

FIFTY-FIRST EDITION.

JOHN WISDEN'S
Cricketers' Almanack for 1914:

CONTAINING
FULL SCORES AND BOWLING ANALYSES
OF THE
CHIEF MATCHES PLAYED IN 1913.

PORTRAITS OF
G. GUNN, A. E. RELF,
HON. L. H. TENNYSON, *
M. W. BOOTH, AND J. W. HITCH.

A TRIBUTE TO ALFRED LYTTELTON
BY THE EARL OF DARNLEY.

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET BY E. B. NOEL.

Edited by **SYDNEY H. PARDON.**

London :

PUBLISHED AND SOLD BY JOHN WISDEN AND CO.

AT THEIR

CRICKETING AND BRITISH SPORTS DEPÔT,
Cranbourn Street, Leicester Square, London, W.C.

AND OF ALL BOOKSELLERS AND AT ALL BOOKSTALLS.

Copyright under Act, 1911.

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1913.

By E. B. NOEL.

It has always been the custom since the days when Mr. W. J. Ford wrote the Public School article in *Wisden*, in his inimitable style, and set the model for those who were to follow in his footsteps, to start with some topic of general interest to school cricket. Many such topics have been suggested to me this year. Two of these, namely: the question of school umpires in foreign matches and that of allowing the boys too much latitude, such as going on a quarter of an hour or so after the time definitely set for drawing the match, or of opponents taking off two good bowlers who are getting the school out for a small score, were most admirably and delicately treated by Mr. Ford in *Wisden* about a dozen years ago, and what he said then holds good to-day. A third, which I think has not before been written of in this article, is the influence of swerve in modern bowling, and the danger of its over cultivation at the expense of spin and length among Public School bowlers.

More than once this year I have heard the lament by a cricket master, "Oh! so and so was a most promising bowler with a good action and command of length and spin, but he learned to swing, and since then he has lost his length and direction, and has done nothing except get an odd wicket in the first over or two, or after the 200." At the conclusion of the article in the Uppingham Magazine, the boys are reminded of H. H. Stephenson's famous tenets:

"To the batsman, a straight bat.
To the bowler—a good length.
To all—enthusiasm on and off the field."

Length, then as now, is the first essential of bowling. No one will deny the value of swing or of its difficulty to the batsman when it is under control, but too often the acquiring of swing means the loss of length and the ball does not flip off the pitch. Swing without length is comparatively harmless, and moreover the ball that swings all the way or swings too much is not formidable. It is only that one that swings late and just enough that does the real damage, and this is the gift of the few. Surely, too, the school bowler who is going to plug away for a long spell, keeping the length and making the ball do a bit off the pitch, is a greater asset to his side than the boy

who may get a wicket or two in the first few overs, and then is of no account. Take a great bowler like A. E. Relf. His control of both swings makes him most difficult, but it is his length and nip off the pitch which after all are the great assets on which he relies. Moreover, in all cricket on the sticky wicket the spinner who keeps a length and turns the ball is worth his weight in gold, and the swinger as such is dross in comparison. So while I have no wish to depreciate the value of a swinging bowler to a side, I would put in a plea for length and spin as the first essentials.

The keenness in school cricket has never been greater than now, and I have received information from some schools which were not included last year. I happened to look at the Public Schools Year Book the other day, and I found that no less than 114 schools are eligible for the Headmasters' conference. If one included all these, I can imagine the feelings of the Editor of *Wisden* to find so much of his valuable space taken up, however interesting the subject. I have, therefore, with one or two exceptions, decided to restrict the article to those schools which were written of last year.

THE PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES AT LORD'S.

Through the efforts of Mr. Lacey and Capt. Wynyard, the Public Schools matches at Lord's were of greater interest than ever before. They have now become a real feature of the season, and the sides which appeared this year were more representative probably than ever before. It is hardly necessary to remind people that what was done this year was to choose two elevens, one from Public Schools which played at Lord's in the season, namely: Eton, Harrow, Rugby, Marlborough, Cheltenham, Haileybury, and Clifton, who for the first time played the M.C.C., and another from the rest, and these two played a match. Then from this 22 an eleven was picked to play the M.C.C. on the two next days. Infinite care was taken by Captain Wynyard in the selections, the claims of a number of candidates being most carefully weighed. The sides in the first match were:— Lord's Schools: J. H. Amory, fast bowler and hitting bat; L. C. Leggatt, steady bat and slow bowler of sorts; and M. B. Burrows, a first-rate batsman (Eton); G. Wilson, batsman, and E. T. Buller, bat and slow bowler (Harrow); C. P. Johnstone, batsman and change bowler (Rugby); H. A. Vallance, batsman (Marlborough); N. M. Hughes-Hallett, hitting bat and slow bowler (Haileybury); G. W. E. Whitehead, batsman (Clifton); N. C. Macfadyen, fast-medium bowler (Cheltenham); and G. P. D. Taylor, left-hand bat (Cheltenham). The Rest: G. B. F. Rudd, punishing batsman, fast bowler, wicket keeper, captain (Westminster); J. Howell and M. Howell, batsmen (Repton), W. E. Hazelton, good right-hand medium bowler (Wellingborough); F. W. Musson, wicket-keeper and bat

(Tonbridge); C. E. S. Rucker, fast bowler (Charterhouse); C. N. B. Hurt, batsman (Malvern); G. H. Heslop, bat and bowler (Lancing); A. J. W. Pearson, slow bowler (St. Paul's), D. B. Aitken, bat and bowler (Mill Hill), and O. A. Reid, bat and wicket keep (Radley). The most notable absentee was D. J. Knight (Malvern), the best school batsman of the year, who had played in 1912. He was playing for Surrey v. Notts at the Oval, and expressed much regret that he could not, therefore, play at Lord's.

The value of the knowledge of Lord's was clearly demonstrated, for the Lord's Schools won very easily. There were four scores of over 50 in their first innings, from Whitehead, Johnstone, Taylor, and Hughes-Hallett, in a total of 370; the most successful bowlers were Rucker, fast, and Pearson, slow leg-breaks, and an occasional googlie; while Hazelton bowled steadily. Amory and Buller were chiefly responsible for getting the Rest out for 112. Lord's Schools batted again, and made 184 for two declared, Burrows, Wilson, Vallance, and Buller all getting runs. Hazelton, through an injury could not bowl in this innings, and then the Rest were got out again for 228, of which the Howells made 147—J. Howell, 73, and his brother one more—by as good batting as was seen in the match, but then the side collapsed, and so Lord's Schools won by well over 200 runs.

From the two elevens the following side was chosen for the M.C.C. match:—Amory and Burrows (Eton); Buller (Harrow); J. Howell (Repton), who played instead of his brother, who was ill; Rudd (Westminster); Taylor (Cheltenham); Hughes-Hallett (Haileybury); Johnstone (Rugby); Rucker (Charterhouse); Whitehead (Clifton) and Reid (Radley). They thus had a side who could practically all bat, and had Amory, Rucker, and Rudd, fast bowlers; Hughes-Hallett and Buller, slow, and a number of others as changes.

The form of the Schools against the M.C.C. was disappointing. But they found the bowling of Mr. A. F. Morcom, who has always been at his best at Lord's since his Cambridge days, too much for them, and he was bowling so well that he would have got many a side out. The schools scored 180, of which Johnstone, a left-hander got 60, and Rudd scored a determined and hard hit, if rather fluky 67. Rucker bowler well at the start of the M.C.C. innings, but Mr. H. S. Altham, playing splendid cricket, knocked him off his length, and all the later batsmen got runs, Capt. Wynyard himself playing an innings which was an object lesson. The schools were got rid of a second time for 143, of which Taylor made 53 not out in most attractive style, showing that he had many of the real left-hander's strokes.

ETON, HARROW, AND WINCHESTER.

Eton again won both their school matches, won them easily, and there was no question of their superiority: indeed, from all I have heard I must rank them as the school side of the year; a match between Harrow and Winchester in even conditions might have been a good game.

Eton's school matches appear in another part of the *Almanack*, so I will only say just a word or two about them here. At Lord's they won the toss for the first time in ten years and put Harrow in. The wicket was not difficult, and bad batting and possibly a mistaken idea that the pitch was difficult, resulted in Harrow being out for 75, the most remarkable thing in the innings being a marvellous running catch by G. S. Rawstone on the square leg boundary, as good a catch as has been seen at Lord's for years. Eton on an easy wicket without fire replied with 383, and the way they pushed the advantage home when they had got on top was admirable. F. L. Johnstone, a most promising all round cricketer, was top score with 94. Harrow made 47 for one on the Friday evening, and on Saturday, G. Wilson's great innings of 173 which, after he had got 40 or so, was a really fine piece of batting, and Makant's plucky defence saved Harrow from utter rout. Eton, however, were left with under 20 to get, and got them for the loss of one wicket.

Winchester were too weak in bowling for them to have much hope, for after G. de L. Hough, their captain, there was little that was formidable. The match was played on Agar's Plough, Eton, on a wicket which had some fire in it, and so suited the Eton fast bowlers. Winchester batted first, and scored 202, of which O. Cooper got 38, H. H. Burn 22, L. T. Morshead a very sound 20, and G. de L. Hough 28. Eton before long got on top of the bowling, and terrific scoring off tired bowling, though the light was bad, in the last hour, completed the rout, Eton in the end getting 400. Winchester on the second day could only score 151, and so were beaten in an innings. Hamilton in this innings played very well for 40.

Eton may, I think, without any great doubt be awarded the palm as the best side in a not particularly brilliant year. They had a number of good cricketers. Like all Eton sides of recent years, the whole eleven had to be got out, and numbers six to ten were especially dangerous if the earlier batsmen gave the side a start, for they were strong and knew well how to make the most of an advantage against wearied bowling, Heathcote-Amory and G. K. Dunning especially so. The one thing they really lacked was a slow right or a left-handed bowler. L. C. Leggatt got a few wickets with leg-breaks, but he was not a good bowler, and all the others were fast or medium. At the

start of the year it was feared the bowling, except for Heathcote-Amory, might be weak: but such proved far from the case, especially on fast wickets. Heathcote-Amory was the fastest and certainly the best. He is exceptionally tall and strong for a boy: the ball came down from a great height, and if there was any life in the wicket he could make it rise off the pitch, and moreover he could bowl for a long spell. He goes up to Oxford, and his career will be watched with interest. F. L. Johnstone, a little slower than Amory, and G. K. Dunning, were both useful, and these three and R. A. Foster formed a formidable quartette on hard wickets. The batting in the early part of the term was a little disappointing, and there was some lack of soundness, but the side came on wonderfully when they got on to the fast wickets on Agar's Plough in June. M. B. Burrows and R. C. Gull were the best batsmen potentially: both have beautiful shots, and plenty of wrist, and Burrows was old in judgment, but he was rather a nervous starter and often was rather lucky to get through some shaky overs at the start. L. C. Leggatt was the hardest man in the side to get out, and most valuable to go in first. He has few shots, but a sound defence and inexhaustible patience, and he generally gave the side a good start when wanted. Johnstone's innings at Lord's has been referred to, and his batting possibilities made him, with his bowling, the best all round player of the team. He will be at Eton next year, and should be a really fine boy cricketer. G. S. Rawstorne did not fulfil his promise of 1912, but he may, no doubt, get back his form next year. But his season must be memorable for his wonderful catch in Eton v. Harrow. Amory and Dunning, if unsound, were sturdy and valuable hitters of the half volley and long hop. Three of the side had averages over 30, and nine over 20, which in itself is a testimony to the batting strength. R. E. Naylor was a good and dependable wicket-keeper, and much of the fielding was first-rate. Amory himself, who captained the side with judgment, and has the real knack of instilling enthusiasm, is a fine field in several positions: Burrows first-rate at short slip, and Hamilton Fletcher at cover-point. Rawstorne is captain next season, and besides him, Johnstone, Foster, and T. C. G. Nugent will still be at Eton.

Winchester.—In the Eton match, Winchester have done badly in the last few years. From 1904, when they had one of the best school sides of modern days, to 1907, they were strong elevens, but since then no victory has fallen to their lot, and in most cases they have been out-played. Eton, however, have had such fine sides that one does not think there is any need to be unduly pessimistic. In batting this year Winchester were by no means bad and they made several good scores, having three or four players who were capable of making long innings, but at Eton several of them got out just when they

looked to be well set. But the bowling was not strong enough for them to have much hope of getting sides out cheaply. Too much depended on G. de L. Hough, the captain, and the one real bowler of the side. He bowls slow medium, with a command of both swerves; keeps a good length, and sometimes makes the ball do a bit off the pitch. But he had too little support. Lascelles, left hand fast-medium, was the next best, and N. Hill, who is still young, may develop into a useful fast bowler.

In batting, Hough was the most dangerous. He is strong, with plenty of powerful on-side strokes, but rather too fond of attempting to make them off a straight ball. L. T. Morshead was the soundest, with plenty of judgment: a good back player, and hard to get out, though he wants more quick-footedness to be really good. R. C. Hamilton was perhaps the best bat of the side, and played like a real cricketer with good shots. He is going to South Africa, and may be heard of again. H. H. Burn showed great promise. He is already good, plays straight, and is a powerful driver. He also bowls a googly of sorts. O. Cooper, who went in first, played several useful innings. G. B. Eden was the wicket-keeper. He is very young, and should become quite first-rate. The fielding—the Wykehamist's special pride—was quite adequate, if with certain exceptions, notably Hamilton as third man, not brilliant. Eden, Hill, and Lascelles will still be at Winchester next year.

Harrow had a disappointing season. They were a better side than in 1911 or 1912, and at the beginning of the term they were expected to turn into quite a fair eleven, but after a month of bad weather early on they never did themselves justice in the dry weather afterwards. They lost 7 and won 2 matches, the latter against I.Z., and a strong Old Harrovian side. Still it must be remembered in looking at any Harrow record of matches that the opposition is often exceptionally strong. To be defeated by a strong Harlequin side, for instance, by three runs only, was a good performance on the school's part.

The enterprise of the batting was badly checked by three weeks solid mud at the beginning of term. The side never really attacked the bowling after it. G. Wilson's average was 41, and the next was E. Buller's, 17. Wilson played well all the season, though he is rather shaky outside the off-stump. He got 106 v. a weakish side of I. Zingari, and useful scores all through (13, 13, 12, 58, 37, 21, 17, 27, 20 not out, 19, 33); not bad for the Harrow ground. He did quite respectably for Yorkshire 2nd in August, and is captain next year. He is a beautiful field on the off side. G. L. Jackson (capt.) had a disappointing season, and he suffers from being too excitable. He is a

splendid field at cover, and goodish bowler (in-swingers). Buller, de Uphaugh, Thompson, Dury, Makant, were all useful bats, but lacked confidence against rather strong teams. They simply would not attack. The bowling was really the stronger point. Jackson (in-swinging), Brown (out-swinging), Le Marchant (fast erratic slinger), Buller (googlies), Makant (slow, coming from great height), Dury (mixes them up): gave plenty of variety

Good batting sides never made many, except the Quidnuncs—thanks to splendid cricket by N. F. Druce, R. B. Lagden, and P. R. Johnson, etc. The wicket was of a very easy pace, and the bowlers lost their sting when the opposing side had only made 71 in the first innings. To sum up, the fielding was distinctly good, the batting disappointing, the bowling very steady, except at Lord's. The prospects are better for next year. All schools have lean years: Harrow at the moment seem to be nearing the end of a short cycle of sides below the average.

The best bowling averages were: Makant 23 wickets for 16 a-piece; Dury, 14 for 17; Buller, 13 for 23; Jackson, 18 for 24; and Brown 11 for 24. Besides Wilson, Makant, Dury, who might become very good, de Uphaugh, Thompson, and Brown remain for next year.

WESTMINSTER, CHARTERHOUSE, WELLINGTON.

We now turn to our second group of schools. It was thought at the end of 1912 that Westminster had great hopes of a good season, and events proved right. The match against Radley was played for the first time, and was disappointing, Westminster losing at Radley on a wicket which was said to be very like that of Vincent Square, by 11 runs. Radley made 169, Westminster 158, of which Rudd, the captain, got 62. A victory over Charterhouse, at Vincent Square, after many years and so eagerly waited for, "made" the season. It was a fine match too, and a most well-deserved win, after Westminster had looked in a very bad position. Westminster batted first, and were all out for 101; Charterhouse also started badly, but a partnership by R. S. Pearce and C. E. S. Rucker gave them a great and they gained a first innings lead of 139. Westminster lost one advantage, good wicket that night for 5, but next day they went for the bowling in determined fashion, and their total reached 286, leaving Charterhouse 157 to win. They then proceeded to get them out for 85; Rudd, fast right, getting five wickets for 39, and Cobbold, medium right, four for 34, and won the match by 62.

In all they played thirteen matches, of which eight were won—against Charterhouse, M.C.C., Eton Ramblers, Christ Church, Old Wykehamists, Old Westminsters, Sussex Martlets, and Incogniti, and five

lost against Household Brigade, I.Z., Authentics, Free Foresters—most of these having fine sides, and Radley. The M.C.C. side which was beaten included Reeves and Mead. In this game Rudd played a great innings of 152 out of a total of 282. The Incogs. had S. J. Pegler and A. F. Morcom—a formidable pair of bowlers.

There was no doubt that Westminster were a good side but they owed much to their captain, Rudd, as all-round cricketer—fast bowler, determined and forceful batsman, also a wicket-keeper—and even more to his determination and keenness as a captain. His style as a batsman is not attractive in pose of body, but he has a fine eye, much strength, and of his effectiveness there is no doubt. He and Cobbold were the mainstays. Cobbold is an exceptionally good boy bowler, right-hand medium, who keeps an immaculate length, and the better the opposition the better he bowls. He alters his pace without showing it, and occasionally makes the ball do a bit even on a plumb wicket.

Rudd's batting average was lower than last year. He was, however, undoubtedly a better player, equally forcible, sounder and more stable, and his bowling also improved greatly in steadiness. He set a splendid example to his team on the field and off, was always looking for chances, always anxious to go out to win his matches, always ready to throw his wicket away when the interests of the side demanded it. As a batsman, Cobbold has a beautiful style, but was disappointing in the number of runs he scored. McCulloch headed the batting averages and is an extremely sound bat. His style is somewhat stiff and angular, but he keeps a very straight bat and a very cool head; he was in great form at the end of the term. Kann was frankly disappointing, and though he played a few useful innings, never touched the form of which he is capable. Hurst-Brown was as steady as ever and his defence was frequently invaluable. Veitch was at his best on slow wickets, his back-play being at present his strong point; with increased strength and experience he should be worth a lot of runs next year. The fielding, uncertain at the start of the season, was very satisfactory in the later matches; Hurst-Brown, McCulloch, Kann, Veitch, Cobbold, and Rudd all deserve mention, their catching being particularly good.

Next year only Veitch, Feasey, Charlton, and Thompson will be left of this successful side.

Charterhouse lacked consistent scorers, and the bowling after C. E. S. Rucker, the captain, who through the air at any rate, is almost exceptionally fast for a boy, and A. S. Dallas, slow left-hand, who is promising, was not very successful, though P. S. Hadley, fast

medium and quick off the pitch, did well at times, and his action is rather puzzling. Of the batsmen, J. H. Strachan was about the best. He has some beautiful strokes, and should be a fine player of the defensive type next year. He had an average of 44, and seven players averaged 20 or over, but it must be remembered what Charterhouse wickets are. The Westminster match has been spoken of above. As a set-off to this defeat Charterhouse beat Wellington after an exciting finish by 20 runs, 225 to 205. In this match Dallas performed the hat-trick. The Old Carthusian match was lost. The School made 183 and their opponents, for whom Capt. Wynyard and J. N. Buchanan made a long first wicket stand, 303. It is pleasant to hear that the fielding of the side was more than up to the mark. G. V. Hinds is captain for 1914, and Hadley, Strachan, and possibly L. D. B. Monier Williams will also be at Charterhouse. J. H. Fosdick's wicket-keeping was one of the chief features of the season.

The *Wellington* eleven was by no means a bad one. There were five old colours, and this formed a good foundation to the side. The batting was distinctly above the average. Manger proved an able captain, and on more than one occasion a very good left-hand bat, always scoring his runs in good style. Bartlett, too, was a very useful batsman. Simson, somewhat weak in defence, has remarkable hitting powers. Longbottom, Allom, and Badcock showed promise for the future. In bowling the school had to rely on three bowlers—Crofton, Foley, and Badcock—who all kept a steady length. With the exception of Wainwright and Bramly, the fielding did not come up to expectations, for, though the ground fielding was good, the catching left much to be desired. In the two school matches Wellington beat Haileybury, but failed to do themselves justice against Charterhouse. Like most school sides they were seen to far greater advantage on a hard wicket than on a soft one.

Our third group of schools includes Rugby, Marlborough, Clifton, Cheltenham, Haileybury, Malvern, Repton, and Uppingham. There was among these a great variety of form shown in the school matches, and this may be clearly seen in the table of results of matches at the end of the article. But perhaps it may be admitted that of all these sides Rugby were the strongest.

They possessed the best side that they have had for several years, and must certainly be placed among the strongest of the season. A. de Selincourt, the captain, was kept out of many important matches owing to a damaged cartilage, but even without him, there were seven good batsmen, with C. P. Johnstone and J. L. Bryan perhaps rather more reliable than the others.

The bowling, too, was exceptionally strong. J. S. Poole, left-hand slow medium, could turn the ball back even on good hard wickets, or also swing in from the off. Against Clifton he bowled, says a good judge, as well as I have ever seen a school boy bowl, though an equally capable judge hardly confirmed this estimate. A. C. Armitage and W. W. Smith were very useful fast bowlers. The former really fast, though rather erratic, and Smith swinging a little from leg. R. T. Bryan bowled googlies with great effect on one or two occasions and might make an exceptionally good performer in a year or two, but he is so young, only just 16, that it is hard to say how he may develop. His action is most prepossessing. His leg breaks spin quickly and the googly is quite good enough to make its presence felt. The two school matches were easily won. In the Marlborough match at Lord's the Marlborough bowling was weak, and Rugby passed the first innings score with nine wickets in hand. Rugby in the end did not get an overwhelming lead, but Marlborough did not look like recovering, and were beaten comfortably. The elder Bryan's century was the foundation of the victory. Smith was the most successful bowler. Against Clifton, Rugby batted first and made 300 odd. There were three scores of 50, and one (Bickersteth) of 80. In Clifton's first innings, Poole and Armitage dismissed the side for 120, and in the second, when they followed on, Rugby got Clifton out for 80. The match was all over in one day, the difference in the class of bowling deciding everything. C. P. Johnstone is qualified for Kent, and might perhaps appear for them one day. He is a steady left-handed bat, and immensely keen. He was a useful right hand medium bowler the season before last, when Rugby had not so many to rely on. Poole is captain next year, and the two Brians and House are still at Rugby.

Marlborough were, I am told, a curious side, capable of good things, and equally capable in the same match of bad ones, and it was unfortunate that the match against Rugby, at Lord's, did not show them at their best. This match, of which something has been said above, was lost by ten wickets, and it cannot be denied that some of the Marlborough batting was very poor. The Cheltenham match at Cheltenham was lost, but only after a great finish by two wickets. Cheltenham had 181 to get in the last innings. Three wickets were down for about 80: then H. L. A. Du Boulay and J. A. Sanger made a long, if a very slow stand of 98, in which only four boundaries were hit, but Marlborough in this time bowled too short to batsmen, who were not going to take risks. At last, at 178, Busk bowled Du Boulay and Troup: half the side were out, with only three to get. Next over Busk caught Sanger at slip finely. Firbank made a bold cut, which Busk's height just enabled him to reach, and turn a four into a two. So it was now a tie with four

wickets to fall: Marlborough got two of these wickets, but then Macfadyen hit a single, and a remarkable last innings—181 to get, 178 for three, and 180 for eight—ended in a narrow victory for Cheltenham. I confess, I should have dearly liked to see such a finish. For those with sympathies for either side, it must have been an excitement akin to pain.

In other matches Marlborough beat a side of Hampshire Hogs, including E. R. Wilson, H. C. McDonell and A. J. L. Hill; and a rather weak M.C.C. side, lost to Liverpool and Marlborough Blues—another good finish, and drew with the Free Foresters. That the side was erratic there is no doubt. H. M. Batson, the captain, was admirable in many ways, but he did not perhaps, possess the judgment to manage the side he had at his command, in which solidarity was lacking. He himself was a fine batsman to go in lavish or to knock a bowler off his length, but he lacked steadiness. H. I. A. Vallance was a dangerous but rather reckless cricketer, and could seldom put together a long innings. W. F. L. Oliver, a left-handed bat with great possibilities and power, was disappointing. R. C. Ashfield, a fine off-side player, and J. R. Barnes, were perhaps really the two best players on the side, and both are young. The fielding was hardly up to the usual standard, though Busk was a really good slip and Batson was also valuable in this position.

The bowling lacked variation. There was no good slow bowler at all. W. Franklin had the best average. He was a lucky bowler, who bowled sometimes very erratically, but always got wickets. R. D. Busk should have been really good, but he got into a bad habit of bowling short. Batson did a lot of bowling, but though he was really the steadiest of the lot, he was not a great wicket-getter. Busk is captain next year, and Ashfield, Barnes, and Vallance are also staying on.

Clifton won five, lost five, and drew one of their matches. The Rugby match was lost by an innings and 104, and the Cheltenham match lost by 136. G. W. E. Whitehead, the captain, scored centuries against Lansdown, 158 not out; Old Cliftonians, 120 and 85 not out, and Mr. G. Fowler's XI, 108. Three individual 100's make a record for the School. The best score was 358 for six wickets against Lansdown. In the school matches the side did not play well. At Rugby they found a wicket of unusual pace, and fell to fast bowling, really fast for boys. As a whole the team relied too much on Whitehead.

Apart from him they had only one consistent scorer, and reliable bat, in C. L. Mackay. He improved greatly during the term, and in

the last few matches was the best man on the side. He has fine physique and a good reach. He should be a first-class player, if he can get into first-class company. Gloucestershire is his native county. E. H. McKay, has an excellent defence, and may be a very good bat in time: he is very small, but is a natural cricketer. A. A. Leslie, the wicket keeper, is young and quite promising: in two years he should be good. His batting was quite sound, but he is an unlucky player. S. B. Morgan has a good eye, and wrists, but he must learn to play straight.

The fielding was good, but the bowling weak. H. L. Palmer (medium fast) was effective for two or three overs only. Morgan (by far the best), bowled some poor stuff at times, but at other times he was quite difficult: with a wind to suit him his fast swinging ball (he is left-hand) was very dangerous, but his slow ball was always a bad one. Whitehead made a mistake in not cultivating his googly bowling, which was good at times last year: his medium-fast deliveries may be disregarded. There are real possibilities about Mackay, who is also fair change bowler, medium fast. He is tall, and has a high action, and is sometimes quite dangerous. Hughes-Games, another left-hand bowler, was slower than Morgan, and quite as successful, but he has lost the curious flight which made him difficult last year: he has no spin to speak of. Clifton were not a bad side, not nearly so bad as their school matches would show—no other side they played found them easy to beat, except the M.C.C. at Lord's, when J. W. Hearne made 180 not out and took nine wickets for 30 runs. The team play back pretty well and some of them use their feet, but they are too quick in forward play, and when they do get their foot over it is put there too soon. Even Whitehead does not get properly over the off side ball. He is no doubt a very hard man to get out, and though not attractive to watch he is a good and in many respects a sound player. He has made an enormous number of runs, but has not been successful on the great occasion of school matches, when he has only once got over 50. His value to the school has been very great, and it may be hoped he will improve, as he must do considerably if he is to become really first-class. He is captain again in 1914, and six other old colours remain.

Cheltenham began the year with four old colours, two of whom were bowlers. The team was a young one, and improved steadily throughout the season, and although beaten badly at Lord's by a strong Haileybury XI, showed very considerable promise for the future. Four matches were won, four lost, and two drawn. Liverpool and the Incogniti beat the School in the first two matches. During June and July only one match (v. Old Cheltonians) was lost

Marlborough were beaten (at Cheltenham), Clifton (at Clifton), Clifton Club, and I Zingari, the latter by one wicket late in the evening. The bowling varied considerably, but E. Donovan, a left-handed medium fast bowler, showed form well above the average. N. S. Macfadyen, too, a medium fast bowler, with a swing to the off, did well, especially v Marlborough. G. C. Firbank, the captain, another left-hander, did well at times, as did N. A. Coxwell-Rogers; but the want of a good slow bowler was felt. The batting was full of promise. H. L. A. Du Boulay, who was under sixteen, G. P. D. Taylor (a left-hander), who did well in the School games at Lord's, and J. A. Sanger were three bats of more than average ability, and Firbank (the capt.) and Blake (a left-hander) were always likely to get runs well. C. B. Matthews, F. C. Troup, and C. A. H. Hillier, newcomers to the side, are also promising, especially the first-named. Taylor created a great impression at Lord's. He has all the left-hander's shots, and might become really good. The fielding maintained a high standard, and was brilliant at Clifton. Du Boulay at mid-off, Blake at first slip, and Firbank at cover, and Troup in the deep were the best of a good lot of fielders. Seven, possibly eight, of the side return next season, as follows:—G. C. Firbank or G. P. D. Taylor (captain), J. A. Sanger, H. L. A. Du Boulay, N. A. Coxwell-Rogers, C. A. H. Hillier, C. B. Matthews, F. C. Troup.

Repton had for them, a disappointing season. The school won three of the first four matches, against Notts Amateurs, Derby Friars and Esher, and drew the other with Burton. At that time it was thought they would be a really good side. Most of the players were young and full of promise. But things went wrong, and of the other six games three were lost—with Notts Club and Ground, Derbyshire Club and Ground, and Aliens—and three drawn, Old Reptonians, and the two School matches. The toss was lost seven times out of ten. After a weak exhibition by Repton in the first innings, Uppingham made 345, assisted by a wet ball and wet wicket. Repton's last innings was a good performance, M. Howell playing finely for 80 not out on a wicket that was at one time very difficult. The Malvern match at Repton was a rather dull game. Both M. and J. Howell batted well, but they were terribly slow. At the finish, Malvern had six wickets, with one man absent owing to illness, and 60 to make. The other draw was against the old Reptonians and the match is interesting on account of the fact that J. Howell made two centuries. This is the first time any boy has done such a thing at Repton. The lost matches were against good sides from Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire, and the Aliens.

The batting was the brothers Howell—and the rest. Both Howells are very good batsmen, quite sound, and have both got most of

the strokes of the game. But both are liable to play too cautious a game on certain occasions, and it cannot be denied that in some matches they exploit the modern on-side strokes at the expense of the off. It was remarked by one of the old school at Lord's that they could neither of them cut—they can, and sometimes they do. E. R. Pallett is a fine forcing bat against weak bowling, but not yet good enough to do much against good stuff. G. F. Bell is very promising, rather stiff at present, but should come on. He has two more years. P. T. Chevallier had a nice style, and played some good innings, but was very unreliable. J. Howell had an average of 56.69, and made 737 runs, and M. Howell an average of 49.41, and got 593 runs. The next highest aggregate was 351. The bowling was weak, and required a smart fielding side to back it up. Unfortunately, although the ground fielding was good, many catches were dropped. Often the bowlers made quite a good start, then a catch would be thrown down, and everything would go wrong. For instance, in the Derbyshire match, Repton had got five wickets for 115. Then both batsmen were missed badly, and instead of having seven out for 117, Repton actually got the seventh wicket at 298. A. W. B. Becher is young and weak at present, but very promising. A. G. Sale bowled fast, but fell off as the season advanced. Chevallier, slow left with a nice action, bowled well at times, but was unreliable. Pallett and Bell were change bowlers, who could keep up an end and that is all. L. P. S. Bower, an old colour, who was dropped, came back into the team at the end of the season, and bowled quite well with ridiculously bad luck. To sum up, it was a side that, with the two Howells, could not be called weak in batting. It was weak in bowling, but if the catches had been held, would have done very well. J. Howell is captain next year, and Pallett, Bell, E. L. Francis, E. K. Parsons, Becher, and A. E. Kendle are still at Repton.

Malvern played eleven matches, of which two—one against Trinity College, Oxford, and the other against the Worcestershire Club and Ground—were won. Five games were lost, and four, including the Repton match, drawn. The draws with the Incogs, and with Wolverhampton were greatly in favour of the school. Against the Free Foresters the school declared in their second innings. The Repton match has been spoken of above. The Aliens beat the School after an exciting finish by one run. Against the Old Malvernians the school declared their second innings closed with three wickets down, leaving their opponents 312 to get in two and three-quarter hours, and they got them with a quarter of an hour to spare, thanks to some wonderful batting by Capt. W. N. White (140) and G. N. Foster 96 not out. The loss to Shropshire Gentlemen was the worst performance of the season. H. K. Foster had a side

which was too strong for any school, but the boys made 357 in the second innings against W. B. Burns, Simpson-Hayward, and Pearson.

In the Old Malvernian match, D. J. Knight made a double century for the School—as did Howell at Repton—122 and 133 not out. That two schoolboys should have performed this feat in two day matches is most remarkable. I am no wizard in records, but it must be fairly safe to say that this is one.

The doings of the Malvern XI centred mainly around the performance of D. J. Knight, undoubtedly the foremost school bat of the year. He was in great form and hardly ever failed to make a good score. His school record is really a remarkable one. He was in the XI for five years, and scored 2,860 runs for 61 completed innings, giving him an average over all the years of just under 47. At the same time, opinion is divided as to whether he will ever be a superlative cricketer. Many people have seen him in first-class cricket, and with his defence, and his ripe experience for one so young, there is little doubt, he must have a great career. He has already done much for Surrey. He has a sound and matured defence, and lots of scoring shots, behind the wicket, but he should cultivate the driving strokes more. His fielding is first-rate. He goes to Oxford, and it must be safe to prophesy a "blue" for him, far more so than it was for Knott, for he has a surer method. Besides him there was a good deal of batting on the side. C. N. B. Hurt could produce some excellent cricket. W. C. Chetwynd-Stapylton was somewhat unorthodox, but effective, and W. C. M. Berridge very useful. The bowling depended largely on Berridge and Grieve, neither of them first-rate. The fielding was on the whole good, with A. N. Odling a really good stumper. Berridge, Marx, and Chetwynd-Stapylton will only be left for next year, with the first-named as captain, but there seems to be some promising material coming on.

Haileybury had a good season, and there is no doubt that they were a strong side, though there was some lack of soundness, but none of punishing power, in the batting. They too, played three school matches. They lost to Wellington, beat Uppingham, as mentioned above, and fairly routed Cheltenham, at Lord's by an innings. In other matches they beat C. E. Winter's XI and the Old Wykehamists, they lost badly to Free Foresters, who, however, had a formidable pair of bowlers in R. O. Schwarz and Morcom, beat a rather weak M.C.C. side by 15 runs, made 309 against a Butterfly side, strong in batting, who replied with 329 for nine, lost to G. S. Pawle's XI by four wickets, beat Old Haileyburians, and lost to Incogniti. Thus their record was five wins, five losses, and one drawn game. This is no bad record, considering the strength of one for two of the opposing sides. I played at Haileybury early

in the year, and I did not think then they would turn out to be so strong as proved the case, for later on they were undoubtedly above the average in batting and bowling. The batting was free and bright, and both N. M. Hughes-Hallett and N. M. Carstairs, who are very tall and strong for their age, were dangerous and powerful hitters, as they proved against Cheltenham once they were set, and a number of the side could get runs, and get them quickly. In H. D. Hake they had a good and enthusiastic captain, who remains to lead the side for another year—his third as captain, which though by no means unprecedented, is uncommon. He was an effective, though not graceful bat, and he also keeps wicket, though he did not do so at Lord's. As a whole the side owed much to him. The team, as a whole, was a good one, above the average both in batting and fielding. There were, however, only two really reliable and sound batsmen—Marson and Lawrence. The weak spot was the bowling. Carstairs, fast medium, bowled too short, Hughes-Hallett, who played for Derbyshire in August, slow with a leg spin, was their best, but he bowled poorly when things went wrong. E. A. Sparks was steady but with not much in his medium paced bowling to disturb a batsman. A. B. Thorne could bowl well on a slow wicket on the off theory. On the whole the side was spoilt through lack of bowling and lack of variety. Besides Hake, B. E. Baker, G. L. Butler, and W. H. G. Hoult remain.

Uppingham played more school matches than most schools, namely three with Repton, Haileybury, and Shrewsbury. The Repton match was drawn as stated before, Shrewsbury were beaten comfortably, and Haileybury beat Uppingham by seven wickets. Uppingham led on the first innings by sixteen—191 to 175. Haileybury were left to get 171, and got them with the loss of only three wickets. The Shrewsbury match was the only win, four of the eight games being lost, and the other three drawn, so that it was not a successful year. A bad start was made against King's College, and the side did not recover until the Shrewsbury match. The batting lacked soundness and after the first few were out there was too much of the six or out type of batting, and the boys did not know how to play themselves in. The bowling was quite good. J. G. Stephen is a school bowler above the average, and was the mainstay all through. G. H. Burgoyne Johnson, who had the highest batting average, 35.71, a decimal ahead of W. T. Newton, has also much improved as a bowler. His pace has increased, and he keeps a good length. T. Lyon Smith, B. M. Dunn, G. Linmer, and T. F. Hickling, were useful changes, but there was a lack of variety—no one really fast, and no slow left-hander. But the real weak spot was the fielding, and thoroughly bad catching until the last few matches, and, as the School Magazine so justly remarks, "though a team of

pure fielders cannot win a match, yet a team that never holds its catches must invariably be beaten." R. A. T. Miller, who has been in the side three years, and will probably be captain next year, is a brilliant wicket-keeper.

The season of 1913 at *Rossall* was very disappointing, but illness hit the team badly during the first half of the season. Only one match was won, and that was against a strong Liverpool side. Preston had six runs to make when the last man came in, and Common Room would have been beaten with a few more minutes to play. Of course, the most disappointing match was that against Shrewsbury, which was lost by five wickets—the first inter-school match that *Rossall* have lost since the summer of 1909. It was a splendid victory on the part of Shrewsbury, especially when one remembers that they had to face a total of 344 which *Rossall* made in their first innings. F. Brundrett and J. L. Wood (the captain) are quite sound batsmen. The former might do something at Cambridge. He has plenty of strokes, and his back play is very good. Y. L. Ellis was more than an average wicket-keeper, and at times he was brilliant. The fielding of the side was excellent, except in the slips, where it was poor. The Loretto match was not played because of the illness at *Rossall*. The bowling of the side was poor—lacking in variety. G. S. B. Cliffe—slow leg breaks—was ill most of the time and never found his form. He often howled when he was not fit. C. F. de Roupell, E. F. G. Fripp, A. Harrison, and J. W. Toone, are staying on. The captain for 1914 is not yet chosen.

Shrewsbury had a moderate season, winning four matches, losing four, and drawing three. They won, as just stated, a good match against *Rossall* by six wickets after their opponents had made 344 in the first innings, but lost to Uppingham and Sedburgh. In batting H. R. J. Rhys was very consistent, scoring over 700 runs, with an average of over 50; he watches the ball well, and with more experience should develop into a good player. M. Dempsey, average 24, was the best bat on the side with many good strokes, but, except against *Rossall*, he was disappointing in the school matches. Among the others, B. H. Ellis, R. M. Phillips, and T. K. Twist batted well on several occasions. The bowling was not deadly, and lacked variety. R. Bridges, who had the best figures, 36 for 19 apiece, often bowled steadily; and M. Haseler did especially well against *Rossall*, though in other matches he was too expensive. T. Onslow is a leg-break bowler of some promise. P. Dempsey, the captain, was an improved wicket-keeper and captained the side with judgment, though he was a little averse to trying experiments. The fielding, especially the catching, was uncertain. The prospects for next season are encouraging. M. C. Dempsey will be captain; and B. H. Ellis, H. R. J. Rhys, R. M. Phillips, M. Haseler, T. K. Twist, T. Onslow, and W. R. Higgins

are also expected to be at Shrewsbury, so there is an abundance of old choices. Apart from school matches, Shrewsbury beat the Shrewsbury Club, Shropshire Gentlemen, Old Salopians, lost to Free Foresters, and Liverpool, and drew with Rock Ferry, the Aliens, and Derbyshire Friars.

Tonbridge had a good batting side, but the lack of bowling prevented a really successful season. Of the eleven matches played, four were won and six lost, the Old Boys' Match being drawn. A really fine performance was that of the two Sherwells, brothers of the South African captain, who, against Sherborne, put on 222 runs for the first wicket. R. Sherwell, the younger of the two, is a left-hander, and a player of quite exceptional promise. F. W. Musson, the school captain, was as good as ever behind the wickets, and is a fine forcing bat. Of the others, E. D. Casswell proved himself the best bowler, and also particularly good in the field. In addition to the Sherborne victory, the Blue Mantles, Band of Brothers, and Incogniti provided wins for the School, while the heaviest defeats were experienced at the hands of the M.C.C., I.Z., and the Free Foresters, all of whom found run-getting an easy matter. Only two of this year's XI are staying on. S. T. S. Clarke, a slow left-hand bowler, will be captain in 1914, and R. Sherwell secretary. F. N. Sherwell came out top of the batting averages and played splendidly throughout the season. Next year a match has been arranged with Clifton, and it is hoped that it will be played at Lord's.

Sherborne. The Sherborne XI were a very good fielding side, a poor batting side, and had no bowlers who could be depended upon to keep a length. The match with Dulwich College was lost, 174 to 313, but it was closer than the score indicated, as Dulwich only passed the Sherborne score with three wickets in hand, but the last two wickets put on a good number of runs. The *Tonbridge* match was lost by an innings. Next year's captain is M. E. K. Westlake, who is a useful wicket-keeper, and should make an excellent captain while H. P. Clarke should get a lot of runs.

Radley had an excellent season in 1913. Both the School matches were won. Westminster were a strong side and when *Radley* were all out at *Radley* for 169 on a perfect wicket it was thought that it was all over. H. L. C. Aked then bowled three real beauties and O. A. Reid accomplished a remarkable piece of stumping, and four were down for 48. Rudd played a really gallant innings of 62 out of 80, but nine were out for 103. By plucky cricket the last pair took the score to 155 before Aked held a high return. Bradfield were routed. *Radley* made 299 for five, after losing Hardy and Gibbons for 19. Keller then played a splendid innings of 56, and Reid got a century in eighty minutes before the declaration was made. Bradfield's score was

135. The other wins were against Merton College, the Common Room, Christ Church, Worcester, and Free Foresters. A fine performance was the dismissal of an Authentic side, including A. C. Williamson, D. G. Wigan, G. R. Colman, P. Havelock Davies, and R. C. Burton, and other good cricketers for 169, on a good wicket. Radley, however, could only get 120: other losses were the Frogs (by two wickets), Keble, and M.C.C. The match with the Cryptics was a draw in Radley's favour. Only two of the Eleven have left, Reid and Keller. They were both fine boy cricketers, and the success of the side in batting was greatly due to them. Reid had an average of 43 and Keller 40. Reid is a fine wicket-keeper, and a consistent forcing bat, who was unlucky in hurting his finger in the match at Lord's. Keller almost played for Hants. in 1913. He has been promised a trial in 1914. He is a class bat with many strokes, and a beautiful out. He may be a really fine player if he can spare the time. Those who are left are mostly young, and H. L. C. Aked is the most promising of them. Those staying on are Gibbons, L. B. Hardy (useful but ugly bat), H. L. C. Aked (also a useful bat; he bowls right hand slow medium, and is very accurate), G. B. Cootie, G. H. C. Adams, and B. E. A. Marshall (both useful and promising bats, the latter especially), A. W. Lane Joynt (fast medium right with an in-swing), R. D. Bucknall (useful bat), and R. S. Mackames.

Bradfield did not have a very successful season. Out of thirteen matches played four were won, five, including the School match with Radley lost, and four drawn. There was plenty of individual talent, but the team as a whole did not work well together. A suitable pair of batsmen to start the innings could not be found, and in some of the matches there was spiritless batting. The bowling was more satisfactory, and many times opponents were got out for low scores. The fielding started badly but improved very much as time went on, largely due to the keenness of the captain, A. Gordon. He was the best all-round cricketer, but unfortunately in batting he did not fulfil the great promise of 1912. D. W. L. Jones headed the batting average with 43, and E. A. Worskett, another all-rounder on whom much work fell, had the good bowling average of thirty-four wickets for 18 apiece. A. Gordon is still at Bradfield, and there will be several old choices next year.

I generally have the pleasure of seeing *St. Paul's* play. This year, unfortunately, when they had a very good side, I was unable to go there. They were well captained by F. L. Wainwright, and can look back upon a most successful year. Of the ten matches played only two were lost and eight won, the latter including the two school matches against Dulwich and Brighton. The School won their first five matches off the reel v. a soratoh side by five runs, v. Eton Ramblers by 51 runs, v. I. Zingari by eight wickets, v.

Brighton College, who scored 52 and 165 for nine, to the School's 205 for seven, declared, and v. Mr. H. D. G. Leveson-Gower's XI by six wickets. The School then experienced an "off" day, and were rather severely defeated by the Oxford University Authentics, who had a good side. Dulwich College were then severely defeated by 221 runs. The other defeat was at the hands of a powerful side of Incogniti by 92 runs, R. O. Schwarz and A. R. Littlejohn—both Old Paulines—dismissing the School for 118. The season was wound up brilliantly, the M.C.C. being defeated by 62 runs and the Old Paulines by ten wickets.

A. J. W. Pearson had much to do with the success of the side, his slow break-bowling—he has a real command of the googly—continually meeting with success. His 64 wickets—a School record—were got for 10.70 apiece, and included eight for 74 against I Zingari, six for 35 against Dulwich, and 14 for 106 against the Old Paulines; he was splendidly supported behind the stumps by F. L. Wainwright—and for this type of bowler to have a stumper he can rely on is half the battle. Wainwright in all stumped 13 and caught 7. Of the other bowlers, J. T. A. Riddle bowled with success at the beginning of the season, and M. A. Ward came on at the end. W. A. Roberts is a useful left-hander with a natural swerve. In batting the School had a hard-hitting and forcing batsman in F. L. Wainwright, who did not meet with as much success as he deserved. C. H. L. Skeet and W. A. Crebbin were a fine first pair, and E. J. G. Gibb was very useful at first wicket. The fielding of the side was moderate with the exception of W. A. Crebbin and M. L. Hayne, who were brilliant at cover and point respectively. With C. H. L. Skeet as captain, A. J. W. Pearson, E. F. G. Gibb, J. Rayner, and W. A. Roberts back for 1914, *St. Paul's* may look forward to another successful season.

Dulwich were in many respects a good side and in the Gilligans had three boy cricketers above the average. Seven matches were won, namely, v. A. S. C.; Blackheath, a fine match and a great performance on the School's part, for Blackheath had a very strong side, Brighton College, Sherborne, E. G. Loudoun-Shand's XI, the Masters, and Old Wykehamists; *Dulwich* were beaten by Bedford Grammar School, *St. Paul's* M.C.C., West Kent, and Mr. G. L. Belham's XI. The games with Mr. R. S. B. Porter's XI, and Old Collegians were drawn. A. E. R. Gilligan will again be captain next year, and A. H. Gilligan, I. O. B. Shirley, and R. T. Lloyd also remain. A. E. R. Gilligan is a useful bowler, who may be really fast next year, and F. W. Gilligan was a really good wicket-keeper. The fielding of the side was better than they have shown for several years. Keeness was kept up to the very end of the match, whether the side were playing a winning or a losing game, and there was a dash about the ground fielding which *Dulwich* teams have very seldom shown.

The batting has been unusually consistent, and the last wicket stands were extraordinary. It was rather the bowling, which at first seemed likely to be strong, that failed. Unfortunately, nearly all the work had to be done by two bowlers. They did their part very well; but it is impossible for any pair of bowlers to be always in their best form. For some time past the school have nearly always had four bowlers to rely on, and it is a little unfortunate that the supply should have fallen short just when the cricket had improved so much in other respects. In one particular Dulwich were not seen to advantage. The running between the wickets was very hazardous, and useful wickets were thrown away when there was absolutely no need to take risks.

Brighton, after so good a side in 1912, had lost their two best cricketers, P. Havelock-Davies, who got his blue at Oxford, and A. J. Murdock, and it was hardly to be expected that they would do well. They won only two of sixteen matches, while twelve, including four School matches, Lancing, Dulwich, St. Paul's, and Christ's Hospital were lost, and two were drawn. The School beat Merchant Taylors' and a strong side of Old Brightonians, perhaps the best performance of the year.

Below I give a few quotations from an excellent criticism of the side in the school magazine. "The cricket team of 1913 was a very weak one, and not to be compared with the teams of the last two or three years. In the first place the bowling has been exceptionally weak, as a glance at the averages will prove in a minute. Our bowlers who have got wickets have been very expensive, and meritorious performances with the ball have been very few. The best effort was certainly the way in which Nutt ran through the Merchant Taylors' team, and it looked then as if he might be going to do some real good, but it was only a flash in the pan. Dawbarn has taken most wickets, but his uncertainty in direction and length made the cost rather heavy. None of the change bowlers are worth looking at at present, though a couple of them are young, and may come on in time. At the start of the season the batting looked as if it would be worth some runs. Shallow and Clarke are quite good bats. Dawbarn and Roberson are hitters of rather more than the average schoolboy ability. Smith made runs last year, and Johnson showed that he could drive a ball as far as most people. And yet the batting failed time after time, and 100 runs seemed too much to hope for from the whole team. To turn to a rather brighter picture, the fielding on the whole has been good. There has been plenty of keenness and plenty of practice, with the inevitable result of considerable improvement."

Eastbourne had a better season than the results—one win, ten losses, three draws—show. The School beat King's School,

Canterbury, but lost to Lancing and Christ's Hospital. Against M.C.C., Eastbourne made a record total for the School and a record individual score, J. R. Eagleton's 92. O. G. Hake, the captain, is the best wicket-keeper the side has had for years, and a punishing bat. Scott was a useful fast bowler. I do not know whether Lloyd George is a son of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, but part of his cricket character as given in the magazine—a cheery hitter, who is over-awed neither by names nor by crises, and a bowler who worries batsmen into missing him—might suggest it. Hake is captain next year, and Eagleton, T. F. Bryan Brown, and T. A. Ryder also remain.

The season of 1913 at *Dover College* was on the whole a distinct improvement on the previous one. The batting with several lapses, was yet very much better all round, and R. A. Dallass Brooks, with average of 45.08; and W. P. Nevill with 33.75, played very good cricket. The former, who is the sounder and steadier batsman of the two—played consistently well—though Nevill's hard hitting, when he got going, was very effective, and were his defence equally strong he would be very good. Brooks has another year, and should do very well. C. C. Cundall (20.53), who is also staying on, played several good innings, and is a good steady left hand bat, making a strong contrast to Nevill, with whom he opened the innings. Generally the bowling proved the weak spot. G. M. Moore bowled well at first, but lost his sting after two or three matches. Brooks and Cundall will be at Dover in 1914, with McLaren, Matthew, and Walmesley, and there are several promising colts.

Highgate were not a strong side, but were better than the results of matches would suggest. The chief fault was inexperience of match conditions, and there can be no doubt that a card which includes the M.C.C., the Wanderers, the Stoics, Southgate, Hampstead, Hornsey, etc., was somewhat stiff for a team whose average age was barely 16. Small wonder that the batting frequently broke down through sheer inability to treat the bowling on its merits.

Loup was easily the best bat, and should he outgrow a weakness on his leg stump may be good some day. Of the others, Williams (a late discovery), and Stacey were most useful. The bowling was not well handled. Buxton came out with the best figures, but Bluhm was not used enough, and instead of going on first was only given a chance when the batsmen were well set. Loup seemed afraid to pitch them up, and consequently did little but allow the batsman to get his eye in against slow long hops. The brightest spot of all was the wicket-keeping of Williams. As he is to be here next summer he should be one of the best keepers the School has ever had. Besides Williams there will probably be six old colours available, so that Highgate should be more successful next year.

The cricket season at *Mill Hill* has shown a great advance in form throughout the school. The eleven enjoyed a very successful year. Out of fourteen matches the first eleven have won eight and lost four, while of the School matches six were won, one lost, and one drawn. Of the eleven, two members stand out as all-rounders, D. B. Aitken, the captain, who proved the best bowler who has been at the school for some time, and a distinctly useful bat; and C. Griffith-Jones, who headed the averages and on many occasions was destructive with the ball. These were the first batsmen and the first bowlers.

The season at *Lancing* was very fairly successful. Of the ten matches played, four were won, four were lost, and the remaining two drawn. Those won were: Brighton College, Eastbourne College, Hurstpierpoint College, Eton Ramblers. Those lost were: Christ's Hospital, Old Reptonians, Steyning, and Sussex Martlets. The two drawn were: the Lancing Old Boys, and M.C.C. There are in all probability five old colours staying on till next year. They are: G. H. Heslop (who played in the Rest v. Public Schools, Lord's, 1913); D. F. Ferguson (hon. sec.); M. L. Hilder; K. M. L. K. Pierson; and A. Flowers.

Bedford Grammar School won three School matches with Dulwich, Leys, and Merchant Taylors', and the fourth with St. Paul's was drawn owing to rain—a rare complaint luckily last year—only a few minutes' play being possible. Among other matches, Keble and Christ's Colleges were beaten, and Mr F. A. S. Sewell's XI, M.C.C., St. John's College, and Bedfordshire Club and Ground beat the School, but in the latter match many of the side were away in the Army examination. In all seven matches were won and six lost. The batting depended largely on J. G. D. Weir, G. G. Field, and R. St. J. Dix. Weir was in great form in School matches, and knew better than anyone how to play a long innings. The bowling was stronger than expected. Weir, medium right, improved in control of the ball, and A. T. Simpson, medium left, did well at the other end. The fielding on the whole was not brilliant, but was reliable, though first-rate slips were wanted. Weir is spoken of as a real cricketer, a determined batsman, with strokes—some orthodox and some not—all round the wicket.

Wellingborough had another good side, though not the equal, perhaps, of that of 1912. They were too good for most of the schools which they played. In W. E. Hazelton they had one of the best school bowlers of the year, and he did well for Bucks in August. The batting was good, as the back play was so sound. In K. White (Surrey) average 60; A. D. Denton (Northants), W. E. Hazelton (Bucks), G. T. Carter, and S. T. Askham, there were five good bats, and all should do well in the future. The bowling was quite useful. Hazelton got 45 wickets for 14, and with good slip catchers would

do well in almost any company. He varies his pace and disguises his leg and off-break wonderfully well. Askham, fast medium right, too, is very useful, and will be quite good next year with more experience. The three slow bowlers, Carter, Wright, and Denton (lobs) are useful. The fielding was good, with Carter and White brilliant. All the side except Hazelton, C. W. A. Young, and C. A. Chalcraft are still at Wellingborough.

I have elicited no information from *Christ's Hospital* except results of school matches and some individual scores. From these it would appear the school must have had a strong side, and a fine batsman in the captain, W. J. Cullen, who got two centuries and four other scores over 50. All the school matches were won fairly comfortably:—City of London School by 193 runs on first innings; Lancing College, by four wickets and 155 runs on first innings; Eastbourne College, by 53 runs on first innings; Brighton College, by six wickets and 170 runs on first innings; Epsom College, by two wickets and 34 runs on 1st innings; Cranleigh School by three wickets and 153 runs on 1st innings. Old choices for next season are: J. H. E. Woods (captain); E. B. B. Speed; T. H. Evans; P. W. J. Stevenson; C. D. Shott; E. F. G. Haig; and H. L. G. Fry.

Although the matches won this season at *Forest School* were five, one more than last season, it cannot be said that the XI has done as well as it ought to have done, however, none of the School matches were lost. The team was capable of good things, and, incidentally, proved once more the old, old truth that bowling and fielding are even more important than batting for match winning purposes. Again and again chances of winning have been thrown away by the failure to accept early chances, many quite simple ones, given before the batsmen had got the pace of the pitch. Thompson and Taylor were the mainstay of the batting. The fielding was sometimes good, sometimes very much the reverse.

Loretto won the Championship of the Scotch Schools, and were a good side. In M. N. Gray they had a capable captain, who managed his bowling well. He is a good wicket-keep, but did not do himself justice in batting, partly owing to the adoption of a cramped style. When set he can score quickly. W. J. Braidmore and G. A. Turton were both useful cricketers, and L. M. Powell was the most finished player of the side and full of promise. He has all the offside strokes. Only W. Gray, who will be captain, and G. L. Whitelaw remain for 1914. The Eleven started well, winning the first eight matches with the exception of Clarendon. On July 4th and 5th the final school match with Fettes was played, which determined whether Loretto should be champions or not. This Loretto won by six wickets.

L. H. Methuen was the captain of the *Fettes' Eleven*. It was his third year in the team. At first only a bowler, he came on

last summer as a bat, and made many useful scores, including fifty against Edinburgh Academy in half-an-hour, when runs were badly needed and with not much time to spare. Although he bore the brunt of the attack, he was not better as a bowler than in previous years. He bowls right arm medium pace, with a good off-break. During his three seasons in the team he took about 100 wickets at an average cost of 17 runs each. C. G. V. Field was a good all rounder. A slow scorer, he invariably made runs. He was the best field in the team, occupying the position of cover-point. He was a useful bowler who had one great day of success, when, bowling for an hour and a half without a rest against Glenalmond, he took six wickets at a cost of five runs apiece. He made the highest score of the year for Fettes—80 in a club match. G. Russell was the best bat on the side. Left-handed, he possessed all the characteristics of his class, being especially strong on the leg side.

Considering that of the 1912 eleven there were only two members back, Methuen and Field, Fettes cannot be said to have done disgracefully last season, though they did do badly. The School match record looks very poor—two draws and two losses, but it would have taken very little to have turned this into three wins and one loss. Fettes were beaten by a better side in the most important match of the year, Loretto. Loretto were stronger than Fettes in every way. The two draws were against Academy and Merchiston. At Glenalmond there was a most remarkable match. Fettes made 172, and Glenalmond were left with plenty of time to get the runs. The score was up to 130 in an hour and a half before the second wicket fell. After this, however, the batting went to pieces and nine wickets were down for 158. The last pair made the runs (one of them being missed in the process), with no time to spare, as the winning hit was made off the last ball but one of the game. The last 40 runs had taken an hour and a half to make. The most important club match, with the Grange, was ruined owing to rain. Five caps will be back for 1914, when a good season is expected. R. A. Deans Ritchie will be captain, and will have with him: S. de P. Williamson, A. S. Lemaitre, E. H. P. Mallinson, G. S. Conway.

Edinburgh Academy. The School matches with Glenalmond, Watson's, and Merchiston were won; that with Fettes was drawn; and that with Loretto was lost. In a sense this year Edinburgh Academy were lucky only to lose one of these school matches, as they played very badly against Watson's and Fettes, as well as against Loretto. Yet all the time it was felt that the side had only to find its form to be quite one of the best the school have turned out. It was the failure of the batsmen, and especially Scott

and Ritchie, that let the side down, and it was most disappointing that when the last-named at last came out with a useful fifty against Loretto, there was no one capable of backing him up. M'Laren, Christison, and the other bowlers practically never failed to get opponents out for reasonable totals. The fielding, on the whole, was sound if not brilliant, and it is always consoling to think that it was not missed catches that made the difference between victory and defeat. Future prospects are distinctly bright, as there are six of this year's XI coming back in 1914. J. M'Laren was a really good bowler, keeping a good length and being able to keep up his form for many overs, and F. J. Christison might develop into a good left hander. He is a fine fielder.

From the other Scotch Schools I have no information, but I hear that in Alexander, Glenalmond had perhaps the best Scotch school-boy batsman.

I am not going to attempt to choose the best eleven from the various schools. The two elevens at Lord's with some exceptions, notably that of D. J. Knight, contained probably as nearly as possible the best cricketers, save perhaps in wicket-keeping pure and simple. As a whole I should judge that there were not as many really good elevens as in 1912. I give pride of place to Eton. There were many cricketers of great individual merit and of promise. Below are given lists of some of the leading school cricketers of the year; a table of results of some school matches, which is interesting as showing something of comparative form, and finally the Universities, etc., of some of those who are leaving. Before concluding, I must thank very sincerely the Masters and others who have so kindly supplied the information, without which this article could never be written.

OXFORD.

J. Heathcote-Amory, Eton.	A. de Selincourt, Rugby.
M. B. Burrows, Eton.	P. Boddington, Rugby.
R. C. Gull, Eton.	V. L. Ellis, Rossall.
M. K. Burge, Winchester.	R. E. Hodgson, Sherborne.
G. B. F. Rudd (Leicestershire), Westminster.	D. W. L. Jones, Bradfield.
C. Hurst Brown, Westminster.	W. A. Crebbin, St. Paul's.
L. C. D. Bovey, Westminster.	L. F. Machin, Lancing.
F. W. Pink, Westminster.	C. J. Comins, Dulwich
C. E. S. Rucker, Charterhouse.	F. W. Gilligan (Surrey), Dulwich
B. H. Bennett, Charterhouse.	A. H. Killick, Dulwich.
M. Howell (Surrey), Repton.	J. R. Ritchie, Loretto.
D. J. Knight (Surrey), Malvern.	F. J. Christison, Edinburgh Academy.
G. L. Jackson (Derbyshire), Harrow.	H. P. Ritchie, Edinburgh Academy.
E. F. Buller (Devon), Harrow.	G. Hamilton Fletcher, Eton.
J. Stirling, Harrow.	

CAMBRIDGE.

G. K. Dunning, Eton.	G. G. Blair, Sherborne.
L. C. Leggatt, Eton	D. O. Lumley, Sherborne.
P. W. G. Kann, Westminster.	C. R. Gush, Bradfield.
P. S. Pearce, Charterhouse.	C. G. Matthew, Lancing.
J. H. Fosdick, Charterhouse.	W. P. Nevill, Dover.
E. E. Wynne, Uppingham.	C. L. Mackay (Gloucestershire), Clifton.
J. G. Stephen, Uppingham.	L. H. Methuen, Fettes.
J. R. M. Lawrence (Middlesex), Haileybury.	C. V. G. Field, Fettes.
F. Brundrett, Rossall.	W. J. M. Beardmore, Loretto.
J. L. Wood, Rossall.	L. M. Powell, Loretto.
R. E. Boucher, Rossall.	J. F. Farmer, Loretto.
P. G. Knott, Tonbridge.	E. G. Bartlett, Wellington.
F. W. Musson (Lancashire), Tonbridge.	J. W. E. Miller, Edinburgh Academy.
E. A. Casswell, Tonbridge	

WOOLWICH.

L. T. Morshead, Winchester.	T. Lyon Smith, Uppingham.
A. S. Dallas, Charterhouse.	H. L. Palmer, Clifton.

SANDHURST.

R. E. Naylor, Eton.	G. C. Firbank, Cheltenham. (probably).
G. S. Gregson Ellis, Charterhouse.	R. E. le Fleming, Tonbridge
W. F. L. Oliver, Marlborough.	E. S. Kerr, Dover.
L. F. Marson (Surrey), Haileybury.	L. H. T. Powell, Clifton.

COUNTY QUALIFICATION.

P. F. C. Cobbold (Suffolk), Westminster.	N. M. Hughes-Hallett (Derbyshire), Haileybury.
J. W. McCulloch (Middlesex), Westminster.	P. Dempsey (Sussex), Shrewsbury.
A. N. Odling (Middlesex), Malvern.	R. C. Keller (Hampshire), Radley.
C. N. B. Hill (Derbyshire), Malvern.	

RESULTS OF MATCHES.

Eton beat Harrow.	Sherborne lost to Tonbridge.
Eton beat Winchester.	Radley beat Westminster.
Westminster lost to Radley.	Radley beat Bradfield.
Westminster beat Charterhouse.	Bradfield lost to Radley.
Charterhouse lost to Westminster.	St. Paul's beat Brighton.
Charterhouse beat Wellington.	St. Paul's beat Dulwich.
Marlborough lost to Rugby.	Brighton beat Merchant Taylors'
Marlborough lost to Cheltenham.	Brighton lost to Christ's Hospital
Clifton lost to Cheltenham.	Brighton lost to Dulwich.
Clifton lost to Rugby.	Brighton lost to Lancing.
Cheltenham lost to Haileybury.	Brighton lost to St. Paul's.
Cheltenham beat Marlborough.	Lancing beat Brighton.
Cheltenham beat Clifton.	Lancing beat Eastbourne.
Repton drew with Uppingham.	Lancing beat Hurstpierpoint.
Repton drew with Malvern.	Lancing lost to Christ's Hospital.
Malvern drew with Repton.	Dulwich beat Sherborne.
Uppingham beat Shrewsbury.	Dulwich beat Brighton.
Uppingham drew with Repton.	Dulwich lost to Bedford Grammar School.
Uppingham lost to Haileybury.	Dulwich lost to St. Paul's.
Haileybury lost to Wellington.	Eastbourne beat King's School, Canterbury.
Haileybury beat Uppingham.	Eastbourne lost to Lancing.
Haileybury beat Cheltenham.	Eastbourne lost to Christ's Hospital.
Rugby beat Clifton.	Bedford beat Dulwich.
Rugby beat Marlborough.	Bedford beat Leys.
Shrewsbury beat Rossall.	Bedford beat Merchant Taylors'.
Shrewsbury lost to Uppingham.	
Shrewsbury lost to Sedbergh.	
Tonbridge beat Sherborne.	
Sherborne lost to Dulwich	

SOME SCHOOL PLAYERS OF THE YEAR.

Batsmen.

†M. B. Burrows (Eton).	J. L. Bryan (Rugby)
R. C. Gull (Eton).	†G. P. D. Taylor (Cheltenham)
*L. C. Leggatt (Eton).	H. L. A. Du Boulay (Cheltenham)
R. C. Hamilton (Winchester)	F. Brundrett (Rossall)
J. W. McGulloch (Westminster)	M. C. Dempsey (Shrewsbury)
*M. Howell (Repton).	R. N. Sherwell (Tonbridge)
†J. Howell (Repton)	F. Sherwell (Tonbridge)
D. J. Knight (Malvern).	†G. W. E. Whitehead (Clifton)
L. F. Marson (Haileybury).	K. White (Wellingborough)
J. R. M. Lawrence (Haileybury).	W. J. Cullen (Christ's Hospital)
*G. Wilson (Harrow).	*C. N. B. Hill (Malvern)
†C. P. Johnstone (Rugby)	

Bowlers.

†J. Heathcote-Amory (Eton)	†N. M. Hughes-Hallett (Haileybury).
P. F. C. Cobbold (Westminster)	J. S. Poole (Rugby).
†C. E. S. Rucker (Charterhouse)	R. T. Bryan (Rugby)
R. D. Busk (Marlborough)	*A. J. W. Pearson (St. Paul's).
J. G. Stephen (Uppingham)	†N. C. Macfayden (Cheltenham).

All-Round Cricketers.

F. L. Johnstone (Eton).	F. L. Wainwright (St. Paul's).
G. de L. Hough (Winchester).	A. E. R. Gilligan (Dulwich).
†G. B. F. Rudd (Westminster).	*G. H. Heslop (Lancing).
G. L. Jackson (Harrow).	*D. B. Aitken (Mill Hill).
†E. T. Buller (Harrow).	C. L. Mackay (Clifton).
†O. A. Reid (Radley).	J. G. D. Weir (Bedford Grammar School).
*F. W. Musson (Tonbridge).	*W. E. Hazelton (Wellingborough).
A. Gordon (Bradfield).	

Wicket-keepers.

A. N. Odling (Malvern).	*F. W. Musson (Tonbridge).
R. E. Naylor (Eton).	†O. A. Reid (Radley).
J. H. Fosdick (Charterhouse).	F. L. Wainwright (St. Paul's).
R. A. T. Miller, (Uppingham).	F. W. Gilligan (Dulwich).

* Played in first school match at Lord's.

† Played in both school matches at Lord's.

AVERAGES.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. A. Fawcus	20	570	87	2	31.66
T. E. B. Reid	19	452	119	0	23.78
F. J. Hart	7	126	37	1	21.00
T. S. Leach	9	118	29*	3	19.66
W. L. Nelson	20	305	59	2	16.94
A. S. Nolan	10	93	26	4	15.50
T. M. Rawlins	17	243	78	0	14.28
C. B. G. Hunter	20	245	65*	2	13.61
H. J. Gibbon	8	59	23	2	9.83
D. G. R. Geddes	18	125	17	2	7.81
T. G. Bennett	13	78	31	3	7.80

* Signifies not out.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. L. Nelson	299	50	858	56	15.32
T. M. Rawlins	253.3	43	845	49	17.24
E. A. Fawcus	152.4	29	420	24	17.66
D. G. R. Geddes	119	16	378	19	19.80
A. S. Nolan	58	10	184	9	20.44

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. H. Hayes	11	186	57	0	16.90
R. V. Giles	12	131	36	0	10.91
F. M. Hobbs	9	85	39	1	10.62
E. H. Foster	7	54	39*	1	9.00
C. H. Russell	12	82	26	2	8.20
G. C. Miller	11	86	25	0	7.81
G. N. A. Cursons	6	23	10*	3	7.66
W. J. E. Ross	11	81	31	0	7.33
G. C. Symington	12	76	27	0	6.33
H. West	4	22	8	0	5.50
E. H. Ancott	5	20	15	2	5.00
H. F. Dubuis	10	35	12	1	3.88

* Signifies not out.

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. H. Hayes	100	19	444	17	26.10
R. V. Giles	106	14	476	18	26.39
F. M. Hobbs	68.5	4	338	11	30.77
E. H. Ancott	20	0	112	2	56.00

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. G. D. Weir	13	394	87	1	32.83
R. St. J. Dix	16	334	51	1	22.27
G. G. Field	16	298	69	1	19.86
H. L. Holland	12	185	85	1	16.91
G. F. Morse	13	219	55	0	16.85
J. E. D. Lambert	13	177	54	2	16.09
L. E. Macgregor	7	75	33*	1	12.50
W. F. Garraway	11	90	63*	2	10.00
A. G. Sturgeon	11	63	15*	4	9.00
I. C. Cameron	5	33	17	1	8.25
A. B. T. Simpson	8	53	13*	1	7.57

* Signifies not out.

BEDFORD GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. G. Field	32.5	4	133	11	12.09
A. B. T. Simpson	129.5	19	394	32	12.31
J. G. D. Weir	205.3	45	607	36	16.86
A. G. Sturgeon	101.3	16	363	12	30.25

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
B. L. Rogers	12	286	55	1	26.00
F. W. Pearson	13	230	77*	2	20.91
O. D. Jones	12	204	55	0	17.00
J. P. L. Hobbs	13	217	58	0	16.69
H. J. A. Watson	10	99	27	3	14.14
H. Day	12	148	37	0	13.45
H. Bovell-Jones	12	144	38	0	12.00
C. L. Carter	10	106	20	1	11.78
W. E. Palmer	8	76	35	1	10.86
F. N. Palmer	11	83	23	1	8.50

* Signifies not out.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
B. L. Rogers	231.1	43	740	56	13.21
C. D. Jones	146.1	32	478	25	19.12
W. E. Palmer	134.1	27	511	25	20.42

BERKHAMPSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
D. C. Knott	17	271	48*	2	18.07
C. T. Blackburn	17	293	62	0	17.24
E. T. Thurlow	17	253	41	2	16.87
E. D. Clixby	14	153	60	3	14.36
V. J. Matthews	12	94	20	5	13.43
A. S. Hinshelwood	17	221	36	0	13.00
C. W. Swinford	7	91	45	0	13.00
J. N. Morley	13	103	17*	1	8.58
O. E. Glenister	13	63	20*	3	6.30
E. A. Pickard- Cambridge	7	33	22	0	4.71
R. L. Cunby	12	27	6*	4	3.37

* Signifies not out.

BERKHAMPSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. E. Glenister	169.4	18	551	35	15.74
E. A. Pickard- Cambridge	26	4	106	6	17.66
E. D. Clixby	219.1	33	838	45	18.60
E. T. Thurlow	88	3	361	16	22.56
A. S. Hinshelwood	44.1	2	193	8	24.12
V. J. Matthews	80	6	360	13	27.55

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an Innings	Times not out	Average
D. D. Gracey	16	521	121	0	32.56
R. D. Pryce Jenkin	14	320	105	1	23.31
H. W. Littleton-Geach	16	269	45	2	19.21
J. S. Pratt	17	287	70	0	16.88
H. W. H. Consideine	8	134	32	0	16.75
J. J. Lean	14	128	34	6	16.00
H. H. O'Leary	12	166	46	0	13.83
R. B. Hay	16	155	37	4	12.92
C. H. Morgan	14	160	43	0	11.43
V. O. E. Bracey	11	120	29	0	10.91
R. G. M. Southey	13	86	21	6	9.43
S. J. S. Cox	10	86	47	0	8.60
H. M. Hinde	9	73	22	0	8.11

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. M. Hinde	153.3	32	461	36	12.81
R. G. M. Southey	180.1	28	683	44	15.06
S. J. S. Cox	36	1	141	8	17.62
J. S. Pratt	63	2	286	15	19.07
H. W. Littleton-Geach	252	31	682	44	21.18

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
D. W. L. Jones	9	304	119	2	43.42
P. H. Cox	12	241	43	2	24.10
H. P. L. Robers	13	247	88*	2	22.45
E. A. Worskett	13	255	88	0	19.61
L. St. J. de Moubray	10	109	35*	4	18.16
A. H. Brooke	7	87	52*	1	14.50
A. Gordon	12	142	51*	2	14.20
P. Dyson	13	177	59	0	13.61
C. R. Gush	9	111	51	0	12.33
J. S. Goslett	5	49	29	1	12.25
R. P. Dunnett	7	66	33	0	9.42
S. H. Porsse	3	16	13*	1	8.00
G. S. Lewis	6	21	14*	3	7.00
E. Lattay	2	3	3	0	1.50

* Signifies not out.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. H. Cox	58.2	7	276	19	14.52
E. A. Worskett	175.2	28	644	34	18.82
G. S. Lewis	53.3	15	218	11	19.81
H. P. L. Roberts	25	1	100	5	20.00
A. Gordon	164.2	37	533	26	20.50
E. Lattey	24	4	106	4	26.50
D. W. L. Jones	20	3	118	3	39.33

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. M. Dawbarn	22	610	100	0	27.72
A. R. G. Roberson	22	436	59	1	20.76
D. S. Johnson	21	339	81	3	18.83
P. C. Williams	19	314	42	1	17.44
D. H. Clarke	22	347	54	1	16.52
C. R. Shallow	15	217	34	0	14.46
F. H. Higlett	13	102	23	3	10.20
A. H. Isaac	20	171	25	1	9.00
T. E. Bourdillon	18	133	21	2	8.31
M. Smith	21	147	52	1	7.35
G. Nutt	18	47	13	10	5.87

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. H. Higlett	51	1	253	16	15.81
D. H. Clarke	25.3	3	108	6	18.00
A. H. Isaac	76.3	1	397	16	24.81
G. M. Dawbarn	235	22	1257	46	27.32
G. Nutt	150	15	660	23	28.69
T. E. Bourdillon	135.4	9	535	15	35.66

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
N. Russell	22	542	66	2	27.10
N. B. Munn	22	399	61	1	19.00
T. M. Greeves	20	300	53	0	15.00
R. C. Pegg	22	256	61	3	13.47
H. N. Gordon	17	141	36	5	11.75
A. G. Mitchell	19	163	29*	4	10.87
R. T. R. MacManaway	18	117	26	1	6.90
R. E. W. Semple	14	41	12*	0	5.12
R. Greacer	15	55	12	1	3.92
G. J. D. White	12	43	11	1	3.90
J. T. Smyth	15	49	13	2	3.76

* Signifies not out.

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. C. Pegg	50.4	3	165	15	11.00
G. J. D. White	99.1	16	391	33	11.84
J. T. Smyth	199	28	654	53	12.34
R. E. W. Semple	258.5	58	765	58	13.24
N. Russell	45.3	4	169	7	21.14

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. H. Strachan	13	404	122*	4	44.88
G. S. L. Gregson Ellis	9	206	74	2	29.42
C. E. S. Rucker	12	276	103	1	25.09
B. H. Bennett	13	302	90	0	23.23
G. H. Fosdick	14	302	73	1	23.23
L. D. B. Monier					
Williams	5	81	23*	1	20.25
R. S. Pearce	5	100	40	0	20.00
G. V. Hinds	14	180	40*	4	18.00
A. M. Walters	12	213	37	0	17.75
P. S. Hadley	7	60	23	2	12.00
A. S. Dallas	8	15	6	2	2.50

* Signifies not out.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. S. Hadley	138	19	517	26	19.91
A. S. Dallas	235.4	19	954	46	20.74
C. E. S. Rucker	165	38	579	22	26.31
G. S. L. Gregson Ellis	97	12	417	15	27.80
R. S. Pearce	33.1	2	180	5	36.00

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. P. B. Taylor	16	607	140	0	37.93
H. L. H. Du Boulay	16	453	67	1	30.20
C. B. Matthews	7	133	38	1	22.16
J. A. Sanger	16	322	95	0	20.12
R. A. E. Blake	18	252	62	0	15.75
G. O. Firbank	15	171	47	2	13.15
S. H. Gudgeon	9	102	27	1	12.75
F. C. Troup	11	122	25	0	11.09
N. A. Coxwell-Rogers	12	125	48	0	10.41
E. Donovan	11	49	20*	6	9.80
N. D. Macfadyen	15	130	33	1	9.28
O. A. H. Hillier	10	89	28	0	8.90

* Signifies not out.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. Donovan	186	27	642	33	19.45
G. C. Firbank	103.2	8	493	20	24.65
N. D. Macfadyen	184.3	22	763	27	28.25
R. A. E. Blake	31	0	181	5	36.20
N. A. Coxwell-Rogers	79	2	347	7	49.59

Public School Averages.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
W. J. Cullen	11	554	109	1	55.40
D. A. Wright	10	273	111*	2	34.12
C. D. Shott	7	57	19*	5	28.50
H. S. Bridel	12	299	105*	1	27.18
F. B. B. Speed	12	227	88*	1	20.64
R. J. D. Teague	13	252	85	0	19.38
J. H. E. Teague	11	163	58	2	18.11
T. H. Evans	9	94	19*	3	15.67
P. W. J. Stevenson	10	129	25	1	14.33
E. F. G. Haig	7	69	42	1	11.50
H. L. G. Fry	8	25	9	1	3.57

* Signifies not out.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. J. Cullen	84	21	230	19	12.11
H. S. Bridel	228.2	59	577	45	12.82
P. W. J. Stevenson	53.1	4	193	15	12.87
J. H. E. Woods	94.4	19	221	16	13.81
C. D. Shott	78.1	13	259	15	17.27

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. A. Kramer	12	346	113	1	31.45
A. C. Grass	12	220	55	0	18.33
G. de G. Barkas	13	217	37	0	16.69
G. H. C. Lewis	9	84	23*	3	14.00
R. G. E. Laird	13	138	33	2	12.54
J. Easton	7	72	23	1	12.00
F. J. Miller	11	81	18	3	10.12
W. V. Wheatley	13	120	33	1	10.00
C. E. B. St. Rub	10	45	16	0	4.50
H. E. Pike	12	35	5*	3	3.88
J. S. Mackintosh	12	46	16	0	3.83

* Signifies not out.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. G. E. Laird	57	5	218	17	12.82
G. H. C. Lewis	118	19	418	26	16.07
W. V. Wheatley	162	23	521	32	16.28
J. S. Mackintosh	92	15	363	19	19.10
G. de G. Barkas	45	2	128	7	28.00

Public School Averages.

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. W. E. Whitehead	17	701	158*	2	46.73
C. L. Mackay	15	400	71	4	36.33
H. H. L. Higgins	14	230	65	2	19.16
S. B. Morgan	14	217	35	2	18.06
E. H. McKay	14	229	54	1	17.61
D. G. James	6	76	40*	1	15.20
G. S. C. Hughes-					
Games	12	79	24	5	11.28
R. B. C. Kennedy	16	163	34	1	10.86
A. A. Leslie	14	126	33	0	9.00
H. L. Palmer	12	62	29	3	6.89
L. H. Y. Pownall	9	57	29	0	6.33

* Signifies not out.

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. L. Mackay	84.4	17	309	20	15.45
G. S. C. Hughes-					
Games	123.1	21	431	24	17.96
G. W. E. Whitehead	89.3	5	376	17	22.12
S. B. Morgan	190	39	615	26	23.65
H. L. Palmer	158.3	24	561	22	25.50

DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. W. Knight	9	216	51	0	24.00
B. Hall	9	182	71	0	20.20
G. L. Tomkins	9	110	29	2	15.71
L. B. Helder	9	132	63	0	14.67
W. Hall	9	106	32	1	13.85
A. E. Barlow	9	84	27	0	9.30
E. P. Cross	9	59	21	2	8.41
W. S. Baker	8	52	18*	1	7.43
R. G. Bugg	7	28	11	1	4.67
C. W. Rowland	6	18	8	1	3.60
M. S. Taylor	5	14	5	1	3.50
J. F. Menzies	3	8	6	0	2.67

* Signifies not out.

DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. G. Taylor	111.3	11	255	20	12.75
W. Hall	81	3	85	5	17.00
C. W. Rowland	74	7	192	10	19.20
G. L. Tomkins	23	3	79	4	19.75
J. W. Knight	97	10	314	15	20.93
B. Hall	6.4	2	40	4	10.00
R. G. Bugg	7	1	43	4	10.75

DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
R. A. Brooks	15	541	121	3	45.08
W. P. Nevill	16	540	107	0	33.75
C. C. Cundall	16	308	65*	1	20.53
E. S. Kerr	14	182	48*	2	15.16
C. S. J. Berkeley	13	164	59	1	13.66
G. M. Moore	13	172	43	0	13.23
W. N. Gale	14	150	41	2	12.50
F. C. G. Laskworthy	11	137	83	0	12.45
J. McLaren	12	106	27*	1	9.63
H. G. Matthew	5	37	23	0	7.40
A. W. Walmsley	10	39	11	1	4.33

* Signifies not out.

DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. McLaren	116	0	232	15	15.46
E. S. Kerr	77	0	314	18	17.44
R. A. D. Brooke	77.1	0	218	11	19.81
W. P. Nevill	99	0	425	21	20.23
G. M. Moore	106	0	421	17	24.76

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. J. DrUITT	9	348	85	0	38.66
T. F. Martin	9	207	88*	1	25.87
D. W. Daly	9	222	104	0	24.66
Y. D. Marley	9	169	72	0	18.77
H. P. Shine	8	146	35	0	18.25
C. A. Vian	8	121	55*	0	15.12
C. W. Daly	9	118	54*	1	14.75
P. F. O'Driscoll	6	49	16	2	12.25
R. B. Stokes	7	44	10*	2	8.80
W. H. Smith-Grant	3	17	12	0	5.66
B. O'F. Gregory	4	11	5*	2	5.50

* Signifies not out.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. W. Daly	18.5	2	112	8	14.00
E. J. DrUITT	33.5	1	163	10	16.30
B. O'F. Gregory	39	6	175	10	17.50
H. P. Shine	77	18	308	16	19.25
P. F. O'Driscoll	77.1	9	370	12	30.83
C. A. Vian	32	1	154	4	38.50

DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. W. Gilligan	15	447	70	1	31.92
F. H. Keatch	12	263	107*	3	20.22
A. H. Gilligan	15	427	114	0	28.46
W. G. O. Gill	15	398	113	0	26.53
C. J. Comins	13	214	69	4	23.77
I. O. B. Shirley	10	132	68*	4	22.00
E. W. Waite	13	185	64	3	18.50
A. H. Killick	14	193	45*	3	17.54
A. P. Shaw	12	181	62	1	16.45
A. E. R. Gilligan	15	200	36	1	14.28
R. T. Lloyd	15	191	43	0	12.73

* Signifies not out.

DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. E. R. Gilligan	280.4	55	851	59	14.42
A. H. Gilligan	191.3	23	772	44	17.54
F. H. Keatch	81.2	10	289	14	20.64
E. W. Waite	69.5	4	348	15	23.20
C. J. Comins	58	7	238	7	34.00

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
O. G. Hake	16	362	59	0	23.00
T. A. Ryder	14	259	92	1	19.92
G. H. Hopewell	16	230	39	2	16.43
J. R. Eagleton	16	243	92	0	15.19
G. E. Goolden	15	202	44	0	13.47
G. Lloyd George	16	212	44	0	13.25
E. G. Passingham	13	113	22*	1	9.42
A. E. M. Scott	12	83	17	1	7.55
A. J. Crosse	15	54	16	7	6.75
R. W. Reed	13	50	14	5	6.25

* Signifies not out.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. A. Ryder	152.2	10	740	42	17.62
A. E. M. Scott	118.2	16	498	24	20.75
G. E. Goolden	33	6	151	7	21.57
G. Lloyd George	90	11	385	15	25.67
G. H. Hopewell	86	9	391	13	30.08
R. W. Reed	168	24	674	20	33.70

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. J. Christison	16	436	103	0	27.25
J. W. E. Miller	13	303	133	1	25.25
R. D. Stevenson	6	88	18*	4	19.00
J. M'Laren	16	276	61	0	17.25
T. C. Kennedy	16	273	62	0	17.06
T. Scott	16	263	50	0	16.44
A. H. Douglas	14	164	48	3	14.91
G. H. Buscke	9	77	41*	3	12.83
H. P. Ritchie	16	189	32	1	12.60
C. J. S. Fraser	14	125	39	4	12.50
S. M. Ferguson	14	116	23*	4	11.60
W. P. Paterson	16	172	49	1	11.47

* Signifies not out.

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. M'Laren	301.1	81	690	70	9.86
F. J. Christison	126.5	22	412	36	11.44
C. J. S. Fraser	111.2	12	389	23	13.89
T. Scott	23.2	4	70	5	14.00
T. C. Kennedy	97.3	18	302	18	16.78

ELSTOW SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
N. W. Beeson	8	261	82*	1	37.28
J. Spiers	7	181	57	0	18.72
L. E. Steventon	5	71	35	1	17.75
J. Stubbs	8	133	35	0	16.62
D. Gibson	7	64	20	3	16.00
L. Bull	8	107	34*	1	15.28
B. P. Richards	3	23	18	1	14.00
D. G. McIntyre	8	96	51	0	12.00
J. Bryans	6	54	38	0	9.00
G. E. Sebright	6	32	18	2	8.00
J. P. Barron	5	25	9	0	5.00
O. E. J. McOustra	5	17	9*	1	4.25

* Signifies not out.

ELSTOW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. Bryans	88.1	17	275	17	16.17
N. W. Beeson	49	3	199	12	16.58
J. P. Barron	100.1	15	378	21	18.00
J. Spiers	76	9	267	11	24.27

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. G. Taylor	11	251	89	0	22.83
A. F. C. Paxton	10	215	96	0	21.50
A. H. Date	10	144	35	3	20.57
F. Caldecott	10	162	54	0	16.20
W. R. Ranson	11	150	46	0	13.63
D. Nicholson	6	66	27	1	13.20
T. C. H. Lucas	9	80	33	2	11.42
A. S. Hands	9	84	22	0	9.35
R. G. Morrison	10	82	37	0	8.20
C. P. H. Duncan	8	38	19	1	5.42
D. P. Irving	5	12	6	0	2.40

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. G. Morrison	154.2	25	510	34	15.00
H. G. Taylor	85	19	296	19	15.58
D. Nicholson	124.1	8	523	32	16.50
L. W. Hefferman	64.3	9	245	11	22.27

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
M. B. Burrows	13	496	94	2	45.09
F. L. Johnstone	10	290	94	1	32.22
G. K. Dunning	9	211	57	2	30.14
L. C. Leggatt	13	296	74	0	26.90
J. H. Amory	7	184	61	0	26.29
R. C. Gull	14	357	89	0	25.50
T. E. Nugent	6	118	44	1	23.60
G. S. Rawstorne	14	266	105	2	22.17
R. E. Naylor	9	140	42	2	20.00
R. A. Foster	7	55	22	4	18.33
G. Hamilton-Fletcher	12	71	21	1	6.45

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. K. Dunning	103	22	312	19	16.42
L. C. Leggatt	60	6	232	13	17.84
J. H. Amory	253	63	716	40	17.90
F. L. Johnstone	170	33	443	22	20.14
R. A. Foster	110	20	314	15	20.93

Public School Averages.

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. J. Ord-Bell	8	246	81	0	30.86
J. G. Dixon	12	327	152	0	27.25
A. Macleod	11	296	95	0	26.91
E. K. Tolhurst	11	237	100*	1	23.70
A. B. Cumming	6	47	20	4	23.50
E. W. C. Wace	10	190	54	1	21.11
C. H. S. Haygarth	12	232	66	0	19.33
G. O. Kitchingman	11	210	92	0	19.10
R. H. T. Rowley	7	76	28	4	19.00
K. M. Farnloe	7	100	40	1	16.66
V. S. Woodiwiss	10	122	42	2	15.25
R. E. Hopkins	9	81	19	2	4.43

* Signifies not out.

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. B. Cumming	75.1	17	262	19	13.79
A. H. T. Rowley	82.2	14	318	20	15.90
J. G. Dixon	189.1	37	695	37	18.78
C. H. S. Haygarth	112.1	20	491	24	20.42

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. Russell	20	369	74	0	18.45
C. V. G. Field	18	316	80	0	17.50
L. H. Methuen	19	256	50	4	17.00
W. A. Smith	15	239	48	1	17.00
R. Ross	20	275	50	0	13.50
W. H. Ritchie	7	54	22	3	13.50
A. S. Le Maitre	15	132	24	5	13.20
R. A. D. Ritchie	19	225	49	1	12.50
S. de T. Williamson	20	217	36	0	10.85
E. H. P. Mallinson	16	119	31	4	9.92
G. S. Conway	12	63	23	0	5.25

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. S. Le Maitre	139	19	449	25	18.00
L. H. Methuen	220.8	27	719	39	18.26
C. V. G. Field	94	21	276	13	21.23
E. H. P. Mallinson	150	23	547	25	21.88
R. Ross	84	11	367	13	23.60

Public School Averages.

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. V. Thompson	15	542	111*	1	33.71
A. O'D. Taylor	16	514	160*	2	36.71
S. H. Waugh	16	354	75	1	23.60
H. P. Waugh	14	200	58	3	18.19
F. T. Hawkins	13	193	43	2	17.54
K. C. Shuttleworth	10	83	38*	5	16.60
C. P. Johnson	14	212	56	0	15.14
C. B. Barlow	15	164	40	3	13.66
R. B. E. Upton	12	162	42	0	13.50
L. B. Corry-Smith	9	74	31*	3	12.33
D. A. Brett	13	121	28	2	11.00
C. M. Skottowe	7	26	13	1	4.33

* Signifies not out.

FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. O'D. Taylor	43.3	7	141	11	12.82
R. B. E. Upton	173.3	38	533	34	15.67
L. B. Corry-Smith	62	19	208	11	18.90
C. V. Thompson	239.1	28	980	48	20.41
O. M. Skottowe	98	21	353	17	20.76

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. K. Bridgnell	20	577	73	0	23.85
R. F. Fitzherbert	20	333	77*	2	18.50
J. G. Bullen	18	300	66	0	17.17
J. C. Hobart	18	285	52*	1	16.76
P. M. Kitwood	18	223	58*	4	15.93
F. D. Billham	20	229	41	1	12.05
T. J. G. Sullivan	19	206	35	1	11.44
C. L. Waddell	10	87	25*	2	10.87
G. F. Barton	19	112	35	8	10.18
I. S. Mann	18	167	44	1	9.82
E. G. Joyce	20	181	31	0	9.05

* Signifies not out.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. C. Hobart	20	6	53	7	7.57
C. K. Bridgnell	285.4	70	829	73	11.37
F. D. Billham	28	1	110	8	13.75
E. G. Joyce	247.4	44	314	50	16.28
G. F. Barton	127	14	553	30	18.43

Public School Averages.

GLENALMOND SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. W. A. Alexander..	5	204	71	1	51.00
J. Findlay	5	149	51	0	29.80
W. E. Peirie	5	68	53	0	13.60
J. C. H. Fenne	5	62	47	0	12.40
G. A. A. McGregor..	3	26	14	0	8.66
H. R. Skinner	5	37	17	0	7.40
W. F. Bushe	5	22	11	2	7.33
K. I. G. Matheson...	5	35	14	0	7.00
M. E. J. Moore	4	25	11	1	6.25
G. H. Crick	4	11	6*	1	2.66
		13	10	0	3.25

* Signifies not out.

GLENALMOND SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. W. A. Alexander..	72	20	132	14	9.42
M. E. J. Moore	58.1	13	154	13	11.69
G. H. Crick	27.2	3	81	4	20.25
H. R. Skinner	31.0	1	115	4	28.75

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
N. M. Hughes-Hallett	13	442	93	2	40.18
L. F. Marson	13	454	76	1	37.83
J. R. M. Lawrence ..	12	357	126	0	29.83
N. M. Carsbars	12	252	97	3	28.00
G. L. Butler	11	258	61*	1	25.80
H. D. Baker	12	299	139	0	24.92
A. B. Thorne	10	304	64	0	23.38
A. P. Lillie	10	129	36	2	16.12
E. A. Sparks	5	61	29	1	15.25
W. H. G. Heath	8	36	12*	1	12.00
	1	1	1	0	1.00

* Signifies not out.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. B. Thorne	107	9	375	22	17.04
E. A. Sparks	73	5	319	17	18.76
N. M. Carsbars	212	29	826	39	21.18
N. M. Hughes-Hallett	228	52	745	29	25.69

Public School Averages.

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. Wilson	14	535	173	1	41.11
E. T. Buller	14	211	61*	2	17.58
K. E. Brown	8	48	13*	5	16.00
R. G. de Uphaugh ..	15	216	57	1	15.12
G. A. I. Dury	11	158	47	0	14.35
G. E. Thompson	11	129	46	1	12.90
G. L. Jackson	14	159	37	1	12.13
R. H. Makant	13	136	46	1	11.33
E. H. Le Marchant ..	9	95	45	0	10.50
J. Stirling	13	124	38	1	10.33
J. S. O. Oates	8	21	8	4	5.50

* Signifies not out.

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. K. Makant	124.4	32	383	23	16.06
G. A. I. Dury	59	6	242	14	17.02
E. T. Buller	71.5	6	401	13	23.01
G. L. Jackson	134.1	29	440	19	24.44
K. E. Brown	78.5	15	274	11	24.90
E. H. Le Marchant ..	82.5	7	312	10	31.02

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. D. Loup	16	445	65	1	29.66
E. W. Caslon	16	234	46	2	16.71
D. H. Tremellen	13	182	76*	1	15.16
W. L. Buxton	15	192	60	1	13.71
J. D. Stacey	14	188	46	0	13.43
A. I. M. Williams ..	6	56	23	1	11.20
T. G. Lindley	14	144	33	0	10.29
R. C. Bluhm	10	60	27*	4	10.00
W. J. Coltman	16	145	44	0	9.08
G. Scott	16	67	15	0	4.19
A. V. Bauscher	13	18	8*	3	1.80

* Signifies not out.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. L. Buxton	203.5	15	820	46	17.83
T. G. Lindley	72.4	5	370	17	21.76
R. C. Bluhm	93.2	6	452	17	26.65
E. W. Caslon	121	12	535	20	26.75
G. D. Loup	185	12	862	18	47.88

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
D. P. F. Uniacke	14	480	75	0	34.28
T. J. Boyer	13	215	101	2	19.36
A. V. Michaelis	11	171	79	1	17.10
R. F. Crux	13	193	48	1	16.08
D. R. Baylis	12	177	55	0	14.75
J. F. Greville	12	129	29	3	14.33
H. V. Reeves	8	57	20	1	8.14
R. B. Lint tt	8	52	18	2	7.42
R. M. Lascelles	12	60	19	3	6.66
M. C. Nicholson	6	35	29	0	5.80
M. A. Pitcher	4	14	14	1	4.60

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. J. Boyer	146	15	496	30	16.53
J. F. Greville	148.2	12	584	25	23.36
R. F. Crux	69	7	259	13	19.92
M. A. Pitcher	48.2	5	155	6	25.80

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
R. N. O. Bartlett	8	346	105*	2	57.66
H. E. Warry	6	255	96	0	42.50
J. G. Clayton	7	253	80	0	36.14
S. C. Dean	4	63	30*	2	31.50
A. P. R. Hains	3	83	33*	1	31.50
R. A. M. Stradling	3	66	32	0	22.00
C. C. C. Case	5	68	47	1	17.00
F. E. Spurway	7	91	37	0	13.00
J. V. Jones	7	55	35	0	7.85
E. P. Matthews	3	20	19	0	6.66

* Signifies not out.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. E. Warry	81	18	309	22	14.04
J. V. Jones	80.5	18	258	18	14.33
J. G. Clayton	139	10	566	30	18.86
S. C. Dean	5	0	52	2	26.00
R. N. O. Bartlett	20	1	91	3	30.33

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. E. J. Gent	15	462	109*	2	35.54
G. W. M. Burton	14	299	104*	1	23.00
R. F. Mason	14	186	62*	2	15.50
E. D. Fanshawe	13	159	38	0	12.23
W. J. Potts	13	122	39	2	11.11
J. A. Flower	14	127	25	1	9.77
C. H. Clayton	13	105	32	1	9.55
W. G. Fluke	12	66	22*	0	8.25
A. J. Morris	12	43	10	3	4.77
G. B. Prass	11	40	10	2	4.44

* Signifies not out.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. J. Morris	219.4	47	804	50	16.08
W. G. Fluke	209.2	57	531	33	16.09
G. B. Pratt	100.1	22	366	22	16.64
G. E. J. Gent	9	0	54	3	18.00
J. A. Flower	44.2	9	156	7	22.29

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. H. Heslop	14	753	148	1	58.30
D. F. Ferguson	12	476	114	2	47.60
G. E. Palmer	14	349	163	0	24.92
M. I. Hilder	14	235	52	2	19.58
C. G. Mathew	8	53	22	5	17.66
L. F. Machin	11	112	31	1	11.20
K. L. M. K. Pierson	7	62	26	1	10.33
H. T. Lay	11	102	41	1	10.20
L. H. Hughes	4	40	22	0	10.00
G. V. Merriman	10	80	38	1	8.88
J. A. Flowers	10	59	19*	1	6.55

* Signifies not out.

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. T. Lay	135.4	24	379	26	14.57
G. H. Heslop	201.5	31	670	44	15.22
C. G. Mathew	130.3	25	425	20	21.25
L. F. Machin	61	2	301	11	27.36

LEYS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
E. H. Hickman	15	355	97	1	25.35
S. F. Pickup	15	249	47	0	16.60
R. B. Holman	17	265	55	0	15.58
D. H. Reed	5	74	51	0	14.80
H. Watanabé	15	205	51	0	13.66
C. A. F. Green	10	109	39	2	13.62
J. H. Alcock	10	46	15*	5	4.20
H. G. W. Godfrey	7	46	18	1	7.66
P. N. Shelley	13	43	13	1	3.58
H. M. Henwood	3	33	24*	2	33.00
R. W. Holloway	2	19	18*	1	19.00

* Signifies not out.

LEYS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. N. Shelley	216	40	805	52	15.46
J. H. Alcock	107	2	560	33	16.97
E. H. Hickman	33.2	6	144	10	14.40
S. F. Pickup	110	15	418	20	20.90
H. M. Henwood	18	2	96	2	48.00

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. A. Turton	18	482	104*	3	32.13
L. M. Powell	17	460	67	0	27.05
W. J. M. Beardmore	17	383	138*	1	24.25
W. Gray	18	283	45	3	18.86
K. Jamieson	12	110	28	6	18.33
J. R. Ritchie	10	145	47	2	18.12
M. N. Gray	16	215	39	1	14.33
J. T. Farmer	12	117	30	2	11.70
G. L. Whit-claw	7	70	30	0	10.00
N. L. McNeill	9	54	14	2	7.71
P. B. Prothero	8	56	16	0	7.00

* Signifies not out.

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
K. Jamieson	159.1	30	509	54	9.60
L. M. Powell	73.4	22	228	23	9.91
G. A. Turton	65	18	214	18	11.41
J. T. Farmer	88.1	17	316	27	11.70
W. J. M. Beardmore	122	27	503	27	18.62

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
D. J. Knight	15	823	133*	2	63.31
N. A. Morice	12	356	78	0	29.66
C. N. B. Hurt	16	436	92	0	27.25
W. E. C. Stapleton	16	429	75	0	26.81
W. C. M. Berridge	15	319	114*	3	26.58
J. N. Black	8	138	67*	2	23.00
W. F. E. Marx	14	198	37*	3	18.00
B. C. B. Brooke	11	150	41	1	15.00
A. N. Odling	16	192	63*	2	13.71
N. W. Beeson	6	60	20	1	12.00
J. Grieve	13	98	20	3	9.80
D. O. Johnston	7	12	4	2	2.40

* Signifies not out.

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. C. M. Berridge	265	59	827	44	18.79
J. Grieve	302	53	1168	55	21.24
W. F. E. Marx	90	19	331	11	30.01
D. O. Johnston	124	30	396	13	30.46
N. W. Beeson	56	5	274	4	68.50

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. M. Batson	12	351	70	2	35.10
R. D. Busk	12	317	62	1	28.81
R. C. Ashfield	9	225	78	1	28.12
H. I. A. Vallance	14	351	78	0	25.07
J. R. Barnes	13	252	65	2	22.90
W. F. L. Oliver	13	227	58	1	18.91
T. E. Le Blanc Smith	3	53	36	0	17.66
W. Franklin	10	114	38	3	16.28
A. M. P. Luscombe	10	129	59	2	16.12
N. K. F. Porter	8	125	54	0	15.62
H. P. Cole	13	159	36	0	12.17
R. W. St. J. Richards	4	12	7	1	4.00

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. Franklin	103.3	4	487	26	18.73
R. D. Busk	181.1	35	725	38	19.07
J. R. Barnes	19.4	0	131	6	21.66
R. W. St. J. Richards	56.2	0	197	9	21.88
H. P. Cole	9	0	56	2	28.00
H. M. Batson	154	16	655	21	31.19
A. M. P. Luscombe	27	2	143	4	35.75

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
T. E. Andrews	12	297	62	3	33.00
T. A. L. Welch	12	253	70*	2	25.30
A. K. Will	12	203	49	1	18.45
M. B. Spencer	11	160	55	1	16.00
D. H. Cockell	11	133	38	2	14.55
J. T. Burrell	9	113	40	0	12.55
R. E. C. Turton	10	105	25*	1	11.66
L. E. Randall	9	90	19	0	10.00
A. Hickman	9	35	11	1	4.37
L. A. Andrews	8	16	7	1	2.25
G. W. Truman	7	4	2	4	1.33

* Signifies not out.

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. W. Truman	176	28	655	35	18.71
L. A. Andrews	176.1	28	691	34	20.32
A. K. Will	41	4	197	7	28.14
R. O. C. Turton	46	5	215	6	38.83

MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. Griffith-Jones	14	485	94	0	34.64
A. Wood	12	274	74	3	30.44
D. B. Aitken	12	294	63	0	24.50
O. Glendinning	6	47	16	4	23.50
R. Theobald	2	42	24	0	21.00
J. E. Owen	8	112	36*	3	20.24
H. E. Barrow	3	38	19	1	19.00
C. S. Anton	11	149	34	1	14.90
R. E. Wilson	9	91	53*	2	13.00
R. A. Lloyd	6	44	13	1	8.80
H. V. Bright	5	33	21	0	6.60

* Signifies not out

MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. B. Aitken	192	41	665	61	10.90
C. Griffith-Jones	191	41	576	40	14.40
H. V. Bright	27	0	135	8	18.87
A. Wood	60	13	204	12	17.00
O. Glendinning	65	8	230	13	17.69
O. F. Batty	41	7	162	9	18.00

OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
S. H. G. Humfrey	15	825	137*	4	75.00
C. A. Thornton	15	395	71	4	35.95
J. C. P. E. Metcalfe	14	352	71	2	29.30
L. J. A. Dewar	11	151	69	1	15.10
F. K. Thornton	4	45	30	1	15.00
E. S. Bromhead	9	98	23	1	12.25
W. R. Hill	15	154	28	0	10.28
J. C. F. Wilkinson	9	59	27	1	7.37
E. J. Crisp	8	33	20*	3	6.60
D. A. Hall	9	41	22	1	5.12
J. E. Atter	8	22	7	3	4.40

* Signifies not out.

OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
O. A. Thornton	163	23	601	39	15.41
S. H. G. Humfrey	176.4	21	732	37	19.73
E. S. Bromhead	68.4	11	359	15	23.93
J. C. P. E. Metcalfe	182.3	15	767	32	23.97
J. C. F. Wilkinson	26	1	160	6	26.60
L. J. A. Dewar	30	3	171	5	34.20

OUNDLÉ SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. G. Owen	11	416	89*	2	46.26
B. L. S. Smith	5	73	49*	3	36.51
A. L. S. Harris	11	322	64*	1	32.29
A. H. M. West	10	264	68	0	26.45
A. H. Steele	9	71	31	3	11.80
C. R. Hutchinson	10	110	28	0	11.00
W. B. Threlfall	8	72	36	1	10.34
R. Ringham	9	89	26	0	9.94
C. F. Freeman	6	36	16	1	7.26
J. T. Davis	9	64	24	0	7.14
P. Drayson	4	15	10	0	3.76

* Signifies not out.

OUNDLÉ SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. G. Owen	129	20	392	34	11.52
A. H. M. West	131	12	488	30	16.88
C. R. Steele	51	2	185	9	20.54

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
O. A. Reid	13	479	101*	2	43.55
R. C. Keller	11	401	95*	1	40.10
L. B. Hardy	13	310	50	0	23.85
H. L. Aked	12	211	50*	2	21.10
G. H. C. Adams	12	205	44	2	20.50
T. P. Gibbons	13	237	36	0	18.23
R. S. P. Mackarness	12	171	63	0	14.25
A. W. Lane-Joynt	10	86	15*	5	13.20
R. D. H. Bucknall	11	100	25*	3	12.50
G. B. Coote	13	147	57	1	12.25
B. E. A. Marshall	8	65	27*	1	9.29

* Signifies not out.

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average.
R. S. P. Mackarness ..	25	8	96	10	9.60
O. A. Reid	97	23	294	21	14.00
H. L. C. Aked	161.2	24	556	37	15.03
G. B. Coote	60	12	249	14	17.14
R. C. Keller	121.1	25	401	23	17.44
A. W. Lane-Joynt ..	80	17	230	12	19.17

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. Howell	13	737	128	0	56.69
M. Howell	13	593	133	1	49.41
E. R. Pallett	13	351*	78	0	27.00
G. F. Bell	12	243	78*	2	24.30
P. T. Chevallier	12	206	60*	2	20.80
L. T. S. Bower	6	56	31*	2	14.66
E. L. Francis	10	185	51	0	13.50
E. K. Parsons	9	75	24*	3	12.50
A. W. B. Becher	10	87	23*	3	12.42
A. E. Kemble	8	81	34	1	11.57
A. G. Sale	6	28	12	0	4.66

* Signifies not out.

REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. W. B. Becher	162	18	624	32	19.50
A. G. Sale	175.4	22	685	32	21.40
P. T. Chevallier	98.3	14	355	16	22.17
E. R. Pallett	45	9	181	8	22.62
E. L. Francis	75.4	8	298	9	33.11
G. F. Bell	62	5	320	9	35.55

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. Brundrett	12	345	112	0	28.75
C. R. Disney	9	236	98	0	26.22
J. L. Wood	15	324	90	0	21.60
C. F. de C. Roupell ..	15	260	83	1	18.57
G. S. B. Cliffe	12	104	18*	5	14.85
R. E. Boucher	12	148	38	0	12.33
E. F. G. Fripp	14	140	27	0	10.00
F. B. Cameron	8	78	35	0	9.75
C. V. Gaultier	14	120	30	1	9.23
Y. L. Ellis	12	71	15	4	8.87
A. Harrison	9	77	42	0	8.55
T. Hume	12	73	24	1	6.63
J. W. Toone	12	55	26	2	5.50

* Signifies not out.

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. Hume	79.4	11	315	16	19.51
E. F. G. Fripp	116.1	11	420	20	21.90
G. S. B. Cliffe	154.3	21	499	19	26.26
F. B. Cameron	44	4	189	7	27.00
F. Brundrett	163.4	23	500	17	29.41
O. R. Disney	74.4	9	258	8	32.25

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. L. Bryan	15	592	118	3	49.30
A. de Selincourt	4	156	59	0	39.00
W. W. Smith	12	327	86	2	32.70
C. P. Johnstone	13	338	91	1	28.16
J. S. Poole	12	250	42	1	22.72
R. T. Bryan	6	107	39	1	21.40
R. M. Bickersteth	12	254	84	0	21.16
P. Boddington	11	207	61	1	20.70
M. H. House	11	156	53	0	14.18
S. N. Cezens-Hardy ..	3	30	19	0	10.00
A. C. Armitage	11	102	34	0	9.27
H. B. Johnson	8	20	8	3	4.00

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. W. Smith	155.2	27	582	34	17.12
R. T. Bryan	87.3	1	405	22	18.41
J. S. Poole	189	39	631	31	20.35
A. C. Armitage	119	19	443	19	23.33
O. P. Johnstone	97	9	416	18	32.00

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. A. West	14	580	128	3	48.17
H. L. Lewis	12	235	67	2	23.50
J. B. R. Challen	14	228	55	2	19.00
D. Roberts	12	198	44	1	18.00
H. P. S. Buckley	12	166	60	0	13.83
R. Alderson	9	68	16*	3	11.30
E. M. Spink	4	17	10*	2	8.50
G. E. F. Wise	11	69	15	2	7.60
P. Braidford	8	30	15	1	4.28
J. D. Paisley	9	34	10	1	4.25
A. St. G. Adams	3	4	4	0	1.30

* Signifies not out.

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. B. R. Challen	155.4	39	337	43	7.83
A. St. G. Adams	71	20	157	16	9.81
W. A. Garson	55.6	12	158	16	9.87
J. D. Paisley	10	3	23	2	11.50
D. Roberts	128.6	25	338	27	12.51
P. Braidford	78.3	5	278	15	18.54

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
A. H. Seymour	14	370	111	1	28.46
T. W. Snow	14	324	96	1	24.92
H. G. Williamson	13	205	66	0	15.77
L. J. D. Standen	11	68	27*	6	13.60
C. H. Martyn	13	149	50*	2	13.54
H. B. Kidd	14	173	47	0	12.36
B. R. Cooke	11	109	25	2	12.11
C. A. Francis	12	113	19*	2	11.30
R. T. Helt	12	135	40	0	11.25
J. O. Hyde	4	20	8*	2	10.00
A. E. S. Crosse	12	103	21*	1	9.36

* Signifies not out.

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Averages
J. O. Hyde	38	7	123	13	9.46
T. W. Snow	127	33	406	37	10.97
L. J. D. Standen	145	30	484	33	14.66
A. H. Seymour	91	19	383	22	17.40

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
V. L. S. Bedwell	11	283	83	1	28.30
L. P. S. Bourne	12	286	86	1	28.00
D. A. Curtis	8	167	104	0	20.87
H. J. Barnard	12	200	80*	1	18.18
J. C. Allan	10	125	44	2	15.62
R. J. Cresney	6	92	48	0	15.33
C. L. N. Roberts	10	147	32	0	14.70
W. H. Round	10	97	28	0	9.70
A. E. C. Barnes	9	48	19*	4	9.60
M. D. A. G. Du Pre	6	31	18*	2	7.75
R. W. V. Palmer	5	31	12	1	7.75

* Signifies not out.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. L. N. Roberts	92.4	24	324	20	16.20
L. P. S. Bourne	142	19	569	27	21.07
A. E. C. Barnes	153.1	26	626	22	28.45
W. H. Round	12.1	1	60	3	30.00
J. C. Allan	32	3	153	5	30.60
H. J. Barnard	22	3	123	3	41.00

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. V. A. Corfield	18	458	124*	2	28.63
W. T. Coles	16	399	68*	2	28.50
R. C. S. Browne	15	362	67*	2	27.85
G. Mainwaring	12	257	53	0	21.42
W. C. Cassels	18	339	74	2	21.19
R. D. Shaw	18	329	65*	1	19.35
E. H. S. Shuttleworth	12	182	56	1	16.55
F. E. Lucas	11	90	27	5	15.00
I. H. T. Mackenzie	13	110	28	4	12.22
B. G. Whitfield	14	146	69	1	11.23

* Signifies not out.

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. C. Cassels	137	8	629	39	16.13
F. E. Lucas	148.3	17	564	34	16.59
E. H. S. Shuttleworth	137	17	512	28	18.23
R. D. Shaw	21	5	77	4	19.25
R. C. S. Browne	144.3	14	543	27	20.11

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
C. H. L. Skeet	13	396	119	2	36.00
W. A. Crebbin	13	312	79	1	26.00
F. L. Wainwright	11	239	57	1	23.90
J. Rayner	9	109	30*	4	21.80
E. J. G. Gibb	10	144	35*	1	16.00
M. A. Ward	11	146	34	1	14.60
M. L. Hayne	12	151	74	0	12.58
W. A. Roberts	5	32	22	2	10.66
J. T. A. Riddle	11	106	29	0	9.63
C. K. Jones	5	38	13	0	7.60
A. J. W. Pearson	10	50	16*	2	6.25

* Signifies not out.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. J. W. Pearson	232.4	38	685	64	10.70
M. A. Ward	805	12	212	16	13.25
W. A. Roberts	42.1	5	131	9	14.55
C. H. L. Skeet	35.5	136	126	6	21.00
J. T. A. Riddle	109	9	387	17	22.76

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. C. Scholfield	16	487	135	3	37.46
W. F. D. La Touche	6	163	61	1	32.60
H. E. Appleyard	13	238	85	0	18.31
A. E. S. Nixon	13	49	11	8	16.33
C. A. Wooller	11	150	46	0	13.39
F. B. Roseveare	14	174	24	1	13.38
M. S. Bendie	12	119	38*	2	11.90
C. R. Darwell	8	86	36	0	10.75
A. M. Craignile	10	105	38	0	10.50
J. R. Appleyard	8	72	27	1	10.28
G. Sherriff	10	85	40	0	8.50

* Signifies not out.

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. R. Appleyard	69.3	15	205	20	10.25
H. E. Appleyard	203.1	40	590	46	12.82
C. R. Darwell	59.5	9	217	11	19.72
F. B. Roseveare	28	4	103	5	20.60
H. S. Bendie	114.6	15	385	17	22.64
G. Sherriff	69	10	181	7	25.86

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. T. Hall	13	414	101*	1	34.50
L. G. Pridaux	14	314	65	2	26.16
G. O. Genge	12	211	50	2	21.10
F. C. Buecke	14	196	55	0	14.00
G. Henningway	10	68	18*	3	9.71
C. H. M. Platt	13	97	25	2	8.81
W. Jeffery	13	102	23	0	7.84
W. Rowe	5	31	20*	1	7.75
R. J. Walker	10	22	10	2	2.75
J. B. Walker	11	21	7	3	2.62
H. G. Pratt	10	14	7	1	1.55

* Signifies not out.

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. Jeffery	104.3	13	280	44	6.36
H. G. Pratt	14	1	42	6	7.00
J. B. Walker	44.5	6	94	13	7.23
H. T. Hall	112.4	43	211	29	7.28
R. J. Walker	10	3	36	4	9.00

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
A. B. L. Crosby	11	297	61	0	27.00
G. V. Blair	9	242	78	0	26.88
H. P. Clarke	11	218	68	1	21.80
R. W. C. Strode	11	215	46	1	21.50
G. S. Dixon	10	151	34	1	16.77
G. M. Cornish	11	171	42	0	15.54
M. E. K. Westlake	11	154	38	0	14.00
D. O. Lumley	8	91	25	1	13.00
R. E. Hodgson	9	111	40	0	12.27
O. S. Prince	10	43	16*	5	8.60
F. O. Wheeler	10	50	25	1	5.55

* Signifies not out.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. B. L. Crosby	123	11	548	25	21.81
G. M. Cornish	53	3	238	10	23.80
R. W. C. Strode	74	11	312	13	24.00
G. S. Dixon	38	2	197	7	23.14
F. O. Wheeler	140	19	552	17	32.47

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
H. J. R. Rhys	16	726	174*	2	51.85
T. K. Twist	16	425	69	2	30.35
B. H. Ellis	15	431	72	0	28.73
M. C. Dempsey	16	387	92	0	24.18
M. R. Haseler	13	184	59*	5	23.00
W. R. Bridges	17	303	53	1	18.93
W. C. Clarke	15	248	46	1	17.71
R. M. Phillips	14	228	43*	1	17.53
T. Onslow	11	102	26	5	17.00
P. Dempsey	14	124	20	3	11.28
W. R. Higgins	8	53	16	1	7.28

* Signifies not out.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. R. Bridges	202.3	25	686	36	19.05
T. Onslow	158.4	7	622	27	23.03
W. R. Higgins	97.3	16	327	13	25.15
M. Haseler	210	19	789	30	26.30
M. Dempsey	116	6	480	15	32.00

STONYHURST COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
J. Franck	9	155	56	0	17.22
B. Withall	21	319	49	1	15.95
J. del Valle	20	246	51	4	15.37
W. Clifford	19	276	70	1	15.33
T. Trappes-Lomax	24	297	40	4	14.85
R. Riley	22	299	46	1	14.23
F. Carryll	17	226	83*	1	14.12
B. O. Constable	11	186	41*	1	13.60
E. Brown	18	209	35	0	11.61
H. Morrissey	24	228	41*	3	10.85
F. Farrelly	26	158	26	2	8.77

* Signifies not out.

STONYHURST COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. Brown	50	89	89	21	4.23
R. Riley	284	60	502	103	4.87
F. Carryll	89	18	170	33	5.15
F. Farrelly	350	76	723	134	5.39
W. Clifford	148	23	259	43	6.02
J. del Valle	98	18	170	20	8.50

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. W. Musson	12	531	139	0	44.25
F. N. Sherwell	11	442	91	0	40.18
R. Sherwell	12	476	121	0	39.66
M. L. Cobb	7	220	145	1	36.67
G. K. D. Simmons	7	93	34*	3	23.25
P. G. Knott	11	241	85	0	21.91
G. A. H. Wood	11	197	56	0	17.91
W. G. Friend	11	177	56	1	17.70
R. E. le Fleming	8	113	51	1	16.14
E. D. S. Casswell	6	41	16	0	6.83
S. T. S. Clarke	4	11	10	0	2.75

* Signifies not out.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. N. Sherwell	62.3	3	326	21	15.52
S. T. S. Clarke	65.4	7	278	15	18.60
E. D. S. Casswell	103	14	420	19	22.11
R. E. le Fleming	37	1	211	7	30.14
G. A. H. Wood	45.3	2	214	7	30.67
G. K. D. Simmons	63.4	12	308	8	37.75

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
S. Rosenschein	3	27	24*	2	27.00
L. Espir	21	380	65*	5	23.75
J. A. Maddocks	24	408	104	4	20.40
H. W. Andrew	18	260	56*	2	16.75
G. W. I. Greenish	20	221	72*	3	13.00
B. de R. Mayer	19	210	43	2	12.35
V. J. Garrow	24	255	41	2	11.62
G. S. Hyams	18	180	44	0	10.10
J. M. Johnson	23	193	33	2	9.19
S. M. Gow	17	109	30	5	9.08
R. G. H. Adams	11	45	18*	1	4.50

* Signifies not out.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
S. M. Gow	38.2	4	166	12	13.83
B. de R. Mayer	148.4	15	613	39	15.71
V. J. Garrow	247.2	23	1042	56	19.60
J. M. Johnson	176.4	17	693	32	21.03
G. S. Hyams	35	12	57	2	28.50

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
G. H. Burgoyne- Johnson	9	250	67	2	35.71
W. T. Newton	12	350	84*	2	35.00
T. E. Wicke	12	314	61	0	26.17
R. A. T. Miller	11	253	53	0	23.45
T. Lyon-Smith	7	163	59	0	23.29
F. T. M. Jones	7	98	31	2	19.60
E. E. Wynne	12	208	37	1	18.91
G. Limmer	2	39	35	0	13.00
J. C. Hickling	5	38	23	2	12.67
J. G. Stephen	10	112	28	0	11.20
B. M. Dunn	9	24	6*	2	3.45

* Signifies not out.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. Lyon-Smith	46	8	136	10	13.60
J. G. Stephen	200.3	14	798	37	21.57
G. H. Burgoyne- Johnson	98.3	13	310	13	23.85
B. M. Dunn	112	13	411	16	25.69

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
K. White	12	664	139	1	60.36
W. E. Hazelton	12	581	129	0	48.41
A. J. Walker	8	224	50	0	28.00
A. D. Denton	12	335	92	0	27.91
G. T. Carter	12	242	43	2	24.20
A. Johnson	10	188	82	2	23.50
S. T. Askham	11	186	62	2	20.66
G. A. Chalcraft	9	135	37	1	16.87
W. A. Young	3	16	13*	2	16.00
B. Wright	8	78	19	2	13.00
M. Robinson	8	15	5	3	3.00

* Signifies not out.

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. E. Hazelton	222.4	46	672	45	14.91
S. T. Askham	185	31	554	31	17.87
G. T. Carter	61.2	4	204	16	18.37
B. Wright	68.4	12	247	13	19.00
A. D. Denton	57.4	1	271	13	20.76

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Times not out	Average
J. K. Manger	10	387	2	48.37
E. G. Bartlett	8	242	0	30.25
G. C. Wainwright	8	170	2	28.33
E. A. Simson	8	154	2	25.66
J. W. Davidson	8	152	0	19.00
F. T. Badcock	7	180	1	18.00
C. C. Allan	10	154	1	17.11
R. A. J. Beanby	8	119	0	14.87
R. C. Crofton	5	43	2	14.77
R. Longbottom	9	106	0	11.33
G. F. Foley	7	21	2	4.20

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. Longbottom	55	3	240	15	16.00
G. F. Foley	124	13	547	27	20.25
F. Badcock	121.1	17	432	20	21.60
E. A. Simson	11	1	46	2	23.00
R. C. M. Crofton	153.5	17	514	22	23.40

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
T. W. H. McCulloch	14	413	95*	2	34.41
G. B. P. Rudd	15	510	152	0	34.00
D. G. Veitch	15	347	109*	1	24.78
A. N. Charlton	14	210	56*	4	21.00
P. W. G. Kann	15	305	61	0	20.33
C. Hurst-Brown	14	247	56	1	19.00
A. C. Peasey	13	185	36	3	18.52
F. R. C. Cobboid	15	200	63*	2	15.38
F. W. Pink	7	90	46	0	12.85
Q. C. D. Bovey	5	52	39	0	10.40
M. A. Thompson	10	48	17*	2	6.00

* Signifies not out.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. R. C. Cobboid	303.3	62	904	52	17.38
A. C. Peasey	106	17	349	20	17.45
G. B. P. Rudd	178	26	718	32	22.43
P. W. G. Kann	62	1	306	10	30.60

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
F. R. Kennedy	16	462	140*	2	33.00
M. H. Webb-Peploe	17	520	100*	1	32.50
A. Foster	18	443	84*	2	27.68
C. H. Barnes-Lawrence	16	242	65	1	16.13
H. P. Glover	18	249	57	1	14.64
C. A. Forward	15	178	42	0	11.86
R. J. A. Palmer	17	161	23	3	11.50
E. E. H. Beck	16	146	24*	1	9.73
W. L. Kennedy	16	84	15	2	6.00
C. A. Horder	13	41	9*	5	5.13
J. A. A. Mackenzie	11	28	6	3	3.50

* Signifies not out.

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. H. Barnes-Lawrence	163.2	39	444	51	8.70
F. R. Kennedy	200.2	69	677	68	9.95
J. A. A. Mackenzie	103.5	15	327	25	13.09

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
S. H. Smith	14	204	43*	2	17.00
H. E. Holt	14	176	58	1	13.54
R. A. Taylor	12	127	67*	2	12.70
G. A. Keay	15	167	52	1	11.92
A. B. Frost	14	141	33*	1	10.85
A. T. Bradshaw	14	117	35	0	8.36
L. V. Smith	12	87	36	0	7.25
E. F. Bozman	7	42	17	1	7.00
F. L. Adams	13	83	27	0	6.39
G. S. Bozman	12	42	9	5	6.00
W. N. Allen	12	48	24	1	4.36

* Signifies not out.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. V. Smith	173	29	552	61	9.05
W. A. Allen	55	10	205	15	13.60
G. A. Keay	66	10	267	19	14.05
S. H. Smith	145	18	558	39	14.30
H. E. Holt	87	11	374	20	18.70

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Most in an innings	Times not out	Average
L. T. Morshhead	13	328	106*	1	27.33
R. N. Hamilton	13	341	100	0	26.23
G. de L. Hough	15	342	99*	1	24.43
H. H. Burn	15	339	50	1	24.07
T. Weatherby	11	132	43*	3	16.50
O. Cooper	15	240	38	0	16.00
N. W. Hill	8	88	20*	2	14.66
D. S. G. Brown	8	76	25*	2	12.66
D. N. K. Gregson	6	77	33	1	12.50
G. B. Eden	13	138	42	1	11.50
H. C. S. Munro	8	75	34	1	10.71
M. R. K. Burge	11	107	30	1	10.20
J. F. Lascelles	7	32	14	2	6.40

* Signifies not out.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. R. K. Burge	28	1	79	6	13.16
G. de L. Hough	180	23	737	37	19.92
J. F. Lascelles	48	5	322	15	21.47
D. N. K. Gregson	21	1	130	5	26.00
D. S. G. Brown	56	14	174	8	21.75
N. W. Hill	52	5	275	10	25.70
H. H. Burn	27	1	129	4	32.25