JOHN WISDEN'S CRICKETERS' ALMANACK FOR 1890

July 10, { M.C.C. AND GROUND CANE HILL ASYLUM STATES 1st inns. 2nd	d inns. Result. Drawn. * Eight wickets down.
--	--

ETON V. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 12, 13.—As in the previous year the schools' match resulted in a victory for Harrow, but the Eton eleven played up with far more resolution than in 1888, and, in the all-important matter of the wicket, had everything against them. Harrow had only three old choices left, but those three—Jackson, Hoare, and A. C. M'Laren—had, twelve months before, been the best cricketers at the school, and it was very fortunate they stayed on. Eton, on the other hand, had five of their old eleven, viz., Bromley-Davenport (the captain), Studd, Jones, Tollemache, and Hon. R. A. Ward.

The opening day was anything but a favourable one for cricket. Rain, after threatening for a long time, came down for half an hour in such torrents that before six o'clock it was determined to draw stumps. Curiously enough, as soon as the decision had been arrived at, the weather improved, the hour between six and seven being the pleasantest of the whole day. The day's cricket, so long as it lasted, was entirely occupied by the first innings of Harrow, which commenced just after eleven o'clock, and ended at five minutes to four for a total of 272, the last wicket having only just fallen when the rain came down and put an end to the play. Though not fast the wicket was always easy, and the Harrow batsmen made the most of their advantage in winning the toss. When two wickets had fallen for 19, it seemed unlikely that anything exceptional would be done, but after the time of M'Laren's dismissal the batting got the upper hand. Wills and Hoare were the first pair to master Eton's bowling, and both played extremely well. Hoare, who made 108 in the previous year, batted in capital style, and seemed thoroughly well set when at 81 he played a ball on to his wicket. Wills left at 121 for an admirable 50, and then Jackson and Napier hit the score up to 206 during their partnership. Jackson, the Harrow captain—no doubt the best cricketer in the two elevens—played with great vigour for his 68, making them in an hour and a half, but his innings was by no means a faultless one, inasmuch as he was missed at cover point when he had scored 15, and again at square leg when his total was 32. Among his hits were five 4's, six 3's, and ten 2's. The Eton bowling did not give the impression of being particularly good, H. W. Studd in particular failing to maintain the promise he had shown in the previous year. On the other hand, Bromley-Davenport, who had quite lost his bowling in 1888, showed something like a return to form.

So far as the weather was concerned the second day afforded ample compensation for the disappointment on the first, for, after a somewhat threatening morning, the clouds blew over and the afternoon proved bright and fine. There was an extremely long day's cricket, play being resumed at five minutes past eleven, and the winning hit being made for Harrow at rather more than a

quarter-past seven in the evening. Such a tremendous outing as this put the stamina of the young players to a very severe test, and, as was inevitable, some of them showed signs of fatigue before the game was concluded. With the wicket damaged by rain, and in face of a total of 272, Eton had nothing to hope for but a draw, and the struggle they made was eminently creditable. There is no need to follow the cricket in close detail, and it will be sufficient to draw attention to a few of the more prominent features. Eton's first innings began at five minutes past eleven, and ended at a quarter-past three for a total of 169, while their second innings opened at twenty minutes to four, and ended at twenty-five past six. Under the circumstances, totals of 169 and 152 were quite as good as anyone could have expected. Bromley-Davenport set a capital example to his team, his two scores of 31 and 42 being the result of very plucky and determined cricket. Tollemache also played very well in both innings, and Crum's first score of 33 was a capital display of careful cricket on a slow ground. Perhaps the most remarkable innings of all, however, was the 35 of G. B. Gosling. This young cricketer—the most juvenile member of the eleven we believe played with an amount of judgment and patience that would have done credit to a seasoned professional. His physical power was very limited, but he never attempted more than he could safely accomplish, and no one could have made a better effort to save his side from being beaten. Going in first, he stayed while 142 runs were obtained, and was the eighth man out. He was forty minutes at the wicket before he made a run, and at the end of an hour his score was only 5. Under some circumstances such cricket as his would have been very tiresome to look at, but everybody knew that he was doing the best thing possible—trying to save the game—and when at last he was got rid of he was rewarded by some of the heartiest cheers heard during the match. Harrow had fifty runs to get to win, and fifty minutes left for play, the task being by no means an easy one after their long day in the field. However, they set to work with a will, and always being well in front of the clock won the match by nine wickets with a quarter of an hour to spare. It was unlucky for Eton that Studd, after bowling one over, put his knee out, and had to give up the ball, but, inasmuch as 29 runs had been made when the accident happened, we do not think for a moment the result of the game was affected. We certainly believe the better side won, but by getting first innings and scoring 272 before the rain affected the wicket Harrow gained an immense advantage. It should be stated, in conclusion, that 5,542 people paid for admission on the first day, and 6,375 on the second.

HARROW.

Mr. A. C. M'Laren, b Studd Mr. C. G. Pope, c Gosling, b J. Ward Mr. C. P. Wills, c and b Davenport Mr. R. B. Hoare, b Studd	1 50 — not out		
Mr. A. Neame, b Davenport	2		
Mr. W. B. Anderson, b J. Ward	10		
Mr. R. S. Chaplin, b Studd	21		
Mr. H. M. Peebles, b Tollemache	7		
Mr. J. Gowans, not out	13		4
B 5, 1-b 5	10	L-b	1
agmitted stoll out negotile of the cliff of the	-		50
	2/2		00

			E	ron.					
Mr. J. E. Talbot, Hon. M. G. Tolle	Mr. W. G. Crum, run out						b Wills b Jackso		35
Mr. H. W. Studd, Mr. H. R. Bromle	c Gow	ans, b J	ackson	27 - 9 -	- c M	'Laren 'Laren	, b Pope , b Pope		
Pope	not out	son, b F	Peebles	8 -	- c G	owans, ills, b	b Hoare Andersor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 2 \\ \dots & 9 \end{array}$
Hon. J. H. Ward,	b Jack b Ande	son		0 -	- c G	owans, ndersoi	b Anders 1-b 2, w 1	son	$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 6 \\ \dots & 0 \end{array}$
				169		t of fed	molession molession	a dalw a dalw a bono	152
	ene or		ETON B						
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkt		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Mr. J. H. Ward Mr. Studd	42	14	62	3		9	2	24	0
Mr. Tollemache	30.3	12	56	3		1	0	1	1
Mr. Bromley-	22	3	68	1		5.3	0	8	0
Davenport Mr. Jones	28 6	10 1	65 11	3 0		4	0	16	0
		HA	RROW	Bowl	ING				
Mr. Jackson	27	14	33	3		29	10	40	0
Mr. Hoare	16	6	35	1		17	18	48 30	2
Mr. Pope	29	21	18	1		7	3	10	2
Mr. Anderson	24.4	11	43	3		22	9	32	3
Mr. Napier	8	2	15	0		3	0	9	0
Mr. Peebles	2	Õ	11	1		2	0	10	0
atrabata addinación	2-31-15	lisers be a		Wills		6	4	3	1
House and Done each bouled a mile in the Control									

Hoare and Pope each bowled a wide in the first innings.

Pope bowled a no-ball and Anderson a wide in the second innings.

Umpires—John West and T. Mycroft.

Sixty-four matches have been played, of which Eton have won twenty-seven, Harrow twenty-seven, and ten have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular match between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 for boys under twenty which has been rejected. R. B. Hoare's 108 in 1888 was the ninth three-figure innings in the matches between the schools, the previous great scorers having been E. Bayley 152 in 1841; A. K. Watson 135 in 1835; A. W. Ridley 117 in 1871; C. P. Foley 114 in 1886; W. F. Forbe; 113 in 1876; A. W. T. Daniel 112 not out in 1860; C. J. Ottaway 108 in 1869 and E. Crawley 100 in 1885.

July 12, 13, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Leicester { LEICESTERSHIRE	1st inns. 161 171	2nd inns. *23	Result. Drawn. wo wickets down.
July 13, M.C.C. AND GROUND Maidenhead PHILBIRDS	. 81 . 78	$\frac{1}{70}$ Won	on the first innings by 3 runs.

July 13, Streatham	1st i M.C.C. AND GROUND STREATHAM	nns. 143 204	2nd inns. Result. —) Streatham won on the —) first innings by 61 runs.
July 15, Clapton	M.C.C. AND GROUND UPPER CLAPTON	224 50	— Won on the first innings — by 174 runs.
July 17, 18, Dartmouth	{M.C.C. AND GROUND H.M.S. BRITANNIA	156 61	*49 \ Won by seven wickets. 143 \ * Three wickets down.
July 17, Highgate	M.C.C. AND GROUND HIGHGATE SCHOOL	290 100	*27 \begin{cases} \text{Won on the first innings} \\ \text{by 190 runs.} \\ * \text{No wicket down.} \end{cases}
July 17, Tottenham	M.C.C. AND GROUND	*221	
July 18, 19, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND	97 129	275) Won by 144 runs.
July 19, Charterhouse Square.	M.C.C. AND GROUND MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL	31 101	115 Merchant Taylors' School won on the first innings by 70 runs
July 20, Elstree	{ M.C.C. AND GROUND ELSTREE SCHL. MASTERS	130 *89	— Drawn. — Sone wicket down.
July 20, Hendon	M.C.C. AND GROUND	105 65	— Won on the first innings by 40 runs.
July 20, Windsor	M.C.C. AND GROUND HOME PARK	144 101	— \ Won on the first innings by 43 runs.
July 22, 23, Lord's	M.C.C. AND GROUND GENTLEMEN OF PHILA- DELPHIA	383 235	Won by an innings and 84 runs.
	M.C.C. AND GROUND	144 124	— Won on the first innings by 20 runs.
July 24, Uxbridge	M.C.C. AND GROUND	108 74	*68 \ Won on the first innings by 34 runs. * Five wickets down.
July 24, 25, Aston-under- Clum	M.C.C. AND GROUND HEATH HOUSE	153 146	
July 24, Clapton	M.C.C. AND GROUND	110 46	

715	~	N	711 17
M.	U.	U.	Matches.

July 25, 26, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Lord's { Lincolnshire	inns. 393 62	2nd inns. Result. $-$ 18 Won by an innings and 313 runs.
July 25, 26, Sussex Club and Ground Sussex Club and Ground	213 124	$-\frac{1}{77}$ Won by an innings and 12 runs.
July 26, 27, M.C.C. AND GROUND Nottingham Norts Castle	125 98	*12 \ Won by ten wickets. 38 \ * No wicket down.
July 26, Croydon M.C.C. AND GROUND	166 138	*27 \by 28 runs. * No wicket down.
July 26, 27, Brookwood { M.C.C. AND GROUND	40 51	$\binom{148}{63}$ Won by 74 runs.
July 27, { M.C.C. AND GROUND High Wycombe { HIGH WYCOMBE	163 202	High Wycombe won on the first innings by 39 runs. * Six wickets down.
July 27, Blackheath \{ M.C.C. AND GROUND	85 59	$\overset{*116}{-} \begin{cases} \text{Won on the first innings} \\ \text{by 26 runs.} \\ \text{* Five wickets down.} \end{cases}$
July 27, M.C.C. AND GROUND Isleworth INTERNATIONAL COLLEGE	229 47	*77 \begin{cases} \text{Won on the first innings} \\ \text{by 182 runs.} \\ * \text{Seven wickets down.} \end{cases}
July 29, 30, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Lord's { NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	150 81	$\binom{128}{85}$ Won by 112 runs.
July 29, 30, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Mote Park	228 127	— \ Won by an innings and 11 runs.
July 31, { M.C.C. AND GROUND Guildford { GUILDFORD	189 87	— \ Won on the first innings by 102 runs.

RUGBY V. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 31, August 1.—A capital match, but despite all their efforts the Rugby boys could never make up for their inglorious first innings. The wicket was a trifle slow at the start, but they had no excuse whatever for getting out for 66. Marlborough won by six wickets, and it was the general opinion that they had the better side. Mr. Collins batted particularly well.

			Rug	BY.					
Mr. F. J. Nicholls, b Etlinger 0 — b Etlinger Mr. E. F. Rutter, b Hill 3 — 1-b-w, b Collins. Mr. P. F. Warner, st Moir, b Etlinger 3 — c and b Hill Mr. A. W. Dixon, b Shorland 9 — run out Mr. E. J. Whitting, c Etlinger, b Collins 15 — b Shorland. Mr. J. A. Paul, c Moir, b Shorland 8 — c Moir, b Hill Mr. H. D. Rendall, not out 4 — b Hill Mr. F. W. Menzies, c and b Etlinger 4 — not out Mr. C. Headlam, b Etlinger 0 — b Shorland B 6, 1-b 2 8 B 3, 1-b 5							10 8 28 16 41 51 7 0		
		7	MARLBO	ROUGI	н.				
						itting	h Nich	olle	41
Mr. J. B. Wood, b Di Mr. A. E. Cheales, b Mr. L. G. A. Collins, Mr. G. G. Moir, b Di	Dixon b Cott	on		23 — 48 —	b Nic	holls	b Nich		11
Mr. A. J. L. Hill, b I	Mr. A. J. L. Hill, b Paul							. 5	
Mr. H. R. Blore, c N Mr. T. E. Etlinger, n Mr. H. M. Rogers, b	icholls ot out Dixon	s, b Wa	rner.	12	not o	ut			1
Mr. E. T. Shorland, B 6, 1-b 2	2			8		В 8, 1	-b 5		13
				125					114
		MARL	BOROUG	н Вол	VLING				
Ov	ers 1	Idns.	Runs	Wkts	. (vers	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.
	15	10	7	2		24	5	59	4
	15.1	3	26	4		22	7	44	1
Collins	9	4	15	1		10	3	19	1
	10	4	10	2		12.4	5	22	3
				od		3	0	10	0
				ne		1	0	1	0
			Rog	gers	• • • • • •	1	0	9	0
		R	UGBY I	BOWLIN	NG.				
Dixon 9	24.2	8	37	6		12	3	18	0
	15	7	24	1		4	2	5	0
ALCOHOLOGO IIIIII	13	5	20	1		11	4	23	1
Warner	5	2	8	I		4	1	6	0
	11	5	23	0		12.1	4	22	2
Cotton	6	3	5	1		20	9	23	0
	D AT		Ber	nham .		3	2	4	0
Hmpires_I West and T. Mycroft.									

Umpires-J. West and T. Mycroft.

Of the 31 matches played between the two schools, Rugby have won 19, and Marlborough 9, and 3 have been drawn.

	1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
M.C.C. AND GROUND .			l won on the nings by 79 runs.

THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN 1889.

In the following pages will be found the full scores of some of the most interesting school games during the season, together with the batting and bowling averages of the chief teams, while for the introductory remarks on the various players we are again indebted to Mr. O. R. Borrodaile, who desires publicly to thank the various captains and secretaries for the information furnished him with regard to good individual performances. Mr. Borrodaile says that the season of 1889 did not produce anything out of the common, so far as batting was concerned, no one man standing out above the others as a star, but that as some compensation for the lack of special talent there was a very good average of merit, some of the elevens being able to boast of as many as seven or eight double figure averages. As brilliant individual batsmen, however, Mr. Borrodaile might, perhaps, have made exceptions in favour of F. S. Jackson and J. Douglas. In bowling he thinks there was no one to compare with E. C. Streatfield, who did such great things for Charterhouse in 1888, but that, nevertheless, there were many achievements of more than ordinary merit. For instance, A. W. Dixon, of Rugby, took forty-one wickets at an average cost of about 91 runs each; R. H. Dunn, of Loretto, forty-five at a cost of just under 10 runs each; W. P. Donaldson, of the same school, forty-nine wickets for less than 8 runs each; G. F. H. Berkeley, of Wellington, forty-seven wickets for a shade over 8 runs apiece; W. H. F. Read, of Oundle School, fifty-six wickets for 81 each; and W. Baker, of Cheltenham, forty-seven for 12.20. Other good records were those of the two Winchester bowlers, Hill and Boger, who took forty-two wickets each at a cost respectively of 12.40 and 13.39. Mr. Borrodaile says he has received very general complaints as to the fielding, a department of the game in which he very properly observes that school teams should never be defective, missed catches and slovenly work of any kind being quite without excuse among cricketers who have so many opportunities of playing together. He suggests that less time should be given up to batting practice at the nets, and that, say twice a week, catching should be systematically practised by itself. Mr. Borrodaile thinks that sometimes this could be done with advantage by the boys simply throwing the ball from one to another, but for ourselves we should always prefer the school elevens to field out and have catches hit to them, as in that case the catches are the same sort as those given in an actual match.

The HARROW team did not come under our correspondent's

personal observation, but we know that various cricketers keenly interested in the matter considered the eleven one of the best the school had had for years, and certainly the display given against Eton at Lord's went very far to confirm this highly favourable estimate. F. S. Jackson, the captain, is undoubtedly a cricketer of whom a great deal may be expected, and, unless he should, like others before him, lose his form, we may take it for granted that he will get his blue at Cambridge. He is a fine free bat, with great punishing powers, and a very good fast bowler. As regards the actual value of his bowling, however, we must wait until ne has been tried against first rate elevens, many public school bowlers of late years having quite failed after their school days to sustain their early reputations. Harrow's bowling, apart from Jackson, was not strong, but the team was rich in run-getters, the best after the captain being Napier, Wills and R. B. Hoare, the last-named of whom, it will be remembered, scored 108 against Eton in 1888.

Inasmuch as ETON were beaten by both Winchester and Harrow they cannot be said to have had a successful season, but with all the worst of the wicket, they batted with far greater resolution at Lord's than they displayed in the previous year, and in their match with Winchester they were beaten by a team which, according to Thoms, the umpire, and other good judges who were present, showed the finest fielding of the season. Without possessing any exceptional talent, Eton had a number of fairly good cricketers. H. R. Bromley-Davenport, the captain, batted with considerable success, but, though now and then he bowled better than in the previous year, he never got back to anything like his high standard of 1886-7. The Hon. J. H. Ward was the most successful bowler at the school, taking thirty four wickets at a cost of a little over 12 runs apiece. The Hon. M. G. Tollemache batted in a style to give good hope of his future, and H. W. Studd, without sustaining his bowling promise of 1888, batted well, and was a useful man. G. B. Gosling, the youngest member of the team, we believe, earned the gratitude of all Etonians by his patient defence against Harrow at Lord's, and though he did not quite succeed in saving the match his efforts could not be too highly praised.

WINCHESTER had a decidedly successful season, and, even if they had done nothing beyond their wonderful win against Eton, they would still have been entitled to most honourable mention. Reference has already been made to their two bowlers, Hill and Boger, but it should be added that T. B. Case proved an excellent captain and a sound bat. This gentleman, it will be interesting to cricketers to know, is a son of Mr. T. Case, who was in Mr. R. A. H. Mitchell's famous Oxford elevens in 1864-5, and during recent years the permanent treasurer of the Oxford University

231

Cricket Club-a position, however, which he has recently given up. B. L. Richmond, whose steady batting resulted in his obtaining the very fine average of 37, will be a more valuable cricketer when he has improved his fielding.

Inasmuch as they beat Rugby at Lord's-always the height of their ambition—and also defeated Cheltenham, the MARLBOROUGH eleven certainly had a most successful season. From what was seen in London, and from the batting and bowling tables, the team would seem to have been much above the average, and Collins and

Hill especially showed themselves very good batsmen.

RUGBY only managed to win three matches out of eleven, and A. W. Dixon, the captain, was so far from maintaining his batting form of the previous year that his average declined from 27 to 13. He, however, played a capital innings against Marlborough at Lord's, and as a bowler he made a very marked improvement. Rutter and F. J. Nicholls also declined in batting, but the latter bowled well, taking thirty-five wickets at something over 13 runs each. It is rather a rare thing to say of a school nowadays that the bowling is stronger than the batting, but such seems to have been the case with Rugby in 1889, and, had the team been a little better off for run-getters, a better record would assuredly have been obtained.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE seemed to be rather weak at the beginning of the season, but came on well towards the close. They did not succeed in winning against either Marlborough or Clifton, but in the latter match they had very much the best of a draw. W. Hodges is described as being a really good steady bat. W. Baker, a medium pace left-handed bowler, is said to have the happy knack of making the ball "curl in the air." That he is something above the ordinary run is proved by his average. J. Campbell was thought to be one of the most promising bats of the

eleven.

CHARTERHOUSE sadly missed the services of their captain and crack bowler in 1888, E. C. Streatfield, and were only able to win one match, but it is only fair to say that in two or three engagements they experienced very bad luck. Neither in batting nor bowling does there seem to have been any special talent in the team, but G. O. Smith is described as being a good bat, who should be careful not to try and hit straight balls to square leg. B. W.

Holman proved a useful bowler.

REPTON SCHOOL had a very good eleven, and were particularly strong in batting, C. B. Fry, R. C. N. Palairet, and L. C. H. Palairet averaging respectively 36, 35, and 29. So even indeed was the batting all through the side that every member of the team can show a double-figure average, and six of them one of over 20. The brothers Palairet accomplished one especially fine performance against the Lincoln Lindum Club. L. C. H. Palairet comes out at the head of the bowling averages, having taken fifty-six wickets for less than 13 runs each, and when we add that, in addition to his performances in batting and bowling, he proved an excellent captain, it will be easily understood that he was an invaluable

member of the eleven.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL only won two matches out of eleven, so they cannot have had at all a successful season. It should be said in excuse for them, however, that they often had to meet very strong sides, and that on several occasions they were without the services of their captain. There was certainly no Rashleigh among the batsmen, but M. O'C. Tandy obtained a very fair average. This gentleman, who must be cautioned against

an over fondness for back play, is the captain for 1890.

UPPINGHAM also had an unsatisfactory season, winning only two matches out of nine, losing two, and drawing the other five. They succeeded, however, in winning their match against Haileybury by an innings and 73 runs. A. D. Alderson proved himself a very able captain. The best bat on the side was certainly T. Hemmant, who, though his biggest score was only 61, gained the capital average of 35. He is described as possessing a very fine off-drive and strong defence. Bowling seems to have been the

weak point of the eleven.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL cricket has been at a low ebb for some time, but 1889 brought some improvement on recent form, and the team had a very fair season, gaining victories over the Old Carthusians, Old Westminsters, and the Masters' Eleven. S. H. Gregory had the honour of heading the batting and bowling averages, and his innings of 106 against the Old Westminsters was highly spoken of. F. Street, the captain, was also a useful batsman. J. O. Powell, the best bowler on the side, is so young that it seems reasonable to expect a good deal of him. He bowls left-handed and gets a good deal on the ball. Unfortunately, he was overworked on more than one occasion.

SHREWSBURY, like Repton, had a very fine batting team, nine members of the eleven averaging double figures. In bowling also the team were said to be rather above the average.

Rossall, considering that only two of the 1888 team were available, had quite a successful season, and won their matches both with Loretto and Malvern. The former victory was due in a considerable measure to the bowling of H. S. Goodwin, who in the second innings of Loretto took five wickets for 7 runs. A. E. Marriott was a very good captain, and met with great success as a bowler in the same match.

RADLEY COLLEGE managed to win their one school matchagainst Bradfield-but their team does not appear to have included

any cricketers of more than ordinary ability.

LANCING sadly missed the services of their 1888 captain, and did not have such a good side as in the previous year. They possessed, however, a very good man in the captain, C. J. R. Richards, who later in the season was tried for Hampshire. He is a left-handed bat, with strong defence, and when well set is said to be a very hard wicket to get. It is thought that he might, with advantage to the team, have bowled far more often than he did, but this on the part of a school leader was certainly a fault on the right side.

DULWICH COLLEGE had a very strong team, and in Mr. Borrodaile's opinion would have made a good fight against any of the other schools. In J. Douglas they certainly possessed one of the best bats they have ever had. This young cricketer, a brother, we believe, of Mr. A. P. Douglas, who assisted Surrey once or twice, and holds a commission in the Army, had the truly splendid average of 58.7. It seems certain that he was one of the finest school batsmen of the year. He is thought to have some little weakness on the off side, but his defence is excellent. and he has considerable hitting powers. Should he be able to continue the game there is every reason to expect great things of him.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE show a very good record of seven victories against three defeats, this large measure of success being due, in a considerable degree, to their smart fielding. The brothers Mordaunt were the mainstays of the batting, E. C. Mordaunt having an average of 35 for eleven innings, and G. J. being close behind him with 34. In the match against Haileybury they scored 85 and 90 respectively. G. F. H. Berkeley, to whose performance reference has been made at the commencement of this article, had one of the best records among the public-school bowlers of the year. Against the Old Boys he took six wickets for 35 runs, and against Haileybury he also met with marked success.

Of the Scotch Schools Loretto are to be congratulated on gaining the championship, and also on possessing a very good team. Their weak point was their fielding. P. C. Cohran and D. L. Evans were the best bats on the side, and all through the season they played very consistently. In R. H. Dunn Loretto possessed an exceedingly good lob bowler. For this kind of bowling an average of less than 10 runs each for furty forg wird waters was

certainly quite out of the common.

FETTES missed the services of M. R. Jardine, but T. E. Bailey proved a very fair substitute for that cricketer, obtaining a batting average of over 30, and a bowling average of just over 12 runs each for thir y-eight wickets. The bowlers on the side were severely handicapped by the indifferent fielding of the team. With these remarks we conclude, and leave the scores and averages to speak for themselves.

ETON V. HARROW.

	1st	inn.	2nd inn.	Results.
July 12, 13, HARROW ETON		272 169	*50 \ 152 \ H	*One wicket down. arrow won by 9 wkts

RUGBY V MARLBOROUGH

				*Four wickets down.
July 31, Aug. 1, Lord's	RUGBY	66 125	*114	Marlborough won by 6 wickets.

ETON V. WINCHESTER.

Played at ETON Friday, Saturday, June 28, 29.—There was a large and brilliant gathering of spectators on the playing field at Eton on both days of this match, and the following of each school had its day of triumph. On Friday the game went all in favour of Eton, for they first got rid of their rivals for 139, and then scored 191 themselves for the loss of six wickets. For each side there were two good batting performences, Case making 61 out of 86 for Winchester, and being well backed up by Litt'e, while for the nome eleven, Tollemache and Studd scored 115 between them—Tollemache making 66 out of that number. Play began on the second day soon after 10 o'clock, and when the remaining Eton batsmen had been dismissed for an additional 25 runs, and they were left with an advantage of 77, it certainly seemed as if the best Winchester could hope for was a draw. When the visitors began their second innings, however, the entire character of the game changed. Case and Little again did well, but it was left for F. Leveson-Gower to play the highest and best innings of the match. In the end Winchester ran up a capital total of 255, which left Eton 179 to win, but only about two hours to make them in. It was, of course, hardly possible that the Light Blues would get the runs in the time, but their friends confidently looked forward to their making a creditable draw of it. There was, however, a sensational start, Hill taking five wickets in as many overs, and his partner, Boger, two more from the opposite end, the seven batsmen being out for 12 runs. This, of course, took all the heart out of the Etonians, and they lost in the end by as many as 114 runs. The result was a glorious triumph for the Winchester boys, who, having an uphill game to play, won it by admirable all-round cricket, and especially by brilliant fielding. During Eton's second innings this was of the most dashing description.

WINCHESTER. Mr. T. Case, I-bw, b Bromley-Davenport 61 — c Gosling, b Studd 31 Mr. J. F. Leveson-Gower, c Bathurst, b 0 - c Gosling, b Bathurst...... 85

Mr. A. H. C. Barker, b ward	
Mr. B. L. Richmond, b Bromley-Daven-	
	- c Jones, b Ward 6
port 1 -	o Carling h Hathurst
port V.T. V. L. Rrg n'ex Asy parties Asy	Of Division of the Contract of
Mr. E. J. Neve, b Ward	8 - b J. Ward 20
Mr. E. J. Neve, b ward	
Mr. C. Wigram, b Ward	12 — Coolles, b Tollemache
Mr. A. J. Boger not out	5 - DOF OHR
Mr. A. J. Doger not out	
Mr. B. J. B. Stephens, b Bathurst	
Mr. E. M. Leveson-Gower, c Bathurst, b	
Mr. E. M. Leveson-dower, c Datamers,	0 - c Gosling, b J. Ward 15
Studd	
B 2. 1-b3	5 B 9, 1-b 2, w 1 12
D 2. 1. D 0	

CHELTENHAM V. MARLBOROUGH.

102

Mr. W. G. Crum, c J. F. Leveson-Gower,	ON.
b Hill	11 — c Stephens, b Hill 7
Boger	2 — c Hill, b Boger 0
Mr. R. T. Jones, c Hill, b Boger	14 — b Hill 1
Hon. M. G. Tollemache, c Stephens, b	
Boger Mr. H. W. Studd, b E. M. Leveson-	66 — c Stephens, b Boger 1
Mr. H. R. Bromley-Davenport, c Little,	49 — c Boger, b Hill 0
b E. M. Leveson-Gower Hon. R. A. Ward, c Boger, b E. M.	14 c Reeve, b Hill 0
Leveson-Gower.	10 — b Gower 20
Mr. H. F. Wright, c Gower, b Boger	11 — c Wigram, b Hill
Mr. J. E. Talbot, not out	18 — c Hill b E. M. Gower 18
Mr. F. R. H Bathurst, c Hill, b Boger. Hon. J. H. Ward, run out	4 - c E. M. Gower, b Boger 6
B 9, 1-b 4, w 1, n-b 1	2 — not out
	216 64
CHELTENHAN	V. CLIFTON.
Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday S.	aturday, July 5, 6, and ended in a draw
in layour of the home team. Some car	oital batting was witnessed the not-out
minings of Mr. Hodges being extremely	good. Cheltenham closed their second
minings when hine wickets were down. I	out the Clifton boys managed to play out
time, and make a fairly creditable draw.	
Mr. J. B. Cockburn, b Newington	M COLLEGE. 9 — c Field, b Newington 48
Mr. R. A. Simson, l-b-w, b Field	54 — c Brain, b Richardson 11
Mr. W. Hodges, b Sykes	32 — not out 119
Hon. W Thesiger, c Brain, b Sykes	4 — c Field, b Newington 10
Mr. P. S. Oswald, b Richardson	0 — b Milward
Mr. H. C. Nelson, c Brain, b Newington Mr. W. A. Baker, b Field	17 — c Mortimer, b Richardson 14 23 — b Richardson 1
Mr. A. Campbell c Brain h Newington	2 — c and b Milward 20
Mr. A. C. Thomson, b Field	10 — c Caley, b Richardson 15
Mr. G. Austin, b villward	17 — c Rowley, b Newington 46
A. J. Hall, not out	19
В, &с	15 B, &c
	202 *303
Mr. F. Field a Simon I Dela	COLLEGE.
Mr. E. Field, c Simson, b Baker Mr. E. Rowley, c and b Hodges	14 — not out
Mr. B. F. Hanson, c Austin, b Campbell	26 — c Austin, b Thesiger 2 61 — b Thesiger 6
Mr. W. H. Brain, c Oswald, b Baker	41 - c Austin, b Thesiger 9
Mr. F. C. S. Newington, run out	7 — b Hodges 44
Mr. L. Mortimer, b Baker	13 — c Simson, b Thesiger 9
Thesiger	6 — c Thesiger, b Nelson 6
Mr. B. Caley, c Thesiger, b Thomson	18 — not out 8
Mr. C. G. Sime, b Baker Mr. W. E. Sykes, not out	14 25
Mr. R. C. Milward, c Campbell, b Nelson	9
В, &с	15 B, &c 2
	940
* Innings closed wit	249 141 h nine wickets down.
AMILIAGO CIOSCU WIL	AL ALLES WILDING MOTIVAL

CHELLENDAM V.	
Played at MARLBOROUGH.—Thanks of Marlborough won by nine wickets.	chiefly to the fine batting of Mr. Collins,
CHELTENHA	M COLLEGE
Mr. J. B. Cockburn, c and b Hill Mr. A. W. Edmondson, c Wood, b Hill Mr. W. G. Thesiger, b Hill Mr. W. Hodges, c Etlinger, b Collins Mr. W. A. Baker, c Moir, b Shorland Mr. P. S. Oswald, b Hill Mr. R. G. L. Austin, b Collins	15 — b Etlinger 2 1 — b Shorland 14 16 — b Macnamara 27 27 — c Shorland, b Collins 13 19 — b Hill 12 6 — c Hill, b Shorland 2 0 — run out 15
Mr. A. J. Hall, not out	22 — b Macnamara 4 28 — c Collins, b Macnamara 5 18 — c Wood, b Etlinger 27 0 — not out 0
EtlingerB, &c	6 B, &c 12
	158 133
A MARION	
MARLBOROU	GH COLLEGE.
Mr. J. B. Wood, c Baker, b Thesiger Mr. G. G. Moir, b Baker Mr. L. G. A. Collins, not out Mr. A. J. L. Hill, b Baker Mr. H. H. Macnamara, b Thomson Mr. C. G. Chambers, c Cockburn, b Young Mr. O. H. Stone, c Young, b Thomson Mr. H. R. Blore, c Macpherson, b Young Mr. T. E. Etlinger, b Hodges Mr. H. M. Rogers, b Baker Mr. E. J. Shorland, 1 b-w, b Hodges	24 — not out
В, &с	
nr Blazed at William Support de la co	275
CHAPTERHOUSE	v. WESTMINSTER.
	12, 13.—Charterhouse always had the best
WEST	MINSTER.
Mr. J. Gifford, b Baker Mr. H. C. Baker, c Woodhouse, b Barker Mr. F. Street, b Stanborough Mr. S. H. Gregory, b Barker Mr. P. Armitage, b Smith Mr. R. E. Oliver, b Smith Mr. B. Southey, c Crossman, b Smith Mr. H. E. Oliver, b Smith Mr. J. O. Powell, b Smith Mr. C. H. Gregory, c Crossman, b Smith Mr. J. A. Willett, not out B, &c.	6 — c snawe, b smith 9 24 — b Smith 24 8 — c and b Holman 6 13 — b Holman 15 80 — b Holman 15 0 — b Holman 0 0 — b Barker 0 6 — not out 14 7 — run out 5

n 11"	11 7	11	, 7
Public	Schools	Ma	tches.

CHARTERHOUSE.

Mr. F. R. Meade, b Gifford	35 — not out	4
Mr. J. G. Woodhouse, b Gifford Mr. D. Crossman, c Blaker, b C. H.	7 — run out	10
Gregory Mr. M. H. Stanborough, b Willett Mr. G. O. Smith, b S. H. Gregory Mr. M. G. Grant-Peterkin, b S. H.	35 — c Armitage, b S. H. Gregory . 35 — not out	4 18 8
Gregory Mr. G. C. Barker, b S. H. Gregory Mr. J. H. Woodbridge, b Gifford	3 — b Gifford50	0
Mr. B. W. Holman, c Street, b Powell . Mr. C. H. King, not out	7	
В	5 B	3
	237	47

WELLINGTON V. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at Charterhouse, July 6, and won by Wellington on the first innings by 41 runs.

WELLINGTON.

Mr. S. T. Hankey, c Woodbridge, b Holman Mr. G. F. H. Berkeley, b Holman Mr. E. C. Mordaunt, c Meade, b Holman Mr. P. L. Brownell, b Holman Mr. G. J. Mordaunt, c Shaw, b Irvine Mr. R. A. Birley, b Holman Mr. G. A. Godley, b Stanbrough Mr. V. A. Vanderbyl, st King, b Holman Mr. H. F. Browell, b Holman Mr. E. W. Denny, b Holman Mr. R. W. Fox, not out B 11, 1-b-3	0 — b Barker. 0 — c Woodhouse, b Barker 50 — c King, b Crossman. 12 — c Shaw, b Barker. 38 2 — b Barker. 3 — not out 19 10 — not out. 4 1 14 L-b.	19 0 13 0 10 16 10
	153	69
CHARTI	ERHOUSE.	
Mr. F. R. Meade, c E. C. Mordaunt, b Denny	Mr. G. O. Smith, b Denny	4 15 12 3 3 0 16 —

11

Hankey 24

UPPINGHAM V. REPTON. Played at Uppingham, July 9, 10, and left drawn. Uppingham School.

Mr. A. D. Alderson, b R. C. M. Palairet Mr. F. A. W. How, c Fry, b Bowstead. Mr. T. Westray, b Bowstead. Mr. H. G. Peachey, l-b-w, b Bowstead. Mr. T. Hemmant, c Fry, b Lloyd. Mr. G. M. Hannay, b R. C. M. Palairet Mr. B. Auden, st L. C. H. Palairet, b Lloyd	51 — run out 18 — c Fry, b Blain 6 — b L. C. H. Palairet 12 — run out 58 — run out 0 — c Blain, b L. C. Palairet. 0 — b L. C. H. Palairet 18 — b Marshall	14 5 6 9 8 6
Mr. G. R. Bardswell, c Fox, b Bowstead Mr. W. M. Hemingway, c L. C. H. Palairet, b Bowstead Mr. F. M. Lee, not out B 10, n-b 1	7 — b Marshall	0 3 8 23 — 131
Mr. C. B. Fry, c Lee, b Hannay Mr. R. C. N. Palairet, b Bardswell Mr. L. C. H. Palairet, b Bardswell Mr. T. Horton, b Bardswell Mr. E. W. Bellhouse, c Alderson, b Lee Mr. A. W. Lloyd, c Peachey, b Hannay Mr. A. H. Marshall, b Lee Mr. A. L. E. Griffiths, b Lee Mr. J. Bowstead, not out Mr. E. V. Fox, st Peachey, b Auden Mr. C. F. Blain, c and b Hannay	SCHOOL. 59 — c Westray, b Hemmant 11 — not out 17 — c How, b Bardswell 0 13 — not out 12 10 3 25 39 30	15 12 19 4
B 7, w 2	9 B 228	$\frac{4}{54}$

WELLINGTON V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Wellington College, July 11, 12, and won by the home team by an innings and 68 runs. Mr. Berkeley bowled well in the first innings for Wellington, taking seven wickets for 35 runs; while Mr. Denny in the second innings took five wickets for 21.

TOOK live wickers for 21.		
HAILE	YBURY.	
Mr. A. P. Snell, c G. J. Mordaunt, b		
Berkeley	9 — c Fox, b E. C. Mordaunt	4
Mr. R. W. C. Fisher, c Godley, b E. C.		
Mordaunt	13 — b Berkeley	6
Mr. J. Howard, b Berkeley	54 — c E. C. Mordaunt, b Berkeley	9
Mr. L. R. T. Frere, b E. C. Mordaunt	10 — b E. C. Mordaunt	4
Mr. A. G. Stubbs, c and b Berkeley	28 — c G. J. Mordaunt, b Denny	0
Mr. B. Hamilton, b Berkeley		23
Mr. C. O. Bennett, not out	13 — b Denny	0
Mr. C. G. Causton, b Berkeley	3 — b Denny	0
Mr. C. G. Hill, b Berkeley	0 — b Denny	5
Mr. O. Finch, c Godley, b Berkeley	3 — b Denny	5
Mr. N. C. King, b Macnaughton	0 — not out	8
B 1, 1-b 1	2 B 4, 1-b 1	5
		-

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Mr. S. T. Hankey, l-b-w, b Hamilton Mr. R. A. Birley, run out Mr. E. C. Mordaunt, c and b Bennett Mr. E. B. Macnaughton, b Hamilton Mr. G. J. Mordaunt, b Finch Mr. G. F. H. Berkeley, c and b Hamilton	23	Mr. G. A. Godley, 1-b-w, b Hamilton Mr. H. F. Browell, b Finch Mr. V. A. W. Vanderbyl, c Frere, b Stubbs Mr. E. W. Denny, b Finch Mr. R. W. Fox, not out B 7, 1-b 2, n-b 1	7 20 9 1 0 10
			279

HAILEYBURY V. UPPINGHAM.

Played at Haileybury, July 2, 3, and won by Uppingham by an innings and 73 runs.

HAILEYBURY.

Mr. A. P. Snell, c Bardswell, b Auden . Mr. B. Hamilton, run out Mr. J. Howard, b Auden Mr. L. R. T. Frere, c How, b Lee Mr. A. G. Stubbs, b Auden Mr. C. G. Causton, b Lee Mr. R. W. C. Fisher, c Peachey, b Auden Mr. A. Finch, b Bardswell Mr. J. B. Eastley, c Field, b Auden Mr. C. O. Bennett, not out Mr. N. C. King, b Hemmant B	18 — b Bardswell 36 28 — run out 1 0 — c Peachey, b Bardswell 15 10 — run out 5 0 — c Peachey, b Bardswell 2 0 — not out 14 12 — run out 39 0 — c aud b Auden 3 13 — c Hannay, b Bardswell 4 5 — c Peachey, b Hannay 1 8 10 94 131	

UPPINGHAM.

Mr. A. D. Alderson, b Hamilton	Mr. F. M. Lee, b Howard	0 6
--------------------------------	-------------------------	-----

FETTES v. LORETTO.

Played at PINKIE, July 12, 13, and won by Loretto by 47 runs.

Lori	TTO.	
Mr. D. L. Evans, c and b Bayley Mr. H. T. S. Gedge, b Boase Mr. P. C. Cochrane, c Taylor, b Fleming Mr. S. Paton, c and b Fleming Mr. R. H. Dun, run out Mr. E. C. De Moubray, c Boase, b Campbell Mr. R. B. Pearson, run out Mr. W. P. Donaldson, b Boase Mr. W. M. Sing, b Boase Mr. W. H. Savory, not out Mr. J. L. Henderson, c G. H. Normand, b Bayley	45 — b Bayley 4 5 — b Boase 0 — 1-b-w, b Bayley 1 2 — b Fleming 1 0 — b Bayley 1 11 — st Taylor, b Fleming 1 3 — b Fleming 6 — c Taylor, b Fleming 1 3 — b Bayley 1 2 — not out	26 48 3 13 17 0 3 6 13 4 1
b Bayley	11 B 17, 1-b 1, w 1 1	19
	98	48
FET	ES.	
Mr. G. T. Campbell, b Dun Mr. J. H. Hall, b Dun Mr. R. S. McNeil, 1-b-w, b Dun Mr. E. P. Thomson, st Henderson, b Dun Mr. G. H. Normand, h-w, b Dun Mr. J. N. Normand, c and b Dun Mr. T. E. Bayley, b Evans Mr. W. N. Boase, st Henderson, b Dun Mr. A. N. Fleming, st Henderson, b Dun Mr. A. Taylor, not out B 1, 1-b 3	7 — b Donaldson	3 3 1 0 7 27 11 63 2 2 2 2 3 8
	50	49

ROSSALL V. LORETTO.

Played at ROSSAL, Friday, Saturday, June 7, 8.—The home school won after a close finish by two wickets.

a close nnish by two wickets.		
Lor	ETT).	
Mr. D. L. Evans, b Marriott	3 — c Higson, b Cunningham	24
Mr. R. H. Dun, b Marriott	0 — c Lees, b Goodwin	e
Mr. S. Gedge, b Marriott	8 — b Marriott	8
Mr. P. C. Cochran, 1-b-w, b Marriott	0 — not out	30
Mr. J. S. Paton, b Marriott	13 — c Cunningham, b Marriott	4
Mr. E. C. de Mowbray, b Marriott	0 — c Higson, b Goodwin	98
Mr. R. B. Pearson, b Bell	1 — b Goodwin	26
Mr. W. M. Sing, c Goodwin, b Bell	3 — b Goodwin	4
Mr. A. C. Messer, c Lees, b Marriott	1 — b Marriott	3
Mr. J. L. Henderson, c Higson, b Bell.	4 — b Goodwin	0
Mr. W. P. Donaldson, not out	9 B	9
В		
	49)	109

Public Schools Matches.

ROSSALL.

2000		
Mr. F. A. Phillips, b Dun	4 — c Cochran, b Donaldson 9 — st Henderson, b Dun 5 — c Mowbray, b Dun	0
Mr. J. N. Yolland, c Henderson, b		
Donaldson	0 — st Henderson, b Dun	0
Mr. W. B. Bell, b Paton	10 — b Dun	5
Mr. A. E. Marriott, b Evans	18 — not out	10
Mr. E. Hind, not out	23 — c Mowbray, b Donaldson	1
Mr. C. G. Lees, c Dun, b Evans	0 — b Donaldson	2
Mr. E. J. V. Cunningham, b Dun	1 — not out	2
Mr. W. Cooper, c and b Dun	4 — b Donaldson	19
Mr. P. C. Phillips, c Gedge, b Dun	0	14
В	8 B	19
		14
	82	
	82 mark H. Do mortabas H. L.	13

AVERAGES.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

				A	Iost in		Times		
Mr. A. P. Suell, o Barder	nnings		Runs	an	inning	S	not ou	t	Average
G. O. Smith	15		275	14.18	79	1	1		19.9
M. H. Stanbrough	17		275		66		2		18.5
F. R. Meade	16		254		43		1		16.14
D. Crossman	18		264		44		2		16.8
G. C. Barker	14		186		50		1		14.4
C. H. King	17		110		*27		8		12.2
N. F. Shaw			141		*25		2		11.9
G. H. Woodbridge	14		121		*39		2		10 1
J. G. Woodhouse	14		108		34		0		7.10
J. D. Irvine	10		67		24		0		6.7
B. W. Holman	9		29		*12		3		4.5
	** 5	Sign	ifies not	out.					

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	N	laiden	s	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. G. Woodhouse	23.2	0	5		59	 6	 9.5
M. H. Stanbrough	86		22		237	 16	 14.13
G. O. Smith		0	23		170	 11	 15.5
B. W. Holman	201.3		55		490	 29	 16 26
J. D. Irvine	66		11		175	 10	 17.5
D. Crossman	56		16		126	 7	 18
G. C. Barker	183.4		45		431	 19	 22.13
G H. Woodbridge	99.1		26	1.4	259	 7	 37

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

			1	Most in	1	Times		
ning	g	Runs	an	inning	gs :	not out		Average
12		316		66		1		28.8
13		340		97		1		28.4
3		49		*26		1		24.5
11		215		42		0		19.6
		175		*48		3		19.4
12		185		*57		2		18.5
11		167		*47		1		16.7
11		159		43		0		14.4
		141		48		0		12.8
8		56		29				8
13		97		*18				8
11		19		*6		5		3.1
	* Sign	ifies not	t out.					
	12 13 3 11 12 12 11 11 11 8 13	13 3 11 12 11 11 11 11 8 13 11	12 316 13 340 3 49 11 215 12 185 11 167 11 159 11 141 8 56 13 97 11 19	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	M	aidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
Hon. J. H. Ward	243.3		99	 429	 34	
Hon. M. G. Tollemache	78.3		12	 179	 13	 13.7
J. E. Talbot			1	 82	 5	 16.4
F. R. H. Bathurst			27	 181	 11	
H. W. Studd			62	 429	 23	
H. R. B. Davenport			21	 250	 9	 27.7
R. T. Jones			20	 211	 7	 30.1
Hon, R. A. Ward			8	 33	 1	 33

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

					ost in		Times		
	Innings		Runs	an i	nnings	al I	not ou	t	Average
T. F. Cotton			87		*33		2		29
E. F. Rutter			262		53		0		18.10
F. J. Nicholls			308		58		0		16
E. J. Whitting			235		51		2		15.10
P. F. Warner			222		*46		2		14.12
A. W. Dixon			171		44		0		13.2
F. D. Menzies			154		34		3		12.10
H. D. Rendall	13		102		*33		5		12.6
J. A. Paul			169		53		1		11.4
A. Benham			63		19		0		3.15
C. Headlam			53		15		0		3.11
		* Si	gnifies r	ot ou	t.				

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	LUUDI	1		1.4	10 11 1					
14	Overs	M	Maidens		Runs	1	Vicket	3	Average	
A. W. Dixon	225.1		89		396		41		9.27	
F. J. Nicholls			35		483		35		13.28	
A. Benham			9		78		5		15.3	
F. W. Menzies			28		297		18		16.9	
J. A. Paul			37	110	244		13		18 10	
P. F. Warner			42		315		14		22.7	
L. F. Cotton			13		61		2		30.1	
			6		48	- Milit	1		48	
H. D. Rentfall			U		10		-			

R

Public Schools Matches.

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		lost in innings		Times not out		Average
C. B. Fry	. 13		442		74		1		
R. C. N. Palairet	. 14		390				1		36.10
L. C H. Palairet					106		3		35.5
T Damet and	. 14		352		119*		2		29.5
J. Bowstead	. 8		78		25*		5		26
A. H. Marshall	. 10		201		64*		2		
E. W. Bellhouse	11		223		and the second second		-	••	25.1
A. W. Lloyd	11		The state of the s		69		1		22.3
T II.			91		25*		1		15.1
T. Horton	11		146		82		0		13.3
C. F. Blain	9		104		30		1		
E. V. Fox	4	37170	43				1		12.8
A. L. E. Griffiths	0	• •			39	• •	0		10.3
A. L. Gilliums	8		80		33		0		10
		* Si	gnifies n	ot ou	t.				1 2775

REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

J. Bowstead 76.3 Maid	dens Runs		ts	Average 10.10
A I D Chiebba	34 708	56		12.36
E. V. Fox 28.2	2 39 8 66	3 4		$\frac{13}{16.2}$
C. F. Blain	4 469	28	1290	16.21
A. W. Lloyd 69	8 86 5 166	5	LI WELL	$\frac{17.1}{20.6}$
C. B. Fry	3 50 8 104	2	W. X	25

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

C. J. R. Richards	nings		Runs	aı	Most in innings		Times not out		Average,
C. J. R. Alchards	10	• •	395		137*		2		48.6
M. R. Church	11		210		53*		1		21
N. Locke	ō		59		37*		2		19.2
	10		97		32*		7	••	
H. F. Laycock	11	-	94			• •	I.		10.7
	10	••			52	• •	2		10.4
C W Crossed		• •	100		31*		1		9.1
G. W. Stopford	11		86		14		0		7.9
F. C. Cooper	5		38	••	18		0		7.3
I. S. Spariow	ti		:,		10		. 0		
H. W. Hallward	12		58		14			• •	5.5
F. Pellatt	11			••				.,	5.3
T E Al (lamel)	10.00		50		19		1		5
J. E. M. Carroll	11		44		15*		2		4.8
		Sig	nifies n	ot ou	t.				0

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	1	faider	ıs	Runs		Wickets		Average
C. J. R. Richards	125.4		44		282		33	allod.	8.16
N. Locke			9		28		3		9.1
M. R. Church	24.3		2		54		4		13.2
F. Pellatt	226		56		559		30		18.19
G. W. Gruggen	200.3		75	p:0	426		22		19.8
J. E. M. Carroll	100	••	19	010	242	0.0	8		30.2
H. F. Laycock	103	010	21	-	293		7		41.6

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

Times Average	nings	Runs	ost in innings	Times not out	Average.
A. S. Arkle	6	 140	 59	 1	 28
A. W. Pryce-Jones	11	 207	 61*	 1	 20.7
R. S. Nicholson	10	 204	 47	 0	 20.4
H. Whinfield	10	 181	 45*	 1	 20.1
G. T. Castley	10	 160	 36	 1	 17.7
C. G. Wilson		 147	 34	 0	 14.7
J. C. Miles	10	 106	 44	 2	 13.2
G. B. Raikes	11	 143	 64	 0	 13
J. B. Kitson	9	 93	 45	 1	 11.6
E. Mason	10	 34	 13	 4	 5.4
H. Graham	8	 30	 9	 0	 4.2

* Signifies not out.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	M	Average	
E. Mason	16.1		8		23		5	 4.3
H. C. Graham	35.4		5		96		10	 9.6
G. Castley			34		291		26	 11.5
J. C. Miles			18		236		17	 13.5
A. Pryce-Jones			6		63		4	 15.3
R. S. Nicholson	125		28		301		16	 18.13

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Tour		Dung	0.	Most i		Times		Awanama
	Innings		Runs	a	n innin	gs I	tor ou	U	Average
P. C. Cochran	14		288		81*		4		28.8
D. L. Evans	16		371		92		1		24.11
H. T. S. Gedge	16		348		108		1		23.3
R. B. Pearson	12		164		82*		1		14.10
J. A. S. Paton	13		156		45		1.		13
R. H. Dun	12		153		39		1		13.10
W. P. Donaldson	12		121		35		2		12.1
W. M. Sing	11		116		28		1		11.6
E. C. de Moubray	11		117		41		0		10.7
J. L. Henderson	12		64		24		3		7.1
D. M'Lachlan	6		43		21		0		7.1
H. Savory	6		29		13		1		5.4
ii, M. Rabinson		4 0	onifies r	ot on	t.				

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

		-					
	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets	Average
W. P. Donaldson	233.3		88		378	 49	 7.35
D. L. Evans	183.3		65		252	 28	 9
R. H. Dunn	221		42		448	 45	 9.43
H. T. S. Gedge	14		8		23	 2	 11.1
J. A. S. Paton	187.3		29		201	 13	 15.6
R. B. Pearson	19	0.0	8		32	 2	 16

ROSSALL COLLEGE BATTING

			M	lost in	Times		
warmer's true long l	Innings	Runs	an	innings	not out		Average
E. C. Brierley	. 4	 53		27*	 2	9	26.1
A. E. Marriott	. 12	 210		46	 2		21
H. S. Goodwin	. 12	 253		72	 0		21.1
E. Hind	. 13	 158		34	 9		14.4
P. C. Phillips	. 8	 90		30	 4		12.6
F. A. Phillips	. 15	 173		47			11.8
E. Roberts	. 3	 27		22	 0		9
E. J. Cunningham	. 7	 49		19	 1		8.1
W. Cooper	. 10	 59		23*	 0		8.3
T. A. Higson	. 14	 98		29	 0		7
C. G. Lees	. 7	 50		19*	 0		7.1
W. B. Bell	. 13	 83		19	 2		7.6

* Signifies not out.

ROSSALL COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. S. Goodwin	50	 17	 81	 11	 7.4
A. E. Marriott		 83	 461	 42	 10.41
F. A. Phillips		 26	 163	 14	 11.12
W. B. Bell		 34	 282	 21	 13.9
E. J. Cunningham	228.3	 65	 467	 34	 13.25

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

amenay A Jun Jon In	nings		Rung	lost in		Average
E. C. Mordaunt					1	
G. J. Mordaunt	11		376	 90	 0	 34.2
E. B. Macnaghten	9		210	 71	 1	 26.2
E. B. Macnaghten G. F. H. Berkeley	12		192	 82	 1	 17.5
S. T. Hankey	11		142	 27	 0	 12.10
H. F. Browell	6		55	 20	 1	 11
G. A. Godley	8		48	 16*	 2	 8
R. A. Birley	9		57	 28*	 1	 7.1
P. L. Brownell	9		35	 12	 1	 4.3
R. W. Fox	8		13	 5	 2	 2.1
E. W. Denny	7		8	 4	 3	 2
		* a.	.0			

* Signifies not out.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wickets	Average
G. F. H. Berkeley	219	 70	 386		47	 8.10
E. W. Denny	110.4	 26	 264		24	 11
E. C. Mordaunt	178	 55	 367		29	 12.19
R. A. Birley		 0	 42		3	 14
S. T. Hankey	12.5	 4	 30		2	 15
E. B. Macnaghten	93.3	 11	 122		0	 20.2
G. A. Godlev	29	 6	100	0.5	4	95

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

					M	ost in		Time	es	
		Innings		Runs	an	inning	8	not o		Average
T. Hemmant		10		251		61		3		35.6
T. Westray		10		279		61		0	10	27.9
B. Auden				193		72*		4		21.4
G. Field		9		147		54*		1	100.00	18.3
H. G. Peachey		14		194		26*		1		14 12
A. D. Alderson .		14		167		51		0	04.00	11.13
F. A. W. How		12		117	7.	37*		1	No.	10.7
F. M. Lee		10		64		11		3	10.00	
G. R. Bardswell.		13		107		25		()		8.3
W. M. Hemmingv	vay .	. 11		70		28		0		6.4
G. M. Hannay		14		167		35		0		5.3
			* Sig	gnifies n	ot out					

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
G. M. Hannay	35	 6	a.	90		6		15
G. R. Bardswell	314	 85		618		37		16.26
B. Auden								
T. Hemmant								
F. M. Lee				272	10	12	1	22.3
F. A. W. How				111		4		27.3

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

In	nings		Runs		Most in innings	Times ot out	Average
L. H. Gay			442		73*	 4	 34
N. C. Cooper			464		75	 2	
W. H. Picton			170		44*	 1	
H. C. Holland			61		18	 5	
F. Noakes			166			1	
R. Young			149			2	
A. D. Heward			81			0	
H. H. Jupp	14		91			2	
A. J. Meissner	12		78			1	
A. G. Cavendish	5		23			0	
G. M. Robinson	5		8		4	 2	 2.2
		× 01.	.0	-44	E SMITTER.		

* Signifies not out.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	M	Laidens	3	Runs	1	Wicket	Average		
A. J. Meissner	205.1		55		561		31		18.3	
N. C. Cooper	276		74		708		38		18.12	
H. H. Jupp			27		512		22		23.3	
F. Noakes			26		346		13		26.8	
H. C. Holland	85		23		252		6		42	

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

				M	ost in	T	imes		
In			Runs	an	inning		ot out	,	Average
T. E. Bayley	14		401		84		1	177.4	30.11
E. P. Thomson	10		200		53*		3	7/2	28.4
W. M. Landale	14		225		49		1		17.4
(f. H. Normand	14		212		60		0		15.2
J. H. Hall	11		147		42		1	chicher	
J. N. Normand	12		113		25		1	0410	10.3
G. T. Campbell	15		151		27		0	weil T	10.1
A. N. Fleming	9		64		27*		2		9.1
A. Taylor	9		35		22*	•••	4	3 20 3 10	7
C. F. Marshall	9)		33		9	y	1	400000	4.1
W. N. Boase	11		38		9		0	Warter	3.5
	100	* Sig		not out.			,		0.0

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wickets		Average
T. E. Bayley		 68	 466	8	38		
J. N. Normand		 1	 1.6	ALE.	1	7.	16
W. N. Boase	125	 37	 264		16		16.8
A. N. Fleming		 83	 502		26		19.8
G. T. Campbell	107.4	 35	 251		11		22,9

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in	Times	Av	erage
J. Howard	11	 302	 77	. 0		27.5
A. P. Snell		 238	 	. 1		23.8
L. R. T. Frere		 212		. 1		21.2
P. B. Hancock		 20	 20*	. 3	emier	20
A. G. Stubbs		 167	 61* .	. 2	1.71	18.5
B. Hamilton		 188	 50 .	. 0	well.	17.1
A. Finch		 138	 39 .	. 1	0.014	15.1
R. W. C. Fisher		 98	 18 .	. 1	1016	14
C. O. Bennett	10	 80	 39 .	. 2	01.0	10
C. G. Hill	5	 25	 11 .	. 0	doll .	5

F. E. G. Longe played one innings, and scored 24.

* Signifies not out.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

E. C. Shordaunt 18.	Balls Maidens			ıs	Runs	Wicket	ts.	Average
B. Hamilton	865		44		417	 36		11.21
A. G. Stubbs	745		32		375	 20		18.15
J. Howard	515		13		289	 16		18.1
C. O. Bennett	470		17		244	11	mall	99.9

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		Iost in innings	Times not out	Average
		,		an	125	1	45.3
F. S. Jackson	10		408		-	 1	
D. R. Napier	10		212		61	 1	 23.5
R. Chaplin			89		29	 2	 22.1
R. B. Hoare			226		50	 1	 22.6
C. P. Wills			225		50	 1	 22.5
J. Gowans			17		13	 2	 17
C. H. M. Butler	8		141		39	 0	 17.5
A. C. McLaren			176		49	 0	 14.8
A. Neame	11		119		39	 2	 13.2
W. B. Anderson			107		42	 0	 11.8
C. G. Pope			105		32	 1	 9.6
H. M. Peebles			53		15	 2	 7.4

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs		V	Vickets	Average	
H. M. Peebles	38		9		101		8		12.5
C. G. Pope			56		280		22		12.16
W. B. Anderson			67		356		25		14.6
F. S. Jackson	208		68		422		29		14.16
C. P. Wills	56		19		101		5		20.1
R. B. Hoare	130		30		311		15	••	20.11

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs		n inning	s	not or		Average
W. M. Gow			334		104		1		15.4
D. J. M'Millan			91		47*		2		13
J. Lamb			197		59**		1.		11.19
W. Neilson			243		45		1		11.1
G. T. Neilson			222		43		2		10.12
D. M. Strath	. 22		170		67*		5		10
J. N. Millar	. 11		80		48		3		10
A. W. Livingston	. 23		215		44		1		9.17
T. Welsh	. 8		46		15*		1		6.4
T. S. Paterson			90		27*		2		5
W. Paterson	. 17		55		11*		4	• •	4.3
		* S	ignifies	not or	u				

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets		Average
J. Lamb	364	 135	 560	 61		10.50
A. W. Livingston	247.3	 55	 537	 36		14.33
W. M. Gow	244.3	 61	 486	 30		16.6
D. M. Strath	114	 15	 312	 14		22.4
T. Welsh	133.1	 32	 333	 15	*.	22.3

Public	Schools	Matches.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

				3					
Inopost , pao joul	nning	2'S	Runs	a	n innin	gs n	ot ou	t	Average
L. J. L. Hill	10		373						41.4
L. G. A. Collins	11	10	345	18	124	OI	1		34.5
J. B. Wood	11	199	308		55		2		34.2
T. E. Etlinger	10	00	131	00	26	II.	5		26.1
C. G. Chambers	9		134		42	II	1		16.6
H. R. Blore	8	EI.	110		57		1		15.5
C. C. Moir	11	84	156		77				
O. H. Stone	11		112		37	1	2	pare	12.4
H. M. Rogers					52	II	0		11.4
A. C. Cheales	5	- · ·	54	11	23		0	100,300	10.4
E. T. Shoreland	6	22	10	Ш	4	1	2		2.2

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	3	Average
L T. Shoreland			26		264		24		11
T. E. Eilinger					402		32		12.18
A. J. L. Hill	264.1		92		488		37		13.7
L. G. A. Collins							11		16.7
The following al	so bowl	ed :-	-H. M.	Roge	ers, J. 1	B. Woo	od. C.	G. (Chambers.
A F Cheales and O	H Ston	0			,		,		,

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

			Runs		Most in		Times		
	Innings			an innings			not out		Average
B, L. Richmond	10		260		87		3		37.1
			260		80		1		26
	15	4	324	THE REAL PROPERTY.	85		1		23.9
A. H. C. Barker	9		195		89		0		21.6
T. B. Case	14		276		69		1	THE .	21.3
	11		170		62		0	3	15.5
A. J. Boger	11		77		28*		- 5		12.5
E. J. Neve	13		141		32		1	1.00	11.9
B. J. B. Stephens	10		86		22	-	1	4 4	9.5
C. Wigram	12		94		10		2		9.4
E. M. L. Gower	9		48		22		2	odego	6.6
G. H. Blore	- 8		30		15	30	1	1	4.2
		* Sig	nifies n	ot out					39405 8 1

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs	7	Wicket	S	Average
E. M. LGower			57		293		27		10.23
V. T. Hill	230.1	0.0	56		544		42	0.00	12.40
A. J. Boger	328		106		585		42		13.39
B. J. B. Stephens			7		60		4		15
C. Wigram			16		209		12		17.5
E. J. Neve			4		74		3	The same	23.2
G. H. Blore			25		143		4		35.3
T M T Cowon h	bolure.	+ **** **	ridog T	7 70 1	T:11	1-	-17 A	TD	

E. M. L. Gower bowled two wides, V. T. Hill one no-ball, A. J. Boger one wide, B. J. B. Stephens two wides, and C. Wigram one wide.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	Most in		Times		
	Innings		Runs	an	inning	rs	not out	t	Average
M. O'C. Tandy	. 16		345		82		2		24.9
C. C. Hatt	10		207		64		1		23
E. E. Bryant	. 15		262		56		1		18.10
R. S. H. Baiss			251		44		1		17.13
H. Lovegrove	15		225		74		0		15
G. E. Timins			197		49		1		14.1
S. Kennington	14		167		51		0		11.13
E. H. Luckham	. 14		136		50		0		9.10
G. T. Watson	3		14		7*		1		7
S. de C. O'Grady	12		49		26*		4		6.1
J. M. Marshall	13		26		7		5		3.2
PRINTER CONTROL PORTS	*	Sig	nifies no	ot out.					

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	1	Laidens	Runs	Vicket	Average
H. Lovegrove	62.4		16	 175	 12	 14.7
E. H. Luckham			26	 223	 14	 15.13
E. E. Bryant			1	 96	 6	 16
J. M. Marshall			65	 600	 36	 16.24
S. de C. O'Grady			54	 529	 22	 24.1
M. O'C. Tandy			1	 51	 2	 25.1
S. Kennington			28	 303	 10	 30.3
C. C. Hatt			6	 112	 2	 56

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

				1	Iost in		Times	
I	nnings		Runs	an	inning	S	not out	Average
W. Hodges	17		352		119*		4	 27.1
J. Campbell	4		84		42		0	 21
J. Cockburn	19		342		71		0	 18
R. Simson	9		154		54		0	 17.1
Hon. W. Thesiger	18		256		90**		2	 16
A. J. Hall	10		106		22*		3	 15.1
W. Baker	19		240		60		3	 15
G. Austin	17		239		46		1	 14.15
A. Thomson	18		198		30*		1	 11.11
H. Nelson	9		82		37*		2	 11.5
E. Young	12		110		28		1	 10
P. Oswald	19		159		26		2	 9.6
A. G. Macpherson	9		25		13		3	 4.1
SOO worm to this fel		* Si	gnifies n	ot ou	t.			

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	V	Vicket	S	Average
W. Baker	338.2	 112		584		47		12 20
W. Hodges		 7		224		14		16
Hon. W. Thesiger		 83		591		35		16.31
A. Thomson		 68		470		26		18.2
H. Nelson		 44		227		12		18.3
E. Young		 29		219		10		21.9
P. Oswald		 11		226		7		32,2

BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

				1	fost in		Times	
	nings		Runs	an	innings	3	not out	Average
R. C. Williams	13		338		75		2	 30.8
A. O. Jones			266		92		0	 20.6
L. G. Brown	15		242		84		2	 18.8
J. O. Anderson	14		242		77*		1	 18.8
C. S. H. G. Loraine			242		62			 17.4
G. E. Smythies	14		162		28		4	 16.2
F. C. Justice	7		42		25*		2	 8.2
H. P. G. Maule	10		62		26			
E. T. Hatt	1.0		46		12		3	 6.4
P. H. Ball	14		73		16*		2	 6.1
T. M. C. Hunt	12		44		16*		3	 4.8
		* Sig	gnifies n	ot out	t.			

BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	7	Vickets	3	Average
A. O. Jones	244	 55		499		54		9.13
P. H. Bell	269	 57		609		66		9.15
E. T. Hatt		 26		175		15		11.10
T. M. C. Hunt		 41		220		14		15.10
G. E. Smythies	20	 3		54		2		27

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

Tillings	1100		-		Most in		Times	Average
	nings		Runs	an	inning	rs :	not out	Average
S. H. Gregory	14		226		106		2	 18.10
F. Street	12		224		54		0	 18.8
H. R. Blaker			257		54		1	 18.5
R. E. Olivier	13		161	Se	80		1	 13.5
H. E. Olivier	10		104		48		()	 10.4
P. Armitage	13		120		30*		1	 10
B. Southey	14		130		44		0	 9.4
J. Gifford	15		112		20		1	 8
J. A. Willett	10		55	07	21		2	 6.7
C. H. Gregory	10		58		14*		1	 6.4
J. O. T. Powell	13		43		17		4	 4.7
		* Si	gnifies n	ot out	t.			

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	1	Iaiden	S	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
S. H. Gregory	50.2		17	10.0	106	/	13	0	8.2
J. A. Willett			22		204		19		10.14
F. Street			53		331		22		15.1
C. H. Gregory	28		6		78		5		15.3
J. O. Powell			26		519		34		15.9
J. Gifford			71		560		36		15.20
B. Southey			7		123		5		24.3
H. R. Blaker			1		59		2		29.1
P. Armitage	19	••	1	• •	73	4 .	0	• 1	Q

NO. 338 OF 500