

THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1959

By E. M. WELLINGS

When the pick of the schoolboy players assembled at Lord's for their representative matches, three of them had already played for their counties. Yet 1959 was not to be regarded as a vintage year for Public Schools cricket despite the ideal weather. The clue to a school season is rather to be found in the weather of the previous summer. The fruits of one year ripen in the next, and the 1959 teams were the products of the rain and slush of the so-called summer of 1958. However, the spadework should have been well and truly done to produce something really good in 1960. That was rendered all the more likely by the number of unusually young school sides and the many old Colours remaining for another year. They even include E. J. Craig, who set himself a difficult target for his final school year at Charterhouse by scoring 1,079, the highest aggregate by any boy during the consistently dry and sunny term.

If the general standard of batting and bowling did not qualify for lavish praise, the season to me was outstanding by the reason of the fielding. It is but a short time ago that I was annually writing harsh things about the fielding of the boys. If criticism in these columns played its part in switching attention to this vital branch of the game, it was well worth while. At that time few games masters troubled to refer to fielding in reports on the performances of their school teams. Today few fail to do so, though space does not always permit their reports to appear in full. Some schools, notably Haileybury and Oundle, gave the fielders chief

credit for their particularly successful season in 1959.

The show-piece matches at Lord's splendidly illustrated the great improvement that has taken place in recent years. The fielding was excellent, the throwing far, far ahead of what it was as recently as the middle fifties, and by the close of the opening day of the big games particularly fine catches had already been made by the Nawab of Pataudi (Winchester), G. R. Harvey (Blundell's) and C. R. Pilkington (Repton). R. E. F. Minns (King's, Canterbury) and W. A. Sparling (Ampleforth) stood out as top-class fielders, and, though J. Baskervyle Glegg had kept wicket for Eton throughout the term, he did not fall down on the job when required to field in more remote parts for the Southern Schools.

The wicket-keeping in that first game, in which the South were altogether too powerful for The Rest, was alone disappointing. Neither C. J. Saunders (Lancing) nor J. S. Blower (Rossall) rose above ordinary standards, and Baskervyle Glegg became the

stumper for the representative Schools XI against Combined Services. Some of those most familiar with the subject considered that M. G. Griffith (Marlborough), the 15-year-old son of S. C. Griffith, was at least as good as any of the wicket-keepers, but wisely he was held back to gather more experience.

The young can learn much from watching and imitating the county players. They should, however, be warned against slavish imitation. What is correct in first-class cricket is not necessarily so in schoolboy play. This applies to the wicket-keepers. In big cricket today they spend much of their time standing back, acting as long-stops even to bowlers of comparatively gentle medium pace. They do so, because they do not expect stumping chances. By going back they not only make more sure of holding the catches but also allow first slip to stand so much wider that, in effect, they give the bowler an extra fielder. In school cricket, however, the stumper is a learner, and he will not develop his talent by doing the job of a long-stop. Even when the ball was old Saunders, at Lord's, stood back to J. S. Raper (Harrow), whose pace did not justify such siting of the wicket-keeper. The standard will improve, I am sure, if the leading boy stumpers are encouraged to learn their trade in a position immediately behind the stumps for all bowlers from medium pace downwards.

Another cautionary piece of advice might concern the throwing, which today is exclusively done full pitch to the wicket-keeper in first-class cricket. Perhaps I should say "is exclusively attempted full pitch," for the wicket-keeper often has to cover much ground to make the catch. The full-pitch throw is excellent when accurate and when effected with a flat trajectory. It is a time-waster if the ball has to be thrown a great height into the air to achieve the necessary distance. Schoolboys, and others, would be well advised not to neglect a flat throw which reaches the wicket on its first long hop. These remarks are not intended as criticism of the throwing by the boys at Lord's. It was good, and near the end of the first of the two games there was a gem of a throw by Sparling, full toss to the stumper with a swallow's trajectory from deep mid-wicket. He was a powerful thrower fully justified in using the full toss route. Inevitably there were other throws which, though accurate enough, travelled so high that alert batsmen would have had time in which to steal extra runs.

The big games at Lord's began unfortunately, with some painstaking batting by The Rest, and an accident which put their best-looking batsman out of action for the remainder of the week. N. M. Stephens (Oundle) had made 19 runs in a style which promised to win him a place in the representative side, when he ricked his back while going for a sharp run. The Rest were subsequently dismissed for 72, and they toiled no less than two and

a half hours, during which the Southern Schools fired 57.2 overs at them. There was some early life in the pitch, and some of the bowling was remote from the wicket, but such a scoring rate was quite unworthy. In the schools the boy batsmen had generally made their runs quickly. The games master of Wellington School, Somerset, did indeed complain that "too many potentially good batsmen lost their wickets time and again trying to force from the first ball." A spirit of high adventure apparently was not proof against the historical atmosphere of Lord's. The boys were adversely affected by the occasion.

The play afterwards improved, though The Rest again collapsed after an inspiring second innings by J. L. Cuthbertson (Rugby), and against Combined Services the chosen batsmen performed disappointingly against an attack which should have been within their compass. It may be that the leading players were surfeited with runs. Craig's splendid aggregate was almost matched by those of the Nawab of Pataudi, 1,068 with an average of 71.2, and J. A. Jameson (Taunton), 1,031 at 93.72, while J. M. Brearley, who was not in the teams at Lord's, made 1.015, average 84.58, for City of London School. Others on view at Lord's were also bearing large school averages. R. E. F. Minns averaged 94.77 for King's, Canterbury, from 853 runs. He was one of the three with county experience, the others being Pataudi and M. J. Smith (Enfield Grammar School). Pataudi had enjoyed several games for Sussex. Minns had shown his batting promise in the Kent side, and Smith had played for Middlesex, primarily as a left-arm slow bowler though he was also a strong batsman who hit 77 not out for the Southern Schools.

Unfortunately none of the three did anything outstanding against Combined Services. The Nawab was surely the number one batsman of the school year. There seems nothing wrong with his play that experience will not put right. He is a splendid, natural stroke player, and he will soon learn in first-class cricket not to play across the ball. His fielding, moreover, was something that his fellow countrymen of the Indian touring team would have found useful. His first innings at Lord's must have revived memories among the more senior, for the entry in the scorebook read Nawab of Pataudi, b Woolley 13. His father's idol was Frank Woolley, and to that great player's coaching he attributed the considerable success he himself won in the game. The young Pataudi looks capable of emulating his late father, though, now that India are among the Test playing countries, he can never hope to score a century for England against Australia.

Minns had a disappointing run in the representative games, but his final innings of 39 against the Services revealed his quality. Pataudi and Craig were the only others to reach 30 in either innings

of that match. Though far from being powerfully built Craig showed how quickly he could score against the bowling of The Rest. He watched the ball closely, had a compact style and on the basis of good footwork made his attacking strokes confidently. Baskervyle Glegg opened with him for the Public Schools, a batsman well groomed in matches against the strong opposition which Eton meet. The main batting of that side was completed by Cuthbertson and Jameson. For Cuthbertson, Lord's had been an unlucky ground, but he laid his particular bogey most emphatically with an innings of 107 against the Southern Schools. This was a knock of great merit for a side which had previously been outplayed and which had made a second bad start. His methods were eminently sound, and he drove and square cut excellently. Jameson was of similar stamp, a strong fellow who could hit the ball hard and batted with a good, orthodox style.

Apart from Stephens, who was out of action, the best of those batsmen left in reserve appeared to be T. D. Wainwright of Eastbourne, who stepped into The Rest side when Stephens was injured. Though he tended to play across the line of the ball with a bat not quite straight, he helped Cuthbertson in a stand of 100 and played the on-drive, cricket's most difficult stroke, particularly well. Most of the others did not do themselves justice. P. D. Briggs (Pocklington) was excessively cautious and failed twice against the South's bowlers. G. T. Harvey of Blundell's had two brief innings for the other side, and R. M. H. Thomas had apparently exhausted his runs and wickets while doing great things for Rydal. D. E. Costain of Stowe was also disappointing. A batsman who had scored three-quarters of his side's runs to secure an epic victory on his school ground against Oundle must have possessed greater scoring powers than he was willing to put on show at Lord's. One perfect straight drive among much stubborn, tucked-up defensive play hinted at such. Sparling, a batsman with a spirit of adventure, was a curious player. During one over he would give the impression of being a well organised batsman. In the next he would appear thoroughly disorganised. P. J. Kippax, who had headed both batting and bowling averages for Bedford Modern, was another who had apparently used up his ration of success before the representative games.

Kippax was one of several leg-break and googly bowlers, the type for which English cricket was crying out, who did well for their schools. The general standard of spin bowling in the schools as revealed by the boys at Lord's was, however, moderate. For one who had been selected by his county Smith was something of a disappointment. He could bowl his left-arm slows with fair accuracy, but his spin was gentle and his chesty delivery was mechanically lacking in life.

The off-spinners had greater success in the trial game, and the manner of that success increased the impression that half the batsmen in that match played far below their true form. During the second collapse of The Rest, Harvey took four for five in eight overs. Perhaps the unusual sight of a spin bowler polishing the ball on his trousers bewildered his opponents. They played all manner of astonishing strokes. Most of them heard their stumps struck while they were gazing towards the far horizon at midwicket, whence they had directed their swipes. Jameson, who had taken four for 16 in the first innings, had a more lively action and looked the more promising off-spinner.

The backbone of the school attack was once again formed by the fast-medium and medium pace bowlers. They were a useful bunch, though most would improve their performance if they would practise their actions to show less chest to the batsman. R. I. Jefferson of Wichester was the pick of the batch. He and A. J. G. Pearson (Downside) were tall young men who made good use of their height. J. S. Raper (Harrow), the third swing bowler against the Services, had no such advantage. His role was rather that of the persistent stock bowler and he performed it well. Behind them were useful reserves. Briggs, who as captain of The Rest used himself sparingly, had the best action of all, and for one of frail build he was decidedly quick. D. Woolley from Manchester Grammar School was lively off the pitch, but his comparative lack of control over length probably deprived him of a place in the representative side.

Bowling tactics of boy players are another feature of the game where slavish imitation of the county cricketers is apt to be crippling. The influence of the county game in the use of split fields, often five on the off-side and four to leg, was obvious. The old maxim was that there are not enough fielders to permit a bowler to attack on both sides of the wicket. He can set an effective field only on one side. The split field inevitably leaves gaps. These are mainly in front of the wicket. Hence the bowler is encouraged to pitch defensively short of a length. Such tactics have been profitably employed by numerous bowlers in first-class cricket. though when batsmen, needing to score extremely fast in the last innings, have asserted themselves, field placings of that order have proved singularly unsuccessful in reducing the scoring rate. For boys, bowling with less accuracy than their seniors, tactics of that sort are more difficult to put into effective practice. The schoolboy bowler needs to learn to bowl accurately to a set field on one side of the wicket. If the seam bowlers feel justified in starting with a field designed to hold close catches on both sides of the wicket. they will benefit by concentrating their forces on one side as soon as the ball loses its first glossy shine.

The greatest mistake is surely made today by the orthodox left-arm spinner. Though he may use an occasional in-swinger for variety, that is no reason for wasting fielders on the leg side. England's Test team today would give much for a left-hander able to maintain an accurate, nagging attack on and around the off stump. The necessary accuracy will not be achieved by the bowler who employs a third, and sometimes even a fourth, leg-side fielder to guard against loose balls. The dry pitches of 1959 should have persuaded all such bowlers that the way to success lies primarily in accuracy of length and direction, to which, with experience. variations of flight, pace, direction of attack, by using the width of the crease, and the distance from which the ball is propelled are then to be added. On such pitches even the off-spinner has no good reason for packing the leg side. He would be better employed learning the same arts as the orthodox left-hander, using what little turn he may be able to get from the off to lull the batsman into playing inside the ball that does not turn or even moves a little the other way.

Winchester again qualified for early mention among the top school sides. They suffered—if that is a permissible word in such circumstances—their first defeat by a school since 1954, and the manner of defeat reflected as much honour on their players as on the victorious Harrovians. They were beaten by a single run after one of the many fine innings which their captain, the Nawab, played for them during the term. His side won nine and lost only two matches, and the figures clearly indicate how much they owed to him. His own average was 71, against 26 by the runner-up, and his aggregate was the largest ever accumulated for the school in one summer. Eton similarly thrived on the batting of their openers, Baskervyle Glegg and A. C. E. Robinson, and cricket at Harrow was clearly on the upgrade.

Haileybury, the fielding experts, were one of the most formidable sides, winning four of their six inter-school matches and losing only to Wellington. Clifton were clearly the leading side in the west, where they won four inter-school matches and drew the other two. Like Eton they were blessed by successful openers, A. R. Windows and J. R. Stephens, each of whom scored two centuries. Oundle were another fine side against other schools, and in all their 16 matches they lost only once. Radley also continued to deal faithfully with school opponents, none of whom has succeeded against them in three years. In the north St. Peter's, York, had a similar record. Worksop lost only two of 16 matches, Mill Hill left only one of 14 unfinished, and Shrewsbury joined those who gave much credit for a successful season to their fielders. In Wales Llandovery had their best term for many years, and the credit went largely to their excellent opening bowlers, P. Mostyn

Davies and D. N. Stimson. Together they took 91 wickets for 811. Scotland's most successful teams were Merchiston Castle, with only one defeat in 15 games, and Loretto, who won 10 or their 15. A. R. Reid, who was reported to be unusually fast for a schoolboy bowler, took 74 at merely 6.75 each for Loretto. Merchiston prospered from the consistent all-round play of D. R. C. Morton. In the past five seasons only two schools have managed to lower their colours.

There was report of other emphatically fast bowlers. Three of them were in Kent. G. C. Pritchard, bowling at exceptional pace for King's, Canterbury, took 49 wickets at 11.57 each, M. P. M. Watson 34 at 16.05 for St. Lawrence, Ramsgate, and P. W. Sayer of Kent College had 45 at 5.2 each and a couple of hat-tricks. Other bowling performances worthy of special mention include M. Kirkman's 59 scalps for Dulwich, a total exceeded only by two Dulwich cricketers, A. E. R. Gilligan and T. E. Bailey. An exceptionally large bag was that of D. I. Yealsley, who took 86 wickets at microscopic cost and also headed the Exeter School batting averages. It was hardly surprising that Exeter won 14 out of 17 matches.

The fastest century recorded by a schoolboy was the 101 by Minns in sixty-two minutes against the Band of Brothers. J. A. Edgar hit 100 in eighty-nine minutes for Perse against Bishop's Stortford. Jameson and Brearley each made five centuries for their schools. Several sides batted with splendid enterprise, and there was clearly no cause to doubt that cricket was being played in the right spirit in the schools. It was to be hoped that the young players would not afterwards become unduly influenced by the defensive ideas rife in senior cricket. Above all they should not allow themselves to indulge in those time-wasting practices which, since the war, have reduced the tempo of first-class cricket by anything up to, and even beyond, 30 overs a day. The 57.2 overs in two and a half hours by the Southern Schools at Lord's was the sort of pre-war rate which the boys should aim to maintain in senior cricket.

The finish this year must necessarily be on a sad note, recording the end of the ancient Mercer's School in London. Their games master wrote to say that there could be no inclusion of Mercer's School results in *Wisden*. The numbers for the last term in the school's history had been reduced to 30, and the cricket team played their matches against third elevens of other schools. Their rivals carry on and after the glorious summer of 1959 could hope to attain new cricketing standards in 1960.

Ratting

Public School Cricket in 1959

THE SCHOOLS

* Signifies not out. † Indicates captain.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL

The season proved disappointing, mainly because the all-spin attack was unsuited to hard pitches. Among the batsmen M. J. Catchpole, a well-built left-hander, developed well. Z. H. Hidayatallah, from Pakistan, stood out as a left-arm spin bowler.

Played 1	2 Wo	n 2 Los	t 6 1	Drawn 4
Fulvea	Z. VVO	IL L. LUS	ι \circ , ι	I WIVIL T

Batting	.,			10.10		T REY		
ensa lengitalah is	Innings	Not o	outs	Runs	Highest inns.			Average
M. J. Catchpole	12	1	21	410		84		37.27
F. R. Clitheroe	13	2		311		122*		28.27
T. G. Garwood	12	3	THE	242		82		26.88
P. D. Robins	13	1		219		51*		18.25
†J. F. R. Stainer	11	1		106		44		10.60
P. M. Wright	12	0		127		61		10.58
Bowling								
Downing	Overs	Maid	ens	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
Z. H. Hidayatallah		38		430		30		14.33
J. F. R. Stainer		64		647	002	26		24.88
A. A. Hussain		8	ylbu	300		10		30.00

ALLEYN'S SCHOOL

In his third season as captain M. J. Edwards batted and bowled particularly well. The batting was uncertain, but the bowling with the brothers Coulson bowling fast and effectively was responsible for a reasonably successful season.

Played 14, Won 4, Lost 3, Drawn 7

†M. J. Edwards P. E. Rice P. R. Wright R. G. Davies	14	N	lot ou 2 2 1 1	its	Runs 351 304 256 145	Hig	90 71 57 43	nns.	Average 31.90 25.33 21.33 18.12
Bowling M. J. Edwards J. N. Coulson J. A. R. Rice R. J. Coulson	. 146	 	Taider 18 28 15 36	ns 	Runs 343 429 179 333		Wicket 22 27 11 20	 	Average 15.59 15.88 16.27 16.65
C. Iles			4		353		16		22.06

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 1, Lost 7, Drawn 5

C. D. C. Bartlett	Innings 11	Not outs	Runs 262	Highest inns.	Average 26.20
C. J. Carter	10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	154 179	33	22.00 19.88
A. J. Pollard	14	1	252 148	39	19.38 12.33
†J. A. Yearsley	13		140	30*	12.33
Bowling	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. A. Yearsley		25	578 604	30	19.26 19.48

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE

A particularly young side strong in batting owed their success largely to the three seniors W. A. Sparling, J. J. Brennan and A. J. King. Seven of the side played innings exceeding 60 and were supported by a varied attack. The fielding was good and Sparling so outstanding as to be rated perhaps the best fielder the College has ever had.

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Batting					NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		T. Biot.		Same Service
	Innings	N	Not outs		Runs	Highest inns.			Average
J. J. Brennan	19		3		668		136*		41.75
W. A. Sparling	17		2		524		97		34.93
A. J. King			1		616		108*		34.22
D. I. Russell			5		306		65*		30.60
P. R. Butcher			3		260		67*		26.00
J. P. Stephens			2		352		127*		25.14
R. H. Jackson			3		173		93		24.71
D. J. Trench	10		1		136		32		15.11
Bowling									
De la companya de la	Overs	M	Taider	ns	Runs		Wickets	3	Average
J. Wetherell	180.4		34		596		30		19.86
T. A. Huskinson			19		617		30		20.56
J. J. Brennan			75		784		36		21.77
W. A. Sparling			51		642		26		24.69
AC .									

ARDINGLY COLLEGE

Among the best performances were P. A. Perrin's seven for 13 against Alleyn's and six for 16 against Caterham.

Played 13, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 3

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
H. T. Gauntlett	11		2		225		50		25.00
R. E. Darch			2		229		70		22.90
†R. A. Perrin			1		250		66		22.72
T. G. Coghlin			2	109	204		67*		20.40
R. W. Barker			0		242		47		20.16
A. V. E. Gould			1		240		97		20.00
Bowling									
Shaple and Sh	Overs	M	Laider	ıs	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
R. A. Perrin	198.2		49		539		35		15.40
A. V. E. Gould			14		320		19		16.84
S. Mees	190.4		41		530		28		18.92

ASHVILLE COLLEGE

After an unbeaten term's cricket in 1958, Ashville had yet another good season, with several close and exciting finishes. The batting was unreliable, but the combination of left-arm leg-breaks from T. J. Elsworth and off-breaks from C. M. Davies was often a match-winner. I. W. Murdoch showed considerable promise as a wicket-keeper.

Played 15, Won 8, Lost 3, Drawn 4

Batting								
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest inns	s. Average
V. S. Chadwick	. 10		4		164		39' .	. 27.33
A. H. Milne	. 13		1		246		65 .	. 20.50
T. J. Elsworth	. 16		2		243		66* .	. 17.35
I. H. Winchester	. 13		1		193		70 .	. 16.08
C. S. Haw		-	0		230	1111	41 .	. 14.37
A. K. Davies	. 16		2		198	4.11	43* .	. 14.14

Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	,	Wicket	s	Average
V. S. Chadwick	47.4		14		92	20.70	12	COL	7.66
T. J. Elsworth	146.2		16		389		34		11.44
†C. M. Davies	94.5		16		298	1010	23		12.95
M. H. Reid	76.5		6		215		15		14.33
T. Mumford	119.4		13		351	1	21		16.71

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL

Played 17. Won 5, Lost 5, Drawn 7

	you il,	"	11 0, 1	2030	, Diami	200			
Batting									
	Innings	1	Not or	its	Runs	His	hest in	ns.	Average
†J. D. Hoskin	17		1		469				
			1				53		29.31
M. Bottomley	17		2		358		62		23.86
D. A. Dryer	17		2		336	-	82	and the state of	22.40
H. G. Waller	15		4		200		41*	Mary N	18.18
C. H. Pemberton	13		2		197		41		17.90
R. S. Furniss	15		1		249		55		17.78
D. C. H. Thompson	10		3		120		30		17.14
G. P. Ayres	10		2	4	100		35*		12.50
Granding	10				100	10.34	33	-	12.50
D									
Bowling		-			4000		. France		
	Overs	N.	Taide	18	Runs	1	Vickets	3	Average
G. P. Ayres	265.3		61		727		57	HATE	13.07
D C H Thomason							The second second		
D. C. H. Thompson	179.1		34		587		30		19.56
M. Bottomley	63.5		7		271		12		22.58
and the latest of the same of the									

BEAUMONT COLLEGE

A young, inexperienced side was well led by M. R. C. Barr and thrived on bowling which exceeded expectations. J. C. Murphy in this particular deservedly won much praise.

Played 13, Won 5, Lost 4, Drawn 4

Batting									
人的电话。 ****	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
†M. R. C. Barr	15		0		524		85	TOWN	34.93
C. Halliday	15		3		326		67*	DOE	27.16
A. Scott	15		0		320		76		21.33
J. C. Murphy	15		4		230		56*		20.90
M. Wood	14		0	14	232		48		16.42
J. Paton-Walsh	15		1		183		46		13.07
Contract of the contract of th									
Bowling			100						
	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	7	Vickets	3	Average
J. C. Murphy	221.1		49	1.11.7	629		51		12.33
M. R. C. Barr	116		20		378		20		18.90
M. Hywel-Davies	121.4		15		453		20		22.65
A. Naughten	92		14		321		17		18.88

BEDFORD SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 4, Lost 6, Drawn 3

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hic	hest in	nne	Average
S. V. Bevan			3		385		67*	1110.	35.00
R. J. Wolverson			1		310		69	absented the same of the same	25.83
D. M. Taylor	13		0		331	1119	68		25.46
B. J. Mitchell			1		189		78		18.90
T. R. C. Cheesman	14		0		250		54		17.85

Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	,	Vicket	S	Average
B. E. Bensley B. J. Mitchell S. V. Bevan	217.1 201.4		42 43		583 608 396	::	39 37 11		14.94 16.43 36.00

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL

Bowlers rather than batsmen thrived on the dry, true pitches. The leg-breaks and googlies of P. J. Kippax, who was well supported both as batsman and bowler by his brother, W. S., were of an exceptionally high standard.

Played 12, Won 6, Lost 1, Drawn 5

Batting	Innings	N	lot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average
†P. J. Kippax	12		1		341	•••	68 29*	.: 1	31.00 29.00
R. B. Claridge	11	::	2	•••	116 243		49	• •	27.00
W. S. Kippax	12		ī		223		59*		20.27
R. B. H. Dyer-Smith	13		2		190		36		17.27
J. M. Bunker	12	••	0		149		30		12.41
Bowling	Overs	M	Iaiden	ıs	Runs	7	Vickets	3	Average
P. J. Kippax	170.6		37		432		42		10.28
W. S. Kippax	141.4 180.3		28 45		358 410	•••	32 27	••	11.18 15.18
D. A. Burton	100.3		43		710				10.10

BISHOP'S STORTFORD COLLEGE

Played 16, Won 5, Lost 4, Drawn 7

Batting J. R. Sainsbury G. J. Pullen †R. L. Ashton	15		outs 8 1	Runs 546 261 201	High	hest in 82* 60 55	ns.	Average 91.00 18.64 14.35
Bowling A. J. Houlden P. S. Reed J. R. Sainsbury	223.1	4	dens 8 1 23	Runs 571 762 763	 	Vickets 26 31 29	TO SECOND	Average 21.96 24.58 26.31

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL

The school team batted well and attractively, and the obvious bowling weakness was to some extent overcome by the great improvement of the captain, G. R. Harvey, who headed both sets of averages. The side were better than results suggest.

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 6, Drawn 3

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Average		
†G. R. Harvey	15		0		557	 156		37.13
P. G. O. M. Cornish			2	1.4.	412	 71*		31.69
M. C. Tinniswood			4		286	 53		28.60
D. J. Clements			2		277	 81*		25.18
H. M. Tulloch			0		279	 64		19.92
A. D. Bentley			0		231	 46		16.50
T. H. D. Smyth	. 11		0		159	 65		14.45

Puhi	lic .	Scho	01 (Crick	ret	in	19	50)
\mathbf{I} uvi	10 1	Julio	v		ve		1	-	•

Bowling

ograpical storiotive	Overs Maidens			18	Runs	1	Wicket	Average		
G. R. Harvey	305.2		66		853		64		13.32	
T. C. Ross	177.1		49		546		26	Hen	21.00	
G. H. Gane	142		28		463		13		35.61	

BOLTON SCHOOL

Played 22, Won 14, Lost 4, Drawn 4

	,,		, .		., 2	PRODUCT.			
Batting									
pur mamenta sa mos by	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	His	hest in	ms.	Average
D. R. Worsley	19		4	Davida	474		69*		31.60
	12		6		167		33*		
R. Gee									27.83
P. Boardman	20		3		366		43		21.52
†D. Nuttall	18		1		334		66		19.64
G. Ogden	16	1	2		213		37		15.21
J. B. Holt	14		1	200	192	- 111	73	2 aver	14.76
	3717		-		75			12000	11.70
Bowling									
	Overs	· M	Taider	18	Runs		Wicket	2	Average
R. Gee	201.3		60	100	403		52	POWL !	
N. 000				1000					7.75
D. R. Worsley	158.2		45		346		38		9.10
P. Jarvis	183.2		53		327		33		9.90
F. D. Ryder	75.3		17		146		14		10.42
D. S. Parr	109 5		35		233		22		10.50

BRADFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 5, Lost 2, Drawn 6

Batting	ujeu 15,	11 011 0, 110	or z, Dian	0	
Datting	Innings	Not out	Runs	Highart inna	A
T A C-1-C-14		Not out		Highest inns.	Average
J. A. Schofield		1	280	70*	23.33
†P. Foy	12	1	216	79	18.83
G. B. Sugden	13	0	247	53	19.00
P. N. Smith		0	211	51	16.23
	0.00				
Bowling					
Bouring	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E Wandall					
F. Kendall		51	336	37	9.08
A. D. Naylor		52	223	22	10.43
E. Shuttleworth	127	40	268	23	11.65
J. A. Wade	180.4	42	250	18	13.88

BRENTWOOD SCHOOL

Played 15, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Batting	.,,		, , ,		, 2.4,,,,				
applied augurdo our be	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ins.	Average
W. B. Graylin	13	1 36	1	Maria Cal	385	X3. 9	112	27,34	32.08
A. R. Wadsworth	15		0	10 223	310	150,00	67	3000	20.66
M. D. Thurgur	13		3		206		42*		20.60
†B. L. G. Squirrell	15		0	MOVE TO	272	100	60		18.13
R. Mayes			5		179		33*		17.90
R. W. Bixley	14		0		191		54		13.64
Bowling									
	Overs	M	laide	ıs	Runs		Wickets	S	Average
T. B. Russell	102		28		298	-	23		12.95
G. E. P. Davies	122		32		317		23	AUTO	13.78
G. W. A. Marshall			32		557		32	100	17.40
A. C. Godfrey			61		607	4	34	Val.	17.85
W. B. Graylin	85		19		278	1 000	10	100000	27.80

BRIGHTON COLLEGE

The side, strongly founded on all-rounders, were unbeaten, though on perfect pitches many matches were left drawn. R. W. Lewis perhaps suffered as a batsman from having more bowling to do, though his all-round record was still imposing. D. J. Lock at number five was a splendidly consistent scorer.

Played 15, Won 5, Drawn 10

			Innings Not outs			ghest in	us.	Average
15		1		674		112*		48.14
15		2		397		59		30.53
12		7		144		25		28.80
14		4		259		54*		25.90
10		1		188		100*		20.88
14		0		289		68		20.64
9		1		157		56		19.62
14		1		255		50*		19.61
	15 12 14 10 14 9	15 12 14 10 14 9	15 2 12 7 14 4 10 1 14 0 9 1	15 2 12 7 14 4 10 1 14 0 9 1	15 2 397 12 7 144 14 4 259 10 1 188 14 0 289 9 1 157	15 2 397	15 2 397 59 12 7 144 25 14 4 259 54* 10 1 188 100* 14 0 289 68 9 1 157 56	15 . 2 . 397 . 59 12 . 7 . 144 . 25 14 . 4 . 259 . 54* 10 . 1 . 188 . 100* 14 . 0 . 289 . 68 9 . 1 . 157 . 56

Bowling

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	V	Vicket	Average		
R. W. Lewis	161.2		39		439		34		12.91	
C. C. A. Bagnall	188.6		59		436		31		14.06	
D. J. Marshall	201		71		431		25		17.24	
J. W. Lewis	121.3		11		237		13		18.23	
S. R. G. White	111.5		17		438		14		31.28	

BRISTOL GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 5, Lost 5, Drawn 3

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Average		
†J. T. Watkinson	11		3		304	 62*		38.00
C. G. Hoskins			2		341	 100*		34.10
I. H. Ross			2		230	54*		25.55
N. E. Ridley			1		294	65		24.50
M. D. Dickinson	12		1	1.0	194	 61*		17.63

Bowling

CHIEF CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA	Overs Maidens			ıs	Runs	Wicket	Average		
R. D. Hopkins	105.2		31		305	 27		11.29	
M. J. Floyd	80.3		12		285	 24		11.87	
K. J. Rogers			22		191	 11		17.36	

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL

Played 11, Won 1, Lost 5, Drawn 5

ANTEREST COMPANY TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
J. F. Burnett	10		0		276		96		27.60
E. R. Davies	11		0		274		57		24.90
R. A. B. Green	11		2		159		42		17.66
R. P. Burnett	10		0		152		55		15.20
D. S. Neil	11		0		151		62		13.72
J. M. Taylor	10		1		109		34		12.11
J. L. W. Jenkins	11		3		94		26		11.75
†J. J. Chadwick	11		0		115		32		10.45
J. R. J. Grice	10		3		73		25		10.42

not be some soled easily.	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
J. M. Taylor	76		15		198	 15		13.20
R. P. Burnett	81		20	book	212	 12		17.66
P. N. G. Mountford	176		37	14.17	457	 25		18.28
W. M. Harvey	158		41		431	 18		23.94

Batting

BRYANSTON SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 2, Lost 5, Drawn 7

	.,,	,, ,	,, _,		,				
Batting	COME STATE		A A	HAVE	The last of	TT!			A Committee
	Innings	1	ot out	S	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
O. R. Stansfield	12		2		375	215 NO	97*		37.50
J. E. Mervis	12		0		306		81		25.50
A. J. Brigden	9		3		144		35*		24.00
G. D. Whitaker	13		1		204		53		17.00
†J. Ware	13		1		196		37		16.33
A. S. Webster	11		0		157		53		14.27
Bowling									
Downing	0		r.:	15 4	D		Vicket		A
	Overs	IV.	Iaidens	5	Runs			5	Average
J. Ware	132.3		22		453		32		14.15
M. R. D. North			27		350		19		18.42
G. D. Whitaker	94.4		23		334		13		25.69
J. Saklatvala	69		10		291		11		26.45

CANFORD SCHOOL

Seven members of an exceptionally young side will remain for the 1960 season.

Played 13, Won 3, Lost 7, Drawn 3

Datting	Innings	N	ot ou	te	Runs	Hio	hest in	ns	Average
R. H. Tailby		-	1		462	1115	130*		38.50
P. R. White	9		î	-	234		60		29.25
A. J. Cleminson		12.5	2		178		53		19.77
			1		167	SCE.	28		15.18
†C. H. Long			1						
D. I. Glass			2		148		60*		14.80
J. P. Perry-Robinson	. 11		0		131		23		11.90
C. E. J. Caffarate	. 13		0		145		35		11.15
Z. O. Kramet	. 13		3		108		33*		10.80
Bowling									
Downing	Overs	M	Taider	ıs	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
W. Ahl	172		13		566		30		18.86
D. I. Glass		• •	12		615		29		21.20
C. E. J. Caffarate			10	T. S.	260		11		23.63
J. P. Perry-Robinson	151.5		34		410	=	15		27.33

CATERHAM SCHOOL

Played 11. Won 3, Lost 2, Drawn 5, Tied 1

Batting	~ ·				FA E				
	Innings	1	lot ou				hest ir		Average
B. C. Matthews			0		265		73		24.09
S. K. McCabe			1		215		51		21.50
A. F. Fielding			0		228		62		20.72
M. K. G. Grange	. 11		1		182	••	46*		18.20
Bowling									
	Overs	M	Iaiden	S	Runs	1	Wicket:	S	Average
†A. C. Gliddon			28		376	1	37		10.16
J. R. M. Forster	. 117		18	••	366		16		22.87

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL

All inter-school matches produced definite results, three being won and two lost. E. J. Craig, who exceeded 1,000 runs, and J. J. Ullman, an all-rounder, had outstanding seasons. M. C. Godson showed promise and G. D. S. Dunlop much tenacity, but the middle batting was untrustworthy, and the bowling, apart from that of Ullman, was somewhat thin.

Played 16, Won 5, Lost 4, Drawn 7

Batting	in language	1	Tolera.	in critical	of the same	an alapa	in a la		
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ins.	Average
E. J. Craig	18		3		1079		131*		71.93
†J. J. Ullman			3		491		68*		35.07
G. D. S. Dunlop	14		1		381		72		29.30
P. Strathern	14		3	4.00	297		59		27.00
T. J. Barrow			4		307		58		23.61
M. C. Godson	14		0	de.	324		69		23.14
A. P. Blumer	13		4		101		30*		11.22
Bowling									
DOWING	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
J. J. Ullman	252		46		775		40		19.37
J. L. Rishworth	171.3		34		640		30		21.33
G. M. Faulkner	315.4		37		705		28		25.17
J. M. S. Ellis	151		26		595		19		31.31

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE

After a slow start, during which three inter-school matches were lost in a week, the side improved, and, headed by Prain and Caplan, the batting was good. The bowling was moderate, and in their big match at Lord's they were taught a lesson by Haileybury's superior fielding which had much influence on their victory.

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 6, Drawn 3

Innings Not outs Runs Highest inns. A	verage
J. J. N. Caplan 18 4 605 120*	43.21
†G. L. Prain	35.56
A. L. Garstin	35.45
A. S. P. Varcoe 19 0 476 91	25.05
M. R. de C. Sadler 19 2 423 65	24.88
0. W. M. Haines 14 4 208 35	20.80
	15.55
C. G. Hoole	10.66
CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	
Bowling	
	verage
	18.07
	23.50
	23.66
M. B. Seddon	25.52
G. L. Prain	27.87

CHIGWELL SCHOOL

Played 12, Won 1, Lost 7, Drawn 4

Batting	Innings	Not or	****	Dung	Uia	hoot in		Average
†B. D. Wood	1111111gs	Not or	ILS	Runs 393	Hig	hest in 84*	ns.	Average 39.30
H. R. Sherman	12	. 3		213		70*	•••	23.66
J. Midlane	5	0		108		47		21.60
Bowling								
The state of the s	Overs	Maide	ıs	Runs	V	Vickets	1000	Average
H. R. Sherman	87.4	10		366		24		15.35
R. O. Hagger	90.2	17		265		15		17.66

Batting

Public School Cricket in 1959

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL

The XI had a good season, losing only to three club sides. The batting was competent if never realising its full potentiality, and a lively, varied attack, supported by good catching and keen fielding, contributed largely to impressive wins against Dulwich College, Eastbourne College, Cranleigh School and St. John's, Leatherhead.

Played 13, W	on 6. Lost 3	. Drawn 4
--------------	--------------	-----------

1 +44	July 10,	,, ,,	,		,				
Batting						***		Yes	
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	H19	hest in	ıns.	Average
B. D. C. Labbett	13		4		277		63		30.77
J. A. Snow	12		2		288		86		28.80
N. D. Duncan	13		1		323		73		26.91
R. W. Noades	13				135		51*		11.25
†J. C. Haylock	11		0		115		26		10.45
J. H. Cox	12		2		100		26*		10.00
Bowling									
Dommis	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
J. A. Snow	186.3		58		422		47		8.97
	135.4	100	40		389	AS END ST	38	The state of	10.23
J. C. Haylock									
J. H. Cox	68		12		219		10		21.90

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL

Only one school match was lost, and that by merely six runs. The captain, J. M. Brearley, created school records by scoring over a thousand runs and five centuries.

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 3, Drawn 7

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ins.	Average
†J. M. Brearley			4		1015		126		84.58
D. G. Robins	15		3		309		101*		25.75
A. J. Bowtell	14		1		234		46*		18.00
P. M. Zec			1		115		42		14.37
P. F. Lacamp			1		164		35		13.66
P. A. Broomfield			4		103		16		11.44
G. A. Coulson	14		2		126		25		10.50
Bowling									
Downing	Overs	N	laider	ıs	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
P. F. Lacamp	245		68		646		49		13.18
P. A. Broomfield			69		437		29		15.06
S. Deller	107		28		301		18		16.72

CLAYESMORE SCHOOL

Played 12, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 3

C. P. Wilkinson C. R. Swatton	Innings 12 12		lot ou	its	Runs 223 236	Hig	hest in 67 48		Average 20.27 19.66
J. Hardie	12 9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	V	158 113	••	31 40		13.16 12.55
†F. Willcox Bowling	12	•	1		120	•••	32		10.90
	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
C. R. Swatton			20		349		30		11.63
C. W. Mathews			26		332		24		13.83
G. B. Swatton			18		273		17		16.05
J. F. Bates	86		18		240		12		20.00

CLIFTON COLLEGE

Clifton won four of their school matches, lost one and drew two. The outstanding batsmen were the opening pair, A. R. Windows and J. R. Stephens, each of whom scored two centuries. Most of the burden of the bowling fell on S. K. Ali, an off-spinner. D. G. Morrison, a young fast bowler of real pace, showed much promise.

Played 13, Won 4, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ins.	Average
A. R. Windows	. 15		2		571		105*		43.92
J. R. Stephens	15		0		591		125		39.40
J. L. Freeman			1	1	342	-	67*		26.30
G. I. Arthurs			1		264	1	66*		24.00
†W. M. Boas			2		240	1	75		18.66
P. D. Hancorn	9	-	1	OF COLUMN	113		28*		14.12
S. K. Ali		7	0	PERO CA	124		51	1	13.77
D. R. Bevan	14	A 120	1	MANA!	172		46		13.23
2.11.20.11.									
Bowling									
	Overs	M	aider	21	Runs	1	Vickets	Ny is	Average
D. G. Morrison			20		443		26	. He	17.03

CRANBROOK SCHOOL

During a satisfactory season, in which Cranbrook were undefeated in interschool games, there were no outstanding performers. Six batsmen shared most of the runs, and six bowlers the wickets. D. J. Winterbottom was a discovery as an opening fast-medium bowler able to swing the ball either way. P. J. Wagstaffe, aged 15, made a very promising beginning as an all-rounder.

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 6

	Innings	N	ot o	uts	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ins.	Average
R. B. Morgan	15		2		344		103*		26.46
T. E. F. Gunter	14		4		246		50*		24.60
†J. G. Barham			0		393		111		24.56
M. J. Hollis	16		2		292		75*		20.85
V. I. Wells	13		1		242		44		20.16
P. J. Wagstaffe			1		225		48		17.30
D. K. Thomas	12		0	1/	141		32		11.75
David.									

Bowling

Batting

 A. R. Windows
 120

 G. I. Arthurs
 143

 S. K. Ali
 272

 B. D. Wright
 97

William Co.	Overs	M	Taide	ns	Runs	1	Wicket	ts	Average
I. K. Klemen	49		7		144		11	THE REAL PROPERTY.	13.09
J. G. Barham	121		27		347		26		13.34
D. J. Winterbottom	124.4		28	Ac	337		24		14.04
R. J. Dapson	164.4		34		392		26		15.07
T. E. F. Gunter	109		22		279		17		16.47
P. J. Wagstaffe	86.4	100	10	REIL	321		12	Terral	26.75

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL

A disappointing season was due largely to the unexpected weakness of the batting against spin. The side relied excessively on C. F. E. Latham and C. R. Williamson both for runs and wickets, and the close catching was weak. The team, however, were unusually young, and eight of them will still be at school in 1960.

Played 13, Won 1, Lost 8, Drawn 4

Ratting

C. F. E. Latham 170

Public School	Cricket	in	1959
---------------	---------	----	------

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
C. F. E. Latham			0		366		79		28.15
C. R. Williamson			2		241		58*		24.10
A. Mackay			0		255		47		19.61
A. S. Cronk			1		216		39		18.00
D. N. Mackenzie			0		194		26		14.92
P. M. Bagley			0		147		43		12.25
Bowling									
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	Overs	M	laider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
C. R. Williamson		12.5	31		312		15	SECTION	20.80
D. N. Mackenzie			12		284		13	1	21.84
0 m m r .1	100		20		F4#		20		25 05

CULFORD SCHOOL

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 6

21.84 25.85

Batting	-		11000			***			
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	H19	hest in	nns.	Average
B. O. Adesigbin	. 12		4		256		54		32.00
†D. J. Keall			4		334		54		30.36
D. J. Slater	. 16		2		319		60		22.78
R. N. Lloyd-Evans	. 16		0		352		57		22.00
Bowling									
	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs		Wicket	ts	Average
G. V. North	. 226		45		640		49		13.06
B. O. Adesigbin	. 81		17		242		13		18.61
R. N. Lloyd-Evans	. 111		22		343		18		19.05
P. Tregear	. 143		20	1 70.00	405		19		21.31

DEAN CLOSE SCHOOL

Played 11, Lost 8, Drawn 2, Tied 1

Batting	w, cu 11,	Door of Draw	-, -, -, -, -,			
	Innings	Not outs	Runs	Highest i	nns.	Average
R. C. Padfield	12	0	205	69		17.08
†J. L. Robinson	12	2	166	39		16.60
P. Edgington	12	0	176	48		14.06
R. J. Rose		1	133	64*		13.30
A. S. Elliott	10	1	100	38		11.11
J. C. B. Winter	11	1	108	32		10.80
Bowling						
THE RESERVE	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
R. J. Kirby	69.5	9	212	16		13.25
M. R. Jones	102	11	444	24		18.50
A. B. Edginton		9	230	11		20.90
R. C. Padfield	117.3	15	439	. 19	3 1 1 1 1 1	23.10

DENSTONE COLLEGE

A team with strong batting, Lees had a wonderful season, being outstanding, but a moderate attack did better than expected.

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 2, Drawn 7

Datting	Innings	Not outs	Runs	Hig	hest in	ne	Average
R. H. Lees					115*		88.62
J. L. Foster			391	art a	86	100	32.58
†T. H. Peake	12	0	244	AUL 1	82	4	20.33
P. J. Hoddell	. 13	0	250		67		19.23
H. C. Illingworth	. 9	1	130		46		16.25
T. M. Melrose	. 11	0	129		26		11.72

Bowling	Overs	M	Iaiden	IS	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average	
D. J. Molyneux J. C. Standerwick										
R. H. Lees									17.36	

DOUAI SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 1, Lost 7, Drawn 6

Batting									
B. France	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	ıns.	Average
P. Moore	11		4		203		70*		29.00
H. Sinclair	14		2		229		53*	-	19.08
†R. Barnsley	14		4		180		43		18.00
M. Furlonger	14		0		201		48		14.35
C. Blackburn			0		150		26	1	11.54
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	laider	ns	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
Bowling M. Welch		M	laider	ns	Runs 234		Wicket 13	s	Average 18.00
M. Welch	56	 							
	56 124			dere	234		13		18.00
M. Welch	56 124 114	••	9	devela ev	234 505		13 25	•••	18.00 20.20
M. Welch	56 124 114 129	•••	9 7 21	dereta ev	234 505 407		13 25 19		18.00 20.20 21.42

DOVER COLLEGE

Played 14. Won 2. Lost 8. Drawn 4

The second secon	ayeu 14,	WO	1 2, 1	LOST O	, Drawn	-			
Batting									
Ye	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
S. K. Wagstaffe	14		1		344		82	-	26.46
R. P. King			2		239		43		21.72
C. F. Cope			0		271		62		19.35
F. P. H. Lawee	14		5	7.0	148		41		16.44
R. H. Kyte	. 10		2		125		48*		15.62
J. R. Beckett	14		0		194	-	56		13.85
J. Twinberrow	13		1		105		30		8.75
196		17		4	1		TO FILE		
Bowling									
	Overs	M	Taide	ns	Runs	7	Vicket	2	Average
P. Fenton		-	31		286		15		19.00
R. H. Kyte	155.1	200	35	E 14 C 21	497		16		31.05
C. F. Cope	77	A STATE OF	7	interio	354	W MA	11		32.18
M. J. Thompson	94.3	1 190	6	911	530	SYO S	13	130	40.76
or Thompson	77.5		U		230		13		10.70

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL

Downside depended very much upon their captain, A. J. G. Pearson, an outstanding schoolboy cricketer, who bowled fast out-swingers, was a fine straight-hitting batsman, and an excellent close-to-the-wicket fielder. He was well supported by Sadler, a left-arm slow bowler. Barrington was a young player of great promise, making over 300 runs and taking 21 wickets.

Played 14, Won 6, Lost 3, Drawn 5

Ratting

Public	School	Cricket	in 1959
I would	Deliber	CITCITO	010 1707

Batting									
	Innings	1	Not ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
†A. J. G. Pearson	12		4		299		76*		37.37
M. Crowley	13		3		342		87*		34.20
C. J. Fletcher	11		4		191		51*		27.28
C. B. Barrington	14		1		316		71*		24.30
M. G. R. Roberts	13		2		222		65		20.18
E. C. E. Wittert van									
Hoogland	12		0		212		80		17.66
W. J. P. Corbett	8		1	LANK	112		40*		16.00
G. F. Burnand	12		0		185		43		15.41
D. R. P. Morgan	13		3	-	133		35		13.30
The state of the s									
Bowling									
709 99.00	Overs	N	Maider	ıs	Runs	1	Vickets	3	Average
A. J. G. Pearson	275.2		102		544		49		11.10
C. B. Barrington	102		22		324		21		15.42
R. J. Sadler	251.2		65		715		40		17.87
C. J. Fletcher	124.4		22		402		18		22.38

DULWICH COLLEGE

Though M. Kirkman, the captain, welded his players into a good fielding side, they lacked the experience to achieve anything but a moderate record. Kirkman's 59 wickets were more than any taken in a season since T. E. Bailey's 66 in 1942, but the rest of the bowling was undistinguished and the middle batting unreliable.

Played 17, Won 2, Lost 4, Drawn 11

Datting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	His	zhest ir	nns.	Average
G. G. J. Cooper	16		7	444	250		46*		27.77
R. M. Cooke	17		1		422	No.	61		26.37
E. S. Price	17		1		353	19.4	105*		22.06
A. E. Renwick	16		2		274	-	68		19.57
R. D. Amlot	11	-3	ō	MA.	190	- 13-13	50		17.27
G. G. Brownlee	17		1		274	44.	38	telli:	17.12
	98£					4000			
Bowling									
	Overs	N	Taider	21	Runs		Wicket	9	Average
†M. Kirkman	274.4		45		803		59		13.61
D. Whitmarsh-Knight	173		36		517		24	1350	21.54
R. D. Amlot	84		10		284		12		23.66
N. J. Thompson	200.1		43		567		15		37.80
The Thompson	200.1		.5		201		10		37.00

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE

T. D. Wainwright was the outstanding cricketer in a side whose season was more enjoyable than prosperous. He scored centuries against Eastbourne and Lancing. I. G. Thwaites continued to show considerable promise as an allrounder, and P. J. Colbourne was a most useful opening bowler.

Played 17, Won 2, Lost 8, Drawn 7

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	nns.	Average
†T. D. Wainwright	19		5	1	722		122*		51.57
I. G. Thwaites			0		424		63		23.55
B. M. Jay	19		2	To San and	390		78*		22.94
J. P. G. Morris	11		1	19 10000	204		32*		20.40
J. F. Purchas			2		221		61	and the last	15.78
M. C. W. Milligan	18		2	100	242	10	48		15.12

Bowling	Overs	M	Laider	ıs	Runs	,	Vicket	S	Average
P. J. Colbourne	270		43		836		42	HILL.	19.90
I. G. Thwaites	246.3		53		863		37		23.32
M. Walter					638		24		26.58
D. B. Garst			24		492		18		27.33

EDINBURGH ACADEMY

The Academy batsmen failed to take advantage of the hard pitches, but the bowling was steady and, on occasions, very effective.

P.	layed 15,	Wo	n 8, 1	Lost 4	, Drawn	3			
Batting			100						
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
N. C. D. Kuenssberg	17		3		399		67		28.50
I. D. Stevenson	17		0		386		60		22.70
D. L. Stewart	16		1		280		63		18.66
†J. F. Sands	14		0		252		38		18.00
80.15									
Bowling									
	Overs	IV.	Laider	18	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
J. H. Crawford	166.5		38		422		31		13.61
R. Kemp	170.2		29		416		30		13.86
A. J. D. Benigan	177.3		47		411		29		14.17
S. A. Cruickshank	168.2		35		420		25		16.80

ELTHAM COLLEGE

In addition to his splendid 149 against City of London School J. H. Bradnock twice reached the nineties. In a moderate attack N. J. Martin, an unusually accurate leg-spinner, was an outstanding bowler.

Played 14. Won 4. Lost 1. Drawn 9

	tuyeu 17,	77 0	11 7, 1	JUSE I	, Diumi				
Batting	cken kec		n gine		I salemake				
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ıns.	Average
†J. H. Bradnock	. 14		3		600		149		54.54
J. R. Parsons			2		252		43		22.90
J. L. Westwood			0	200	289		50		22.23
M. A. Mason			3		187		45*		20.72
R. M. Pinkham			1		206		60		15.84
97.54									
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	[aide	ns	Runs	No de	Wicket	S	Average
Alleria Maria Maria		M	Iaide	ns	Runs 126		Wicket	s	Average 11.45
R. Hughesdon	32.2	.M	Iaidei 5 49					s	
R. Hughesdon	32.2 185.5	.M 	5	100	126		11		11.45
R. Hughesdon	32.2 185.5 94.4		5 49	1.1	126 488	::	11 38		11.45 12.84
R. Hughesdon	32.2 185.5 94.4 133.4		5 49 14	1.1	126 488 388		11 38 22		11.45 12.84 17.63

EMANUEL SCHOOL

Played 18, Won 7, Lost 5, Drawn 6

Batting		Section 10						
yle-Olege and the Chile	Innings	Not	outs	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
T. R. Woodcock	. 17	1	197 .c.19	514	d. Ni	112		32.12
J. E. M. Maile	. 17	2	08 F 16	423	1	74	la bi	28.20
A. M. Rigby	. 18	1	1300.00	409	d	63	81 VI	24.05
J. E. Chapman	. 18	1	Wind!	310	dwon	80		18.23
†M. F. Radford	. 17	5	me tra	186		37*	D 37 S	15.50
V. J. Dodds	. 16	1		186		29		12.40
M. H. Lewis	. 14	1	1 3 2 3	109		31		8.38

P	uhl	ic	Schoo	1 Crici	ket .	in 1	95	g
			201100					_

Bowling								
	Overs	M	Laider	ns	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
D. G. McMillan	175.2		55		373	 41		9.09
M. T. Robinson	65		16		204	 14	203.0	14.57
J. E. M. Maile	235.3		60			 41		15.29
J. E. Chapman	100		18		353	 20		17.65
M. F. Radford	143.5		33		427	 19		22.47

ENFIELD GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Enfield had a good season despite being so seriously handcapped by injuries that not one member of the side played in all the matches. The season was dominated by M. J. Smith who topped both batting and bowling averages, twice represented Middlesex and also played in the schools games at Lord's.

	ayed 14,	Wo	n 9, I	ost 2	, Drawn	3			
Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hic	hest in	ne	Average
†M. J. Smith	11		1		322		92		32.20
P. E. Drake	13		1		373		77		31.08
R. Archer	10		2		167		56*		20.87
C. J. Turnbull	13		0		262		59		20.15
M. Sillis	12		2		138		38*		13.80
M. Whitaker	11		2		117		47*		13.00
Bowling									
	Overs	M	[aider	IS	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
M. J. Smith	107.5		37		247		34		7.26
D. Lansley	132		29	1.	335		28		11.96
R. Archer	123.4		39		271		21		12.90
P. E. Drake	75		17	N. C. C.	221	THE P.	15		14.73

EPSOM COLLEGE

The fast-medium bowler R. D. Stern's 45 wickets included eight for 14 against Old Epsomians. P. M. Healey scored 133 not out against The Grasshoppers.

Batting Innings Not outs Runs Highest inns. Averag	Pl	aved 12.	Won 7, Lost	1. Drawn	4		
Innings Not outs Runs Highest inns. Averag							
	THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE PERSON OF THE PERS		Not outs	Runs	Highest i	inns.	Average
P. M. Healey	P. M. Healey	11	2	378	133*		
†J. A. Thomas	†J. A. Thomas	11	3	289	56	HEALE!	36.12
M. M. Manasseh 12 1 369 97 33.54	M. M. Manasseh	12	1	369	97		33.54
S. C. J. Fox	S. C. J. Fox	9	2	212	49		30.28
A. J. C. Bland-Bottam 10 0 200 44 20.00			0	200	44		20.00
A. H. Beadles	A. H. Beadles	12	0	144	54	1310122	12.00
The state of the s	_ TA						
Bowling	Bowling						
	_ Na Mr. The State of the Land					ts	Average
R. D. Stern					45		
M. M. Manasseh 171 43 478 34 14.05							
P. G. McAndrew 151 38 410 26 15.76	P. G. McAndrew	151	38	410	26		15.76

ETON COLLEGE

Blessed with an excellent opening pair, J. Baskervyle-Glegg and A. C. E. Robinson, the team's batting was reliable. It contained a highly promising 15-year-old all-rounder, P. Wigan; a bold hitter at number five, M. L. Dunning; and a lively tail headed by A. R. Wagg. The fielding was excellent, but the attack was inconsistent, though R. D. Christie was perseveringly accurate at medium pace. The team enjoyed a good start and finish divided by an unsuccessful middle period.

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 6, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest ir	ıns.	Average
J. Baskervyle-Glegg	18		1		669		93		39.35
†M. L. Dunning	18		2		523		79		32.68
A. R. Wagg	15		4		285		52		25.90
A. C. E. Robinson	18		0		456	1	76		25.33
G. P. Milne	6		1		115	To Ayest	57		23.00
D. M. Norman	16		4		234	20215	45*		19.50
T. C. Pilkington	15		3	450	224	Na. c	41	1200	18.66
P. Wigan	14		1		242		59		18.61
R. Fellowes	19		Ô		329	-	55		17.31
Bowling									
AND	Overs	N	Taider	ıs	Runs	7	Vicket	8	Average
T. C. Pilkington	184.1		36	* *	521		34		15.32
M. L. Dunning	73.2		12		283		18	1000	15.72
P. Wigan	90.4		32		230	THE A	11	100	20.90
G. C. Meyrick	192.3		44		525		19		27.63
R. D. Christie	245.1	Wat.	52	The same	747		24		31.12
20.27.022230		2 135		THE CO.	. La Library	19			51.12

EXETER SCHOOL

Played 17, Won 14, Lost 1, Drawn 2

Batting	.,	,, 0,	, .	2031	i, Diam	-			
Market Harrison Harrison	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average
†D. I. Yealsley	16		6		476		85*		47.60
A. D. Edwards	16		3		400		71*		30.76
D. W. Westcott	11		6	44	138		50*		27.60
N. L. Cann			2		169		44*		16.90
R. B. Jowett	15		0		119		27	1110	7.93
D									
Bowling		1000			Designation of		Ultilated a		主法性學
	Overs	IV.	Laider	ıs	Runs		Wickets	S	Average
D. I. Yealsley	225.2		98		357		86		4.15
A. P. Story	36		12	58	74		14		5.28
D. W. Westcott	169		54		388	150	41	-	946

FELSTED SCHOOL

The batting did not live up to expectations. P. R. Hunter alone looked capable of dictating play, but had his limitations. Clover and Arnold were the steadiest of the bowlers; Ward and Christy the most likely to produce a particularly good ball. The fielding was of a good standard and Kimber excelled behind the wicket.

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 6, Drawn 3

Batting	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		distributed.	the Assessment				
TOXO BALLENDA	Innings	Not	outs	Runs	His	ghest in	nns.	Average
W. E. Luckin	14		1	408		72		31.38
P. R. Hunter	14		2	360		102		30.00
†C. M. Ward			4	167		53		18.55
R. G. C. Hunter	14		0	257		60		18.35
Bowling						. Dros		
	Overs	Mai	dens	Runs	,	Wicket	S	Average
M. G. C. Clover	120.1	Mai		Runs 329	1	Wicket 18	s	Average 18.27
M. G. C. Clover P. R. Arnold	120.1 120.2		3				s ··	
M. G. C. Clover	120.1	2	3	329		18		18.27

Batting

Batting

Public School Cricket in	$n \perp$	959
--------------------------	-----------	-----

FETTES COLLEGE

Played 12, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 2

Batting	THE RESERVE								
Transportation St. Co.	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
M. J. Scuter	13		1		372	4	100*		31.00
A. McN. Scott	12		1		260		53		23.63
A. I. G. Nelson	9		1		183		56		22.87
†I. M. S. Graham			1		152		56*		21.71
P. D. Grant	11		1		166		36*		16.60
D. M. H. Booth	12	••	1		159		43		14.45
Bowling			a tred						
Constant of the All Authors	Overs	N.	faider	18	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
P. D. Grant	161.4		49	-	300		23		13.04
R. M. Storie	107.5		16		363		23		15.78
I. M. Kerr	113.1		24		283		17		16.64

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE

Played 11, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 2

R. J. Sayer		Not outs 2	Runs 240 186	Highest inns 62 64	Average 24.00 15.50
†J. R. Anderton J. R. Bonner J. M. Stone	12 11	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	168 115 138	29 35* 24	15.27 14.37 11.50
Bowling	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. L. Turnbull J. R. Anderton		35	359 325	21	17.09 17.10

GEORGE HERIOT'S SCHOOL, EDINBURGH

Played 19, Won 9, Lost 2, Drawn 8

	Innings	1	lot or	IIS	Runs	H19	thest in	nns.	Average
D. O. S. Binnie	19		4		384		70	1000	25.60
†J. A. G. Fiddes	18		1		388		70		22.81
D. J. Goddard			4		221		49*		17.00
Bowling									
	Overs	N.	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	ts	Average
R. Jardine			58	0.	280	100	40		7.00
	149		58 93	or or	280 404		40 52		7.00 7.76
R. Jardine	149 244.5				and the second second			•••	

GLASGOW ACADEMY

Innings Not outs Runs Highest inns. Average

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 1, Drawn 8

W. A. Watson	11 10		1 0 1 0		151 134 107 141		35 34 32 50		13.72 12.18 11.99 11.75
Bowling	Overs	N.	I aider	10	Runs	,	Vicket		Average
	77.1		22		171		19		9.00 11.64
S. D. Robertson J. Garland	56.5 126.4	• •	8 40		198 305		17 24	• •	12.70

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE)

Played 18, Won 11, Lost 2, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	His	ghest ir	ins.	Average
D. B. S. Hardie	12		3	M	444		114*		49.33
†D. M. Blair	9		4		188		57		37.60
R. C. J. McVicker			4		305		67*	200	30.50
M. K. Fairbairn			3		361		61*		30.08
J. F. B. Gunnery		::	4		347		52*	• •	26.69
E. B. Macdonald			1		243				
			Ī				53		17.35
K. B. D. Aitken	14		5		150		51		16.66
Bowling									
	Overs	Ma	aiden	S	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
J. W. Black	317		115		640		52		12.30
D. B. S. Hardie	67		21		161		11	100	14.54
R. Briggs			26	1141	220		14		15.71
D. F. Desmond	199		49	-	539		34		15.8
D. I. Desinond	1))		77		239		34		13.0

GORDONSTOUN COLLEGE

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 3, Drawn 6

Batting C. A. Lamont C. H. Gilbert C. Haslam	9	Not o 2 3 1	uts	Runs 322 117 193	Highest inns 75* 46* 65	Average 35.77 19.50 17.54
Bowling C. H. Gilbert C. Haslam N. Alexander	52	Maide 24 10 25	ens 	Runs 239 161 275	Wickets 30 14 21	Average 7.90 11.50 13.09

GRESHAM'S SCHOOL, HOLT

The bowling proved much stronger than the batting. G. C. Laskey and P. A. N. Foster were both fast by school standards. P. R. Bodington captained the side ably and, though he did not reach the heights expected of him, headed the batting averages.

Played 13, Won 2, Lost 5, Drawn 6

	a) cu 10,	" On 2, 103	is, Diani	10	
Batting					
1D =	Innings	Not outs	Runs	Highest inns.	Average
P. R. Bodington	13	2 .	. 372	76*	33.81
B. A. Bliss	12		. 275	60	25.00
A. C. K. Day	13		. 319	78	24.53
J. P. Allen	13	0 .	. 224	48	17.23
M. R. Emonson	13	4 .	. 130	42	14.44
Bowling					
Committee in bloth are	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. C. Laskey	160.3	39 .	. 406	36	11.23
P. A. N. Foster	166.4		. 420	25	16.80
B. J. Hope-Bell	83	17 .	. 311	16	19.43
A. H. Eastwood	58	4 .	. 214	11	19.45

HABERDASHERS' ASKE'S SCHOOL

A well-balanced team considerably improved on performances of recent years.

Played 16, Won 5, Lost 8, Drawn 3

S. Lamb S. Simmonds R. N. Sen-Gupta K. V. Anand T. Ahmad †J. R. Bustard	Innings 15 15 16 14 7 15	 Not ou 3 1 0 0 0 2	ts	Runs 223 258 235 204 100 173	Highest inns 75* 61	Average 18.58 18.42 14.68 14.57 14.28 13.30
Bowling D. J. English	57.3	 Iaiden 78 38 11 18	s	Runs 491 456 231 322	Wickets . 40	Average 12.27 13.41 14.43 17.88

HAILEYBURY AND I.S.C.

During a successful season four of the six school matches were won. The batting was strong right down the order, with D. Moeller, M. Maslin and R. J. Swannell the most consistent scorers. Consequently the bowlers usually had plenty of runs to bowl against and although they were not really of high quality, they were brilliantly supported in the field.

Played 1	2 1	Won 4	Tost	3 1	rawn 4

Batting	Innings	No	ot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	ghest in	Average	
†D. Moeller	14		4		380		80*		38.00
R. J. Swannell	14		4		340		81*	1	34.00
M. Maslin	13		0		432		108		33.23
A. R. Watt	12		2		258		73		25.80
J. E. Denison	13		1		259		78		21.58
R. J. Parsons	15		0		271		65		18.06
D. R. Brett	15		1		225		34		16.07
P. A. Close	12		3	2.1	103		33		11.44
Bowling	Overs	М	aider	ıs	Runs	berit	Wicket	S	Average

DOWING	Overs	N.	Iaider	ıs	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
P. J. B. Newsom	163.5		43		405		24		16.87
D. Moeller	136		35		419		21		19.95
P. A. Close			26		550		25		22.00
R. J. Parsons			26		512		23		22.26
R. J. Swannell	111		37		339		13		20.07

HARROW SCHOOL

Harrow, after losing four times in May, had a good June, in which Winchester—by one run—Charterhouse and Malvern were beaten. A team without any really outstanding performer played attractive, exciting cricket. Runs were scored at a good rate, and the side had the spirit to fight back after making a poor start. D. R. J. Foster developed an excellent fielding and throwing combination that worked well together. The team batted in depth and the attack contained three good seam bowlers—B. S. Raper, M. J. H. Weedon and J. C. S. Nieboer.

Played 14, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Highest inns.			Average
G. M. Reid	15		3		390		52		32.50
P. W. Durrance	17	0.0	1		498	5 (3 E.K.)	106		31.12
†D. R. J. Foster	16		2	100	370	49900	64	- 101	26.42
P. A. McN. Boyd	13	1	4		216	1000	40		24.00
M. J. H. Weedon	12	30367	4	Prof I	181	Date:	59*		22.62
M. J. B. Wood	14	0.0	1	4.5	288	2000	77*		22.15
B. S. Raper	12		0		228		51		19.00
H. T. Pelham	14		2	2	207		46*		17.25
Bowling									
Downing	Overs	7/4	aider	•	Runs		Wicket		A
D C Danas	271.1	141		The second		- 6		S	Average
B. S. Raper			70		630		41		15.36
P. E. d'Abo	140.5		55		302		19		15.89
M. J. H. Weedon	225		57		558		30		18.60
J. C. S. Nieboer	214.4		49		580	1 1 2 1	30		19.33
		100				The said		-	

HEREFORD CATHEDRAL SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 4

Batting								
	Innings	Not ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest ir	ins.	Average
0. D. Thomas		4		407		74*		40.70
A. N. Pritchard		3	LOUIS F	117		28*	100	23.40
I. A. D. French	. 12	0		258		72		21.50
P. A. Lynch	13	1		191		35*		15.91
†P. R. Shepherd	. 13	2		130		47*		11.81
K. J. Edwards		1		116		32		11.60
D. Hughes	14	1		123	15	48		9.46

			۰		
0	W	l	I	n	9

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	1	Vicket	Average	
I. R. Macleod	93		13		251		34	-	7.38
P. R. Shepherd	97		18		218		19		11.47
K. J. Edwards	94		17		219		19		11.52
0. D. Thomas	70		10		226		15		15.06
R. Chater	72		9		159		10		15.90

HIGHGATE SCHOOL

The earlier batting was inconsistent but was redeemed by good performances by later batsmen, notably MacGregor and Holland. Attwell played some fine innings at number three. The bowling proved steady, and A. D. Dowlen, a most competent wicket-keeper, captained the eleven well.

Played 14, Won 3, Lost 6, Drawn 5

Batting	.,	,, ,	,, _	2001	, Draini				
	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
W. D. MacGregor	14		5		328		52*		36.44
W. A. Attwell	14		0	No. of Lot	492		78		35.14
J. A. Holland	13		3	2011	260	17.50	58*		26.00
M. R. Sherwin	14		0	-277	265		49		18.92
D. M. J. Saunders	11		2		127		53		14.11
M. J. S. McCreath	12		0		167		68		13.91
D. M. D. Strong	9		0		101		30	Billion	11.22
†A. D. Dowlen	14		1		141		60*		10.84
Bowling									
	Overs	M	laider	ıs	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average
D. St. J. Sutton	82.4		18		164		15		10.93
J. M. Watermeyer	39		6		144		10		14.40
P. D. Bradburn	78.5		7		376		21	Simon	17.90
J. A. Holland	149.1		34		412		17	199	24.23
D. M. J. Saunders	153.4		33		496		17		28.82

BB

Batting

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE

This was a disappointing season though the XI made more runs than for many years. C. Hodgkins was a dependable opening bat, as was J. M. Waterfall, but the later batting often failed to take advantage of their good partnerships. The bowling lacked fire on the hard pitches, but as both openers, Welch and Stiff, were Colts they should be a force in 1960.

Played	14	Won	2	Lost	10.	Drawn	Tied	1

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
C. Hodgkins			1		369		66		28.38
J. M. Waterfall			2		315		60		24.23
C. N. Stiff			2		189		38		17.18
M. J. Goode			1		200		50		15.38
E C Care		• •	1	• •	139		41*		13.90
F. C. Stiff	11		1						
†B. W. Berks	12		1		126		22		11.45
Bowling					The state of the s				
	Overs	M	aide	18	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
M. R. H. McKee	91.3		20	40.00	304		15		20.26
C. N. Stiff	135.3		36		468		23		20.33
J. M. Waterfall			28	ala	438		17		25.76
	121.4		40		430				43.70
		• •				••			
W. A. Welch	106.4		30 16	•••	264 416		10 10		26.40 41.60

IPSWICH SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 3, Lost 6, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
C. P. Simpson	13		3		262		47		26.20
A. J. Green	13		0		301		113		23.15
J. P. Westmacott			. 0		274		45		22.83
†R. H. Hagger	13		1		260		54*		21.66
D. R. D. Newell	13		0		252	19.00	47	1000	19.38
							4 1 2 2 1		
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	Taider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
		M	Iaider 12	ıs	Runs 187	,	Wicket 10	s	Average 18.70
M. P. Brooks	54	.M		ns 					
M. P. Brooks I. L. C. Jerman	54 143		12 33		187 471		10	::	18.70
M. P. Brooks	54 143 91	M	12	ns 	187		10 24		18.70 19.62
M. P. Brooks I. L. C. Jerman	54 143 91 167		12 33 19		187 471 309		10 24 14		18.70 19.62 22.07

KELLY COLLEGE

Played 14, Won 7, Lost 3, Drawn 4

	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	H19	thest in	ıns.	Average
†P. M. Spence	14		3		351		69*		31.90
E. D. Torrens	12		0		223		58		18.58
A. J. Mew			2		199		41*		18.09
G. W. Seymour			1		213		47*		16.38
J. S. Mitchell			2		174		33		15.81
Bowling									
	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
E. D. Torrens			36		370		37		10.00
J. S. Mitchell			48		460		38		12.10
P. M. Spence	114		26		340		22	511	15.45

KENT COLLEGE

P. W. Sayer, who played the leading part in the team's successful season twice did the hat-trick.

Played 12, Won 7, Lost 1, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
A. J. Mathews	10		2		201		45		25.12
†A. J. Colbeck	12		2		198		86	100	19.80
J. T. Stichell			2		196		52*		19.60
Bowling									
	Overs	M	Laider	ıs	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
P. W. Sayer	123.4		38		234		45		5.20
A. F. Forbes	22		3	100	75		11		6.81
A. J. Colbeck	76.2		14		263		23		11.43

KING'S COLLEGE, TAUNTON

Played 13, Won 3, Lost 6, Drawn 4

Innings	N	lot or	ıts	Runs	His	shest in	nns.	Average
16		5						28.54
17								26.58
15		1						21.71
							3	16.12
			1000					15.53
							10000	15.23
	700000				- 1 -			
10		4		199		64*		14.21
Overs	M	Taide	ns	Runs	1	Wicket	2	Average
43.4		4						15.10
169		10						16.17
					1 8 4 20		1 34 110	21.38
	19.10							22.00
					100			24.35
12)		20		714		1/		24.33
	16 17 15 11 17 13 16	16 17 15 11 17 13 16 Overs M. 43.4 169 136.2	16 5 17 0 15 1 11 3 17 2 13 0 16 2 Overs Maider 43.4 4 169 10 136.2 22 104 18	16 5 17 0 15 1 11 3 17 2 13 0 16 2 Overs Maidens 43.4 4 169 10 136.2 22 104 18	16 5 314 17 . 0 452 15 . 1 . 304 11 . 3 . 129 17 . 2 . 233 13 . 0 . 198 16 . 2 . 199 Overs Maidens Runs 43.4 . 4 . 151 169 . 10 . 663 136.2 . 22 . 449 104 . 18 . 330	16 5 314	16 . 5 . 314 . 52* 17 . 0 . 452 . 92 15 . 1 . 304 . 50 11 . 3 . 129 . 50* 17 . 2 . 233 . 39 13 . 0 . 198 . 41 16 . 2 . 199 . 64* Overs Maidens Runs Wicket 43.4 . 4 . 151 . 10 169 . 10 . 663 . 41 136.2 . 22 . 449 . 21 104 . 18 . 330 . 15	16 .5 .314 .52* 17 .0 .452 .92 15 .1 .304 .50 11 .3 .129 .50* 17 .2 .233 .39 13 .0 .198 .41 16 .2 .199 .64* Overs Maidens Runs Wickets 43.4 .4 .151 .10 169 .10 .663 .41 .11 136.2 .22 .449 .21 .104 .18 .330 .15

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON

Played 15, Won 6, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting †J. C. Wolters	9	•	ot ou	its	Runs 622 106	Highest inns 110	44.42 35.33
M. J. Haines M. D. Long R. D. Phillp M. R. Hughes	15 14		4 0 2 1		326 314 228 177	68 142 47 46*	29.63 20.93 19.00 14.75
Bowling M. J. Haines J. R. Gilbert R. G. McKinnon	213.5	 	aider 56 35 10	ns	Runs 748 813 261	Wickets 45 41 10	Average 16.64 19.82 26.10

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM

Played 20, Won 2, Lost 6, Drawn 12

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
J. C. Mason	19		3		399		43		24.93
P. B. M. Mathews	8		2		144		37*		24.00
P. B. Rothwell	19		0		414		76		21.78
M. S. Jones	19		3		314		65		19.62
†T. P. Lee			1		353		56		19.61
H. J. Ferns	12		1		159		48		14.45
M. J. Lamping			2		197		47		12.31
J. E. T. Harper	14		0		144		27		10.28
Bowling			782				*** * .		-21-45
	Overs	N.	Taide	ns	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
R. A. Walters			37		508		30		16.93
T. P. Lee			7		238		11		21.63
J. C. Mason			13		230		10		23.00
M. S. Tracey			42		504		17		29.64
J. G. Evans	137		15		564		18		31.33

KING EDWARD VI SCHOOL, STOURBRIDGE

A young side, lacking in experience, performed moderately. Many games which might have been won ending as a draw due to lack of penetration in attack.

Played 18, Won 3, Los	13, 1	Jrawn.	In
-----------------------	-------	--------	----

Batting									
The state of the s	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
R. Harper	17		1		314		98		19.62
R. R. Hammersley	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.		0		327	-	72		18.16
D. G. Turner			3		254		46		18.14
†B. Hobson			2		224	-	47*	e a t	14.93
			1		198		60	San Ch	13.20
J. R. Dudley			0		172		52		10.11
F. R. Woodhouse	17		U		1/2		32		10.11
The state of the s									
Bowling					190				
Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
Bowling B. Hobson			laider 27	ıs	Runs 197		24	s	8.20
B. Hobson	85								
B. Hobson	85 148	 	27		197		24		8.20
B. Hobson	85 148 139.4		27 34 19		197 384		24 40		8.20 9.60
B. Hobson	85 148 139.4 108.3	 	27 34		197 384 361		24 40 32		8.20 9.60 11.28

KING EDWARD VI SCHOOL, CHELMSFORD

A young side experienced an unlucky season. The opening pair, Choppin and Clamp, held together the uncertain batting. Two youngsters, Smith, left-arm fast, and Kingston, right-arm fast, bowled consistently well.

Played 14, Won 4, Lost 8, Drawn 2

Batting	BRIEF		HE RO					
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	
M. J. Clamp	11		1		255		78	
†B. H. L. Choppin	14		0		334		99	
N. M. Sprake	11		1		157		31	15.70
W. A. Dannatt			4		99		27*	15.50
T. G. Smith			4		40	£	14	10.00
Bowling								
ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY OF	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Vickets	Average
T. G. Smith	148		48		320		43	
P. E. Kingston			33		343	2.	30	
B. J. Key			26		229	-	12	19.08

THE KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY

R. E. F. Minns, with four centuries, was quite outstanding and scored his runs at a very fast pace. Against Dover College he made 153 not out in eighty-nine minutes, and his 101 not out against the Band of Brothers came in sixty-two minutes. Fast bowler G. C. Pritchard had a splendid action and promised to become really first-class. C. R. Barber was another batsman of great promise who scored two centuries.

P	ayed 14,	Wo	n 4, I	Lost 2	, Drawn	8			
Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	ite	Runs	LI:	ghest in		Avonose
†R. E. F. Minns	13		4		853	THĘ	153*	ms.	Average 94.77
C. R. Barber	13		4		544		112*		60.44
M. R. Tuohy	14		1		488		83		37.53
A. C. Russell	11		4		189		51*		27.00
W. E. J. Minns	14 10	::	2		314 144		64		24.15
D. G. Jones	13	::	ő	• •	225	••	41 35		18.00 17.30
		•			223		33	••	17.30
Bowling									
0.00	Overs	M	laider	ıs	Runs	34 5	Wickets	5	Average
G. C. Pritchard J. D. MacIldowie	207.3		52		567		49		11.57
J. D. Machdowie	123.3		34		371		11		33.72

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON

A quick-scoring batting side began well, owing much to A. G. C. Pearson and P. J. Maffey. When they failed the school struggled. The spin bowling was limited, although E. S. Newlands, left-arm slow, bowled well on occasions, notably during the ten-wicket victory over the Somerset Stragglers.

Played 12, Won 5, Lost 3, Drawn 4

Batting			E. W. C.						
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average
P. J. Maffey	11		2		310		59*	III.	34.44
C. E. Hughes	5		1		125		79	100	31.25
†A. G. C. Pearson	10		î		241		84	-	26.77
P. K. Barber	9		3		123		38*		20.50
G. C. Freeman	6		ő	•••	102	•••	45		
J. A. Gifford	10		Ö		157		39	••	17.00
D. J. Watson	11	• •	1		131			••	18.70
2. 5. 11 at 3011	11		1	• •	131		30		13.10
Bowling									
Mariera Commission	Overs	M	aider		Runs	7	Vickets		Average
P. J. Maffey	168		43		394		37	The state of	10.64
P. K. Barber	117.1		36		341	•••	26		13.11
T C NT 1	11/.1		20		3-11		20		13.11

KING'S SCHOOL, ELY

E. S. Newlands 90 .. 10

Datti-

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 7, Drawn 2

rage
66
State of the later of
41
33
28
22
Control of the last
76
53
(

Public	School	Cricket	in	1959
1 nonc	School	Cricker	ul	1777

29.80

Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	Wicket	S	Average
N. F. Owers	120		22		356	 31		11.48
M. C. Grey	100		35		170	 14		12.44
P. D. Dunbar					501	 40		12.52
D. A. Keene	123		35		323	 19		17.00

THE KING'S SCHOOL, MACCLESFIELD

Played 17, Won 5, Lost 9, Drawn 3

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	thest in	nns.	Average
†J. K. Belfield	17		1	1	271	4 2/4	48	1912	16.93
A. McInnes	15		1		213	879 20	76*	No.	15.21
M. J. Davis	13		Ô		141	1 2 2 3/	34		10.84
D. B. Bancroft	17		Ö		182		43		10.70
D. D. Duneron		4				1000			
D 1									
Kowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
M N. Tracket	Overs 74.2	M	laider 20	ıs	Runs 215		Wicket 20	s	Average 10.75
A. J. Wood	74.2								
A. J. Wood	74.2		20		215		20		10.75
A. J. Wood	74.2 151.4		20 30		215 424		20 36		10.75 11.77
A. J. Wood	74.2 151.4 83		20 30 19		215 424 220		20 36 16		10.75 11.77 13.75

KING'S SCHOOL, ROCHESTER

The season's results were the worst for many years. While the batting was adequate and the fielding often outstanding, the bowling was very weak. Fernand showed exceptional promise and scored consistently.

Played 12, Won 2, Lost 7, Drawn 3

Batting									
To black the light second	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ıns.	Average
G. L. V. Fernand			2		468		122*		46.80
R. J. Wright			3		188		35*		20.88
J. P. Hughes			2		178		44*		17.80
R. L. Craddock			0		140		32	1707	15.55
†N. R. J. Funnell			Ö		180		58		15.00
E. B. L. Browning			2		101		28	1	14.42
K. Spyer			õ		132		26		11.00
Bowling									
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	Overs	N	Taider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
D. P. Godwin	127.3		35		320		21	100	15.23
R. J. Wright			18		308		16		19.25
A. B. Hotchkiss			12		268		12	p. 1	22.33

KING WILLIAM'S COLLEGE, ISLE OF MAN

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 5, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	hest in	ins.	Average
K. F. R. Lofthouse	9		2		150		46		
D. H. Webb	15		4		224		46	07.5	20.36
M. J. S. Vaughan	13		3		166		35*		16.60
C. J. Mallard	16		3		205		40		15.76
R. J. Adderley	16		0		236		43		14.75
†A. Q. Bashforth	15		0		197		59		13.13
M. M. Wood	11		3		100		25		12.50
D. N. Swalwell	16		0		193		33		12.06

Bowling									
	Overs	Laide	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average	
A. Q. Bashforth	88.4	 25		228		32		7.12	
M. M. Wood		 20		231		19	19 %	12.15	
R. E. N. Crookall		 25		368		23	(COS)	16.00	
T. G. S. Dixon		9		385		24	- 039	16.04	
M. J. S. Vaughan	82.2	 9		196		11		17.81	

KINGSWOOD SCHOOL, BATH

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 2, Drawn 6, Tied 1

Batting

M. F. Bennett	13	 	Not or 2 3 1	its	Runs 349 293 270	Hig	91 128* 84	nns.	Average 34.90 29.30 22.50
Bowling	0		r-!1						
	Overs	ĮV.	Laider	18	Runs		Vicket	S	Average
I W/ Callingon	100		40		0.50			-	
J. W. Collinson	162		43		352		24		14.66
R. C. Kerslake	128.3		43 19		352 478			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14.66
R. C. Kerslake	128.3		ALC: NO PERSON NA	0.00	478	••	24 28		14.66 17.07
J. W. Collinson R. C. Kerslake M. F. Bennett M. Hoyles	128.3 108.3		19	0.00			24		14.66

LANCING COLLEGE

On pitches favouring the bat, opening bowler G. B. Neel was splendidly persistent, averaging 18 overs a match, but his figures suffered from slip fielding errors.

Played 17, Won 8, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Highest inns.			Average
J. G. L. Nichols			3		132		39	AILU.	44.00
C. J. Saunders			0	200	407	• •	81		
M. R. Gilbert	14		2		302			• •	25.43
D II Was the day	17		4	• •			66		25.16
B. H. Woodbridge	17		0		423		108		24.29
†C. Martin	15		3		272		85		22.66
R. C. Cheveley	16		1		314		92		20.93
D. R. Brazier	17		1		317		40	••	19.81
N. H. Belle	9	minute.	2	72055	138				
D NI C WEST	16		2				45		19.71
R. N. S. Williams			0		302		62		18.87
G. W. Wooden	14		5	1	154		40	inns	17.11
									Lecentric Congression
Bowling									

Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average R. C. Cheveley 73.3 .. 8 352 15.30 C. Martin 89.9 403 17.51 G. B. Neel 304.6 G. W. Wooden 214.3 R. N. S. Williams 112.5 68 900 41 21.95 .. 745 42

LEEDS GRAMMAR SCHOOL

A very inexperienced side enjoyed a satisfactory season, thanks largely to their determination in the field under the captaincy of G. R. C. Yeadon. The batting depended overmuch on the left-handers, J. T. Sleightholme and Yeadon. The fast-medium bowlers, D. L. Oliver and S. R. Cowie, shouldered the bowling burden. Late in the season they were well supported by N. Grindlay, a leg-breaker.

Played 15, Won 4, Lost 7, Drawn 4

Pul	lin	Sal	lool	Cricke.	t in	1050
ι $\iota\iota\iota$	uc	DUI	ιυυι	Cricke	l III	בככו

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
J. T. Sleightholme	14		1		267		61		20.53
†G. R. C. Yeadon	15		0		271		44		18.06
D. E. Walton	15		3		159		29		13.25
S. R. Cowie	15		4		144		25		13.09
D. I. Brotherton	15		0		179		34		11.93
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	laider	18	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
	Overs 129.4	M	laider 23	18	Runs 404		Wicket 24	s	Average 16.83
D. L. Oliver				ns		.:.			
D. L. Oliver	129.4		23		404		24		16.83
D. L. Oliver N. Grindlay R. D. J. Papworth	129.4 86		23 10		404 316		24 18	::	16.83 17.55
D. L. Oliver	129.4 86 58.3		23 10 10		404 316 177		24 18 10	::	16.83 17.55 17.70

THE LEYS SCHOOL, CAMBRIDGE

The Levs experienced a better season than anticipated. Five inter-school matches were won, three drawn very favourably, and only one lost—to the powerful Oundle side. The out-cricket was alert and purposeful under Munjee's able leadership. M. C. M. Thorpe was a dangerous medium-pace bowler on his day.

Played 16, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 6									
Batting									
	Innings	Not outs	Runs	Highest inns.	Average				
J. B. A. Stead	14	5	271	83	30.11				
†Y. Munjee	16	2	390	71*	27.85				
T. H. Walton	16	1	416	104*	27.73				
M. A. H. Bigham	11	3	203	47	25.37				
I. B. Firth	10	2	183	44	22.87				
H. N. Matthews	8	3	108	31	21.60				
J. Hartley	12	1	225	49	20.45				
and kedulik									
Bowling									
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average				
M. C. M. Thorpe	222	52	554	38	14.57				
M. A. H. Bigham	115	21	388	20	19.40				
T. Dash	205	43	674	34	19.82				

LIVERPOOL COLLEGE

A young and inexperienced side, intelligently captained by M. B. Hoper, achieved more than was anticipated.

Batting	layed 9,	Won 2, Lost 3,	Drawn	4	
	Innings	Not outs		Highest inns.	
K. W. Jackson	9	0	200	69	22.22
Bowling			***		
N II D C		Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
N. H. P. Spencer R. A. Day			329 383	18	18.27 22.59

LLANDOVERY COLLEGE

Llandovery had their best summer for many years. The main strength of the side being the opening bowlers, P. Mostyn Davies and D. N. Stimson.

Played 14, Won 9, Lost 2, Drawn 3

Batting	332			HET.					
	Innings	1	ot or	its	Runs	H18	hest in	ms.	Average
P. J. Griffiths	14		0		370		92		26.42
D. N. Stimson	14		1	40	289	and the	65		22.23
J. H. H. James		Maria .	1	40.00	229		37		19.08
T. G. Evans	14		Ô		208		36	1000	14.85
T. W. O'Brien	14		200	W • • •					
1. W. O Blieft	14		4	LA	113		23		11.30
Bowling									
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	Overs	M	aide	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	9	Average
T. W. O'Brien	62.4		18		152		20		7.60
D. N. Stimson	199.4		48		368		43		8.55
+T P Mostum Davies									The state of the s
†T. P. Mostyn Davies			66		443		48		9.22
R. W. Howells	77.3		6		264		13		20.30

LORETTO SCHOOL

W. E. Miller, J. S. Goodwille and A. R. Reid, the three survivors from the 1958 team, combined to produce unexpectedly good results. A. R. Reid, an exceptionally fast schoolboy bowler, was the match-winner; his 74 wickets broke the school record by seven.

Played 15, Won 10, Lost 3, Drawn 2

J. S. Goodwille	16 16	N	ot ou 5 2 2 0	its	Runs 481 391 332 276	Hig	thest in 100* 69 82* 56	ins.	Average 40.08 27.92 23.71 16.23
Bowling A. R. Reid I. G. F. Mavor G. H. A. Mellis J. A. Gibson	47.1	 	Taider 75 12 42 12	ns	Runs 500 101 236 279		Wickets 74 13 16 13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Average 6.75 7.76 14.75 21.46

MAGDALEN COLLEGE SCHOOL, OXFORD

For a team unbeaten by a school side J. D. Martin, the opening bowler, took 49 wickets, the best for the school for eleven years.

Played 15, Won 7, Lost 1, Drawn 7

- ing our les, it on it, Bost 1, Braint i											
Batting											
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average		
P. B. Smith	14	-	7		287		52		41.00		
†D. Tinbergen	14		0		397		89		28.35		
R. C. Clay	15	4 4 3 3	Ö		409	•••	70		27.26		
J. D. Martin	7	4 4	1		112		37				
R. A. H. Warnock	15		1						18.66		
A I Colo			1		210		58*		15.00		
A. J. Cole	14		3		162		41		14.72		
A. R. H. Coutts	10		2		105		30		13.12		
Bowling											
The second secon	Overs	M	aider	15	Runs	7	Vickets		Average		
A. Wyatt	27	and the	2		91		10		9.10		
J. D. Martin	217.2	2 9 1/	73	200	504		49		10.28		
P. B. Smith.	108		37	ST. SECOLO	280						
T. J. Mendham	100						15		18.66		
P M Dodeken	101		25		266		13		20.46		
R. M. Dodshon	79.4		8		336		14		24.00		

MALVERN COLLEGE

A young eleven achieved good victories against M.C.C., Free Foresters and the Authentics, but won only one school match, against Cheltenham. M. C. Bailey put up some fine performances as an all-rounder, while B. A. Richardson improved steadily as an opening bat and showed promise as a leg-spinner. G. H. Irvine played a number of patient, valuable innings.

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting								
	Innings	Not ou	its	Runs	High	nest in	ns.	Average
G. H. Irvine		2		433		107*		30.92
B. A. Richardson		3		310	291	69*		28.18
M. C. Bailey		1		377		68*		26.92
J. W. T. Wilcox		1		375		74		25.00
P. Green		0		242		58		22.00
G. R. Rawes		1		258		65		19.84
Bowling								
	Overs	Maider	ns	Runs	W	lickets		Average
M. C. Bailey		75		832		53		15.69
D. A. Marshall		45		463		23		20.13
B. A. Richardson		12		351		15		23.40
G. H. Irvine		14	9037	282		11		25.63
					1 100			

MANCHESTER GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Only three colours were available, but as the season progressed the results became increasingly impressive. Much of the success was due to A. Crossley, who was a fine leader and a high-class wicket-keeper. D. Woolley had a remarkably fine season both as batsman and bowler.

Played 20, Won 10, Lost 6, Drawn 4

Batting									
The state of the s	Innings	N	lot or	ats	Runs	His	thest in	nns.	Average
D. Woolley	20		2		587		105*		32.61
F. S. Appleyard			3		342		52*		20.11
D. J. Barnes		137	4	E L TO	192	ACTO	49*		24.00
M. J. Rawlinson			3		121		54*		17.27
†A. Crossley			2		205	13/44	67	NO.	17.08
I. Robertson			3	701 10	243	101	40		15.18
2. 21000110011					Farmer Francis				
Bowling									
20	Overs	N	Taide	ns	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
D. Woolley			120		542		67		8.08
V. J. Millward			62	-	444		51	100	8.70
	30		4		97		10		
F. S. Appleyard	30 118	••	22		92 345	••	10	• •	9.20
N. J. Goodey	118	::	22		345 201	••	19 11		18.15 18.27

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE

Results from a side playing attractive cricket were disappointing. The batting was strong, and though the bowling was at times ineffective C. F. Woodhouse and P. N. B. Sabine had good performances. M. G. Griffith was a very promising wicket-keeper.

Played 15, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 6

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Hig	ghest in	nns.	Average
D. L. Martin	16		2		464		122		33.14
†A. L. LePage	17		2		462		63		30.80
M. G. Griffith	14		5		262		75		29.11
P. N. B. Sabine	16		1		424		123		28.26
A. J. Price	17		0		406		91		23.88
C. F. Woodhouse			0		406		107		23.88
C. R. Reiss	12		5		134		40	0.02	19.14
R. I. S. McGuigan	13		0		225		68		17.30
Bowling						F. N. S. M.	a line in the		
	Overs	N.	[aider	18	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
A. J. Nisbet			22		302		21		14.38
C. F. Woodhouse	141.4		16		464		25		18.56
D. L. Martin			15		218		10		21.80
P. N. B. Sabine			40		628		28		22.42
C. R. Reiss	191		40		525		18		29.16

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL, NORTHWOOD

Potentially strong batting did not realise its potential until the second half of the season. Good fielding supported a steady attack.

Played 16, Won 3, Lost 5, Drawn 8

Batting									
The Laborator A.	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	ins.	Average
J. A. Eastcott	. 16		1		412		80		27.46
C. J. Parham	. 15		3		312		116*		26.00
†W. F. Urmson	. 15		2		285		55		21.92
C. F. Worrell			2		265		51*		18.92
S. C. Smails	. 16		0		258		44		16.12
R. S. Phelps	. 11		0		122		56		11.09
Bowling									
	Overs	M	Laide	ns	Runs	1 700	Wicket	S	Average
J. D. Miller			25		143		10		14.30
D. J. Parry	. 128		30		323		21		15.38
R. F. Bryant	. 172		42		475		26		18.26
I. Gammie	. 91		12		341		18		18.94
C. F. Worrell			11		387		15		25.80
R. A. R. Challis	. 142		24		431		14		30.78

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL, CROSBY

Although undefeated in school games, the side seldom came up to expectations. The bowling of J. E. A. Field, F. R. H. Birchall and B. St. J. Birchall was the best feature.

Played 17, Won 8, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Batting				-					
AND AND PARTY OF THE PARTY OF T	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	thest in	ıns.	Average
†B. St. J. Birchall	18		4		447		100*		31.92
J. R. Greenwood	15		2		326	٩	49		25.07
D. J. Storey			4		115		38*		14.42
J. E. A. Field	17		3	M 3	190		28		13.57
T. G. Bennett	14		3		145		44*		13.18
ONLY THE REAL PROPERTY.									
Bowling							100		
	Overs	M	laide	18	Runs		Wickets	3	Average
B. St. J. Birchall			67		398		41		9.70
F. R. H. Birchall	175		49		396		34		11.64
J. E. A. Field	244		65		526		41		12.82
T. G. Bennett	55		6		179		12		14 91

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL

Another very successful season. All school matches were won except that against Loretto. In the last five seasons only two school matches have been lost. D. R. C. Morton was a fine all-rounder.

Played	15	Won	Lost	1. Drawn	5

	tujem 10,	"	, .		,	-			
Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Hig	hest in	Average	
J. P. Jackson	. 17		4		403		60		31.00
†D. R. C. Morton	. 17		3		359		65*	1.00	25.64
E. K. Collins	. 16		6		219		57*		21.90
G. A. Lindsay			0		349		47		19.38
R. H. Lamb			3		145		57		18.12
D. W. G. Mitchell	. 17		1		257		36		16.06
	. 101								
Bowling									
	Overs	N.	laider	18	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
D. R. C. Morton	. 162		59		391		42		9.45
J. W. Ness			81		530		47		11.27
E. K. Collins			12		404		26		15.53
B. R. Donald		100	38		308		16		19.25

MILLFIELD SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 10, Lost 2, Drawn 2

Batting									
	Innings	Innings Not outs		Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average	
G. R. Burgess	14		5		287		49*		31.88
G. E. W. Mirehouse	9		1		196		35	U	24.50
P. D. S. McConnell	14		1	-30.0	314		72*	1	24.15
J. C. Burrough	12		1		252		55		22.90
J. Wilmott	14		0		298		50		21.28
†W. G. M. Craig	14		0		269		75		19.21
G. L. Diment	14		3		205		47*		18.63
D 11									

Bowling	•	4 1				T7. 1		HE STATE OF THE ST
	Overs	IV	laidei	ıs	Runs	wicket	S	Average
G. R. Burgess	166.3		43		474	 42		11.28
A. G. C. Bell								12.52
M. F. Khan						17		19.29
G. Bonnell						 19		20.21

MILL HILL SCHOOL

Playing positive, attractive cricket, Mill Hill left only one of 14 matches unfinished. The bowling was strong, the opening pair, M. R. Wollerton and F. M. N. Wills being excellently supported by the leg-breaks of J. K. Crafts, but the batting was inconsistent.

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 8, Drawn 1

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Highest inns.			Average
A. R. Dyer			2		293		79		24.41
P. R. Worrall	. 8		2		135		43		22.50
G. M. Campbell			1		133		39*		22.16
R. T. Hillier			0	d	255		49		18.21
R. A. Hudgell	. 13		0		227		49		17.46
G. J. M. Westoby			4		135		49		16.87
R. A. Furness			0		205		53		14.64
T. D. Phillips			1		173		39		14.41

Bowling Overs Maidens Runs (Mickets) Wickets Average M. R. Wollerton 177.4 24 615 31 19.83 J. K. Crafts 136 23 451 22 20.50 †F. M. N. Wills 162.4 23 528 24 22.00

NEWCASTLE ROYAL GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played 21, Won 10, Lost 5, Drawn 6

STATE OF THE PARTY	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	His	hest ir	ins.	Average
†M. Floyd	17		1		592		91		37.00
M. I. Dickinson	19		1		443		74		24.61
P. Lowe			8		244		51*		24.40
D. Beckham	19		4		317		71		21.13
K. B. Knox	21		1		401		55*	0.0000	20.05
D. M. Dallas	15		1		277	1111	55		19.78
D. Eltis			3		267		74*		19.07
L. H. Rice	11		1		169		41*		16.90

Bowlin

16 T D	Overs	N	Taider	ıs	Runs	Wickets	3	Average
M. I. Dickinson	43.5		7		118	11		10.72
J. S. Wilkinson	166.2		38		433	36		12.02
C. J. H. Lightfoot			21		377	 30		12.56
D. Oldroyd	168		30		547	 40		13.67
M. Anderson	66		7		247	13		19.00
P. Lowe	121		18		387	 20		19.35

OAKHAM SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 4, Lost 2, Drawn 8

Datting	Innings Not outs		Runs	His	ghest in	Average			
M. Jenkins			3		531		92		48.27
†R. G. Marrion	15		2		425		66		32.69
N. G. Pollard	15		2		382		112*		29.38
P. I. Stewart	8		0		221		94		27.62
J. M. Berry	13		0		233		64		17.92
J. B. Lynn	11		2		119		37		13.22
J. A. Brake	12		0		104		25		8.66

Bowling

believe tielt somblere ince I	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average	
J. B. Lynn	149.2	28	402	24	16.75	
R. G. Marrion	294.1	74	739	42		
D. M. H. Berry	130.2	11	479	25		
J. M. Berry	94.4	14	284	11	25.10	

THE ORATORY SCHOOL

Apart from a disastrous day at St. George's, Weybridge, and a disappointing match at Lord's, The Oratory enjoyed a successful season. Good though his figures were N. L. Simpson did not make the scores expected owing to impetuosity. The burden of the bowling fell on J. A. Hawkes and P. J. Nicol.

Played 15, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Public	School	Cricket	in	1959
1 nonc	Delloot	Cricica	ui	1/0.

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest ir	ins.	Average
N. L. Simpson			2		511		100		39.30
B. Andrews	/ 14		1		203		88*		15.61
F. P. Langan	11		1		151		38		15.10
M. Balcomb			1		221		29		14.73
J. N. Fieldus	11		1		138		78		13.80
P. Sorapure	10		2		111		33*		13.87
†I. A. Judge		1.6.5	3		105		35*		13.12
P. J. Nicol		•	0		156		47	••	11.14
Rowling									

Bowling	Overs	Maidens			Runs	1	Wicket	Average	
W. Hickie	49.2		11		146		12		
P. J. Nicol	168.3		37		554				12.88
J. A. Hawkes					373		27		13.81
R. Hasslacher			4		211		11		19.18
P. Sorapure	66		11		198		10		19.80
I. A. Judge	47.4		3		214		10		21.40

OUNDLE SCHOOL

Oundle excelled in the field under the excellent captaincy of N. M. Stephens. Only one inter-school match was lost, and then after being dismissed for 100 they took seven Stowe wickets for 80. All other school opponents were dismissed for under 150 and defeated.

Played 16	Won 7	Lost 1	Drawn 8
-----------	-------	--------	---------

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	Highest inns.			Average
A. T. A. Wates	16		2		573		77		40.93
†N. M. Stephens	16		1		596		88		39.73
S. B. Cartledge	17		1		552		98		34.50
A. J. King	13		1		175		25		14.58
N. H. Wilson	16		5		160		25*		14.54
P. R. Appleyard	16		4		168		39		14.00
J. D. S. Wilson	11		1		127		25		12.70
Bowling	annes.				desired.				

Bowling	Overs Maidens				Runs	1	Wicket	Average	
N. H. Wilson	190.5		51		497		32		15.52
P. R. Appleyard	200.4		59		481		29		16.58
J. C. Smith	176		56		412		22		18.72
J. N. Board	62.1		7		228		12		19.00
N. R. M. Hollebone	139		35		372		16		23.25

PERSE SCHOOL

Enterprising batting by a side with no obvious tail won matches that would otherwise have been left drawn. J. A. Edgar, a promising young all-rounder, hit 100 in eighty-nine minutes against Bishop's Stortford.

Played 19, Won 7, Lost 1, Drawn 11

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs		Runs	Highest inns.			Average	
N. P. R. Blyth	16		4		365		61		30.41
R. E. O. Yarrow	. 17		4		385		85*		29.61
J. A. Edgar	. 16		4		287		100*		23.91
W. J. Kesteven			0		443		66		23.31
K. J. Hardingham	. 19		0		348		62		18.31
R. F. Perkins	. 18		4		222		50		15.85
H. J. Wiseman			5		100		27		14.28
†A. H. Martin			5		118		35		13.11
A. S. Crawford	. 18		1		194		39		11.41

Bowling	Overs	Maidens			Runs Wickets				Average
H. J. Wiseman	311.4		86		742		62		11.96
A. P. F. Gordon	254.3		48		726		38		19.10
R. D. G. Wardle	151		22		471		24		19.62
J. A. Edgar	119		22		373		17		21.94
A. H. Martin	76		13		272		10		27.20

POCKLINGTON SCHOOL

By batting splendidly throughout P. D. Briggs contributed largely to what was claimed as the best season ever enjoyed by the school side. I. S. Bird's slow left-arm bowling of much promise was another big factor. The only defeat was inflicted narrowly by the M.C.C.

Played 12, Won 8, Lost 1, Drawn 3

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot or	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	nns.	Average
†P. D. Briggs	12		1		619		104*		56.27
P. W. Train	9		6	A STATE OF	112		51*		37.33
E. R. Hurst	8		0		292		77		36.50
M. H. Rose	11	-	2	COST	277		87		30.77
I. S. Bird	10		3		200		76*		28.57
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	Iaide	ns	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
	Overs 176.2	M	Iaide	ns	Runs 383		Wicket 42	S	Average 9.11
I. S. Bird								10000	
I. S. Bird	176.2		59		383		42	10000	9.11
I. S. Bird	176.2 68.1		59 20		383 134		42 10	10000	9.11 13.40

OUEEN ELIZABETH GRAMMAR SCHOOL, WAKEFIELD

A strong batting side, headed by F. Green, went through the last 14 matches unbeaten. In one of these R. J. Whitehead, a most promising off-spinner, aged only 15, took six for six, including the hat-trick.

Played 19, Won 6, Lost 3, Drawn 10

Batting									
Audited and the Constitution of the	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	thest in	ins.	Average
†F. Green	16		4		556		80*		46.33
R. A. Dyson	18		1		406		75		23.29
D. M. Taylor	7		2		-119		33*		23.80
J. M. Brown	18		2		253		48		15.81
J. R. Barron	17		0		255		39		15.00
Bowling									
	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket:	S	Average
R. J. Whitehead	87.5		13		308		27		11.40
D. Pryor	214.5		56		547		44		12.43
C. B. Sherwood	255.5		72		622		46		13.52
I M Brown	59		15		182		12	-	15 16

RADLEY COLLEGE

With a well-balanced side Radley were unbeaten in inter-school matches for the third successive year. R. F. Eliot, who was again the main wicket-taker, received sound support from the left-arm spinner, I. H. P. Stokes.

Played 16, Won 8, Lost 1, Drawn 7

Public School Cricke	t in	1959
----------------------	------	------

nings		וזה זר	te	Runs	Hic	thest in	ne	Average
	741	or ou						
18		5		589		92*		45.30
17		3		507		97		36.21
		1		484		124		30.25
15		5		284		83		28.40
12		4		186		42		23.25
13		0		271		64		20.84
13		3		168		57		16.80
	18 17 17 15 12 13	18 17 17 15 12 13	18 5 17 3 17 1 15 5 12 4 13 0	18 5 17 3 17 1 15 5 12 4 13 0	18 5 589 17 3 507 17 1 484 15 5 284 12 4 186 13 0 271	18 5 589 17 3 507 17 1 484 15 5 284 12 4 186 13 0 271	18 5 589 92* 17 3 507 97 17 1 484 124 15 5 284 83 12 4 186 42 13 0 271 64	18 5 589 92* 17 3 507 97 17 1 484 124 15 5 83 12 4 186 42 13 0 271 64

13	• •	3	••	108		31		10.80
Overs	M	Iaider	ıs	Runs		Vicket	s	Average
438.5		134		1138		59		19.28
253		72		708		35		20.22
89		20		258		10		25.80
140.1		19		490		15		32.66
179		27		683		20		34.15
	Overs 438.5 253 89 140.1	Overs M. 438.5 253 89 140.1	Overs Maider 438.5 . 134 253 . 72 89 . 20 140.1 . 19	Overs Maidens 438.5 134 253 72 89 20 140.1 19	Overs Maidens Runs 438.5 . 134 . 1138 253 . 72 . 708 89 . 20 . 258 140.1 . 19 . 490	Overs Maidens Runs V 438.5 . 134 . 1138 253 . 72 . 708 89 . 20 . 258 140.1 . 19 . 490	Overs Maidens Runs Wicket 438.5 . 134 . 1138 . 59 253 . 72 . 708 . 35 89 . 20 . 258 . 10 140.1 . 19 . 490 . 15	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets 438.5 . 134 . 1138 . 59 253 . 72 . 708 . 35 89 . 20 . 258 . 10 140.1 . 19 . 490 . 15

READING SCHOOL

Played 17, Won 8, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ins.	Average
D. I. Hill	16		2		510		90*		36.42
F. C. Baines	16		2		442		76*		31.57
C. D. C. Councell	14		4		268		50		26.80
G. E. Day	11		4		168		103*		24.00
M. A. Cleere			0		255		65		19.61
†R. M. Owen	14		3		207		62		18.81
R. E. Mills			2		132		57*		16.50

Bowling	Overs	N	Taide	ns	Runs	,	Wicket	S	Average
F. C. Baines	184.5		46		540		45		12.00
D. I. Hill									
A. P. Brown									
M. A. Cleere	65		2		323		11		29.36

REPTON SCHOOL

Repton were less successful than of late because the bowlers failed to adapt themselves to the firm pitches. Apart from P. W. S. Rowland who had been impressive on the wet surfaces of 1958, the other bowlers did comparatively little. They were further handicapped by dropped catches. Moreover the batting depended too much on C. R. Pilkington and R. A. Hutton. The others were prone to collapse.

Played 17, Won 7, Lost 4, Drawn 6

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	ite	Runs	Hi	phest in	ne	Average
C. R. Pilkington			3		625		87*		41.66
R. A. Hutton	20		2						39.00
R. H. Green							62*		
J. J. W. Tomlinson				••			61*		21.72
J. T. Cutts				• •	341 275		70 51*	• •	21.31 21.15
A. W. Allen	10	•	3	• •	213	•••	31		21.13

Downing	Overs	M	Iaider	ns	Runs	•	Wicket	S	Average
P. W. S. Rowland	231.5		56		637		49		13.00
R. J. Wesley	91		20		219		13	5% 5	16.84
C. R. Pilkington			23		450	-1.55	22	2000	20.45
A. W. Allen	246		67	15 - 17 21	682		30	5	22.73
J. J. W. Tomlinson	248.2		81		580		24		24.16

ROSSALL SCHOOL

Good batting and excellent fielding in support of a steady rather than penetrative attack, in which A. T. W. Hale thrived on hard work, produced a satisfactory season. Of the main scorers A. M. Robertson in successive innings made 84 not out against St. Bees in sixty-five minutes and 129 in two hours ten minutes against Shrewsbury. The most consistent batsman was P. D. R. Brown who only twice failed to reach double figures.

Played 14, Won 5, Lost 3, Drawn 6

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ns.	Average
R. C. Fairbairn	16		4		424		80*		35.33
J. S. Blower	14		1	TE SA	374		70		28.76
J. G. Coupe	7		3		113	The state of	50*		28.25
A. M. Robertson	16		1		410		129		27.33
M. McLaren	12		5		181		41*		25.85
J. P. R. Brown	16		Ö	GPI.	391		46		24.43
†P. D. Stokes	13		4	15000	167	- F	42*		18.55
T. B. Stones		•••	0	1	107		74		10.55
Bowling									
	Overs	M	laider	ıs	Runs		Wickets		Average
M. McLaren	169	100	35	344	477		29	1	16.45
A. T. W. Hale	235.1		35		751		42		17.88
A. R. Adams	147.2		27		418		19	••	22.00
R. F. Ashworth	107		22		349		14		24.92
ACT TISH WOTTH	10,	••			343		14		24.92

RUGBY SCHOOL

The batting, headed by J. L. Cuthbertson, was generally strong. The bowling lacked pace and bite, though C. N. St. P. Bunbury bowled his leg-breaks well.

Played 14, Won 3, Lost 4, Drawn 7

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ns.	Average
†J. L. Cuthbertson	17	-	1		615	Take To	100	ALC:	38.43
R. S. Appleby	16		6		365		51	DEST	36.50
J. Asfan	16		0	-	422		68	and a	26.37
D. J. Cole	16		3		314		68*		24.15
M. R. Evers			1		355		105		23.66
I. A. Elliot	16		Ô		379		44		23.68
J. A. Knox-Johnston	7		1		121		45		20.76
					121		75	•••	20.70
Bowling									
MANAGEMENT AND AND ADDRESS.	Overs	M	laider	IS	Runs		Wickets		Average
C. N. St. P. Bunbury	176.5	173	23	1	611		29		21.06
R. Hodder-Williams	92		10		338		15	THE .	22.53
J. L. Cuthbertson	212.5	-	63		556		22		25.27
R. M. Armstrong	229		58		651	-54	20		32.55
K. P. H. Mackenzie	144.2		42	-	454		12		37.83
	177.2	123	72		734		14		31.03

RUTHIN SCHOOL

	layed 17,	Won	8, L	ost 4	, Drawn	5			
Batting									
Name of the second	Innings	No	t ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	ns.	Average
R. T. Wild	. 15		2		295		61		22.69
M. H. Snape	. 17		3		210		30*		15.00
JD. L. Whiteley	. 16		0		212	111	38		13.25
M. A. Entwistle	. 15		3		151		42*		12.58
I. S. Thelwell	. 17		1		180		40*		11.25
R. G. L. Williams	13		1		106		20		0.02

D	L1:0	Cal	201	Crick	at in	10	50	0
ru	Duc	Sch	joi	Crick	ei in	17	J	7

T		w			
к	\mathbf{a}	w	п	n	O

Ratting

D. G. Laxton..... 200.2 ...

J. K. Routledge 128.5

J. W. H. Graham

	Overs	M	aider	18	Runs	Runs Wickets			Average
N. E. D. Parish	107.2		26		327		34		9.61
I. S. Thelwell	202.1		37		536		44		12.18
A. G. L. Sharpe	49		9		129		10		12.90
R. Pettigrew			15		321		15		21.40

RYDAL SCHOOL

Rydal's season was notable for the fine all-round play of R. M. H. Thomas, a free and confident stroke player and a bowler relying mainly on well-concealed changes of pace. He was also a fine fielder and was rated as the best all-rounder the school has ever produced. D. E. Morphy had a curious season, scoring only 19 runs in his first seven innings and nearly 400 in his remaining eight.

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 4.	Drawn 4.	Tied 1
---------------------------	----------	--------

	Batting									
		Innings	N	lot or	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	ins.	Average
	R. M. H. Thomas	16		5		641		116		58.27
	D. E. Morphy	15		0		408		125		27.20
	C. R. Jennins	13		6		169		69*		24.14
(C. H. Pighills	14		1		300		85		21.07
'	T. S. Fletcher	14		3		195		73*		17.72
	T. R. E. Hartley	8		1		117		59		16.71
	Bowling									
	Downing	Overs	N	Taider	18	Runs	1	Wickets		Average
	R. M. H. Thomas	284	14.	74		591		52		11.36
	C. B. Weller			12	war.	536		19		28.21
	R. T. Ashall	93.3		7		379		13		29.15

ST. BEES SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 7, Lost 3, Drawn 3

Datting	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Highest inns.			Average
R. K. Wilcock			0		288		94		24.00
S. W. Morrison			1		257		72		23.36
D. G. Laxton	13		0		269		73		20.69
R. K. Routledge			3		181		43		20.11
S. C. Lees			2		190		64*		17.27
†G. Hetherington			2		138		51*		17.25
J. Crosland			2		143		59*		14.30
Bowling									
	Overs	M	aider	IS	Runs	1	Vickets	3	Average
W. M. Melrose	59.5		14		152		15		10.13

. 128.5 .. 21 .. 394 . 75.3 .. 8 .. 294 ST. DUNSTAN'S COLLEGE

The highlight of the season was the first victory over M.C.C. in 25 years.

546

13.31 21.88

29.40

Played 14, Won 6, Lost 4, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ıns.	Average
†C. Matten	14		2		448		113*		37.33
J. M. Preston	. 14		3		274		56*		24.90
E. Last	. 14		0		328		58		23.42
K. G. Knight	. 11		2		167		49		18.55
B. J. Boys			4		155		35*		17.22
J. W. Howe			2		147		30		14.70
T. E. Brand			0		156		43		13.00

Bowling	Overs	M	[aider	as	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average
B. J. Stickings	100.2		18		349		23		15.25
K. G. Knight			44		471		29		16.24
B. S. Burrows	158		32		426		18		23.66

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL

After a great start to the season the team fell off disappointingly. The start included the first win ever against M.C.C. A. Maclachlan lacked only confidence in himself to be an outstanding cricketer, being a splendid bat, a beautiful fielder and a quickish, if erratic, opening bowler. The opening pair, D. G. Davies and R. W. O. Howell, scored 181 together against Bradfield, a school record. R. L. Best, a leg-break bowler with genuine spinning ability aged only 15, had outstanding successes against school sides.

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ıns.	Average
A. Maclachlan	18		3		564		85		37.60
D. G. Davies	18		1		542		118*		31.88
R. W. O. Howell	18		1		462		87		27.18
S. D. Rutherford	13		4		196		36		21.77
C. F. Schnadhorst			2		304		39		20.26
J. F. Bailey	16		2		279		57*		19.92
R. L. Best	12		5		117		35*		16.71
Bowling									
	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	SE ES	Wicket	S	Average
R. L. Best	244		53		854		51		16.74
D. G. Davies			36		325		19		17.10
J. E. Bishop	256		74		685		35		19.57
A. Maclachlan	154		26		413		20		20.65
R. L. White	192		52		539		13		41.46

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD

An inexperienced side began well but lost six of the last eight games. The batting was uncertain, but the bowling of N. Thompson, leg-breaks, D. J. Scrivens, medium, and N. C. Philpot, left-arm slow, was consistently good.

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 8, Drawn 1

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot or	ıts	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
†D. R. W. Hares	10		1		204		77	-	22.66
D. G. Fairley			0		181		59		15.08
B. T. Lewis	11		5		83		29		13.83
R. L. Nobbs	11		2		122		55		13.55
P. H. Thorne			1		133		42		13.30
N. C. Philpot			0		109		20		9.99
Bowling									
	Overs	M	Iaide	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
N. Thompson	73.5		16		212		19		11.15
D. J. Scrivens	130		43		265		22		12.04
R. L. Nobbs	55.1		7		171		14		12.21
N. C. Philpot	147.4		45		316		22		14.36
B. T. Lewis	106.4		30		255		15		17.00

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE

High scores attractively made gave the College a successful season under the good captaincy of D. C. L. Prior, who was a fine attacking batsman at number three. Newcomers C. J. C. Martin, who scored seven fifties, and R. R. Dixon formed a reliable opening pair, and if the bowling was inaccurate it was varied, M. P. M. Watson being genuinely fast.

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 3, Drawn 6

Batting									
A COLD THE CHIEF THE COLD IN	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ins.	Average
C. J. C. Martin	16		2		637		70*		45.50
†D. C. L. Prior	16		2		606		106*		43.28
A. R. Beaumont	14		8		213		48*		35.50
D. E. Roe			3		280		40		25.45
R. R. Dixon	15		0		341		103		22.73
E. W. J. Fillary			2		156	And the second	49*		19.50
					100				15.50
THE RESERVE OF THE SECOND SECO									
Bowling									
	Overs	N.	faider	IS	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
M. P. M. Watson	186.1		35		546		34		16.05
D. C. L. Prior	150		38		413		24	61413	17.20
TO XX7 T TOTAL									
E. W. J. Fillary	138		17		547		24	ESSE	22.79
E. W. J. Fillary J. S. Irvine	138 118.4		17 13	::	547 411	• • •	24 16		22.79 25.68
J. S. Irvine	138 118.4 83.4	••	-				The second second		22.79 25.68 27.25

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL

Three of the main batsmen, E. T. Razzall, R. Q. East and P. G. Cummings were under 16 at the start of the term, which fact augured well for the future. The batting was good enough, but the attack depended excessively on the inswingers of P. K. Stagg and the decidedly promising off-spinners of A. D. Grimes.

Played 13, Won 3, Lost 4, Drawn 6

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	His	hest in	nns.	Average
H. A. Emami	14		2		377		78		31.41
E. T. Razzall	14	-	0	densi	439	4	87		31.35
J. R. Barclay	14		3	-	325		78*		29.54
P. G. Cummings	11	1	3	Terror I	197		54*	-	24.62
R. Q. East	14		0	banki.	283	Terder	68		20.21
†P. P. McCowen	13		3	-	190		62	THIS	19.00
at the sales and					230		02		15.00
n!'									
Bowling	•								
A decided and the same of the	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
E. T. Razzall	37.4	 	3	ıs 	137		Vicket	s	Average 12.45
E. T. Razzall	37.4 97		3 23	ıs 					
E. T. Razzall	37.4 97 189.5	.M 	3		137		11		12.45
E. T. Razzall R. M. Logan A. D. Grimes P. K. Stagg	37.4 97	 	3 23		137 246		11 14	••	12.45 17.57
E. T. Razzall	37.4 97 189.5		3 23 35		137 246 616		11 14 32	••	12.45 17.57 19.25

ST. PETER'S SCHOOL, YORK

No inter-school match has been lost since 1956. During another successful season the side were only wholly dismissed twice. During one match S. R. Hutchinson, under 16, hit six 4's in one over.

Played 16, Won 9, Lost 2, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot or	its	Runs	Highest inns.			Average
†A. McCallum	17		4		516		140*		39.69
E. M. H. Ranson	17		4		490		78		37.69
C. J. Hemsley	11		5		179		50		29.83
J. A. Bygate	18		1		451	. 11/4	59*		26.52
S. R. Hutchinson			1		365		71		26.07
J. A. Shonksmith			2		230		51		17.69
C. W. Gough			4		119		25*		17.00
J. W. S. Gale	12		1		146		45		13.27
Bowling									
The Later Landson	Overs	M	laide	ıs	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
M. Woodruff			38		553		36		15.36
P. J. Dronfield	121		23		431		26		16.57
E. M. H. Ranson			52		646		38		17.00
C. W. Gough	194		49		573		28		20.46

SEDBERGH SCHOOL

Played 11, Won 4, Lost 3, Drawn 4

•
•

SEVENOAKS SCHOOL

C. S. Smith and J. G. Langdale shared three opening stands of more than 100.

Played 13, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting C. S. Smith E. J. Darlow J. G. Langdale C. B. Hogg	9	Not outs 2 3 2 3	Runs 494 174 243 143	Highest i 124 76* 75* 36*	nns.	Average 44.90 29.00 22.00 17.87
Bowling	0	\$20.000		****		interest.
B. G. Russell	Overs 80	Maidens 16	Runs 189	Wicket 17	S	Average 11.11
I. J. Mitchell	151	20	484	31		15.61
W. F. Hoad	157 105	25	507 315	31		16.35 19.68

SHERBORNE SCHOOL

Excellent bowling and fielding received bad support by the batsmen, though Porter shaped excellently, particularly for his 68 not out against Winchester.

Played 11, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 2

Puhl	ic	Sch	iool	Crick	ret	in 1	959
L uvi	ic.		iooi		uci	uu	

Batting	
---------	--

Dutting	-								
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ıns.	Average
K. M. L. Porter	10		2		349		68*	1	43.62
†R. C. R. Cotterell	13		2		259		62		23.54
S. C. Nicholas			1		279		87		23.25
D. J. Youngman			î		269		117*		22.41
R. P. L. Garland			Ô		224		76		17.23
J. R. H. Mermagen			ŏ		147		47		14.70
R. W. Beeney			0		189		46		14.53
A. G. Howland-Jackson.	10		2		108		22*		13.50
C 9 .C ASSIGN									
Bowling									
	Overs	M.	Taider	IS	Runs	60	Wicket	S	Average
D. K. Geddes	119.3		25		305		19	The said	16.05
A. G. Howland-Jackson.	124		34		296		18	Ph. Is	16.44
C. W. Yeldham	271.2		88		694		33		21.03
K. M. L. Porter	138.3		13		384		15	dista	25.60
F. K. Harris	104		20		351	100	12		29.25
1 . 12. 1141113	104		20		331		14		47.43

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL

Consistent batting by the first five and splendid fielding were the main causes of Shrewsbury's success. The bowlers collectively were not a great force, but they enjoyed individual successes, and J. P. Baker learned much as an off-spinner from bowling on hard, unrewarding pitches. W. L. Ward proved an able captain and batsman.

Played 12, Won 6, Lost 2, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
†W. L. Ward	14		4		419		75*		41.90
J. S. Ker	15		0		450		57		30.00
D. O. Williams	15		1		413		72*		29.50
D. L. Wright	15		1		381		79*		27.21
A. J. Garden	14		0		262		38		18.71
J. P. Baker	13		3		161		93		16.10
Bowling									
Downing	Overs	M	laider	15	Runs	7	Vicket	•	Average
C. B. Q. Wallace	91		22		229		15		15.26
W. L. Ward	184.3		46		399		25		15.96
J. P. Baker	165.4		33	9 11 -	481		30		16.03
J. D. Grundy	109.4		32		201		10		20.10
TO Y XXY . 1 .									
D. L. Wright	162		49		395		16		24.68

SOLIHULL SCHOOL

Played 12, Won 4, Lost 1, Drawn 7

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	nns	Average.
P. J. Harding	12		4		238		75		29.75
J. T. Waters	12		4		211		59*	1000	26.37
I. C. Forsyth			Ö		299			11:	24.91
D M Tonsyth	12		17.3				94		
P. N. Tennant			0		291		77		24.25
S. W. D. Cooper	12		0		211		47		17.58
E. W. Lea	12		0		189		52		15.75
Bowling									
	Overs	N	Taiden	2	Runs	7	Vicket		Average
G. R. Barker			29		612	13 M	31	3	19.74
D D I	109.5	• •	The second second				The same of the same of		
R. D. Jones			38		423		19		22.26
P. J. Harding	137		32		333		13		25.61

STAMFORD SCHOOL

Stamford enjoyed a good season under the captaincy of D. H. Matthews, whose wicket-keeping was a feature. Enterprise was shown in going for the runs against totals of over 200—twice successfully—and a reply of 230 was made in the M.C.C. match, when G. F. Allen hit 50 in nineteen minutes.

Played 11, Won 4, Lost 2, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	I	Not ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
G. F. Allen			4		142		69	-	35.50
†D. H. Matthews	11		0		317		70		28.81
G. Holdich	11		4		193		69*		27.57
C. P. Tewkesbury	11		5		141		23		23.50
M. S. Salt	10		0		175		35		17.50
R. I. Harvey	9		0		139		50	The second	15.44
J. W. W. Sim	10		1		136		50		15.11
M. L. Perkins	11		0		148		39		13.45
Bowling									
	Overs	N	faider	ıs	Runs	7	Vicket	3	Average
C. P. Tewkesbury	155.3		48	WY	401		27		14.85
D. Genever	112.4		19		431		23		18.73
G. F. Allen	135		30		494		11		44.90

STRATHALLAN SCHOOL

Played 19, Won 7, Lost 6, Drawn 6

	,		. , , -		,				
Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	nns.	Average
I. M. Smith	19		2		389		43		22.88
D. C. Duncan			ō		399		75		22.16
T. R. Taylor	11		6		110		43*		22.00
P. G. Wallace	19		1		391		77		21.72
I. D. E. Sim	13		5	••	152	••			
t I Willow	17	• •	1	• •			50*		19.00
†I. J. Willcox	17		1		297		76		18.56
Bowling									
20 75 Page 86	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average
A. J. Harvey Wallen	123		28		368	anous	35		10.51
G. R. J. Allan	73		19		191		17	*	11.23
P. G. Wallace	144		34		379	•	27		14.03
T. R. Taylor	157		32		434		23		18.86
	131	1977	34	4.15 1	734		23		10.00

STOCKPORT GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played 19 Won 7 Drawn 12

	1 myen	1), Won	1, 11	uwn 12				
Batting								
	Innings	Not o	uts	Runs	Hio	hest in	ne	Average
D. H. Torkington	. 14	3		293		58	LILO.	26.63
D. T. Humpage	14			255	• •	41*		
N Cook	12	4			• •			25.50
N. Cook	. 13	1		147		27		12.25
D. R. G. Mitchell	. 11	1		119		32*	-	11.90
†P. L. Bradbury	. 13	1		132		18*		11.00
Bowling								
	Overs	Maide	ns	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
S. J. Hardie	198	69	day.	387		44	Nices and	8.79
I. Siddons	185.2	66		359		36		
N. Gamble	96.5	20.00						9.97
D H Tarlington	90.3	30	• •	234		25		9.36
D. H. Torkington	50	8		129		12		10.75

STONYHURST COLLEGE

Played 14, Won 3, Lost 4, Drawn 7

Batting									
W TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
B. O'Driscoll	10		1		211	2222	61*		23.44
M. Hruska	13	1 200	2	me The	215		71		19.54
N. Hollis	15		0		269		58		17.93
W. Cash	13		0		232		44		17.84
C. Corbett			3	24.1	195		50*		16.25
R. Kirby	14		0		166		25	1111	11.85
Bowling									
	Overs	M	[aide	ns	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
N. Drake-Lee	99.5		21		251	1000	19		13.21
M. Hruska			35		245	1011	18	1 1 144	13.61
W. Cash			41	100	522	144	29	Trans.	18.00
N. Hollis		1.1	14		199		10	2 Date	19.90
C. Corbett			20		366		18		20.33

STOWE SCHOOL

The captain, C. J. G. Atkinson, brought the fielding to a high standard. D. E. Costain, an admirable all-rounder, had a particular triumph when he made 78 not out against Oundle in a total of 103 for seven. D. R. Sabberton and D. H. Bate twice topped 100 for the first wicket.

Played 13, Won 4, Lost 2, Drawn 7

rerage
2.85
1.20
0.10
2.33
8.57
2.40
verage
5.15
0.50
6.61
0

SUTTON VALENCE SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 5, Lost 3, Drawn 5

Batting		-							
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest ir	ins.	Average
T. B. Tribe	10		3		252		97*		36.00
M. A. Elmitt	13		2	5	271		51		24.63
R. H. Palmer	12		2		209		66*		20.90
D. Ll. Davies	13		0		271		85		20.84
C. R. A. Welch	9		1		132		47		14.66
B. D. H. Preston	11		2		118		30		13.11
D. J. Comfort	13		0		131		35		10.07
Bowling									
Manufacture and Market	Overs	N	Iaider	15	Runs	1	Wicket	9	Average
A. J. Olsen	202.3		64		434		40		10.85
M. A. Elmitt	143.1		27		439		31		14.16
R. C. Goalen	74		15		249		15		16.60
J. E. Harvey	86.4		12		267		12		22.25
				A	20.		12		22.20

TAUNTON SCHOOL

J. A. Jameson scored five centuries while exceeding 1,000 runs. With a mixture of speed and spin he also took most wickets.

Played 16, Won 4, Lost 5, Drawn 7

Batting	198		4				- 21		0.2周
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	ıns.	Average
†J. A. Jameson	16	1	5		1031		163*		93.72
R. P. Tarr			1		340		88		28.33
P. R. H. Morgan			1		202		66	1992	22.44
M. I. R. Bull			0		191		49		21.22
C. P. Masters	12		1		186		34		16.90
G. F. Campbell	11		4	1.	105		25		15.00
M. P. Leigh-Firbank			2		146		33*		14.60
M. A. Clarke			0		114		41		14.25
Bowling									
Downing	Overs	M	Taider	18	Runs	1 2 2 3	Wickets	S	Average
N. R. Burridge		73.5	31		477		26		18.23
J. A. Jameson			36		778		34		22.88
G. F. Campbell		03	17	y lyny	349	naire.	15		23.26
R. P. Tarr			20		549		21		26.14

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL

Played 13, Won 1, Lost 8, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
P. W. Abelson	14		1		413		100		31.76
†J. H. M. MacKinnon	16		1		396		96*		26.40
J. N. Marshall	16		1		367		94		24.46
D. C. Culhane			1		165		56		23.57
M. J. C. Page			0		224		50		14.93
M. G. M. Smith		+ 400	3		176	- 1 - 2	41*		14.66
G. J. F. Berkeley		11	0		219	1256	44		13.68
S. A. Roberts	9		0	40	105	1831	50	THE	11.66
R. F. Flower		44 .	1	2.4	141	9 17 3	30*	THE C	10.07
20 21 210 1102 111111111	N AND	**	120						
Bowling									
20112129	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Vickets	S	Average
R. F. Flower			50		586		31		18.90
G. J. Edmunds		1,575	59	3492	575		23		25.00
A. H. Bartlett	4101	36.75	29	294	618		24		25.75
	110.1			-	-10				

TRENT COLLEGE

Played 14, Won 3, Lost 7, Drawn 4

Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	ıts	Runs	Hig	hest in	nns.	Average
C. E. F. James			4		468		103*		52.00
†E. J. Bows	14		4		486	13.0	83		48.60
J. Martin	13		1		247		58		20.58
Bowling									
	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
E. J. Bows	119		29		385		19		20.26
J. N. S. Breen	165		33		547		26		21.03
M. L. Edwards	81		11		292		13		22.46

Batting

Batting

Public School Cricket in 1959

TRINITY SCHOOL, CROYDON

Played 16, Won 7, Lost 5, Drawn 4

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot or	uts	Runs	Hig	shest i	nns.	Average
†A. S. Gorely	16		2		427		84*		30.50
J. K. Banbury	16		4	Dr.	320		57*		26.66
A. J. Garth			4		216		57*	-	24.00
D. J. le Serve			0		295	1	45	Market St.	21.07
T. C. Butterworth			Ö		122		36		10.16
Bowling									
25	Overs	M	Taide	ns	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
P. B. Stockwell	88.5		16		296		24	F. 833	12.33
R. L. Bishop			26		322	-300	23	27.1	14.00
J. K. Banbury	71.1		14		258		17		15.17
D. J. le Serve	124.4		33		351		23		15.26

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL

Played 14, Won 1, Lost 7, Drawn 6

	Innings	N	lot ou	S	Runs	Hig	shest in	nns.	Average
D. W. Peffers	14		0	IME	323		57		23.07
P. M. R. Warren	14		4		174		50*		17.40
M. C. Leigh	14	-	0		222		77		15.85
T. C. Elston		::	ŏ		197		39		14.07
R. J. Pamplin	12		2		119				
th C Wil	14	• •	2				30		11.90
†R. C. Wilson	14		0		141		26		10.07
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	laiden	3	Runs	7	Vicket	S	Average
	Overs 42.2	M	laiden:	3	Runs 97	, ,	Wicket	3000	Average 8.81
T. C. Elston	42.2		12		97		11		8.81
T. C. Elston	42.2 80	 	12 17		97 193	'	11 15	3000	8.81 12.86
T. C. Elston	42.2 80 141.2	 	12 17 30		97 193 396		11 15 27		8.81 12.86 14.66
T. C. Elston	42.2 80 141.2 149.3	 	12 17 30 30		97 193 396 393		11 15 27 22		8.81 12.86 14.66 17.86
T. C. Elston	42.2 80 141.2	 	12 17 30		97 193 396		11 15 27		8.81 12.86 14.66

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL

Played 12, Won 2, Lost 5, Drawn 5

Dutting	Innings	Not outs	D	TT:-1	A
D C *** .		Not outs	Runs	Highest inns.	Average
P. C. Watson	16	0	. 511	104	31.93
A. G. Rix	16	0	387	77	24.18
C. J. W. Smith		2	328	60	23.42
J. A. G. Newton		0	. 253	41	23.00
M. G. Lindrea		2	270	50	19.28
J. M. Southworth		1	206	37	15.84
J. W. Thompson	12	1	173	37*	15.72
Bowling					
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. H. Churton	214.1	39	. 644	42	15.33
D. A. Tate	160.3	43	. 489	18	27.16
H. C. Wrigley	160.5	23	. 527	18	29.27
J. W. Thompson	151.5	25	. 588	16	36.75

VICTORIA COLLEGE, JERSEY

Played 17, Won 5, Lost 5, Drawn 7

Batting									
	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	shest ir	ıns.	Average
M. J. P. Weedon	16		1		303		64		20.20
G. C. Brown			3		241		82*		18.53
D. A. R. Ferguson			0		252		57		15.75
D. C. Hunt			1		248		47		15.50
S. J. Le Cornu			2		170		31		13.07
A. J. Hocquard			0		200		43		12.50
E. G. Le Quesne			5		114		25*		11.40
Bowling									
12 12	Overs	M	Taider	as	Runs	1	Wicket:	S	Average
M. J. P. Weedon	159		17		470		41		11.46
T. B. Walker			25		328		18		18.22
E. G. Le Quesne			14		550		27		20.37
D. C. Hunt			31	96.	436		20		21.80

WARWICK SCHOOL

Batting

Played 16, Won 3, Lost 2, Drawn 11

Datting	Taninas	Not outs		Duna	Highest inns.			Avarage	
	Innings	1	ot ot	us	Runs	THE		ms.	Average
P. F. Ramage	17		4		503		101*		38.69
†A. S. Hacking			0		546		93		32.11
T. J. Dalton			0		474		73		27.88
J. D. Salkeld	17		0		324		54		19.05
A. D. Timms			0		246		44		16.40
R. Barker			4		140		33		12.72
Dowling									
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	Iaider	ıs	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
Bowling D. J. Schofield		M	Iaider 17	ıs	Runs 346		Wicket 18	s	Average 19.22
D. J. Schofield	97	.M						s	
D. J. Schofield P. F. Ramage	97 171		17		346		18		19.22
D. J. Schofield	97 171 134	••	17 43	••	346 462	•••	18 21		19.22 21.04
D. J. Schofield P. F. Ramage	97 171 134 92	••	17 43 30		346 462 381		18 21 17		19.22 21.04 22.41

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL

Played 12, Lost 7, Drawn 5

Batting									
NAME OF THE PARTY	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ins.	Average
P. G. Tait	12		2		321		91*		32.10
J. R. C. Timpson	10		3		153		60*		21.85
L. H. Measures	12		2		203		48		20.30
†R. N. Phillips	7		1	7	121		47		20.16
J. A. Donaldson	12		0		195		64		16.25
P. R. Dyson	12		3		128		26*		14.22
M. G. Gregory	12		0		164		41		13.66
Bowling	•				-		*** * .		1 N TO 1
7	Overs	M	[aider	ıs	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
P. G. Tait	114.4		7		522	- 1	20	154	26.10
L. H. Measures	103		15		362		12	5503	30.16
C. Potter	96		10		364		11	erthe	33.09

Public School Cricket in 1959

WELLINGTON COLLEGE

B. R. E. Persse headed the batting averages, and his wicket-keeping was a feature of the Wellington season. In 12 matches he caught 16 and stumped 12 opponents.

Dlawad .	11	IIIon 5	Tout 5	Drawn 4
Plavea.	14.	won 3	LOST 3.	Drawn 4

	1 11	cycle 11,	"	10 09 1		, DICETTI				
Batting										
THE SECTION OF THE SE		Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	His	ghest in	ins.	Average
B. R. E. Persse		13		1	-	348		96	STO S	29.00
S. T. Gainher		14		4		229	-	43*	1130	22.90
†R. C. G. Fortin		15		0		336		111	TITLE !	22.40
H. J. Middleton.		15		1		311		49	TERROR.	22.21
T. A. Allen		11		1	- /	218		46	BUD.	21.80
I. W. T. Crooke .		14		2		189		54*		15.75
R. N. Johnson		15		0		219		34		14.60
M. A. Atkins		13		1		174		59		14.50
P. T. Johnson		13		3		117		21	XXX	11.70
Bowling										
		Overs	N.	Laider	as	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
P. T. Johnson		157		29		582		39		14.92
R. C. Lloyd		245.1		31		475		28		16.96
M. A. Atkins		286.5		51		645		28		23.03
S. T. Gainher		132	1	27		385		11		35.00
S. T. Gainher		132		27		385		11		35.00

WELLINGTON SCHOOL, SOMERSET

Played 14, Won 7, Lost 5, Drawn 2

Batting		2	116 30						
	Innings	N	ot ou	ts	Runs	Hig	hest in	nns.	Average
†C. W. Hawkins	14		3		278		60*		25.27
G. A. Collins	14		0		325		52		23.21
I. D. Davis	14		2		244		56		20.33
S. R. Bowman	10		1		149		47	2000	16.55
D. E. Lea	12		1		151		49		13.72
Bowling									
Subject of anniality	Overs	M	Laider	IS	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
C. W. Hawkins	233.5		63		532		67		7.94
C. Hurley	120		34		330		25		13.20
A. D. Durie	104.2		23		278		20		13.90

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL

An unusually young side, all of whom were expected to be available again in 1960, achieved promising results and played good cricket throughout. The side suffered from the lack of pace in the attack, which depended largely on the spin of C. J. Broadhurst and P. C. S. Medawar.

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 8, Drawn 1

Batting	uyeu 12,	"	,, ,,	2031 0	, Drawn				
Datting	Innings	N	lot ou	ite	Runs	Hio	hest in	nne	Average
†M. A. Hall	13		0		357	Ing	74		27.46
D. G. C. Presbury			2	• •	231	••	58		21.00
			ő		262	• •	87		20.15
D. R. Myring	13		0		223	•••	72	• •	18.58
J. R. Evans			-					••	
R. D. Blaker			0		201		63	••	15.46
C. J. Broadhurst	13		0		164		45		12.61
M. G. Hornsby	13		0		158		44		12.15
Bowling									
Bowling	Overs	M	Taider	18	Runs	7	Vicket	9	Average
J. K. Ledlie	112		30		349		20		17.45
M. A. Hall	81.1		21		232		10		23.20
P. C. S. Medawar			9		556		21		26.40
C. J. Broadhurst	183		18		744		28		26.57

WHITGIFT SCHOOL

T. J. M. Forbes emulated R. Subba Row by hitting two centuries in a season for Whitgift.

Played 14 Won 4 Lost 5 Drawn 5

Pil	iyea 14,	WOI	14, 1	Just 5	, Drawn				
Batting	Innings	N	ot ou	its	Runs	Hig	ghest in	ns.	Average
T. J. M. Forbes	13		1		488		106*		40.66
†A. M. Osborne	13		2		285		67*		25.90
P. R. Hooper	14		3		239		40*		21.72
M. A. Blok	14		0		264		57		18.86
R. S. Arscott	9		3		111		37		18.50
M. D. G. Wilkinson	14		1		189	Mars.	45		14.53
D. B. Rhodes	13		0		159	99.	44		12.23
n li-									
Bowling	Overs	M	aider	ıs	Runs		Wickets	S	Average
D. B. Rhodes	179.2		41		541		34		15.91
T. R. Cottis	162.4		46		416		21		19.80
M. J. Jarvis	115		23		378		16		23.62
J. S. Wright	138.3		32		437		16		27.31

WINCHESTER COLLEGE

Under the able captaincy of the Nawab of Pataudi the team enjoyed another successful season. The first defeat by another school since 1954 was suffered by only one run and off the last ball of the match with Harrow. Pataudi's 1,068 runs surpassed the previous best for Winchester, the 997 of D. R. Jardine in 1919. The side owed much to him and to the fine bowling of R. I. Jefferson, the captain for 1960.

Played 16, Won 9, Lost 2, Drawn 5

Batting									
	Innings	Not outs			Runs	His	ghest in	Average	
†Nawab of Pataudi	18		3		1068		120		71.20
J. R. A. Townsend	16		0	1	419		110		26.18
N. L. Majendie	13		7		156		43*		26.00
J. C. Patrick	18		1		403		66		23.70
R. I. Jefferson	14		2		241		61*		20.08
J. R. N. Travis	13		3		171		34		17.10
J. R. Sanders	9		2		102		31		14.57
Bowling									
- 30 35 - 950 Teach	Overs	M	Laider	IS	Runs	-	Wickets	3	Average
R. I. Jefferson	338.2		89		740		56		13.21
D D A STA	275 1		60		911		40		20 35

				Kuns		Average
R. I. Jefferson	338.2		89	740	 56	 13.21
R. B. A. Watson	275.1		60	 814	 40	 20.35
C. S. B. Thomas	182	in the	60	539	 19	 28.36
J. C. Patrick	201		64	 479	 16	 29.93

WORCESTER ROYAL GRAMMAR SCHOOL

In his last term B. V. Dinsdale, the off-spin bowler, brought his record for the school side to 190 wickets at 10.45 each.

Played 16, Won 4, Lost 10, Drawn 2

Batting	Innings	Not outs	Runs	Hig	hest ir	ıns.	Average
P. A. J. Heseltine		0	260		48	1000	16.25
A. B. Kozlowski		1	136		40	II II	13.60
D. A. Brant		bebasel set ber	165	180	39		11.78
†B V Dinsdale	14	3	123	-	32		11.18

Runs Highest inns. Average

Bowling

Downing	Overs	N	/Iaider	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
B. V. Dinsdale	295.1		100		564		43		13.11
C. P. Morris									
M. D. Hawkins	202.4		65		488		30		16.26

WORKSOP COLLEGE

Worksop had their most successful season for many years. Skilfully led by D. B. Moody, they were a well balanced and attractive side. P. R. Swinney and G. K. Newton shone as reliable batsmen, and T. Tayanganon and P. G. Thompson provided an aggressive opening attack, which was supported by N. Leefe's accurate off-breaks and P. R. Swinney's leg-breaks.

Played 16, Won 8, Lost 2, Drawn 6

Batting									
P. Marine and State	Innings	N	lot ou	its	Runs	Hig	thest in	nns.	Average
P. R. Swinney	16		3		552		113		42.46
G. K. Newton	16		2		521		97		37.21
J. M. Farrow	15		5		328		89		32.80
I. M. E. Torkington	9		4		130		75		26.00
M. E. Moldon	10		0		254		67		25.40
N. Leefe	10		4		135		28		22.50
P. G. Thompson	7		2		109		41		21.80
T. B. Little	11		3		159		30		19.87
†D. B. Moody	16		1		282		74		18.80
Bowling									
	Overs	N	Taider	ns	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
T. Tayanganon	207.4		71		440		36		12.22
N. Leefe	167.3		48		393		31		12.67
D. B. Moody	85.3		25 .		235		16		14.68
T. B. Little	52		7		180		11		16.36
P. R. Swinney	139.6		14		497		28		17.75
P. G. Thompson	191.2		44		600		26		23.06

WREKIN COLLEGE

Despite inconsistent batting, the exception being S. W. Newton, the team had considerable success, for a well-balanced attack was supported by first-rate fielding.

Played 15, Won 7, Lost 4, Drawn 4

Batting

	Innings	Not outs			Runs Highest inns.			ıns.	Average
S. W. Newton	17		2		634		92*		42.26
R. I. Evans	14		4		175		57		17.50
M. J. Fox							71*		17.50
B. M. Done							36*		14.18
†R. E. M. Hughes	17		0		240		83		14.11

Bowling

	Overs	IV.	laidei	ns	Runs	3 30 3 7 4	Wicket	S	Average
S. D. Cheshire	85		14		254		22		11.54
R. I. Evans	179		39	1 ,610	551		40	188 8	13.77
D. J. Jory									

WYCLIFFE COLLEGE

The fast bowling of N. J. Treadaway and the leg-breaks of D. W. Lewis were the basis for an outstandingly successful season. The only defeat was by 15 runs at the hands of Manchester Grammar School. Lewis also developed into an aggressive stroke player and he headed the batting averages.

Played 16, Won 10, Lost 1, Drawn 4, Tied 1

Batting	The Party of the P
	Innings
D. W. Lewis	 15

). W. Lewis	15		5	476	 85	 47.60
M. J. Parker					59*	 29.45
N. J. Treadaway	11		3	178	 57*	 22.25
P. B. Clark		-	2	259	 65*	 18.50
M. T. H. Wilson			1		68*	15.00
. W. Lewis					 33*	 14.28

Bowling

	Overs	N.	Laider	ns	Runs	Wicket	ts	Average
N. J. Treadaway	230.4		75		489	 65		7.52
D. W. Lewis	212.4		56		531	 63		8.42

WYGGESTON GRAMMAR SCHOOL

Played 12, Won 3, Lost 4, Drawn 5

Batting	Innings	N	ot or	its	Runs	Hig	hest in	ıns.	Average
A. Aspinall	. 10		2		154		36		19.25
P. G. F. Swift	. 9		0		165		44		18.33
D. R. Golder	. 11		0		197		43		17.90
N. B. Spikings	. 10		3		118		40*		16.85
M. J. Brown			2		118		76*		14.75
†P. G. W. Smith	. 11		0		132		35		12.00
R. K. Billings			0		104		28		10.40

Bowling

The art to the larger way	Overs	M	Laide	ns	Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
R. J. Clarke	110.4		28		322		26		12.48
C. V. J. Russ	48		4		146		11		13.27
D. R. Golder									
A. F. Hutchings	105.4		19		275		16		17.18

Owing to illness the Bradfield College details arrived too late for classification.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE

Bradfield enjoyed a successful season. Both M.C.C. and Free Foresters were beaten in high-scoring matches. George and Reid, the leading batsmen, were well supported by Davison, Stevens and Murphy and the attack proved adequate with Banks, Davis and Stevens sharing most of the bowling. The fielding reached a good standard and Murphy kept wicket excellently.

Played 15, Won 6, Lost 2, Drawn 7

Batting		Not		Highest	
	Innings	Outs	Runs	Inns.	Average
J. H. George	17	8	532	94*	59.11
H. A. S. Reid	16	1	585	88	39.00
N. S. Duvivier	10	3	182	60	26.00
K. B. H. Stevens	16	0	382	68	23.87
H. E. M. Murphy	13	3	236	49	23.60
R. L. Banks	11	6	108	44*	21.60
T. J. T. Marrack	12	1	228	65	20.72
J. L. Davison	17	1	298	61	18.61
M. D. Seymour	9	3	109	35*	18.16

Bowling

thinks has no Made Leton	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. L. Banks	288.5	79	792	45	17.60
C. J. D. Davis	259.5	79	718	32	22.83
K. B. H. Stevens	250	53	780	32	24.37

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1959

For Eton v. Harrow, Beaumont v. Oratory, Clifton v. Tonbridge, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury, Southern Schools v. The Rest, and Public Schools v. Combined Services, see Other Matches at Lord's.

HARROW v. WINCHESTER

At Harrow, June 9. This remarkable match ended in a win for Harrow by one run off the last ball of the game. Harrow, after losing their first four wickets for 34, fought back to reach 175, but with twenty minutes left for play Winchester appeared to be heading for victory, needing only 31 runs in twenty minutes. Then Pataudi, who drove strongly in his innings of 95, fell to the first of several fine catches, which, had they been dropped, would have cost Harrow the match. So with only time for one more over, Winchester needed six runs with two wickets to fall. Four runs came from the second ball, but Winchester lost their ninth wicket to a fine catch at mid-off off the fourth ball. No runs came from the fifth, and Weedon, with the last ball, produced a straight, good-length delivery which completely beat and bowled Dinwiddy.

Harrow

J. R. Sheffield c Majendie b Thomas 2
P. A. McN. Boyd not out 38
M. J. H. Weedon b Jefferson 12
J. C. S. Nieboer handled the ball. 2
Extras 12
the state of the s
175

Bowling: Jefferson 4—46; Watson 1—58; Patrick 3—19; Thomas 1—28; Pataudi 0—12.

Winchester

J. C. Patrick c Foster b Raper 11 J. R. A. Townsend c Foster b Nieboer	N. L. Majendie c Weedon b Raper 0 J. G. V. Daniels c d'Abo b Weedon 15 J. R. Saunders c d'Abo b Raper. 0 C. V. Dinwiddy b Weedon. 0 C. S. B. Thomas not out. 0
R. B. A. Watson c Nieboer b Weedon 1	174

Bowling: Raper 5—50; Nieboer 2—34; Weedon 3—33; Pelham 0—23; d'Abo 0—27.

SHREWSBURY v. REPTON

At Shrewsbury, June 16. Shrewsbury won by three wickets. Repton never established a mastery over the Shrewsbury bowlers, although Pilkington and Tomlinson punished the loose ball severely. Set to score 150, Ker and Wright gave Shrewsbury a good start with a fine second-wicket partnership of 73. The lively Rowland dismissed several of the later batsmen cheaply but some hard hitting by Wallace and Penn took Shrewsbury to victory.

Repton

H. Everard c Gilkes b Ward 3 R. A. Hutton b Grundy 9 J. T. Cutts lbw b Baker 14 C. R. Pilkington c Gilkes b Wright 29 A. W. Allen c Garden b Baker 21	R. J. Wesley c Gilkes b Wright. 0 C. D. Anderson st Gilkes b Penn 19 P. W. S. Rowland not out 2 J. D. G. Davies b Wallace 0 Extras 8
R. H. Green c Baker b Wright 11 J. J. W. Tomlinson c Sellers b Penn 33	149

Shrewsbury

J. M. M. Sellers and J. D. Grundy did not bat.

WINCHESTER v. ETON

At Winchester, June 26, 27. Drawn. Eton made a moderate start, losing five wickets for 102, but Dunning and Wagg, playing soundly, took them to the respectable total of 216. Although Pataudi used his strokes freely, Winchester never looked confident against the lively Eton bowlers, but a well-hit innings of 49 by Daniels on the second day saved them from serious trouble. A good fourthwicket partnership of 52 between Dunning and Milne enabled Eton to declare and leave Winchester to score 222 runs to win in seventy-two minutes. Then Pilkington, with a fine spell of pace bowling, brought about the downfall of Patrick, Pataudi and Jefferson and Winchester were forced to concentrate on defence to avoid defeat.

Eton

J. Baskervyle-Glegg c Majendie b Thomas A. C. E. Robinson c Patrick b Watson R. Fellowes c Patrick b Watson P. Wigan b Jefferson M. L. Dunning c and b Pataudi	10 - 5 - 26 -	- b Jefferson 26 - b Watson 5 - not out 7
G. P. Milne c Majendie b Jefferson D. M. Norman b Jefferson A. R. Wagg b Jefferson	8 - 3 -	- c Pataudi b Jefferson
T. C. Pilkington c Travis b Patrick R. D. Christie c Daniels b Watson G. C. Meyrick not out	7 -	- not out 3
Extras	5 216	Extras

Bowling: Jefferson 26.1—8—45—4; Watson 18—3—47—3; Patrick 15—3—31—1; Thomas 15—2—42—1; Pataudi 16—2—46—1. Second Innings—Jefferson 28—9—62—3; Watson 15—3—40—2; Patrick 6—1—13—0; Thomas 22—3—65—0.

Winchester

13 — c Wagg b Pilkington 10 14 — b Wigan 21
57 — b Pilkington 9
8 — b Wigan 1
13 — c Wagg b Pilkington 7
11
11 — not out
0 — not out 4
10
O France 7
6 Extras 7
182 (5 wkts.) 86

Bowling: Meyrick 24—4—74—2; Pilkington 17—4—43—1; Christie 13—3—44—2; Wigan 5.4—1—15—4. Second Innings—Meyrick 12—9—25—0; Pilkington 16—4—25—3; Christie 3—2—2—0; Wigan 12—9—12—2; Dunning 6—2—10—0; Fellowes 1—0—5—0.

AMPLEFORTH v. WORKSOP

At Ampleforth, June 27. Worksop won by five wickets. Ampleforth began well, Sparling and Butcher playing with confidence, but the later batsmen failed. Although Brennan and Wetherell bowled accurately, Worksop were never really troubled. Newton, especially, batted with tremendous zest for his 94 not out.

Ampleforth

Zampieroten	
A. J. King c Torkington b Swinney 24 J. Stephens b Tayanganon 0 J. J. Brennan lbw b Moody 20 W. A. Sparling c and b Moody 10 D. I. Russell b Moody 10 P. R. Butcher c Moody b Swinney 45 D. Trench st Jackson b Leefe 8 R. Jackson not out 8	S. Tyrrell c and b Swinney
Worksop	
D. B. Moody lbw b Brennan 11 G. K. Newton not out 94 P. R. Swinney c Brennan b Wetherell 36 N. Leefe st Tyrrell b Wetherell 10 P. G. Thompson c Sparling b	J. M. Farrow c Trench b Brennan 1 T. B. Little not out

I. M. E. Torkington, T. Tayanganon, M. E. Moldon and K. Jackson did not bat.

(5 wkts.) 169

