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1898	Drawn
	Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
1001	Oval	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1903	Drawn
-000	133 runs, at Rugby	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1905	Drawn
1000	Lord's	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
10.0	Marlborough	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1010	runs
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts
1010	35 runs	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
10.0	24 runs	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1918	Marlborough won by an innings
1880	Rugby won by an innings and	1910	and 94 runs
1000	120 runs	1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1920	Drawn
1882	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1921	Drawn
1883	Drawn	1922	
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.  Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1885	Drawn	1924	Rugby won by three wickets
1886	Rugby won by 37 runs	1924	Drawn
1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs	1926	
1001	maribolough won by 217 runs	1926	Rugby won by 112 runs
			Drawn
Of the	70 matches Rugby have won 35 a	nd Marl	borough 22. Twelve have been

Of the 70 matches Rugby have won 35 and Marlborough 22. Twelve have been unfluished and one abandoned

July 28, East Acton •• {	M.C.C. SHEPHERD'S BUSH	1st 2nd inns. inns. — }	Result. Drawn. *Four wkts. down.
July 28, Witham	M.C.C. WITHAM	237* — }	Won by six wkts. *Four wkts. down.

July 28, 29, Jersey	M.C.C	1st 2nd inns. inns. 193 136* 194† —	Result. Drawn. *Seven wkts. down. †Eight wkts. dn. Dcld.
July 29,	M.C.C OLD JOHNIANS	143* —	Won by three wkts.
Leatherhead . {		141 —	*Seven wkts. down.

#### CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 29, 30.— Batting very brightly and bowling with considerable skill, Halleybury won by an innings and three runs and in so doing, gained their first victory over Cheltenham since the year 1913. They had four wickets down for 75 and yet in little more than three hours put together a score of 278. This capital performance was largely the work of Stewart and Sumner who hit up 116 for the fifth wicket in seventy minutes. Stewart, making his 76 in 95 minutes, hit to leg and cut particularly well. Sumner was also seen to marked advantage and Wennink, investing his efforts with much power, added, in company with Stanley, 53 in half an hour. Wellings, bowling unchanged, took seven wickets for 113 runs and followed up that performance by carrying his bat right through the Cheltenham innings. Unfortunately his colleagues failed him so badly that he had to exercise extreme care and although withstanding the Halleybury attack for two hours and three quarters he scored no more than 44. Following on next morning, 158 behind, Cheltenham for a time played an upfill gane to fine purpose, Wellings, Lovegrove, and Kempster doing so well that 108 of the arrears were cleared off for the loss of three wickets. Then came such a startling collapse that, with only ten more runs on the board, there were eight men out. Furness and Ekin, adding 37 made a brave atte, pt to save the innings defeat, but just failed in their endeavour. In the two innings of Cheltenham Whitcombe, Wennink and Heywood all bowled to useful purpose.

LIAILE	il Bull.
Mr. F. M. Heywood c Lovegrove b	Mr. B. G. Wennink st Martin b Henderson
Wellings	Mr. G. R. Whitcombe b Henderson 0
Mr. P. G. Barber b Wellings 30	
Mr. F. I. W. Stewart c and b	Mr. T. H. Hardy e Graham-Smith
Wellings 76	b Wellings 10
Mr. L. P. Neame b Wellings 3	Mr. J. A. H. Wolff not out 0
Mr. E. N. Evans b Wellings 0	B 12, 1-b 5 17
Mr. W. A. R. Sumner c and b	
	278
Wellings	210
Mr. E. J. Stanley b Henderson 27	
CHELTE	NHAM.
	44 — b Barber 34
Mr. J. M. Bryce-Smith b Heywood	3 — b Heywood 1
Mr. R. J. Woollatt c and b Heywood	8 — c Sumner b Wennink 5
Mr. E. W. Lovegrove c and b Whitcombe	0 — c Wennink b Whitcombe 36
	22 — b Wennink 23
Mr. R. A. Graham-Smith c Barber b	
Evans	6 — lbw, b Wennink 0
Mr. N. S. Henderson c Stewart b	
Heywood	3 — c Barber b Wennink 1
Mr. T. Martin st Wolff b Barber	20 - c Heywood b Whitcombe 6
	7 — lbw, b Heywood 22
Mr. J. Furness lbw, b Whitcombe	
Mr. R. H. Taite b Wennink	1 — c Wolff b Whitcombe 0
Mr. S. M. Ekin c Stanley b Wennink	0 — not out
B 4 l-b 1 ,w 1	6 B 13, l-b 1, w 1 15
	20 155

#### CHELTENHAM BOWLING.

M.C.C. Matches.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs I	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Henderson	. 24.2	• 5	80	3				
Wellings	. 36	4	113	7	ymanak			
Taite	. 13	1	40	0				
Furness	. 4	0	15	0				
Ekin	. 3	0	13	0				
HAILEYBURY BOWLING.								
Heywood	. 14	6	26	3	16.5	5	37	2
Whitcombe	. 7/	HUIY!	21	2	18	5	35	3
Evans	. 11	3	24	2	9	- 3	14	. 0
Wennink	. 11.4	2	24	2	17	6	38	4
Hardy	. 4	0	9	0				
Barber	. 3	0	10	and I was	6	2	16	1
there was largely	Ump	ires : W	. A. J.			mest de		

# DESCRIPTION OF CHELDENILAM AND HALLEVOURY MARCHES

RESULTS OF CHELTENHAM .	AND HAILEYBURY MATCHES.
1893 Drawn bala sand all nol at slore of	1908 Drawn adamy vallwood available
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	1909 Drawn
1895 Drawn	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings
1896 Drawn	and 3 runs
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets
1898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1899 Haileybury won by an innings	1913 Haileybury won by an innings
and 45 runs	and 217 runs
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1914 Drawn
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1920 Drawn
1903 Cheltenhain won by 49 runs	1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and	1922 Drawn
41 runs	1925 Drawn
1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1924 Cheltenham wen by eight wkts.
1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1925 Drawn
1907 Cheltenham won by an innings	1926 Drawn
and 51 runs	1927 Haileybury won by an innings
	and 3 runs

#### † Played at the Crystal Palace.

July 29, 30, Milford-on-Sea	M.C.C. NEWLANDS		Result. Drawn. *Nine wkts. dn. Dcld. †Four wkts. down.
July 30, High Wycombe .	M.C.C. HIGH WYCOMBE	159 —	Drawn. *Eight wkts. down.
August 1, (Blackheath	M.C.C		Abandoned.
August 1, {	M.C.C. SLOUGH		Abandoned.

#### LORD'S SCHOOLS V. THE REST.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, August 1, 2,- Unfortunately for this representative Schools' match rain fell almost continuously on Bank Holiday and the contest, restricted in this way to one day, naturally ended in a draw. Still, the game provided the Lord's Schools with something approaching a triumph, that side being 136 runs ahead and having two wickets to fall when stumps were pulled up. Startling success attended the bowling of Heywood at the beginning of the day's cricket, the Haileyburian actually taking four wickets for six runs and the total being only 21 when The Rest's fifth wicket went down. There followed a plucky attempt on the part of Human and Skene to retrieve this most disastrous start and, together for fifty minutes, the two boys hit up 79 runs. Curiously enough during this partnership Heywood was not given a second turn with the ball. Ward of Eton broke up the stand at 100. and finished off the innings, the last four wickets going down for 16 runs. The Lords Schools soon lost Baiss, but steady batting by Stewart and Wellings placed the side in a good position and later on Stewart and Lindsay added 62 for the fourth wicket. Stewart played sound cricket for two hours, making most of his runs by drives and strokes on the leg-side. Exercising much care for a long time, Lindsay took two hours to reach 50, but afterwards batted with refreshing freedom and in the last over of the match, when he had been at the wickets three hours and twenty minutes, completed a splendid hundred.

#### THE REST.

Mr. P. G. T. Kingsley (Winchester)		Mr. R. W. Skene (Sedbergh) not	
c Wellings b Heywood	b	out	51
Mr. I. D. K. Fleming (Winchester)		Mr. C. A. B. McVittie (Bedford) c	
c Wellings b Heywood	5	Lindsay b Ward	
Mr. D. N. Moore (Shrewsbury)		Mr. G. M. Hay (Highgate) b Ward	0
c Stewart b Heywood	4	Mr. I. W. Elliott (Wrekin) b Ward	0
Mr. R. Eglington (Sherborne) b		Mr. A. M. Tew (Winchester) run	
Heywood	4	out	0
Mr. G. C. A. Adams (Radley)		L-b 1, w 1	2
c Ward b Whittaker	2		
Mr. R. H. C. Human (Repton) c			116
Stewart b Ward	39		

#### LORD'S SCHOOLS

Mr. E. M. Wellings (Cheltenham)	Mr
c McVittle b Human 23	5
Mr. J. A. Baiss (Tonbridge) b Tew 1	Mr.
Mr. F. I. W. Stewart (Haileybury)	C
b Skene	Mr
Mr. F. M. Heywood (Haileybury)	r
b Human	1 37-12
Mr. J. M. Monkhouse (Rugby) c Skene b Tew. 19	Mr
Mr. W. F. H. Kempster (Chelten-	C
ham) b Hay 0	8 25

HOOLS.	
Mr. G. N. Capel-Cure (Eton) c Skene b Human Mr. M. de S. C. Ward (Eton) run out Mr. R. C. C. Whittaker (Eton) not out B 8, l-b 1, n-b 6.	14
Mr. E. J. G. Tucker (Tonbridge)	252

33

M. C. C. Matches.	M.	C.C.	Matches.
-------------------	----	------	----------

5 17

#### LORD'S SCHOOLS BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Heywood	8	5	6	4
Whittaker	13.4	4	29	1
Ward	19	7	36	4
Wellings	4	1	16	0
Tucker	10	4	27	0
TE	IE REST	BOWLING	¥.	
Tew	10	1	40	0

Tew	18	4	42	2
Skene	22	8	45	1
Elliott	12	3	39	0
Hay	21	6	43	1
Human	16	4	57	3
Moore	1	0	11	0

Umpires: W. A. J. West and A. J. Atfield.

		1st 2nd inns. inns.	Result.
August 2, Crouch End . {	M.C.C NORTH LONDON	$\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 200 & - \\ 115 & - \end{array} \}$	Won by 85 runs.
August 3, Bishop's Stortford	M.C.C. BISHOP'S STORTFORD	195* — }	Drawn. *Five wkts. down.

#### ARMY v. PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Played at LORD'S, Wednesday, Thursday, August 3, 4.— Although batting in very uneven fashion the Schools were able to declare with eight wickets down on the second afternoon and, if unable to force a win, they gave a much better account of themselves than the boys pitted against the Army in the corresponding match of the previous summer. Honours on the opening day fell to Fleming of Winchester, who withstood the Army attack for three hours and forty minutes and, when eighth out at 247, had put together an admirable innings of 110 which, free from serious fault until it amounted to 99, included a 6 and thirteen 4's. This was a thoroughly workmanlike piece of cricket, marked by great caution for more than two hours and afterwards characterised by considerable freedom. Going in against a total of 261, the Army lost three wickets for 49, but Bevan and Tudor retrieved the position and at the close of the day. the Army had got to within 115 of their opponents and had five wickets in hand. Next morning Ward and Heywood finished off the Army innings for the addition of 69 runs of which 36 were obtained for the last wicket. Left with a lead of 46, the Schools at their second attempt, came to grief so badly against the bowling of Gore and Miles that, despite the efforts of Fleming, five wickets fell for 46 runs. Skene, however, came to the rescue, playing with much skill for more than two hours and being still unbeaten with 78 to his credit when the Schools declared. Set 259 to make in less than two hours, the Army, of course, did not attempt the task. They lost four wickets for 86, but had no difficulty in averting defeat.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS,
Mr. I. D. K. Fleming (Winchester)
Mr. P. G. T. Kingsley (Winchester)
run out
b Arnold 10 — lbw, b Gore 0
Mr. J. A. Baiss (Tonbridge)
Mr. r. 1. W. Stewart (Haileybury)
c Stanyforth b Joy 9 — b Gore 9  Mr. W. O'B. Lindsay (Harrow)
Mr. W. O'B. Lindsay (Harrow) lbw, b Gore
c Bevan b Joy 13 — not out 78
Mr. R. H. C. Human (Repton)
b Arnold
lbw, b Tremlett
not out 8 — not out 21
Mr. R. C. C. Whittaker (Eton) c Tudor b Tremlett 2
B 19, l-b 2, n-b 11 32 B 25, l-b 4, n-b 1 30
261 *212
* Innings declared closed.
THE ARMY.
Cont 1 C William Tt 1 1 77
Capt. E. S. B. Williams b Ward 16 b Ward 19
Capt. G. C. Thorne b Heywood 3 — c Baiss b Wellings 29
Lieut. T. Bevan b Whittåker
Capt. H. P. Miles b Ward
Capt. R. T. Stanyforth b Ward 22 Capt. R. C. G. Joy b Heywood 3
Capt. E. A. E. Tremlett b Heywood 0
Lieut. A. C. Gore not out 26
Lieut. F. G. Arnold b Ward
215
THE ARMY BOWLING.
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.
Miles 9 1 47 0 18 3 51 3
Joy 17 3 42 2 7 2 20 1
Arnold 24 8 37 4 12 2 40 0
PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.
PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.  Heywood 20 3 70 4 11 2 29 1
PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.

Baiss ....

Umpires: W. A. J. West and A. J. Atfield.

Skene .....

# AVERAGES.

The name of the Captain is marked thus †

			narked *				Maria Na		
ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.									
	Innings		Runs		ighest in			g	Average
J. Blackburn			68		51*				22.66
R. P. Stoner	16		249		56			0	15.56
†K. C. Tours	9		138		39		0		15.33
A. H. B. Gross	6		61		40		2	1	15.25
H. J. L. Wright	17		179		34*		5		14.91
C. R. Walmsley	17		220		57		2		14.66
B. A. S. Soole			159		42*	10			13.25
G. P. Longfield			203		37				
R. A. Bird			130		37		1		10.83
C. M. Jackson	13		132		45		.0	• •	10.20
AL	DENH	AM	SCHO	OL	BOWI	INC	7.		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
R. P. Stoner			55		444				11.10
C. H. Nicolson	37		6		126		10		12.60
C. R. Walmsley	113.5		16		365		26		14.03
G. P. Longfield	140.4		23		455		26		17.50
ATT	TTATT	OTT	ra aan	00	DAM	TOTA	C		
ALI			S SCH						
170 70 371 11	Innings			Н					Average
†P. F. Nicoll	20		479		69		1		25.21
G. C. Bird			520 427		75		2		24.76
L. R. W. Salmon . H. T. Bigge			349		78 62	1.4.5	2	•	19.40 18.36
J. D. Blew	18		150		44	235	7		13.63
D. M. M. C. Mence		S W	160		40*		2		10.66
H. C. A. Weighell .	21		195		43	. A. 10kg	- ō		9.28
W. J. Beviss			92		18	87.76	. 8		9.20
				14.				1. 1	No.
ALL	HALL	ow	S SCH	001	BOW	LIN	IG.		
	Overs	N	Laidens		Runs	1111	Wickets	3	Average
L. R. W. Salmon .			72		1223 476		100		12.23
H. C. A. Weighell .	144.3		17				32		14.87
C. H. Beer	177.1		21		598		37		16.16
AMDI	THOD	mit	COLL	TOT	TO APP	TITAL	0		
	Innings		Runs					· ·	Average
G. Rabnett	15		250						16.66
P. Larios	13		183		32*				16.63
B. B. Carroll	14		182				2		16.54
†W. H. Bayliff	13		189				0	27/27	14.53
†W. H. Bayliff H. C. Barton	14		172				1 1		13.23
H. D. King	14		146		32		2		12.16
A. G. Boyle	1.15	::	165						
E. J. Scott	Lit		140		43		. 0		9.21
AMD		PILE		TIC	E DOT	TT T	NIC		4
AMP			COLI						
	OVULD	V	Iaidens		Runs		Wicke		Average
E. J. Scott	99.3	• •	9		442 540		30 28		14.73 19.28
B. B. Carroll	103.4	• •	14	•••	340	• •	20	• •	19.20

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BATTING.	
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average
H. Brown 4 8/ 5/* 1	29.00
J. Tillev 12 273 75 1	24.81
V. E. Sewell	16.40
S. J. S. Kinder 13 186 52* 1	15.50
V. E. Sewell       11       82       39       6         S. J. S. Kinder       13       186       52*       1         G. M. Harnden       8       107       46*       1         W. F. Frost       10       120       42       1	15.28
V. E. Sewell     11     82     39     6       S. J. S. Kinder     13     186     52*     1       G. M. Harnden     8     107     46*     1       W. F. Frost     10     120     42     1       †H. W. Roberts     7     87     34     0       C. H. Paskins     12     128     30     0       ‡A. E. Cameron     13     133     36     0	13.33
†H. W. Roberts 7 87 34 0 C. H. Paskins 12 128 30	12.42
†H. W. Roberts     7     87     34     0        C. H. Paskins     12     128     30     0        ‡A. E. Cameron     13     133     36     0        K. J. Bennett     11     76     30*     3        E. H. Price     4     35     29     0        W. G. Courses     11     91     27     0	10.66
†A. E. Cameron . 13 . 133 . 36 . 0	10.23
K. J. Bennett 11 76 30* 3	9.50
E. H. Price 4 35 29 0 K. G. Couper 11 91 27 0	8.74
K. G. Couper II or ar or	8.27
†Captain first half of season.	
Captain second half of season.	
ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.	
ARDINGLI COLLEGE BOWILING.	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average
J. Tilley 211.5 60 490 47	10.42
V. E. Sewell 200 51 488 40	12.20
BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING.	
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Avorago
Innings         Runs         Highest inns. Not outs           A. G. Waterman         13         272         87*         3           L. H. Escott         5         49         20         3	Average 27 20
A. G. Waterman . 13 272 87 3	21.20
†D. G. Farrow 13	19.92
E. R. Blake 13 239 70 0	
E. R. Blake 13 229 03 0	15.83
L. H. ESCOUL 5 49 20 3 †D. G. Farrow 13 259 70 0 E. R. Blake. 13 229 63 0 C. J. A. Foote 13 190 47 1 A. A. Wright 5 60 30 1 H. T. Bone 7 94 39 0 F. R. Bullen 13 154 35 1 T. C. Baybor 4 29 10*	15.00
A. A. Wright 5 00 50 1	13.42
A. A. Wright 5 60 30 1 H. T. Bone 7 94 39 0 F. R. Bullen 13 154 35 1	12.83
T. C. Barber 4 32 19* 1	10.66
1. C. Darber 4 52 19 1	10.00
The Art Control of the Control of th	S. C. St. March
BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average
C. J. A. Foote 87.5 28 203 19 .	10.68
C. J. A. Foote 87.5 28 203 19 D. G. Farrow 161.1 25 507 40	12.67
A. G. Waterman 191.2 44 495 30 .	
BEAUMONT COLLEGE BATTING.	
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average
C. A. Russell 15 473 65 1 .	. 33.78
E. G. Leahy 5 86 38 2	. 28.66
E. W. Hope 14 300 67 0 .	. 21.42
R. D. Hognton 14	. 19.92
TD. L. Russell 15	. 18.20 . 15.15
H. F. Alcazar 15 197 73 22	. 15.15
C. A. Russell 15 473 03 1 E. G. Leahy 5 86 38 2 E. W. Hope 14 300 67 0 R. D. Hoghton 14 259 42 1 †D. L. Russell 15 273 74 0 H. P. Alcazar 15 197 73 2 D. J. Drummond 14 157 38 3	. 14.27
\$4.57 (1)	2 4.5 77
BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING	Ty The second
Overs Maidens Runs Wielrate	Average
R. D Hoghton 88 20 227 20	11 35"
D. St. Russell 193 52 455	14.21
MY I Bessell 1215 40 301 18	16.72
BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wickets R.D. Hoghton 88 20 227 20.  B.L. Russell 193 52 455 32 M. J. Bessell 121.5 40 301 18.  A. F. Finlow 102:4 21 315 17.	18.52
are as a carred in a const at the const and a constant and a const	

Public	School	Averages.	
worke	DUILUUL	Averageo.	

#### BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

TATAL STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Innings	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	t outs		Average
N. F. Reed		 234		72*		4	-	78.00
W. A. Sime		 408		79		2	1.0	45,33
C. A. B. McVittie		 374		101*	Total XX	2	270	41.55
†R. D. Stewart	. 11	 330		111		1		33.00
D. S. Gideon		 56		39*		Î		18.66
E. F. C. Smith		 116		44		0		16.57
J. G. Cook		 44		35		0		14.66
T. P. Moll	. 8	 85		24	100	2		14.16
A. L. Brown		 63		21		ō	The second	12.60
D. E. Tite	. 6	 61		44		1		12.20
S. S. Murray	. 5	 36		17		1		9.00
T. Brown		 26		17		1		8.66

#### BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

TV ( 0)	Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wickets	Average
W. A. Sime			400		23	 17.39
S. S. Murray	143.4	 23			29	 18.41
J. E. Rylands	101	 20	 365		15	 24.33

#### BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nnings	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not on	ts	Average
†C. H. Williams	10	462			2		
W. L. Davis	10	206		49	0		
L. G. Ashwell	10	120		38	4		
F. W. Manning	3	37		18	1		
H. A. Southgate	10	123		49	2		15.37
H. W. Bingham	5	40		25	2		13.33
H. H. Cowley	8	66		32*	2		11.00
A. C. Ryan	5	52		30	0		10.40
A. Riddiford	7	60		34	1		10.00
T. H. McNicol	5	26		15*			8.66
E. C. W. Sowman	8			20	 i ō		7.25

# BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

717 ×		Overs	 Maidens		Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
W. L.	Davis	55	 5	11. 10	147		13		11.30
	W. Sowman				394		28		14.07
С. Н.	Williams	170	 35		481	ay.			

#### BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

1989				ning	zs.	Runs	H	ighest in	ns. No	touts	Average
		L. Gale		9		169	)	95		3	28.16
Ρ.	C.	Howes .		13		276		62*		1	23.00
H.	F.	Smallpie	ece	13		248		56		1	20.66
V.	M.	F. Shep	pard	11		177		46*		2	19.66
		Wall				218		77	A PERMI	0	18.16
		Edwards		6		61		30		2	15.25
†R.	C.	Edwards	5	12	100	104		. 36		1	9.45
В.	R.	Perry .		10		89		25		0	:8.90
R.	0.	Feltar .		12		89		28		0:	7.41
R.	W.	. Finnem	ore .	9	-	42		9		3	7.00

### BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	s .	Average
T. C. Wall	52.5	 9	 224	 16	1	14.00
R. W. Finnemore .			 547	 30		18.23
R. O. Feltar	96.3	 16	 386	 20		19.30
B. R. Perry	123.1	 19	 518	 18		28.77

#### BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not or	its	Average
C. G. Stowell	. 14		544		106*		1		41.84
H. G. L. Harvey			279		110		0		19.92
S. A. J. Walker .	. 14	200	203		44				
S. A. Armstrong .	. 14	-	177						12.64
D. L. Woods	. 12		133						12.09
R. J. Barkley	. 13	9	125				0		
J. W. Hunter							1		
A. L. T. Sassoon .	. 11		75		28		1		7.50

### BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ets	Average
J. W. Hunter	249	 58	 717	 43		16.67
B. L. E. Hebert	100	 14	 329			21.93
S. A. J. Walker	177	 34				23.39
R. J. Barkley	64	 6	 332			
D. L. Woods	104	 18	 386	 15	17	25.73

#### BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

i owilled	nning	s	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. N	ot out	ts	Average
†J. H. Green	14	10.1	533	Market .	106	359	2		44.41
V. H. Hanschell			252		73*		3		31.50
E. W. Gater	4		82		38		1	710	27.33
M. G. Saunders	13		227		61	All and a second	0		17.46
A. R. M. Edwards	10		82		22*		5		16.40
F. C. Jarchow	14		179		47*		2		14.91
J. D. Ronald	13		185		54		. 0		14.23
J. A. P. Bartlett	13		130		29		1		10.83
R. N. Jones	14		137		23	Time	0		9.78
T. S. Levett	14		120		31*		1		9.23
J. W. Thompson	10		66		23		1		7.33

# BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	s	Average
. P. Bartlett Macdonald		43 50	887 84	427 526		31		13.77 14.61
Green		50	36	631				19,12

T 7 7 *	OTT	
runtic	Nchool	Averages.

1 worke School Averages.	Two to School Averages.
BROMSGROVE SCHOOL BATTING.  Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
A. W. U. Roberts . 15 349 65* 1 24.92 R. L. Punnett 10 137	E. G. Johnson
H. J. Hallett 14 242 46 1 18.61 T. H. N. Mayson . 11 166 40 1 16.60	
D. H. Swayne 9 64 30* 4 12.80	CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.
R. E. J. Daubeny 14 145 41* 1 11.15	E. M. Wellings 15 597 86 4 54.27
R. R. D'O.	R. J. Woollatt 16 486 99* 3 37.38
Hemingway 15 150 32 0 10.00 J. A. Hollingworth 10 57 13* 4 9.50	†W. F. H. Kempster 15 371 127* 2 28.53
D. Goodrick-Clarke 11 63 15 3 7.88	J. M. Bryce-Smith 15 347 74 1 24.78
	R. A. Graham-Smith 12 190 50 0 15.83
BROMSGROVE SCHOOL BOWLING.	T. Martin 11 142 30 1 14.20 S. M. Ekin 8 32 12* 4 8.00
D. H. Swayne 155 46 341 38 8,97	N. S. Henderson 13 89 38 0 6.84
J. A. Hollingworth 74.4 18 189 19 9.94	AND THE STATE OF T
H. J. Hallett 60 20 157 14 11.22 D. Goodrick-Clarke 210.4 56 480 41 11.70	CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.
R. L. Punnett 84 13 233 12 19.41	R. H. Taite 75.3 3 258 19 13.57
CLASTOPTE	E. M. Wellings 29.1 39 968 47 20.59
CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING. Innings Runs Highest times Not outs Average	N. S. Henderson 99.2 15 322 14 23.00
G. M. Ievers 10 260 94 3 37.14	50,4174A Sabbasay , and and a sabbasay a sab
C. C. McCreight 16 376 130 1 25.06	CHIGWELL SCHOOL BATTING.
A. C. Smith 13 181 46 3 18.10	lnnings Runs Highest mns. Not outs Average †J. E. W. Waddington 20 630 92 2 35.00
J. A. Dowling 10 128 63 2 16.00	J. H. Leiper 19 495 73* 4 33.00 F. E. Wheeler 20 378 65 1 19.89
T. H. H. Wilson . 16 208 34 1 13.86	N. Giles 18 238 39* 5 18.30
G. G. Roome 8 55 29 4 13.75 W. Barnett 14 162 66 2 13.50	O. C. Papineau 17 236 41 3 16.85 E. K. Goldie 19 228 58 2 13.41
F. R. Ievers 12 101 25 1 9.18	O. G. Windeatt 13 87 31 3 8.70
	G. W. Reid 17 137 42 0 8.05 J. G. Eve 8 23 10 5 7.67
CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	
T. H. H. Wilson 69.2 13 217 25 8 68	CHIGWELL SCHOOL BOWLING.
G. G. Roome 138.5 32 347 30 11.56	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
J. A. Dowling 108.2 22 286 20 14.30	J. G. Eve 116.3 17 370 36 10.27 O. C. Papineau 206.4 37 50 11.14
K. J. C. Mossley . 53 11 161 10 16.10 G. M. Ievers 70 18 210 11 19.99	F. E. L. Carter . 146.1 26 439 36 12.19
	F. E. Wheeler 56 11 177 14 12.64
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.	CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BATTING.
J. W. R. Hunt 12 318 152* 6 53,00	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
Hon. R. N. Frankland 13 465 100* 1 38.75	T. G. Jennings 13 355 75 3 35.50 A. Bartlett 10 293 52* 1 32.55
†J. E. F. Linton 13 321 94* 3 32.10	C. R. Black 13 263 85* 1 21.91
W. A. Anderson . 13 361 90* 1 30.08	†P. S. Osborne 14 241 56* 2 20.08 E. M. Undery 12 192 42 0 16.00
J. P. R. Hale 6 123 79 0 20.50	C. S. Farnes 12 128 44* 3 14.22
R. B. Beare 12 128 31 0 10.66 E. G. Johnson 7 46 15 2 19.20	P. E. Letts 8 53 35* 4 13.25 R. G. Parks-Smith 9 93 28 1 11.62
P. Huth 7 36 13 2 7.20	N. K. Allen 11 93 27 1 9.30

T) 774	07 7	A decision
Puonc	School	Averages.

Fuote Denotif Averages.	Public School Averages.
CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING.         Overs       Maidens       Runs       Wickets       Average         C. R. Black       201.2       50       491       33       14.87         C. M. E. Seaman       240.1       55       637       36       17.69         P. E. Letts       109       18       305       14       21.78	CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wicke H. A. Bellini . 248.3 55 608 36 R. H. Attwell . 234.5 31 750 32 R. G. Mackay 100.2 12 336 14 J. E. Francis 70 15 301 12
CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING.    Innings   Runs   Highestinns. Not outs   Average	DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not on on one of the college of the
CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maideus Runs Wickets Average G. N. L. Harris 177.2 23 520 41 12.68 R. C. Goff 224.3 38 704 48 14.75 M. Blake 99 17 295 20 14.75 C. J. Chidgey 85 5 292 17 17.17  CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wick  C. W. Timmis 62.5 20 139 139 P. F. B. Bluett 134.3 49 267 31 A. H. Lloyd 125.4 35 310 32 N. K. Stott 164.4 47 387 24
J. F. Cornes         16         404         80         1         26.93           R. W. Owen         12         258         56         0         21.50           C. A. Watt         7         120         46         1         20.00           K. W. Nattle         15         247         65         1         17.64           N. K. Crawford         6         64         28         2         16.00           R. W. Kettlewell         16         246         98         0         15.37           A. B. Habibullah         12         151         41         1         13.72           E. S. V. Burton         16         200         52*         1         13.33           W. F. Clarke         7         68         44         0         9.71           †A. M. Tilly         16         120         44         1         8.00           G. E. Peck         14         59         20         3         5.30	DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest fins. Note
CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           N. K. Crawford         .66.5         18         123         10         12.30           G. E. Peck         .213         .62         .514         .33         .15.57           R. W. Kettlewell         .101.5         .23         .276         .15         .18.40           A. M. Tilly         .281.3         .65         .733         .38         .19.28   CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.	DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wick           H. E. A. Hawkins         46         13         86         12           C. L. Falloon         140.7         29         369         34           J. M. James         74.6         11         227         26           G. R. F. Jenney         117.3         21         338         25           M. R. H. Nethersole         51.2         5         176         10
J. E. Francis   13   168   66   8   33.60     H. A. Bellini   14   379   89   0   27.07     D. A. H. Windle   10   241   64   0   24.10     R. H. S. Hatton   13   313   120   0   24.07     S. R. Peatifield   14   297   98*   1   22.84     H. R. Heard   14   285   69   1   21.92     E. P. Hindley   10   173   39   1   19.22     R. G. Mackay   13   243   51   0   18.69     B. H. Attwell   11   86   10*   4   12.28     H. N. Poole   8   42   10   4   10.50     C. W. Suter   10   48   21   1   5.33	DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not of the period of the p

UNANTERGI				
Overs 1	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. A. Bellini 248,3	55	608	36 .	16.88
R. H. Attwell 234.5	~ 4	750	32 .	23.43
TO CO 35 1 400 0	10	200	14 .	01.00
	4 5	901	12	0 = 00
J. E. Francis 70	10	301	14	20.00
	The Death Land	and state of the	CELECT.	
DENSTONE	COLLE	GE BATT	TNC	
THOTOME				
Innings	Runs		. Not outs	Average
J. G. Garman 13		58*	2 .	
†H. N. Place 13	261	63	0 .	20.07
C. W. Timmis 4	75	38	0 .	
S. Wright 10	132	32	1 .	. 14,66
P. F. B. Bluett 13		61*	1 .	14.58
T. B. Carey 11	400	39*	4 .	14 FM
	110	00		10 01
	400	0.4	0	0.01
A. H. Lloyd 11		4 "	70 E	
N. K. Stott 12	75	15	2 .	. 7.50
		THE RESERVE		
DENSTONE	COLLE	GE BOWL	ING	
				1
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. W. Timmis 62.5		139		7.72
P. F. B. Bluett 134.3	49	267	31 .	
A. H. Lloyd 125.4	35	310	32 .	9.68
N. K. Stott 164.4		387	24 .	16.12
DOVER C	OLLEG	E BATTIN	IG.	
Innings	Runs	Highest in	as. Not outs	Average
O D E F	Service Services and the services of the servi	232*	2	
O T Follow 10	955	14	0	15 00
†M. R. H. Nethersole 14		39		10 11
P. M. Man 12		33*		. 12.11
T. M. C. Burrowes. 14	144	22	0 .	
	128	50*	0 .	. 9.84
K. D. Bradford 13				
	103	33	3 .	
D. E. Fox 14	103	33	3 . 1 .	0.05

DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING.													
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average													
H. E. A. Hawkins	46		13		86	A.F.	. 12	10.10	7.16				
C. L. Falloon	140.7	3	29		369	S. Miles	34	797	10.85				
J. M. James	. 74.6		11		227	STATE.			11.35				
G. R. F. Jenney	117.3		21		338				15.36				
M. R. H. Nethersole	51.2		* 5		176		10		17.60				

		DU	ATAY	ו בועונו	OULU		DITTT				
		I	nnin	gs	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	t outs		Average
tC.	C. Markes .		10		467		110*		3		66.71
	H. Glass		10		209		50*		3		29.85
J.	B. Radcliffe		10	751	236	for the same	55	116.	1		26.22
G.	J. Pettit		6		117		49*		1		23,40
P.	K. Geoghega	in	10		181		35	·	0	1.0	18.10
T.	M. Bostock.		. 6	749	67		28*		2		16.75
H.	H. Cave		6	1,000	66	day.	22*		1	No.	13.20
F.	A. Allan		6		79		38		0	A.A.	13.16
C.	B. Turnbull		7	**	82		42	.,	0		11.71

					100000	201100	and the same of					
			7.		OTT	COTT	001	DOTT	TATO			
			DC	MM	SIDI	E SCH	OOF	BOM?	FING			
				Over	S	Maiden	IS	Runs	V	Vickets	3	Average
P.	N.	Dalton		82.	5	17		264		. 15.	3000000	17 80
G.	J.	Pettit		158.	1	21		537		23		23.34
				***************************************					LOCE			M. A. A.
			\$200	-			. 01	0.00				THE RES
			D	ULW	ICH	COLL						
				Inni	ngs	Runs	H	ighest in	ns. N	ot outs	3	Average
D.	R.	Wilcox		. 14		484		ighest in 88 85* 56* 75 69 38 28 33* 24		1		37.23
						484 278		85*		3		27.80
T.	M.	P. Hug	hman	14		290		56*		2		24.16
E.	D.	W. Kno P. Hug Miller. Smith rnham W. Sim Light		. 14		292	100	75		1		22.46
D.	E.	Smith .		. 13		240	100 M	69		0		18.46
J.	Bu	rnham .		10		146	41.0	38		0		14.60
L.	A.	W. Sim	mons	10		92		28		3		13.14
S.	W.	Light .		6		65		33*		1		13.00 9.25
F.	Kin	ng		9		74		24		1		9.25
							10 71		100	4		
			DI	JLW	ICH	COLL	EGE	BOW	LING	J.		
				Overs	4 1 1 1 1	Maider	ıs	Runs	In.	Wicket		Average
D.	R.	Wilcox		-				189	10.43	12		15.75
F.	Kin	ng				-		309		. 19	20.00	16.26
G.	G.	V. Knig	ght	_	4.1			343		. 19	Ji.	18.05
L.	A.	W. Sim	mons			-	4.	306		. 16		19.12
A.	G.	W. Kno	owlan	d —		-		211		. 10		
T.	M.	Wilcox N. Knig W. Sim W. Kno P. Hug	hman	-		-		382		16		23.87
				A Comment	a very				1200			
				2 679		The state of	5100 100	344 445		-		
			DU	INST	'ABI	E SCI	100.	L BAT	TIN	G.		
			DU	Innin	'ABI	E SCI Runs	100. H	L BAT ighest in	TIN	G. Not ou	ts	Average
G.	s.	Walker		Innin 11	'ABI	Runs 296	100. H	L BAT ighest in 58*	TIN	G. Not ou 3	ts	Average 37.00
G. †J.	S. Pic	Walker kering	 ∷∷:	Innin 11 11	'ABI	LE SCI Runs 296 163	HOO.	L BA'I ighest in 58* 40	TIN	G. Not ou	ts	Average 37.00 14.81
G. †J. L.	S. Pic F.	Walker kering B <b>r</b> own		Innin 11 11 11	'ABI	Runs 296 163 128	HOO.	L BA'I ighest in 58* 40 32	ns.	G. Not ou 3 0 0	ts ::	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63
G. †J. L. N.	S. Pic F. L.	Walker kering Brown Butt		Innin 11 11 11 11	'ABI	Runs 296 163 128 104	HOO.	L BA1 ighest in 58* 40 32 34	TIN	G. Not ou 3 0 0	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45
G. †J. L. N. C.	S. Pic F. L. R.	Walker kering Brown Butt Wright.	D(	Innin 11 11 11 11 11 8	'ABI	Runs 296 163 128 104 62	HOO.	L BAT ighest in 58* 40 . 32 34 18	TIN	G. Not ou 3 0 0 0 1	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85
G. †J. L. N. C. A.	S. Pic F. L. R. J.	Walker kering Brown Butt Wright Buckley	D(	Innin 11 11 11 11 8 8 10	'ABI	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73	HOO.	L BAT ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21*	TIN	G. Not ou 3 0 0 0 1	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85 8.11
G. †J. L. N. C. A. P.	S. Pic F. L. R. J. S.	Walker kering Brown Butt Wright Buckley Neil	D(	Innin 11 11 11 8 10 8	'A BI	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOO.	L BA1 ighest in 58* 40 32 34 18 21* 18	TIN	G. Not ou 3 0 0 1 1 2	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85 8.11 7.50
G. †J. L. N. C. A. P.	S. Pic F. L. R. J. S.	Walker kering Brown Butt Wright Buckley Neil	D(	Innin 11 11 11 11 11 8 10 8	'ABI	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOO.	L BAT ighest in 58* 40 32 34 18 21* 18	TIN	G. Not ou 3 0 0 1 1 2	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85 8.11 7.50
G. †J. L. N. C. A. P.	S. Pic F. L. R. J. S.	Walker kering Brown Butt Wright Buckley Neil		Innin . 11 . 11 . 11 . 11 . 10 . 8 . 10 . 8	gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	3 H	ighest in 58* 40	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2	ts	Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85 8.11 7.50
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		
			DI	Innin 11 11 11 8 11 8 10 8 10 8	gs  	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45	HOOI	ighest in 58* 40 . 32 . 34 . 18 . 21* . 18	ins.	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2		Average 37.00 14.81 11.63 9.45 8.85 8.11 7.50 Average 9.46 9.65 9.30 15.83
			DU	Innin . 11 . 11 . 11 . 11 . 11 . 12 . 8 . 10 . 8 . WNST Over . 83 . 122 . 58 . 63	gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 Waider 16 31 19 14	HOOI	58* 40 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190	VLIN	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 G. Wicket 28 35 13 12		
			DU	Innin 11 11 11 11 11 8 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14	HOOI	## Second Research    10	VLIN	Not ou 3 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 G. Wicket 28 35 13 12	ts 	Average 9,46 9,65 9,30 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 11 11 11 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14	HOOI	## Second	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 0	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 NST Over 83. 122. 58. 63. DUR	GABL  TABL  TABL	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14	HOOI	## 18	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 0	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering Adamso Cardale	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190 BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering Adamso Cardale	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190 BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190 BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190 BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18 BOW Runs 265 338 121 190 BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18  BOW Runs 265 338 121 190  BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	VLIN	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 CG. Wicker 28 35 13 12 Cot out 3	ts 	Average 9.46, 9.65, 9.30, 15.83
H. G. R. J.	J. S. S. Pic	Buckley Walker Harden kering .	DU	Innin 11 11 8 10 8 10 Over 83 122 58 63 OUR	ABL rs 1 5 3 1 HAM gs	Runs 296 163 128 104 62 73 45 E SCH Maider 16 31 19 14 I SCHO Runs 366 290	HOOI	ighest ir 58* 400 32 34 18 21* 18  BOW Runs 265 338 121 190  BATT ighest ir 112* 62*	YLING-ING. NN. NN. NN.	Not out 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ts	Average 9.46 9.65 9.30 15.83

	work Believe	v 11 verageo.		000						
DURHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.										
			Wickets	Average						
R. W. Smeddle 218	s Maidens	Runs 507	41	12.36						
T. E. Elliot 107	17	376	26	14.46						
R. F. Meikle 78		263	11	23.90						
J. A. Adamson 97	13	310	13	25.84						
	micis, according									
TACTION	RNE COL	FOF BA	TTING							
Inning		Highest in	nns. Not outs	Average						
†F. W. Binge 14	353	82	1	27.15						
B G Bowyer 14	339	101*	1	26.07						
B. G. Bowyer 14 R. E. R. England . 14	346	87	0	24.71						
D A C Bridgewater 13		73	$\frac{1}{2}$	19.33						
B. Parke. 14 D. R. Sadler 9 J. K. Mason 9 D. H. Duder 11 W. A. Carey 7	145	39		12.08						
D. R. Sadler 9	83	26	1	11.87						
J. K. Mason 9	94	25	2	10.44						
W A Carey 7	34	13	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & 2 \\ & \ddots & & 3 \end{array}$	8.50						
E. Huelin 8	49	14	1	7.00						
		121								
FASTRO	URNE COI	LEGE BO	WLING.							
Over				s Average						
F. W. Binge 159	—	492	33	14.90						
F. W. Binge 159 B. G. Bowyer 129	177.08 <del>4</del> 0	430	28	15.35						
B. Parke 116		403		20.15						
E. Huelin 123	—	408	16	25.50						
		-	vite constitution							
EDINBU	JRGH ACA	DEMY B	ATTING.							
Innin	JRGH ACA Runs	Highest i	nns. Not outs							
Innin	Runs 824	Highest i	nns. Not outs	48.47						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley . 15	gs Runs 824 328	Highest i 145 65	nns. Not outs	48.47						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley . 15	824 328 209	Highest i 145 65 42	nns. Not outs 1 1 4	48.47 23.42 20.90						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley . 15	824 328 209	Highest i 145 65 42 74*	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{inns. Not outs} \\ & \ddots & 1 \end{array}$	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley . 15 K. W. Marshall 14 W. A. H. Druitt 17 R. J. Henderson 16	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211	Highest i 145 65 42 74* 50 81*	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{inns. Not outs} \\ & \ddots & 1 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{ccccc} 48.47 \\ 23.42 \\ 20.90 \\ 20.00 \\ 17.93 \\ 16.23 \\ \end{array}$						
†B. R. Tod	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211 153	Highest i . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81*	inns. Not outs 1 1 4 1 1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30						
Innin   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13	gs Runs . 824 . 328 . 209 . 320 . 269 . 211 . 153 . 150	Highest i . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81* . 33 . 29	nns. Not outs	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley 15 K. W. Marshall 14 W. A. H. Druitt 17 R. J. Henderson 16 W. M. Clunie 14 P. Tait 14 L. B. Fairbairn 13 F. Ranken 13	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211 153 150	Highest i . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81* . 33 . 29 . 27	nns. Not outs  1 1 4 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83						
Innin   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13	gs Runs . 824 . 328 . 209 . 320 . 269 . 211 . 153 . 150	Highest i . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81* . 33 . 29	nns. Not outs	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63						
Innin   B. R. Tod   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10	gs Runs 824	Highest i . 145	nns. Not outs  1  1  4  1  1  4  1  1  4  1  1  5  1  5  1  6  1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83						
Innin   B. R. Tod   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10	gs Runs 824 824 209 328 209 320 269 211 153 150 106 41	Highest 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81* . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17	omns. Not outs  1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 5 1 0 0 WIING.	. 48.47 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.83						
†B. R. Tod 18 J. W. C. Bindley 15 K. W. Marshall 14 W. A. H. Druitt 17 R. J. Henderson 16 W. M. Clunie 14 P. Tait 14 L. B. Fairbairn 13 F. Ranken 13 W. G. Young 10  EDINBU	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211 153 150 106 41 URGH ACA 8 Maide	Highest 1	mns. Not outs  1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 0 WIJNG. Wicket	. 48.47 . 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.20						
Innin   Innin   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10      EDINBUT   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	gs Runs 824 - 328 - 209 - 320 - 269 - 211 - 153 - 150 - 106 - 41  URGH ACA 8 Maide - 55	Highest i . 145	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 48.47 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.20						
Innin   Innin   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10      EDINBU   Over   B. R. Tod   291   W. A. H. Druitt   172   18   17   17   17   17   17   17   17	gs Runs	Highest i . 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 814 . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17  DEMY Bens Runs . 882 . 512	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 48.47 . 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.20						
Innin   Innin   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10      EDINBUT   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	gs Runs	Highest i . 145	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20  Average 11.76 14.62						
Innin   Innin   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10      EDINBU   Over   B. R. Tod   291   W. A. H. Druitt   172   F. Ranken   102	gs Runs 824 288 299 320 269 211 153 150 106 41 URGH ACA Maide 55 49 15	Highest i 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81 . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17  DEMY Brais Runs 882 . 512 . 277	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20  Average 11.76 14.62						
Innin   Innin   18   J. W. C. Bindley   15   K. W. Marshall   14   W. A. H. Druitt   17   R. J. Henderson   16   W. M. Clunie   14   P. Tait   14   L. B. Fairbairn   13   F. Ranken   13   W. G. Young   10      EDINBUT   EDINBUT   172   F. Ranken   102   102   102   102   102   102   102   102   102   102   103   103   103   104   104   105   10	gs Runs 824 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Highest i . 145	mns. Not outs  1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 2 1 5  OWLING. Wicket 75 35 17	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20  A verage 11.76 14.62 16.29						
Innin   Inni	gs Runs 824 209 209 320 209 211 150 106 41 200 41 55 150 106 55 150 150 150 106 8 Maide 55 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	Highest i . 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 811 . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17 . DEMY B us Runs . 882 . 512 . 277 . 277	owling. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 48.47 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.20 . 11.76 . 14.62 . 16.29						
Innin   Inni	gs Runs 824 209 209 320 209 211 150 106 41 200 41 55 150 106 55 150 150 150 106 8 Maide 55 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	Highest i 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81 <sup>1</sup> . 33 . 29 <sup>1</sup> . 27 . 17  DEMY B ns Runs . 882 . 512 . 277  COOL BAT Highest . 116	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 48.47 23.42 . 20.90 . 20.00 . 17.93 . 16.23 . 15.30 . 13.63 . 8.83 . 8.20 . 11.76 . 14.62 . 16.29						
Innin	gs Runs 824 4465 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 17	Highest i 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 81* . 33 . 29 . 17 . 17  DEMY B . 882 . 512 . 277 . 600L BAT as Highest . 116 . 29	mns. Not outs  1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 2 1 5   OWLING. Wicket 75 35 17  TING. inns. Not outs * 2 3 3	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20 40.21 40.22 11.76 14.62 16.29 46.50 13.16 12.41						
Innin	gs Runs 824 209 328 209 269 211 153 150 106 41  URGH ACA 8 Maide 55 49 15  NUEL SCH ngs Rur 465 73 465 71 71 76	Highest 1	mns. Not outs  1 4 1 4 1 1 4 2 1 5   OWLING. Wicket 75 35 17  TING. inns. Not outs * 2 * 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20  Average 11.76 14.62 16.29  ts Average 46.50 13.16 12.41 9.50						
**B. R. Tod 18**  J. W. C. Bindley 15** K. W. Marshall 14** W. A. H. Druitt 17** R. J. Henderson 16** W. M. Clunie 14** P. Tait 14** L. B. Fairbairn 13** F. Ranken 13** W. G. Young 10**  **EDINBU**  **Cover B. R. Tod 291** W. A. H. Druitt 172* F. Ranken 102**  **EMMAI**  Innii †R. M. Kirkwood 11** H. B. Deeks 9** C. N. Stephens 12** N. J. Coleman 8** J. H. Snelling 15**	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211 153 150 106 41  URGH ACA 8 Maide 55 49 15 NUEL SCH ngs Ru 465 77 12 11 12 11 12 12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Highest i 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 814 . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17 . DEMY Bas Runs . 882 . 512 . 277 . OOL BAT as Highest . 116 . 29 . 41 . 22 . 38	mns. Not outs  1 1 4 1 1 4 2 1 5   OWLING. Wicket 75 35 17  TING. inns. Not outs * 2 * 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20 48.40 49						
Innin	gs Runs 824 328 209 320 269 211 153 150 106 41  URGH ACA 8 Maide 55 49 15 NUEL SCH ngs Ru 465 77 12 11 12 11 12 12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Highest i 145 . 145 . 65 . 42 . 74* . 50 . 814 . 33 . 29 . 27 . 17 . DEMY Bas Runs . 882 . 512 . 277 . OOL BAT as Highest . 116 . 29 . 41 . 22 . 38	mns. Not outs  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	48.47 23.42 20.90 20.00 17.93 16.23 15.30 13.63 8.83 8.20 48.40 49						

#### EMMANUEL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	Wicket	S	Average
N. J. Coleman		 1		93	10		9,30
R. M. Kirkwood		 42		423	 33		12.81
J. H. Snelling		 7	100	169	 11		15.36
W. R. Bartley	73	 18		256	 15		17.06

#### EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew			460		118	 0	35.38
E. R. M. Bowerman			220		85*	 2	22.00
†D. L. Rhys	12	9	204		63	 0	17.00
J. A. R. Robertson	6		88		42	 0	14.66
A. D. Hodges	12		153		40	 1	13.90
D. B. Penman	9	5.0	58	2	16*	 4	11.60
A. M. Littledale	11		113		25	 1	11.30
J. Piggott	12		118		31	 0	9.83
J. D. Pennington .	10		81		23	 1	9.00

#### EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	3	Runs	7	Vicket	s	Average
D. L. Rhys	128	 25		320		27		11.85
G. S. Bentley	32	 5		130		10		13.00
J. D. Pennington .	135.3	 23	Cie	502		32		15.65
D. B. Penman	55	 12		162		10		16.20

### ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	т .		~	198		1	COLT		Average	
	Innin	gs	Runs	Runs Highest inns. Not outs						
†R. C. C. Whittaker			110		76		3		55.00	
A. G. Hazlerigg		100	87		78*		1		43.50	
G. N. Capel-Cure			300	151.	65	21.	3		42.85	
E. R. Sheepshanks.			148		116		0		37.00	
R. H. R. Buckston			70		39*		1		35.00	
W. E. Harbord	11		252		63		1		25.20	
R. C. Greville		173.	206	Chie	61	SELET	0		22.88	
C. W. C. Packe	11		216		70	4 18 18	0		19.63	
M. de S. C. Ward .	9		120		31		0		13.33	
R. A. Hubbard	4		50	3.6	20		0		12.50	
C. H. Gosling	2		7		6		0		3.50	

# ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vicke	ts	Average
W. E. Harbord		 25	 152		13		11.69
R. C. C. Whittaker		 90	 492		33	All Line	14.90
M. de S. C. Ward .	375.1	 120	 531		33		16.09
R. A. Hubbard		 14	 158		8		19.75
G. N. Capel-Cure	53	 5	 192	9-9	7		27.42

#### FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnings	Runs		Highest in	as. No	t outs	Average	
H. F. Cresswell	13		350		58*		4	38.88
F. G. Norton	10		217	100	54		3	31.00
S. W. Behrend		. 1	336	M	124*		1	28.00
R. J. Stuart King .			249		64		1	27.66
R. C. Parker		3 1	291	W.I.	68		0	22.38
S. S. Lane			113		58*		1	12.55
†P. H. Phelps			92		25*		3	11.50
R. H. Barson			45	T	18		0	9.00
F. R. M. Thompson			44	M. I.	23		3	8.80
W I. Ogle			69	Win.	19		0	8.62

# FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average	
S. W. Behrend	169.2		44		532		39		13.64	
P. H. Phelps			14		274		20		13.70	
R. H. Barson			9		236	Tivl.	16		14.75	
C. Jarvis			17		312	1	18		17.33	

#### FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	IS	Runs Highest inns. Not outs						Average
†L. N. Robertson	17		491		86		0		28.88
J. F. Jones	17	5-19-6	322	246	60		3		23.00
R. T. West			182		42		6		20.22
J. E. C. Mitchell	13		161		36		3		16.10
P. G. Cumming			140		42*		3		15.55
A. C. Hogarth		- 200	77		35*		1		15.40
P. J. F. Williamson		ALC: N	135		43	14.	0		15.00
G. B. Hodson		-	175		56*		1		12.50
D. A. Duke	12		99		23*		4		12.37
D. M. Marr			129		33		0		9.21

### FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. A. Duke	125.1	27	 392	27	14.51
P. G. Cumming	142.4	23	 431	 29	
D. M. Marr	. 183.4	33	 502	 33	15.21
A. W. Haig	159.4	20	 577	29	19.89

#### FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs Hig	Runs Highest inns. Not outs								
G. A. Hudson		240	123	0	21.81						
C. H. Tanper	11		50								
R. C. Scott	11	174	116	0	15.81						
†B. T. Guy	11	160	37	0	14.54						
J. N. Dennis		38	13*	2	12.67						
A. J. Bianchi		104	33		9.45						
K. B. Murrant		68	28	2	8.50						
M. Coetzer		59	29*	2	7.37						
A. H. Pickwoad		81	38	0	7.36						

# FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maluens	Luns-		WICKER	9	Average
K. B. Murrant	220	 51	 483	000	. 41		11.78
R. C. Scott	54	 9	 190	111	. 12		15.83
R. V. Bray	164.2	 29	 464		. 29		16.00

7 7 7 4	N 7 7	the state of the s
applac	School	Averages.

#### FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning		Runs	II:	rhoat in	na Mod	outa	Average
J. A. Waugh			266	1118	52		2	
J. F. Newson	14		274					21.07
R. B. Harland	14	10.	214			95.		
J. McIntyre		5.	189		27		0	
J. C. Bryson	17		175		34	2	0	10.29
G. D. Mabey	16		150		46		1	
W. A. Nicholls			67		16*			
†R. Hall	14		114 75		23		2	
e. E. Dinger	19		19		21		.3	7.50

#### FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

High the tr	Overs			Run	ıs	Wickets		Average	
J. F. Newson			26		538	Units	47		
J. McIntyre	191.5		29		503				13.59
W. A. Nicholls	111.5		11		432				19.63
R. Hall	114.5		13		425		18		23.61

#### GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

The second second	Inning	ZS.	Runs Highest inns.				Noto	uts	Average
C. G. Kenyon			358		144*		4		29.83
D. S. Blackwell			28		21*	B	3		14.00
R. C. Baskerville			147		53		0.	11,412	11.30
F. J. Merckel			148		25		1	30.10	10.57
C. A. Steel			106		33*		2	Just Tra	8.83
E. Moore	. 15		119		25*		1		8.50
†W. A. Law	. 7		59		21		0		8.43

## GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BOWLING.

STATE STATE	Overs	Maidens	Runs	710	Wickets	Average
D. S. Blackwell		 14	 84		10	 8.40
E. Moore	159.5	 22	 430		33	 13.03
W. J. R. Turner		- 8	260		17	15.29
R. C. Baskerville .	49.5	 9	 173		10	 17.30

#### GLASGOW ACADEMY BATTING.

	Inning	ts .	Runs	н	ighest	inns. N	ot outs	Average
†J. R. Peebles			186	20.	49		0	
J. R. Fergie		7	89	551.	26		3	11.12
A. N. L. Warnock			96		29		1	9.60
T. Crawford			65	· 2	38*		1	9.28
R. Currie			51		24*		3	7.28
H. F. Thomson	. 8		50	Silvery of	18*	W	. 1	7.14

#### GLASGOW ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	a vitale	Wickets	3	Average
T. Crawford		 16	Teller	117	1000	16		7.31
J. L. McDougall	33	 5		102		10		10.20
J. R. Peebles		 32		301		29		10.37
H. F. Thomson	78	 15		230	• •	20		11.50

#### GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BATTING.

anary a many to Will	Inning	S	Runs	H	ighest in	ns.	Not out	8	Average
K. R. Pearson			465		60	12.0	1		29.06
G. R. C. Wotherspoo	n 10		233		86		1		25.88
B. E. A. Pollard-									
Urguhart	14		264		58	500.00	3		24.00
†H. N. Price			415		72		0		23.05
S. Cruikshank	18		337		96		3		22.46
R. Stevenson	14		161		43*		3		
G. G. M. Batchelor	11		97			27.000	4	M.	13.85
R. C. V. Ash			136		50		3		13.60
I. K. MacRosty			167		65		0		10.43

### GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BOWLING.

	Overs		Maide	ens	Runs		Wickets	3	Average
S. Cruikshank	244.4	1.8	30	33.50	735	1874	76		9.67
R. Stevenson	164	ò	31		434		33		13.15
K. R. Pearson			3		222		16		13.87
B. E. A. Pollard-									
Urquhart	94.2		13	75	290	# · ·	16		18.12

### GEORGE HERIOT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	In	nings	Runs	Hi	ghest inr	s. No	ot outs		Average
†S. F. Cranston .		8	 232		63*		1		33.14
W. Davies		7	 116		42*		1	14.	19.33
J. S. Melvin		7	 78		31		0	•,•#	11.14

#### GEORGE HERIOT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average	
A. J. Duncan								
W. Davies	38	11 .	105		9		11.66	

#### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hi	ghest inn	s. No	t outs		Average
L. B. Neame	. 13		456		108		3		45.60
F. I. W. Stewart.	. 12		399	AN :	105		0		33.25
W. A. R. Sumner	. 10		240		68		2		30.00
B. G. Wennink	. 11		264		101*		2	12.00	29.33
T. H. Hardy	. 9	9	191		75*	7.1	2		27.28
E. J. Stanley	. 12	Link	265		79*		2		26.50
†F. M. Heywood	. 12		307		62		0		25.58
P. J. Barber			234		70		1		23.40
G. R Whitcombe		12.00	112		32	100	2		18.66
E. N. Evans	. 13		177		76*		3		17.70
J. A. H. Wolff	. 6		24		8		2		6.00

#### HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Average	
F. M. Heywood	175	38		38		
T. H. Hardy	58	8	210	10		
B. G. Wennink	162	39	483		21.95	
E. N. Evans	136	15	502	18		
G. R. Whitcombe	132	31	393	14	28.07	

G. L. Raphael.... R. H. Palmer .....

P. C. Carter ..... 14

H.	ARRO	W	SCHOO	DL .	BATTI	NG.			
In	nings		Runs	Hi	ghest inr	s. No	t outs	Average	
R. H. A. G. Calthorn	e 9		246		90		3	 41.00	
W. O'B. Lindsay	13		420		170	14.4	0	 32.30	
C. Clover-Brown	13		339		70		2	 30.81	
K. R. M. Carlisle .	13		342		97*		1	 28.50	
D. A. M. Rome	13		313		55*		2	 28.45	
C. G. Shuckburgh .	5		85		46		0	 17.00	
M. J. Lindsay	13		190		37		0	 14.61	
r. A. S. O. Mathieson	8		87		40*		2	 14.50	
J. F. Robinson	7		14		6		6	 14.00	

#### HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING. Wickets Overs Maidens Runs 222 13 17.07 T. A. S. O. Mathieson 86.4 .. D. A. M. Rome ... 103.5 .. 18 19.16 345 371 18 20,61 R. H. Palmer .... 147 ... G. L. Raphael .... 165.1 .. 47 547 22 24.86 J. F. Robinson ... 101.2 ...

#### HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING. Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average Innings 67\* 34.69 A. H. Fabian ..... 15 451 29.91 66 W. Bray ..... 14 359 20,92 293 75 †R. L. Stuart ..... 14 18,50 W. H. Webster ... 15 259 M. G. R. Keeling . 14 18.16 218 G. M. Hay ..... 14 240 14.87 119 F. H. Booth ..... 12 129 41 14.33 E. W. W. Cunningham 12 13.66 G. F. Lushington . 12 123 12.14

170

HI	GHGATE	SCHOOL	1	ROMT	LNG.			
	Overs	Maidens		Runs	1	Vicket	ts	Average
G. M. Hay	. 275.3	45		704		52		13.53
E. W. W. Cunningh		10		255		17		15.00
R. L. Stuart		18		514		24		21.41
A. H. Fabian		12		248		11		22.54

HITTOCHDIED DOINT COLLEGE RATTING

HUIWS.	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	rar O1	74.1	) 3.4.54.	LIVIL I		LLICIA		
	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	ot outs		Average		
L. G. Garnett	11		297		65*		1		29.70
L. E. Ricketts			194		88		0		17.63
R. G. Hill			66		35*	0-0	4		16.50
W. A. Nichols			163		49	19.7	0		14.81
F. J. Davis		99.	130		48		1		11.81
G. G. Stevenson .			62		21	. , .	1		8.85
D. D. Largen			86		42		0		8.60
J. F. Campbell			58		31		1		
M. D. Rhoden		19	72		16		1		7.20
A S Armstrong			56		20		1	00	7.00

WENTER CHRONOLOGICA TO COMPATER	COTTTOI	TO CETTE TATO
HURSTPIERPOINT	A M A D . I . HILL A MI	RIVVIII

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	1000	Average
R. G. Hill	. 58.2	 14	 156		18		8.66
J. F. Campbell .	. 148.3	 37	 398		39		10.20
D. D. Largen		29	 232		22		10.54
L. G. Garnett		19	 329		18		18.27

# KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hi	S	Average		
E. W. Elwood	. 12		341		141*	 3		37.88
F. L. Bland	. 13	184	368		119	 1		30.66
A. F. Goode	. 13		295	8	63	 2		26.81
P. D. Sadler	. 7		115	3	43*	 2		23.00
tW. E. Sandbach .	. 14		280	13.	105*	 1		21.53
W. K. Wyatt	. 13	B	184		49	 1		15.33

# KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average	
N. A. M. Plowright	209.6	10.91	63	469	90.	32		14.65	
W. K. Wyatt	81.1		11	 256		17		15.05	
E. W. Elwood	146.5		25	 486		32		15.18	

# KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BATTING.

		Inning	ts	Runs	H	ighest in	ns. N	ot out	8	Average
P.	Bunnag	. 3	The state of	78	not be	38*		1		39.00
	J. Gale			209		77*		2		26.12
R.	E. C. Goldsmith	10	500	260		78	1	0		26.00
K.	A. T. Cant	. 10	93	125	21.	51*		4		20.83
R.	L. Smart	. 10		159		48		1		17.66
+F.	L. Freeman	. 10	100	149	372	46*		1		16.55
N.	L. Norris	. 6		62	2.	31*		2	1	15.50
L.	T. Hall	. 4	31.	58	181	31		0		14.50
A.	G. Cross	. 8	PY.	76	90.	34		1		10.85
T.	A. Q. Lidell	. 7		73	8	59		0		10.42
	Coe		St. at	77	3	41		1		9.62

### KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BOWLING.

manufacture and the	Overs	10	Maidens	Runs			Average
A. J. Gale	156		25	 519		26	 19.96
A. R. Grimwade	109		15	 375		17	 22.05
T. A. Q. Lidell	93		11	 371	1	12	 30.91

#### KING'S SCHOOL BRITTON BATTING

mind b bollook, bito toll, bill live.											
	Inning	<b>78</b>	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	t out	3	Average		
†H. D. Burrough	. 15		805	24.	172		0		53.66		
G. M. Bennett	16	BEL	695	14	105		1		46.33		
T. K. Daw	16		472	19.							
P. H. P. Weaver	16		362		68		1		24.13		
D. A. Halahan	13		194	5	53				21.55		
T. E. Smyth	15		272		52		1		19.42		
C. G. Napper	9		60		21		4		12.00		
A. R. Woodforde	. 8	30	63	10	20		1		9.00		
A. M. W. Levy	8		59		20		1		8.42		

012 Table Shoot Ready	Twotte School Heeringes. 013
KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average T. E. Smyth . 121 17 404 23 17.56 D. A. Halahan . 183.1 42 616 35 17.60 G. M. Bennett . 218.1 26 697 31 22.48 P. H. P. Weaver 152 9 366 15 24.40	LEYS SCHOOL BOWLING.           J. A. Endacott         Overs of the color of th
KING'S         SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.           Innings         Runs         Highest inns. Not outs         Average           A. P. D. Kennedy         10         290         94         1         32.22           R. O. Crouch         13         371         107*         1         30.91           R. A. W. Binny         13         302         55         3         30.20           A. C. Goold         12         257         48         2         25.70           G. C. Valder         13         280         110         0         21.53           B. Taylor         10         169         50         0         16.90           A. L. Hill         12         180         88         0         15.00           C. G. A. Paris         8         34         18         4         8.50           R. A. Finnis         10         63         32         1         7.00	LLANDOVERY COLLEGE BATTING.       Innings     Runs     Highest inns. Not outs     Average       V. G. J. Jenkins     10     249     70     3     35.57       A. H. Jones     10     263     46     1     29.22       B. R. Jones     11     316     50     0     28.72       D. J. Rees     9     189     46     1     23.62       K. C. Jones     9     142     36     1     17.75       K. M. Thomas     11     108     27     2     12.00       W. V. Williams     5     50     35     0     10.00
KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.   Overs   Maidens   Runs   Wickets   Average   A. L. Hill   S5.1   18   273   16   17.06   A. L. Hill   S5.1   18   273   16   17.06   J. P. Wyatt   170.2   32   450   22   20.44   C. G. A. Paris   199   20   848   37   22.91	LLANDOVERY COLLEGE BOWLING.       Overs     Maidens     Runs     Wickets     Average       W. R. Rées     121     51     233     21     11.09       A. H. Jones     119     30     256     17     15.05       D. F. Hirst     62     16     203     12     16.91       D. J. Rees     73     8     289     16     18.06
LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.   Average	LORETTO   SCHOOL BATTING.   Innings   Runs   Highest linus   Not outs   Average   47.20   W. Nicholson   16   550   89   4   45.83   N. C. W. Green   6   89   43*   4   44.50   J. A. Drysdale   17   500   77   3   35.71   F. H. Waters   16   382   118   0   23.87   T. M. Waters   17   323   56   1   20.18   W. N. Mitchell   14   172   33*   2   14.33   P. M. S. Gedge   6   49   22*   2   12.25
A. N. Luxmoore   9   36   14*   6   12.00     M. B. Beale   9   73   17   2   10.42     L. A. J. Roffey   13   95   26*   2   8.63     LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.     Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average     L. A. J. Roffey   157.3   32   498   37   13.45     P. N. L. Pears   122.5   21   396   25   15.84     A. N. Luxmoore   110   5   443   23   19.26	LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.  Overs Maidens Rums Wickets Average  D. T. Brown 106.3 34 248 25 9.92  A. D. Baxter 211.3 69 379 35 10.82  T. M. Waters 59.2 15 170 13 13.07  J. A. Drysdale 140 36 389 25 15.56  W. Nicholson 214.4 24 763 46 16.58  MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.
E. Cawston 216.3 29 417 15 27.80    LEYS SCHOOL BATTING.     Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs   Average	Innings

614	Public School Averages.		
			MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BOWLING.
W. H.	MALVERN COLLEGE         BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           Nunneley         225.3         55         566         - 37         15.29           Bradshaw         207.3         29         635         34         18.67           A. Pullan         48         3         223         10         22.30		R. W. Ainsworth         124.5         —         325         24         13.54           C. Peterkin         233         —         543         37         14.67           J. W. Morton         111.2         —         382         23         16.60           W. A. Steven         170         —         504         29         17.37
	MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.  Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average M. Poul 12 410 83* 1 34.91		MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.
†R. H. M. J. J. F. R. D. R. K. T. A. R. J.	Neilson   14   428   100   0   30.57     H. Morton   13   341   112   1   28.41     Anderson   13   340   55   1   28.33     Armstrong   14   375   122   0   26.78     Page   14   309   95   0   22.07     D. Hewan   12   184   33   2   18.40     Marley   13   210   45   1   17.50     H. Comber   12   146   21*   2   14.60		Innings   Runs   Highest inns. Not outs   Average     †A. P. Powell   18   1065   152   4   76.07     H. E. Carris   16   599   115   0   37.43     S. Abden   16   308   86   5   28.00     P. D. Howard   12   249   63   3   27.66     E. H. M. Grimsdell   11   161   441   3   20.12     T. H. B. Lawther   16   275   62   2   19.64     D. G. Priestley   5   50   21   0   10.00     Average   Average   Average   Average   Average
	MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.		MILL HILL COHOOL DOWLING
J. C. R. J. M. J.	Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           Armstrong         135         35         436         25         17.44           Pank         128.1         22         393         19         20.68           Marley         147.2         19         540         23         23.47           H. Morton         111         21         312         13         24.00           D. Hewan         87         5         416         15         27.73		MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.           Overs         Maidens         Runs         Wickets         Average           S. Abden         108.1         45         474         32         14.81           H. E. Carris         122         30         317         20         15.85           A. P. Powell         135.1         30         404         25         16.16           E. H. M. Grimsdell         108.1         29         345         18         19.16           T. H. B. Lawther         209.5         34         675         34         19.85
	MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.	П	T. H. B. Lawther . 209.5 34 675 34 19.85 A. R. N. Carter . 92 25 230 11 20.90
ID T	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	В	
D. M.	Parry 13 504 98 2 45.81		MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BATTING.
W. H	Wilson 12 239 55* 5 34.14		Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average E. G. Perry 8 178 58 0 22.25
H. D.	Heck 10 182 38* 4 30.33 Spurling 6 52 23 2 13.00		H. J. S. Brooke 8 152 63 0 19.00 R. H. Carpenter 8 127 53 0 15.87
H. S.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T. B. L. Bryan 7 109 57 0 15.57
	Goulding 6 24 19 3 8.00		O. F. Reichwald 8 86 18 0 10.75
	MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.		W. D. G. Mould 7 55 23* 1 9.16 †M. K. Watson 8 60 18 0 7.50
286.0	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	1	
E. T.	Goulding 155 26 498 26 19.15		MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BOWLING.
D. M	Parry 71 9 298 15 19.20 [, Crocker 79.4 8 276 10 27.60	10	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average M. K. Watson 101 24 257 26 9.88
			E. G. Perry 74 9 205 18 11.38 O. F. Reichwald 82 21 149 12 12.41
	MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average		
	. Logan 18 606 152 3 40.40		NEWTON COLLEGE BATTING.
C. R.	Morison 18 387 72 0 21.50	1	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average †C. E. H. Povnder . 14 484 150 2 40.33
J. H.	Lamb 18 243 47 2 15.18		V. L. M. Wainwright 14 343 86 0 28.50 F. S. Whiteway-
W. A	Steven 13 135 35 1 11.25		Wilkinson 14 296 60 0 21.14
W. C	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E. W. Barker 14 170 43 0 12.14
R. N	McKern		H. S. Balls 12 79 19 5 11.28
		1	

NEWTON CO	LLEGE	BOWI	ING
-----------	-------	------	-----

	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. W. Barker	119	18		398	 26	15.30
J. F. Sylvester		 19		451	29	15.55
F. S. Whiteway-					10	25.00
Wilkinson	100	 6		475	 19	
A. T. Ridgway	57	 8		265	 10	26.50
V. L. M. Wainwright		 20		584	 22	26.54

#### OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highe	st inns.	Not outs	Average
†M. H. Jones		317		66	. 1	
J. S. Brown		190		47	0	 14.61
H. K. Eady		71		28	. 1	 14,20
D. G. Allen		178		40	0	 13.69
A. C. Martin		135		49	. 1	 13.50
W. J. B. Johnson.		159		35	0	 12.23
G. Chatterton		72		14	2	 10.28
				34	0	 8.72
			USUS ITE	27	1	 8.58
			0000	-	5	 8.50
					1	 8.50
J. Hardwicke	. 0	01				
P. Vaulkhard D. C. C. Ferrier T. S. R. Fisher J. Hardwicke	. 13	96 103 34 34		27	1	 8.58 8.50

# OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	11217	Wicke	ts	Average
D. G. Allen	219	 36	 670		37		18.10
M. H. Jones		 8	 360		19		18.94
W. J. B. Johnson		 21	 399		21	5000	19.00
J. S. Brown 1		 10	 486		25		19.44

# OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	His	hest inn	s. No	touts	Average
B. R. W. Johnson		345		123*		3	
A. G. Skinner		324		74		2	40.50
N. C. Marr		222	1.1	53	T. A. Z.	0	 20.18
J. C. Hosken		64		26		1	
J. Marsh		170		47		0	 15.45
C. J. Fryer		58		25		0	 14.50
†F. A. Yarrow		 128	done.	35		1	 14.22
E. W. Dixon		 46		28*			11.50
		 57		25*		1	 8.14
P. A. Greig	. 0	 0.	• •		e was to be		

#### OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
E. W. Dixon	37	9	152		10	15.20
P. A. Grieg		14	476		25	19.04
A. G. Skinner		15	346		16	21.62
J. H. L. Whelan		6	333		14	21.71

#### RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

			 00	01.22		· · ·			
	1997 C 100 YOR		Runs	H	ighest inn	s. N	ot outs		Average
	C. A. Adams		710		117		1		41.76
	E. Osborne-Smit		264		78	×	3		26.40
W.	K. Rogers	16	 317		50		3		24.38
P.	J. Jeffreys	17	 316	U	55*		1		19.75
	A. Henderson		 170		36*		6		18.88
	T. Miller		 337		48	W	0		18.72
A.	C. W. May	17	 307		59		0		18.05
	F. Baddeley		 63		27		2	100	15.75
	I. Van der Guch		 101		20		3		14.42
C.	M. Blunt	10	 90		. 52		1		10,00

#### RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wickets	3	Average
R. E. Osborne-Smith185.5	38	 541		31		17.45
P. T. Miller 163.3	25	 593		30		19.76
C. M. Blunt 104.2	17	 360		18		20.00
J. F. Baddeley 144.5	 23	 481	ST./.	21		22.90
P. J. Jeffreys 204.2	 25	 747	190	28		26.67

# REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innir	igs	Runs		Highest i	nns.	Not outs	Average
E. Rowley-Morris .	11		248		94*		4	35.42
J. D. H. Gilbert	4		71		37		1	23.66
J. Greenwood		6775	230		71		1	23.00
†R. H. C. Human .	11		225	٠	100		1	22.50
R. H. Partridge	8	90.	121		44		1	17.28
J. S. Williams			167		47		1	16.70
G. M. Knight			66		36		0	16.50
R. T. Vaughan	11		118		34*		2	13.11
W. A. Welch	3		36		26		0	12.00
I. W. N. Speight .	10	TTICY	82	120	38*	373.7	2	10.25
J. H. Human	5		41		15		1	10.25

### REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wicket	ts	Average
J. S. Williams		11	 258		20		12.90
R. M. H. Everett .	131.1	 24	 360		25		14.40
J. H. Human	49.4	 7	161	7	10		16.10
R. H. C. Human .		30	 436		25		17.44
Marie Bally Co. C. Gullery S. C. C.	and the state of the						

### ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnings	,	Runs	H	ighest in	nns. No	ot outs	Average
A. O. Wilson	10		257		44		0	25.70
†A. S. Roncoroni	10		217		66	1	0	21.70
D. J. Douthwaite	9		182		45	1.	0	20.22
A. V. Haigh	10	300	182		51		0	18.20
N. H. Lambert	10		119		28	1	0	11.90
F. D. Beattie	10		111		30		0	11.10
J. S. Buck	9		73		20		0	8.11

### ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
A. S. Roncoroni	187.1	 49	 506	 29		17.44
J. S. Buck	135.2	 28	 459	 21		21.85

DOVAT	HICH	TOOHOR	EDINBURGH.	BATTING

TAO TITIL III.	OTT P		U.L. 9						
South the Schoolstoner Court	Innings			Highest inns. Not outs					Average
G. R. Gunn	20		245		62*				14.41
tW. H. Mackay			294		62		0		14.00
A. D. McGregor			216		42		1		
E. B. Hendry			93		26*		3		13.28
J. J. Wright			264	110	37		0		12.57
A. G. R. Lowden .			161	mr.	50*		7		12.38
D. Murray			206		37*		2		11.44
T. R. Mitchell			176	<b>\.</b> .	32		0		8.38

### ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	wicket	S	Average
G. R. Gunn	70.3	 14	 207	 23		9.00
W. H. Mackay		93	 582	 62		9.38
A. G. R. Lowden .	219.2	 25	 700	 68		10.29

#### RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.			Not ou	Average	
†J. M. Monkhouse		 485		97		3		48.50
L. H. A. Hankey		 338		91*		2		37.55
T. W. Sallitt		 75		45*		1		37.50
G. V. F. Dawson	. 10	 295		140		0		29.50
J. E. G. Pearson	. 13	 315		45*		2		28.63
L. E. C. Davies	10	 188		57*		3		26.85
R. E. Holford	11	 266		65		1		26.60
P. H. Williams .	6	 99		70		2		24.75
C. P. M. Hunting	. 6	 48		12*		3		16.00
E. W. F. de V. F.		 33		17		1		8.25

#### RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average
J. E. G. Pearson	195	 55	 569		28		20.35
E. W. F. de V. Hunt		13	 323		15		21.53
G. V. F. Dawson .	137	 30	 464		14		33.14

#### ST. ALBANS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	H	ighest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
J. K. McKechnie			261		40		1		15.35
R. C. Page			186		23		1		12.40
A. J. A. Goetzee			63		15		2		10.50
G. E. D. Bullen			155		28		1		10.33
M. A. Wiggs			139		34		2		9.26
†R. E. Attwood			85		20*		4		8.50
C. F. R. Hilton			54		32*	14114	2		7.71
G. D. Wiggs			75		23		0	٠.	7.50

#### ST. ALBANS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
G. D. Wiggs	40	 6		119				9.91
S. J. Bates	140.4	 23		386				11.35
M. A. Wiggs	127,5	 21	1.1	436	1.1	30	1.1	14,53

# ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	· H	ighest ir	ns. N	ot out	s	Average
†E. M. Phair	. 15		232		53		2		17.84
A. W. B. Symonds	16		285		74		ō		17.81
B. J. Walford			58		29		2		11.60
A. F. S. Smith	. 15		153		27		1		10.92
H. G. B. Anthony	16		147		77*		2		10.50
G. H. B. Cooper			132		49		1		9,42
M. W. Humphreys	16		149		26		0		9.31
R. G. O. Roots			135		41		0		9.00
S. R. Knowles	. 14	• •	97		19		2		8.08

# ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

S. R. Knowles         Overs         Maiden           A. F. S. Smith         201.3         28           A. W. B. Synonds         92.3         11           R. G. O. Roots         93.5         6           E. M. Phair         173.4         24	Runs 142 679 401 390 561	Wickets	Average 12.90 15.08 21.10 21.66 23.37
--	---	---------	--

### ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BATTING.

and the same	Inning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	t outs	Avrage	
R. H. J. Brooke .	. 14		325		80		2	 27.08	
E. V. Stillingfleet			323		61		0	 23.07	
T. S. Wetherall			240		105*		2	21.81	
J. H. A. Barnes	. 14		285		54		0	20.35	
W. E. N. Green .	. 15		274		59		1	 19.57	

# ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BOWLING.

V. R. A. Denne R. H. J. Brooke	Overs 62	Maidens 6 39	 Runs 289 568	W	ickets 24	 Average 12.04
		00		• •	41	 13.85
J. F. M. Perrott		0	 217		13	 16.69
W. E. N. Green	146	22	 541		18	 30.05

# ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.

	Inning	gs -	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. No	touts	Average
R. A. S. Farnfield	14		240		76*		1	
C. H. Taitt			180		36		2	
†J. W. L. Haworth			179		35		1	44.04
J. D. A. Woodroffe			128		27		1 .	9.84
A. L. Mackie		1.	111		28		0 .	8.53
G. Lemmon			42		11		3	8.40
G. A. C. Dunbar			92		23		0	7.66
E. D. Iliff	12		87		16		0	7.25

Pal	h	lic	Se	hon	7. A	verag	100

620 Public School Matches.											
ST. LAWREN	CE COLLEGE,	RAMSGATE,	BATTING.								
	Innings Runs	Highest inns. Not									
R. Perfect		218*	2 55.00								
H. J. Linnell	17 763	142									
A. G. Arthur D. G. Oswald	13 229	54	4 25.44								
D. G. Oswald	16 339	75	2 24.21								
G. T. Hindley	14 296	108*	1 22.76								
A. L. Toop	12 157 11 139	41 62*	3 17.44 2 15.44								
T. A. Burgess	11 139	62*	2 15.44 6 11.50								
H. W. Harding J. F. Alston	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6 11.50								
J. F. Alston	17 107	38	1 10.49								
ST. LAWREN	CE COLLEGE,	RAMSGATE,	BOWLING.								
SI. DAWIELI											
TO MY TO MA	Overs Maidens		ekets Average								
H. W. Harding	198.1 37 91.2 22		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
G. T. Hindley R. Perfect	270 50		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
R. Periect	81.2 23		15 14.00								
H. J. Linnell A. G. Arthur	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		24 15.00								
A. G. Arthur	112.5 19	300	24 15.00								
ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.											
77											
	Innings Runs	Highest inns. No									
J. S. Oliff	16 601										
R. Felton	15 443		2 34.07								
E. J. Weston	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81	1 18.72 3 17.00								
N. C. Burt		67* 47*	3 16.00								
†C. J. Patterson P. Landells	15 220	40	0 15.26								
J. R. Colville		53*									
F. D. Maclean	7 100	33									
C. I. Luxemberg	8 73	20*									
V. Martinson	9 79	19	1 9.87								
E. A. Joseph	9 59		3 9.83								
G. M. Smith	10 73		2 9.12								
- A. W. I. Villes &											
The service of the se	DITTIO GOTTOO	T DOWN THE									
ST.	PAUL'S SCHOO	L BOWLING.									
	Overs Maiden		ckets Average								
R, Felton	150.5 35	415 :	26 15.96								
F. D. Maclean N. C. Burt E. A. Joseph	110 19	352	20 17.60								
N. C. Burt	180 18	610	32 19.06								
E. A. Joseph	160.1 28	424 5	22 19.27								
om Der	TER'S SCHOOL,	VORK BATTE	NG								
S1. PE.	LEND BUHUUL,										
	Innings Runs	Highest inns. Not									
W. Elliott	15 439	142*	1 31.35								
W. G. Hutton		109*	1 26.00								
J. B. D. Chapman.	15 273	57	1 19.50 4 17.00								
J. D. MacIldowie .	10 102										
C. Dixon	11 145		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
N. W. Richardson .	10 145 11 145 12 154 15 159 9 84		2 12.23								
C. L. Troop	0 109	44*	1 10.50								
M. F. Hudson C. E. Williams	9 84 11 83	27	1 8.30								
O. E. Williams	11 00	41	1 0.00								

or dealers	SCHOOL	VODE	POWIT INC	
ST. PETER'S Overs	Maidens			
P. M. Perry 28	maidens 6	Runs 70	Wickets	Average 5.83
C. Dixon 107.5	27	296	31	9.54
H. Haigh-Lumby 159	33	426	34	12.52
J. D. MacIldowie . 178.2	41	491	35	14.02
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	GH SCHOO			
Innings	Runs		ns. Not outs	Average
†R. W. Skene 11 J. E. Nicholls 12	$\begin{array}{ccc} & 454 \\ & 261 \end{array}$	113	$\frac{1}{2}$	45.40
J. W. R. Crompton 6	261	63		$26.10 \\ 21.75$
S. G. March 12	238	26	Wina i ::	21.63
	165	48	2	20.62
G. M. Crabbie 10	126	45	3	18.00
	139	77	1	17.37
J. G. Watherston 13	202	97	1,	16.83
	122	53*	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
W. E. Mitchen-Innes 12	153	65*	1	13.90
SEDBER	GH SCHO	OL BOW.	LING.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. W. Skene 198.4	48	424	50	8.48
J. W. R. Crompton 69.1	11	197	14	14.07
F. H. Hodgson 112.1	15	381	26	14.65
SHAFTESBURY	GRAMMA	B. SCHOO	I. BATTING	
Innings	Runs		ns. Not outs	Average
†R. B. Brown 14	226	52	0	16.14
R. E. J. Richards . 14	201	62	0	14.35
C. S. Balhatchet 14	185	49	0	13.21
D. H. Tovey 14	136	35*	2	11.33
W. J. R. Dean 14	132	52*	1	10.15
SHAFTESBURY	GRAMMA!	R SCHOO	I. BOWLING	7
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. H. Tovey 29.1	5	107	11	9.72
R. B. Brown 149.1	47	358	35	10.22
B. M. Law 96.2	28	234	22	10.63
C. S. Balhatchet 89	23	236	22	10.72
SHERBO	RNE SCHO	OOL BAT	TING.	
Inning			ns. Not outs	Average
†R. Eglington 11	546	102	1	54.60
D. A. Hodgkinson . 12 I. D. M. Wilson 11	261	76*	3	29.00
I. D. M. Wilson 11	220	41	3	27.50
R. H. Gladden 11 J. W. N. Sharpe 10	243	72 63*	0	$\frac{22.09}{20.00}$
J. R. Anderson 10	140	63*	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.22
R. G. Kreyer 6	77	43	0	
E. Knight 11	138	37	0	12.54
P. H. F. Mermagen 10	103	61	0	10.30
SHERBOI	RNE SCHO	OL BOW	LING.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. H. F. Mermagen 117	24	358	25	14.32
A. W. Hoperaft 91	15	255	14	18.21
C. B. Meyer 187	32	568	27	21.03
	40	487	18	27.05
J. W. N. Sharpe 80	9	313	11	28.45

Average

37.12 31.33 .... 20.42 13.40 11.63 11.60

10.85 8.92

8.75

Average 19.71

20.06

20.85 23.75

Average

40.15 35.88 33.68 30.61

29 45 28.80 17,60 17.33 11.80 10.44 6.87

Average 10.82

14.80

21.33

21.95 24.89 25.77

Average 22.00 19.28 16.83 16.15

15.07 13.00 12.09 9.45 9.00

Average .. 10.97

14.00

19.15

.. 12.83

W. H. Walker .... 82

1 wood Solotti Hettinges.	Public School Averages.
SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.	MATINDON COLLOCT DATELING
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	TAUNTON SCHOOL BATTING.
D. N. Moore 16 669 133 1 44.60	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
†P. H. C. Staples 16 606 135* 1 40.40	14, 1. Clark 15
D. C. G. Raikes 15 258 52 2 19.84	U. A. I. A. Palmai 10
H. S. Sever 15 234 74* 1 16.71	IL. D. D. Lucking .
D. Harratt 7 71 20* 2 14.20	G. R. Attains
R. D. F. Bland 11 88 37 3 11.00	N. J. W. Hughes . 12 128 33* 1
J. F. Snow 12 119 52 1 10.81	H. C. C. Fox 9 76 24 2
E. L. Birch 13 110 28 0 8.46	S W Cooper 13 116 25 0
C. L. Heneker 12 56 24* 5 8.00	E. Williamson 9 70 40* 1
ALLE TIMES TO CALL OF TO CHEET TAKE	TAUNTON SCHOOL BOWLING.
SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	0,4612
H. S. Sever 58.5 6 179 19 9.42 B. D. F. Bland 234.2 27 652 44 14.81	J. H. Cameron 68.5 9 276 14
	G A F A Farmer 144 31 417 20
C. L. Heneker 95 9 271 17 15.94 P. H. C. Staples 203 61 511 32 15.96	S. A. Tindal 188.5 36 570 24
D. N. Moore 67.4 8 256 12 21.33	The state of the s
Name of the second seco	TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.
STONYHURST COLLEGE BATTING.	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	H. W. Dods 17 522 115* 4
	J. A. Baiss 17 610 146 0
H. S. de Caires 12 431 132 0 35.91	1. A. Clawfold 1
F. I. de Caires 12 316 65 1 28.72	L. L. Almour
A. Horton 10 137 74 1 15.22	J. G. W. Davies 11 324 112 0 C. W. Astell 6 144 50* 1
N. F. McGrath 7 56 16* 3 14,00	M. W. Smith 10 88 28* 5
D. C. Smith 11 106 32* 2 11.77	G A Hill 17 260 58 2
G. V. Bird 12 103 34 2 10.30	T. H. Lewis 9 59 15* 4
G. R. Bird 5 35 18* 1 8.75	R. H. Rutter 11 94 27 2
C. Williams 5 28 13* 1 7.00	†E. J. G. Tucker 10 55 21 2
STONYHURST COLLEGE BOWLING.	TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.
	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average  C Williams 102 14 347 24 14.45	R. H. Rutter 135.1 41 368 34
	T. A. Crawford 78.4 20 222 15
H. S. de Caires 171 19 547 34 16.08 F. I. de Caires 104 14 325 20 16.25	I G W Davies 23 6 128 6
D. C. Smith 131 20 378 21 18.00	E J. G. Tucker 299.4 75 1032 41
D. C. Sinicia.	M W. Smith 147 39 473 19
STOWE SCHOOL BATTING.	J. A. Baiss 117 16 464 18
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	TRENT COLLEGE BATTING.
C. J. P. Pearson . 10 . 466 . 131 . 0 . 46.60	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs
H. V. Kemp 4 127 66 1 42.33	J. L. Barker 13 198 63 4
A. J. P. Ling 11 258 77 2 28.66	C C Barker 14 270 60 0
D. A. G. Keith 5 89 42 1 22.25	R. A. Ainslie 9 101 39* 3
B. Brind 6 114 48 0 19.00	J. L. Baker 13 210 82 0
B. C. Gadney 11 135 28* 3 16.87	J. A. Atkinson 14 196 38 1
R. H. G. Carr 10 153 43 0 15.30	†H. R. Dorning 14 169 27 1
C. D. Hatrison	H. B. Brown 13
A. C. Dawson 7 89 35 0 12.71	W. H. Walkel 12
STOWE SCHOOL BOWLING.	C. G. Buck 8 63 41 TRENT COLLEGE BOWLING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	
G. A. Griffin 161.4 37 448 30 14.93	Overs management
C. J. P. Pearson 83.4 7 327 17 19.23	U. U. Darker 185.5
G. G. Harrisson 93.5 19 274 14 19.57	J. A. Atkinson 60 12 154 12 H. R. Dorning 166.5 19 574 41
A. C. Dawson 107 21 358 13 27.53	W. H. Walker 82 11 249 13

Public	School	Averages.
uoute	School	Averages.

					21	crayes.				
40	TINI	VERSITY	C	TIECE	7 0	TOOLIN	D	APPOINT	Y .	
	OIVI	Inning							x.	4 57 3 65
C.	M. Wilson		3	Runs 295	ь	ighest inn 62				Average
D.	. F. Surfleet	18		973		36*	:	Throughout the	1	18.43 17.06
T.	H. Rowney	17	W.	228		43*		9		15.20
†D.	A. C. Kelly	16	33	207		29		4 3000	i di	13.80
J.	F. R. Smith	18	Oil:	227		34		0 2		12.61
F.	Moore	12		115		27*			17	11.50
J.	R. Keen	15	-	145		31*		2		11.15
D.	S. Farmer	15		149		33*		4		10.64
A.	W. Webber M. Wilson	12		51		16*		6		8.50
D.	M. Wilson	15				20				8.42
14.	T. Ringdah	1 14		61		19		4		6.10
	******	TTTT	~							
	UNIV	ERSITY	CC	LLEGE	SC	HOOL	BO	OWLING	7.	
		Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
T.	H. Rowney	225.2		43		744		55		13.52
D.	F. Surfleet			38		679		40		14.14
D.	S. Farmer .	67	1.	12		243		11		22.09
		TIDDITA	TT 4	TE COTT						
		UPPING	HA	M SCHO	JO.	L BATT	'IN	G.		
	0 D D 11	Innings		Runs	H	ighest inn	s. 1	Not outs		Average
17.	C. B. Perkir	ns 12		459		101				41.72
J.	C. Christoph	erson 12				72*		3		34.77
D.	S. Oscroft	12		341	100	136	0-0			33.00
T.	K. Spurrier A. Sutor			203			-	1		25.37
T.	G. H. Chalk	9					• •	0		24.36
Δ.	F. R. Jarret		1.	134 133		34 50			5 23 1	
G.	M. Chandler			107		44		1		14.77
M.	F. Hurdle .	7		42	• •	15*		1		10.70 10.50
	a landino .			14		10	36	3		10.50
		UPPING	HA	M SCHO	M	. BOWI	TI	IC		
		Overs		Maidens	,01	Runs	71.1	Wickets		Average
Α.	F. R. Jarret			10		472				14.75
M.	F. Hurdle .			46		436	::			
	M. Chandler			37		365		28 22	40	16.59
							J.			10.00
		WATSO	N'S	COLLE	GF	BATT	IN	G.		
		Innings		Runs	I	lighest in	ns	Not outs		Average
	A. S. Gemm			319		49*		2		19.93
†F.	J. Inglis	19		338		54		0		
D.	M. Oliver .	18					Kale.	1		13.82
N.	H. Barnett.					37		1 - 100		13.00
A.	N. Usher	7	12.	46	1.5.	11		3		11.50
D.	M. Keir	16		158		41		2		
н.	Roxburgh .	19		191		65*		2		11.23
J.	P. Heron	$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 18 \\ 1 & \dots & 9 \end{array}$		164				2		
۵.	E. Robertson	$1 \dots 9$				20		1		9.25
Α.	Montgomery J. Penman	14 13		82 70	Series .	14		3		
	o. remnan	10	4.1	70		16*		3		7.00
		WATSON	T'S	COLLE	OF	POWI	TAT	C		
		Overs	O	Moidon	CL				*	
4	J. Penman	60.3		Maidens 10		Runs	910	Wickets		Average
		208.3	7.5	49		202 525	9.	19 48		10.63
	Montgomery			38		407		0.1		10.94
	- Somethy	100		90		401		31		15.70

# WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

D. W. Stokes T. G. Dickson N. H. Pitts S. T. Smith †J. B. Gamble C. Rawlins D. H. M. Veitch V. Martin-Jones	13	Runs 402 255 210 222 119 64 195 118	H	ighest in 77 43 60 49 42* 30 38 33*	nns. N	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3	Average 30.92 19.61 19.09 17.07 17.00 16.00 15.00
J. A. Dorr		134		47		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13.11 10.30

# WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

T. G. Dickson 152.5 40 426 J. B. Gamble 189.5 32 604 V. Martin-Jones 124 26 388	Wickets . 24	Average 12.58 13.74 17.76 20.42
---	--------------	---

# WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	H	ghest in	ns	Not or	nte	Average	
A. A. Benn	12		615		155		0			
C. E. Godby	6	nga o	214			15.	0	****	51.25	
C. P. Hamilton	0				69		1		42.80	
C. I. Hammon			276		101*		1		34.50	
C. C. Garthwaite	12		331	I Dane	54		2	25 10	33.10	
†J. Q. Wood	11	n states i	283		130	Ship.	0	13.5		
U. Corbett	0			1		AND I	0		25.72	
A. C. Hamilton	- 0		85		23		3		17.00	
			126		22	-	3		15.75	
T. J. R. Dashwood	12	N. A. S. S.	160		58	N. B.	0	SEC MA		
P. F. Garthwaite	9		75				U		13.33	
D. A. Affleck-Graves					31		1		9.37	
D. A. Allieck-Graves	3 5	***	28		14*		2	160	9.33	

# WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

A. C. Hamilton C. C. Garthwaite H. C. Berens P. F. Garthwaite D. A. Affleck-Grayes	145 123.2 143.2	 Maidens 8 25 25 10 22	Runs 343 384 363 587 284	Wickets 23 20 18 25	200 100 100 100	Average 14.91 19.20 20.16 23.48	
and the residence of the ver	5 00	 44	 284	 10 .		28.40	

### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING

	No. of the last of				11 1111	r 1.11	IU.	
K. J. Gardiner †J. A. Cook	nnings 13 11		Runs 288 241	H	100	ns. I	Not outs	Average 22,15
J. W. M. Aitken	14		182		95 59*		0	21.90 18.20
D. A. Bompas I. W. A. Symington	14	ODB	229 227	1.12	57	grain	0	16.35
J. A. Evetts	12		170		51 46		$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots \end{array}$	$16.21 \\ 15.45$
H. B. Graham J. K. Luard	13		116 84		29*		5	14.50
G. M. E. Paulson	13	117	78	W.	55 22*	15	6	14.00 11.14
H. L. Jones N. L. Foster	12		120 119		40		0	10.00
			TIO		31		0 .	9.91

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs		Wickets	Average
G. M. E. Paulson	103		24	 324	220 .		12.00
J. A. Evetts			4	 291		16	 18.18
N. L. Foster		-	11	 235		10	 23.50
I. W. A. Symington	136		40	 441		16	
K. J. Gardiner	101.4		15	 359		12	 29.91

### WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

I	nning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ts	Average		
G. R. Ormiston	11	WIND	313		99*		2		35.77
J. H. L. Phillips			338		74		0		30.72
H. Dodwell		5 (60)	191		55*		1		21.22
P. McCall	9		111	100	36		2		15.85
G. T. Barton	11	10.00	115	1111	50		2		12.77
			60		14	11000	3		8.57
E. C. Ball		8	61		30	3.00	0		7.62
G. R. Leonard	7		45		14*		1		7 50
G. It. Incondia			10						

### WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
E. L. Updegraff	43.3	 2	 163		19	8.57
G. R. Leonard		 33	 621		40	15.52
J. H. L. Phillips	72	 14	 194		12	16.16
P. L. Norcock	85.2	 8	 399		21	19.00

# WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	rs	Runs	Н	lighest in	nns. N	ot out	3	Average
†G. P. Goodwin			683		120		2		52.53
C. A. Gage		N. Sank	316		52		4		28.72
H. H. Peart		1	361	4 10	55		0		22.56
F. R. Ewing		100/	272	1700	57		0		20.92
F. S. Thompson		1000	138		46*		3		15.33
N. M. Ling	-	188	185		51	10.00	2		14.23
L. H. Emerson			113		67		1		11.30
W. J. Stutchbury.			79		28		4		11.28
		121	118		35	IS I A	1		9.07
K. H. Beglin			121	• •	27	ole .	ō		7.56
H. G. Sturges	. 16		141		and 8	The Name of the last			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

# WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

			Overs		Maidens	Runs	200	Wickets	Average
п	н	Peart		1000	44	 652		51	 12.78
		Ewing			10	 145		11	 13.18
		Goodwin			23	 288		19	 15.15
		Stutchbury .			24	 438		24	 18.25

#### WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	8	Runs	H	ighest ir	ns. N	ot outs		Average
P. N. Townsend	5		96		30*		4 .		96.00
P. J. Brett	12		413		76		5 .		59.00
†P. G. T. Kingsley .	15		770		150		1 .		55.00
I. D. K. Fleming .	15		783	111	210		0 .	-	52.20
J. E. A. Atkinson .	12		254		70*		5 .		36.28
J. L. T. Guise	7		142		60		3		35.50
P. W. Milligan	10		272		62*		2		34.00
P. E. Mason	3		48	B. W. T.	37	10.57			24.00
A. G. Manners	8		120		33		2		20.00
R. S. G. Scott	9		97		44		1 .		12.12
R. S. Walker	7	1	53		24*		2		10.60
A. M. Tew	5		43		23		0 .		8.60

#### WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

Nie W. A. Line River	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. J. Brett		53	 415	 30	 13.83
A. M. Tew		73	 611	39	 15.66
P. E. Mason		 26	 298	 17	 17.52
P. N. Townsend		 25	 551	 29	 19.00
J. E. A. Atkinson.	23	 6	 71	 3	 23.66

#### WREKIN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	I	lighest in	ins.	Not ou	ts	Average
†A. D. Wilkie	. 17	20.	622		109*		2		41.46
A. D. Clark	. 17		418		64		2		27.86
D. S. Ship	. 9		185		58		1		23.12
J. E. Harrisson	. 14		262		57*		2		21.83
F. Bullivant	. 14		155		41		2		12.91
J. W. Elliott	. 15		162		25		1		11.57
T. G. V. Johns			57		21*		3	99	11.40
J. D. Penn	. 16		165		52		1		11.00
R. T. Chadwick			75		16		0		7.50
H. W. Brearley	. 12		32		8*	100	7		6.40

#### WREKIN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average
J. E. Harrisson	51		10		146		14		10,42
J. W. Elliott	288.4		65		780		65		12.00
H. W. Brearley	159.5		22		535		25		21.40
F. Bullivant	133.1		18		408	-	19		21.47