

PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1924.

By H. S. ALTHAM.

Few even of the most zealous enthusiasts can have been altogether sorry when the cricket season of 1924 came to an end. A season of cloud and rain, of ruined wickets, of abandoned matches, and of disappointed hopes. Up and down the country cricketers of every category had a sorry time: the county clubs saw match after match make further inroads into their funds or pile up the balance even higher against them; the week-end player was again and again cheated of his jealously expected Saturday games, and the school cricketer was, perhaps, the hardest hit of all. In reluctantly trying to recapture some of the dreary realities of the past summer, I am reminded of an experience at a school match between two of the leading schools at the extreme end of May. On meeting the master who looks after the cricket of the opposition I asked him the usual question, what his lot were like: he answered—"Honestly I can't tell you: this is almost the first time they have played together as a side, and the only chance I have had lately of getting at them was yesterday when I bowled for two hours at the nets in pouring rain!" That may well serve as an epitome of the first half of the season, and though the weather mercifully relented somewhat towards the end of June and so allowed some of the big matches to be played under reasonable conditions, no amount of coaching, no reserves of pent-up enthusiasm could make good the damage that had been done.

The worst of it is that I am not sure that the last has been heard—or rather, perhaps, felt, of our calamities. The foundations of a school eleven are laid two or even three years before, in the spade work expended on the boy of from thirteen to fifteen, and not for a generation can these unfortunate boys have had less chance of coaching or conditions less conducive to their profiting by it such as they did get. Indeed, their experience suggests the question whether more use could not and should not be made of matting wickets in helping to meet the attacks of our climate. It is, of course, true that the change from matting on to turf is abrupt and disconcerting—the experiences of the South

Africans are strong and recent evidence—and no one would suggest that a school eleven should practice on matting one night and meet the opposition "on the middle" next day. But it is generally agreed that for the young cricketer, still concerned with the fundamentals of the game, the first essential is plenty of practice, and that upon a wicket on which the ball will come along on to his bat. On really dead, still more on sticky wickets, the coaching of small boys is terribly difficult: they can be taught to watch the ball, but so far as run-producing strokes are concerned, they are almost confined to hitting to leg and to dealing with the full-pitch or rank long hop. It is unsatisfactory for the coach, though that is all in the day's work, and it is poor fun for them. Timing the ball—which is the very essence of the joy of batting—is almost impossible for them, and the forward stroke, which I shall always believe to be fundamental to the game, is practically taboo. Mr. Gilbert, the Oxford and Worcestershire bowler, has always preached that young bowlers can only be taught to bowl on sticky wickets; if the converse can be argued, the young batsman may learn more from practice on matting (on which, after all, the ball does turn) than by struggling to play a game of which he is physically incapable, on a mixture of mud and sawdust. I am afraid that to many what I have written may be heresy, but I hope that it is at least a heresy worth ventilating. After all, even the great H. H. Stephenson admitted at the end of the terrible summer of 1879 that such weather had made coaching impossible.

In match-play it was inevitable that most school sides should fare rather badly against club teams of more experienced and more brutal men: few boys can really bowl a length on a slow wicket, and many a batsman, who would have done quite well in a reasonable year, found that an orthodox and normally commendable method only served to prolong the agony a little further without bringing him much tangible success. I hope then that I shall not be written down a crabbing pessimist if I am disposed to rate the general level of school cricket this year at a rather low level, both as regards the collective strength of the sides and the form of the individual players. Once again I am going to pick Eton as, if not the best, at least as good as any other side: their batting strength was very great and, though their change bowling was nothing much, they had two men in Bateson and Cobbold who could generally be relied on to keep a length: moreover, though these two were in point of fact the only 'old colours,' the Etonians played singularly mature and confident cricket: their two school matches were, of course, played on fast wickets, and there was no room for doubt as to their run-getting ability in these conditions, but I have it on the best authority that in the first half of the season they had proved themselves a 'good school side' on more difficult grounds.

Rugby had a very welcome revival: they went through the season without a defeat, and for the first time since 1917 tasted the sweets of victory against Marlborough at Lord's, though I doubt whether there was really very much to choose between the two elevens. Like Eton they had to rely on two bowlers, but I do not think their batting was as good as that of the other 'Light Blues.' Charterhouse, too, had quite a good year, and were stronger all round than they had been for some time; they can point to the satisfactory scalps of Harrow and Westminster, though once again I fancy that Harrow, who, if not strong, were certainly a good average team, might have reversed the verdict in a return match. Down in the west country Sherborne, Downside, and Blundell's all had a very successful time: the former, indeed, were unbeaten, and it was exasperating that mumps and the weather should have played such havoc with their school fixtures. Oundle continued in last year's path of prosperity and it is a pity that they cannot match their present strength against one of the stronger schools: in the South the Brighton averages read remarkably well and they were undoubtedly a strong, well-balanced side, whilst in the London district Highgate, under the inspiration of their captain Robins, Whitgift and Mill Hill could all look back on their year's work with justifiable pride.

Of individual batsmen Holmes of Malvern was certainly the most talked of player of the year: he was out of form when he came to London in August, and did nothing in his solitary chance for Surrey, but his record at school is brilliant in the extreme, and those who know him best are confident of his future at Oxford. Turnbull of Downside did splendidly during the term and relatively even better for Glamorgan in the holidays: his defence must be a long way in advance of any ordinary school level, and he has the on-side strokes so invaluable in a wet year. Others who have made considerable names for themselves are Robins (Highgate), Nunn (Sherborne), Lord Hyde (Eton), these two both attractive stylists who might do something really big in a dry year, Longrigg (Rugby), Coleridge (Marlborough), J. C. Butterworth (Harrow), Wykes (Oundle), Lyon (Brighton), Carnegie Brown (Leys), Mitchell (Tonbridge), and Allan (Downside). Considering the extent to which the weather favoured them, the bowlers of the year do not seem to me to have been a very strong lot. There was a goodly crop of left-handers who fared very fairly well, notably Milford (Rugby), Alpess (Clifton), Hawthorne (Marlborough), Brown (Felsted), Birkbeck (Bradfield), and Salvi (Whitgift), but none of them were in the class of Dowson at Harrow thirty years ago; and it is curious that neither Eton, Harrow, or Winchester should have succeeded in producing a really good bowler of this type for many years. *Per contra* a good deal of success attended some of the 'pacey' bowlers last season: No one

who saw it will forget the younger Butterworth's bowling against Eton; McBride of Westminster, though not always fit through the summer, kept a very good length at a fair pace during the first half of the Army's innings at Lord's, Blundell did well for Charterhouse, and McConaghy for Radley, whilst I am certain that there are possibilities about Renwick of Repton. Of all-round players pride of place must be allotted to Cobbold of Eton, R. Butterworth and Robins, but Chester of Brighton and Wagener of Bradfield also did extremely well, whilst Milford, McBride, and Blundell bat, and Coleridge and Mitchell bowl quite well enough to be included in this valuable category. Raffety (Cranleigh) Morres (Eastbourne) and Reid (Bedford) all did splendid work for their sides in both departments, and Waydelin (Marlborough) and Gabe-Jones (Blundells) both deserve a mention.

I can do no more than glance at the events of one or two of the school games. The most exciting finish was surely that of the Malvern and Repton match when the redoubtable Holmes ended his career in a blaze of glory by scoring 28 not out in approximately five minutes to defeat Repton and the clock. For sustained interest there could be no complaint about the Rugby and Marlborough game. Marlborough started badly, but recovered, thanks to a typical piece of stout-hearted batsmanship by their captain, Coleridge, and ended the day happy with three of the Rugby boys out for 22: next morning they got two more wickets quickly, but then Dawson and Pattison played admirably and pulled the game round, only to see their side slip back again with the failure of the tail to wag. With a useful little lead of 26 and three and a half hours for play Marlborough went blithely out to force a win, so blithely that they lost five wickets for 84 and suddenly found that the tables were turned: the tail tried to restore the balance but failed, and Rugby had but 135 to win and two hours to do it in. They again lost three wickets very cheaply, but Pattison played another captain's innings and with Longrigg brought the score to 83: then came a most sensational collapse, four wickets falling without a run being added to the score, and Marlborough seemed to have the game safe; but the crisis merely inspired Longrigg to play the innings of his life. Marlborough made the fatal mistake of bowling straight to a man with a fine eye bent on hitting 4's, and he hit them. His partner, Cogan, held out somehow, and in less than a quarter of an hour, the hero of the match was being carried shoulder high into the pavilion.

The Clifton and Tonbridge match took the form of two blank and hopeless days, and Haileybury, a weak side, were no match for Cheltenham for whom Thomas enjoyed a great personal triumph.

The Eton and Harrow match was not spectacular, except for one brief spell, but it was certainly interesting: in contrast to the game of the preceding year, when the bowlers of both sides had

presented runs to their enemies with open hands, the bowling this year was very steady. The Eton batting, though much of it was good, did not reach the level it had attained against Winchester: Harrow on the other hand batted so soundly that they certainly did not deserve to lose, and as certainly did not deserve to win because of their inability on the Saturday morning to take advantage of a favourable position. At lunch time on that day a dull finish seemed inevitable, but the first hours play after lunch saw the one sensational piece of cricket in the game, when Butterworth and Crawley bowled really splendidly and shot five of Eton's best batsmen out for 31. For a moment there was the possibility of a real rout, but first Child, and then Tew (for the second time in the match) and Norris took charge, and the fears for Eton's safety changed to debate as to when they would declare. The declaration was delayed long enough to make it practically impossible for Harrow to get the runs—against Bateson and Cobbold, and as a matter of fact they made no attempt to do so, and the match fizzled out, but not without the spectators feeling that they had had something like their money's worth. The features of the match were Lord Hyde's innings on Friday, Tew's resolute play at critical times on both days, the partnership of the elder Butterworth and the Headmaster of Harrow's son, Neville Ford, the extremely accurate bowling of Bateson and Cobbold, the wicket-keeping of Proctor, the bowling success of the Harrow captain, and, last but perhaps greatest, the resolution and endurance of the younger Butterworth.

A fortnight earlier Eton had proved much too strong for Winchester: no-one watching them make their 400 odd runs could have imagined that they were playing on a fast wicket for almost the first time in the year and that nine of the eleven were taking part in their first school match. Cobbold and Hyde both batted quite brilliantly, and Cobbold now enjoys the rare distinction of having made a century against both enemy schools. Winchester were not a strong side, but they fought hard and the bowlers never gave up.

The 'representative' matches at Lord's at the beginning of the holidays were frankly rather disappointing, and several very experienced critics were rather outspoken in their comments on the weakness of the batting. In the first match the Lord's Schools were fairly and squarely outplayed by 'The Rest,' though their faces were a little saved by Milford and Bateson bowling out five of the enemy before they could get the 31 runs which were all they required in the fourth innings. Robins and Nunn batted most attractively on the first morning and the former had only himself to blame for failing to win the big distinction of scoring a century before lunch. The Lord's schools collapsed badly before some very good 'swinging' bowling by Wagener, and only an entertaining and wholly unorthodox innings by Milford saved them

from ignominy. In their second innings they did rather better, but still far from well. Milford again got runs, Butterworth made some very good hits, and their captain, Coleridge, played a really fine innings, but the rest of the batsmen never looked like doing much with the bowling of Brown of Felsted, who kept a good length and made the ball turn.

In the second match the Army paid the schools the compliment of putting into the field approximately their very best side: they were bound to win, but it was disconcerting to find the schools unable to put up the semblance of a fight. In the first innings Nunn again played delightfully, Holmes gave just a glimpse of what he could do, and Mitchell played the most watchful and soundest cricket which any of the school players showed in the four days, but before the day was out Captains Wilkinson and Burrows had put the soldiers into an overwhelmingly strong position. On the Wednesday with the wicket heavy Cobbold bowled quite well, but the rest of the attack was poor: when the schools went in a second time, the wicket was not really difficult, but with the exception of Butterworth, who hit with pluck and skill, the innings was practically a procession. In such a year the poor form shown could not be explained away by staleness: obviously some of the proven batsmen such as Holmes and Cobbold were out of form, and certainly there was some good hitting in places, but the general level of defence was low and a very pronounced weakness was to be seen in their dealing with Captain Armitage's not very deadly leg-breaks. I hate being so critical, but this review would have no value if it did not try to call a spade a spade, and at least I can end on a happy note in saying that much of the schools' fielding was really splendid, and Robins and Nunn in particular a joy to the eye.

And now we can move on to review the schools '*nomina-tim et serialim*,' but before doing so I must once again acknowledge my indebtedness to the many correspondents who, with hardly a second 'reminder,' from me, have stumped up the tale of their schools' fortunes and incidentally of their own trials in this summer of most detestable memory. May we all have a very different story to tell a twelve-month hence!

If the "intelligent foreigner" were to be told that Eton in the course of the last three seasons and a programme of 34 matches had achieved exactly four wins, he might very well form a somewhat mistaken estimate of their cricket. Such are, indeed, the facts, but they are evidently misleading. As a general rule the sides that visit Upper Club and Agar's Plough are stronger than those which any school, except possibly Harrow, have to face, stronger, at any rate in batting, whilst the majority of them, at

least, have good bowling as well. If then we put against the four wins a total of but five defeats we shall begin to get our perspective right, but we must go on to recall that in the great majority of the drawn games Eton left off in a very comfortable position. The truth is that, with the wicket on Agar's Plough now one of the best in the country, there has got to be some quite exceptional bowling to arrive at a definite result in a one day's match. Such bowling was this year provided by G. O. Allen, who was quite irresistible for the M.C.C. in the match just prior to 'Lord's': the other defeat was at the hands of the Liverpool Club when Eton were without Cobbold and had, in fact, hardly got into their running at all. Of the other seven clubs fixtures six were drawn, whilst a satisfying revenge was taken over the Household Brigade for the previous year's defeat: this time it was the Brigade who declared and Eton who beat the clock.

It is really becoming a flagrant commonplace to say that Eton were a fine batting side, but this year it may perhaps be tempered by adding that they seemed to have thoroughly discarded the certain tendency towards solidity (I had almost written 'stolidity') which rather marked their method in 1923. When they came to Winchester at the end of June, it was to bat on the first really fast wicket they had seen in a match that year, and with the latter half of the eleven really short of experience in the middle: but more attractive, confident, and effective batting I have very rarely seen from any school cricketers. At Lord's they were not quite so convincing, but here they showed once more how good they are with their backs to the wall and how much better their later batsmen are than is the case with any other school in an average year. Probably on the strength of his beautiful innings against Winchester I am inclined to write down Cobbold as the best batsman on the side, though he was disappointing in the representative games at Lord's in August. His footwork is extremely good, he has fine wrists and he seems to sense the length of the ball very early, and to move to it with great ease and accuracy: his on-driving and forcing of the ball on his legs in the innings in question reached a very high standard. Hyde, too, was a most attractive player, who favoured the off-side and drove and cut with splendid power and confidence in a way that would, I am sure, have delighted the heart of R. A. H. Mitchell. Child had a first-rate season and, without quite the soundness of the former two, was full of strokes and attacked the bowling from the start. Hunloke was young and not very strong as yet, but his method is good, and he played some very good innings on the turning wickets in the first half of the year. Of the rest Tew singles himself out by reason of his two splendid and courageous efforts against Harrow: if he did nothing much beside, he proved himself then a most dangerous man, full of power, untroubled by nerves, and master of a

straight and very broad-looking bat. Norris, too, played capitally at Lord's when things might still have gone wrong, and Barber got runs and was a great exponent of the hook-stroke.

In bowling Eton could not be called really strong, but they were certainly not weak. There were three 'quick' bowlers in Caccia, Child, and Percival, the former the fastest, of no great accuracy, but of the whole-hearted tear-away type that often gets a useful wicket, the latter something of a swerver. There was a slow-in-swing in the person of Norris and a left-hand bowler of sorts in Barber, but though there was thus a good deal of variety in the change bowling, it was not, if the truth be told, of very much class, and the brunt of the attack had always to be borne by Bateson and Cobbold. If only for their combined work in the long and trying Harrow innings at Lord's these two deserve to go down to history: never can two boy bowlers have together kept a finer length for so long at a stretch: their analyses takes one back to the days of Alfred Shaw. Apart from this performance Bateson stands out some way above his colleague: for the first month of the season he was really very good, but he then took to his bed for a week, and never quite recaptured his life. All the same he remained an admirably steady bowler, very straight and of a length quite exceptional in school cricket. Without doing anything extraordinary with the ball, he was always making it do just a little one way or another off the ground, and he never knew what it was to be collared. Cobbold was rather a curiosity: on wet wickets when his great power of finger spin should have made him formidable he was erratic in length, but when there was nothing in his favour he would bowl away with the accuracy and perseverance of an old professional.

The Eton fielding was keen and energetic: practically all of them could run and throw well. Landale was rather uneven as a stumper—he had a good deal of trouble with his hands—but at his best he was good, and quite brilliant on the leg-side. Cobbold will captain the side this year: Lord Hyde, Child, Hunloke and Percival remain and Hope, twelfth man in 1923 and only prevented by illness from taking his place in last year's eleven, will also be available.

There was plenty of cricket in the Harrow eleven which was indeed within measurable distance of being a really formidable side: but somehow the component parts never quite blended together so as to allow its full strength to be developed. Thus at Lord's the excellently sound batting of the early men had put Harrow in clover by noon on the Saturday, but the hitters failed to drive home the advantage and it slipped away: again in the afternoon Butterworth and Crawley performed prodigies, but the change bowling was not there to carry on the good work when they had shot their bolt. So Harrow have still to wait for their first victory over Eton

at Lord's since 1908; curiously enough they went down to Charterhouse for the second year running, once again playing a long way below their form: this time it was the batting that collapsed miserably and the bowlers who fought hard and nearly succeeded in averting the inevitable. The Winchester match, played at Harrow, showed them up much more favourably: after some rather moderate batting against some steady bowling they went in to lunch with no advantage, but fortified by food and drink, the hitters came into the picture and enabled Crawley to declare quite comfortably by tea. Then Butterworth and Brigstocke bowled fast and well and only Snell saved the Wykehamists from decisive defeat.

One can without hesitation point to the best cricketer on the Harrow side, R. E. C. Butterworth, and I shall be very disappointed if he does not make a mark for himself later on, though whether, strong as he is, he will be able to stand the double strain as batsman and bowler remains to be seen. Certainly he showed no lack of stamina on the Saturday afternoon at Lord's, when in grilling heat he bowled over two hours at a fine pace and unrelieved, a really heroic effort. If he has not to do too much next year he should be a very dangerous bowler, provided that he pursues length rather than the swerve: length, coupled with his natural life and nip, will do all that is necessary. As a batsman, too, his label is dangerous: full of strokes, with a fine eye, and a fearless and aggressive temperament, he might play a match winning innings at any time: he is a fine driver of fast bowling.

His brother, J. C., was probably the best, as he was certainly the soundest, batsman on the side: his method, modern in its economy of bat-movement and efficiency on the leg side, is very sound, and he has an excellent temperament for his role as No. 1: at present a bowler who kept the ball up on the off-side could keep him very quiet, but he may quite possibly do for Oxford what Dawson is doing for Cambridge. The rest of the Harrow batting falls, like the Butterworths', into two classes, the steady players, Crawley (A. M.), Ford, and Boucher, and the 'strikers' of the ball, Elliot-Crawley, Brigstocke and Bucher, with Hermon somewhere in between. Of the first class I liked the looks of the younger Crawley very much: he is young and not very strong, but his bat is beautifully straight and he moves into all his strokes naturally and seems to sight the ball early in its flight. Ford has not yet got full control of his long limbs and the relative slowness of his footwork deprives his strokes of expected power: but this should come with time and he may yet be as great a terror to mid-off and extra cover as was his uncle Francis. He has already shown himself blest with a cool head and a considerable store of patience.

Boucher batted on very sound lines, though at present weak on the off-side, and both Brigstocke and Bucher could hit the ball refreshingly hard.

Apart from R. Butterworth the Harrow bowling represented possibilities that were not altogether realised. Brigstocke bowled at a good pace and could serve up a nasty ball, but his uneconomic action took a good deal out of him and his control of length and direction still were rather faulty. Andree was less erratic than last year, as his figures show, and his great power of spin always inspired hope of his coming out with a big performance: but once again he was found wanting in the big match. Crawley, the captain, was right out of form with the bat, but made a great advance as a bowler and his work during the early part of Saturday afternoon at Lord's was splendid. The wicket had not really worn, but he brought his off-breaks back a great deal and varied this cleverly with the ball that went with his arm. It was refreshing to see such essentially 'old-fashioned' bowling so successful. Hermon's form as a bowler was very in and out, though he had occasional days when he was distinctly good. The Harrow fielding was thoroughly respectable, though no more, but Proctor was a very good wicket-keeper, in grand form at Lord's. To sum up then, Harrow were a better side than in 1923, but never quite developed into a really good one. Their sound batsmen were too slow to make very much headway against real length bowling, their hitters were too spasmodically dangerous, and they suffered from a lack of length bowling, but, when all is said, I would have backed them against the majority of this year's school elevens. Butterworth will lead the Harrovians next season, and Andree, Bucher, A. M. Crawley, and Ford will form a pretty useful nucleus of old choices.

Winchester disappointed themselves and their friends and must be written down rather a weak side. They did not win one of their dozen matches and were none too favourably placed in some of the six they managed to draw. In school matches they just averted defeat from Harrow, went down by an innings to Eton, had the game with Charterhouse quite ruined by rain and were baulked of a win over Marlborough by their failure to get the last wicket down for nearly twenty minutes. Had the weather been kinder, they might have been a presentable side: their bowling could never have been strong, but most of their batsmen would have played back and forward well enough to get runs under easy conditions. As it was they had no bowler who could really use a turning wicket, and their batsmen had not, collectively, much gift for striking the ball to the boundary. Of the latter, Snell did quite well for his first year in the side: he clearly saved the Harrow

match by his resourceful defence, whilst on other occasions he showed himself an attractive and powerful off-side player. At present his weakness lies in a curious paralysis of foot-work when he first goes in, but he has great possibilities. Frazer, the captain, took a long time to run into form, but played splendidly in the second innings against Eton, only just failing to carry his bat from first to last. At his best he is a good player, particularly strong on the on-side and not afraid of hitting the ball hard. He captained the side well, both on and off the field. Of the other batsmen Bower was a powerful hitter whose judgment and self control steadily increased: he too was at the top of his form in the big match when his on-driving was splendid. Wilson played some useful innings on the wet wickets, but on faster ground faultiness of footwork was always likely to get him into trouble. Kingsley could not get going for more than a month, but ended by playing two capital innings against the I.Z. and Eton. His chief fault at present is a failure to let his body go through into the forward strokes, but his footwork, watchfulness and temperament are alike excellent.

The Winchester bowling was not formidable. Awdry showed a great improvement on his form of last year and was often really troublesome, especially with the new ball: his length has improved greatly, and he stuck to his heavy work with great determination. Lambert began the season by bowling quite well, spinning the ball both ways, but as time went on, his arm got lower, and his length deteriorated: he had however a good day against Eton when, aided by a little luck, he came out with a most creditable analysis. Kingsley too bowled his leg breaks with great perseverance—and no sort of luck—in the big match, but was otherwise disappointing in this department: the rest of the bowling was really rather innocuous, though Tew, whose size belies his age, can keep a steady length.

The fielding of the side was very keen: Frazer was a fine catcher at mid-off, Snell an active cover, and Kingsley and Awdry really good at 'extra,' but once again there was a marked weakness in the slips, and the throwing-in left a great deal to be desired. Awdry is this year's captain and with five old choices, including Kingsley and Snell, to help him and the certainty of hot competition for the remaining places, Winchester will hope to render a much better account of themselves.

I have spoken elsewhere of *Rugby's* match with Marlborough, but though that success is bound to form their pleasantest memory, it was but the crowning point to a really successful season. Of their eleven matches, six were won outright and none were lost, so that, if we except Sherborne, whose programme was greatly interfered with, Rugby, alone of the leading schools, enjoyed the distinction of being an unbeaten side. For this happy result they were, I think,

indebted more to good team work than to any cricketers of outstanding class, though to say this may involve some injustice to Milford and perhaps to Longrigg. A glance at the averages will show that there was batting all the way down the side, better evidently than the form at Lord's suggested. Longrigg was certainly the soundest, as he was the most successful, of them: his defence is really good and he has learnt the most important of all a batsman's duties, particularly in a wet year—to watch the ball: like many left handers he is very strong on the leg side, and his great effort at Lord's, which proved his spirit as well as his skill, was a fitting climax to a fine season's work. Next to him I am inclined to put Dawson, whose style is excellent: when he gets stronger, he should develop into a fine batsman. Cassels was somewhat of a disappointment: to look at he was the best of the lot, and there is no question of his gift for stroke-play, but he had had a nasty illness and this probably accounts for a certain lack of self-control at the wicket which often betrayed him into a fatal error just when he seemed to be safe for runs. Kittermaster was not attractive in style, but he watched the ball and must have been a most consistent performer to judge from his figures, whilst Pattison, the captain, could hit with the power which his splendid physique suggests. In a drier season he might have done something big, for he was a splendid off-driver, but as it was he was rather inclined to have a dash before he was really in. Milford owes his good average in great measure to one very long innings which indeed constitutes almost half his aggregate, and I suspect that this was rather typical of him. His batting offends against most of the canons, and if his eye or his luck were out I do not see how he could possibly survive long. But his is a very exceptional eye, and if fortune favours the brave, he deserves good fortune, so that he is very liable to play an innings which may scandalise the orthodox, but must delight every man who enjoys seeing what perfect wrists, eyesight, and a cheerful temperament can do in cricket. It was just such an innings that he played against 'The Rest' at Lord's, and extraordinarily good fun it was.

In bowling he and Cassels really carried the side on their shoulders: the latter kept a good length and, though there may not have been very much devil in his bowling, he was very valuable in keeping one end quiet whilst Milford did the damage at the other. Milford's record is extremely good: no other bowler at any of the leading schools took so many as fifty wickets, though Alpass of Clifton closely approached it, and their cost, considering the good sides that visit Rugby, was low. He is a much more orthodox bowler now than he once was, and has very wisely discarded the off-break except as occasional variety: he is now a slow left-hand bowler on conventional lines and his flight has sensibly improved. At Lord's at the end of term his length was not immaculate; but by

that time he had got through a great deal of work: those who have seen him most testify to his skilfulness of attack and his complete imperturbability when being hit. The Rugby change bowling was certainly not strong, but Coglean got some useful wickets, as well as runs, and Dawson is a left-hand bowler of possibilities. The fielding at Lords was very uneven, but I gather that that did not represent its normal form and that most of the side were quite good fielders, especially Longrigg, Dawson, and Cassels whose return was very smart. To sum up, Rugby may have been favoured by the wet year, and they may have been a bowler short, and their batting may not have been better than "beta plus," but the fact remains that their record is second to none among the schools and they did what they set out to do in their 'Test Match.' In the two other school fixtures, they beat Uppingham, though not easily, and the Clifton match was wrecked by rain.

Longrigg will lead them next year and four or five old choices, including Dawson, will be available.

In as much as *Marlborough* had to bow the knee to Rugby, they will probably look back to last season with less gratification than to most recent years, and I do not fancy that they were quite so strong as in 1923. Nevertheless they were most certainly one of the better sides, without perhaps that touch of distinction which would have lifted their batting or bowling from the highly respectable into the dangerous.

Of the Rugby match I have spoken elsewhere: Winchester they met fairly early in the season, very early if we equate time to experience, and in an interesting though not spectacular game they came near to defeat, only to save themselves by a plucky last wicket stand. Wellington they dealt with comfortably by a margin of four wickets, and Cheltenham by 180 runs, but only after a considerable struggle against the clock and some most dogged, if dull, batting. In their two-day club matches they played an even draw with Liverpool, defeated with some ease quite a good side of O.M.'s, and lost by one wicket to a strong M.C.C. team after a splendid game of cricket.

Somehow or other *Marlborough* seem to have discovered the secret of producing sides all of whom can bat and—which is much more surprising—more than half of whom can bowl, and this year was no exception. True they most of them bowled rather similar stuff, except for Hawthorne, and none of the batting, except in the case of Coleridge, was likely to set the Thames on fire. But the bowling was generally to something like a length, and the bat was pretty straight and quite prepared to hit the bad ball fiercely. To mention a few individuals, Waydelin and Harbinson were two

steady, resolute batsmen who did a lot of honest and invaluable spade work, whilst the former was also an accurate medium-paced bowler, who used his head quite well and the latter a fine extra-cover. Chappel too, was sound, whilst Stevens was very much the reverse but blessed with a splendid eye, and the knack of coming off when runs were wanted. Hawthorne was undoubtedly the best bowler and got through an immense amount of work: bowling left-handed medium pace, he had a quick swing in to the batsman, and could also straighten the ball back at times: moreover his length was good and only a certain lack of variety and imagination prevented him from being a performer of class. Bowley—no negligible batsman, had a high action, could make the ball nip up quickly from the pitch, and got his wickets very cheaply, whilst Knight had considerable natural gifts. But, when all is said, the backbone—and inspiration—of the side lay in their captain, Coleridge. If I was never to see him play cricket again I should think of him as a fieldsman first, then as a batsman, and only incidentally as a bowler. All the same he was very useful in the latter role, and his four wickets for 30 did a good deal to settle the Wellington match. As a batsman he must, I am afraid, have rather scandalized them at Lord's, for he lifts his bat high above his head in the secondary position à la A. C. Maclaren: well, perhaps, there may be a hole or two in his defence, but his attacking powers more than make up for it. Quick on his feet and with a fine eye and strong wrists, he is a merciless and most effective hooker, and equally on the look out for a chance to drive. In school matches he was at his very best, and his aggregate of 500 runs for the season means batting out of the common. As a bowler, then, useful, as a batsman dangerous, but as a fielder, without qualification, magnificent. I have never seen a school cricketer make the catching of hard hits look more of a certainty, whilst in getting to and stopping the hardest hits his agility and sureness was remarkable. Withal a cheerful and enterprising individual—I would have him on my side every time.

From *Malvern* comes a report pitched in rather a lower key than usual. They appear to have had even more rain than most of us and with practice only intermittently possible for the first six weeks of the term, several of their batsmen never really ran into form at all, though their figures, compared with most schools, are presentable enough. Moreover, the bowling is described as weak and the fielding—with a few exceptions—'not good.' But, when all is said, they won three matches as against only two losses, both against really strong sides, and the victories included the all-important games with Repton and Westminster. In the latter match, at Vincent Square, there was really only one side in it: Holmes played one of his most brilliant innings and five bowlers all had a hand in dismissing Westminster for two quite modest totals.

The Repton match deserves a paragraph to itself : on a perfect wicket the visitors seemed to have batted adequately to run up a score of 308, but once again Holmes took charge, with a splendid 138, and the score was passed with but four men out : the last five wickets, however, went down rather cheaply, but Malvern left off with a lead of 110, big enough to make their opponents uneasy and rather abandon their natural game. The result was that five wickets fell for very few runs, but then Valentine and Barber stuck, and when six o'clock struck Repton were 30 ahead with two men still to go. At last Rennick, who had batted nobly, was beautifully caught by Holmes at slip, and Malvern were left with 35 to get in fifteen minutes. Holmes hit the first ball he received—fast and of a good length—back over the bowler's head for four : Toppin was stumped almost at once, but the next five minutes settled the match. In all only seventeen balls were bowled, and Holmes was not out 28 at the finish. In their enthusiasm for their own heroes Malvern reserved the heartiest cheers for the losers who had bowled for wickets, and not to keep the runs down : a great game and a worthy successor to the dramatic finish of last year.

Of the Malvern batsmen Holmes, of course, stood out by himself : for a month or so he did not really get going, but thereafter proceeded uninterruptedly from triumph to triumph. Whether he will be numbered among the greatest of Malvern batsmen it is left for his experience at Oxford and with Surrey to prove, but there can be no doubt that he would have been first choice for any schools' eleven this year. Blest with splendid physique and a sturdy, cheerful, temperament, he had this year improved his defence without sacrificing any of his brilliancy in attack : without exception those who saw him play one of his big innings spoke with unqualified admiration of his ease and power. In such a season his average of 74 is really phenomenal.

After Holmes—*longo intervallo*—comes Welch who really did very well, without perhaps quite fulfilling his great promise of the year before : but if 1925 be a dry summer, he may give his opponents a great deal of trouble. Wadley and Granstock also did quite well, but there was decidedly not so much 'body' in the batting order as we are accustomed to find at Malvern. There were, not counting Holmes, five bowlers, all of whom got through a fair amount of work, but of these Greenstock (left-hand slow) alone took his wickets for under 20 runs each. His figures are good and as an all-round cricketer he may be worth watching at Oxford, for he is a fine field into the bargain. The rest of the bowling was not very formidable, though Welch, fast medium, could be difficult at times, and my correspondent laments the general tendency among the bowlers to 'monkey about' with swing and spin, when all they had to do most of the time was to bowl a length.

The fielding, as I have said, was not satisfactory, but Holmes is very agile in the slips, and Toppin and Welch were both above the average. The last-named is captain elect for the year and Toppin, Wadley and Hoefield will be available.

Uppingham were a youngish side and this was a poor year for the young cricketer. Nevertheless they came out with the really creditable record of six wins out of ten matches. Rugby, admittedly one of the best sides of the year, beat them, but only by 25 runs, and they must have had something of a fright before they got home. Haileybury were annihilated, thanks to some splendid batting by Atkins and Freeborough and some effective bowling by Moseley, Perkins, and McIntosh. Shrewsbury were beaten by 10 wickets (Enderby 130 not out and McIntosh ten wickets), while the game with Repton was drawn. Add the fact that the Free Foresters were dismissed for sixty-one and a strong side of Old Boys beaten in a very interesting game, and there is plenty of evidence that Uppingham were a force to be reckoned with.

I am inclined to think that their chief strength lay in their bowling ; McIntosh and James did most of the work, but were ably backed up by Perkins and Moseley. The first-named really did splendidly, and it is quite exceptional to hear of so young a bowler (he has still two more seasons at school) able to bowl getting on for 250 overs and keep his length to the end. James too, was admirably steady with his left-hand spinners, and his action is first-rate : what a pity that he is leaving young ! Perkins, also quite young, made a capital start with both bat and ball, and may well make a name for himself before he leaves. Beisiegel and Enderby both proved themselves dangerous batsmen of the hard-hitting type, and Freeborough, left-handed, played some valuable innings without showing any great variety of strokes. Most of the other men could bat a bit, but, as I said, they were a stronger side in the field than at the wicket : for apart from their very useful bowling the fielding was really splendid, thanks in great measure, to the enthusiasm and example of their captain Atkins. Beisiegel at cover, Enderby at slip, Freeborough in the gully, and Pope at short leg were all exceptional performers, and Paterson was a thoroughly reliable stumper. He will captain the eleven this year, and with five more to help him, including most of the best of last year, Uppingham may well turn out a strong side.

Last year I wrote of the *Shrewsbury* prospects as more than ordinarily promising and it is disappointing now to have to record that that promise was not fulfilled. The batting was quite presentable without being distinguished, but the bowling, of which so much had been hoped, did not turn out well. Pomeroy, who had shown good form with the ball in 1923, could do little on the slow wickets and also

suffered from his marked improvement as a batsman. Shaw and Foster were both 'swingers,' who wanted hard wickets to bowl on, and were apt to be expensive from lack of length, and Dodd, the fastest of the regular bowlers, had only occasional good days. Thus though possessed of five or six men who bowled more or less regularly, the Shrewsbury attack was spasmodic rather than sustained. The batting was somewhat of the same order: Barker, the captain, who had done so well in the previous year, was rather out of luck and though he always looked good for runs, did not very often get them: Watson and Howland were hard hitters without very much defence: Longrigg was a steady, plodding batsman who often did real good work in blocking up an end on the dead wickets. Staples, who is very young, showed real promise, and Shelton batted as well as any of them in the later matches. The most attractive batsman was Pomeroy, who played splendidly for 104 against Liverpool and 70 not out in the Repton match: he has fine wrists and is a beautiful cutter, but he will have to improve his defence if he is really to make a mark. Of Shrewsbury's dozen fixtures as many as eight were drawn, and these included the games with Repton and Rossall: the feature of the former game was a really gallant stand by Pomeroy and Howland when all seemed lost, whilst Rossall were only saved by time from decisive defeat.

The feature of the *Repton* season was undoubtedly the dramatic finish to the Malvern match of which I have already spoken. The other two school games, with Shrewsbury and Uppingham, were drawn, but in each Repton had some way the best of matters. Against Shrewsbury they batted adequately for a total of 233, then got seven of the opposition out for 37, only to be balked of victory by a very plucky eighth wicket stand. In the Uppingham match both sides were dismissed for 119 at their first venture—indifferent batting. Repton did rather better at their second attempt, and Renwick looked like running through their opponents very quickly, when another stand for the eighth wicket—of a sternly defensive nature—saved the day. Renwick's ten wickets and Watts' scores of 27 and 52 were the features of a rather commonplace game: I am afraid, however, that I am still too much of a partisan to accept the Uppingham verdict—"an even draw"! Of their ten club fixtures Repton drew four and won two.

Watts, hero of the 1923 Malvern match, this time made 145 against them and was clearly the most dangerous bat on the side; and his figures for so wet a year and in the north country are good. Austin was very sound and correct, and Valentine, who is quite young, has great natural gifts which may ripen into something out of the common. The captain, Burton, and Barber were two other useful men and most of the rest of them could bat a bit. They

lacked, however, any batsmen of real class. In bowling, too much depended on Renwick and Piper: Burton could bowl leg-spinners with a lot of spin, but was not very reliable, and the rest of the change bowling was mediocre. Piper's figures are good, and, though he rather failed to maintain his early form, he was a sound school bowler on conventional medium-paced lines. Renwick only had days of success, but I am sure that in a dry season he would have made a name for himself. He is tall and strong, has a good action, carrying the impetus of his run well through into the delivery, and he bowls definitely fast for school cricket. I shall watch his doings this year with considerable interest. The Reptonians' fielding was good, and Buckland and Burton were both slip fielders much above the average. What shall we say then? A serviceable side, not likely to run the best of their contemporaries very close, but good enough to meet the average school eleven with fair hopes of success. This year, with Renwick (captain) and Piper still there to bowl, and a useful lot of batsmen, including Valentine and Austin, they may well go somewhat further.

The *Cheltenham* averages certainly make very modest reading, but for the first half of the season at least the ground there was so saturated as to make practice very difficult, and the Cheltenham wicket is not of the easiest after rain. Eventually the weather in the west relented a little and the side came on rapidly, ending by giving quite a good account of themselves at Lord's where Haileybury were outplayed and easily defeated. In the other school fixtures they went down before Marlborough away, and played a pretty even draw on a fast wicket with Clifton in a somewhat unenterprising and featureless game. There was a good deal of bowling without any man of great class, but Lane did capitally for his first year in the side, and it was unlucky for him personally that a sprain prevented him from being in his best form at Lord's. In batting it was rather a case of Thomas first and the rest nowhere: of his 404 runs 325 came from three innings (132 v. Haileybury, 119 against the O.C.'s, and 74 against Clifton), so that he can hardly be labelled consistent, but when in the vein he was a brave-hearted aggressive batsman who went for the bowling and struck it hard and well. No one else comes out with much of a record, but Higson, besides doing very useful work as a bowler, often showed most stubborn defence and struggled manfully against disaster, as a captain should, in the Marlborough match. Indeed he was at his best against the rival schools. Hogg and Adams both played well against Clifton, and Hill, a left-hander, was useful. Tyler, a capital wicket-keeper, was, like Thomas, selected for the Lord's Schools v. the Rest. Next season Higson will again captain the eleven, and five old choices, including Tyler and Lane, will be with him.

Haileybury with a young and very inexperienced eleven had perforce to be content with a very modest record, only one of their eleven matches being won as against eight lost. They were really no match for either Uppingham or Cheltenham, but against the latter they had at least the solid satisfaction of showing the Lord's critics how excellently they could field. The batting was very weak, only Johnston and Ramsbotham having an average of twenty, but F. M. Heywood, who was only fifteen, showed distinct promise. In bowling Murray, slow left-hand, was another quite young cricketer who did well and a good deal is hoped of Wennink, and of Hall-Thompson who should train on into a good bowler of pace.

Very wisely *Haileybury* prefer to turn their backs on a season in which experience had to be somewhat expensively bought and look forward to the new year when their young players, reinforced by a good deal of promising talent below, may well be expected to give a much better account of themselves.

Of *Clifton's* eleven matches three had to be abandoned altogether and four were drawn: of the remainder two were won and two lost. In a sense the slow wickets suited them, as the strength of the side rather lay in its slow bowling, supported, as a rule, by very good catching. Alpass, who will probably captain the team this summer, got though a great deal of work—indeed he seems to have bowled almost permanently—and comes out with a really good record: his length was consistent and his swing from the off (he bowls slow left) very disconcerting, especially with the new ball. Ives, too, did well with his leg-breaks, and Hudson was quite a useful change. The batting was not particularly strong, but Aslett and L'Estrange were a good pair to open the innings, and in their partnership of 100 against Cheltenham showed first rate form. Their tendency to force the game was distinctly paying on the wet grounds. Nuttall, too, played some useful innings, and there were one or two others capable of good work.

In their school games *Clifton* were only saved by time from defeat by *Rugby*, though they got six of the latter out for 95 as against their own moderate score of 110. The *Cheltenham* match was drawn after some fairly prolific scoring, but the slowness of the *Cheltenham* batting made any chance of success remote from early on. With the majority of their side available again, *Clifton* hope to give a really good account of themselves in the coming year.

Wellington's played as many as five school matches, but of these the *Haileybury* game was abandoned altogether owing to the weather, the *Charterhouse* match ruined from the same cause, while the fixtures with *Marlborough*, *Westminster*, and *Bradfield* were all lost. So once again *Wellington* have to wait for the tide

to turn. In batting, though their figures do not really read badly, they had only one player of class, Dobson, and though it would be unfair to compare his figures with those of 1923, he was not, I understand, so good, certainly not so consistent, a player as a twelve-month ago. His chief support was Foord, who, I believe, is to captain the side next year. The bowling was not strong, with the exception of Bryan who was always doing something with the ball and, with a little more luck, might have come out with much more striking figures. Johnson found the dead grounds unsuited to his pace, but did useful all-round work. The rest of the bowling was very mediocre, and I am told that the *Wellington* bowlers tend to regard the swerve as the be-all and end-all of attack, a sorry delusion, if it be true, especially on wet wickets.

The great disappointment of the *Tonbridge* season was that their fixture with *Clifton* at Lord's had to be abandoned without a ball being bowled: this was all the harder luck as *Tonbridge* were quite a fair side, not as in some previous years depending on any individual star, but relying on collective efficiency. Mitchell was certainly much their best batsman and a pretty good one too. At present he is rather slow and does not drive the ball much, but his defence is very good, he watches the ball better than is usual with school batsmen, and his general method is certainly secure and convincing. He may very well develop into a really good player. Of the other batsmen, MacDermid was a dangerous hitter, but Letts and Miller only gave intermittent evidence of the form that is surely there. The captain, Hubbard, bowled his leg breaks with a good deal of success, though he has not yet acquired the control necessary to make him really good. There were two fastish bowlers in Scott and MacDermid, but they were handicapped by the weather conditions and by the lack of a good slip fielder, though in other respects the fielding was well up to the mark, and Tedder kept wicket well. Mitchell was really the steadiest bowler of the side and clearly proved the value of length coupled with the once conventional break-back. He will captain the eleven this year, and with seven other old colours probably available, should be able to work up a strongish side, though at present the bowling seems likely to be stronger than the batting. In their other school match *Tonbridge* were defeated by *Lancing*, owing to a bad break-down in the batting, and lost six other games as against a solitary win.

Westminster were rather an inexperienced side and failed to produce quite enough backing to their one or two leaders who, capital cricketers though they were, were hardly the class of Taylor and Lowe. The best player in the eleven was undoubtedly McBride, the captain, and it was most unfortunate that he was incapacitated

from playing in several of the games and from doing himself justice as a bowler in some of the others. His pace is quite fast, and though his action does not tend to make the ball 'lift,' it does involve a considerable 'body' break from the off, and his length is good, as he clearly showed in August at Lord's. In batting he rather belies his stature, for he is no driver, but a thoroughly sound back-player with great facility in all the on-side and leg strokes. Jacomb-Hood may not yet have quite fulfilled his early promise, but his record is quite creditable, and in a drier season his natural gift of style may do more for him. Clare is attractive to watch, hits the ball hard, and played a really fine innings against Wellington. Too much depended upon these three, for the rest of the batting was not good. In bowling, apart from McBride, there was great promise in Cambell, a young spin bowler, who has already learnt to bowl a length and got many people caught at slip off his 'going-away' ball. Ormorod was a fairly useful change, but the rest of the attack was terribly expensive. The fielding was not remarkable, but McBride had a vast and safe pair of hands, Clare and Sharpley-Smith were good slip catchers, and young Cook earned his place as really good deep field. Robson, too, showed excellent form behind the stumps.

In school matches Westminster were heavily beaten by Malvern—McBride could not bowl; Wellington were defeated thanks to Clare's batting and Cambell's five for 40, as were Radley against whom McBride scored a century. In the game with Charterhouse they got nine of the enemy out for 190, but then the attack fell to pieces and allowed the last wicket to add a hundred runs and, with the batting rather breaking down, Westminster were badly beaten. The Sherborne match was drawn, after the opposition had established themselves in a strong position. Clare captains the eleven this year, but I have no news as to his available resources, beyond the fact that there are some very promising young cricketers coming along.

Charterhouse drew with Wellington and Winchester—the rain ruining both games, but they beat both Harrow and Westminster for the second year running, and I am inclined to think they were a better side than many and certainly stronger than they have been for some little time past. Against Harrow their three bowlers, Blundell, Fawcett and Carter all did well, and only the two Butterworths saved the enemy from being dismissed for a score bordering on the ludicrous: even so Charterhouse had to fight desperately hard for the runs against some good bowling, and Blundell could get little help until Fletcher came along at No. 7, when together by some manful, if not too orthodox, blows they settled the issue. Against Westminster their batsmen were in much better form, and

after Carter, Morgan and Munroe, particularly the latter, had all done well, Fletcher and Kemp-Welch covered themselves with glory by putting on 100 for the last wicket. Then Blundell and Carter bowled well and in spite of a captain's innings by McBride, Charterhouse just got home, thanks to the last Westminster batsman running himself out for a wholly valueless single!

Blundell was undoubtedly the mainstay of the side and his record both with bat and ball is good. He is tall and brings the ball down from a fine height and at a good pace with the result that it often got up nastily and he could swing it as well: as a batsman he is not by any means orthodox, but he has a good eye and would swing the bat with great good will: in a dry season he might have done nothing out of the ordinary, but last year a capacity for hitting 4's covered, and rightly, a multitude of sins. The other batsmen were not, perhaps, anything out of the way, but they were a very level lot, all capable of getting runs; Munroe, who came into the side late, was an attractive player, and both Fletcher and Carter were dangerous hitters.

To support Blundell in the attack there was Fawcett who bowled right hand slow to medium with considerable accuracy, some ingenuity, and a late swing, and Carter who was fastish. Kemp-Welch did not bowl very much, but showed promise. In short the Charterhouse attack was above the average, as their figures certainly suggest, and it was supported by good fielding, with Fletcher quite exceptionally good at slip.

Morgan will be captain in 1925 and will have four old colours, including Fawcett.

Last winter I ventured to predict a successful season for *Sherborne* in 1924, and only untoward circumstances prevented the prophecy from being amply fulfilled. Mumps broke out early in the term and thus knocked on the head the fixtures with Radley and Blundells, and then, to make matters worse, rain more or less ruined the remaining three school games, so that Sherborne had to go scalpless in these all-important meetings. This was shocking luck, as they had done well enough in all three to make the stoppages thoroughly exasperating, and were, I believe, good enough to have accounted decisively for Radley and Blundells. The batting was most consistent: everybody in fact could make runs, though the latter half of the side did not have over many opportunities of match practice, and the bowling, supported by excellent catching and fielding, was thoroughly dependable. To take the latter first, Neve and Forbes-Bassett were an excellent pair who were never really collared: Neve was the faster of the two and naturally

found the dead wickets all against him, but he showed a great advance in steadiness: Forbes-Bassett was not blest with the best of luck, or his figures would have been still better. Haines, too, was very steady and Bond proved a useful change.

In batting, too much weight cannot be attached to the averages, representing, as they do, a small amount of match cricket, but Eglinton's form was most promising both in attack and defence, Wright a fine hitter, and Grant a model of consistency. As for the captain, Nunn, I was prepared on his form at Lord's to put him very high amongst the year's batsmen, and his figures certainly confirm that opinion. He is the type of player that was much more common twenty years ago than now, upstanding, fluent, and full of strokes, especially on the off-side: moreover he is a splendid fielder at cover with a fast return, and had an admirable head and temperament combined for his office as captain. Sherborne are lucky to have him to lead them again this summer and with Wright, Neve, and Eglinton all remaining they may continue in the path of prosperity.

The *Radley* side last year was well up to standard: they had no stars of the magnitude of Blair or Dawnay, but they had three quite good batsmen and respectable backing to them in the body of the side: moreover they played all their cricket in very good heart. In bowling they were blest with that *rara avis* a school fast bowler who could keep going for a long time without losing his length or direction. This was McConaghy, and the Cambridge captain will do well to keep an eye on him, especially in view of some excellent performances in holiday cricket last August. Polglasse was very steady at the other end, and there was a fair amount of change bowling. Of batsmen Rowland easily heads the list, and though he failed to keep up his early brilliance, he was selected by Berkshire in their final match for the championship. Morris was an attractive player who hit the ball hard, though there were holes in his defence, and Barmby was a natural stroke-player of considerable gifts. The fielding is said to have been good, and Percival was a success behind the stumps.

In school matches *Radley* were soundly beaten by Westminster, playing a long way below form, but defeated *Bradfield* with ease (Barmby 81, McConaghy five for 19). Percival is captain this year, but has only two of his colleagues left over from 1924.

Bradfield had a really good all-round cricketer in Wagener, a dangerous bowler in Birkbeck, and a good batsman in Oliver. The rest of the side were ordinary performers, but after an indifferent start they improved steadily, and ended the season with a most creditable sequence of four consecutive wins, two of them over

strong sides. It was unfortunate that for the second year running they should have collapsed at *Radley* and that for once Wagener should have thoroughly failed, but he made ample amends against *Wellington* and his century brought *Bradfield* home comfortable winners. In other matches their best performance was the victory over M.C.C., when they bowled out a strong batting side on a fast wicket for 50 odd runs.

For Wagener the season was a real triumph: tall and rather thin, he bowls right hand fast medium and, particularly with the new ball, has a most disconcertingly abrupt in-swerve: his control of direction is very good, he bowls to hit the wicket, and he has, for a bowler of his type, the supreme merit of keeping the ball right up. He bats left-handed and aggressively, with plenty of strokes and very considerable power: his innings against *Bergquist's XI* reached a very high level. As he is also a good catcher and blest with the temperament that thrives on a crisis, he is obviously a man to be watched at Cambridge where he has now gone. Birkbeck did not, perhaps, develop quite so much as had been hoped: he was not consistent, but there were days when his left hand spin bowling was really difficult. With so much depending on three men, *Bradfield* could not be labelled a really good side, but their two bowlers made them distinctly dangerous.

St. Paul's had a thoroughly useful lot of cricketers, who blended together into a capital whole. Ten men on the side averaged double figures with the bat, and at the head of them were three good players in *Killick*, *Mills*, and *Nash*. The first-named was the most consistent, as is to be expected when we hear that he is a first-rate back-player for whom a slow wicket had no terrors, but he had strokes too, and his innings of 148 not out v. *Bedford*, and 56 v. *Leys* were splendid efforts. *Nash*, too, bats in admirable form and was for some weeks a model of consistency, whilst *Mills* was a fine hitter who knew how to use his wrists. The last two were also most useful bowlers, *Mills* fast, *Nash* slow medium with a leg-swing, and altogether they must be written down all-round players out of the common. But the best of the bowlers was *Dudeney*, a slowish bowler of immaculate length with considerable command of spin and flight. The batting against *St. Paul's* is generally on the strong side and his figures are most creditable. Moreover he captained the side extremely well. The fielding was respectable and *Rigby* kept wicket splendidly all the season.

Of their thirteen fixtures *St. Paul's* won and lost five: *Dulwich* beat them, but they had the better of matters with both *Bedford* and *Leys*.

Nash, who played for Berkshire in their championship final, will be captain this year, and with five old colours, including Killick, to help him, he may be able to build up a strong side.

Oundle had a fine side in 1923 and I gather that they were every bit as good, if not better, last season. In fact, I should very much like to have seen them pitted against one of the stronger school sides, for I believe they would have given a good account of themselves. As it was their only school game was with Leys, who were no match for them. Their batting was strong and aggressive—witness their 275 in two and a half hours against the Notts Amateurs—the bowling reliable and of excellent variety, the fielding good and keen, and in Walters they had a first-rate wicket-keeper and an admirable captain. Newton heads the batting averages and is a young player of great resource and steadiness, and a variety of strokes: his is a fine record, and he was an admirable complement to Wykes and Mair. These two are both forcing batsmen of exceptional ability, and Wykes, a tall left-hander who plays all his strokes with great ease and fluency, is thought to be on an equal with any batsman Oundle has turned out. His century against Pembroke was a grand innings. He is, too, a fine slip-catcher. Oundle had four regular bowlers, Barker, fast right with a quick off-break, Woodhead medium with flight and a late swerve, Lloyd a good steady 'up and downer,' and Reekie, a young leg-spinner who skittled out Leys with great éclat. No wonder then, with such resources, that they won seven of their eleven fixtures outright. Well done, Oundle, continue the good work this year.

Wellingborough had two good slow bowlers in Burgess and Needes who together did almost all that was required of them: perhaps it was lucky that the wickets were nearly all soft, for there was no bowler of pace, though Gamble, who was medium, came on considerably towards the end of the season. Needes was really very successful and could turn the ball either way. Burgess, apart from the good work he did with his leg-breaks, was a much improved forcing batsman who scored a century against the M.C.C. Peck's record in such a season is definitely good and he is said to be a correct and taking player, whilst Timms and Richmond, the captain, also did quite well, the latter playing a splendid innings of over 80 against Bedford. This and the Trent match were both won—in the latter Needes took eight for 43 including the hat-trick—and in addition four victories were gained in the club matches as against a total of five defeats. Altogether Wellingborough were a greatly improved side and, what is more, they look forward to the coming season with some confidence.

Dulwich had to build up a more or less new eleven, and a record of but one victory against seven losses in a programme of thirteen

matches does not look as if they had been very successful. As a matter of fact, however, as soon as the weather improved at the end of June, the side came on with leaps and bounds and at the end of the season were quite a good combination. Perhaps the batting could never be called very strong, but Lawrence, Rimbault and Wright all showed good form; the first-named scored a fine century against St. Paul's and enabled his side to win a splendid victory by four wickets, which made up for many previous disappointments. Rimbault was an attractive type of player, and with Lawrence was responsible for a great partnership in the M.C.C. match. The bowling was well up to standard: Wright reinforced his good work as a batsman by bowling slow leg breaks very steadily, Woodhouse, the captain and a really useful all-rounder, could always be relied upon to keep one end going with his medium-pace, and Tanner's fine record did not flatter his skill. Six-foot-four in height, though only sixteen years old, he bowls fast medium and keeps a splendid length: in a drier season he may prove something of a terror. The competent attack was supported by excellent fielding and Vieille was a sound wicket-keeper. In their other school matches Dulwich went down badly to Bedford, but had done well enough against Brighton and Cranleigh to make the draws all the more tantalising. With nine old colours likely to be available this season, Dulwich have great hopes of turning out a powerful side.

Highgate had a great season. In fifteen matches they only lost once—what a pity it should have been a school game—against Cranleigh—they annihilated their four other school antagonists, and some of their cricket in the club fixtures reached a very high level. Robins, their captain, had really an *annus mirabilis*, and there can be no doubt that he is an all-round cricketer of great natural gifts. In batting he is full of strokes and these are supported by a fine eye, supple wrists, and very quick footwork: his hooking and on-driving are really first-rate, and he 'gets busy' from the moment he reaches the wicket. Four centuries stand to his credit, and his batting for the Rest against the Lord's Schools in August delighted everybody who saw it. As a bowler his school record is equally good: here too he is full of variety, for at times he will bowl pure spinners something after the style of J. W. Hearne, and then again he will turn himself into a fastish bowler, as King's Canterbury, know to their cost, for did he not take nine of their wickets for 12 runs? Add to this prowess the fact that he is a splendid field and thrower—he is, like so many great fielders, quite short in the leg—and that he led his team splendidly, and it will be clear how much Highgate owed to this fine young cricketer. But Robins was by no means the only pebble on the beach: his first-wicket partner, Paxton, was also an excellent and sound player

who four times helped his captain to put up a century or more for the first wicket. Moreover he, Stuart, and Hobson could all bowl very serviceably as well as bat, so that Highgate were really rich in all-rounders. The fielding too, was good and, under Robins' inspiration, very keen : Moore was a good slip, and Luck a capital wicket-keeper, who actually secured five victims to his own gloves against the M.C.C.

In the coming season Robins will be able to command almost the whole of his last year's side, and Highgate should be a most formidable proposition.

Inasmuch as *Bedford* won three out of their five school games, against Dulwich, Leys, and Merchant Taylors, as against two lost to St. Paul's and Wellingborough, they had some cause for satisfaction. There were good cricketers in the side, but there was not enough 'body' to it, and the fielding was not quite up to the mark. Reed did splendid work both as a forcing batsman and a slow-medium bowler : Proudlock bowled his fastish stuff very well for most of the season, and Fuller, a hard-working captain, and Rippon were sound defensive bats. But the change bowling was weak, and the later batsmen were unorthodox in method, and none too dependable in a crisis. Reed captains Bedford this year : three old choices remain.

Leys, Cambridge, had rather a disastrous time in their school games, losing five out of six : and, though they played much better in some of their club fixtures, there is no getting away from the weakness of their batting. They had one really fine player in Carnegie-Brown, a left-hander who, like many of his tribe, clearly loved to punch the ball and punched it hard and well. For Cambridgeshire in August he scored 104 and 86 against Lincolnshire, and as he is a brilliant mid-off, he may well be worth attention from the 'Varsity captain. Field and Smith were two other very useful men, but there was a very distinct tail, and when the leaders failed, disaster often followed. In bowling Smith bowled his slows with fine accuracy and much success and Foster and Field lent him useful help.

It was a real misfortune that neither of the two fixtures arranged between Sherborne and *Downside* ever took place : mumps cancelled the one and rain the other. They must have been thirsting for each other's blood, for they were both good sides, the best, in fact, that either school have had for years. Perhaps in a drier year *Downside* would have had some trouble with their bowling, which was distinctly limited in quantity, but as it was Van der Straken, Allan, and Quinn stuck to their work well, the first-named particularly being a model of reliability. True the opposition on several

occasions scored rather heavily, but the *Downside* batting was so strong that more often than not it pulled them through to victory and only once failed to save them from defeat. If he were not staying on for another summer, last season might well be known at *Downside* as 'Turnbull's year.' Certainly this batsman was amongst the best in school cricket, and if his champions want to go one stage further in their claims, they can at least point to his capital form for Glamorganshire on the difficult wickets of August and against some of the best bowling in the land. No doubt the wet season suited his style of play which favours the back stroke and the on-side for runs, but there can be no question about his class, and I shall look forward with real interest to see what he will do in a more normal summer. In the only two school matches he scored 81 v. *Blundells* and 184 v. *Bruton* ! Were it not for Turnbull, Allan's record would be remarkable enough, and a captain who can look back to an average of 50 in his year of leadership has every reason to feel satisfied with himself. As yet he is not too good a starter, but he can hit brilliantly, and his two centuries were fine efforts. Arbutnot, a good 'keep,' made many useful scores, and Cronin's style, in defence and attack alike, was most attractive. The whole side could bat, but the early players did so well that the tail had generally no other role, but to sit and applaud them.

Blundell's were no match for *Downside*, but won seven of their other eleven games outright. They were a well balanced side, with three competent bowlers and batting of sorts right down the order. Gabe-Jones, the captain, did splendid work with both bat and ball, though he was rather apt to get himself out from impetuosity. Stowell was the soundest batsman and a good off-driver, and Harman, a hard hitter who finished the year in great form. Apart from the captain, Wilson, a medium-pace bowler, kept a very good length and was always making the ball do a little one way or another.

I have not very much information from *Rossall*, but gather that, though by no means a strong side, they showed some improvement on their last year's form. Both their school matches were drawn, that with St. Bees in their favour, but against *Shrewsbury* they had the worst of the argument. Their batting was not at all bad : Holmes comes out with excellent figures, and is said to play in very attractive style, though apt to fish after the wide off-ball. Turnbull, too, did very well, and was most steady and consistent as his average suggests, while Whitcroft, who is still quite young, made a capital start as an opening batsman. In bowling Smith, a left-hand spinner, was very successful, Knight and Roncoroni, both of some pace, had some good days, and Turnbull emphasised his value by bowling medium pace very steadily : he could swing both ways.

Gibson will be captain this year and, with some promising material available, may be able to build up a side that will give a good account of itself.

Sedburgh drew both their school matches. The Stonyhurst game was ruined by rain: against Durham they were very badly down after the first innings, but, without getting on terms, they made a plucky recovery in the second. In batting Russell was the most consistent and by the end of the season was a strong player with a variety of strokes. McFarlan, Gamon, and Annandale all did good work. The two stock bowlers were King, fastish right, whose form showed great improvement, and Skene, slow-left, who found the wickets very much to his liking. Wakefield leads them next year and five old colours are left.

Brighton had, it would seem, a good deal the strongest side of all the Sussex schools: indeed I should much like to have seen them pitted against one of the stronger school sides, and believe they would have made them go all the way. Perhaps their batting was not altogether reliable and once or twice let them down rather badly, but, these occasions apart, there were plenty of runs in the side, and, what is more, the runs were made convincingly. Lyon comes out with splendid figures and, without sacrificing the excellent defence which he showed in 1923, has now mastered the scoring strokes and is altogether a batsman out of the ordinary. Peel, a left-hander, also did very well and was most enjoyable to watch when he got going: Chester's form showed a great advance and he is now a good driver and cutter, and Lyons was a sound dependable player. But I believe the chief strength of the Brighton side lay in their bowlers, who were never really collared all the year: indeed, only one side made more than 200 against them. Chester more than fulfilled the hopes formed of him last year, and his record of fifty-four wickets for eleven apiece is one of the best of the whole season's cricket: his action is good, so is his length, and he makes the ball nip off the pitch: next year he should be well in the running for a place in the representative match at Lord's. Recordon, too, came on capably, and his leg-breaks, as so often happens, were particularly successful in the inter-school games. Parris, who bowled at some pace, got through a great deal of work, and his length bowling was most valuable, whilst Green's left-hand slows often got wickets. Altogether it was a good attack, and it was supported by fielding which was above the average and a capital wicket-keeper in Levett. Lyon managed his side with great ability, and as a result they felt themselves to be, and played like, a team. Chester commands this season and with seven old colours available, Brighton's enemies may look out for further squalls.

Eastbourne, too, were a side above the average, though their averages read rather modestly. In school games they defeated King's School, Canterbury, very easily, but gave their admirers some very bad moments against Christ's Hospital by losing seven wickets for 53 against their opponents' total of 133, but Moretti, aided by luck, pulled them through and they won by a single wicket. Of their eleven club games they only won two and lost eight, but the opposition in many cases was very strong. Of the batsmen Morres was certainly the best: he is said to be a bit of a dasher as yet, but his performances were pretty consistent and he is certainly a player of gifts. Moreover, his left-hand bowling accounted for 28 wickets, so that he was clearly the big force on the side. Howell, the captain, made a number of useful scores, Heath did good work at No. 1, and Shaw, a good wicket-keeper, showed form with the bat that holds out real promise. The best bowler on the side was undoubtedly Morse, whose figures represent a fine harvest for the good seed of length and spin. He did very well in the 'young amateur' cricket in August. Beatson is a young left-hander who may get a good many people out when he learns to flight the ball as well as spin it. Last, but not least, the fielding all the year was splendid and the whole side were triers. If that spirit persists, Morres this year's captain, may look forward to his task with a light heart.

Lancing lost seven of their eight fixtures outright. They were a young side and their batsmen never really learnt to contend with the difficulties of a turning wicket: indeed only two individual scores over forty were made all the year. On the other hand the bowling was commendably steady and was backed up by really good fielding, with the result that run-getting against them was by no means an easy matter. This accounts for the one great satisfaction of the season, their victory over Tonbridge by 61 runs. Their batsmen did nothing very much, but their bowling was accurate, their catching and stopping splendid, and the victory a great recompense for other disappointments.

Melsome, the captain and a good one too, was the all-rounder of the team: he batted very steadily and bowled his slow off-breaks with great success. Reynolds, too, did good service in both departments, and Shaw's swing often got him wickets. There is some promising material in the offing at Lancing, and Broome, the captain elect, has no need to feel discouraged at his prospects.

Cranleigh had quite a good season: they drew with Dulwich and defeated Christ's Hospital, Epsom, and, last but by no means least, the redoubtable Highgate eleven. Raffety, a left-hander, had a great year both with the bat and the ball, and fairly earned

his trial for Bucks. McCanlis did not quite reproduce his bowling form of last year, but was nevertheless very successful. The batting, apart from Raffety, was not strong.

Christ's Hospital had a good cricketer in Kettle, whose century won the Epsom match, but the batting as a whole was weak, too many players concentrating on defence and ignoring the importance of getting runs somehow on the difficult wickets. The bowling was not at all bad and the fielding, under the leadership of Jennings, good, but the batsmen could not do enough to hold their other school opponents and the matches with Brighton, Eastbourne, and Cranleigh were all lost. Kettle will still be at school this year, and if the responsibilities of leadership are not too much for him, may develop into a fine player.

King's School, Canterbury, did really very well in their club games, but sorely disappointed their friends and themselves by breaking down in the school matches: the truth seems to have been that they were an inexperienced side and, when the pinch came, had not quite the nerve to blend valour with discretion. But there was certainly cricket in them, notably in V. St. Croix and Clarke, two very useful all rounders, in Terry a very steady bowler who got through a mass of work, and in the other St. Croix who often scored well.

Among other schools I hear good reports of *Whitgift* who actually went through the season undefeated and had an excellently balanced side, particularly strong in bowling and fortunate in its captain Savage, a most capable wicket-keeper. Salvi's bowling figures are certainly remarkable, and it is not surprising that *Whitgift* proved too strong for their school opponents. *Mill Hill*, too, had a capital year, and their batting was really very strong. Hume did splendidly both as batsman and bowler, and Taylor's record is very fine. The change bowling was weak, but as *Mill Hill* were never dismissed for under 200, the attack generally sufficed. They were defeated by *Felsted*, beat *Leys*, and had the best of a draw with *Merchant Taylors*. Their wins over the M.C.C. and *Beckenham* were really creditable. My information from *Felsted* is very scanty, but it is good to hear that they won all three school matches, and they were clearly a side to be reckoned with. Six men averaged over twenty, but their trump card was the bowling of Brown, a left hander, who was good enough to be picked for the Rest at Lord's, and subsequently for *Essex*.

I have, to my sorrow, no news from Scotland, beyond the fact that *Merchiston* had two very good bowlers in Mackenzie and Milligan and were unbeaten in the schools' championship, whilst *Loretto* quite failed to realise the hopes formed of them, though *Dykes* batted with consistent and outstanding success.

The following are the destinations of members of the schools' elevens, as they have been reported to me:—

	OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton	D. M. Bateson D. F. Landale H. A. Caccia G. W. Norris J. E. Tew	
Harrow	J. C. Butterworth	G. O. Brigstocke P. D. Proctor
Winchester	C. E. Frazer R. Horsley R. P. Wilson	
Rugby	D. S. Milford	
Cheltenham	N. M. Adams	K. S. Duleepsinhji M. R. Formby (from 1923 side).
Repton	R. J. de C. Barber V. A. Comyn	
Malvern	E. R. T. Holmes H. E. S. Bird J. W. Greenstock	H. C. D. Abrams
Uppingham		V. R. Moseley
Haileybury		K. A. W. Johnston J. A. Ramsbotham H. M. Chappel I. Mc. I. Stevens G. E. Blundell
Marlborough	J. K. Waydelin	
Charterhouse	G. S. Fletcher	
Wellington	S. C. Dobson A. J. Peech	
Westminster	W. N. McBride	R. W. P. Corman
Shrewsbury	B. C. Lee	R. L. Howland
Sherborne	R. G. Forbes-Bassett	A. L. Spens L. T. Bond A. P. Allan J. G. Wagener W. H. C. Birkbeck G. E. Oliver C. McConoghy
Downside		
Bradfield		
Radley	St. L. Morris J. Barmby D. H. Hibbert M. A. McCanlis	
Cranleigh		P. B. Mair H. Borrie and (in 1925) N. G. Wykes
Oundle		

	OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Felsted		G. R. R. Browne
		G. H. Lintott
Leys		G. Carnegie-Brown
		L. R. Smith
		W. R. R. Brash
		R. W. B. Fuller
Bedford	C. G. Simpson	
Wellingborough	J. R. B. Richmond	
Brighton	G. W. F. Lyon	
Lancing		R. T. Davidson
K. S. Canterbury	O. H. St. Croix	
Whitgift		F. Savage

The following will be at Woolwich : G. A. Thomas and E. L. Vuilliamy (Cheltenham) ; M. B. Turner and J. P. Johnson (Wellington) ; G. Peddie (Sherborne) ; J. L. Proudlock (Bedford) ;

The following will be at Sandhurst : A. J. H. Cassels (Rugby) ; C. J. M. Watts (Repton) ; E. L. Foord (Wellington) ; N. T. Loring (Marlborough) ; J. N. Carter (Charterhouse).

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1924.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury,
and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Saturday, May 17.—A much stronger team at all points, King's College School won by 74 runs.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL.

C. I. Clarke b Mackay..... 6	G. L. Loe b Cotman..... 25
E. F. Hughes c Novis b Cotman... 20	J. V. Blackman b Shilling..... 5
P. R. Heather b Cotman..... 5	K. E. R. Webb not out..... 15
B. Boxall c Cotman b Gaffney..... 8	G. W. Gale not out..... 2
L. Na Ranong run out..... 4	Byes, etc. 37
D. A. Collier c Newton b Cotman 71	—
G. L. Brown c Newton b Mackay 10	—
	*208

* Innings declared closed.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. Newson c Hughes b Na Ranong 0	G. N. Thomas c Blackman b Webb 10
J. F. Newton lbw, b Collier..... 37	A. L. Loe b Novis not out..... 40
J. S. Cotman b Na Ranong..... 3	D. B. Campbell b Na Ranong... 2
H. D. Mackay lbw, b Loe..... 8	R. D. Robinson b Blackman.... 21
W. J. Leaning c Boxall b Loe..... 0	Byes, etc. 8
G. J. M. Shilling c Boxall b Na Ranong 0	—
J. H. R. Gaffney c Clarke b Gale... 5	134

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, May 17.—The Whitgift bowling proved altogether too strong for U.C.S., who were beaten by 56 runs. H. H. Peart took six wickets for 12.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

G. P. Goodwin lbw, b Stevens..... 20	P. W. Brook b Lloyd..... 0
J. H. Russell b Freeman..... 7	J. E. Cox b Greer..... 5
E. Fielden b Wright..... 3	M. C. Butterworth b Freeman... 2
H. H. Peart b Lloyd..... 12	J. B. S. Salvi not out..... 0
F. Savage lbw, b Wright..... 10	Byes, etc. 11
G. P. Scott b Wright..... 0	—
E. C. Avenell c Hankins b Lloyd... 8	78

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

S. M. Abelson b Peart..... 2	D. M. Lloyd b Russell..... 8
J. W. Hankins b Russell..... 0	J. G. Hepburn b Peart..... 6
D. J. L. Stevens b Peart..... 0	J. F. Greer not out..... 0
R. B. D. Wright lbw, b Peart... 1	G. A. Freeman b Peart..... 0
W. V. Robins b Russell..... 0	Byes, etc. 2
F. G. Millard run out..... 0	—
R. M. Wilson lbw, b Peart..... 3	22

DULWICH COLLEGE v. BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, May 24.—Ruined by rain the match was left drawn.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

G. W. F. Lyon not out..... 41	C. B. Barnard not out..... 0
L. G. R. Chester c Woodhouse b Tanner..... 3	Byes, etc. 3
L. W. Recordon b Woodhouse.... 3	—
J. H. Green c Perts b Tanner..... 0	73
R. A. Lyons c Woodhouse b Perts 16	J. E. Parris
R. A. Peel run out..... 2	P. C. Hordern
A. J. May c Tanner b Wright.... 5	W. H. Levett

} did not bat

DULWICH COLLEGE did not bat.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, May 29.—Marlborough were 45 runs behind with the last two batsmen together when the match was given up as a draw.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

R. H. Horsley c Coleridge b Hawthorn..... 18	A. J. P. Sellar run out..... 8
C. E. Frazer b Hawthorn..... 6	M. B. S. Bower c Knight b Waydelin..... 14
C. A. L. Guise b Knight..... 30	E. S. Lambert b Waydelin..... 1
E. Snell c Coleridge b Stevens... 50	P. D. Jephson not out..... 0
P. G. T. Kingsley c Loring b Harbinson..... 0	Byes, etc. 28
R. P. Wilson c Coleridge b Stevens 22	—
C. E. Awdry c Coleridge b Waydelin 14	191

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

W. K. Harbinson b Awdry..... 3	I. McI. Stevens lbw, b Kingsley.. 15
S. A. Block c Guise b Awdry..... 8	A. J. Hawthorn run out..... 9
J. L. Waydelin c Lambert b Bower 21	A. P. W. Bowley not out..... 15
R. H. Coleridge c Kingsley b Bower 22	L. C. Nunn not out..... 3
N. T. Loring c Frazer b Kingsley 0	Byes, etc. 8
H. M. Chappel c and b Kingsley.. 37	—
H. G. Knight c Guise b Kingsley.. 5	146

DULWICH COLLEGE v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, May 31.—Bedford, for whom J. L. Proudlock took eleven wickets for 35, won by an innings and seven runs.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

E. A. Lawrence b Reed..... 4	—	b Proudlock..... 1
P. W. Wright c Kellett b Proudlock.. 0	—	c and b Reed..... 1
H. D. Hill b Proudlock..... 17	—	c Lane b Proudlock..... 5
F. M. Woodhouse c Kellett b Proudlock 1	—	b Proudlock..... 6
J. W. Perts b Reed..... 1	—	b Proudlock..... 4
K. S. Dilawar b Reed..... 1	—	c and b Reed..... 1
W. K. Proctor lbw, b Reed..... 0	—	lbw, b Proudlock..... 0
G. A. Rimbault c Proudlock b Reed.. 0	—	st Lane b Reed..... 4
K. H. Davison b Proudlock..... 1	—	b Proudlock..... 24
J. A. Velle not out..... 0	—	not out..... 0
F. J. A. Tanner st Lane b Proudlock.. 0	—	c Lane b Reed..... 0
Byes, etc. 6	—	Byes, etc. 8
	32	54

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

C. W. Rippon b Davison.....	17	C. Simpson b Davison.....	0
R. W. B. Fuller b Woodhouse.....	10	B. W. Lane not out.....	10
A. B. McVittie run out.....	4	O. J. Crosman b Potts.....	0
R. Kellett b Wright.....	6	J. L. Proudlock b Tanner.....	3
C. N. Reed c Perts b Woodhouse.....	7	Byes, etc.....	9
R. D. Stewart b Woodhouse.....	0		
B. C. Yarde c Perts b Tanner.....	27		93

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY v. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, June 3.—Very weak in batting King's were always fighting a losing battle and Dover, for whom W. M. A. Harris took six wickets for 19, won easily.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

V. C. de St. Croix c Tennant b Harris	1	C. G. Stonehouse b Harris.....	0
W. K. Clarke c Huntly b Harris.	4	A. L. Hill b Beamish.....	1
J. A. D. Slater c Gibbs b Beamish	5	J. H. Terry c Tennant b Harris	2
O. H. de St. Croix b Harris.....	0	A. R. Blackledge b Beamish.....	1
R. S. Mount lbw, b Beamish.....	0	Byes, etc.....	2
P. E. Nicholls not out.....	17		
L. B. Andrews c Beamish b Harris	0		33

DOVER COLLEGE.

G. M. Watson c Hill b Terry.....	12	A. R. Huntly b Clarke.....	38
R. C. N. Jenney c and b V. C. St. Croix.....	35	K. F. Gibbs b V. C. St. Croix.....	11
N. C. Fuente c and b Clarke.....	25	F. H. Ashby c V. C. St. Croix b Terry	5
L. R. Mayall c V. E. St. Croix b Clarke.....	12	W. J. de C. Beamish not out.....	4
W. M. A. Harris b Clarke.....	4	Byes, etc.....	2
S. C. Smallman c Blackledge b O. H. St. Croix.....	3		158
S. G. Tennant c Nicolls b Clarke	7		

LEYS SCHOOL v. OUNDLE SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Tuesday, June 3.—Oundle put their opponents in on winning the toss and the skilful bowling of H. E. Reekie helped considerably to bring about a success by four wickets.

LEYS SCHOOL.

R. G. Field lbw, b Barker.....	16	G. N. Carrell c Lloyd b Reekie.....	1
J. Lindsay c Wykes b Lloyd.....	4	W. R. B. Brash not out.....	2
G. Carnegie-Brown c Woodhead b Reekie.....	56	H. Rocyn-Jones c Barker b Reekie.....	9
T. D. Page st Walters b Reekie	0	L. H. Birch b Barker.....	1
E. R. Hargreaves c Walters b Reekie	3	Byes, etc.....	8
L. R. Smith lbw, b Reekie.....	1		
P. C. M. Foster c Woodhead b Reekie	1		102

Oundle School.

N. G. Wykes c Page b Smith.....	3	P. C. C. Cayley not out.....	6
E. H. Waiters c Birch b Smith.....	39	Byes, etc.....	4
J. B. Newton c Field b Smith.....	38		
H. Borrie b Foster.....	21		148
R. M. Woodhead c Foster b Smith	8	H. E. Reekie	} did not bat
J. W. Leech c Brash b Foster.....	2	T. D. Barker	
A. G. Webb not out.....	27	N. D. Lloyd	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 3, 4.—Much superior all round, Sherborne could not follow up the strong advantage gained on the first day, rain preventing further cricket. G. C. W. Neve (8 for 34) bowled well.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

L. Clare b Neve.....	2	A. Clare b Neve.....	2
J. W. Jacob-Hood b Neve.....	13	C. A. Harvey c Grant b Neve.....	0
J. H. Robson c Forbes-Bassett b Neve	5	G. E. Johnstone not out.....	7
R. W. P. Gorman hit wkt., b Bond	38	D. R. F. Cambell b Neve.....	4
T. G. C. Lund lbw, b Neve.....	0	Byes, etc.....	12
A. M. Shepley-Smith c Grant b Bond	32		
J. A. Cook b Neve.....	11		126

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

J. A. C. Grant c Harvey b Johnstone	23	R. G. Forbes-Bassett	} did not bat
R. Eglinton c Lund b Johnstone	67	A. L. Spens	
J. A. Nunn b Johnstone.....	75	H. D. Latham	
F. H. Wright not out.....	27	G. C. W. Neve	
J. E. P. Rawlins not out.....	14	W. P. Haines	
Byes, etc.....	6	L. T. Bond	
	212		

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Wednesday, Thursday, June 4, 5.—Rugby could not force a victory, rain interfering.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

G. F. L'Estrange c Connolly b Cassels	0	R. M. Nuttall hit wkt., b Dawson	1
G. G. Aslett c and b Milford.....	37	K. M. Buckley b Kittermaster.....	3
W. C. Carr c Kittermaster b Milford	38	H. J. H. Alpass b Cassels.....	8
I. H. L. Saltmarsh c Cassels b Bradshaw.....	1	G. S. Painter not out.....	1
H. A. Sargeant c Titley b Milford	0	Byes, etc.....	5
J. C. Hudson c and b Cassels.....	11		
K. S. Green hit wkt., b Dawson.....	5		110

RUGBY SCHOOL.

E. M. Dawson st Sargeant b Alpass	14	J. F. Connolly not out.....	1
A. J. H. Cassels b Hudson.....	37	Byes, etc.....	4
M. R. Kittermaster b Hudson.....	4		
A. G. Bradshaw b Hudson.....	0		95
D. S. Milford c Carr b Alpass.....	21	U. A. Titley	} did not bat
P. B. L. Coghlan not out.....	11	K. D. Cole	
R. W. A. Speed b Hudson.....	3	J. E. Roberts	

REPTON COLLEGE v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at REPTON, Thursday, Friday, June 5, 6.—An enjoyable match ended in a draw, resolute batting by J. C. B. Perkins preventing any possibility of Uppingham being beaten.

REPTON COLLEGE.		UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.	
B. H. Valentine b James	19	c Patterson b McBride	23
H. W. Austin c Pope b Macintosh	0	b McIntosh	22
R. O. Burton c Moseley b Perkins	25	c and b McIntosh	0
R. L. Latham c Beisiegel b James	10	run out	3
C. J. Watts b McBride	27	b McIntosh	52
J. W. Buckland c Atkins b Perkins	11	c Freeborough b Perkins	0
R. J. Barber lbw, b Perkins	11	c McBride b Perkins	17
P. R. Hill run out	0	b McIntosh	5
D. S. Piper c and b Moseley	2	not out	36
S. H. Sharpley not out	4	c Atkins b James	1
E. W. Rennick c and b McIntosh	4	not out	12
Byes, etc.	6	Byes, etc.	6
	119		*177

* Innings declared closed.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.		REPTON COLLEGE.	
J. S. Atkins b Rennick	4	c Watts b Rennick	2
J. H. Pope c Rennick	6	b Piper	4
W. K. Beisiegel b Piper	24	c Latham b Rennick	8
J. S. Freeborough b Burton	5	b Piper	12
J. C. B. Perkins hit wkt., b Renwick	15	not out	28
R. I. F. McIntosh b Hill	12	c Hill b Rennick	3
S. Enderby b Piper	30	b Rennick	5
R. C. Patterson c Piper b Rennick	1	c Buckland b Rennick	2
C. J. McBride c Sharpley b Piper	0	not out	9
J. S. James b Rennick	3	did not bat	—
U. R. Moseley not out	4	did not bat	—
Byes, etc.	15	Byes, etc.	9
	119		82

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. ST. BEES' SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Friday, Saturday, June 6, 7.—Rossall had a superior batting side and E. G. Holmes played a bright innings of 85, but the match had to be left drawn.

ST. BEES' SCHOOL.		ROSSALL SCHOOL.	
W.N.C. Gillmore c and b Roncoroni	11	C. M. Russell-Leonard b Turnbull	3
J.T. Crawford c Whitcroft b Turnbull	7	F. Mennim not out	24
A.G. Holloway c Footman b Turnbull	25	J. D. C. Thompson c Smith b Turnbull	13
B. X. Jessop c Service b Smith	35	bull	13
G. L. Ogilvy b Jones	26	H. K. Robinson b Jones	1
F. G. Daldy c Sharp b Smith	0	Byes, etc.	10
C. F. Myerscough b Smith	0		155

ROSSALL SCHOOL.		ST. BEES' SCHOOL.	
A. M. D. Whitcroft c and b Holloway	39	N. B. Smith b Russell-Leonard	6
E. G. Holmes c Robinson b Mennim	85	J.G. Turnbull c Ogilvy b Holloway	20
J. A. Roncoroni b Holloway	3	C. W. F. Footman not out	3
J. C. Sharp lbw, b Russell-Leonard	23	J. M. Jones c Mennim b Holloway	4
T. N. Service st Robinson b Russell-Leonard	0	Byes, etc.	7
K. D. Gibson c Holloway b Mennim	1		201
J. C. Hammond c Ogilvy b Mennim	10		

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 7.—Bedford won by 65 runs, Leys finding the bowling of C. N. Reed too good for them.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.		LEYS SCHOOL.	
R. W. B. Fuller b Sherwin	46	C. G. Simpson c Carnegie-Brown	0
C. W. Rippon c Birch b Sherwin	29	b Sherwin	0
C. A. B. MacVittie c Birch b Sherwin	1	O. G. Crossman c and b Field	0
R. Kellett b Smith	6	J. L. Proudlock b Smith	9
B. C. Yarde b Smith	16	C. M. Pryce not out	2
C. N. Reed c Brash b Smith	13	Byes, etc.	2
B. W. Lane b Sherwin	5		129
R. G. Field b Proudlock	2	G. N. Carrell c Simpson b Reed	4
I. Lindsay c and b Reed	9	R. W. Sherwin c and b Reed	6
G. Carnegie-Brown b Reed	3	P. C. M. Foster not out	4
T. D. Page b Proudlock	2	L. H. Birch b Proudlock	0
E. R. Hargreaves c and b Reed	1	Byes, etc.	11
W. R. B. Brash c Lane b Reed	21		64
L. R. Smith c Lane b Reed	1		

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at BRIGHTON, Saturday, June 7.—Drawn, altogether in favour of Brighton. L. G. R. Chester played a splendid three-figure innings, while L. W. Recordon, after batting with distinction, took four wickets for five runs.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.		EPSOM COLLEGE.	
G. W. F. Lyon b Cotman	2	J. H. Green	} did not bat
L. G. R. Chester not out	104	R. A. Lyons	
L. W. Recordon c Thomas b Robinson	86	C. B. Barnard	
R. A. Peel not out	18	C. A. Lawrence	
Byes, etc.	17	A. J. May	
		J. E. Parris	
		W. H. Levett	
	*227		

* Innings declared closed.

EPSOM COLLEGE.		BRIGHTON COLLEGE.	
J. S. Cotman c Green b Chester	26	C. R. Schofield st Levett b Recordon	0
J. Newson lbw, b Recordon	20	T. C. Murphy not out	1
W. J. Leaning b Parris	0	Byes, etc.	9
J. N. E. Thomas c Chester b Green	7		83
A. L. Novis c Lawrence b Recordon	10	R. D. Robinson	} did not bat
J. D. Parsons not out	10	O. Ive	
J. H. R. Gaffney st Levett b Recordon	0		

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. HARROW SCHOOL.

Played at CHARTERHOUSE, Saturday, June 7.—After some remarkably interesting cricket Charterhouse won by 10 runs. Bowlers always held the advantage on a difficult wicket.

HARROW SCHOOL.		CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.	
J. V. Hermon b Blundell	10	G. O. Brigstocke c Blundell b Fawcett	4
J. C. Butterworth not out	31	F. M. Bucher c Blundell b Fawcett	5
K. E. Crawley b Blundell	0	P. O. Proctor c and b Fawcett	0
N. M. Ford b Blundell	3	R. H. Palmer run out	0
R. E. C. Butterworth c Fletcher b Carter	22	Byes, etc.	9
Hon. S. A. Maxwell c and b Carter	2		86
C. M. Andreae c Sewell b Carter	0		

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

T. A. Eccles b Butterworth	11	G. D. Kemp-Welch c Proctor b	
G. E. Blundell lbw, b Butterworth	23	Andraea	1
J. T. Morgan c Brigstocke b		G. M. Goodbody b Brigstocke . .	11
Butterworth	1	F. P. Fawcett b Crawley	11
R. C. Bett c Brigstocke b Butter-		G. H. Sewell not out	0
worth	6	Byes, etc.	15
C. E. S. Gordon c and b Crawley . .	8		—
J. N. Carter c Andraea b Butter-			96
worth	1		
G. S. Fletcher c Brigstocke b Palmer	18		

CITY OF LONDON v. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at CATFORD, on Saturday, June 7.—Hurstpierpoint, for whom D. G. Jeffery took six wickets for 11, won very easily.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

A. A. E. Moore b Jeffery	24	D. A. Wakeford b Jeffery	0
B. B. Cohen c and b Jeffery	3	A. R. Fulford b Kirk	7
L. D. Gilliam b Allison	3	J. H. N. Hobday c Jeffery b Allison	7
G. L. M. Tippet c Gilkes b Jeffery	2	J. H. Trice not out	4
W. E. Radcliffe b Jeffery	5	Byes, etc.	5
W. K. Fulford b Michels	2		—
L. J. Genn c Cowen b Jeffery	6		68

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

K. D. Gilkes b Radcliffe	20	D. G. Jeffery b Genn	0
W. G. Michels b Genn	24	S. Cowen lbw, b Moore	23
N. R. Brigg b Hobday	8	G. L. D. Allison b Genn	10
I. C. McLean b Genn	1	J. L. Kirk not out	0
V. J. Grinstead lbw, b Genn	32	Byes, etc.	18
A. F. W. Hurlstone b Radcliffe . .	1		—
M. W. Wilson lbw, b Genn	4		141

OAKHAM SCHOOL v. BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

Played at OAKHAM, Saturday, June 7.—A splendid innings by G. O. Brooks for Oakham, was the feature of this drawn match.

OAKHAM SCHOOL.

G. O. Brooks c Ward b Cotton	98	R. E. Jackson run out	17
P. N. C. Sinker run out	0	J. Marson c Wilcocks b Babb . . .	9
C. H. Schwind b Quarry	0	M. H. Jones b Quarry	2
H. C. Bristow c Ward b Quarry . . .	3	J. H. Fisher not out	3
I. C. Hill b Riddehough	1	Byes, etc.	6
J. C. B. Thompson b Quarry	3		—
J. M. A. Ennion b Cotton	7		149

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

A. B. Poole c Thompson b Jones	14	J. E. Riddehough b Jones	1
C. H. Williams b Schwind	1	C. H. J. Ward not out	1
R. D. Cotton c Ennion b Jones . . .	37	Byes, etc.	7
B. A. Babb c Fisher b Schwind . . .	16		—
J. T. Thody not out	27		118
N. B. Larby b Schwind	0	C. H. Quarry	} did not bat
C. P. Bennett c Sinker b Bristow	14	H. R. Wilcocks	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Saturday, June 7.—Rain spoiled this drawn match.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

J. A. C. Grant run out	43	J. E. F. Rawlins	} did not bat
R. Eglington b Gordon	74	R. G. Forbes-Bassett	
J. A. Nunn not out	50	A. L. Spens	
F. H. Wright not out	5	H. D. Latham	
Byes, etc.	6	G. C. W. Neve	
	—	W. P. Haines	
	*178	L. T. Bond	

* Innings declared closed.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull c Bond b Haines	38	J. Van der Straten	} did not bat
C. A. Maxwell-Lefroy b Bond	10	C. C. Markes	
R. F. Cronin not out	55	G. R. Gordon	
R. B. Lamb c Grant b Haines	26	J. A. Hutton	
A. P. Allan b Forbes-Bassett	13	P. A. Quinn	
R. C. Arbuthnot not out	6		
Byes, etc.	1		
	149		

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at Tonbridge, Saturday, June 7.—Good bowling by G. W. Shaw (six wickets for 21 runs) contributed largely to the success of Lancing by 56 runs.

LANCING COLLEGE.

R. G. W. Melsome c Scott b Hubbard	4	J. F. Godwin c Tedder b Hubbard	13
R. T. Davidson b Holman	14	N. R. Reynolds run out	10
J. R. Willis b Mitchell	6	J. T. Rivett-Carnac c Miller b	
D. E. Eve c McDermid b Hubbard	5	Mitchell	13
H. H. Phillips b McDermid	0	J. H. Cook not out	22
B. Brooke c Hubbard b O'M		Byes, etc.	12
Holman	23		—
G. W. Shaw c O'M. Holman b			122
Mitchell	0		

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

T. F. Mitchell not out	47	H. J. Tedder lbw, b Shaw	2
P. N. Miller b Shaw	0	H. M. O'M. Holman c and b Shaw	5
B. A. Roberts b Melsome	6	J. F. Scott lbw, b Reynolds	1
J. M. Bradshaw c and b Melsome . .	2	J. McDermid b Cook	0
J. H. Piesse b Shaw	0	Byes, etc.	1
A. S. G. Thompson c Willis b Shaw	0		—
R. C. Hubbard c Brooke b Shaw . . .	2		66

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at WELLINGTON, Saturday, June 7.—Except for S. C. Dobson, Wellington batted poorly and Marlborough won with four wickets to spare. R. H. Coleridge followed good bowling with an excellent 52, and H. M. Chappel also batted well.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

S. C. Dobson c and b Loring	41	W. V. Ritson b Coleridge	7
M. C. Turner lbw, b Bowley	15	R. C. Dobson c Hawthorn b Coleridge	18
A. S. James c Harbinson b Loring	21	R. Bryan c Hawthorn b Coleridge	3
E. J. Foard b Bowley	16	A. P. Dudley-Hill not out	1
S. M. Mitchell c Waydehn b Knight	4	Byes, etc.	26
R. F. A. David b Hawthorn	6		—
W. D. S. May b Coleridge	7		165

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

W. K. Harbinson lbw, b Bryan .. 5	I. Mc I. Stevens c R. C. Dobson	
S. A. Block c R. C. Dobson b	b David .. 26	
Turner .. 28	A. J. Hawthorn st R. C. Dobson	
J. K. Wayerdelin c Turner b Bryan 0	b David .. 1	
R. H. Coleridge b Bryan .. 52	A. P. W. Bowley b David .. 10	
N. T. Loring c James b May .. 17	L. C. Nunn not out .. 2	
H. M. Chappell c Mitchell b Foord 64	Byes, etc. 19	
H. G. Knight c S. C. Dobson b		226
Bryan .. 2		

FOREST SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at FOREST SCHOOL, Tuesday, June 10.—Drawn, rain interfering after Forest had batted.

FOREST SCHOOL.

W. E. Brown b Wolff .. 6	J. V. Gane b Longfield .. 7
C. R. Elwis c Longfield b Wolff .. 1	R. E. Wiles not out .. 3
B. D. Kennett lbw, b Potts .. 12	A. M. Sherwood b Longfield .. 0
N. D. Jekyll c Hockin b Longfield 24	N. J. B. Stuart c and b Potts .. 2
J. M. Barr b Longfield .. 18	Byes, etc. 13
L. W. Sims st Hockin b Longfield 23	
J. A. Seagram c and b Potts .. 0	
	109

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

T. C. Longfield not out .. 10	J. Hockin	} did not bat
E. H. Hawkins not out .. 10	H. B. Scutt	
	A. T. Fitter	
	K. C. Tours	
	W. E. Du Vivier	
	J. G. Potts	
	R. F. Linnett	
	M. A. Nelson	
20	A. J. Wolff	

LEYS SCHOOL, v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Tuesday, June 10. — G. Carnegie-Brown, Leys captain, batted admirably after good and varied bowling had dismissed U.C.S. cheaply and Leys won with plenty to spare.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

S. M. Abelson c Carnegie-Brown b	R. M. Wilson lbw, b Smith .. 4
Rocyn-Jones .. 1	E. E. Chambers lbw, b Field .. 4
D. M. Lloyd c and b Foster .. 6	J. F. Greer b Field .. 2
D. J. L. Stevens c Brash b Smith .. 3	G. A. Freeman not out .. 1
R. B. D. Wright b Rocyn-Jones .. 0	Byes, etc. 3
W. V. H. Robins c Foster b Smith 1	
N. H. Trace b Smith .. 7	
J. W. Hankins st Birch b Sherwin 7	
	39

LEYS SCHOOL.

I. Lindsay c Wright b Greer .. 0	L. R. Smith	} did not bat
W. R. B. Brash lbw, b Freeman .. 7	R. W. Sherwin	
G. Carnegie-Brown not out .. 106	G. N. Carrell	
R. G. Field b Wright .. 17	H. Rocyn-Jones	
T. D. Page not out .. 14	P. C. M. Foster	
Byes, etc. 7	L. H. Birch	
		151

CITY OF LONDON v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at CATFORD, on Wednesday, June 11.—Apart from L. D. Gilliam, City of London batted poorly and Whitgift won by five wickets.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

A. A. E. Moore b Russell .. 1	W. K. Fulford c Fielder b Russell 0
B. B. Cohen b Russell .. 12	J. H. N. Hobday c Peart b Russell 1
L. D. Gilliam not out .. 51	A. R. Fulford c Goodwin b Butter-
G. L. M. Tippet b Russell .. 0	worth .. 2
D. A. Wakeford b Russell .. 2	J. H. Trice run out .. 0
W. E. Radcliffe lbw, b Butterworth 4	Byes, etc. 5
L. J. Genn c Brook b Butterworth 6	
	84

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

G. P. Goodwin lbw, b Radcliffe .. 16	F. R. Ewing	} did not bat
E. Fielden c Tippet b Radcliffe .. 17	J. B. S. Salvi	
E. C. Avenell c Gilliam b Moore .. 5	M. Butterworth	
F. Savaage c Gilliam b Radcliffe .. 21	P. W. Brook	
H. H. Peart c Moore b Radcliffe .. 8		
J. H. Russell not out .. 6		
G. W. P. Scott not out .. 7		
Byes, etc. 9		
	89	

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at CHARTERHOUSE, Thursday, June 12.—Owing to rain cricket was restricted to forty minutes.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

R. H. Horsley not out .. 2	P. G. T. Kingsley	} did not bat
C. E. Frazer lbw, b Blundell .. 0	R. P. Wilson	
C. A. L. Guise c Bell b Blundell .. 6	M. B. S. Bower	
E. Snell not out .. 2	C. E. Awdry	
Byes, etc. 2	A. J. P. Sellar	
	E. S. Lambert	
	A. M. Trow	
	12	

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

G. E. Blundell, J. T. Morgan, R. C. Bett, J. N. Carter, T. A. Eccles, G. S. Fletcher, F. P. Fawcett, G. D. Kemp-Welch, C. E. S. Gordon, J. A. Hilton, and J. G. Munroe.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at TIVERTON, Saturday, June 14.—Very weak in batting Blundell's were well beaten. Playing on after passing their opponents' total Downside were 115 runs on with four wickets in hand at the close.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

H. I. Tremeneere b Quinn .. 0	J. W. Gittins run out .. 0
E. T. Benson c Hutton b Van der	R. Wilson c Lefroy b Allan .. 0
Straten .. 7	L. G. Newton c Arbutnot b Allan 1
N. C. Phillips c Quinn b Gordon .. 14	D. A. Block c Van der Stratten
A. R. Gabe-Jones b Quinn .. 1	b Quinn .. 9
H. G. Harman c Markes b Van der	Byes, etc. 6
Straten .. 10	
I. Scott-Dalgleish c Hutton b Allan 12	
C. L. Ashford not out .. 8	
	68

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull c Ashford b Scott- Dalgleish	81	C. C. Markes	} did not bat
A. P. Allan b Gabe-Jones	1	J. Van der Straten	
R. F. Cronin b Gabe-Jones	1	E. J. Hutton	
R. B. Lamb b Tremeneheere	13	P. A. Quinn	
C.A.M. Lefroy c Block b Gabe-Jones	47		
R.C. Arbuthnot c Harman b Tremeneheere	32		
G. R. Gordon not out	0		
Byes, etc.	8		
	183		

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

Played at HORSHAM, Saturday, June 14.—After a wonderfully exciting finish Eastbourne won by one wicket.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

F. H. Kemple b Anderson	34	T. L. Scott b Heath	12
N. W. Tilbury c Shaw b Anderson	2	G. E. Newcomb not out	12
O. G. Simpson c Else b Heath	16	A. J. Salisbury b Morse	0
H. G. Kettle c Morres b Anderson	10	E. J. Carter c Beatson b Morse	10
P. S. Osborne run out	2	C. F. Weekes b Beatson	3
G. H. Jennings c and b Morse	12	Byes, etc.	20
			133

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

A. F. Anderson b Weekes	15	F. H. Else b Weekes	3
A. A. Shaw run out	17	H. G. Burton c Jennings b Kemple	20
R. E. Heath b Weekes	0	N. Beatson not out	15
E. M. Morres c Simpson b Weekes	4	G. C. Morse not out	0
J. G. Mubbins b Kettle	1	Byes, etc.	10
C. S. Moretti b Weekes	49		
C. J. Heath c and b Weekes	0		134

DURHAM SCHOOL v. AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE.

Played at DURHAM, Saturday, June 14.—Their batting breaking down before the bowling of J. M. Turnbull and R. W. Smeddle, Ampleforth were well beaten.

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE.

G. H. Nelson b Smeddle	18	J. H. Nelson lbw, b Youngman ..	3
A. L. Ainscough b Askew	25	H. R. Welsh b Turnbull	0
E. H. King c Welsh b Smeddle	2	C. E. Wild b Turnbull	2
D. E. Walker c J. Adamson b		A. J. McDonald not out	9
Smeddle	5	B. E. Dee b Turnbull	2
T. C. Knowles lbw, b Youngman ..	1	Byes, etc.	7
G. G. Bond b Turnbull	3		77

DURHAM SCHOOL.

F. A. Youngman c Knowles b J. Nelson	57	J. G. Anderson b Bond	39
J. G. Askew lbw, b Nelson	12	C. D. Aavold c Bond b J. Nelson.	36
C. L. Adamson b Knowles	12	G. I. M. Turnbull b Ainscough.	19
J. A. Adamson b Ainscough	23	R. B. Cardale not out	3
B. Aavold lbw, b Knowles	0	Byes, etc.	6
E. F. Wright c Knowles b Ainscough	6		217
R. W. Smeddle c G. Nelson b Ainscough	4		

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, June 14.—St. Paul's for whom G. L. A. Dudeney took six wickets for 32 runs, won by five wickets.

LEYS SCHOOL.

I. Lindsay c Marshall b Mills	0	G. N. Carrell c Nash b Dudeney ..	28
W. R. B. Brash b Dudeney	0	H. Rocyn-Jones b Goodman	10
G. Carnegie-Brown c Garland-Wells b Dudeney	16	P. C. M. Foster c Rigby b Dudeney	2
R. G. Field c and b Mills	3	L. H. Birch not out	0
T. D. Page c and b Nash	6	Byes, etc.	10
L. R. Smith lbw, b Dudeney	0		91
R. W. Sherwin c Garland-Wells b Dudeney	16		

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

P. G. E. Nash c Carnegie-Brown b Field	16	G. A. Gibson not out	3
E. T. Killick c Brash b Field	55	Byes, etc.	7
H. M. Garland-Wells c Carnegie- Brown	2		129
F. E. T. Marshall c Birch b Foster	2	G. L. A. Dudeney	} did not bat
F. B. W. Mills c Carnegie-Brown b Smith	5	J. B. Anderson	
C. B. Cook not out	30	D. K. Rigby	
H. E. Goodman c Birch b Field	9		

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Wednesday, June 18.—Highgate lost by 51 runs despite the good bowling of R. W. V. Robins, who took six wickets for 74.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

M. A. McCanlis st Luck b Robins	30	J. G. Mundy b Robins	21
L. W. Paget lbw, b Robins	39	L. H. Lambert c Luck b Paxton	5
R. H. White run out	11	A. H. Hawkes b Paxton	3
C. V. Raffety b Robins	31	L. C. Hewitt not out	0
C. K. Wreford b Hobson	0	Byes, etc.	16
I. H. Cameron b Robins	3		169
O. D. Griffith b Robins	10		

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. W. V. Robins b McCanlis	9	C. O. M. Morris b Raffety	8
G. N. Paxten b Hewitt	15	K. B. Moore not out	16
G. D. Hobson b Hewitt	9	C. W. Fry b Raffety	4
R. L. Clarke b Hawkes	4	J. W. Luck b Raffety	0
R. L. Stuart b Hawkes	20	Byes, etc.	18
J. A. Carter run out	0		118
C. R. Orr b Raffety	15		

HARROW SCHOOL v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at HARROW, Thursday, June 19.—Harrow were a much stronger side, but they could not force a victory, Winchester being 158 behind with two wickets outstanding when the match was abandoned as a draw.

HARROW SCHOOL.

J. C. Butterworth c Bower b Lambert	19	G. O. Brigstocke not out	57
A. M. Crawley b Jephson	5	F. M. Bucher not out	32
K. E. Crawley c Horsley b Kingsley	35	Byes, etc.	6
R. E. C. Butterworth c Snell b Awdry	16		251
A. W. H. Baucher c Horsley b Jephson	70	G. E. Pennefather	} did not bat
N. M. Ford c Horsley b Jephson ..	4	P. O. Proctor	
C. M. Andreae c Snell b Jephson ..	7		

* Innings declared closed.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

C. E. Frazer b Brigstocke..... 4	C. E. Awdry b Brigstocke..... 7
R. H. Horsley c Proctor b Brigstocke 3	A. J. P. Sellar c Ford b Andreae... 5
R. P. Wilson b Brigstocke..... 10	P. D. Jephson not out..... 0
E. Snell not out..... 43	Byes, etc. 4
P. G. T. Kingsley c and b K. E. Crawley 13	93
P. J. Milligan c A. M. Crawley b K. E. Crawley 1	E. S. Lambert did not bat
M. B. S. Bower st Proctor b Andreae 3	

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. REPTON COLLEGE.

Played at SHREWSBURY, Thursday, June 19.—Shrewsbury managed to avoid defeat thanks to the sound batting of G. W. Pomeroy and R. L. Howland. The School were 59 behind with one wicket to fall when the match was given up as a draw.

REPTON COLLEGE.

B. H. Valentine b Dodd..... 36	E. W. Rennick b Watson..... 26
H. W. Austin b Watson..... 17	P. R. Hill run out..... 31
R. O. Burton b Pomeroy..... 28	V. A. Comyn not out..... 18
R. L. Latham run out..... 17	D. S. Piper c Watson b Dodd..... 8
C. J. Watts b Dodd..... 9	Byes, etc. 14
J. W. Buckland lbw, b Dodd..... 14	233
R. J. Barber b Foster..... 15	

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

A. T. Barber b Piper..... 5	R. F. Skelton b Comyn 1
J. F. Longrigg c Buckland b Burton 7	R. L. Howland c Buckland b Piper 59
C. N. Chovil c Comyn b Piper..... 0	C. E. Dodd not out..... 0
P. S. Snow c Latham b Piper..... 0	D. G. Foster c Latham b Burton... 13
G. S. Watson c Rennick b Comyn 11	Byes, etc. 8
G. W. Pomeroy not out..... 69	174
B. Smallpiece b Burton..... 1	

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGTON, Thursday, June 19.—On a difficult pitch, Wellington were dismissed cheaply and Westminster, after losing four wickets for 20, won with plenty to spare. L. Clare batted remarkably well.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

S. C. Dobson c Johnstone b Harvey 25	N. M. Peech c Lund b Cambell.. 0
R. F. A. David b Cambell..... 6	J. P. Johnson b Ormerod..... 13
A. J. James b Ormerod..... 1	R. Bryan not out..... 16
A. J. Peech c Clare b Harvey..... 0	A. P. Dudley-Hill c Lund b Cambell 11
E. J. Foord b Cambell..... 0	Byes, etc. 10
R. C. Dobson b Cambell..... 5	—
W. D. S. May c Gorman b Ormerod 29	116

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

J. W. Jacob-Hood c Bryan b May 0	G. E. Johnstone c R. C. Dobson b Bryan..... 3
T. G. Lund lbw, b Bryan..... 2	C. O. Ormerod c Foord b Johnson 12
A. M. Shapley-Smith b Bryan..... 8	C. A. Harvey not out..... 6
R. W. P. Gorman b May..... 11	D. R. Cambell b Johnson..... 4
L. Clare lbw, b Johnson..... 75	Byes, etc. 24
J. H. Robson c Bryan b May..... 22	—
J. A. Cook run out..... 4	171

BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at HOVE, Saturday, June 21.—Batsmen generally failed, but a good innings by L. G. R. Chester helped Brighton to win by 21 runs.

LANCING COLLEGE.

R. G. W. Melsome c Parris b Chester 58	J. H. Rivett-Carnac c Recordon b Chester..... 2
B. Brooke b Chester..... 2	J. H. Cook lbw, b Recordon..... 0
J. R. Willis c Recordon b Parris... 2	N. R. Reynolds not out..... 3
H. H. Phillips c Chester b Recordon 11	Byes, etc. 7
R. T. Davidson st Levett b Recordon 9	98
G. W. Shaw b Recordon..... 0	
N. L. de Garston c Lyon b Recordon 2	
B. Holton c Recordon b Chester... 2	

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

G. W. F. Lyon b Reynolds..... 9	C. A. Laurence c Willis b Melsome 0
L. G. R. Chester b Shaw..... 75	J. E. Parris b Shaw..... 2
L. W. Recordon b Cook..... 1	P. C. Hordern st Phillips b Melsome 1
C. B. Barnard lbw, b Reynolds... 3	W. H. Levett run out..... 2
R. A. Lyons run out..... 7	Byes, etc. 7
J. H. Green not out..... 8	—
R. A. Peel b Cook..... 4	119

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Saturday, June 21.—Drawn. Sherborne showed good batting, but the inclement weather cut the cricket short soon after Downside commenced their innings.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

J. A. C. Grant b Van der Straten... 10	G. C. W. Neve c Lamb b Hutton 14
R. Eglington c Van der Straten b Quinn..... 59	T. F. Witherby c Lefroy b Hutton 0
J. A. Nunn b Hutton..... 81	W. P. Haines c and b Gordon... 21
J. K. Hill c Turnbull b Van der Straten 7	L. T. Bond c Arbutnot b Van der Straten..... 5
R. G. Forbes-Bassett b Van der Straten..... 0	Byes, etc. 14
R. H. Kendal not out..... 38	250
A. L. Spens b Van der Straten... 1	

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull not out..... 15	R. B. Lamb..... 1
C. A. M. Lefroy st Spens b Neve 5	A. P. Allan..... 1
R. F. Cronin not out..... 4	R. C. Arbutnot..... 1
Byes, etc. 1	G. R. Gordon..... 1
25	C. C. Markes..... 1
	J. Van der Straten..... 1
	E. J. Hutton..... 1
	P. A. Quinn..... 1

} did not bat

FELSTED SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at FELSTED, Saturday, June 21.—Felsted won a very close game by four runs.

FELSTED SCHOOL.

A. N. Skelton lbw, b Taylor..... 8	G. R. R. Brown b Hume..... 10
D. Bowie b Taylor..... 3	G. Mason lbw, b Edgar..... 21
J. G. Tomlinson b Taylor..... 60	S. H. Gilbert c Catesby b Hume... 1
L. A. Powell c Beart b Edgar..... 20	F. Waters not out..... 0
G. W. S. Burton c Spong b Taylor 13	Byes, etc. 24
S. A. M. Parsons b Hume..... 67	231
G. H. Lintott b Taylor..... 4	

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

R. S. Spong c and b Tomlinson..... 38	C. C. Morrison b Tomlinson..... 2
J. C. Wolstenholme b Brown..... 0	A. J. C. Air b Brown..... 19
K. E. Beart lbw, b Brown..... 0	C. S. Harris b Brown..... 14
M. E. Edgar c Tomlinson b Brown 13	H. Taylor not out..... 1
J. W. G. Hume c Lintott b Brown 89	Byes, etc. 15
W. H. Sobey b Lintott..... 14	—
E. A. Catesby b Lintott..... 22	127

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

Played at HIGHGATE, Saturday, June 21.—Overwhelmingly superior in all phases of the game, Highgate won with the greatest ease by ten wickets. R. W. V. Robins and G. N. Paxten each played a brilliant three-figure innings.

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.	
W. F. Phillips lbw, b Hobson.....	18
L. Bywater c Luck b Robins.....	17
A. G. Locker b Paxton.....	7
T. G. Hughes run out.....	0
G. Boyek c Robins b Paxton.....	16
J. A. Sketchley lbw, b Paxton.....	0
D. K. Walker run out.....	4
67	
HIGHGATE SCHOOL.	
R. W. V. Robins c Davis b Bywater.....	143
G. W. Paxten c Hughes b Sketchley.....	124
R. L. Stuart c Bywater b Sketchley.....	27
R. L. Clarke not out.....	14
G. D. Hobson not out.....	10
Byes, etc.....	6
324	

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Saturday, June 21.—Drawn, Whitgift being 57 runs behind with four wickets in hand.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.	
E. F. Hughes c Peart b Russell.....	1
B. Boxall b Russell.....	43
D. A. Collier b Salvi.....	18
G. L. Loe b Salvi.....	4
L. Na Ranong lbw, b Russell.....	24
G. L. Brown run out.....	2
C. I. Clarke b Salvi.....	26
184	
WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.	
G. P. Goodwin b Gale.....	24
E. Fielden st Hughes b Gale.....	15
H. H. Peart b L. Na Ranong.....	18
J. H. Russell c and b Gale.....	2
E. C. Avenell b Mercer.....	34
F. Saavage c Collier b Blackman.....	6
F. R. Ewing not out.....	4
127	

J. V. Blackman b Butterworth.....	2
G. W. Gale c Butterworth b Avenell.....	23
K. E. R. Webb not out.....	21
A. D. Mercer c Avenell b Russell.....	16
Byes, etc.....	4
184	
J. B. S. Salvi.....	15
M. C. Butterworth.....	9
Byes, etc.....	9
127	

RADLEY COLLEGE v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

Played at RADLEY, Saturday, June 21.—Before the bowling of C. McConaghy (five for 19) Bradfield's batting broke down badly and the side were 130 runs behind with a wicket to fall when the match was left drawn.

RADLEY COLLEGE.	
J. Barmby c Ramsden b Breton.....	81
M. C. Perceval b Wagener.....	3
J. E. G. Rowland lbw, b Crouch.....	9
C. I. Collier c Nunn b Birkbeck.....	0
St. L. Morris b Birkbeck.....	12
G. C. A. Adams c Tudor Evans b Crouch.....	39
R. R. H. Few b Birkbeck.....	2
*236	
BRADFIELD COLLEGE.	
L. D. Cambridge b Wagener.....	23
D. H. Hibbert not out.....	21
E. B. J. Polglase b Birkbeck.....	14
C. McConaghy not out.....	3
Byes, etc.....	29

* Innings declared closed.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

G. E. Oliver c Polglase b Barmby.....	19
W. G. Crouch lbw, b Hibbert.....	0
C. R. Watson-Smythe c Adams b McConaghy.....	15
J. G. Wagener c Perceval b Barmby.....	3
R. G. Shaw b McConaghy.....	2
A. G. Tudor Evans lbw, b McConaghy.....	3
A. J. Waterfield c Collier b McConaghy.....	14
106	
G. N. N. Nunn b McConaghy.....	9
C. A. Ramsden lbw, b Morris.....	2
A. N. Breton not out.....	4
Byes, etc.....	35
166	
W. H. C. Birkbeck did not bat	

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGBOROUGH, Saturday, June 21.—Wellingborough won by 108 runs, capital batting particularly by I. R. R. Richmond paving the way.

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

I. R. R. Richmond c Pryce b Reed.....	83
H. T. H. Peck run out.....	28
J. G. Fisher c Kellett b Reed.....	21
J. E. Timms lbw, b Crossman.....	45
F. Rawlins c Reed b Crossman.....	5
A. A. Burgess run out.....	27
W. R. Page st Lane b Reed.....	2
244	

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

C. W. Rippon lbw, b Burgess.....	14
R. W. B. Fuller c Fisher b Needs.....	29
R. Kellett c Pike b Burgess.....	33
D. Gardner-Hopkins st Winter b Burgess.....	0
C. A. McVittie run out.....	0
B. C. Yarde c Winter b Burgess.....	16
C. N. Reed not out.....	33
136	
H. V. Pike c Eastwood b Crossman.....	3
G. Ainsworth lbw, b Reed.....	4
J. L. H. Winter b Eastwood.....	14
P. E. Needs not out.....	4
Byes, etc.....	8
244	

FELSTED SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at ALDENHAM, Tuesday, June 24.—Felsted won a very interesting match by one wicket. G. R. R. Brown and S. H. Gilbert batted well.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

T. C. Longfield c Tomlinson b Brown.....	18
E. H. Hawkins c Waters b Brown.....	1
J. Hockin lbw, b Evans.....	30
H. B. Scutt run out.....	15
S. R. C. Walsley b Gilbert.....	50
A. T. Fitter c Waters b Tomlinson.....	0
J. G. Potts b Tomlinson.....	0
150	

FELSTED SCHOOL.

A. N. Skelton c Wolff b Scutt.....	1
G. Mason c Longfield b Wolff.....	14
J. G. Tomlinson b Scutt.....	1
S. C. Powell b Wolff.....	0
S. A. M. Parsons b Wolff.....	13
G. H. Lintott lbw, b Wolff.....	9
G. W. S. Burton b Longfield.....	6
153	
R. F. Linnett run out.....	26
M. A. Nelson b Brown.....	1
A. J. Wolf c Skelton b Gilbert.....	2
B. E. Abbott run out.....	2
Byes, etc.....	5
150	
G. R. R. Brown not out.....	54
S. H. Gilbert b Longfield.....	44
H. H. Evans c Scutt b Wolff.....	1
F. Waters not out.....	7
Byes, etc.....	3

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HORSHAM, Wednesday, June 25.—C. V. Raffety, after taking six wickets for 22 runs, batted admirably, and had a big share in Cranleigh's win by 44 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

F. H. Kemple b Raffety.....	1	G. E. Newcomb b Hewitt.....	1
T. G. Jennings c Raffety b Hewitt..	3	A. J. Salisbury b Raffety.....	0
O. G. Simpson b Raffety.....	6	E. J. Carter c White b Raffety.....	5
H. G. Kettle b Raffety.....	22	C. F. Weekes not out.....	0
P. S. Osborne b Hewitt.....	30	Byes, etc.....	16
G. H. Jennings c Griffith b Hewitt..	6		—
T. L. Scott b Raffety.....	0		90

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

L. W. Paget c Kemple b Weekes..	1	I. H. Cameron lbw, b Kettle....	9
R. H. White c Simpson b Weekes..	13	L. H. Lambert b Kettle.....	14
C. V. Raffety lbw, b Kettle.....	35	A. H. Hawkes not out.....	6
A. Parlato b Salisbury.....	9	L. C. Hewitt c Carter b Weekes..	6
J. G. Mundy c Carter b Weekes.....	12	Byes, etc.....	20
C. K. Wreford c Scott b Kemple..	13		—
O. D. Griffith lbw, b Kemple....	6		134

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at UPPINGHAM, Wednesday, Thursday, June 25, 26.—A stronger side at all points Uppingham won by 271 runs. Skillful bowling by U. R. Moseley and a three-figure innings by J. S. Freeborough contributed largely to their success.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

J. S. Atkins c Venables b Young.....	3	—	st Baveystock b Scott.....	82
J. H. Pope c Baveystock b Young.....	17	—	c Johnston b Young.....	0
W. K. Beisiegel c Venables b Brown..	0	—	c Murray b Young.....	10
J. S. Freeborough lbw, b Hall-Thompson	35	—	c Baveystock b Hall-Thompson	121
			son.....	25
J. C. B. Perkins c Coburn b Brown....	0	—	lbw, b Young.....	25
S. Enderby c Scott b Murray.....	37	—	c Hall-Thompson b Young..	0
R. I. F. McIntosh c Scott b Murray ..	23	—	c Coburn b Brown.....	37
J. S. James c Johnston b Brown.....	6	—	c Venables b Murray.....	4
C. J. McBride c Hall-Thompson b Brown	11	—	not out.....	13
R. C. Paterson c Scott b Murray.....	4	—	not out.....	2
U. R. Moseley not out.....	0			—
Byes, etc.....	13		Byes, etc.....	7
	149			*301

* Innings declared closed.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

A. R. Battye b Moseley.....	7	—	b Moseley.....	6
D. F. Coburn c Enderby b Moseley....	14	—	run out.....	10
V. S. Venables run out.....	14	—	c Pope b Moseley.....	0
K. A. W. Johnston c Freeborough b Perkins.....	15	—	b McIntosh.....	0
P. J. A. Scott c Atkins b Perkins.....	5	—	lbw, b Perkins.....	8
C. M. Young lbw, b Perkins.....	3	—	b Moseley.....	8
J. A. Ramsbotham c Pope b McIntosh	25	—	not out.....	33
A. P. Hall-Thompson c Freeborough b Perkins.....	2	—	c Pope b McIntosh.....	0
R. T. Baveystock b McIntosh.....	3	—	c McIntosh b Moseley.....	0
R. J. D. Brown b Enderby.....	7	—	b Moseley.....	0
H. L. Murray not out.....	2	—	b Moseley.....	0
Byes, etc.....	4		Byes, etc.....	13
	101			78

WINCHESTER v. ETON.

Played at WINCHESTER, Friday, Saturday, June 27, 28.—Having the best of the game all through, Eton won comfortably by an innings and 19 runs. Winchester had one of the youngest teams—taken as a whole—of recent years, and they were outclassed both in batting and bowling. Little was given away in the field, but Eton, on winning the toss, found the conditions all in favour of run-getting. They occupied the wickets until the tea interval, running up a total of 409. Cobbold played a brilliant innings, scoring by skilful strokes all round the wicket, and the batting of Lord Hyde was scarcely less attractive. Winchester had three wickets down for 10, and despite some fine batting by Kingsley and Bower, they were all out for 223. When they followed on 186 behind, they had little chance of saving the match, especially as the pitch showed signs of wear. Three men were out for 19, and only Frazer could stay very long. First in and last out, he made, though handicapped by an injury to his hand, many fine hits. His plucky effort to avoid a single-innings defeat did not quite succeed.

ETON.

H. B. Hunloke b Tew.....	14	G. W. Norris c and b Lambert..	0
L. R. Percival c Lambert b Kingsley 33		H. A. Caccia b Lambert.....	10
C. J. Child c Frazer b Lambert..	41	D. F. Landale c Frazer b Lambert	6
R. H. Cobbold c Jephson b Awdry 149		D. M. Bateson not out.....	0
W. F. Barber b Kingsley.....	37	B 9, 1-b 1, n-b 1.....	11
Lord Hyde b Kingsley.....	96		—
J. E. Tew c Guise b Lambert....	12		409

WINCHESTER.

C. E. Frazer c Hyde b Cobbold.....	7	—	c Bateson b Cobbold.....	85
R. H. Horsley c Cobbold b Bateson...	0	—	b Bateson.....	3
R. P. Wilson run out.....	3	—	c Hyde b Caccia.....	3
E. Snell b Child.....	17	—	c Landale b Caccia.....	3
P. G. T. Kingsley c Caccia b Bateson..	57	—	c Percival b Caccia.....	18
C. A. L. Guise c Cobbold b Percival..	14	—	c Landale b Cobbold.....	28
M. B. S. Bower c Percival b Bateson..	82	—	c Bateson b Percival.....	21
C. E. Awdry b Percival.....	0	—	c Cobbold b Barber.....	0
P. D. Jephson b Bateson.....	6	—	b Bateson.....	0
E. S. Lambert c Hunloke b Bateson...	7	—	run out.....	0
A. M. Tew not out.....	3	—	not out.....	1
B 19, 1-b 3, w 5.....	27	—	B 5, w 2.....	7
	223			167

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Awdry.....	20	2	76	1				
Tew.....	26	1	74	1				
Lambert.....	16.1	1	62	5				
Kingsley.....	25	1	116	3				
Jephson.....	7	0	29	0				
Bower.....	10	0	32	0				
Snell.....	1	0	9	0				

ETON BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Bateson.....	28	7	65	5	24	13	30	2
Cobbold.....	26	10	48	1	17	3	47	2
Child.....	13	3	27	1	4	0	13	0
Percival.....	17	8	23	2	13	8	18	1
Norris.....	5	1	16	0	2	4	18	0
Barber.....	4	0	17	0	2	2	0	1
			Caccia.....	13	4	34	3	

Umpires: Attewell and Atfield.

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 99 runs
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1877	Eton won by inns. and 121 runs
1830	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1832	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1833	Eton won by 14 runs	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1835	Eton won by five wickets	1882	Winchester won by an inns. and 20 runs
1836	Eton won by two wickets	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1838	Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs	1884	Eton won by five wickets
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1885	Drawn
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1887	Eton won by nine wickets
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1888	Drawn
1843	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1889	Winchester won by 114 runs
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1890	Drawn
1845	A Tie	1891	Winchester won by five wkts.
1846	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1847	Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1893	Eton won by five wickets
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1849	Eton won by inns. and 27 runs	1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1850	Eton won by five wickets	1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1898	Drawn
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1854	Winchester won by three wkts.	1900	Drawn
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1856	Eton won by inns. and 4 runs	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1857	Eton won by inns. and 31 runs	1903	Eton won by 43 runs
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1859	Winchester won by three wkts.	1905	Drawn
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1906	Drawn
1861	Eton won by nine wickets	1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1862	Eton won by one wicket	1908	Eton won by inns. and 14 runs
1863	Eton won by inns. and 194 runs	1909	Drawn
1864	Eton won by nine wickets	1910	Drawn
1865	Drawn	1911	Eton won by 58 runs
1866	Eton won by ten wickets	1912	Eton won by inns. and 46 runs
1867	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1913	Eton won by inns. and 47 runs
1868	Eton won by eight wickets	1914	Drawn
1869	Eton won by inns. and 36 runs	1919	Winchester won by 69 runs
1870	Winchester won by one wicket	1920	Winchester won by 131 runs
1871	Winchester won by 8 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wkts.
1872	Eton won by inns and 125 runs	1922	Drawn
1873	Eton won by five wickets	1923	Drawn
1874	Eton won by inns. and 202 runs	1924	Eton won by an innings and 19 runs
1875	Eton won by five wickets		

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 91 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26 which has ended in a tie and thirteen have been drawn.

The one-day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at CRANLEIGH, Saturday, June 28.—Drawn, after some admirable batting on each side.

DULWICH COLLEGE.	
A. E. Lawrence lbw, b Hewitt	3
G. A. Rimbault lbw, b Hewitt	45
H. D. Hill b Raffety	10
P. W. Wright b Hewitt	60
J. W. Perts b Raffety	0
K. E. Pringle run out	61
F. M. Woodhouse c Raffety b Hawkes 4	—
F. J. A. Tanner did not bat	*265
* Innings declared closed.	
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.	
L. W. Paget st Vielle b Woodhouse 37	—
R. H. White c Vielle b Tanner	77
A. Parlato lbw, b Tanner	12
C. V. Raffety b Wright	0
J. G. Mundy c Hill b Wright	13
C. K. Wreford b Wright	49
O. D. Griffith lbw, b Wright	7
I. H. Cameron b Tanner	0
L. H. Lambert b Tanner	7
A. H. Hawkes not out	12
L. C. Hewitt not out	3
Byes, etc.	19
	236

LEYS SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday, June 28.—Consistent batting gained Mill Hill a victory by four wickets.

LEYS SCHOOL.	
W. R. B. Brash b Taylor	4
R. G. Field c Harris b Hume	0
G. Carnegie-Brown run out	33
I. Lindsay b Taylor	26
T. D. Page lbw, b Taylor	5
L. R. Smith c Sobey b Pool	36
R. W. Sherwin c and b Edgar	11
G. N. Carrell c and b Hume	4
H. Rocyn-Jones lbw, b Edgar	0
P. C. M. Foster hit wkt., b Edgar 7	—
L. H. Birch not out	35
Byes, etc.	8
	169
MILL HILL SCHOOL.	
J. W. G. Hume c Carrell b Carnegie-Brown	52
A. J. C. Air b Carnegie-Brown	41
R. S. Spong run out	5
K. E. Beart c Carrell b Carnegie-Brown	6
M. E. Edgar not out	53
W. H. Sobey b Field	23
E. A. Catesby st Birch b Carnegie-Brown	2
C. S. Harris not out	1
Byes, etc.	3
	186
C. C. Morrison } did not bat	—
H. H. Pool }	—
H. Taylor }	—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Monday, Tuesday, June 30, July 1.—Holding an advantage throughout, Malvern won easily by an innings and 97 runs. E. R. T. Holmes batted wonderfully well.

MALVERN COLLEGE.	
V. F. Chamberlayne c Shepley-Smith b Cambell	2
J. E. Davenport b Cambell	59
E. R. T. Holmes b Shepley-Smith 166	—
T. B. G. Welch b Shepley-Smith	49
N. J. P. Wadley run out	10
J. W. Greenstock b Ormerod	17
C. G. Toppin b Ormerod	0
E. B. Hoefield b Harvey	26
H. C. D. Abrams b Shepley-Smith 0	—
H. E. S. Bird not out	73
E. R. T. Wells c McBride b Harvey 9	—
Byes, etc.	21
	432

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

J. W. Jacomb-Hood lbw, b Abrams	18	— lbw, b Wells	0
T. G. Lund c Abrams b Wells	3	— c Abrams b Greenstock	28
R. W. P. Gorman b Wells	18	— b Toppin	20
J. H. Robson c Welch b Greenstock	33	— b Abrams	0
L. Clare b Greenstock	47	— hit wkt., b Toppin	12
W. N. McBride lbw, b Toppin	3	— b Holmes	44
A. M. Shepley-Smith run out	0	— c Hoefield b Holmes	3
J. A. Cook b Toppin	0	— c Abrams n Greenstock	1
C. O. Ormerod b Greenstock	0	— b Toppin	5
D. R. F. Cambell c Abrams b Holmes	35	— c Holmes b Greenstock	14
C. A. Harvey not out	9	— not out	0
Byes, etc.	16	Byes, etc.	26
	182		153

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at BELLINGHAM, Wednesday, July 2.—Merchant Taylors found the bowling of H. D. Mackay altogether too good and Epsom won with the greatest ease.

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

W. F. Phillips c Newson b Mackay	41	E. N. Greer st Newson b Murphy	3
L. Bywater b Mackay	1	K. M. Wilkins c and b Mackay	3
G. A. Loader c Newson b Mackay	0	D. K. Walker c Newson b Mackay	0
T. G. Hughes b Mackay	2	B. W. G. Davies b Mackay	0
B. W. Greenup b Mackay	15	Byes, etc.	6
J. A. Sketchley not out	9		80
G. Boyek c Parsons b Murphy	0		

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. Newson c Sketchley b Hughes	16	J. D. Parsons not out	20
H. D. Mackay b Davies	75	G. J. M. Schilling c and b Hughes	0
J. S. Cotman st Phillips b Sketchley	13	T. C. Murphy run out	4
J. N. E. Thomas b Hughes	21	R. D. Robinson c Sketchley b Loader	0
D. B. Campbell c and b Hughes	0	Byes, etc.	27
J. F. Newton c Loader b Hughes	3		—
A. M. Reid b Hughes	29		208

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGTON, Thursday, July 3—Soon after lunch play had to be abandoned owing to rain.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

M. B. Turner lbw, b Fawcett	2	W. D. S. May c Blundell b Fawcett	17
R. F. A. David st Morgan b Fawcett	3	J. P. Johnson run out	11
A. J. Peech c Morgan b Blundell	15	Byes, etc.	14
S. C. Dobson c Fletcher b Carter	27		125
E. J. Foord c Morgan b Kemp-Welch	11		
A. J. James b Kemp-Welch	0	R. Bryant	} did not bat
R. C. Dobson not out	25	W. V. Ritson	

*Innings declared closed.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

G. E. Blundell not out	0	J. N. Carter	} did not bat
T. A. Eccles not out	1	R. C. Bett	
		C. E. S. Gordon	
		J. T. Morgan	
		J. G. Munroe	
		J. P. Hilton	
		F. P. Fawcett	
	1	G. S. Fletcher	
		G. D. Kemp-Welch	

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at MARLBOROUGH, Friday, Saturday, July 4, 5. — Cheltenham found the task of scoring 317 runs to win quite beyond their powers and Marlborough proved successful by 183 runs.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

W. K. Harbinson b Lane	23	— b Lane	4
S. A. Block c Hogg b Van der Byl	9	— run out	16
J. K. Waydelin c Thomas b Hogg	25	— c Jowett b Lane	34
H. M. Chappel c Hill b Van der Byl	13	— lbw, b Lane	0
R. H. Coleridge c Hogg b Higson	2	— b Higson	93
A. P. W. Bowley b Lane	11	— b Lane	5
N. T. Loring lbw, b Van der Byl	40	— not out	35
I. McL. Stevens c Beckwith b Van der Byl	51	— run out	1
A. J. Hawthorn c Adams b Hogg	10	— not out	28
H. G. Knight b Hogg	0		
T. C. Worsley not out	13		
Byes, etc.	16	Byes, etc.	7
	213		*223

* Innings declared closed.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

R. P. Edwards lbw, b Hawthorn	10	— b Bowley	1
E. A. S. M. Beckwith c and b Hawthorn	2	— c Waydelin b Knight	1
A. C. Hill b Waydelin	19	— b Stevens	22
G. A. Thomas c Coleridge b Hawthorn	6	— c Harbinson b Loring	14
H. Jowett b Waydelin	0	— c and b Coleridge	31
A. W. Tyler c Knight b Stevens	4	— b Knight	3
P. Higson b Waydelin	28	— lbw, b Bowley	28
N. J. Hogg b Knight	11	— c Block b Waydelin	9
N. M. Adams not out	3	— b Coleridge	0
R. P. Lane b Knight	0	— not out	1
F. V. Van der Byl c Worsley b Knight	0	— b Bowley	0
Byes, etc.	37	Byes, etc.	23
	120		133

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Friday, Saturday, July 4, 5.—Drawn, distinctly in favour of Shrewsbury. Rossall were 91 runs behind with three wickets outstanding.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

A. T. Barber lbw, b Knight.....	44	— lbw, b Smith	15
G. S. Watson c Whowell b Smith.....	13	— c Holmes b Turnbull	17
R. C. Lancaster c Jones b Roncoroni..	3	— c Gibson b Knight.....	5
R. F. Skelton c Jones b Turnbull.....	15	— b Turnbull	21
P. S. Snow c Jones b Knight.....	24	— not out	42
G. W. Pomeroy e Hammond b			
Roncoroni	2	— c Whowell b Smith	9
B. Smallpiece not out.....	32	— c Jones b Smith	9
B. C. Lee b Roncoroni	2	— b Turnbull	18
R. L. Howland lbw, b Roncoroni.....	5	— lbw, b Turnbull	15
C. E. Dodd c Turnbull b Knight.....	25	— not out	3
D. G. Foster b Turnbull.....	4		
Byes, etc.	5	Byes, etc.	5
	174		*159

* Innings declared closed.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

E. G. Holmes c Pomeroy b Foster.....	20	— c Pomeroy b Foster	15
A. M. D. Whitcroft c Howland b Snow..	31	— b Snow	0
J. A. Roncoroni run out.....	0	— b Dodd	20
J. G. Turnbull not out.....	33	— lbw, b Snow	19
K. D. Gibson b Foster.....	6	— c Lee b Foster	10
L. F. Knight c and b Snow.....	26	— c Barber b Snow	20
R. L. Moorby c Lee b Snow.....	1	— c Lancaster b Dodd	0
A. F. Whowell b Dodd.....	0	— not out	6
N. B. Smith b Foster.....	4	— not out	1
J. C. Hammond c Lancaster b Dodd ..	3		
J. M. Jones b Dodd.....	4		
Byes, etc.	8	Byes, etc.	15
	136		106

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at the OVAL, Saturday, July 5.—Cranleigh won by 121 runs. Thanks to good batting and the steady bowling of M. A. McCaillis whose seven wickets cost seven runs apiece.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

M. A. McCaillis b Mackay.....	20	I. H. Cameron b Cotman.....	12
L. W. Paget c and b Mackay.....	22	S. O. Tudor not out.....	35
R. H. White b Mackay.....	0	A. H. Hawke lbw, b Robinson.....	1
C. V. Raffety b Gaffney.....	52	L. C. Hewitt b Mackay.....	11
A. Parlato b Mackay.....	10	Byes, etc.	34
J. G. Mundy run out.....	32		
C. K. Wreford b Gaffney.....	11		240

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. F. Newson b Raffety.....	1	A. L. Novis b McCaillis.....	0
H. D. Mackay b McCaillis.....	2	R. D. Robinson b McCaillis.....	1
J. S. Cotman not out.....	61	J. H. R. Gaffney b McCaillis.....	4
D. N. Thomas b McCaillis.....	0	T. C. Murphy c Paget b Raffety ..	2
J. B. Campbell b Hewitt.....	14	Byes, etc.	25
G. D. Parsons b McCaillis.....	5		
J. F. Newton b McCaillis.....	4		119

FELSTED SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at FELSTED, on Saturday, July 5. The game was continued after Felsted had won by four wickets and G. Mason played a three-figure innings.

LEYS SCHOOL.

R. G. Field c and b Lintott.....	5	G. N. Carrell c Parsons b Brown ..	5
I. Lindsay c and b Brown	25	P. C. M. Foster c Waters b Brown ..	0
G. Carnegie-Brown c Waters b Lintott	0	L. H. Birch b Gilbert.....	7
W. R. B. Brash c Parsons b		A. H. Penman c Waters b Brown..	7
Tomlinson	31	Byes, etc.	7
T. D. Page c Lintott b Brown	2		
L. R. Smith not out.....	66		166
R. W. Sherwin b Brown	11		

FELSTED SCHOOL.

D. Bowie c Smith b Field.....	6	G. H. Lintott not out.....	43
G. Mason c Birch b Penman.....	103	A. N. Skelton not out.....	13
J. G. Tomlinson c Birch b Field.....	6	Byes, etc.	5
L. A. M. Parsons st Birch b Sherwin	29		
G. W. S. Burton run out.....	45	L. H. Gilbert	258
L. A. Powell b Sherwin.....	0	F. Waters	did not bat.
G. R. R. Brown b Foster.....	8		

RUGBY SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at RUGBY, Saturday, July 5.—Rugby won an interesting match by 25 runs.

RUGBY SCHOOL.

E. F. Longrigg b MacIntosh.....	32	P. B. L. Coghlan b Perkins.....	16
E. M. Dawson c Beisiegel b James ..	45	A. S. Bradshaw c Freeborough b	
A. J. H. Cassels b James	0	MacIntosh	0
H. C. Pattison c Enderby b James ..	1	R. W. A. Speed not out.....	26
D. S. Milford b James.....	9	P. M. Armitage c James b Mac-	
M. R. Kittermaster lbw, b MacIntosh	30	Intosh	1
J. F. Connolly c Enderby b		Byes, etc.	20
MacIntosh	10		190

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

J. S. Atkins c Dawson b Milford... ..	37	J. S. James c Longrigg b Milford ..	3
J. H. Hope lbw, b Cassels.....	0	C. J. McBride c and b Bradshaw ..	3
W. K. Beisiegel lbw, b Coghlan.....	39	R. C. Paterson lbw, b Bradshaw ..	0
J. S. Freeborough c Dawson b Milford	31	U. R. Moseley not out.....	0
J. C. B. Perkins c and b Milford... ..	33	Byes, etc.	7
S. Enderby c Longrigg b Milford.....	12		
R. I. F. MacIntosh b Bradshaw... ..	0		165

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at WEST KENSINGTON, Saturday, July 5.—St. Paul's showed fine batting and won by 116 runs. E. T. Killick played a delightful three-figure innings.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

P. G. E. Nash c Lane b Proudlock ..	4	E. Leighton	
E. T. Killick not out.....	148	G. A. Gibson	
C. J. Patterson b Proudlock.....	9	G. L. A. Dudeney	
H. M. Garland Wells b Proudlock ..	1	D. K. Rigby	
F. E. T. Marshall c Reed b Mackay ..	77	Byes, etc.	7
F. B. W. Mills c Lane b Proudlock ..	23		
C. B. Cook c Crossman b Reed.....	24		*293

* Innings declared closed.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

R. W. B. Fuller c Marshall b Mills	1	B. W. Lane b Nash	7
C. W. Rippon b Dudeney	58	J. L. Proudlock b Gibson	4
R. D. Stewart b Mills	21	J. S. Mackay run out	5
A. Norris b Dudeney	5	O. G. Crossman not out	6
C. N. Reed c Cook b Gibson	11	Byes, etc.	16
R. Kellett c and b Nash	24		—
B. C. Yarde c Rigby b Gibson	19		177

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, July 5.—A good batting side, Charterhouse won after a most exciting finish by 110 runs. Two minutes were left for play when the last Westminster batsman was run out.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

G. E. Blundell b Cambell	7	J. A. Hilton lbw, b Ormerod	3
T. A. Eccles c Lund b McBride	12	F. P. Fawcett b Ormerod	1
J. N. Carter lbw, b Ormerod	38	G. S. Fletcher not out	50
R. C. Bett st Robson b Jacomb-Hood	21	G. D. Kemp-Welch not out	46
C. E. S. Gordon c Jacomb-Hood b Ormerod	4	Byes, etc.	17
J. T. Morgan b Cambell	40		*295
J. G. Munroe b Cambell	56		—

* Innings declared closed.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

W. N. McBride c Fletcher b Blundell	75	J. H. Robson not out	19
J. W. Jacomb-Hood c Morgan b Blundell	10	D. R. F. Cambell b Carter	1
R. W. P. Gorman c and b Blundell	25	C. O. Ormerod c and b Carter	1
A. M. Shepley-Smith c Gordon b Carter	0	C. A. Harvey run out	2
L. Clare c Eccles b Blundell	26	Byes, etc.	14
T. G. C. Lund c Gordon b Blundell	9		185
J. A. Cook b Carter	3		—

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, July 5.—More consistent batting allowed Whitgift to win by 36 runs. D. G. Jeffery (five for 40) bowled well for Hurstpierpoint.

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

K. D. Gilkes lbw, b Butterworth	31	D. G. Jeffery st Savaage b Salvi	4
W. G. Michels b Peart	7	G. L. D. Allison c Brook b Salvi	16
N. R. Brigg b Peart	9	J. L. Kirk b Butt	0
I. C. McLean b Butterworth	6	D. G. Piggott b Butt	0
V. J. Grinstead st Savaage b Butterworth	5	Byes, etc.	3
A. F. W. Hurstone not out	18		105
S. Cowen b Salvi	6		—

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

G. P. Goodwin b Jeffery	17	L. G. Butt lbw, b Jeffery	5
E. Fielden c Allison b Jeffery	20	J. B. S. Salvi b Michels	5
H. H. Peart b Michels	1	M. C. Butterworth lbw, b McLean	15
E. C. Avenell b Jeffery	2	P. W. Brook not out	4
J. H. Russell lbw, b Jeffery	4	Byes, etc.	13
G. W. P. Scott run out	37		—
F. Savaage c Brigg b Piggott	18		141

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at CANTERBURY, Tuesday, July 8.—Highgate won by an innings and 132 runs. Besides batting well, R. W. V. Robins took ten wickets for 23.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. W. V. Robins c Andrews b V. C. de St. Croix	67	R. L. Clarke b Stonehouse	5
G. N. Paxten c Andrews b Clarke	16	K. B. Moore not out	10
R. L. Stuart b Terry	12	C. W. Fry b Stonehouse	6
J. A. Carter b Terry	19	J. W. Luck b Clarke	8
G. D. Hobkidge c O. H. de St. Croix b Blackledge	58	Byes, etc.	12
C. R. Orr run out	20		236
C. O. M. Morris b V. C. de St. Croix	3		—

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

V. C. de St. Croix lbw, b Robins	1	—	c Moore b Robins	22
W. K. Clarke run out	5	—	c Luck b Stuart	8
J. A. D. Slater b Robins	0	—	b Morris	23
R. S. Mount b Robins	6	—	b Paxten	1
O. H. de St. Croix b Robins	0	—	c Moore b Stuart	1
P. E. Nicholls lbw, b Robins	0	—	b Stuart	0
E. B. Ince b Robins	4	—	b Morris	0
L. B. Andrews b Robins	3	—	b Morris	0
C. G. Stonehouse c Stuart b Robins	0	—	c Carter b Hobson	1
J. H. Terry c and b Robins	4	—	not out	4
A. R. Blackledge not out	2	—	b Paxten	1
Byes, etc.	11		Byes, etc.	7
	36			68

MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON COLLEGE.

Played at MALVERN, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 8, 9.—A match which produced some exceptionally good batting ended in a victory for Malvern by nine wickets. For Repton C. J. Watts played a splendid three-figure innings.

REPTON COLLEGE.

B. H. Valentine c and b Wells	9	—	b Greenstock	40
H. W. Austin lbw, b Welch	51	—	c Holmes b Wells	1
R. O. Burton b Welch	7	—	b Abrams	5
R. L. Latham b Greenstock	11	—	c Wells b Abrams	1
C. J. Watts b Abrams	145	—	b Welch	4
J. W. Buckland b Greenstock	0	—	b Abrams	1
R. J. de C. Barber c Greenstock b Welch	20	—	c and b Greenstock	37
P. R. Hill c and b Greenstock	34	—	b Toppin	12
E. W. Rennick c Holmes b Welch	19	—	c Holmes b Toppin	20
D. S. Piper c Abrams b Welch	1	—	c Bird b Greenstock	9
V. A. Comyn not out	1	—	not out	0
Byes, etc.	10		Byes, etc.	14
	308			144

MALVERN COLLEGE.

V. E. Chamberlayne b Hill.....	31		
J. E. Davenport c Valentine b Burton ..	42		
E. R. T. Holmes c Rennick b Barber. 138	— not out	28	
T. B. G. Welch b Rennick	45		
N. J. P. Wadley lbw, b Burton	27		
J. W. Greenstock c Hill b Rennick	63		
C. G. Toppin b Latham	11	— st Watts b Piper	4
H. E. S. Bird c Valentine b Latham ..	2	— not out	7
H. C. D. Abrams c Buckland b Burton	25		
E. B. Hoefield b Rennick	0		
E. R. T. Wells not out	10		
Byes, etc.	24	Byes, etc.	0
	418		39

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at SHREWSBURY, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 8, 9.—Uppingham gained a handsome victory by ten wickets, their bowling, that of R. I. F. McIntosh especially, being too strong for the Shrewsbury batsmen.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

J. S. Atkins c Watson b Dodd	3	— not out	17
J. H. Pope c Pomeroy b Foster	15	— not out	8
W. K. Beisiegel run out	26		
J. S. Freeborough c Howland b Pomeroy	14		
J. C. B. Perkins lbw, b Foster	0		
S. Enderby not out	130		
R. I. F. McIntosh c Howland b Foster ..	16		
A. Crawford c Lee b Watson	42		
J. S. James c and b Snow	8		
C. J. McBride b Dodd	1		
R. G. Paterson b Dodd	65		
Byes, etc.	27	Byes, etc.	0
	347		25

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

A. T. Earber c Pope b McIntosh	17	— c Freeborough b McIntosh ..	7
G. S. Watson c McIntosh b James	18	— lbw, b McBride	29
R. F. Skelton c Freeborough b Perkins ..	30	— c Enderby b McIntosh	28
B. Smallpiece c Enderby b McIntosh ..	1	— c McBride b James	6
P. S. Snow c Pope b McIntosh	46	— McIntosh b James	42
G. W. Pomeroy c Freeborough b James	17	— c Freeborough b McIntosh ..	2
P. H. C. Staples b James	15	— not out	15
B. C. Lee c Atkins b McIntosh	6	— c Beisiegel b James	0
R. L. Howland c Paterson b Perkins ..	35	— c Beisiegel b McIntosh	14
C. E. Dodd b McIntosh	3	— c and b James	1
D. G. Foster not out	9	— c Enderby b McIntosh	5
Byes, etc.	18	Byes, etc.	7
	215		156

CHIGWELL SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at CHIGWELL, Wednesday, July 9.—A. A. E. Moore (five for 38) bowled well for City of London in this drawn game.

CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

R. B. Jackson b Genn	1	O. C. Papineau c Cohen b Moore ..	0
J. E. Waddington c A. R. Fulford		C. A. Clark not out	22
b Moore	41	J. H. Farrell b Genn	3
R. T. Gillet b Genn	22	T. J. H. May c W. K. Fulford	
C. A. Lyon b Moore	8	b Genn	6
A. W. Miles c A. R. Fulford b Moore	0	Byes, etc.	31
S. H. Hagger b Moore	1		
L. A. Chapman b Hobday	0		135

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

L. D. Gilliam c May b Miles	0	A. A. E. Moore	} did not bat
D. A. Wakeford b Miles	13	B. B. Cohen	
G. L. M. Tippet lbw, b Jackson ..	11	A. R. Fulford	
L. J. Genn c Miles b Jackson	20	W. K. Fulford	
W. E. Radcliffe not out	2	J. H. Trice	
J. H. N. Hobday not out	7		
Byes, etc.	20		
	73		

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 11, 12.—As in 1923, the match produced a very keen struggle. Clifton finished their first innings 10 runs behind, but Cheltenham could not force a win.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

A. W. Tyler b Alpass	0		
E. L. Vulliamy run out	32	— c L'Estrange b Ives	25
G. A. Thomas c L'Estrange b Ives	14	— not out	74
H. Jowett c Buckley b Alpass	6	— not out	11
P. Higson b Alpass	1	— lbw, b Ives	1
A. C. Hill c Carr b Ives	3	— c Aslett b Ives	35
R. P. Edwards c L'Estrange b Ives	31	— c Buckley b Alpass	5
N. J. Hogg c and b Alpass	59	— c Aslett b Ives	13
N. M. Adams c Buckley b Alpass	51	— run out	5
R. P. Lane b Buckley	36		
F. V. Van der Byl not out	9		
Byes, etc.	24	Byes, etc.	14

266

*183

* Innings declared closed.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

G. G. Aslett c Edwards b Adams	76	— not out	24
G. F. L'Estrange c Hill b Lane	64	— b Hogg	14
R. M. Nuttall st Tyler b Lane	3	— not out	19
F. M. S. Tegner b Hogg	18		
H. J. H. Alpass b Hogg	12		
W. C. Carr b Hogg	5		
H. L. Carr st Tyler b Lane	43		
H. A. Sargeant c and b Higson	22		
K. S. Green b Lane	0		
K. M. Buckley lbw, b Lane	1		
F. W. E. Ives not out	0		
Byes, etc.	12	Byes, etc.	4

256

61

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, July 12.—Good batting by E. A. Lawrence helped Dulwich to win by four wickets.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

P. G. E. Nash c Lawrence b Wright	37
E. T. Killick b Tanner	15
C. J. Patterson c Perts b Proctor	8
H. M. Garland-Wells c Wright b Hughman	28
F. E. T. Marshall c Wright b Hughman	11
F. B. W. Mills c Perts b Wright	33
C. B. Cook b Tanner	13

E. Leighton lbw, b Tanner	6
G. A. Gilson st Vieille b Wright	6
G. L. A. Dudeney not out	26
D. K. Rigby c Hughman b Woodhouse	27
Byes, etc.	14
	224

DULWICH COLLEGE.

E. A. Lawrence c Dudeney b Gilson	100
G. A. Rimbault b Gilson	26
H. D. Hill run out	0
P. W. Wright b Nash	6
J. W. Perts c Mills b Nash	7
T. M. P. Hughman not out	60
K. H. Davison b Dudeney	3
F. M. Woodhouse not out	14
Byes, etc.	9
	225

J. A. Vieille	} did not bat
W. K. Proctor	
F. J. A. Tanner	

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at EASTBOURNE, Saturday, July 12.—Eastbourne were stronger in all phases of the game and won by 121 runs.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

A. F. Anderson c Andrews b Blackledge	37
A. A. Shaw b Blackledge	39
R. E. Heath c Nicholls b Stonehouse	19
E. M. Morres b V. C. de St. Croix	45
R. A. Howell b Clarke	1
J. G. Gubbins b Clarke	2
C. S. Moretti b Clarke	0

H. G. Burton c Nicholls b Terry	26
N. Beatson lbw, b Stonehouse	12
G. C. Morse not out	13
F. H. Else c V. C. de St. Croix	5
Byes, etc.	14
	213

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

W. K. Clarke b Anderson	20
V. C. de St. Croix c Anderson b Heath	12
J. A. D. Slater run out	0
R. S. Mount b Morres	0
P. E. Nicholls lbw, b Morres	3
O. H. de St. Croix lbw, b Morse	17
E. B. Ince b Morse	4

L. B. Andrews b Morse	1
C. G. Stonehouse c Beatson b Heath	3
J. H. Terry not out	6
A. R. Blackledge b Morse	5
Byes, etc.	21
	92

RADLEY COLLEGE v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, July 12.—W. N. MacBride placed Westminister in a strong position and his bowling and that of C. O. Ormerod (four for 13) led to a success for the School by 139 runs.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

J. W. Jacomb-Hood c Percival b Morris	1
W. N. MacBride c Turner b Hibbert	108
R. W. P. Gorman b McConaghy	5
A. M. Shepley-Smith st Percival b Hibbert	76
L. Clare not out	46
J. H. Robson st Percival b Morris	9
Byes, etc.	23

T. G. C. Lund	} did not bat
S. J. P. Rock	
J. A. Cook	
C. O. Ormerod	
C. A. Harvey	

*268

* Innings declared closed.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

M. C. Percival c and b MacBride	7
J. Barmby lbw, b MacBride	12
J. E. G. Rowland b MacBride	4
St. L. Morris c MacBride b Ormerod	56
G. C. A. Adams c and b MacBride	8
C. M. Turner b Ormerod	7
D. H. Hibbert lbw, b Ormerod	0

L. D. Cambridge b Ormerod	19
E. B. J. Polglase b Jacomb-Hood	1
C. I. Collier not out	0
C. McConaghy run out	0
Byes, etc.	15
	129

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, July 12.—Bedford won by four wickets.

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

W. F. Phillips c Lane b Reed	42
L. Bywater c Lane b Branson	9
A. G. Loader b Kellett	42
T. G. Hughes c Fuller b Branson	16
B. W. Greenup c and b Kellett	23
J. A. Sketchley b Proudlock	3
G. Boyek c Lane b Reed	0

B. N. Greer run out	0
K. W. Wilkins not out	48
W. R. Spragg b Proudlock	4
B. W. G. Davies b Kellett	6
Byes, etc.	26
	219

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

R. W. B. Fuller b Davies	6
C. W. Rippon c Spragg b Sketchley	66
R. D. Stewart c Sketchley b Hughes	53
A. Norris b Sketchley	0
C. N. Reed b Greenup	79
R. Kellett c and b Wilkins	33
B. C. Yarde not out	5

B. W. Lane not out	8
Byes, etc.	7
	257
C. A. C. Branson	} did not bat
C. G. Simpson	
J. L. Proudlock	

BRADFIELD COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at BRADFIELD, Saturday, July 12.—Thanks almost entirely to the splendid all-round form of J. G. Wagener Bradfield won by five wickets.

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

M. B. Turner c Breton b Wagener	2
R. F. A. David c Waterfield b Wagener	3
A. J. Peech b Wagener	8
S. C. Dobson c Watson-Smyth b Wagener	7
J. Foord c Ramsden b Crouch	16
E. A. W. Taylor c Waterfield b Wagener	0
R. C. Dobson b Crouch	9

N. M. Peech c Oliver b Tudor-Evans	32
J. P. Johnson c Breton b Birkbeck	28
R. Bryan c and b Birkbeck	14
A. P. Dudley-Hill not out	2
Byes, etc.	18
	139

BRADFIELD COLLEGE.

G. E. Oliver b Bryan..... 4	G. N. N. Nunn c Dudley-Hill b Bryan..... 31
W. G. Crouch c R. C. Dobson b Bryan..... 11	W. H. C. Birkbeck b Bryan..... 0
C. R. Watson-Smyth b Bryan..... 16	C. A. Ramsden b Dudley-Hill... 16
J. G. Wagener b Dudley-Hill... 122	A. N. Breton not out..... 5
R. G. Shaw b Bryan..... 0	Byes, etc. 54
A. G. Tudor-Evans b Dudley-Hill 10	—
A. J. Waterfield b Bryan 6	275

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Wednesday, July 16.—The admirable batting of R. B. D. Wright had much to do with U.C. School's success by three wickets.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

A. A. E. Moore b Trace..... 15	A. R. Fulford b Greer..... 5
L. D. Gilliam b Trace..... 10	J. H. N. Hobday lbw, b Stevens... 3
D. A. Wakeford b Lloyd..... 13	J. H. Trice not out..... 2
G. L. M. Tippett c Wilson b Greer... 36	L. F. P. Weekes st Robins b Stevens 2
A. C. Nicol b Wright..... 8	Byes, etc. 21
W. K. Fulford b Greer..... 3	—
L. J. Genn c Wright b Greer..... 2	120

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

S. M. Abelson b Genn..... 6	N. H. Trace run out..... 0
D. M. Lloyd lbw, b Moore..... 0	L. Wilk b Genn..... 2
W. V. H. Robins b Genn..... 3	E. E. Chambers not out..... 5
D. J. L. Stevens c Hobday b Moore 5	J. F. Greer not out..... 0
R. B. D. Wright c Trice b Moore... 89	Byes, etc. 17
R. M. Wilson b Trice..... 25	—
J. W. Hankins run out..... 1	153

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at BELLINGHAM, Wednesday, July 16.—Merchant Taylors managed to avoid defeat, much credit being due to T. G. Hughes for sound batting.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

J. W. G. Hume c Wilkins b Hughes 4	E. A. Catesby b Loader..... 44
A. J. C. Air c Wilkins b Sketchley 16	H. H. Pool st Phillips b Loader... 15
R. S. Spong c Bywater b Loader... 38	C. C. Morrison not out..... 19
M. E. Edgar c Spragg b Davis.... 39	H. Taylor not out..... 6
J. C. Wolstenholme b Loader... 2	Byes, etc. 18
K. E. Beart c Boyek b Bywater... 47	—
W. H. Sobey c Phillips b Hughes... 0	*248

* Innings declared closed.

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

W. F. Phillips lbw, b Taylor..... 6	E. N. Greer not out..... 1
L. Bywater b Spong..... 13	K. M. Wilkins not out..... 1
A. G. Loader b Taylor..... 14	Byes, etc. 8
T. G. Hughes c Hume b Pool..... 66	—
B. W. Greenup c and b Spong..... 0	138
J. A. Sketchley c Wolstenholme b Taylor 22	W. R. Spragg } did not bat
G. Boyek c Hume b Sobey 7	B. W. G. Davis }

ARDINGLY COLLEGE v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at ARDINGLY, Saturday, July 19.—Whitgift won a very close game by five runs.

ARDINGLY COLLEGE.

G. N. Roberts b Butterworth.... 38	G. M. Robinson c Brook b Peart .. 2
R. M. Bradley c and b Salvi.... 2	E. A. Stand not out..... 4
R. A. Robertson run out..... 3	R. L. Moir c Avenell b Scott... 1
K. C. Bryant c Brook b Salvi.... 8	N. W. Lansdown c Butterworth b Scott 0
W. H. P. Ager b Peart..... 46	Byes, etc. 4
A. C. Bryant c Ewing b Peart.... 8	—
N. G. Read lbw, b Peart..... 2	118

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

G. P. Goodwin c Ager b Robertson 13	P. W. Brook c Robinson b Robert-son 37
F. R. Ewing c and b Moir..... 5	L. G. Butt c Robertson b Read... 4
H. H. Peart b Robertson..... 26	K. H. Virley c Robinson b Read 0
E. C. Avenell c Lansdown b Bradley 13	J. B. S. Salvi not out..... 3
G. W. P. Scott c Robinson b Robert-son 11	Byes, etc. 4
F. Savaage c Ager b Bradley 1	—
M. C. Butterworth run out 6	123

ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at ALDENHAM, Tuesday, July 22.—Highgate, for whom R. W. V. Robins (seven for 30) bowled remarkably well, won by eight wickets.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

T. C. Longfield b Robins..... 1	W. A. Stevens st Luck b Robins... 12
H. B. Scutt b Robins..... 7	A. T. Fitter b Robins..... 4
J. Hockin lbw, b Robins..... 8	A. J. Wolff not out..... 19
S. R. C. Walsmsley c Stuart b Robins 0	B. E. Abbott c Morris b Stuart... 2
R. F. Linnett run out..... 0	Byes, etc. 1
J. G. Potts c Robins b Fry..... 6	—
W. E. Du Vivier b Robins..... 1	61

HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

R. W. V. Robins b Longfield..... 3	R. L. Clarke run out..... 3
R. N. Paxton b Potts..... 37	K. B. Moore not out..... 15
R. L. Stuart run out..... 28	C. W. Fry c Abbott b Scutt... 1
C. O. M. Morris c Longfield b Potts 1	J. W. Luck c Wolff b Scutt... 3
G. D. Hobson b Longfield..... 2	Byes, etc. 11
J. A. Carter b Longfield..... 10	—
C. R. Orr c Du Vivier b Potts.... 4	118

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at HORSHAM, Wednesday, July 23.—H. G. Kettle followed up a three-figure innings by taking four Epsom wickets for 15, and Christ's Hospital won by 59 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

F. H. Kemple b Mackay..... 3	N. W. Tilbury not out..... 24
N. C. Goodman c and b Mackay... 2	C. J. Carter b J. S. Cotman... 9
O. G. Simpson b Mackay..... 1	C. F. Weekes c and b J. S. Cotman 0
H. G. Kettle c Thomas b Mackay... 106	R. D. Moxon b J. S. Cotman... 0
P. G. Osborne b Murphy..... 24	Byes, etc. 16
G. H. Jennings c Mackay b Schilling 16	—
T. L. Scutt b Schilling..... 4	205

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. Newson b Goodman.....	37	J. J. Mitchell-Smith b Kettle...	
H. D. Mackay not out.....	66	G. M. Schilling st Carter b Kettle...	
J. S. Cotman run out.....	2	T. C. Murphy b Kettle.....	
D. A. Cotman c and b Goodman..	4	J. H. R. Gaffney run out.....	
J. F. Newton run out.....	0	Byes, etc.	
J. N. E. Thomas b Weekes.....	14		
G. D. Parsons c Scott b Kettle..	4		

SEDBERGH SCHOOL v. STONYHURST COLLEGE.

Played at AIGBURTH, Monday, Tuesday, July 28, 29.—Drawn, generally in favour of Sedbergh.

STONYHURST COLLEGE.

T. Christie c Skene b Kirsop.....	16	J. E. Barber b Goode.....	
J. M. Baker lbw, b King.....	1	R. G. Muir not out.....	
A. L. d'Abren b King.....	4	H. W. Horton b Gamon.....	
G. B. Malone-Lee c Russell b Kirsop	2	D. P. Hickey lbw, b Skene.....	
R. S. A. Hardy b Garbutt.....	26	Byes, etc.	
H. S. de Caires b Kirsop.....	0		
F. I. de Caires c Beer b Skene....	16		

SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

J. N. Kirsop c d'Abren b Hardy..	36	R. W. Skene	} did not bat
K. J. Annandale b Hardy.....	9	D. M. Gamon	
J. K. MacFarlan not out	23	W. T. Garbutt	
J. M. Russell not out	1	C. W. Beer	
Byes, etc.	10	R. C. Wakefield	
—	79	J. A. Goode	
		W. King	

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 11, 12.—Favoured with the most delightful weather experienced by cricketers during the summer of 1924, the Schools' match could not be played out, but almost to the end it was of absorbing interest. More than that, it produced some high-class cricket, at least half a dozen boys gaining great distinction. The merits of the two elevens and the individual players are fully dealt with by Mr. Altham in another part of *Wisden*. Eton had only two members of their 1923 team available—Bateson, the captain, and R. H. Cobbold,—but they went up to Lord's with the reputation of being a very fine batting side, and when they won the toss and had first use of a perfect wicket no one would have been surprised if they had stopped in all the afternoon and scored four hundred. So far from doing this they were out at twenty minutes to four for 290—not by any means an overwhelming total at Lord's under such ideal conditions. Still, the innings revealed in a very convincing way the strength of the batting down to the last man. The start was disheartening, two wickets being lost for 11 runs, and things looked very black when Cobbold—the great hope of the eleven—was out, fourth wicket down, at 66 to a simple catch at short leg. Lord Hyde and Tew saved the side, putting on 103 runs together for the sixth wicket. Tew, out at 208 to a very smart catch low down in the slips, hit ten 4's in his 58, playing with supreme confidence. Norris and Caccia failed and, on Landale going in, Hyde would have been out by yards if the throw-in had hit the stumps. As it was, the ninth wicket added 57 runs when they were very badly wanted. Hyde, out at last to a catch at short square leg from a hard hit, played flawless cricket for about two hours and a half. Like Tew, he hit ten 4's.

Harrow, going in soon after four o'clock, lost A. M. Crawley at 19, but the brothers Butterworth played admirably, taking the score to 93. Then, following a brief stoppage for refreshments, two wickets fell in quick succession. However, J. C. Butterworth and Hermon added 59 runs together, and at the drawing of stumps Harrow's score stood at 171 for four wickets. Bateson and Cobbold—both right-handed slow bowlers—differed in the spin they put on the ball, but were alike in their accuracy of pitch. Eton's change bowlers, however, looked very moderate.

On the Saturday morning Harrow did not rise to the occasion. Their innings lasted till just after luncheon, but as the result of fully two hours and a half's batting only 80 runs were added to the overnight score. J. C. Butterworth showed endless patience, but taking, in all, four hours and a quarter to get his 72 he clearly flattered the bowlers. Ford played well, though without making use of his great height. Bateson and Cobbold bowled untrilingly in the intense heat and kept a length worthy of Alfred Shaw.

When at about a quarter to three Eton went in with a modest lead of 39 there did not seem any chance of a definite finish to the match, but cricket had one of its endless surprises in store. In little more than three-quarters of an hour Eton lost five wickets for 21, and defeat stared them in the face. As some excuse for the failure it should be mentioned that Cobbold had damaged one of his fingers, and Hyde was so lame that he was obliged to have a man run for him. Excitement was at a high pitch when Child, who had been looking on at his side's misfortunes, was joined by Tew. The two batsmen put on 48 runs, Tew—just as confident as in his first innings—doing most of the hitting, but the position was still critical when at 79 Child closed an invaluable innings by sending the ball straight back into the bowler's hands. As things turned out, Eton met with no further loss. Tew and Norris mastered the bowling, and before half-past five Bateson was able, with perfect safety, to declare the innings closed. Tew, not out 54, will never forget his triumphant appearance at Lord's. Play, if necessary, would have been continued till half-past seven, but even with the extra half-hour Harrow, in order to win the game, would have had to get 183 runs in an hour and three-quarters. It soon became evident that this tremendous task could not be thought of, and the match ended quietly in a draw, J. C. Butterworth again batting very well.

ETON.

Mr. H. P. Hunloke c Hermon b R. Butterworth	2	—	c Proctor b R. Butterworth	0
Mr. L. R. Percival c and b Brigstocke	11	—	c R. E. C. Butterworth	10
Mr. C. J. Child b K. E. Crawley	14	—	c and b R. Butterworth	24
Mr. R. H. Cobbold c Bucher b K. E. Crawley	31	—	b K. E. Crawley	2
Mr. W. F. Barber b R. E. C. Butterworth	25	—	c Proctor b K. E. Crawley	0
Lord Hyde c Ford b Bucher	86	—	b K. E. Crawley	5
Mr. J. E. Tew c A. Crawley b R. Butterworth	58	—	not out	54
Mr. G. W. Norris b R. E. C. Butterworth	0	—	not out	30
Mr. H. A. Caccia c and b R. Butterworth	6			
Mr. D. F. Landale c Proctor b Bucher	29			
Mr. D. M. Bateson not out	1			
B 20, 1-b 7	27	—	B 15, 1-b 1, w 2	18
	290			*143

* Innings declared closed.

HARROW.

Mr. J. C. Butterworth c and b Norris	72	—	not out	40
Mr. A. M. Crawley b Bateson	8	—	run out	10
Mr. R. E. C. Butterworth c Landsdale b Cobbold	42	—	not out	17
Mr. A. W. H. Baucher c Caccia b Bateson	1			
Mr. J. V. Hermon c Child b Cobbold	33	—	b Caccia	11
Mr. N. M. Ford b Cobbold	46			
Mr. K. E. Crawley b Cobbold	11	—	b Caccia	4
Mr. G. O. Brigstocke lbw, b Bateson	0			
Mr. C. M. Andreae not out	0			
Mr. F. M. Bucher c Tew b Bateson	3			
Mr. P. D. Proctor lbw, b Bateson	2			
B 24, 1-b 4, w 4, n-b 1	33	—	B	5
	251			87

HARROW BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Brigstocke	13	3	34	1	10	2	25	0
R. E. C. Butterworth	26	7	66	5	23	8	41	3
K. E. Crawley	18	3	77	2	15	1	53	3
Andreae	8	0	44	0	1	0	6	0
Hermon	7	0	33	0				
Bucher	2	0	9	2				

ETON BOWLING.

Bateson	46.3	26	40	5	11	7	12	0
Cobbold	54	32	54	4	13	6	33	0
Percival	11	2	21	0	5	2	15	0
Child	8	1	24	0				
Caccia	10	2	39	0	4	0	22	2
Norris	8	1	28	1				
Barber	2	0	12	0				

Umpires: J. Moss and H. Young.

RESULTS OF PAST ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1874	Eton won by five wickets
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1875	Unfinished
1822	Harrow won by 87 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs
1823	Eton won by inns. and 33 runs	1877	Unfinished
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1825	Eton won by seven wickets	1879	Unfinished
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1828	Eton won by six wickets	1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1832	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1882	Unfinished
1833	Harrow won by eight wickets	1883	Unfinished
1834	Harrow won by 13 runs	1884	Unfinished
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1890	Unfinished
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1843	Harrow won by 20 runs	1893	Eton won by nine wickets
1844	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1894	Unfinished
1845	Eton won by inns. and 174 runs	1895	Unfinished
1846	Eton won by inns. and 135 runs	1896	Unfinished
1847	Eton won by nine wickets	1897	Unfinished
1848	Harrow won by 41 runs	1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1849	Harrow won by 77 runs	1899	Unfinished
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1901	Harrow won by ten wickets
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1903	Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1854	Harrow won by 98 runs	1904	Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1855	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1905	Unfinished
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1906	Eton won by four wickets
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1860	Unfinished	1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1861	Unfinished	1909	Unfinished
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1863	Unfinished	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1912	Eton won by six wickets
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1913	Eton won by nine wickets
1866	Harrow won by inns. and 136 runs	1914	Eton won by four wickets
1867	Unfinished	1915	to 1918. No regular match during the war
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	1919	Eton won by 202 runs
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1920	Eton won by nine wickets
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wickets
1871	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1922	Unfinished; no play on first day
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1923	Unfinished
1873	Harrow won by five wickets	1924	Unfinished

Ninety-five matches have been played, of which Eton have won thirty-nine, Harrow thirty-five, and twenty-one have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-three three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows:—

183 D. C. Boles	(in 1904), Eton	112*A. W. T. Daniel	(in 1860), Harrow
173 G. Wilson	(in 1913), Harrow	108 C. J. Ottaway	(in 1869), Eton
159 E. W. Dawson	(in 1923), Eton	108 R. B. Hoare	(in 1888), Harrow
152 E. Bayley	(in 1841), Eton	103 L. G. Crawley	(in 1921), Harrow
142 T. G. O. Cole	(in 1897), Harrow	102*P. H. Stewart-Brown	(in 1923), Harrow
137 C. H. B. Blount	(in 1912), Harrow	101 H.C. Pilkington	(in 1896), Eton
135 A. K. Watson	(in 1885), Harrow	100 E. Crawley	(in 1885), Harrow
131 M. C. Bird	(in 1907), Harrow	100 E. N. S. Crank	shaw (in 1903), Eton
124 J. H. Stogden	(in 1895), Harrow	100*M. C. Bird	(in 1907), Harrow
120 B.J.T. Bosanquet	(in 1896), Eton	100 R. H. Cobbold	(in 1923), Eton
117 A. W. Ridley	(in 1871), Eton		
114 C. P. Foley	(in 1886), Eton		
113 W. F. Forbes	(in 1876), Eton		

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match.

* Signifies not out.

		1st inn.	2nd inn.	Result.
July 12,	M.C.C.	103	—	Tie.
Northwood	NORTHWOOD.....	103	—	
July 12,	M.C.C.	233*	—	Won by 63 runs.
Bushey	BUSHEY	170	—	*Nine wkts. down.
July 14,	M.C.C.	204	—	Won by 146 runs.
Epsom	ERSOM	58	188*	*Nine wkts. down.

ETON RAMBLERS v. HARROW WANDERERS.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, July 14, 15.—A very pleasant game between these sides had to be left drawn, the Eton Ramblers, when stumps were finally pulled up, wanting 35 runs to win with two wickets to fall. Jameson carried off the honours of the match, scoring 64 and 76 and taking seven wickets. W. W. Hill-Wood made one of his very few appearances in the cricket field last season

HARROW WANDERERS.

Mr. M. Falcon c Lowndes b Heathcote-Amory	9	—	b Lowndes	4
Mr. H. F. Bagnall b Heathcote-Amory ..	16	—	b Lowndes	41
Mr. P. H. Stewart Brown b Heathcote-Amory	1	—	b Cartwright	29
Mr. L. G. Crawley b Heathcote-Amory ..	0	—	b Cartwright	25
Capt. T. L. Jameson c and b Hill-Wood	64	—	b Aubrey-Fletcher	76
Capt. G. F. Earle c Colman b Heathcote-Amory	0	—	c Cartwright b Aubrey-Fletcher	36
Mr. H. J. Enthoven c Burrows b Hill-Wood	28	—	b Hill Wood	52
Mr. C. S. Crawley st Maclean b Hill-Wood	35	—	not out	18
Mr. J. H. Falcon lbw, b Heathcote-Amory	4	—	b Aubrey-Fletcher	4
Mr. M. J. Kemp b Cartwright	4	—	not out	3
Mr. W. E. Anderson not out	19			
B 11, 1-b 4	15		B 3, 1-b 13, w 1	17

195

* Innings declared closed.

*305

CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

At LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, July 28, 29.—At this period of the season the weather was dreadfully bad, and not a ball could be bowled in the annual match between these schools. After drenching rain in the night the ground was practically under water on Monday, and at noon on the second day another downpour made cricket impossible.

CLIFTON.

Mr. K. S. Greene, Mr. G. G. Aslett, Mr. H. J. H. Alpass, Mr. G. F. L'Estrange, Mr. H. H. Sargeant, Mr. R. M. Nuttall, Mr. F. M. S. Tegner, Mr. H. L. Carr, Mr. F. W. E. Ives, Mr. H. W. C. Carr, and Mr. J. C. Hudson.

TONBRIDGE.

Mr. R. C. Hubbard, Mr. T. F. Mitchell, Mr. H. J. Tedder, Mr. J. H. Piesse, Mr. P. N. Miller, Mr. J. McDermid, Mr. R. H. Letts, Mr. C. C. Lockitt, Mr. H. M. O'M. Holman, Mr. J. F. Scott and Mr. A. S. G. Thompson.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July, 30, 31.—Though both schools have often been represented by stronger sides the match proved one of the most interesting of the long series that began in 1855, the fortunes of the game varying in a way that kept everyone keenly interested from start to finish. The conditions were rather trying for school players, the wicket being very dead after the drenching rain that had caused the Clifton and Tonbridge fixture to be a blank. The cream of the cricket came on the second afternoon when, at about a quarter past four, Rugby went in with 136 to get. As play would, if necessary, have been continued till seven o'clock there was no anxiety as to time. Rugby began very badly, losing three wickets for 27, but later they looked to have a comfortable victory in prospect, Longrigg and Pattison taking the score to 80 without further disaster. After this, however, there came some truly sensational play. Pattison was out at 83 and in the next ten minutes three other wickets fell with the total unchanged. At this desperately critical point Longrigg—a left-handed batsman—who had gone in first and stayed through all these trying experiences, took the game in his own hands. He had one escape—a hard return to the bowler—and then, running all sorts of risks, he proceeded to win the match for his side by three wickets, thereby earning himself a place among the Immortals of Rugby cricket. Coghlan's score of four not out looks modest enough on paper, but he stayed in with Longrigg while the last 53 runs were being obtained.

MARLBOROUGH.

Mr. W. K. Harbinson c Longrigg b Cassels	0	—	b Milford	6
Mr. S. A. Block c Dawson b Milford	8	—	c Firth b Milford	17
Mr. J. K. Wtydelin c Dawson b Firth	21	—	run out	4
Mr. H. M. Chappel c Longrigg b Milford	0	—	b Milford	13
Mr. R. H. Coleridge c Milford b Cassels	64	—	b Coghlan	33
Mr. A. P. W. Bowley c Bradshaw b Milford	38	—	b Coghlan	6
Mr. I. Mc I. Stevens b Connolly	15	—	b Firth	15
Mr. N. T. Loring not out	18	—	c Coghlan b Milford	0
Mr. A. J. Hawthorn b Milford	0	—	b Cassels	1
Mr. H. G. Knight b Connolly	3	—	b Firth	1
Mr. T. C. Worsley run out	10	—	not out	4
B 1, 1-b 1, w 1, n-b 1	4	—	B 7, w 1, n-b 1	9

181

109

RUGBY.

Mr. E. F. Longrigg b Hawthorn	5	—	not out	77
Mr. E. M. Dawson c Knight b Stevens	58	—	b Coleridge	0
Mr. J. F. Connolly b Hawthorn	0	—	c Harbinson b Knight	0
Mr. R. W. A. Speed b Hawthorn	0	—	c Coleridge b Knight	0
Mr. A. J. H. Cassels b Coleridge	11	—	b Hawthorn	2
Mr. M. R. Kittermaster c Worsley b Hawthorn	1	—	b Hawthorn	10
Mr. H. C. Pattison b Coleridge	47	—	c and b Knight	34
Mr. D. S. Milford c Chappel b Waydelin	8	—	c and b Knight	0
Mr. P. B. Coghlan b Hawthorn	4	—	not out	4
Mr. A. S. Bradshaw b Waydelin	0	—		0
Mr. O. J. Firth not out	0	—		0
B 17, 1-b 3, n-b 1	21	—	B 6, 1-b 2, n-b 2	10

155

137

RUGBY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Cassels	15	3	28	2	11	4	16	1
Milford	31	8	81	4	16	4	30	4
Coghlan	4	2	9	0	6	0	19	2
Connolly	15	2	41	2	4	0	21	0
Bradshaw	6	1	18	0				
Firth	2	2	0	1	1.2	0	4	2
Dawson	0.3	0	0	0				
Kittermaster					3	0	10	0

MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Hawthorn	25	9	34	5	18.4	8	56	2
Bowley	7	2	14	0	3	1	6	0
Coleridge	9	1	26	2	6	1	23	1
Knight	6	2	16	0	4	1	10	4
Stevens	7	1	16	1	5	2	16	0
Waydelin	7.4	1	13	2	8	4	16	0
Loring	4	0	15	0				

Umpires: W. A. J. West and H. Young.

RESULTS OF RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at Lord's	1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at Lord's	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1857	Rugby won by an innings and 83 runs, at Oval	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
1860	Rugby won by an innings and 50 runs, at Lord's	1891	Drawn
1862	Marlborough won by an innings and 17 runs, at Lord's	1892	Marlborough won by an innings and 78 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1893	Drawn
1864	Rugby won by an innings and 83 runs, at Islington	1894	Rugby won by an innings and 82 runs
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at Lord's	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1896	Rugby won by an innings and 35 runs
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at Oval	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
1868	Rugby won by an innings and 133 runs, at Rugby	1898	Drawn
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at Marlborough	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1903	Drawn
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
1875	Rugby won by an innings and 35 runs	1905	Drawn
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
1878	Rugby won by an innings and 24 runs	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1880	Rugby won by an innings and 120 runs	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7 runs
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
1882	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts
1883	Drawn	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1885	Drawn	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
1886	Rugby won by 37 runs	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
		1917	Rugby won by four wickets
		1918	Marlborough won by an innings and 94 runs
		1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
		1920	Drawn
		1921	Drawn
		1922	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
		1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
		1924	Rugby won by three wickets

Of the 66 matches Rugby have won 34 and Marlborough 22. The remaining ten have been unfinished.

		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
August 1, Leatherhead	{ M.C.C.	83	—	Lest by 14 runs.
	{ OLD JOHNIANS	97	—	
August 2, High Wycombe	{ M.C.C.	177	—	Drawn. *Five wkts. down.
	{ HIGH WYCOMBE	56*	—	

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, August 1, 2.—This proved a one-sided game, Cheltenham, with only 45 to get in the last innings, winning by eight wickets. Individual rather than collective effort gave them their victory, Thomas dominating the game with his splendid innings of 132 not out. He hit finely all round the wicket, his driving being especially strong. On the first afternoon he found an admirably steady partner in Higson after three wickets had fallen for 20 runs. Haileybury had better bowling than the winners, but apart from Heywood—a patient left-hander—their batsmen did not come off.

HAILEYBURY.

Mr. F. M. Heywood b Higson	55	—	c Vulliamy b Jowett	4
Mr. K. A. W. Johnston b Isley	15	—	c Isley b Lane	19
Mr. J. A. Ramsbotham c Tyler b Higson	6	—	b Isley	4
Mr. C. B. M. Heywood lbw, b Hogg	8	—	b Lane	9
Mr. A. R. Battye c Tyler b Hogg	0	—	run out	0
Mr. P. J. A. Scott c Jowett b Adams	16	—	c Hill b Jowett	18
Mr. C. M. Young run out	0	—	c Tyler b Higson	1
Mr. A. P. Hall-Thompson run out	10	—	not out	7
Mr. R. T. Baveystock b Higson	8	—	b Isley	0
Mr. B. G. Wennink not out	24	—	c Jowett b Hogg	21
Mr. H. L. Murray b Isley	16	—	c Adams b Isley	18
B 4, 1-b 2, w 1	7	—	B 8, 1-b 1, w 1	10
	165			111

CHELTENHAM.

Mr. A. W. Tyler b Wennink	7	—	c Johnston b Murray	14
Mr. E. L. L. Vulliamy b Hall-Thompson	2			
Mr. A. C. Hill b Hall-Thompson	0			
Mr. G. A. Thomas not out	132	—	not out	2
Mr. P. Higson lbw, b Young	40	—	c H. Thompson b C. Heywood	5
Mr. R. P. Edwards b Scott	8			
Mr. N. T. Hogg b Scott	3			
Mr. H. Jowett b Young	22	—	not out	23
Mr. J. L. Isley c Baveystock b Young	0			
Mr. N. M. Adams b Young	8			
Mr. F. P. Lane b Hall-Thompson	0			
B 8, 1-b 1, w 1	10		L-b 1, w 1	2
	232			46

CHELTENHAM BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Jowett	10	5	19	0	10	3	13	2
Isley	15.1	7	28	2	13.3	3	42	3
Higson	15	5	17	3	7	3	15	1
Lane	22	9	43	0	7	2	14	2
Hogg	16	5	32	2	4	2	3	1
Adams	5	0	19	1	4	1	14	0

HAILEYBURY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Young	23	6	67	4	5	3	3	0
Hall-Thompson	14	7	17	3	2	1	9	0
Winnink	19	4	43	1	4	1	13	0
Murray	6	0	33	0	2.3	0	6	1
F. M. Heywood	7	2	22	0				
Scott	7	0	25	2	2	0	9	0
C. B. M. Heywood	2	0	15	0	1	0	4	1

Umpires: Smith and Burton.

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY MATCH RESULTS.

1893 Drawn	1907 Cheltenham won by an innings and 51 runs
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	1908 Drawn
1895 Drawn	1909 Drawn
1896 Drawn	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings and 3 runs
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets and 3 runs
1898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1899 Haileybury won by an innings and 45 runs	1913 Haileybury won by an innings and 217 runs
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1914 Drawn
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1920 Drawn
1903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and 41 runs	1922 Drawn
1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1923 Drawn
1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1924 Cheltenham won by eight wkts

† Played at the Crystal Palace.

		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
August 4 Blackheath	{ M.C.C.	119*	—	Drawn. *Nine wkts. down. †Seven wkts. dn. Dcld
	{ BLACKHEATH	255†	—	
August 4, Slough	{ M.C.C.	152	—	Won by 91 runs.
	{ SLOUGH	61	—	
August 4, 5, Oxford	{ M.C.C.	279	—	Won by an innings and 96 runs.
	{ OXFORD CITY	65	118	

LORD'S SCHOOLS v. THE REST.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, August 4, 5.—The Lord's Schools had a side rich in names, but The Rest beat them by five wickets. A much easier victory seemed certain when The Rest, after having made their opponents follow on, went in with only 31 to get, but Milford and Bateson bowled in great form on a wicket that helped them, and Milford got rid of Holmes by means of a brilliant catch at point. Robins, of Highgate School, played a delightful innings on the first day, hitting a five and twelve 4's, and getting his 97 in an hour and a half. His fine batting was followed up by equally good work on the part of Wagener, the Bradfield bowler, the Rest finishing the day with the game well in their hands.

THE REST.	
Mr. G. W. F. Lyon (Brighton) c Tyler b Cobbold	10 — b Milford 11
Mr. R. W. V. Robins (Highgate) b Mitchell	97 — b Milford 0
Mr. E. R. T. Holmes (Malvern) b Milford	12 — c Milford b Bateson 3
Mr. J. A. Nunn (Sherborne) b R. E. C. Butterworth	62 — c R. Butterworth b Milford 7
Mr. W. N. McBride (Westminster) b Milford	6 — b Bateson 3
Mr. M. J. Turnbull (Downside) b Milford	3 — not out 3
Mr. J. G. Wagener (Bradfield) c Crawley b Alpass	24 — not out 0
Mr. C. E. Fraser (Winchester) b Alpass	10 — b Bateson 7
Mr. E. H. Walters (Oundle) c and b Mitchell	3
Mr. J. Macdonald (Connell's, Belfast) not out	8
Mr. G. R. R. Brown (Felsted) b Cobbold	15
B 22, 1-b 1, w 1, n-b 1	25
	275

LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. J. C. Butterworth (Harrow) b Wagener	2 — run out 0
Mr. R. H. Cobbold (Eton) c and b Brown	10 — c and b Brown 6
Mr. R. E. C. Butterworth (Harrow) b Wagener	0 — c sub. b Holmes 35
Mr. T. F. Mitchell (Tonbridge) b Wagener	4 — c Holmes b Robins 3
Mr. K. E. Crawley (Harrow) b Macdonald	16 — b Holmes 12
Mr. R. H. Coleridge (Marlborough) c McBride b Macdonald	14 — not out 56
Mr. G. A. Thomas (Cheltenham) b Wagener	9 — b Brown 10
Mr. D. S. Milford (Rugby) c Turnbull b Holmes	58 — b Brown 32
Mr. A. W. Tyler (Cheltenham) b Holmes	2 — c Walters b Brown 4
Mr. H. J. H. Alpass (Clifton) b Wagener	0 — b McBride 0
Mr. D. M. Bateson (Eton) not out	0 — b Brown 2
B 10, n-b 1	11 B 11, 1-b 4, w 4 19
	126

LORD'S SCHOOLS BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Alpass	13	2	38	2				
Bateson	16	3	43	0	7	4	7	2
Crawley	3	0	11	0				
Cobbold	10.1	1	35	2				
Milford	18	1	59	3	7.5	0	24	3
Coleridge	2	0	13	0				
R. E. C. Butterworth	8	1	27	1				
Mitchell	7	1	24	2				

THE REST BOWLING.

Brown	8	1	24	1	17.5	3	46	5
Wagener	14	8	24	5	13	3	50	0
Macdonald	7	2	10	2				
McBride	8	1	16	0	11	1	42	1
Robins	5	1	20	0	3	0	9	1
Holmes	2.3	0	21	2	4	0	13	2

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and J. Moss.

	1st inns.	2nd inns.	Result.
August 6, { M.C.C. 186		38*	Won by 71 runs.
Horley { HORLEY 115		—	*Six wkts. down.
August 6, { M.C.C. 259*		—	Won by 217 runs
Merstham { MERSTHAM 42		—	*Nine wkts. dn. Deld.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS v. THE ARMY.

Played at LORD'S, Wednesday, Thursday, August 6, 7.—The Public Schools were quite overmatched, a powerful Army team declaring with a wicket in hand and winning at four o'clock on the second afternoon by an innings and 69 runs. The School batsmen were very disappointing in their second innings, but they had to face some fine bowling. At the end of the first day The Army were in a strong position, being only three runs behind with five wickets to fall, but they took over three hours and a half to score 168, the bowling, well managed by Holmes, being very accurate. Though not entirely free from fault A. C. Wilkinson's 128 was an admirable innings.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Mr. R. W. V. Robins (Highgate) b Gore	1 — c Fowler b Gore 0
Mr. J. A. Nunn (Sherborne) b Armitage	51 — c Fowler b Havelock-Davies 2
Mr. E. R. T. Holmes (Malvern) c Havelock-Davies b Hinde	28 — b Havelock-Davies 5
Mr. R. E. C. Butterworth (Harrow) lbw, b Armitage	12 — st P. Williams b Armitage .. 28
Mr. R. H. Cobbold (Eton) st E. Williams b Armitage	2 — run out 11
Mr. T. F. Mitchell (Tonbridge) run out	33 — b Gore 0
Mr. R. H. Coleridge (Marlborough) st E. Williams b Armitage	17 — c Omerod b Burrows 0
Mr. D. S. Milford (Rugby) b Omerod	2 — c Gore b Fowler 12
Mr. J. G. Wagener (Bradfield) b Armitage	2 — b Armitage 1
Mr. E. H. Walters (Oundle) b Gore	10 — c Fowler b Burrows 9
Mr. W. N. McBride (Westminster) not out	0 — not out 7
B 12, 1-b 1	13 B 1, 1-b 2 3
	171

THE ARMY.

Lieut. A. C. Wilkinson c Robins b Wagener	128	Capt. P. H. Havelock-Davies not out 30
Capt. E. S. B. Williams b McBride	0	Capt. C. B. Ormerod lbw, b Cobbold 1
Capt. M. B. Burrows c Coleridge b McBride	51	Lieut. A. C. Gore b Cobbold ... 7
Lieut. G. J. Bryan c Walters b McBride	0	B 12, 1-b 5 17
Lieut. P. V. Williams b Cobbold	12	
Capt. R. St. L. Fowler run out	3	
Capt. E. L. Armitage run out	69	

* Innings declared closed.

THE ARMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Gore	8	4	9	2	8	2	18	2
Havelock-Davies	10	2	27	0	5	0	15	2
Omerod	17	4	45	1				
Hinde	8	0	37	1				
Armitage	19	5	37	5	4	1	8	2
Fowler	2	0	3	0	10.3	4	19	1
Burrows					4	1	15	2

M.C.C. Matches.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Wagener	22	4	51	1				
McBride	21	1	74	3				
Cobbold	25.5	6	55	3				
Milford	16	1	72	0				
Butterworth	10	2	17	0				
Holmes	9	1	32	0				

Umpires : A. J. Atfield and T. Attewell.

AVERAGES.

*Innings marked * are not outs.*

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. B. Scutt	9 ..	246 ..	62*	2 ..	35.14
R. F. Linnett	9 ..	154 ..	40 ..	1 ..	19.25
J. Hockin	9 ..	161 ..	57 ..	0 ..	17.88
K. C. Tours	4 ..	52 ..	37*	1 ..	17.33
S. R. C. Walmsley ..	7 ..	117 ..	50 ..	0 ..	16.71
T. C. Longfield	10 ..	144 ..	57 ..	1 ..	16.00
J. G. Potts	8 ..	85 ..	47 ..	2 ..	14.16
A. J. Wolff	8 ..	65 ..	19 ..	3 ..	13.00

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. C. Longfield	126 ..	25 ..	366 ..	29 ..	12.62
J. G. Potts	101 ..	8 ..	323 ..	22 ..	14.68

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
V. J. Keyte	13 ..	421 ..	80 ..	2 ..	38.27
P. S. M. Molyneux ..	11 ..	322 ..	79 ..	0 ..	29.27
G. H. R. Dew	13 ..	261 ..	69 ..	0 ..	20.07
C. J. Blew	12 ..	164 ..	45*	2 ..	16.40
A. F. Pape	12 ..	104 ..	44 ..	4 ..	13.00
W. P. Day-Dewdney ..	10 ..	119 ..	34 ..	0 ..	11.90
H. R. Pape	11 ..	122 ..	45 ..	0 ..	11.09
H. D. B. Laing	12 ..	116 ..	33 ..	1 ..	10.54
P. F. Nicoll	11 ..	104 ..	44 ..	1 ..	10.40
L. J. Wood	10 ..	66 ..	22 ..	3 ..	9.42

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. J. Wood	50 ..	5 ..	201 ..	13 ..	15.46
W. P. Day-Dewdney ..	164 ..	26 ..	556 ..	35 ..	15.88
V. J. Keyte	230 ..	26 ..	746 ..	42 ..	17.76
G. H. R. Dew	136 ..	16 ..	495 ..	21 ..	23.57

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. P. Utley	11 ..	270 ..	310 ..	1 ..	27.00
E. H. King	14 ..	306 ..	100*	1 ..	23.53
A. J. MacDonald ..	9 ..	82 ..	44 ..	5 ..	20.50
D. E. Walker	14 ..	216 ..	49 ..	3 ..	19.63
A. L. Ainscough	14 ..	238 ..	44 ..	1 ..	18.30
J. H. Nelson	10 ..	105 ..	32*	4 ..	17.50
D. R. Morgan	5 ..	52 ..	41*	2 ..	17.33
G. G. Bond	9 ..	115 ..	45 ..	2 ..	16.42
H. R. Welsh	8 ..	127 ..	49 ..	0 ..	15.87
T. C. Knowles	10 ..	92 ..	20 ..	0 ..	9.20

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. C. Knowles	77	77	218	20	10.90
R. P. Utley	133	29	350	25	14.00
E. H. King	67.3	72	221	12	18.41
J. H. Nelson	112	16	406	22	18.45
G. H. Nelson	85	13	298	15	19.86

*ARDINGLY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. A. Robertson	11	263	99	0	23.90
W. H. P. Ager	10	200	63	0	20.00
R. M. Bradley	11	208	79	0	18.90
G. N. Robarts	11	166	39	0	15.09
K. C. Bryant	10	144	43	0	14.40
A. C. Bryant	11	106	48	2	11.77
F. T. Maxted	3	31	28	0	10.33
N. W. Lansdown	8	40	21	4	10.00
E. A. Stand	7	38	14	3	9.50

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
N. G. Read	111	29	277	22	12.50
R. L. Moir	136	36	292	21	13.90
R. A. Robertson	108	21	299	20	14.95
R. M. Bradley	74	13	229	12	19.08

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. Mann	15	381	101	0	25.40
W. G. Reeves	14	211	75*	2	17.58
W. J. Wyness	14	145	39*	3	13.18
T. H. Crawley	13	154	38*	1	12.83
G. C. Sheldon	8	69	17*	1	9.85
H. J. Arnold	11	107	48	0	9.72
R. W. Brookes	14	106	24	1	8.15
R. H. Edgley	7	24	13	4	8.00
H. G. Howe	12	91	27	0	7.58

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. H. Crawley	201.1	71	338	54	6.25
W. G. Reeves	67	16	194	23	8.43
R. W. Brookes	96.4	21	291	19	15.36
J. Mann	93	18	283	15	18.86

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
D. Russell	8	189	82	0	23.62
G. Hoghton	7	113	50	0	16.14
J. Stange	8	78	18	1	11.14
D. Barr	7	76	40	0	10.85

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. Ellison	88	27	174	34	5.11
G. Finlow	64	13	145	17	8.52
D. Barr	24	5	80	8	10.00

BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. N. Reed	11	259	79	3	32.37
C. W. Rippon	11	269	66	0	24.45
R. W. B. Fuller	10	198	53*	1	22.00
R. D. Stewart	8	165	53	0	20.62
R. Kellett	11	144	33	0	13.09
B. C. Yardie	9	97	27	1	12.12
J. L. Proudlock	8	49	26	3	9.80
C. M. Pryce	4	25	14	1	8.33

BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. L. Proudlock	145.2	34	289	31	9.32
C. N. Reed	180.3	26	543	35	15.51

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. D. Cotton	12	348	82	0	29.00
B. A. Babb	12	288	81	1	26.18
C. H. Williams	12	190	91*	1	17.27
N. B. Larby	8	103	31	2	17.16
C. P. Bennett	12	131	34	4	16.37
J. T. Thody	12	174	40	1	15.82
A. B. Poole	12	163	38*	1	14.82

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
B. A. Babb	101.1	20	258	31	8.32
R. D. Cotton	79.2	17	185	15	12.33
J. E. Riddehough	100.5	20	310	22	14.09
C. H. Quarry	148	32	366	18	20.33

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
L. Wilson	9	264	102	0	29.33
R. S. Stanier	8	102	52*	1	14.57
E. A. Flint	9	137	75	0	15.22
H. A. Brook	6	29	10*	4	14.50
G. F. George	9	112	50	0	12.44
G. F. Carter	9	83	34*	2	11.85
J. F. N. McKinnell	9	92	39	0	10.22

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
O. J. Vaughan-Jackson	116.4	17	344	16	21.50
L. N. Channer	107	16	366	17	21.52

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns	Not outs	Average
C. G. Stowell	8	190	63	1	27.14
H. G. Harman	12	283	106	0	23.58
A. R. Gabe-Jones	12	267	99	0	22.25
I. Scott-Dalgleish	12	176	42	1	16.00
E. T. Benson	11	159	65	1	15.90
J. W. Gittins	12	158	38	1	14.36
H. I. Tremenheere	12	165	34	0	13.75
D. A. Block	9	62	21*	4	12.40
N. C. Phillips	12	130	35	0	10.83
L. G. Newport	10	95	42	1	10.55
R. Wilson	11	81	43*	3	10.12

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. R. Gabe-Jones	176.5	40	473	34	13.91
R. Wilson	163.4	43	443	29	15.27
I. Scott-Dalgleish	64.2	5	250	17	14.70

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. W. F. Lyon	14	651	175	2	54.25
R. A. Peel	14	297	87*	6	37.12
L. G. R. Chester	14	419	104*	1	32.23
R. A. Lyons	12	191	39*	5	27.28
L. W. Recordon	14	356	86	0	25.42
C. B. Barnard	9	130	53	2	18.57
J. E. Parris	8	91	42	0	11.37

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. W. Recordon	73.3	9	251	24	10.45
L. G. R. Chester	266.1	75	597	54	11.05
J. H. Green	72.3	13	233	17	13.70
J. E. Parris	197.2	46	519	33	15.72

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. G. R. Loughery	15	416	59	3	34.66
H. C. M. Stone	15	407	81*	3	33.91
R. J. G. Grainger	16	287	73	2	20.50
J. K. Bell	7	36	10*	5	18.00
J. M. B. Brown	8	79	26*	2	13.16
C. B. Mitchell	14	170	36	1	13.07
J. Lee	14	129	27	1	9.92

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. M. Magrath	124.2	30	285	39	7.31
C. B. Mitchell	180.5	32	471	50	9.42
H. C. M. Stone	165.2	28	389	32	12.16

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. E. Blundell	10	269	61	2	33.62
J. G. Munroe	3	88	56	0	29.33
J. N. Carter	7	150	84	0	21.43
G. S. Fletcher	8	114	50*	2	19.00
J. T. Morgan	9	157	43	0	17.44
R. C. Bett	8	131	79	0	16.37
T. A. Eccles	10	133	68	1	14.77
F. P. Fawcett	6	67	41	1	13.40
G. D. Kemp-Welch	7	65	46*	2	13.00

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. N. Carter	72	17	196	16	12.25
F. P. Fawcett	135.2	28	363	28	12.96
G. D. Kemp-Welch	38.3	8	137	9	15.22
G. E. Blundell	176.4	41	531	32	16.59

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. A. Thomas	12	404	132*	3	44.88
A. C. Hill	10	150	38	1	16.66
P. Higson	15	227	50	0	15.13
R. P. Edwards	12	175	32	0	14.58
N. J. Hogg	14	177	59	1	13.61
H. Jowett	15	140	31	3	11.66
A. W. Tyler	14	135	46	1	10.38
E. L. Vulliamy	9	82	32	1	10.25
N. M. Adams	12	92	51	2	9.20

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. P. Lane	171	50	451	29	15.55
P. Higson	131.2	35	364	22	16.54
J. L. Tisley	48.4	17	136	8	17.00
F. V. Van der Byl	92	19	325	17	19.11

CHIGWELL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. E. Waddington	10	204	51	0	20.40
A. W. Miles	10	125	32	0	12.50
R. T. Gillet	10	119	56	0	11.90
C. A. Lyon	10	97	30	1	10.77
C. A. Clark	9	63	22	3	10.50
R. B. Jackson	10	97	26	0	9.70

The bowling averages could not be obtained, the School score book having been mislaid.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. G. Kettle	15	73	106	0	18.73
A. J. Salisbury	11	281	19*	7	18.25
F. H. Kemple	14	221	75	1	17.00
T. L. Scott	11	159	50	1	15.90
O. G. Simpson	14	151	52*	2	12.58
G. H. Jennings	15	152	58	0	10.13
P. S. Osborne	14	128	33	1	9.84

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. H. Kemple	100.4	21	239	17	14.05
H. G. Kettle	196.1	44	439	30	14.63
C. F. Weekes	128.0	31	340	21	16.19
A. J. Salisbury	110.5	13	344	21	16.38

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
L. D. Gilliam	13	217	54	1	18.08
G. L. M. Tippet	15	251	44	1	17.92
J. H. N. Hobday	12	160	56	3	17.77
L. J. Genn	13	169	39	1	14.08
D. A. Wakeford	12	147	35	0	12.25
A. A. E. Moore	13	139	34	0	10.69
A. R. Fulford	12	84	29	4	10.50
W. E. Radcliffe	12	85	27	2	8.50
B. B. Cohen	12	93	27	1	8.45

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. J. Genn	209	43	517	50	10.34
A. A. E. Moore	110	23	286	25	11.44
W. E. Radcliffe	137.3	22	367	30	12.23
J. H. N. Hobday	62.3	16	211	12	17.58

CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. G. Aslett	11	310	76	2	34.44
F. M. S. Tegner	6	95	39	2	23.75
G. F. L'Estrange	10	211	64	0	21.10
R. M. Nuttall	10	140	51	2	17.50
H. L. Carr	6	92	43	0	15.33
W. C. Carr	9	96	38	1	12.00
H. J. H. Alpass	9	79	32	2	11.28

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. C. Hudson	42	8	86	10	8.60
H. J. H. Alpass	178	46	442	48	9.20
F. W. E. Ives	75	18	260	17	15.29

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
S. O. Tudor	3	56	36*	2	56.00
C. V. Raffety	14	452	88	1	34.76
C. K. Wrexford	14	237	49	2	19.75
L. W. Paget	13	240	39	0	18.46
M. A. McCanlis	11	180	39	0	16.36
A. Parlato	12	155	41	0	12.91
J. G. Mundy	12	119	32	2	11.90
R. H. White	14	164	77	0	11.71
I. H. Cameron	13	102	40	2	9.27

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. A. McCanlis	153	29	478	35	13.65
C. V. Raffety	240.1	44	673	43	15.65
L. C. Hewitt	100.1	16	306	17	18.00
A. H. Hawkes	75.2	12	261	12	21.75

DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. R. Lewis	10	242	56*	1	26.88
R. C. Garman	7	175	47	0	25.00
R. J. Lofthouse	10	216	66	0	21.60
H. F. Harvey	9	95	35	1	11.87
J. Preece	10	99	35	1	11.00
L. Hart	7	40	15	3	10.00
G. Place	9	76	17	1	9.50

DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. R. Lewis	82.5	25	169	19	8.89
G. C. Sutton	88.1	17	226	18	12.55
J. Preece	128.4	20	291	21	13.85

DOVER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. C. N. Jenney	9	227	43	1	28.37
N. C. Fuente	13	364	58	0	28.00
W. J. de C. Beamish	9	26	19*	8	26.00
G. M. Watson	13	265	68	0	20.38
K. F. Gibbs	10	157	58*	1	17.44
W. M. A. Harris	11	154	52	2	17.11
S. G. Tennant	12	171	51	1	15.54
L. R. Mayall	13	199	53	0	15.30
S. C. Smallman	9	113	56*	1	14.12
F. H. Ashby	6	66	24	1	13.20

DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. M. A. Harris	194	27	548	50	10.96
W. J. de C. Beamish	217	44	559	42	13.30
S. G. Tennant	91	3	401	18	22.27

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
M. J. Turnbull	11	668	184	2	74.22
A. P. Allan	10	467	130	1	51.88
R. C. Arbutnot	10	229	61*	3	32.71
R. F. Cronin	11	197	75	3	24.62
R. B. Lamb	8	186	62	0	23.25
C. C. Markes	4	69	42	1	23.00
C. A. Maxwell-Lefroy	10	182	77	0	18.20
G. R. Gordon	4	22	14*	2	11.00
J. Van der Straten	4	41	36	0	10.25

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. A. Quinn	77	13	212	15	14.13
J. Van der Straten ..	173	34	416	28	14.85
A. P. Allan	124	17	477	20	23.85

DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. A. Lawrence	17	374	100	0	22.00
G. A. Rimbault	13	238	95	0	18.30
P. W. Wright	16	231	60	1	15.40
H. D. Hill	17	159	30*	5	13.25
K. H. Davison	10	118	28	0	11.80
J. W. Perts	14	118	28*	1	9.07

DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. J. A. Tanner	180.9	30	532	41	12.97
F. M. Woodhouse	153.5	25	496	27	18.37
P. W. Wright	101.7	4	416	22	18.90
J. W. Perts	460	9	102	6	17.00

DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BATTING

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
L. M. Walker	10	249	76*	1	27.67
A. L. Butt	11	197	42	0	17.91
R. B. Walker	11	124	38*	3	15.50
J. S. Hill	11	138	45	2	15.33
C. R. Dixon	10	128	54*	1	14.22
H. F. Pigott	9	58	21*	4	11.60

DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. F. Good	123.2	42	239	28	8.54
L. M. Walker	136.4	39	333	29	11.48

DURHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. L. Adamson	11	393	94*	1	39.30
F. A. Youngman	12	394	94	1	35.81
J. G. Askew	12	386	101*	1	35.09
C. D. Aavold	10	227	87	1	25.22
J. A. Adamson	11	233	59	1	23.30
B. Aavold	11	178	64	0	16.18
R. W. Smeddle	10	144	45	0	14.40
R. B. Cardale	9	28	3*	7	14.00
J. G. Anderson	10	106	39	0	10.60

DURHAM SCHOOL BOWLING

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. I. M. Turnbull	223	16	223	29	7.68
J. G. Askew	171	46	404	36	11.22
F. A. Youngman	106	26	296	19	15.57
R. W. Smeddle	152	35	420	16	26.25

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. M. Morres	15	363	68	1	25.93
R. A. Howell	12	229	51	0	19.09
A. A. Shaw	15	279	76	0	18.60
R. E. Heath	15	239	52	1	17.07
D. H. Else	14	160	30	4	16.00
F. R. Skinner	6	64	22*	2	16.00
C. S. Moretti	14	179	49	2	14.91
J. G. Gubbins	14	161	40	1	12.39
A. F. Anderson	15	177	37	0	11.80
N. Beatson	10	72	33	3	10.28

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
N. Beatson	38	6	124	10	12.40
G. C. Morse	151	35	528	37	14.27
A. F. Anderson	124.4	28	372	17	21.88
R. E. Heath	110	16	384	17	22.59
E. M. Morres	155	22	680	28	24.28

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
B. R. Tod	18	509	84	1	29.94
I. A. D. Aitchison	18	271	88*	3	18.07
C. D. L. Murray	18	315	60	0	17.50
J. W. A. Wright	16	261	55	1	17.40
A. G. Robertson	18	260	59*	3	17.33
F. C. S. Lorimer	11	135	31*	3	16.88
R. Burnett	14	127	26	2	10.58

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. Burnett	122.1	40	252	28	9.00
B. R. Tod	126.1	23	313	32	9.78
I. G. Gunn	286.2	79	530	52	10.19
R. S. G. Strachan	144.4	32	429	22	19.50

EMMANUEL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. R. Cole	13	387	71	1	32.25
N. S. Deeks	11	182	64	1	18.20
C. H. H. Shaw	10	141	28	0	14.10
A. J. Head	10	119	37	1	13.22
T. M. Kirkwood	7	62	25	2	12.40
E. W. Gomm	12	111	40*	1	10.09

EMMANUEL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. A. Spalding	72	14	210	22	9.54
E. W. Gomm	150	17	402	37	10.86
A. J. Head	120	20	432	29	14.89

Public School Averages.

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. D. Mackay.....	10	406	142	4	67.66
J. S. Cotman.....	13	499	119	1	41.58
D. A. Cotman.....	13	307	75*	2	27.90
D. B. Campbell.....	3	47	34	0	15.66
J. D. Parsons.....	5	53	35*	1	13.25
	8	62	20*	2	10.33

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. D. Mackay.....	224	42	662	57	11.61
J. H. R. Gaffney.....	151.4	36	475	27	17.59
J. S. Cotman.....	122.4	11	461	19	24.26
T. C. Murphy.....	96	7	383	12	31.90

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
Lord Hyde.....	9	276	96	2	39.42
R. H. Cobbold.....	10	306	149	2	38.25
C. J. Child.....	11	333	99	0	30.27
J. E. Tew.....	7	156	58	1	26.00
W. F. Barber.....	9	163	39	1	20.37
H. P. Hunloke.....	8	146	66	0	18.25
L. R. Percival.....	11	185	46	0	16.81
H. A. Caccia.....	6	84	42*	1	16.80
D. F. Landale.....	5	54	29	1	13.50

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. M. Bateson.....	229.1	91	361	34	10.61
G. W. Norris.....	61	16	144	11	13.09
H. A. Caccia.....	38	6	134	8	16.75
R. H. Cobbold.....	210.2	75	405	18	22.50
C. J. Child.....	78	18	210	7	30.00
L. R. Percival.....	114	36	277	9	30.77

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. Mason.....	6	232	103	0	38.66
D. Bowie.....	8	186	66	0	23.25
J. G. Tomlinson.....	10	202	60	1	22.44
G. R. R. Brown.....	10	195	59	1	21.80
L. A. M. Parsons.....	11	237	86	0	21.50
G. W. S. Burton.....	11	207	45	0	20.70
F. Waters.....	8	17	9	7	17.00
A. N. Skelton.....	7	53	20*	2	10.60
G. H. Lintott.....	11	105	43*	1	10.50

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. R. R. Brown.....	161.1	25	468	46	10.17
G. H. Lintott.....	116.4	11	356	19	18.73
L. H. Gilbert.....	63.1	5	214	10	21.40

Public School Averages.

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. L. Robertson.....	15	443	89	2	34.08
E. K. Brown.....	12	340	105	2	34.00
H. L. Normand.....	16	403	72*	3	31.00
R. K. Ferguson.....	15	288	88	1	20.57
K. A. Waugh.....	14	184	36*	2	15.33
J. D. Campbell.....	10	58	13	6	14.50
J. T. Campbell.....	11	111	26	2	12.33
J. M. Scott.....	14	130	38	2	10.83

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. L. Normand.....	121	—	265	26	10.19
J. A. P. Cameron.....	97.1	—	270	23	11.74
K. W. Waugh.....	68	—	169	14	12.07
J. M. Scott.....	218.1	—	464	33	14.06
R. Campbell.....	62	—	157	11	14.27

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
B. D. Kennett.....	9	214	93	0	23.78
B. R. Symes.....	4	62	25	1	20.67
W. E. Brown.....	9	132	73*	1	16.50
C. R. Elwis.....	9	121	35	1	15.13
J. M. Barr.....	9	120	32*	1	15.00
L. W. Sims.....	9	108	50*	1	13.50

FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. W. Sims.....	31.3	4	89	11	8.09
R. E. Wiles.....	82.2	16	243	19	12.79
N. J. B. Stuart.....	75	16	251	19	13.21

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
A. E. Frank.....	14	289	70*	2	24.08
R. V. Howard.....	14	294	63	0	21.00
T. G. Saul.....	13	244	114	1	20.33
G. L. Joyce.....	9	85	19*	4	17.00
Milner-Moore.....	12	150	66*	3	16.66
C. W. Soar.....	14	213	62	0	15.20
C. G. Guerden.....	8	88	32	2	14.66
A. G. Sinclair.....	10	96	23	1	10.66

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. L. Joyce.....	133	28	305	28	10.89
A. G. Sinclair.....	57	12	144	12	12.00
C. W. Soar.....	101	15	290	24	12.08
K. D. Paine.....	107	31	244	20	12.20
A. D. C. Burbidge.....	117	18	384	24	16.00

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. Moore	8	211	53	0	26.37
E. L. Francis	8	133	43	0	16.62
A. B. Winney	8	98	28	0	16.33
S. Hickling	8	125	69	0	15.62
J. W. W. Bather	8	102	30	0	12.75
R. L. Stirling	8	85	43	9	10.62

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. Moore	28	6	90	12	7.50
F. J. Wiseman	159	49	326	32	10.18
S. Hickling	112.5	24	284	15	18.93
J. M. J. Ashcroft ..	57	10	172	8	21.50

GLASGOW ACADEMY BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
I. A. R. Peebles ..	11	158	52*	1	15.80
D. J. Knox	11	111	27	1	11.10
J. R. W. Orr	11	108	27	1	10.80
J. R. Peebles	10	67	17	1	7.44
J. W. R. Murray ..	11	78	20	1	7.80

GLASGOW ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
I. A. R. Peebles ..	152.4	69	178	39	4.56
D. J. Knox	91	25	163	19	8.58
J. R. Peebles	65.5	21	115	16	7.19

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
K. A. W. Johnston ..	12	282	70	0	23.50
J. A. Ramsbotham ..	10	185	38	1	20.55
F. M. Heywood	7	106	55	0	15.14
A. R. Battye	12	140	47	1	12.72
C. B. M. Heywood ..	5	57	39	0	11.40
B. G. Wennink	9	89	24*	1	11.12
P. J. A. Scott	14	133	39*	1	10.23
H. L. Murray	12	71	18	3	7.88

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. L. Murray	106	15	418	26	16.07
A. P. Hall-Thompson ..	69	15	253	13	19.46
C. M. Young	143	25	501	23	21.78

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. C. Butterworth ..	11	379	73	2	42.11
R. E. C. Butterworth ..	10	290	105	0	29.00
G. O. Brigstocke ..	9	153	57	3	25.50
A. W. Baucher	9	178	70	2	25.42
A. M. Crawley	8	149	48	0	18.62
N. M. Ford	10	152	45	1	16.88
F. M. Bucher	8	92	32	2	15.33

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. E. C. Butterworth	152	—	365	23	15.86
C. M. Andreae	66.5	—	306	18	17.00
G. O. Brigstocke ..	114	—	301	16	18.80
K. E. Crawley	118.4	—	412	21	19.60

HERIOT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. G. Brand	17	460	60*	3	32.85
S. W. Plowright	18	411	101*	3	27.40
N. Scott	13	158	32*	3	15.80

HERIOT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. G. Brand	128	25	338	35	9.65
S. W. Plowright	202	30	433	42	10.31
J. H. Melville	152	28	398	35	11.37

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. W. V. Robins ..	17	791	156	1	49.44
G. N. Paxten	17	495	124	1	30.94
R. L. Stuart	17	407	78	1	25.44
G. D. Hobson	16	298	58	2	21.29
C. R. Orr	14	167	27*	6	20.88
K. B. Moore	10	90	19*	5	18.00
J. A. Carter	15	212	34	1	15.14
R. L. Clarke	14	103	22	3	9.36
C. W. Fry	9	50	16	3	8.33
C. O. M. Morris ..	11	74	28	1	7.40

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. W. V. Robins ..	186.3	47	556	54	10.29
G. N. Paxten	73.1	20	227	18	12.61
G. D. Hobson	92	17	290	20	14.50
R. L. Stuart	126.3	24	380	26	14.62
C. O. M. Morris ..	122.2	25	353	19	18.58
C. W. Fry	109.5	16	374	17	22.00

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
V. J. Grinsted	10	246	69	1	27.33
S. Cowen	10	180	45	1	20.00
D. G. Jeffery	9	110	71*	3	18.33
I. C. Mc Lean	10	176	55	0	17.60
K. D. Gilkes	10	174	53	0	17.40
G. L. D. Allison ..	9	130	46*	1	16.25
W. G. Michels	10	159	34	0	15.90
N. R. Brigg	10	141	37	0	14.10
A. F. W. Hurlstone ..	9	80	18*	1	10.00
J. L. Kirk	9	50	26	3	8.33

HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. G. Jeffery.....	178.3	31	497	35	14.20
W. G. Michels.....	167	44	413	20	20.65
J. L. Kirk.....	113.1	15	357	15	23.80
G. L. D. Allison...	71	13	239	10	23.90

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
L. B. Rawson.....	13	358	75*	3	35.80
B. H. Howell.....	13	190	54	1	15.83
J. S. Welsh.....	11	94	42*	1	9.40
S. E. Pilley.....	10	73	31	2	9.12
J. G. Heaphy.....	13	104	20	1	8.67
W. E. Sandbach...	9	67	20	0	7.44

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. B. Rawson.....	162.2	42	467	23	20.30
F. B. Cooper.....	120	32	354	16	22.12
R. A. Cohen.....	87.5	16	290	12	24.17

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
B. Boxall.....	16	408	68	3	31.38
D. A. Collier.....	12	258	71	0	21.50
L. Na Ranong.....	14	231	40	2	19.25
C. I. Clarke.....	11	129	52*	1	12.90
G. L. Loe.....	13	147	32	0	11.30
A. D. Mercer.....	7	32	16	4	10.67
E. F. Hughes.....	17	159	33	1	9.31
G. L. Brown.....	12	83	18	3	9.22
G. W. Gale.....	10	72	23	2	9.00

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. L. Loe.....	127.2	22	422	34	12.41
A. D. Mercer.....	69.4	12	236	14	16.86
L. Na Ranong.....	168.3	47	472	23	20.52
G. W. Gale.....	60	5	279	13	21.46
J. V. Blackman.....	173.1	31	518	22	23.54

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. A. Burrough.....	6	93	31*	2	23.25
E. B. Tremlett.....	11	254	60	0	23.09
E. H. S. Walker.....	11	224	57	1	22.40
J. A. Gardner.....	9	182	54	0	20.22
G. M. Bennett.....	8	142	45	0	17.75
L. Ray.....	9	129	34	0	14.33
W. L. A. Sharvell.....	10	60	22*	5	12.00
F. L. Henyell.....	7	73	33	0	10.42
H. C. Price.....	11	71	31*	4	10.14
M. E. Hewlett.....	11	104	29	0	9.45
H. D. Burrough.....	10	88	28	0	8.80

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
F. L. Henyell.....	90.4	17	279	22	12.68
M. E. Hewlett.....	141	20	439	32	13.71
G. M. Bennett.....	44.1	2	167	11	15.18
E. B. Tremlett.....	135.2	23	426	24	17.75

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
V. C. de. St. Croix.....	15	359	105*	1	25.64
O. H. de. St. Croix.....	14	316	105	1	24.30
W. K. Clarke.....	13	257	63	0	19.77
E. B. Ince.....	6	82	30	1	16.40
R. S. Mount.....	15	205	87*	2	15.76
A. R. Blackledge.....	11	45	14*	7	11.25
P. E. Nicholls.....	13	111	44	2	10.09
J. A. D. Slater.....	15	118	33	0	7.86

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. K. Clarke.....	165.1	40	444	30	14.80
C. G. Stonehouse.....	156.1	38	359	24	14.96
J. H. Terry.....	220.5	68	584	35	16.68
V. C. de St. Croix.....	109	25	362	20	18.10
A. R. Blackledge.....	122	31	280	13	21.53

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
N. R. Reynolds.....	8	109	50	2	18.17
R. G. W. Melsome.....	6	100	58	0	16.67
J. F. Godwin.....	7	69	28	1	11.50
R. T. Davidson.....	9	103	35	0	11.44
J. H. Cook.....	8	66	22*	2	11.00
N. L. de Garston.....	6	56	18	0	9.33
H. H. Phillips.....	6	36	11	2	9.00
B. Brooke.....	9	73	23	0	8.11

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. G. W. Melsome.....	92.5	22	280	25	11.20
G. W. Shaw.....	106.2	23	287	22	13.04
J. H. Cook.....	49.2	7	160	9	17.78
N. R. Reynolds.....	84.3	18	273	13	21.00

LEYS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. Carnegie-Brown.....	18	543	106*	3	36.20
R. G. Field.....	18	401	82*	3	26.73
L. R. Smith.....	18	220	66*	3	22.00
T. D. Page.....	16	272	60	1	18.13
W. R. B. Brash.....	16	180	36	3	13.84
I. Lindsay.....	18	196	27	2	12.25
G. N. Carrell.....	11	103	30	1	10.30
R. W. Sherwin.....	10	97	18	0	9.70

LEYS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. R. Smith	162.3	25	511	43	11.88
R. W. Sherwin	88.4	11	287	20	14.35
G. Carnegie-Brown	56	4	237	13	18.23
R. G. Field	128.5	20	480	19	25.26

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. M. Dykes	17	450	97	4	34.62
R. A. Macleod	10	108	26	5	21.60
E. G. Taylor	16	225	48	1	15.00
J. L. Mitchell	13	151	40	0	11.62
D. Menzies	17	189	40	0	11.12
W. Nicholson	12	120	28	1	10.91
A. M. M. Sanson	17	140	23	3	10.00

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. Lorimer	161.5	33	470	42	11.19
W. Nicholson	47	8	161	14	11.50
J. L. Mitchell	178.5	41	488	30	16.26
E. G. Taylor	85	7	203	10	20.30
A. M. M. Sanson	125.1	28	299	13	23.00

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. R. T. Holmes	11	674	166	2	74.88
T. B. G. Welch	10	308	81	1	34.22
N. J. P. Wadley	9	233	123*	1	29.13
H. E. S. Bird	8	145	73*	3	29.00
J. E. Davenport	6	169	59	0	28.16
J. W. Greenstock	9	207	63	0	23.00
H. C. D. Abrams	9	108	27	2	15.43
C. G. Toppin	10	152	73	0	15.20
E. R. T. Wells	5	25	10*	3	12.50
E. B. Hoefield	9	78	26	2	11.14

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. W. Greenstock	129	34	362	24	15.08
H. C. D. Abrams	115	28	401	18	22.27
T. B. G. Welch	86	11	435	17	25.58
E. R. T. Wells	104	20	336	12	28.00
C. G. Toppin	92	18	377	12	31.41

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. H. Coleridge	14	509	93	0	36.36
W. K. Harbinson	15	327	86	1	23.36
J. K. Waydelin	15	327	80	1	23.36
A. P. W. Bowley	14	201	44	4	20.10
H. M. Chappel	11	186	64	1	18.60
I. Mc I. Stevens	14	240	51	0	17.14
N. T. Loring	9	115	40	2	16.42
A. J. Hawthorn	13	186	31	1	15.50
S. A. Block	15	190	44	0	12.67
T. C. Worsley	7	40	13*	3	10.00

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. P. W. Bowley	84.4	27	180	17	10.59
R. H. Coleridge	95.4	28	243	18	13.50
J. K. Waydelin	179	49	401	28	14.32
H. G. Knight	131.4	40	319	20	15.95
A. J. Hawthorn	309.5	117	625	38	16.45

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. F. Phillips	13	324	72	0	24.92
T. G. Hughes	14	303	81	1	23.30
K. M. Wilkins	12	159	48*	4	19.87
J. A. Sketchley	13	144	53	4	16.00
G. A. Loader	13	188	53	0	14.46
B. W. Greenup	10	134	41	0	13.40

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. A. Loader	90	6	408	22	18.54
T. G. Hughes	116	13	423	21	20.14
J. A. Sketchley	83	8	362	15	24.13
K. M. Wilkins	102	13	365	15	24.33
B. W. G. Davis	57	6	243	8	30.37

MERCHANTON CASTLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
P. Wilson	6	162	67*	1	32.40
H. J. Sinclair	7	148	77*	2	29.60
W. L. Milligan	6	141	52	0	23.50
H. B. Sym	6	85	33	0	14.16
A. J. B. Lee	6	82	22	0	13.66
W. F. M. Whitelaw	5	27	18*	3	13.50
W. F. Mackenzie	4	50	23	0	12.50

MERCHANTON CASTLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. F. Mackenzie	200	79	339	45	7.53
W. L. Milligan	207	49	460	44	10.45
J. H. Oglvie	95	21	236	22	10.72
W. F. M. Whitelaw	94	23	184	17	10.82

MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. W. G. Hume	11	482	110*	1	48.20
M. E. Edgar	10	319	128*	3	45.57
R. S. Spang	11	269	53*	2	29.88
A. J. C. Air	7	178	67	1	29.66
E. A. Catesby	6	111	44	1	22.20
W. H. Sobey	9	149	47*	2	21.28
K. E. Beart	11	142	47	4	20.28
J. C. Wolstenholme	7	125	47	0	17.85

MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. Taylor	188.2	56	416	40	10.40
M. E. Edgar	73.2	17	225	14	16.07
J. W. G. Hume	178.2	38	532	28	19.00

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. J. H. Hart	14	366	86	3	33.27
J. Gregor	14	221	35	0	15.78
J. C. Watson	14	183	36	1	14.07
C. G. Reeves	14	140	22	3	12.72
J. H. W. James	14	153	44	0	10.92
M. K. Watson	10	81	33*	1	9.00
G. H. T. Chalcraft	12	81	21	1	7.36

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. R. J. Williams	48.3	5	176	19	9.26
J. C. Walky	144	41	347	32	10.84
A. F. Thomas	53.3	8	177	14	12.64
J. C. Watson	79	8	300	15	20.00

OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. O. Brooks	14	300	98	0	21.43
B. C. Rady	11	108	49	2	12.00
P. N. C. Sinker	13	115	21	1	9.58
J. M. A. Ennion	15	134	31	1	9.57
R. E. Jackson	15	142	21	0	9.47
J. C. Thompson	12	107	34	0	8.92

OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
M. H. Jones	56.2	15	149	15	9.93
I. C. Hill	39	5	114	10	11.40
C. H. Schwind	152.4	29	411	35	11.74
H. C. Bristow	163.2	22	550	39	14.10

OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. B. Newton	10	330	73*	2	41.25
N. G. Wykes	9	312	110*	1	39.00
P. B. Mair	9	320	95	0	35.55
A. G. Webb	7	206	114	1	34.33
E. H. Walters	11	188	39	0	17.09
T. D. Barker	6	51	23*	3	17.00
H. Borrie	11	177	41	0	16.09
R. M. Woodhead	10	105	23	2	13.12
P. C. C. Cayley	7	76	52	1	12.66

OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. E. Reekie	288	1	245	17	14.41
T. D. Barker	872	28	381	25	15.24
R. M. Woodhead	613	14	349	22	15.86
N. D. Lloyd	727	29	296	16	18.50

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns	Not outs	Average
J. E. G. Rowland	16	382	111*	4	31.83
St. L. Morris	15	296	56	1	21.14
J. Barmby	16	307	81	1	20.46
G. C. A. Adams	13	166	43	2	15.09
L. D. Cambridge	11	161	47	0	14.63
E. B. J. Polglase	13	117	43*	5	14.62
C. I. Collier	12	138	39	2	13.80
D. H. Hibbert	13	122	25*	3	12.20
C. McConaghy	10	42	12*	5	8.40

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. B. J. Polglase	103.1	13	323	21	15.38
C. McConaghy	217.1	37	622	40	15.55
J. Barmby	53.4	5	208	12	17.33
D. H. Hibbert	110.2	15	369	21	17.57
St. L. Morris	139	17	476	17	28.00

REPTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. J. M. Watts	11	355	145	0	32.27
E. W. Rennick	11	172	36	4	24.57
H. W. Austin	13	310	65	0	23.84
R. J. de C. Barber	13	279	38	1	23.25
B. H. Valentine	13	302	44	0	23.23
R. O. Burton	13	273	92	0	21.00
D. S. Piper	10	90	36*	3	12.85
J. W. Buckland	13	146	66	1	12.16
R. L. Latham	8	74	21	1	10.57

REPTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. S. Piper	169.5	45	430	30	14.33
P. R. Hill	50.2	3	206	10	20.60
R. O. Burton	63	4	235	11	21.36
E. W. Rennick	204.5	38	618	27	22.88
V. A. Comyn	96	8	346	10	34.60

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. G. Holmes	13	493	110	0	37.92
J. G. Turnbull	12	331	61	2	33.10
A. M. D. Whiteroft	13	274	75*	1	22.88
L. F. Knight	8	178	43	0	22.25
J. A. Roncoroni	12	255	75	0	21.25
K. D. Gibson	12	174	49	0	14.50
J. C. Hammond	10	122	31	0	12.20
N. B. Smith	11	84	21	3	10.50

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. G. Turnbull	143.4	26	364	27	13.48
N. B. Smith	170.5	29	514	32	16.06
L. F. Knight	102.5	9	309	19	16.26
J. M. Jones	66.1	12	161	9	17.88
J. A. Roncoroni	148	18	475	21	22.61

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. W. Wilson	14	458	115*	3	41.63
W. A. Stoker	12	162	52	2	16.20
A. Ritchie	8	104	36	0	13.00
J. T. Henderson	10	82	23	2	10.25

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. T. Henderson	73	19	136	16	8.50
L. M. Robertson	59	18	137	16	8.56
W. A. Stoker	175	46	402	46	8.73
M. N. Hoyte	125	44	249	25	9.96

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. F. Longrigg	13	442	77*	2	40.18
M. R. Kittermaster	12	320	56*	3	35.56
D. S. Milford	13	343	157	1	29.00
E. M. Dawson	13	305	72	0	23.46
A. J. H. Cassels	14	315	83	0	22.50
H. C. Pattison	13	287	85	0	22.07
J. F. Connolly	7	75	30*	3	18.75
P. B. L. Coghlan	12	106	26	6	17.67
R. W. A. Speed	9	87	26*	1	10.87

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. S. Milford	248.5	47	772	57	13.54
A. J. H. Cassels	172.3	26	469	26	18.04
P. B. L. Coghlan	66.5	6	374	13	28.77

ST. ALBAN'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. A. G. Anderson	11	186	33	3	23.25
H. A. Chandler	12	229	58	2	22.90
B. W. Sampson	11	121	55	0	11.00
R. F. George	6	32	12	2	8.00
M. F. Bywaters	10	65	16	1	7.22
W. Hawkins	11	71	19	1	7.10

ST. ALBAN'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. A. G. Anderson	153	30	339	43	7.88
J. Richardson	18	1	59	7	8.42
F. Penhey	73	10	234	10	23.40

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. L. Ogilvy	9	173	66*	1	21.63
F. Mennim	8	120	26	2	20.00
B. X. Jessop	8	135	35	0	16.87
J. L. Newton	5	64	25	1	16.00
J. D. C. Thompson	7	71	15	2	14.20
W. N. C. Gillmore	9	89	26	1	11.13
C. M. Russell-Leonard	9	74	27	1	9.25

ST. BEES' SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. L. Newton	51.2	14	110	15	7.33
C. M. Russell-Leonard	35	6	89	12	7.42
A. G. Holloway	115.1	25	256	28	9.14
F. Mennim	132	28	300	21	14.29

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. E. Image	14	234	55	2	19.50
G. M. Hamilton	14	210	42	0	15.00
C. H. Caswell	14	156	56*	3	14.18
A. B. Stanley	9	110	37	1	13.75
O. R. Bingham	15	198	42	0	13.20
F. E. Caswell	14	164	38	1	12.62
H. E. Elam	14	169	34	0	12.07
O. R. Measor	6	36	25*	3	12.00
E. B. Gillett	13	132	24	1	11.00

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. M. Phair	131.2	17	481	30	16.03
O. R. Measor	66	5	298	18	16.56
G. M. Hamilton	164.2	42	524	29	18.07
P. S. Cook	101	12	365	14	26.07

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. A. Cantrell	10	144	35	5	28.80
E. S. Barrett	13	227	58*	1	18.92
E. L. Bodell	12	182	42*	1	16.54
R. Perfect	12	197	46	0	16.42
C. M. Carr	13	156	54	2	14.18
W. W. Holland	13	155	46	0	11.92
E. L. Hartley	13	151	33	0	11.61
L. T. Lyall	12	126	43	1	11.45
R. A. Phillips	10	41	11	6	10.25

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
L. T. Lyall	164.1	26	522	37	14.11
G. W. Farmer	54.4	8	165	11	15.00
R. Perfect	166	18	500	31	16.13
W. H. Cantrell	124	25	488	25	19.52

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. T. Killick	14	468	148*	1	36.00
F. B. W. Mills	14	338	60	1	26.00
P. G. E. Nash	14	329	72	0	23.50
H. E. Goodman	8	138	58	2	23.00
E. Leighton	11	129	34*	2	14.33
H. M. Garland-Wells	10	138	37	0	13.80
F. E. Marshall	14	182	77	0	13.00
G. L. A. Dudeney	10	96	26	2	12.00
C. B. Cook	14	143	30	2	11.92
D. K. Rigby	10	55	27*	5	11.00

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. L. A. Dudeney	215.2	41	552	35	15.77
F. B. W. Mills	171.1	25	495	26	19.04
P. G. E. Nash	148	15	492	25	19.68
H. M. Garland-Wells	89	15	305	15	20.33

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
M. A. Wynter Blyth	5	152	79	3	76.00
J. M. Russell	5	137	77	1	34.25
J. K. Mac Farlan	7	181	62	1	30.16
K. J. Annandale	4	85	76*	1	28.33
D. M. Gamon	5	103	46	1	25.75
J. A. Goode	5	52	38*	2	17.33
J. N. Kitsop	3	37	36	0	12.33
C. W. Beer	3	30	17	0	10.00

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. W. Skene	87	22	171	13	13.15
W. King	108	31	246	18	13.66

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
A. G. Egremont	9	266	66	0	29.55
L. D. D. Brett	8	157	53*	2	26.16
C. W. Coaker	10	190	49	1	21.11
F. Hoddinott	8	87	44*	3	17.40
A. J. Combes	9	152	63	0	16.88
F. N. Windebank	8	112	54	0	14.00
J. S. Windebank	7	83	31	1	13.83
E. B. Brice	8	69	40*	2	11.50

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. S. Windebank	118.1	37	218	27	8.07
G. J. Brice	29.4	8	105	10	10.50
A. G. Egremont	113.5	31	311	25	12.44

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
J. A. Nunn	8	488	141*	2	81.33
R. Eglington	7	334	94	0	47.71
F. H. Wright	4	80	43	2	40.00
G. C. W. Neve	5	173	95	0	34.60
A. L. Spens	5	103	49	2	34.33
J. A. C. Grant	8	201	43	0	25.12
J. K. Hill	6	144	72	0	24.00
G. Peddie	3	62	51	0	20.67
R. G. Forbes-Bassett	5	103	37	0	20.60
L. T. Bond	5	52	25	1	13.00
W. P. Haines	4	40	21	0	10.00

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. P. Haines	59	9	174	13	13.38
G. C. W. Neve	97	12	275	20	13.75
R. G. Forbes-Bassett	104	14	287	20	14.35
L. T. Bond	59	4	285	10	28.50

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
P. H. C. Staples	6	96	33*	3	32.00
P. S. Snow	17	408	48*	3	29.14
G. W. Pomeroy	16	310	104	3	23.84
A. T. Barber	18	393	63	1	23.11
R. F. Skelton	14	277	71*	1	21.30
J. F. Longrigg	11	206	67	1	20.60
G. S. Watson	17	320	54	0	18.82
R. L. Howland	15	208	59	3	17.33
C. E. Dodd	10	100	25*	3	14.28
B. C. Lee	11	141	58	0	12.81
B. Smallpeice	14	120	32*	3	10.90

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
A. C. E. Mutton	88.2	6	322	18	17.88
P. S. Snow	127.5	19	402	22	18.27
C. E. Dodd	161.4	32	471	23	20.47
D. G. Foster	163	31	483	22	21.95

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
T. F. Mitchell	15	442	110	2	34.00
R. H. Letts	8	270	143	0	33.75
J. McDermid	14	275	74	3	25.00
P. N. Miller	13	290	69	1	24.16
C. C. Lockitt	5	82	31	0	16.40
J. H. Piesse	12	181	50	0	15.08
H. J. Tedder	13	152	56*	2	13.81
H. M. O'M. Holman	10	76	74*	3	10.85
A. S. G. Thompson	9	83	29*	1	10.37
J. F. Scott	11	81	20*	3	10.12

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. C. Hubbard	188.3	41	600	29	20.68
T. F. Mitchell	145.5	26	516	25	20.64
J. F. Scott	100.5	17	388	17	22.82
J. McDermid	214	40	658	20	32.90
H. M. O'M. Holman	80.4	12	333	10	33.30

TRENT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
C. S. Lang	12	381	88	2	38.10
G. H. Webster	11	144	29	1	14.40
P. H. Keigwin	9	109	47	0	12.11
W. H. Bowen	12	127	27	1	11.54
S. Billington	6	44	19*	2	11.00

TRENT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
S. Billington	45	11	116	9	12.88
P. H. Keigwin	167	30	429	31	13.83
B. L. Bowers	181	34	558	36	15.50
E. L. Taylor Gill ..	81	14	251	12	20.91

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. B. D. Wright	8	175	89	0	21.87
R. M. Wilson	8	106	51*	2	17.66
W. V. H. Robins	9	156	56	0	17.33
D. M. Lloyd	9	110	34	0	12.22
E. E. Chambers	7	36	11	4	12.00
N. H. Trace	7	68	20	0	9.71
J. W. Hankins	9	87	23	0	9.66
D. J. L. Stevens ..	9	87	41	0	9.66

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
N. H. Trace	50.5	65	148	11	13.45
J. F. Greer	111.3	26	249	16	15.56
D. M. Lloyd	76	10	211	9	23.44

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. K. Beisiegel	14	420	93	2	35.00
J. S. Freeborough ..	12	334	121	1	30.36
S. Enderby	12	263	130*	1	23.90
J. C. B. Perkins	11	224	57	1	22.40
J. S. Atkins	15	260	82	2	20.00
J. H. Pope	15	218	67	2	15.57
R. I. F. McIntosh ..	10	127	37	0	12.70
C. J. McBride	9	67	25	3	11.16
R. C. Paterson	12	119	65	1	10.82

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. C. B. Perkins	74	15	196	17	11.53
R. I. F. McIntosh ..	238	51	490	36	13.61
J. S. James	185	39	353	24	14.71
U. R. Moseley	89	21	231	15	15.40

WATSON'S COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
D. S. Berrie	16	336	50	1	22.40
J. S. McLaren	16	235	58	1	15.66
J. Wilkie	15	187	57*	1	13.35
A. F. Blair	16	206	56	0	12.87
A. H. Parnaby	8	50	35*	4	12.50
J. R. H. Peat	16	106	26	1	7.06

WATSON'S COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. S. McLaren	235.4	77	483	55	8.78
A. J. Bain	110.5	30	281	31	9.06
A. J. Deas	70	20	158	9	17.55

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. T. H. Peck	12	346	79*	1	31.45
J. E. Timms	12	327	93	0	27.25
I. R. R. Richmond ..	12	250	83	0	20.83
A. A. Burgess	11	230	106	1	23.00
F. Rawlins	11	177	45	2	19.66
H. V. Pike	9	102	37	2	14.57

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
P. E. Neeles	169.3	14	552	41	13.46
A. A. Burgess	88.4	9	319	25	12.76
J. B. Gamble	49.1	3	189	16	11.81

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
S. C. Dobson	8	342	168	0	42.75
J. P. Johnson	5	117	37	0	23.40
E. J. Foord	8	177	51	0	22.12
A. J. Peech	6	109	77	1	21.80
N. M. Peech	3	57	32	0	19.00
M. B. Turner	7	101	37	0	14.42
R. C. Dobson	8	78	25*	2	13.00
R. F. A. David	8	96	32	0	12.00
W. D. S. May	5	53	29	0	10.60

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
R. Bryan	113	16	360	20	18.00
J. P. Johnson	64.4	5	296	13	22.77

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. N. McBride	8	360	108	0	45.00
J. W. Jacob-Hood ..	14	445	126	0	31.78
L. Clare	14	336	75	2	28.00
R. W. P. Gorman	14	230	42	0	16.42
J. H. Robson	14	186	34*	2	15.50
A. M. Shepley-Smith ..	14	206	76	0	14.71
D. R. F. Cambell	10	104	35	2	13.00
T. G. Lund	13	150	50	0	11.53
C. O. Ormerod	7	55	20*	1	9.16

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
D. R. F. Cambell	135	21	529	31	17.06
W. N. McBride	87.5	15	275	15	18.33
C. O. Ormerod	72.4	6	309	14	22.07

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. L. Cocks	11 ..	243 ..	72 ..	1 ..	24.30
H. R. Gough	9 ..	120 ..	29 ..	4 ..	24.00
K. H. Bichard	11 ..	234 ..	77 ..	0 ..	21.27
S. H. Hodge	11 ..	209 ..	63 ..	0 ..	19.00
E. R. Knapp	11 ..	194 ..	53 ..	0 ..	17.63
F. H. B. Woodd ...	11 ..	175 ..	48 ..	1 ..	17.50
J. D. P. Chapman .	6 ..	55 ..	29 ..	2 ..	13.75
G. L. O. Jessop	10 ..	97 ..	38 ..	0 ..	9.70
H. F. Hodge	10 ..	76 ..	21 ..	2 ..	9.50
J. M. Potter	9 ..	58 ..	26 ..	2 ..	8.28

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING:

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
G. L. Cocks	79.5 ..	16 ..	266 ..	23 ..	11.56
G. L. O. Jessop	135.2 ..	25 ..	415 ..	33 ..	12.57

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
G. P. Scott	11 ..	219 ..	41* ..	6 ..	43.80
P. W. Brook	5 ..	63 ..	37 ..	3 ..	31.50
E. C. Avenell	13 ..	308 ..	75* ..	2 ..	28.00
M. C. Butterworth .	7 ..	83 ..	41* ..	3 ..	20.75
G. P. Goodwin	12 ..	204 ..	69 ..	0 ..	17.00
F. Savage	13 ..	189 ..	40 ..	1 ..	15.75
H. H. Peart	12 ..	164 ..	38* ..	1 ..	14.91
J. H. Russell	7 ..	83 ..	41 ..	1 ..	13.83
E. Fielden	10 ..	130 ..	20 ..	0 ..	13.00

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. B. S. Salvi	88.3 ..	12 ..	319 ..	36 ..	8.86
L. G. Butt	28 ..	4 ..	104 ..	9 ..	11.55
J. H. Russell	107.2 ..	21 ..	281 ..	22 ..	12.77
H. H. Peart	147.2 ..	30 ..	336 ..	25 ..	13.44
M. C. Butterworth .	64.4 ..	12 ..	181 ..	13 ..	13.92

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
E. Snell	14 ..	281 ..	64 ..	2 ..	23.41
M. B. S. Bower	11 ..	239 ..	82 ..	0 ..	21.72
P. G. T. Kingsley ..	13 ..	248 ..	60 ..	0 ..	19.07
C. E. Frazer	14 ..	264 ..	85 ..	0 ..	18.85
R. P. Wilson	13 ..	184 ..	55* ..	1 ..	15.33
R. H. Horsley	14 ..	171 ..	56 ..	1 ..	13.15
C. A. L. Guise	13 ..	138 ..	30 ..	0 ..	10.61

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. S. Lambert	144.2 ..	31 ..	405 ..	19 ..	21.31
P. D. Jephson	72.2 ..	17 ..	218 ..	10 ..	21.80
C. E. Awdry	160.3 ..	34 ..	524 ..	24 ..	21.83
M. B. S. Bower	72.3 ..	11 ..	227 ..	10 ..	22.70
P. G. T. Kingsley ..	98 ..	10 ..	372 ..	13 ..	28.61