PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1925.

By H. S. ALTHAM.

It does not seem many months ago since I sat down to my last article for Wisden, and opened it with some disgruntled comment on the third of three distressingly wet summers. Last season, however, the sun at last remembered its duty to the game, and, after a shaky start, settled down to a long and brilliant innings. At times he almost overdid it, and there were days in mid-June when his efforts seem to rob school bowling of some of its sting, and the fielders of their joie de vivre: but, generally speaking, it was a glorious time in which it was both good to play, and good to watch.

Of course, the prolonged drought brought its own problem for the groundsman, and I am afraid that at more than one school the practice wickets at least had gone to pieces before the term had run half its course, whilst it is no use disguising the fact that at Eton the majority of pitches were by June so positively dangerous as to make orthodox batting, especially for the smaller boys, virtually impossible. This was a very serious state of things, and, if allowed to continue, could not fail to wreck the cricket of any school, even with Eton's plethora of talent, within a very short time. Happily there is no risk of such a disaster, and with her enviable resources, Eton will no doubt have got all straight again by next May, for it is wonderful what a liberal application of marl in the autumn and plenty of raking and rolling in the spring will do. But other schools, less happily situated and forced perhaps to play football over their house-game or practice pitches until March, may well find themselves in trouble when summer sets in early. Once the ground hardens little can be done. But anything is better than bad netwickets, and I was interested to hear of one school where the authorities had had the courage of their convictions and laid down matting extensively with the happiest results.

Before sitting down to discuss in some detail the harvest of this splendid summer, I thought it might be of interest to consider quite shortly whether of recent years any noticeable changes had taken place in school cricket as a whole. To that end I wrote to one or two friends who have a long and intimate experience of this side of the game and canvassed them for their views, setting up for the purpose a few 'Aunt Sallies' for them to demolish or leave standing as they thought fit.

The first took the form of the question whether the batting of the average school eleven to-day was not as a whole at once rather sounder and more stereotyped than, say, twenty years ago. Upon this there seemed more or less general agreement, but the fact, if it is a fact, received very different interpretations. Two of my correspondents, and they are men of unsurpassed experience, united in deploring it: both were inclined to admit that nowadays a school eleven were harder to get out than their predecessors a generation back, but both thought that for this improvement in defence too heavy a price had been paid. In their opinion the doctrine of 'safety first' has largely supplanted the old spirit of aggressive adventure, and as a result amateur batsmen can no longer be looked to to supply the 'champagne of cricket.' Particularly did they regret the disappearance of the cut and the forcing strokes on the off-side, in favour of the hook, the push, and the glide. For this change they laid much responsibility on the 'two-shouldered method' and some on the coaches. The former, they agreed, had effected a virtual revolution in school batting methods, the latter, they suspected, in trying to correct the faults of the many were liable to stifle the genius of the few. But one of them went much farther than this, and found a psychological causa causans in the "decay of aggressiveness in the present generation and the loss of the old Drake and Nelson touch, which prompted them to go straight for the enemy and not wait to be attacked."

Now in contrast to this verdict let me record the opinion of two other critics of hardly less experience. Whilst inclined to admit that school batting as a whole may be less aggressive and less individual, they maintain strongly that d fence has enormously improved, particularly on turning wickets, and that for one boy who could play back effectively thirty years ago, three can do so to-day. They suggest that the cut and the 'square' strokes on the off, though beautiful and productive, are also difficult and dangerous, and though some schools, notably Eton and Malvern still seem able to play them with profit, for the average boy and on the whole they simply 'don't pay." They agree that the greatest problem in coaching is to develop individuality on sound lines, but whilst admitting the chance of an occasional genius being "inhibited into mediocrity," they have no doubt on which side the balance of good lies. Coaching, once the prerogative of the few, now extends far further down the strata of school cricket: most of it is on sound lines, and the result, as a whole, is a distinct levelling up, with a considerable gain in the "happiness of the greatest number."

Between opinions of acknowledged experts so divergent I would not venture to hazard a verdict. To some extent they differ on what actually pays: the one side wants "champagne." the other. if I may extend the metaphor, thinks beer more profitable as a staple drink. The one says that school is the time to learn strokes: the other that strokes will come later, if only school-timer lays a sound foundation of defence. But I am not sure that the cleavage does not really cut deeper still and represents the difference between a qualitative or quantitative standard. For the one, success in cricket is fairly measured by facts and figures; the other demands that it should also delight the eye and stir the pulse.

Neither side surely has the monopoly of truth, but I am afraid that "the idealists" have the odds against them. The boy is an imitative animal, and school cricket tends strongly to reflect the theory and practice current in the first-class game. It will not, I think, be disputed that the general tendency in county and international cricket of recent years has been rather to discredit adventure and concentrate on security, and it is, I believe, this rather than any fault of coaching or flaw in the human material that accounts for such lack of enterprise as there is in school batting to-day. Whether modern cricket is right or wrong is another question: if wrong, then the public schools are certainly faced with a heavy responsibility, for to them, if anywhere, must we look for the impetus towards reform.

The issues which I raised concerning bowling were less difficult. With one accord all my correspondents agreed to deplore the decay of fast bowling, pointing out, however, that here again the deficiency only reflected the state of things in big cricket. Of explanations there were two. The first was general, to the effect that in the last 30 years the whole environment of the average boy's upbringing had changed and that even those living in the country now have their amusements mapped out for them, and do not get that persistent practice in throwing the nearest missile at the nearest mark that was for their fathers the most natural of recreations. It is certainly true that with the decay of fast bowling there has gone along a decline in long-throwing, and both demand a suppleness and development of arms and shoulder muscles that can only be gained in youth.

The second explanation lays the blame directly at the door of the preparatory schools: the coaches at these, it is suggested, will not let boys bowl fast for fear of their being "over-bowled," whereas, in point of fact, action and rhythm are everything in fast bowling, and unless acquired young, are not acquired at all. In support of this theory I can at least remark that, whereas there are any number of instances of men who bowled fast when young becoming famous slow bowlers later on, I cannot think of one example in the contrary sense.

My other bowling question concerned the hackneved issue of "swing" and length: here again the majority of my critics agreed in lamenting the cult of the swerve, and thought that a great deal of harm had been done by coaches actively teaching boys "such monkey tricks" before they had learnt to control the ball. On the other hand another gentleman, and one most fully qualified to speak on the subject, suggested that boys who could spin the ball still spun it, and boys who used to do nothing at all with it now swerved. There is a good deal of truth in this, I am sure, but I wonder whether the "spinner" does not also try to swing and whether his length has not suffered in the process.

One more point in connection with out-cricket: the placing of the field in school matches is surely more elastic and intelligent than it used to be, and here at least the schools have profited by taking the first class game as their model.

Such were the issues I raised, and if I have not the confidence to attempt a verdict myself, I hope that their ventilation at least may not prove without interest and value.

It is not often possible to point decisively to the "champion" school side, and this year is certainly no exception to the rule. I doubt whether any of the school teams were of really outstanding merit, and I am only going to suggest some of the stronger. Eton certainly did not distinguish themselves against Harrow, and for reasons to which I refer elsewhere were not as good as they might have been, but even so I think they could command as much talent as anyone, and if Hill was fit and the wicket hard, they needed a lot of beating. Harrow were too weak in bowling and too sedate in batting to be dangerous, but there were plenty of runs in the side, and Winchester were always to be reckoned with because they really bowled a length. Neither Rugby nor Marlborough were strong; as long as Alpass was at his best Clifton were formidable: but of all the Lord's Schools the greatest advance was shown by Haileybury, who had a well balanced side and played confident, attractive cricket. In the Midlands Repton have the much-coveted scalps of Uppingham and Malvern to their credit, but lost their own to Shrewsbury, who developed into a strong all-round combination towards the end of their season. Downside with Turnbull, and Highgate with Robins, proved too good for most of their opponents and neither were by any means a one-man show. Brighton were once again the best of the Sussex schools, and Leys and Bedford the champions of their own considerable group. The last two were both unbeaten in inter-school games; their one-day 'Test Match' against each other never looked like being finished, but Bedford seem to have been on the whole the stronger of the two and a good school eleven all round.

When we turn to consider individual form, we may be most struck by the number of accomplished all-round players. Here, for instance, is a side of this invaluable variety which I should have enjoyed seeing pitted against any rival combination: Cobbold (Eton), Mitchell (Tonbridge), Robins (Highgate), Taylor (Mill Hill), Snow (Shrewsbury), Rennick (Repton), Knight (Marlborough), Green (Brighton), Neve (Sherborne), Reid (Bedford), and Aslett (Clifton) to keep wicket. Of course there are other candidates for this team, notably Morres of Eastbourne, Venables of Haileybury, Nash of St. Pauls, and Roper of Levs: whilst Raphael of Harrow, on the form he showed at Lords, would have strong claims, and Alpass really made enough runs to be placed in this category. When all allowance is made for the weather and wickets, the fact remains that the bowling this year was very moderate: in fact some critics of experience, watching the 'representative' games at Lord's in the beginning of August, gave it a much harder name. There were few bowlers of genuine pace: McDermid of Tonbridge, Firth of Rugby and Fisher of St. Paul's were fastish and all were fairly successful; there are obvious possibilities about Hill of Eton, for he does bowl fast and he does make the ball bounce, though as yet he lacks strength and stamina: but on performance at any rate the strongest credentials in the class belong to Crawford of St. Peter's, York, and with such a record as his it seems surprising that no room could be found for him in 'The Rest' Eleven at Lord's. Of left-hand spinners Alpass was clearly the best: he was stale by the end of August, but an experienced cricketer who watched him early in the season thought his bowling then compared favourably with any seen in the University match. If next season is wet a good deal may be heard of the Etonian, Whittaker; and Penman of Levs also did well. Amongst bowlers of the 'straight forward' type, I can speak highly of Tew of Winchester; and I have good reports of Wennink (Haileybury), McIntosh (Uppingham), Moberley (Sherborne) and Gamble (Wellingborough), whilst of "leg spinners" Wilson of Repton had a capital season. In so fine a summer the batting records naturally read well: Turnbull's wonderful aggregate of 1.323 runs must win for him pride of place, but Killick of St. Paul's actually averaged over a hundred and he has another year at school. Robins (Highgate), Crawley (Harrow), Longrigg (Rugby), Welch (Malvern), Beisiegel (Uppingham), Shaw (Eastbourne), Newton (Oundle) and Rimbault (Dulwich), all beat 50 per innings: Lord Hyde and Hope of Eton, Snell of Winchester, and Watson of Shrewsbury all played good cricket, whilst Valentine (Repton), Catlow (Haileybury), and Toppin (Malvern) were at once successful and good to watch. This, I am afraid, is but an arbitrary selection, and I can only hope that justice is done later to the merits of the many omitted from it.

As regards the school cricket seen at Lord's, the Eton and Harrow match provided a big surprise; on paper form Eton were strong favourites, but they came perilously near to a heavy defeat. For their poor score on the Friday they had no one but themselves to blame: against some swinging bowling of steady length they persisted in playing speculative off-strokes, and Wormald who was in wonderful form behind the stumps actually caught out half the side: incidentally he played two innings almost unwarrantably good in a No. 11. For weeks after the match debate raged furiously as to whether Harrow should or should not have made Eton "followon;" to do so certainly involved the only risk of losing the match. and I know that some of the most distinguished of Old Harrovian cricketers were strongly against it, but personally I feel that a win would have meant everything to Harrow and a 'gamble' would have been justified. Where Harrow failed, and failed badly, was in not forcing the pace on the Saturday: they had only to score 80 runs an hour in order to leave Eton 350 to get and over four hours to bat: in point of fact they took two and a half hours to make 119. and the declaration came too late. Even so Eton at one time had lost half their wickets for 99 and had another ninety minutes to go, and even at seven o'clock the advent of the new ball might conceivably have pulled Harrow through. But it was not to be, and the success which not only Harrovians, but cricketers as a whole, long for, was once again deferred. The features of the match were. on the Harrow side, the all-round form of Raphael, and the excellence of the Harrow fielding: Wormald's wicket-keeping and Pennefather's slip catch will not easily be forgotten. For Eton Cobbold played two beautiful and resourceful innings and was obviously the most gifted batsman in the match. Whittaker's steadiness on the Saturday played a large part in immobilising the Harrow batsmen, and his form for a young bowler was very good, whilst in the crisis on the last evening both Hunloke and Boscawen showed nerve and skill.

The Rugby and Marlborough match again provided a fine finish, Marlborough being only saved from defeat by a gallant half hour's stand of their two last men. Once more Longrigg gave of his best on the big occasion; Leedham-Green, besides playing a valuable innings, had marked success on both days with his leg breaks. Knight in the first and Philpott in the second innings did well for Marlborough, but the batting of both elevens was not at all convincing. At one time Rugby seemed to have the match in their hands, being 169 runs on with eight wickets to fall, but Longrigg's departure was followed by a deplorable collapse, eight wickets falling for 40 runs. Marlborough, set to get 210 to win in just under two and a half hours, could for some time do nothing right, and with more than an hour left to go had only three wickets outstanding. Philpott and Paul stayed half an hour, and then Paul left, followed immediately

by his successor, but when all seemed lost Shaw came in to play splendidly, as he had done in the first innings, and amid the greatest enthusiasm he and Philpott held the fort until time was called. An intensely interesting match, full of vicissitudes, with the fine fielding of both sides as one of its best features.

The Clifton and Tonbridge and Cheltenham and Haileybury matches which began and ended the week were both seriously interfered with by rain and left drawn, a fate all the more deplorable because the first two sides were probably well matched, and Haileybury's reputation as an attractive, well-balanced team had preceded them. In the first game Alpass bowled well, though not up to the form of his remarkable school record. Mitchell played a first class innings, and after Clifton had collapsed badly before McDermid's fast bowling, Aslett hit courageously to pull them out of the cart. The second match was limited to two half-days' play: in that time Haileybury, though not establishing a great advantage in runs, impressed the eye as the better side, their last three batsmen all playing in a style that altogether belied their lowly place in the order. Tyler's wicket-keeping for Cheltenham deservedly won for him a place in the 'Lord's Schools' eleven.

The choosing of the sides for the "representative" match must this year have been a matter of more than ordinary difficulty. Perhaps half the players "picked themselves," but for the remaining places candidates were legion, and though the actual selection, with perhaps one exception, could not readily be criticised, it was easy to think of a dozen more whom one would have been glad to see. Considering their reputation and good record it was surprising to find the Haileybury eleven unrepresented.

For a day and a half the cricket in this match was not particularly interesting or impressive. Mitchell and Cobbold played up to their reputations and Harbinson hit with power, but on the easy-paced wicket the bowling of "The Rest" looked anything but distinguished, though both Tew and Taylor kept a length. When their turn came to bat only a sound and patient stand by Killick and Morgan saved them from utter disaster, though here again, once Hill had to retire, there seemed little in the bowling to account for it. Killick's method was excellent and a full explanation of his remarkable school figures. With a big advantage the Lord's Schools seem to enter upon their second innings rather light-heartedly and altered their order: they lost three wickets at once to some good bowling, but then Aslett, after a shaky start, hit hard, and Cobbold declared leaving his enemy 100 minutes in which to get 181 runs. The Rest got them in eighty, and a most exhilarating performance it was. True, Hill only bowled one over before having again to leave the field indisposed, and certainly the placing of the field left something to be desired, whilst the bowlers seemed to have little idea of how to counter the attack on the clock. But all credit to the batsmen. Toppin began it by hitting the first two balls of the second over full pitch into the Mound, and in under half an hour he and Robbins had put on 64 runs. Nunn failed, but Welch off-drove splendidly, Turnbull made runs unobtrusively, but very fast, and Tew, exalted in the order, be it whispered to catch a train to the North, hit most balls he received to the boundary. The Lord's Schools ought never to have allowed The Rest to win, but the spirit and the power of the hitting was worthy of the best traditions of school cricket. The subsequent match with the Army was altogether spoilt by the weather, though not before Turnbull had played an imnings that marked him out as the most mature and secure of all the school batsmen, and Longrigg had once again proved his partiality for Lord's Ground.

Before passing on to my detailed review, I must thank once again the many correspondents who really supply nearly all of such value as this article may possess. All I can do in return is to try to do justice by their "cures." And lastly I must pay my own humble tribute to the memory of Sydney Pardon, the sanest of all critics, and a devoted friend of all that was best in the game.

Eton lost four of their thirteen fixtures, a larger quota than usual, but there is something to be said in extenuation of those reverses. The first was against the Household Brigade in the opening match of the season before the side had begun to find their feet; the second, by the margin of three runs in favour of the Butterflies, was determined by some splendid bowling by C. J. Hambro who, had he chosen to pursue the game seriously, might have become one of the greatest of Eton cricketers; and in the last two another pair of Etonians, G. O. Allen and A. C. Gore, bowled well enough to have given any side a troublesome time. Nevertheless, I am not inclined to rate the Etonians so high this year as in several recent seasons. Not that I think there was much less cricket talent in the side—it was obviously full of cricket—but because various causes contributed to prevent that talent making the best of itself. Of these the chief was undoubtedly the fiery wickets which often made net-practice hazardous, and even in matches prevented the batsmen playing their normal game with success. The inevitable result was a loss of confidence and a tendency to go for the ball before it "went for them." It is significant that in so fine a summer only Cobbold should have averaged over 30: on good wickets I am convinced that three or four others on the side would have scored heavily. The bowling had great possibilities, but these too were not realised. Cobbold, though one of the few school bowlers of the year who consistently spun the ball, never really found a length

and was often very expensive; Percival and Hill-Wood could both bowl a good ball, and the latter especially often came very quick off the pitch, but neither was really reliable. Most important of all Eton could seldom count upon their greatest potential matchwinner, Hill. Very tall and bringing his arm well over, Hill, when sound, bowled really fast, and was a most unpleasant proposition on a lively wicket: he very nearly won the Winchester match for Eton, and had he been able to produce the same form at Lord's, I think the game there would have run a very different course. If he fills out and gains in stamina at Oxford, the authorities there should keep a pretty sharp eye on him.

The soundest and most promising bowler in the Eton attack was Whittaker. In so dry a season his record, for a slow-left hander, is rather remarkable, and only shows what real length will do: for a length he certainly bowled, and his action and general method are so good that his development will be watched with the keenest interest. In the present century Eton and Harrow, and Winchester have all gone curiously short of good bowling of his type.

Turning to individual form we can safely rate Cobbold, Lord Hyde and Hope as the best of the batsmen: perhaps none of them proved quite so effective as was expected, but for this, as I have said, there were reasons, and certainly each, at one time or another, proved himself a first-rate school player. Both Lord Hyde and Hope made splendid centuries against the bowling of Allen and Gore, and Cobbold, running into his best form against I.Z., played cricket of a high-class at Lord's. Percival and Hunloke were disappointing, though the latter's innings at the crisis of the Harrow match was invaluable, but Cazalet had a very good first year's record. Lomax was a courageous and dangerous hitter, and Boscawen, who at the last moment replaced the mumps-stricken Pilkington, showed wonderful nerve and very good form too on the Saturday evening at Lord's.

Hope will captain Eton this summer, and in Lomax, Cazalet, Whittaker, and Hill-Wood he has a very useful quartette on whom to build up his eleven.

The Harrow eleven of 1925 will probably be best remembered as the side that were virtually annihilated by Winchester and then had only themselves to thank for failing to beat Eton. Between those two performances the discrepancy is indeed astonishing, but such apparent contradictions are not the rarest or the least interesting phenomena in school cricket. A consideration of the Harrow season as a whole suggests the conclusion that, if they were fortunate to get so much the better of Eton on the first day at Lord's, their performance at Winchester was really a libel on their normal form.

As a matter of fact Harrow's batting was well up to any ordinary school standard-if anything, rather above it. The bowling of the visiting teams may not last year have been quite so strong as usual, but scores at Harrow are worth rather more than face value, and the batting averages at the end of the season made distinctly good reading. Before they came to Winchester in mid-June, Harrow had put up several excellent batting performances, the most noticeable being their 264 for four wickets against Charterhouse, and Crawley, Ford, Mann, Clover-Brown, and Wills had all played fine innings. Then came the disaster in "Ridding's," when, in face of some very good bowling, the batting utterly broke down, and for some time the morale of the side was seriously impaired. A good score against the Harrow Wanderers at the beginning of July, to which Crawley and Clover-Brown, opening the innings together, each contributed a century, did something to restore the credit of the team, but even then their chances against Eton were not exactly fancied. Of that match I have already spoken elsewhere, but in many respects it was a fair epitome of Harrow's form. It demonstrated a distinct strength in batting, considerably discounted however by inability to force the pace when the situation so demanded, and by poor judgment in running; bowling with which it was rash to take liberties, but not calculated to run through any team that could bat and did not give themselves away; fielding that, thanks to steady practice and improvement, ended by being distinctly good, and an absolutely first-rate wicket-keeper in Wormald.

Of Crawley as a batsman it can be fairly said, as it was forty years ago of an earlier member of his family, that he was "far ahead of the other Harrow boys." His is a really good record, and if once he can learn to take fewer risks at the start of his innings, his excellent defence and command of strokes should make him successful in better company. Ford, the captain, hardly showed the development as a batsman that I had hoped: his defence improved, and he played some good cricket in the first half of the season, especially when runs were needed, but in attack his footwork was often faulty, and he did not make use of his height in driving, as did some of his uncles before him. Wills, Mann and Clover-Brown all made a good many runs and none of them was easy to bowl out, and Raphael, when he made up his mind to play his natural game, showed clearly that he had not been in a winning pair at Queen's for nothing. Indeed there was batting of sorts right down the side, and Wormald, both against Winchester and Eton, proved himself an astonishingly good No. 11.

It was a misfortune for Harrow that Palmer should have suffered much from hay-fever all the summer: when fit and well his fastish bowling presented considerable possibilities, for, though young, he was strong, and a fine trier, had a good action, and could make the new ball get up and swing away disconcertingly. As it was, the bulk of the work fell on Raphael, who, on the whole, came out of the test with distinct credit. With his easy, elastic action, he generally bowled a length: sometimes that was about all, but, when keyed up to a real effort, he could make the ball nip quickly off the ground, and run away with his arm. Wills too was steady, and a good deal is hoped of Pennefather who has an excellent method and can turn the ball back when the wicket helps him. The captain for next year has not yet been elected, but a nucleus of seven old colours holds out good hopes of a strong eleven.

Winchester had a very interesting, and on the whole, satisfactory season's cricket, and were undoubtedly a much stronger side than was the case twelve months before. Their first school match was against Marlborough away, and a more interesting day's cricket could hardly be imagined. First the Winchester bowlers had a run of success, then the later Marlborough batsmen pulled the game round. After tea Kingsley and Snell looked like winning the match comfortably for the Wykehamists, but both got out just when they were fairly in, and this was followed by a disastrous collapse and the odds swung definitely in the home side's favour. Then Awdry played a captain's innings; Bonham-Carter restrained his natural instincts and wore down the aggressive bowling with a very straight bat, and finally King finished off the game with some splendid off-driving. Just when the Wykehamists were settling down into a side, German Measles appeared in force and several of the eleven fell victims: this rather complicated the selection of the side, and depleted the attack against Charterhouse. This match, played in "Riddings" on a terribly hot day produced some rather lifeless cricket by both sides, and long before the finish a draw was inevitable. Then came a shake-up at the hands of the Free Foresters when the bowling, minus Tew, was hit to all parts of the field by R. H. Twining in a glorious innings, and the visitors won a grand victory against a declaration and the clock. But the very next week saw the Wykehamists at their very best against Harrow, and it was hard luck that, after their really fine cricket, they should just have failed to get the last Harrow wicket, and so convert into a win the match that now ranks in history as a draw. The great features of the game were the partnership of 295 by Snell and Richardson for the second wicket, and Tew's splendid bowling. On that day, at any rate, Winchester were a first-rate school team. It was, then, a crushing blow when on the eve of "Eton match" Tew fell a victim to the epidemic. The course of that game was largely dictated by a fiery wicket and the fact that in Hill Eton had the one bowler of genuine pace. Thanks to Kingsley the Wykehamists made a fine start, but their later batsmen rather failed, and a score of 240, which might possibly have sufficed, had Tew been playing, was not enough without him. The remaining bowlers stuck grimly to their work, but a catch went wrong, and Eton, hitting well at the finish, wrested an invaluable lead of 123. The wicket was now all to pieces, and the prospect of facing Hill anything but pleasant, but first Snell and Richardson, then Bower and King rose to the occasion, and the stout-hearted hitting of the latter pair lifted their side out of the danger which at one time seemed serious.

The secret of such success as came Winchester's way last year is not far to seek. It is true that Awdry, Milligan, Inman, and Tew did not present much variety, the one with the other; they all bowled right hand, and if Awdry was a little faster than the rest, they were all definitely medium-paced, but they did have the sovereign virtue of length, and their collective bag of 96 wickets was at the average cost of only 16 runs. That, in such a summer and on their beautiful pitches, meant good bowling. Awdry was not quite so steady as in 1924, but he put any amount of energy into his work and bore the burden and heat of the day at Eton with fine courage. Milligan steadily improved and at the end of the season was bowling well, and Inman, though straight and pretty plain, was difficult to score off and brought the ball from a great height. Tew was in a higher class: he has the lolloping run and the mechanical action of the middle-aged professional, and he could, like the "professor," bowl all day. Against Harrow he was a first-class bowler, varying his natural off-break with a ball that went away very late with his arm. This sound attack was supported by fielding which was always keen and generally sound, with Kingsley a good slip catcher and Snell extremely safe at cover. Awdry captained the side really well and gained in resource and orin steadily all the season.

In batting Snell stands out a long way ahead and his aggregate of 642 runs represents a big performance at Winchester: he is now a better starter than he was, and if still very limited in on-side strokes, he watches the ball most carefully in defence, and is a really fine off-driver, when once he gets going on a hard wicket. Kingsley always looked good, and his natural gifts are obviously considerable. Richardson made a fine hundred against Harrow and batted most courageously in both innings against Eton: a back and on-side player he may not be very attractive, but he took a lot of digging out, and he could hit finely to leg. Of the three hitters, Bower was disappointing and lacked discrimination, but played an invaluable innings against Eton. Bonham-Carter could make very long carries with his straight drives, but was apt to be bowled out for no

particular reason. King steadily improved; he hits the ball beautifully on the off, and may be good this year. All the rest could bat a bit, and as a whole the side were worth rather more runs than their averages suggest. Next year Kingsley is captain and with five other old choices and some good material in the second XI Winchester hope to give a respectable account of themselves. One interesting and very pleasant feature of the season was the match played against the Hambledon Club on Broad Halfpenny Down, to celebrate the acquisition of that ground by the College authorities, in whose keeping we may congratulate ourselves that the historic turf will be secure.

Rugby were not so strong as the previous year, and failed to win any of their three school matches. They lost to Clifton at homefor the first time—drew with Uppingham in an orgy of run-getting to which dropped catches materially contributed, and, as I have described already, had the best of a most interesting game with Marlborough at Lord's. In batting too much depended upon their opening pair, Longrigg and Dawson; in bowling the loss of Bradshaw from a knee injury half way through the season crippled them severely, but the fielding all the term was good, and reached real excellence at Lord's.

Longrigg, playing for the fourth year, celebrated his captaincy by a great batting success, and his record of 840 runs for an average of over 50 was remarkable. If he has something of the weakness, so common to left-handers, of hitting at the length off-ball, and occasionally trying to turn the straight one to leg, he has also their strength: very strong on the on-side, he also hits the ball on either side of cover with great power. Above all he watches the ball, and is hard to bowl out. What with his success for Somerset in August, his clever fielding near the wicket, and a capacity to bowl slows of which he might have made more use, Longrigg will be watched very closely at Cambridge. Dawson proved an admirable partner for his captain: without being so consistent, he has a capital method, plenty of strokes, and is withal a first-rate field at cover. After this pair, but longo intervallo, there would seem to come Monkhouse and Crofts, who scored pretty well, and more may be heard of the former. But perhaps the most promising of the Rugbeians who are staying on is Leedham-Green. A cheerful left hand batsman who welcomes the half volley, it was as a bowler of slow leg breaks that he did his greatest service to the side. Of course, he had his expensive days, but he played a big part in the Marlborough match, and if he can learn to bowl the ball a shade faster, he will have some more school batsmen in trouble before they have done with him, for he does spin the ball and he does "keep it up." The rest of the bowling was not very formidable, though a word of praise is due to Firth who pegged away at a fair pace and bore a heavy burden most gallantly. Bradshaw's injury was a tragedy; he was a useful bat, a fine field, and easily the most accurate and reliable bowler on the side. Let us hope that the fates will make it up to him this year, when he will captain Rugby. A nucleus of five more old colours will support him.

Marlborough were, as usual, a side of all-rounders: witness the fact that eight of them had a bowl in each of Rugby's innings, and that Shaw, then No. 11 in the batting order, played almost as well as any of them. And yet, for all this, they never developed into a strong side, and, though they beat Wellington, they lost to Winchester and Cheltenham, and had something the worst of the drawn game at Lord's. The fact was that the batsmen who should have made most runs had an unfortunate habit of failing on "state occasions," and though the tail wagged with splendid consistency and enterprise, complete recovery, with their limited bowling resources, was then beyond them.

When I saw them play Winchester Knight seemed to me much the best of the Marlborough bowlers, but I gather that though this was true for the first half of the season, he subsequently fell off, and that latterly the best of the attack was supplied by Neilson and Harbinson, both of whom generally kept a good length, whilst Bowley and Thornton—the latter slowish left hand—had occasional good days. Taken as a whole the bowling was not formidable, but its strength was very greatly increased by admirable fielding and catching. This was very properly seen most at Lord's, but all the year, under Harbinson's keen leadership and fine personal example, it maintained a high standard; Paul, Neilson, and Shaw deserve special mention.

The batting, as I have suggested, was somewhat disappointing. Knight, gaining in confidence, made a big advance and was at his best at Lord's; Harbinson, too, improved and his scores of 41 and 73 were a noble effort to save the Cheltenham match. Philpott, a patient player strong on the leg-side, was consistent, and capped his steady service with a match-saving innings against Rugby. Worsley proved a useful No. 1, and Bowley a more than useful No. 7. All the rest were good for runs, but somehow they could not strike form simultaneously, and, judging from the success against them of Coates for Cheltenham and Leedham-Green for Rugby, I fancy that there was a distinct weakness against leg-break bowling. To sum up then, there was merit in the Marlborough side, but no distinction, except in fielding: they might have been a good team, but somehow were never more than ordinary.

Clifton had one invaluable asset, a really good bowler. If one, Charles Townsend, had not been a Cliftonian, I fancy that Alpass with his sixty-eight wickets would have made a record for the school, and considering that, as "The Cliftonian" puts it, he was "not only the principal bowler, but the principal change bowler too," and that the summer was a fine one, his bowling average of only a dozen runs per wicket means astonishingly good work. Bowling 335 overs for Clifton it was no wonder that he was tired and stale before he came up to Lord's, but those who saw most of him are emphatic in rating him very highly indeed. He kept a length, spun the ball and varied the spin with an occasional swinger: it is sad that he will not be seen at either University. At the other end Tilley bowled very steadily, but the Clifton change bowling was very weak, and directly Alpass was collared they were in sore difficulties.

Their batting depended too much on Aslett and L'Estrange, a fine opening pair, but the former took a long time to get going and when he did, L'Estrange, who had batted capitally all May and June, fell away. Alpass, in his own rather original style, made quite a lot of runs, the two Carrs were enterprising, and Tegner played two admirable and invaluable innings against Rugby and Tonbridge: but the batting was liable to break down, as it did against Haverford and at Lord's, where the match with Tonbridge once again was The brightest memory for Clifton was Aslett's spoiled by rain. most determined innings which went far to pull the game round after their distressing first innings. The same batsman played two grand knocks against Cheltenham, but though the Clifton batting was then at its best, Alpass had lost his sting, and for the third year in succession the game petered out in an uninspiring draw. A month earlier, however, against Rugby, his bowling dominated the situation, and after a most interesting game Clifton triumphed for the first time on the Rugby ground. If I have pointed out what may have been weak joints in the Clifton armour, there is no getting away from their record of seven wins and but one defeat in twelve matches, and, with Alpass at his best, they might have given any school side a fright. More than half the team will be at school next summer.

My Cheltenham correspondent is inclined to rate his side rather above the average. Their strength was collective rather than individual, and they were at no time easy to beat, though I do not fancy that, either in batting or bowling, they could be labelled dangerous. The mainstay of their attack was Lane, a thoroughly dependable bowler, and Coates and Jackson, though much less consistent, had days of great success, notably against Marlborough when the former's leg spinners went far to settle the issue in the first hour of the match. Hogg, too, came on as a bowler, and, like Lane, was a good all-rounder.

The best batting was shown by two young cricketers, Woollatt and Kempster, and it was a real misfortune when the former went sick just when he had established himself beyond question as a natural stroke-player of high promise. He has beautiful wrists, and makes batting look easy. Kempster too is full of strokes and played two invaluable innings against Clifton and Tonbridge. The Cheltenham fielding was, I am sorry to say, a long way below the mark, but Tyler, their admirable wicket-keeper, was deservedly chosen for both the "Representative" matches at Lord's, and Higson was an enthusiastic leader and a good catch at mid-off.

Cheltenham beat Marlborough comfortably at home, their bowling being decidedly good, but it was collared by the Clifton batsmen, and it looks as if they were only saved from defeat by a plucky last-wicket stand which averted the follow-on. The big match at Lord's was again unfortunate in the matter of weather. Neither side showed at their best, though Lane and Coates had good analyses. The captaincy is not determined, but four old colours remain, and the development of Woollatt and Kempster will be watched with interest.

It is significant that *Haileybury* were marked down by C. C. Morris, the "guardian" of the Haverford XI, as a "very strong side," and their batting as the best and most attractive met with on the tour. The first half of the verdict, at least, is confirmed by many witnesses who met the Haileyburians in the field, and there seems no doubt that the young eleven of 1924 trained into a really good combination: as a matter of fact they were still, on average, a youthful lot, and with six of them still available in the coming summer, they should continue to command success.

There was batting right away down the order, and batting on sound lines too, but it was the way in which the batsmen struck the half volley which pleased some critics most. By common consent the most attractive player was Catlow: I wish that room could have been found for him in the "Lord's Schools" side, for he is a batsman of high promise, full of strokes, and gifted with that nicety of timing which all the coaching in the world cannot bestow. He is still quite young and I hope he will grow, for, in spite of Willie Quaife, inches do help. Stewart, another youngster of sixteen, had a very good season and should score heavily this year. Haywood, in his own rather original style, was very consistent, and so was Thompson as a No. 1, but perhaps the most useful member of the side was Venables, a hard-hitting batsman, and a capital mediumpaced bowler who can spin the ball and make it come quick off the pitch. On him and Wennink fell the brunt of the bowling, but Harvey and Brack, both left-hand slow, were very useful changes, and when Hall-Thompson recovered from a knee injury and came into the side in mid-June, the attack must really have been quite strong. The last-named bowls rather over medium from a good height, and the ball gathers speed and sometimes turns back off the pitch: he had a very good match against Wellington and bowled well also at Lord's. He is also a fine, determined, and by no means indiscriminate hitter, whose driving against Cheltenham aroused great enthusiasm. If he was really No. 10 or 11 on the Haileybury side, it speaks volumes for their batting strength. Scott was a hard-working and efficient captain and besides making runs, set his side a capital example at mid-off. In school games they easily defeated Wellington, Catlow playing a fine innings of 90: their match at Lord's was ruined by rain.

Once again Tonbridge were most unlucky in the weather for their big match at Lord's, and what should have been a most interesting game was quite spoilt, but not before their captain, Mitchell, had given further proof that he was the mainstay of the side. Unfortunately he found himself compelled to do a terrible lot of bowling. He shouldered the burden manfully and his steadiness and perseverance bespoke a stout heart and a fine physique: he was always somewhere about a length and could vary the off-spinner with the ball that went straight on. The strain reacted on his batting, and though he was at his best in the two school matches his figures do not compare favourably with what he did on the soft wickets of the previous year. But I have no doubt about his ability, particularly after seeing him play an admirable innings when touring in Scotland with the Kent XI. He may be a little heavy on his feet, but his method is thoroughly sound, he watches the ball, drives hard and true, and keeps his body near the bat in all his strokes. He should develop into a fine cricketer.

Tonbridge won their other school match, against Sherborne, by seven wickets, thanks to a brilliant century by Miller, an attractive and gifted player, who rather "chances his arm" on the off-side, and so gets out when he ought to be digging himself in. The rest of the Tonbridge batting was not very impressive. Lockitt played one long and brilliant innings; Roberts, a beautiful cutter, lacked discretion, and the great need of the side was for sound, solid, batsmen: there were "too many No. 6's." To support Mitchell in attack were Scott with leg-breaks, and McDermid, quite a good bowler of pace, who stuck to his work splendidly. Miller, this year's captain, has a big job in front of him in getting together an almost new side.

Charterhouse may be described rather as a difficult side to beat than a dangerous side to meet. The tradition of the place, to say nothing of the wickets there, rather favours a forcing style, but the batting was distinctly on the cautious side. Only Fawcett

could be described as a genuinely aggressive player. His form showed great advance and he played some fine innings, but unfortunately this reacted on his bowling. In contrast to Fawcett, the captain, Morgan, improved greatly as a bowler and got through much hard work with credit, but in batting he seems to have discarded his natural game and concentrated very largely on not getting out. In the end this may pay him well, for his defence has obviously improved a great deal, and in a less responsible position he will probably recover his strokes, but I am not sure that, from the point of view of his side, it really proved the best policy last year. Gordon, who made good use of his inches, played some attractive cricket, and Eccles showed patience and sound defence that often proved invaluable: he clearly won the Westminster match, scored well both against Harrow and Winchester, and played admirably in a lost cause against the Old Carthusians. Frankland, too, had quite a good season. The Charterhouse bowling was not formidable, but it was generally steady, relying rather on swerve than spin: Coutts-Trotter was the most successful. The fielding on the ground was up to standard, but dropped catches played a noticeable part in some of the matches, particularly against Harrow.

Charterhouse defeated Westminster after a very close finish, in which the tail made good the failure of the head. They drew with both Harrow and Winchester, the bat, in both matches, dictating to the ball; but against Wellington at home their batting broke down unaccountably on a good wicket, and they were deservedly beaten. This year's captain is Gordon, and he will have to find eight new colours.

Wellington were not strong but they did win two out of five school matches, and, having tasted blood in one of these contests for the first time for four years, they should pursue the game with renewed zest. In the opening match they were heavily defeated by Marlborough, but they beat Westminster and Charterhouse, both away; Haileybury proved a good deal too strong for them, and then Bradfield just got home in a desperate finish by one wicket. With these two wins, however, Wellington's success ended, for they lost all five of their club fixtures, though giving the Free Foresters a really fine game. The bowling was not strong, far too much depending on James and May: the former's leg breaks played a big part against Charterhouse (five for 34), and, with the capacity to bowl a googly as well, he was always a bowler of possibilities. May got most wickets, and had his good days. The change bowling was rather quiet stuff, though Berens showed promise as a slow left hander, and David, the captain, often got a useful wicket with his lobs.

David was much the most effective batsman on the side and his century against Charterhouse was a fine display: he had the invaluable knack of making runs when they were most needed. There were several men who could play lively cricket, but the side was sadd deficient in sound batsmen. Dobson was a good 'stumper,' and the fielding improved, though the catching was not convincing. Five old colours are available next May, but I have no information regarding the captaincy.

Malvern's cricket star has so often been in the ascendant that it comes almost as a shock to find it distinctly lower in the heavens. They had two fine cricketers in Welch and Toppin, but the batting as a whole was unsound and lacking in class, whilst the bowling was downright weak. Such generalisation may do some injustice to Smeeth, who batted well towards the end of the term and may train on into a good player, and to Bradshaw, who bore most of the burden of the attack; but there is no doubt that nearly everything depended on their two 'cracks.' Both had to do a fairish bit of bowling, Welch with fastish medium 'swingers,' Toppin with slow off-breaks. which he was not afraid to pitch well up, but they were only bowlers by accident, and batsmen by nature. Welch has the finer record and is probably the better player: it would be unfair to label him a fine weather bat, for he has scored well in wet seasons, but his methods obviously suggest a preference for hard wickets; he stands well up, is always looking out for a chance to drive, and hits the ball past mid-off as it ought to be hit. I am inclined to think, however, that he may have to serve an apprenticeship before he makes a mark in better company at Oxford. Toppin's figures are not far below his captain's, but they owe much to a brilliant double century against quite a strong bowling side of O.M.'s-a startling sequel be it mentioned, to a pair of spectacles acquired just previously -and unluckily-on the Repton ground. True to parental precept his motto is offence and, when things went right, I doubt if any school last year could boast a more brilliant natural hitter: it is a pity that he will be seen at neither University. In their school games Malvern defeated Westminster at home very easily, but at Repton, on a wicket somewhat affected by rain, their batting cut up very badly, and they suffered a heavy defeat. Bradshaw will captain them this year, and three old choices remain.

Repton like Rugby, were in the enviable position of having a first-rate opening pair of batsmen who rarely failed them. I doubt whether there were many more gifted school players than Valentine, and with another year's experience he should make a name for himself. At present he is just a little inclined to have a dash before he has played himself in, but he showed some beautiful cricket last

summer, and did quite well for Kent 2nd XI in August. Austin, his partner may, I fear, transfer his allegiance to another game at Cambridge, whither he has now gone, but he was a good school batsman, sound and imperturbable, a capital foil to his partner. To support the protagonists there were Buckland, the captain, who had a capital season on the fast wickets and is that rare phenomenon, a man who can cut; Latham, who plays on admirable lines but, except for one century, never quite did himself justice; two dangerous hitters in Rennick and Horton, and a young player who ought to develop well in Human. I am not sure that, taken as a whole, the Repton batting was quite reliable and there was more than a suspicion of a tail—but they nearly always had a good start and, with one unfortunate exception, they rose to the occasion in their important fixtures.

The attack if not deadly was varied and generally effective. Piper and Sharpley were two sound length bowlers: Rennick was always subject to leg trouble and bowled hardly more than half the overs he did last year, but, if he lost some of his pace, he played a big part in winning the Uppingham match. The most promising bowler on the side was Wilson, a leg-spin bowler of great possibilities. I am told that he has that something in his flight that makes him difficult to get out to, that he turns his leg-breaks and that he also has a very good googly at his command. His record of thirty-two wickets for 18 apiece is capital, and I shall watch his form next year with interest. To support this bowling the Reptonians fielded well: Buckland again performed prodigies in the slips, and his total bag of forty-six catches there during his three years in the eleven should secure him a niche in Repton history. Sykes was a good 'keep,' who improved steadily as the season advanced.

The Malvern match, played on a softer wicket than either side had been accustomed to, ended in a decisive victory for Repton: Austin made an admirable century, the two Malvern 'cracks' failed, and in the last innings the Repton bowlers, helped by some sensational fielding, got on top at once and stayed there. Against Uppingham it was Valentine who scored the century: there was a bad collapse in the middle of the side, but Rennick bit brilliantly for 72 not out, and by taking seven wickets in a fine spell of bowling, gave Repton a comfortable lead. Eventually the enemy were set 273 to win in two and three-quarter hours; Wilson and Rennick proved too much for them and Repton won by 167. The great disappointment of the Repton year was their defeat—and a merited defeat-in the one-day match with Shrewsbury. They allowed their opponents' last wicket to put on 54 runs and then, after making quite a good start themselves, collapsed very badly, and were beaten by just those fifty runs. Repton have a good nucleus for this year's team in Valentine, who will be captain, their three bowlers, Piper,

Sharpley and Wilson, and Human.

At first sight Uppingham's record in school matches presents one of those problems in contradiction that ever beset the student of form. On June 5th and 6th they met Repton at home, were outplayed all through the game, and rather heavily defeated. On June 18th Shrewsbury went to Repton and came away victorious, and then three weeks later only time robbed Uppingham of a decisive victory over Repton's conquerors. The explanation of this strange sequence is to some extent to be found in the fact that Uppingham took a long time to settle down, and that they did not really consolidate a side, or incidentally, a batting order, until June was far advanced. The batting, which had been the weakest feature against Repton, greatly improved, and dealt very faithfully with both the Shrewsbury and Rugby bowling. In performance Beisiegel stands out head and shoulders over his colleagues, and his century in the Rugby match was a fine wind-up to his school career. increased experience and a more controlled method, his was a hard wicket to get, whilst his capital fielding at cover was a further asset. Enderby again proved himself a courageous and valuable hitter and impressed the Reptonians mightily by his brilliant hitting of their fast bowler. Perkins, though too much inclined to get in front of his wicket, also played much useful cricket, whilst Thornley looks like a real find. His defence is first-rate, and almost as soon as he came into the side, he had the nerve and skill to make a century against Shrewsbury. These four were clearly of a better class than their fellows, but there was batting of sorts right down the side, as the Old Boys found out to their cost—and, no doubt, their satisfaction too. Of the Uppingham bowlers McIntosh was clearly the best: unfortunately he did not enjoy very good health and sickness prevented him from playing for 'The Rest' at Lord's, so that I never saw him. Fortunately he is staying on to captain Uppingham this year, so I shall hope to have another chance next August: his record, on those beautiful wickets, is distinctly good, and goes some way to bear out the very favourable opinion formed of him by three old Oxford captains. I gather that he is not a spin bowler, but relies on the straight forward virtues of length and slight change of pace. Toulmin improved steadily all the year, and at the end of the season was a really reliable bowler, Perkins, in addition to his batting, was a useful change and in young Hurdle, Uppingham may have discovered a boy who will develop into a genuine fast bowler: his action is good, and he has the build. The attack was supported by good, though not out of the way, fielding, and owed much to the consistently fine wicket-keeping of the captain, Paterson. More than half last year's eleven are still at Uppingham, and they may well train on into a fine side.

Shrewsbury, like Uppingham, took some time to get into their stride, and lost three matches outright in the first month of the season. But they improved rapidly, did not suffer another defeat, beat both Repton and Rossall, and wound up with a splendid batting performance against a strong side of Old Salopians. Several of their eight drawn games were much in their favour, so that their paper record—two wins out of thirteen fixtures—is misleading. Their bowlers found the dead-easy pace of the Uppingham wicket very uncongenial, but they defeated Rossall with the greatest ease, and on the day's play deserved their victory over Repton, if only for their fine fielding.

Their captain, Snow, had a good season, and Shrewsbury are lucky indeed to have him again this year. In such a summer his bowling figures speak volumes for his accuracy: slow medium, he has both spin and swing: an attractive batsman, too, who hits the half volley as it ought to be hit. Pomeroy and Duke were also good allround players; the former got many runs from his off-side strokes, whilst Duke bore the brunt of the bowling, and though he was expensive at times, had some very good days, notably against Rossall when his nip and pace captured six wickets for 24. Staples and Mutter, both fast medium, were also useful changes, so Shrewsbury had plenty of bowling, though their averages suggest that it was not always very formidable.

There was batting in the side right down to No. 9, and apart from those I have mentioned, Watson, when once he learnt discretion, played some brilliant cricket. Staples and Longrigg both did well, and Moore had the distinction of winning his colours in his first year at school.

Seven old choices are available for the coming season and the prospects must be written down as distinctly bright.

Sherborne were strong in 1924, and again last year they were at least a very useful side. There was batting all the way down, and the fielding, under Nunn's inspiring example, was excellent, but the bowling hardly realised expectations. True, the figures of the four chief bowlers look anything but bad, but I fancy that, being relatively remote, Sherborne is not so strongly tested in club matches as are some of their more accessible rivals. In their school fixtures they beat both Westminster and Radley by an innings, drew with Downside in a game in which the bat overcame the ball, got home against Blundell's comfortably in the end, after giving their supporters a bad fright; but—and here is the rather substantial fly in their ointment—they went down before Tonbridge by seven wickets. In this game the Sherborne batting relatively failed, and their bowling was fairly cornered in the decisive last innings. Nunn did not quite repeat his success of 1924: I am told

he kept on getting out to wonderful catches off fine hits, until this told on his confidence. But if his batting was less successful than was hoped, his fielding was better than ever, his leadership first rate, and he can look back on his four years in the eleven with every satisfaction. He is a born games-player and Oxford will assuredly not lose sight of him. Inasmuch as 455 of Eglinton's aggregate of 533 runs were compiled in three innings, he cannot escape the charge of being a somewhat uneven performer, but a man who can score 113 v. Westminster, 152 v. The M.C.C., and 189 v. The Old Boys must be a batsman of some parts, and as his defence is described as thoroughly sound, Sherborne are certainly lucky to have him to captain, and go in first for them again, this year. Neve's figures in both tables read very well: he now hits with discrimination, and can defend as well, but his bowling seems to have been a little disappointing, and he is said to have depended too much on a good start. Much the most reliable of the bowlers was Moberley, a real trier in every department: he could keep a length for a long spell at his medium-pace, and the ball gathered speed when it pitched. Payne-slow medium-fell away towards the end of the term, but was very successful in the earlier matches. Rickman, another slow bowler, has quite a good record, but I gather that his length was anything but immaculate.

As batting reinforcement to Nunn and Eglinton there were three enterprising players in Wright, Rawlins, and Whitham, the latter, as perhaps befits a full back at Rugger, of a slightly bucolic

type, and a very useful opening batsman in Hill.

Eglinton has only Moberley and Payne to support him this year,

so that he will have his work cut out to build up a new side.

In spite of the fact that they have in Vincent Square one of the finest school grounds in London, Westminster cricket labours under certain disadvantages. With football in occupation of most of the area until late in the spring, the difficulty of providing good wickets in the minor games is almost insuperable: indeed, I believe that some of the juniors have to go out of London for their cricket. Then again the river claims its following, and this dissipation of energy is bound to tell. There is no disguising the fact that last year's eleven were a weak lot: indeed their record leaves no room for doubt. They had the better of a drawn game with Radley, but in their four other school matches they had to haul down their colours. One of these defeats, however, constituted perhaps the brightest spot in the year, for Charterhouse were desperately hard put to it to get home by two wickets. This was a splendid game, in which Clare, the Westminster captain, hit brilliantly, and Campbell, their one real bowler, gave of his best. Campbell really did very well all the year, varying his break-back with the ball that went with his arm and keeping a steady length. There was nothing

of his own class to support him, though the catching was good, and Robson's work behind the stumps admirable; but it was in batting that Westminster failed most conspicuously. Only two men averaged over twenty, and indeed there were only two real batsmen on the side. Clare, the captain, was an uneven player, liable to throw away his wicket by cross-hitting, but capable of playing brilliant cricket and particularly strong at the forcing back-stroke. But by far the best player was Shepley-Smith: his temperament is good and his method most correct: at present perhaps he is rather short of strokes and a little on the slow side, but the foundations of his batting are well and truly laid. He has already learnt the habit of "playing an innings," and I shall be very surprised if this year, when he will be captain, he does not make a great many runs and lead his men well into the bargain. He will have five old "Pinks" to help him.

St. Paul's had a long fixture list: of fourteen matches, six were drawn, four lost, and four won. Unfortunately the wins did not include any of the inter-school games, in which they lost to Bedford and had to be content with draws against Leys and Dulwich. On the whole, however, the side showed a considerable advance upon the form of recent years, and included several cricketers of distinct ability. Nash, an enthusiastic and excellent captain, was the best all-round player, and both as an opening batsman and a steady slow-medium bowler did yeoman service. Garland-Wells also did well in both departments, and as a forcing batsman showed great advance, whilst Fisher's fast bowling came very quickly off the ground and sometimes broke back. But good though the figures of these cricketers are they were quite overshadowed by Killick's, with an aggregate of 940 runs and average of over a hundred.

That is a remarkable achievement indeed, but from what I saw of Killick at Lord's I am not at all surprised at his consistent success. He has not yet any very great command of stroke-play and he does not yet make any great use of his wrists, but his defence, especially his back play, is one of the soundest I have seen for a long time in a school cricketer; his bat is beautifully straight, his feet seem to slide naturally into the right place so that the body is close up to the bat, and—perhaps most significant of all—he never seems to have to hurry to make his stroke. He is captain-elect at St. Paul's and visiting sides had better make sure of having some bowling. The school fielding was good, and my correspondent pays a warm tribute to the coaching which the eleven received from Mr. G. A. Faulkner.

Dulwich were a better team than in 1924, and their batting at least reached a good level. Unfortunately the bowling was weak, especially in length, and the fielding, though good on the floor, was very unreliable in the matter of catches. These defects accounted for their modest record—only two wins to be set against seven defeats. Brighton and Bedford beat them, though the latter only by a narrow margin determined by two lamentable run-outs. On the other hand they held their own well with St. Paul's in a free scoring game.

Their captain, Woodhouse, had the bad luck to fall a victim to mumps during the term, but clearly proved himself the best bowler and often made useful scores. Perts, with great patience and sound defence, was invaluable—he saved the St. Paul's match—whilst his fielding at short-leg, or indeed in any position, is spoken of as the best seen at Dulwich for years: Musgrave's form was really promising. But the outstanding cricketer was Rimbault: he started rather shakily, but played some splendid innings and in power and variety of strokes was clearly in a class by himself. His 209 not out against Incogniti was a really brilliant piece of batting, whilst he took toll of the Authentics bowling to the tune of another century, and met with consistent success for the Young Surrey Amateurs in August. A fine field at mid-off he should be a tower of strength to Dulwich whom he captains in the coming season.

Had Mill Hill been able to find one more reliable bowler, they might have been a strong eleven. As it was the attack depended far too much on Taylor. How well he bore the burden his figures clearly show, and it was fully on his merits that he was invited to play for "The Rest" at Lord's. Essentially the old fashioned type of bowler he made little or no use of the swerve, but kept pegging away at a beautiful length, spinning the ball back from the off, now and then with an occasional "straight one" to vary matters: the result was a bag of sixty-two wickets for less than 12% each. Far from being content with this he made great strides as a batsman as the season advanced; his chanceless century against Cranleigh clearly saved that game, and altogether he must take a prominent place among the all-round players of the year. Just ahead of Taylor in the batting table we find the captain, Hume, who made 600 odd runs by most attractive, wristy cricket, was equally at home on any sort of wicket, and set his team a fine example in the field. In Powell, Mill Hill had a third batsman of more than average merit whose sound defence brought him runs with remarkable consistency. Lloyd-Owen and Carris were Taylor's chief supports in the attack. In school games Mill Hill began with a narrow win over U.C.S. in a very low scoring game, against Leys their batting collapsed badly, and they were easily beaten; Taylor saved them against Cranleigh, and the same player and Hume accounted for Merchant Taylors. With five old choices available for this year, and Taylor amongst them, Mill Hill are naturally hopeful.

To Bedford belongs the distinction of being unbeaten in school matches. They played an even draw with Leys in a game of prolific scoring, but successfully accounted for St. Paul's, Dulwich, Wellingborough, and Merchant Taylors. Of three defeats in club fixtures two were right at the start of the year, and the other at the hands of a strong M.C.C. team. The batting was most consistent: McVittie, a very good wicket-keeper, had a capital season, and his 145 against Merchant Taylors was a fine effort: Kellett, Stewart and Davison were all valuable, but the backbone of the side was provided by the captain, C. N. Reed. Apart from his batting, which was at its best during the latter half of the season, his slow bowling accounted for thirty-four wickets and acted as a first rate foil to Mackay's pace. The latter was really fast and had some deadly days after mid-June, and Davison and Kellett were useful change-bowlers. Under Reed's capable leadership the fielding was always smart and keen and altogether Bedford were a side to be reckoned with. Seven of them are still available, and Kellett should not have great difficulty in consolidating another good eleven.

A glance at the batting averages of Leys School leaves little doubt that they were anything but an easy side to beat : the batting order could very nearly have been drawn out of a hat without doing much harm, and on several occasions, notably against Oundle, the tail proved quite capable of wagging the head. A programme of six inter-school matches must be almost a record; Leys emerged from it undefeated, though four of the six games were drawn. Perhaps the best performance was the decisive victory over the good Mill Hill side; the moral victory over Oundle after a disastrous start was also most creditable, and so was their fine batting against the M.C.C., when Fowler of Middlesex and their own mentor, Mr. N. J. Holloway, were very faithfully dealt with. Their left-handed captain, Carnegie-Brown, headed their batting averages for the third year running: a fine stroke player he only needs greater discretion to make his mark: he was a zealous leader and a fine field at mid-off. Lindsay and Field also scored well, though neither was altogether sound; Ayre was in good form for the first half of the season; Green plays very straight. The bowling was not strong enough to win many one-day matches on plumb wickets, though in such a summer Penman's figures for a slow left-hander are most creditable, and Rocyn-Jones was dangerous with the new ball, but the best of them was Raper. Though only fifteen years old, he is already strong and can stand hard work: his action is good and tends to make the ball swing slightly, both in and out, and to make pace off the pitch as well. I shall watch his future career with interest.

The season at Wellingborough was somewhat disappointing. The new choices did well, but the old did not fulfil expectations.

They had a capital opening pair of batsmen in Tebbitt and Winter, but the rest of the batting was unreliable, and this accounted for most of their six defeats. Their bowling was good enough to win five matches, and Gamble deserves credit for the way he bore, in match after match, the burden and heat of the day. His record of fifty wickets for 17 runs each is first-rate, but though the two slow bowlers Burt and Burgess did their best, it was a bad year for their type, and the lack of a fast bowler was seriously felt.

Highgate had a good side in 1924, and last year they were even stronger, with the result that of their fifteen fixtures nine were won outright and only two lost. In their inter-school games they brought off the hat-trick, beating Aldenham, Cranleigh, and King's School, Canterbury, in succession. Though far from being a oneman side, everything else at Highgate was once more overshadowed by the achievements of Robins. Apart from one bad patch with the bat towards the end of June he had a wonderful season, and considering the difference in weather and wickets his bowling was even more successful than in 1924. To give some idea of his value as a match-winner I will quote three of his all-round performances: against The Wanderers, 107 and eight wickets for 67; against Queen's College, Oxford, 65 and eight wickets for 48; against Aldenham School, 206 and seven wickets for 51. In the representative matches at Lord's he did not do anything sensational, but that he is a player of great possibilities I have no doubt at all. When I saw him play against the Lord's Schools, it struck me that his batting was not quite so natural as a twelve-month's back: he seemed inclined to overdo his footwork and to be more concerned about 'laying down short chases' for sharp singles than standing up and hitting the ball. But I gather that at school he hit as brilliantly as ever, and his success for Middlesex argues a good defence. In bowling I fancy his label is dangerous rather than reliable: he spins the ball a great deal and at a fair pace too, but his length will have to improve if he is not to be very expensive in first-class cricket. Though naturally outdistanced by Robins there were other excellent cricketers in the Highgate team. In bowling Hay, a left hander, kept the other end going with great success, and comes out with a good record, while of the batsmen Carter was in capital form and twice scored over a century; Clarke and Morris made a great advance; Stuart was a useful all-rounder. The fielding, in which Robins set a conspicuous example, was excellent, and Luck did very well behind the stumps. The captain for next season has not been elected yet, but more than half last year's eleven will be available.

Bradfield had quite an eventful, and on the whole satisfactory, season. Of their fourteen fixtures they finished all but two, and in these dozen the balance is equal between victory and defeat.

Both their school matches provided something of a sensation, Bradfield in each case winning through after at one time being in a most unfavourable position. Against Radley they ran up the respectable total of 233, but then their bowling was collared and the enemy reached 168 for three, only to tail off badly and lose their last seven wickets for twenty runs. In the Wellington match the process was reversed: facing a score of 207 Bradfield lost nine wickets for 164, but then the last two men, Wells and Hayes, made a most gallant stand, and brought them home triumphant. In club games the eleven were just a little disappointing, but on several occasions the luck of the toss told against them. Their strength was collective. rather than individual: there were seven men quite capable of making fifty against any ordinary attack, and five bowlers of a good variety. whilst the fielding, though uneven, was on the whole satisfactory. I have practically no information as to the form of individuals, and the averages are not kept at Bradfield, but Watson-Smythe must have batted well against Radley, and Shaw and Wells seem to have been useful all-round men. There are four old colours remaining on, but the captaincy, when my correspondent wrote, was not yet determined.

Radley proved successful in one of their fourteen fixtures, and the explanation of this modest record seems to lie in the attack. There were only two bowlers, Green and Metcalfe. The former's record in such a summer is by no means bad for a slow bowler, and this year he may do well. Metcalfe, who bowled medium-paced, must aim at a steadier length. Of the batsmen Adams, Stampa, and Cambridge all did reasonably well, but I gather that there was no-one of real class in the team. Of Radley's game with Bradfield and of its, for them, disastrous ending I have just spoken: they were no match for Sherborne, and had none the best of a drawn game with Westminster. But if the memories of their season are on the whole rather drab, there is good hope for the coming summer when eight of the eleven will be available and, with greater experience, should be able to give a much better account of themselves.

In writing of Downside a twelve-month ago I naturally devoted some space to the exploits of Turnbull, and expressed keen expectation of what he might do, if a season of fine weather and hard wickets came his way. That question he answered in the most decisive fashion. His aggregate of 1,323 is not a record in school cricket—John Raphael beat it at Merchant Taylors in 1901—but it is an extraordinary achievement. Admittedly the opposition down in the west hardly provides so exacting a test as schools nearer London have generally to face, but no batsman could very well do more than average 94 for 16 innings, and when he appeared at Lord's at the beginning of August he struck me as the most convincing of

all the players engaged. As I saw him then, he impressed not so much by any brilliance of stroke-play, but by the great sense of security and resource that he gave. His balance and footwork in defence are admirable, and, though never seeming in a hurry to score, he was getting runs all the time he was in. He should be a great acquisition to Cambridge, for his batting is not the type that "comes unstuck," and for the second August running he has shown himself fully capable of dealing with first-class bowling on turning wickets.

Turnbull's batting was a tremendous moral asset to his side, but there was plenty of good cricket to support him. Arbuthnot, a brilliant batsman, scored a couple of most attractive centuries, one of them against the M.C.C. Cronin often hit most effectively, and Gordon and the younger Turnbull were two very useful men in both departments of the game who should make a mark for themselves this season. Gordon's swingers, Arbuthnot's leg-breaks, Hutton's pace, and the steadiness of C. B. Turnbull made up a well-varied attack, and, steadily improving, the fielding reached a high standard. Downside beat both Blundell's and Bruton comfortably enough: the Sherborne match was drawn in a very even position. Cronin, captain elect, has four old colours to support him, and these include three of last year's bowlers.

Blundell's were not a strong side. The bowling of Gabe-Jones Walker and Phillips was up to the average, but the batting proved unreliable, and this weakness accounted for most of the six defeats suffered in the programme of nine matches. Curiously enough, however, the team showed some of their best form against the strong Downside and Sherborne elevens. They should have dismissed Downside for considerably less than 252, made a spirited reply, and seemed to have saved the game, when the advent of the new ball proved too much for their last three men. Against Sherborne at home the Blundell's batting was indifferent, but their bowlers started off in great style, and with half the enemy out for 31 victory seemed imminent, but Sherborne recovered and lost only one more wicket in getting home. Gabe-Jones, the captain, showed himself a very useful all-round player, but Benson was the one really reliable bat, and a fine wicket-keeper to boot. He should look forward to his season of captaincy with confidence as, in addition to himself, seven others of last year's team will again be available.

Rossall were a somewhat uneven side, a fact to which inexperience no doubt contributed. Still, they had some good days: their batsmen did well against St. Bees, and better still to run up the really big score of 417 against the Cryptics. To judge by their bowling figures the attack was rather above the average strength. Smith and Roncoroni bore the brunt of the work, but Raye was at times as

good as either, and Lambert a useful change. The fielding too was creditable, their captain, Gibson, being first-rate behind the stumps and also a useful and consistent batsman. Hammond was a dangerous hitter. Seven of the side still remain to support him in the captaincy.

The loss of two such batsmen as Wykes and Mair was bound to be felt at *Oundle*, but Newton, the third of the distinguished trio, more than fulfilled his last year's promise, and had a really splendid season. With his useful bowling and good fielding he was the mainstay of the team. The rest of the batting was not strong, though Johnson and Briggs, in their respective roles of hitter and sticker, rendered valuable service. The bowling was better, Lloyd and Barker giving Newton useful assistance. The match against Leys was drawn, but not in Oundle's favour.

Stonyhurst had a thoroughly good season: they easily beat both their school opponents, Giggleswick and Sedbergh, and lost only two of their club fixtures. Their captain, Hardy, was a useful all-rounder, and so were Baker and Caires—the latter a young and very promising cricketer—but the star of the side was Christie, described as a brilliant batsman, who actually averaged over 100 for an aggregate of 515 runs. Christie will be captain next season.

Cranleigh were a youngish eleven and not very strong. Of their thirteen fixtures only two were won and in the four school games they drew with Mill Hill, but had to haul down their colours before Highgate, Leatherhead, and Epsom. Their batsmen naturally lacked experience, but, profiting by the fine weather they improved a good deal as the season went on, and by the end of the term several of them were playing in good form. But the bowling remained weak. Mundy was much their best batsman, and indeed had a capital season: his consistency was remarkable and his method is good. Heard is well spoken of as a young batsman of distinct promise. The attack depended very largely on Bellini who bore his hard work well and came out with a good record, but I gather that the fielding left a good deal to be desired.

Last year I rated *Brighton* as definitely the strongest of the Sussex schools and this year there is no occasion to alter that verdict. They beat both Dulwich and Lancing very easily, but had to be content with a draw against Epsom. Of their club games they lost but one, won three, and of the six drawn matches the majority were in their favour. The one deficiency of the side lay in the fact that most of their batsmen were rather of the solid order, and could not push along fast enough to clinch the issue on hard wickets. To this, Recordon, the captain, was a notable exception; for some time

he could not really get going, but once he struck form, he played very attractive cricket. In Green and Evans Brighton had a capital pair of bowlers, and the former's slow left hand provided a capital contrast to the latter's pace. Evans had a great day against the strong Brasenose Wanderers' side, and his bag of seven wickets was the chief factor in Brighton's sensational one-run victory: he could also hit hard and straight. Green's all-round record is really good: his batting is sound and showed steady advance all through the summer, his bowling figures are sufficient testimony to his steadiness, and he is a good slip. Brighton are lucky to have him to lead them this year. The capable attack was rendered more formidable by a very good young 'keeper' in Levett, and all round fielding, described as the best seen on the ground for years. Including Green, there are seven old colours remaining, amongst them Barnard whom I should have mentioned as a sound batsman of considerable promise.

I am by this time thoroughly accustomed to commenting on Eastbourne's fielding, and there is to be no exception this year. It clearly won the Christ's Hospital match for them, after they had got themselves in a somewhat nasty hole by indifferent batting, and all the year the catching, stopping, and chasing was a sight for The other school match, against Lancing, was lost, rather unsatisfactorily, and of the club games only two were won against five defeats. But all four draws were definitely in their favour, and Eastbourne were, perhaps, a more talented side than their paper record warrants. The backbone of the team lay in Shaw's batting, and the all-round cricket of Morres, their captain. The former was a splendid man to open the innings, and scored heavily all the year. He had the coolness of temperament and soundness of defence so important for that role, but he was also a fine stroke-player, and some of his innings were among the best seen at Eastbourne for years. No doubt Sussex will keep an eye on him as, I am told, he will continue to live in the county. Morres played some dashing cricket at times and his left hand bowling was always liable to get wickets, but I fancy that in both departments he was somewhat erratic. It is rather surprising that more use was not made of Beatson's slow left-handed bowling for, I am told, he can really spin the ball. Neither he nor Morse was suited by the hard wickets, but both did useful service, as did Corrie who could turn the ball back at medium pace. One of the best things about the Eastbourne cricket last year was the brilliant work of Gubbins at cover point. Only three old choices survive.

Lancing last season made good use of the fine weather and plumb wickets, and steadily improved throughout the term, until towards the finish they were a respectable, if not very talented, team. The

win over Eastbourne was a capital performance, and I hear from one of the enemy on that occasion that Willis played a splendid innings. Brooke and Garston could both play attractive cricket, but the batting, as a whole, was rather undistinguished. Shaw was the best all-round player in the eleven and Bewsey much the most dependable bowler, but the attack proved rather expensive and the fielding, though safe enough, had not the dash that one always hopes to see from a school side. Mount is in command for the coming season, and will have seven places to fill.

Christ's Hospital had only two old colours remaining and inexperience told rather heavily against the side. Nevertheless, they do not seem to have done at all badly in their inter-school matches: in the three finished games they defeated St. John's and Merchant Taylors—the latter by a single run—and only lost to Eastbourne by two runs. The Epsom match was drawn, but with the odds heavily in favour of Christ's Hospital. There were four fairly consistent batsmen on the side, though none of them had real pretensions to class: Jennings, an efficient wicket-keeper, had the highest aggregate, but Kettle was the best all-round player. He and Moxon bore the brunt of the attack. The fielding, especially the slip catching, was definitely below standard. Let us hope that Osborne, the captain elect, will tackle this issue in earnest in the coming summer.

St. John's School, Leatherhead, won three out of the five school matches played, so have fair cause for satisfaction. Their attack may not have been very strong, though Bryan and Richardson both did very well for them, but it was ably handled by their captain, Pink, and supported by capital fielding and some most promising work by their stumper, Neep. In Whitaker and Lloyd-Rees they had a fine opening pair of batsmen: the former averaged 55 and was consistency itself. His defence is excellent and St. John's are lucky to have him to lead them in the coming summer. Pink, the captain, played some good innings, notably his 50 against Cranleigh, and of the new hands Sandberg shows considerable promise.

Ardingly had a capital season: they beat both Hurst and Alleyn's, and another over or two would have seen them successful over Whitgift. The batting was better than it has been for several years and in Robertson they had a fine all-round cricketer. He played a brilliant innings of 185 against the Old Boys, averaged 47 with the bat and took thirty-eight wickets for 13 a-piece—in fact he was half the side. But Bradley also did very well with both bat and ball, and Moir was a useful cricketer.

Hurstpierpoint were rather a young and inexperienced side and had to be content with a modest measure of success. As against seven defeats they could only boast three wins, but of these two were in school games against City of London and Whitgift, and Ardingly only got home against them by four runs. The bowling was varied and of quite good quality, but the batting, though it steadily improved, never became really reliable. Grinstead was much the best player, the backbone of the batting, and a bowler who kept a fine length, altered his pace and spun the ball. Allison, the captain, also did well in both roles and hit up a fine century in the Ardingly match, while the brunt of the bowling was borne by Garnett and Piggott.

Whitgift Grammar School had only three old colours left, and had to be content with a modest record, winning only two matches as against seven losses and six drawn games. Much the best batsman was Goodwin, their captain, who scored most consistently, and in August twice made a century for the Young Surrey Amateurs. He is said to have no strokes on the offside, but there is no getting away from his figures. Peart was an invaluable all-rounder, a batsman with plenty of strokes, a fast medium bowler who took forty-six wickets for 16 each, and a very good field, whilst Ling was a great asset in the attack, and Gage's batting greatly improved.

The great feature of the season at St. Peter's, York, was the bowling of Crawford, whose record of eighty-seven wickets for 8 a-piece is the most sensational I have seen in school cricket for some time. He played havoe with the M.C.C. side that visited the school. Crawford bowled pretty fast through the air and definitely fast of the ground. He had a most successful partner in Dainty, who must also be reckoned above the average as a bowler. The batting was not so strong, though E. G. Bullen, a good stumper, was very consistent and Elliott an attractive player.

Two of Felsted's school matches had to be scratched owing to infection. Their bowlers found The Leys batting rather too strong for them. They lost four and won three of their club fixtures. The fielding was good, but even this could not cover up the weak bowling. The one real bowler was Cameron, who could spin the ball and generally kept a length. Tomlinson played a fine innings of 155 not out against Witham; he took some time to find his form, but proved an attractive batsman. Powell scored very consistently, without doing anything big. Six old colours are left for the coming season.

From Denstone comes the good news that their team was the best they have had for some years—a result to which the coaching of that great fast bowler, Lockwood, largely contributed. The batting figures are so modest that I can only assume that the wickets

at Denstone are not good, but the bowlers seem to have made hay while the sun shone. Preece, Bluett, and Timms all did good work with both bat and ball and the last-named is described as "most promising." Most of the side have returned for another year.

A very short note from Bedford Modern School refers to a capital season. They won seven and lost one of ten fixtures. The out-cricket was not too strong, but sound and extensive batting carried them through.

To my great regret I have no information from Scotland, so I must now bring my review to an end with the usual list of destinations: as I write, some of these are still contingent on successful defence against the examiners' bowling, but in any case it seems clear that Cambridge is to enjoy rather more than its fair share of the leading school cricketers of 1925.

	Oxford.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton	Lord Hyde R. Percival E. V. Ll. Hill T. A. Pilkington	R. H. Cobbold H. P. Hunloke
Harrow	Distriction of the second	E. W. Mann A. C. Wills A. C. Raphael
Winchester	C. E. Awdry C. A. L. Guise	M. B. Bower
Marlborough	. A. P. W. Bowley	W. K. Harbinson
Rugby	attell .	E. F. Longrigg
Cheltenham	P. Higson	
Haileybury	P. J. A. Scott	C. B. M. Heywood
Charterhouse	H. M. Coutts-Trotter R. L. Arrowsmith F. F. Fawcett	G. A. Winch
Clifton		G. G. Aslett
Tonbridge		J. F. Scott
Wellington	A. J. S. James	E. A. W. Taylor
Repton	E. W. Rennick R. L. Sykes	H. W. Austin P. S. Horton
Malvern	T. B. G. Welch	
Shrewsbury	G. W. Pomeroy	

Non-Special Treation	OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Sherborne	J. A. Nunn F. H. Wright	J. P. Whitham
St. Paul's	P. G. E. Nash F. B. W. Mills	C. B. Cooke D. A. Rooke
Bradfield	C. R. Watson-Smyth C. C. Blagden C. A. Ramsden	G. N. N. Nunn
Christ's Hospital	H. G. Kettle	
Oundle		J. B. Newton A. G. Webb
Denstone	R. Garman	
Blundell's	H. G. Harman	
Felsted		J. T. Tomlinson F. J. Waters L. A. M. Parsons
Rossall		K. D. Gibson
Wellingborough		A. A. Burgess J. L. H. Winter
Leys		G. Carnegie-Brown
Downside		M. J. Turnbull
Highgate		R. W. V. Robins
To Woolwich		

Cheltenham—A. W. Tyler Bradfield—R. W. A. Moore

TO SANDHURST.

Rugby—E. M. Dawson Wellington—R. F. A. David

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1925.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Chellenham v. Haileybury, and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, May 20.—Mill Hill won by two wickets, the excellent bowling of Lloyd Owen (eight wickets for 21) contributing largely to their success.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL

R. B. D. Wright b Lloyd Owen 11	L. Abrahams c Air b Lloyd Owen 22	
D. M. Lloyd b Lloyd Owen 0	D. A. C. Kelly b Taylor 1	
W. V. H. Robins b Lloyd Owen 1	W. B. G. Edwards c Carris b Lloyd	
R. M. Wilson lbw, b Lloyd Owen 7	Owen 8	
D. J. L. Stevens c and b Lloyd Owen 0	C. P. Bronson not out 0	
N. H. Trace c Hume b Abden 3	Byes, etc	
C. M. Colley c Zilka b Lloyd Owens 5	or divined by a supplication of the party of	
the pursuing bending the second of the second	the contract of the contract o	
MILL HILL	SCHOOL,	
J. W. G. Hume st Robins b Trace 12	R, Zilka run out 1	
A. J. C. Air c Stevens b Trace 16	H. Taylor not out 13	
A. P. Powell lbw, b Abrahams. 9	M. W. Lloyd Owen b Abrahams 2	
J. C. Wolstenholme c Wright b	S. Abden b Abrahams 0	
Abrahams 5	Byes, etc	
E. A. Catesby b Stevens 6	A PARTY OF THE PROPERTY AS A	
H. H. Pool run out 0	The deliverage of the statement of the 75	
H. E. Carris st Robins b Stevens 0	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Wimbledon, Wednesday, May 20.—Sound batting being followed up by effective bowling by Webb, who took eight wickets for 10 runs, King's College School won easily by 167 runs

College School won easily by 167 runs.		
KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.		
G. W. Gale c Schilling b Campbell 62	F. L. Freeman c Schilling b	
E. F. Hughes c Leaning b Gaffney 18	Protheroe-Smith 2	
B. Boxall c and b Schilling 1	L. Curry lbw, b J. Cotman 2	
P. R. Wood c Schilling b Gaffney 45	J. V. Blackman b J. Cotman 0	
K. E. R. Webb c Thomas b	E. C. Roberts not out 1	
Protheroe-Smith 34	Byes, etc 14	
P. R. S. Downham c Thomas b		
Gaffney 4	*221	
R. A. Hallam not out 38	deministrative multiplied one of the section.	
* Innings declared closed.		
EPSOW COLLEGE		

R. A. Hallam not out 38	dentification of the state of t
* Innings de	elared closed.
EPSOM	COLLEGE.
J. S. Cotman c Boxall b Blackman 8	E.J. Schilling c Boxall b Blackman 4
D. A. Cotman c Hughes b Webb 9	J. H. Gaffney b Webb 1
D. B. Campbell c Boxall b Webb 6	R. H. Younghusband st Hughes b
G. N. Thomas b Webb 2	Webb 0
W. J. Leaning not out 4	E. H. Protheroe-Smith st Hughes
E. R. Bowerman c Hughes b Webb 0	b Webb 0
R. V. Bucknall c and b Webb 1	Byes, etc 19
	54

Public School Matches.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.	Marlborough College.
Played at Bedford, Saturday, May 23.—A closely contested match	S. A. Block c Garthwaite b May. 8 F. G. Philpott b Garthwaite 28
went in Bedford Modern School's favour by 16 runs.	T. C. Worsley b Dudley-Hill 5 J. S. M. Paul not out 25 H. G. Knight b Dudley-Hill 49 R. H. Neilson not out 3
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL. R.B.D.Wright c Williams b Cotton 17 L. Abrahams c Ryan b Williams . 10	H. G. Knight b Dudley-Hill 49 W. K. Harbinson c Peech b May 86 R. H. Neilson not out 3 Bycs, etc 15
R.B.D.Wright c Williams b Cotton 17 D. M. Lloyd c H. T. Babb b Cotton 1 D.A.C.Kelly c Wratten b Williams 1	L. G. D. Wraith c Peech b Dudley-
W. V. H. Robins lbw, b Cotton. 4 W. B. G. Edwards b Williams 0	Hill
R. M. Wilson c H. T. Babb b Cotton 48 C. P. Bronson not out 0	N. D. G. Greene c Woodman b
C. M. Colley b Williams 7 Byes, etc 1	Garthwaite
N. H. Trace c and b Riddehough 7	A. P. W. Bowley run out 4
D. J. L. Stevens c B. A. Babb b	
Riddehough	A STATE OF THE STA
C. H. Williams lbw, b Trace 52 J. E. Riddehough c Colley b Abra-	PRICHEON COLLECT DUTWICH COLLECT
F Richardson b Abrahams 16 hams	BRIGHTON COLLEGE v. DULWICH COLLEGE.
R. D. Cotton lbw, b Wright 5 H. H. Cowley b Trace 1	Played at Brighton, Saturday, May 30.—In spite of steady bowling
B. A. Babb c and b Wright 0 W. F. Russell run out 3	by Hurren (seven wickets for 72) Dulwich suffered a heavy defeat by 91 runs.
H. R. Wratten c Trace b Wright. 4 A. W. Ryan not out 0	BRIGHTON COLLEGE.
H. T. Babb lbw, b Abrahams 0 C. H. J. Ward c Colley b Trace 3	L.W. Recordon c and b Woodhouse 3 P. C. Hordern c K. C. Woodhouse
C. H. J. Ward Coney B Frace 3	C. de B. Barnard b Davison 21 b Hurren 8
The state of the s	U. H. Huntington lbw. b Hurren 5 J. G. Tice b Hurren 1
SEDBERGH SCHOOL V. GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.	J. H. Green c Perts b Hurren 76 W. H. A. Evans c Boothman b
Played of Suppregn Saturday May 30 -Giggleswick Droved no match	R. A. de C. Lyons c Pringle b Hurren 18 Hurren
for Sedbergh, who won by 178 runs. Skene (seven for 22) bowled splendidly.	C.A.R. Lawrence c Hughman b Perts 11 A. J. May b Hurren 14 W. H. Levett b Hurren 2 Byes, etc
SEDBERGH SCHOOL.	Bycs, etc.
D. M. Gamon b Sidebottom 71 C. W. Beer c Clark b Cartwright 21 W. W. B. Stoddart c Clark b P. Highley not out 9	206
Sidebottom 2 J. D. Worthington not out 6	Dulwich College.
R. W. Skene b Winney 21 Byes, etc 9	G. A. Rimbault st Levett b Green 0 J. W. Perts st Levett b Recordon 2
M. A. Wynter-Blyth b Winney 8	K. E. Pringle c Recordon b May. 0 F. M. Woodhouse b Evans 40 B. J. Hurren c Recordon b Green 11
W. M. L. Smethurst b Ashcroft 10	T. M. P. Hughman b May 27 K. C. Woodhouse c Hordern b
W. F. Haley b Cartwright 51 J. H. Mitchell c Cotton b Clark 2 J. M. Bishop did not bat.	H. D. Hill b May 0 Recordon 0
J. H. Mitchell c Cotton b Clark 2 J. M. Bishop did not bat. * Innings declared closed.	G. R. Musgrave st Levett b Recordon 5 Byes, etc
GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.	D. L. A. Boothman b May 9
J. Moore c Beer b Bishop 1 H. J. Randel b Skene 0	The state of the s
J. W. W. Bather st Worthington b G. S. Simpson c Beer b Skene 2	The second of th
Skene	CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.
J. M. J. Ashcroft c Worthington b Bishop	
R Cartwright st Worthington b	Played at Grove Park, Saturday, May 30.—Drawn, City of London
Skene 1 32	being 111 behind with five of their best batsmen out.
A. B. Winney b Skene 4	FOREST SCHOOL.
J. A. Side bottom c Stoddart b Skene 9	C. R. Elwis c Moore b Radcliff. 21 J. A. Seagram b Hobday 6
J. J. Kenyon c Stoddart b Bishop 3	R. C. C. Vine lbw, b Moore 8 D. M. Sherwood b Moore, 13 J. M. Barr st Wakeford b Radcliff 0 C. W. Rice b Moore 5
MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.	N. D. Jekyll b Garrett 33 K. B. Murrant not out 1
Diagrad of Managorough Saturday May 30 - Recovering well after all	M. F. Hignett c Radcliff b Moore 34 Byes, etc 28
	J. V. Gane lbw, b Moore 17
went on batting after success had been achieved, being 130 runs ahead at the	G. A. Hudson b Moore 5
finish.	CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.
WELLINGTON COLLEGE. R. F. A. David c. Paul b. Neilson J. G.W. Woodman c. Green b. Knight 0	L. O. Gilliam c Seagram b Elwis 5 J. H. N. Hobday not out 12
R. F. A. David c Paul b Neilson. 4 A. J. James c Philpot b Knight. 33 H. B. Hone c Philpot b Knight. 0	W. E. Radcliffe b Murrant 13 Byes, etc
C. C. Garthwaite lbw b Neilson . 4 J. M. Mitchell c and b Harbinson 18	B. B. Cohen Ibw, b Elwis 0
R. C. Dobson c Bowley b Neilson . 7 A. P. Dudley-Hill c Neilson b	D. A. Wakeford c Jekyll b Murrant 8 A. A. E. Moore not out
W D S May c and b Harbinson 0 Knight	G. I. M. Tinnet e Hudson h Murrent 2 M. T. McKeand
N. M. Peech c Knight b Thornton 10 Byes, etc	L. F. P. Weeks and not bat
M. W. Field not out 37	B. J. Garrett

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE v. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at AMPLEFORTH, Saturday, May 30.—Drawn. Ampleforth, after a keen struggle, just managed to avert defeat.

DURHAM SCHOOL.

J. G. Askew b Purcell 41 J. A. Adamson Ibw, b Elliott-Smith 9	F. Grayrigge not out 31 Byes, etc 7
J. G. Anderson st Walker b MacDonald	*185
C. L. Adamson run out	C. F. Ready G. I. M. Turnbull E. T. Wright
R. W. Director not ottoric as	R. B. Cardale

* Innings declared closed.

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE.

A. L. Ainscough b Turnbull	F. P. J. Harrison not out. (W. H. Lawson not out. Byes, etc. 1
Cardale	8:
A. A. J. Boyle run out 0 J. H. Nelson b Smeddle 2	L. G. Purcell E. E. Eliott-Smith did not bat

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Played at HAMPSTEAD, Saturday, May 30.—U.C.S. won by five wickets. The Berkhamsted batsmen, apart from R. S. Stanier, showed a lack of enterprise.

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

L. Wilson lbw, b Abrahams 1 E. A. Flint b Wright 1	A. J. Spratt c Robins b Trace 0 R. W. Obbard b Trace 2
G. F. Carter c Trace b Abrahams 7 R. O. Felton c Stevens b Wright. 5 R. S. Stanier c Wilson b Wright. 34	R. F. G. Gotelee b Trace
O. J. Vaughan-Jackson b Abrahams 7 R. C. Edwards c Wilson b Stevens 2	74

University Coli	LEGE SCHOOL.
B. B. D. Wright c Obbard b V-Jackson 7 D. M. Lloyd c V-Jackson b 26 Gotelee 26 W. V. H. Robins c Obbard b Flint 12 R. M. Wilson b Spratt 6 N. H. Trace run out 13 C. M. Colley c Carter b Spratt 0 D. J. L. Stevens c Wilson b Carter 21	L. Abrahams st Obbard b V. Jackson

DULWICH COLLEGE v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at Dulwich, Saturday, May 30.—Bedford won by 15 runs, Dulwich making a keen but unsuccessful fight for victory.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

R. Kellett c Perts b Hurren. 0 R. D. Stewart c F. M. Woodhouse b Bruce-Mitford 29 C.A.B. McVittie c K. C. Woodhouse b Hurren

DULWICH COLLEGE.		
G. A. Rimbault c Crossman b C. N. Reed	B. J. Hurren rum out	

EPSOM COLLEGE V. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL.

Played at Erson, Monday, June 1.— Merchant Taylors experienced bad luck in not forcing a victory, being only five runs behind with five wickets in hand when the game was given up as a draw.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. S. Cotman lbw, b Loader 60 W. J. Leaning c Whitcher b Loader 4 D. A. Cotman c and b Loader 1 D. B. Campbell lbw, b Wilkins . 32 G. N. Thomas c Greer b Wilkins 0 E. R. Bowerman c Whitcher b Loader	J. H. Gaffney c Greenup b Pinney 3 G. J. Schilling b Wilkins 0 E. H. Protheroe-Smith not out 0 Byes, etc. 23
R. S. Lewis b Pinney 24	

The state of the s		
MERCHANT	TA	YLORS SCHOOL.
L. Bywater not out L.W.T. Turner c Leaning b Ballard B. W. Greenup c Thomas b	69 39	E. M. Greer not out 3 Byes, etc
J. Cotman	52	190
A. G. Loader run out. K. M. Wilkins b Gaffney. A. C. Whitcher c J. Cotman b Gaffney		D. K. Walker P. E. M. Catchpole R. G. Pinney J. G. Best did not bat

OUNDLE SCHOOL	v. LEYS SCHOOL.	
Played at OUNDLE, Tuesday, June of 180 between I. Lindsay and J. F.	2 - Drawn A splendid partnership	
riayed at OUNDER, Idesday, other	Arre placed Leve in a strong position	
of 180 between 1. Lindsay and J. F.	Ayle placed neys in a strong position	
but their bowlers failed to press home	the advantage.	
LEYS	SCHOOL.	
J. M. Smith b Newton 12	A. H. Penman not out 8	
P. G. Nichol b Barker 0	Byes, etc 16	
E. R. Hargreaves b Lloyd 13		
	*256	
G. Carnegie-Brown b Barker 9		
R. G. Field c Lloyd b Barker 15	H. Rocyn-Jones	
J. F. Ayre c and b Hughes 82	J. R. S. Raper did not bat	
I Lindsay not out	L. H. Birch	
* Innings de	clared closed.	
	SCHOOL.	
G. R. A. Johnson b Rocyn-Jones 0	A. G. Briggs b Penman 1	
H. I. Hobbins c Birch b Field 32	N. D. Lloyd not out	
J. B. Newton c Nichol b Penman 42	S. Shepherd-Smith not out 6	
A. G. Webb b Raper 7	Byes, etc 2	
A. E. Hargrave c Raper b Penman 14	The state of the s	
E. P. Swallow c Ayre b Penman 7	140	
T. D. Barker st Birch b Penman 8	C. J. P. Hughes did not bat	
1. D. Darker St Ditch b Tellman	0.0.1.2.22	
CE Transaction And Man page 12 that was a con-		
CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL V. U	INIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.	
Played at GROVE PARK, Wednesday	June 3 — Drawn	
Through at GROVE I AIR, Wellies	OLLEGE SCHOOL.	
UNIVERSITI O	D A C Folly	
W. V. H. Robins lbw, b McKeand 33	D. A. C. Kelly	
D. M. Lloyd b Moore 4	L. Wilk did not bat	
R. M. Wilson b McKeand 16	W. B. G. Edwards	
N. H. Trace b McKeand 0	C. P. Bronson	
D. J. L. Stevens not out 54		
C. M. Colley c Tippet b Hobday 6		
C. M. Concy C Tipper & Trees		
Byes, etc 12		
*153		
* Innings de	clared closed.	
CITY OF LON	DON SCHOOL.	
L. O. Gilliam c Stevens b Trace. 6	J. H. N. Hobday	
W E Radcliffe b Trace 2	J. H. Trice	
W. E. Radcliffe b Trace 2 D. A. Wakeford b Abrahams 10	R G W Ross Udid not bat.	
D. A. Wakeford D Abrahams 10	L. F. P. Weeks	
B. B. Cohen lbw, b Trace 5	L. P. F. WECAS	
A. A. E. Moore not out 23	M. T. McKeand	
G. L. M. Tippet not out 15		
Byes, etc		
23 00, 000, 000, 000, 000	THE RESERVE OF A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	
76	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	
	A CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF	
	The same of the sa	
BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL V. KING	'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.	
Diagod of DEDUCATIONED Wodney	day Tune 3 -Berkhamsted owed much	
Played at BERKHAMSTED, Wednesday, June 3.—Berkhamsted owed much		
to the skilful bowling of A. J. Spratt (five wickets for 17) for their narrow		
victory by 11 runs.		
BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.		
L. Wilson c Webb b Blackman 0	P. C. Howes not out	
D. O. Folton b A. T. Colo 91		

victory by it runs.		
BERKHAMSTI	ED SCHOOL.	
L. Wilson c Webb b Blackman 0	P. C. Howes not out	10
R. O. Felton b A. J. Gale 24	A. J. Spratt b Webb	10
E. A. Flint c and b Blackman 16	R. W. Obbard e Hallam b Webb	19
G. F. Carter c Hallam b Wood 6	L. R. S. Cork b A. J. Gale	19
R. S. Stanier b A. J. Gale 0	Byes, etc	10
O. J. Vaughan Jackson c G. W. Gale		99
b Blackman 8		00
R. C. Edwards c Curry b Webb 3		

KING'S COLLEGE	E SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.
G. W. Gale lbw, b Vaughan Jackson 10	R. A. Hallam b Spratt 2
F. L. Freeman run out 2	L. Curry b Spratt 0
E. F. Hughes b Spratt 20	J. V. Blackman b Flint 0
B. Boxall c Obbard b Spratt 1	A. J. Gale c Cork b Carter 18
P. R. Wood not out	Byes, etc 9
K. E. R. Webb b Spratt 7	1 Total Deal Mediale All Services
P. R. S. Downham lbw, b Flint 8	88
F. R. S. Downnam low, o Fine	

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL v. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at WOODFORD, Wednesday, June 3.—Chigwell won by 21 runs.

CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

J. E. W. Waddington c Howe b O. C. Papineau c Harvey b Smith 17

Jarman 25 F. E. Carter b Brookes. 1

F. E. Wheeler b Jarman	K.C.H. Surridge c Harvey b Smith 7 G. E. K. Dennant not out 0 Byes, etc 16
BANCROFT'S	SCHOOL. E. W. B. Barnes lbw, b Skeates. 31 L. J. Smith run out. 2 D. H. Curtis not out 4 L. S. S. Bayes b Skeates. 1 Byes, etc. 8 99

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Wednesday, Thursday, June 3, 4.—Much superior all round, Sherborne gained an easy victory by an innings and two runs. R. Eglington batted superbly.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

L. Clare c Wight b Moberly 38 c Nunn b Neve 7	
$\overline{196}$	
CHEPPOPNE SCHOOL	

F. H. Wright c. Shepley-Smith b Dunn	G. C. W. Neve b Paulson
J K Hill b Campbell 12	Byes, etc 15
J. E. F. Rawlins lbw, b Gardiner 32	219
P. J. Merriman lbw, b Gardiner 4	312
J. P. Whitham b Shepley-Smith 2	Same and the second of the sec

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE. Played at MARLBOROUGH, Thursday, June 4.—Though recovering well from a disastrous start Marlborough suffered defeat by three wickets. A skilful stand between Bonham Carter and King carried Winchester to success		
when the issue seemed open.		
MARLBOROUG	H COLLEGE.	
S. A. Block c Bonham-Carter b Tew 4 T. C. Worsley c Snell b Awdrey 4 H. G. Knight b Awdrey 4 W. K. Harbinson c Bonham Carter	F. G. Philpott c and b Tew	
W. K. Hardinson e Domiam Carter		
b Awdrey	Dyos, etc. 14.11.	
N D G Greene b Tew 0	197	
A P W Bowley b H. Scott 61		
	- Corrner	
	R COLLEGE.	
P. G. T. Kingsley lbw, b Bowley 36	C. E. Awdry b Thornton 35	
P S Scott lbw b Neilson	E. P. King not out 35	
	D. 1. King hou odd	
E. Snell c Block b Bowley 21	Byes, etc 17	
A. D. Bonham-Carter not out 59		
	205	
C. A. L. Guise run out 1		
M. B. S. Bower c and b Thornton 0	H. E. Scott did not bat.	
P. J. W. Milligan b Thornton 1	A. M. Tew	
P. J. W. Milligan b Inormon 1	11. 11. 1011	
TINGIS SCHOOL PRUTON V	MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL. ne 4.—Monkton Combe won by 57 C. Walkey (four for 31) bowling well.	
KING'S SCHOOL, DRUIDN V.	MONKION COMBIN SCHOOL.	
Played at BRUTON, Thursday, Jul	ne 4.—Monkton Combe won by 57	
runs, J. C. Watson (five for 27) and	C. Walkey (four for 31) bowling well.	
MONKTON COM	TOOHO TO	
MUNKTON COM	C C Reeves run out	
J. M. MacAndrew not out 65		
E. G. Perry lbw, b Bennett 1	J. C. Walkey c Powell Smyth 4	
I C Watson c Tremlett b Beale. 48	Byes, etc 16	
J. C. Hawon C Library	Byes, 600	
E. J. H. Hart b Napper 50		
J. H. W. James lbw, Bennett 3	*190	
	M Hobbs	
	M. Hobbs J. F. G. Gurney did not bat.	
M K Watson b Napper 2	J. F. G. Gurney)	
* Innings of	eclared closed.	
KING'S SOU	OOL, BRUTON.	
THOS SOIL	F. L. Henzell not out 14	
H. D. Burrough lbw, b J. C. Watson 7	P. P. Simpson b. J. C. Watson 0	
C. A. Burrough c Perry b J. C.		
Watson 19	W. H. Powell b Walkey	
	C G Napper b Walkey 2	
G. M. Bennett b Walkey 18	Ryes etc 13	
E. B. M. Tremlett b Walkey 15	Byes, etc	
E. B. M. Tremlett b Walkey 15 W. A. Sharvell b J. C. Watson. 30		
H D Beale run out	133	
T. E. Smyth e Chalcraft b J. C.	in the second of	
Watson 6	The second case of the second of the second	
Harson	THE SERVICE AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF	
HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE	v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.	
The state of the state of the Country Cotton	day Tune 8 -Steady batting by B. B.	
Played at HURSTPIERPOINT, Sauth	The tat Cahaal being 50 bahind	
Cohen enabled City of London to avoid	day, June 6.—Steady batting by B. B. defeat, that School being 58 behind	
with two wickets to fall.		
WITH THE WITHOUS TO 1011.	INT COLLEGE.	
	L. G. Garnett c and b Trice 23	
H. K. A. Denyer c Moore b McKeand 4		
C T Corr e Moore b McKeand 2	J. L. Kirk e Radeliffe b Trice 6	
V. J. Grinstead c Weeks b Moore 38	D. G. Piggott not out 1	
V. J. Grinstead C Weeks D Moore 30	J. F. A. Campbell b Trice 2	
G. L. D. Allison c Mckeand D	J. F. A. Campbell b Little 20	
Radcliffe 0	Byes, etc 10	
E. F. Mitchell b Hobday 29	The published it will be being the first	
	210	
C. W. Yates c McKeand b Trice 66	210	
F. E. Woods c Trice b Hobday 29	Liver Connection of the State of the Control of the State	

CITY OF LOND	ON SCHOOL
W. E. Radcliffe e Carr b Campbell 10	R. G. W. Ross b Campbell 11
	M C T Dodde b Diggett
B. B. Cohen not out	N. C. L. Dodds b Piggott 5
D. A. Wakeford c Denyer b Kirk 11	L. F. P. Weeks not out 8
A. A. E. Moore lbw, b Grinsted 12	Byes, etc
J. H. N. Hobday c Garnett b Piggott17	
G. L. M. Tippett c Carr b Allison 16	152
J. H. Trice lbw, b Allison 0	M. T. McKeand did not bat
J. H. Trice low, b Amson b	M. I. McKeand did not bat
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
ting that interesting the state of the control of	
LEYS SCHOOL	v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.
Dismal of Company Cotundors	June 6.—Drawn, Leys being 79 runs
Played at CAMBRIDGE, Saturday,	June 0.—Diawn, Leys being 15 runs
behind with seven wickets in hand.	and a substant of the superior
BEDFORD	
R. Kellett run out 48	A. Norris b Raper 0
R. D. Stewart c Carnegie-Brown b	W. A. Sime not out 3
Penman	Byes, etc 17
	Dy 05, 000
	*246
E. F. Davison b Rocyn-Jones 18	
C. N. Reed c Carnegie-Brown b	G. A. C. Branson did not bat
Penman 20	J. S. Mackay
N. F. Reed b Raper 0	THE RESIDENCE OF SHIPLE STREET, THE SECOND STREET, SANSAGE STR
M. A. Tulloch c Rocyn-Jones b	
	land days
* Innings de	clared closed.
LEYS S	CHOOL
J. M. Smith c C. N. Read b Mackay 70	J. F. Ayre
W. S. Green not out 54	I. Lindsay
G. Carnegie-Brown c N. F. Reed b	J. R. S. Raper did not bat
C. N. Reed 24	H. Rocyn-Jones did not but
E. R. Hargreaves c N. F. Reed b	A. H. Penman
C. N. Reed 9	L. H. Birch
	D. H. Ditch
are on alread more continued and	
Byes, etc 7	The state of the second of the
	I THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON
167	The second of th
	And the second s
	12 1 Character to the property of the Party of Co.
SHERBORNE SCHOOL	OL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.
Di d of Cyruppopyre Cotundors	June 6.—Drawn. Sherborne batted con-
riayed at SHERBURNE, Saturday,	T Tumbull played finally for Downside
sistently well, but their bowling lailed. I	1. J. Turnbull played finely for Downside.
SHERBORNE	
F. H. Wright b Hutton 4	J. P. Whitham b Arbuthnot 54
R. Eglington b C. Turnbull 17	R. V. Payne not out 35
J. A. Nunn b Weld 47	S. R. Rickman run out 9

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.			
	T D Willitham h Amburthmet 54		
F. H. Wright b Hutton 4	J. P. Whitham b Arbuthnot 54		
D. D. U. at an L. C. Marshall 17	R. V. Payne not out 35		
R. Eglington b C. Turnbull 17			
J. A. Nunn b Weld 47	S. R. Rickman run out 9		
J. K. Hill b Gordon 8	C. M. G. Moberly not out 7		
G. C.W. Nevec Lefroy b C. Turnbull 17	Byes, etc 27		
J. E. F. Rawlins c McMillan b	The second secon		
C. Turnbull 20	*246		
P. C. Merriman b Hutton 1	Management of the control of the con		
A. T			
* Innings declared closed.			

M. J. Turnbull b Rickman	E SCHOOL. C. B. Turnbull P. J. Innes R. R. Shorto A. McMillan J. E. Hutton G. R. Gordon
150	TO THE REST OF THE PARTY.

BRADFIELD COLLEGE v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Bradfield, Saturday, June 6.—Radley had 168 on the board when their third wicket fell, but effective bowling by V. E. J. Wells (four for 21) and W. G. H. D. Crouch gave Bradfield victory by 45 runs.

BRADFIELD	
W. G. H. D. Crouch run out 11	G. N. N. Nunn b Green 24
C. R. Watson Symth b Teale 70	A. J. Waterfield lbw, b Teale 11
H. J. Shewen b Webb 12	J. G. R. Hayes b Green 9
A. G. Tudor Evans c Perceval b	C. A. Ramsden not out 9
Adams	Byes, etc 27
C. C. Blagden c Cambridge b Sich 37	o inverse constitues to the 1996 To
R. G. Shaw c Metcalfe b Teale 0	233
V. E. J. Wells c Stampa b Metcalfe 11	1212000
RADLEY	COLLEGE.
A. L. Stampa c Blagden b Crouch 24	G. B. Teale b Wells 7
M. C. Perceval c Blagden b Crouch 14	H. V. Green e Blagden b Wells 0
G. C. A. Adams c Waterfield b Shaw 53	A. C. Webb b Crouch 3
L.D.Cambridge c Waterfield b Wells 57	R. L. Sich not out 0
J. F. Metcalfe b Wells 0	Byes, etc 20
H. C. F. Harwood cWaterfield b Shaw 0	THE STATE OF THE S
J. M. Collinge c and b Crouch 10	188
THE ROLL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	

DENSTONE COLLEGE V. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

Played at DENSTONE, Saturday, June 6.—Thanks to skilful bowling by J. Preece (six for 19), Denstone won by 23 runs.

DENSTONE	COLLEGE.	
R. C. Garman b Cohen 4	P. Bluett not out 1	5
J. Preece b Cohen 3	A. W. Turpin b J. S. Welsh	3
H. F. Harvey b Cohen 4	F Atkinson c E. G. Welsh b Caird	1
C. W. Timmis lbw, b Cohen 0	L. Clay b Cohen	.0
R. Eyre c and b J. S. Welsh 30	Byes, etc 1	2
T. Hart c and b Caird 1		-
H. Place c Sandbach b Caird 4	8	37
KING EDWARD'S SCH	OOL, BIRMINGHAM.	
W. E. Sandbach c and b Timmis 11	J. S. Welsh b Preece	0
H. F. Raybone c Hart b Preece 8	D. O. Hougham st Hart b Clay 1	5
B. H. Howell lbw, b Timmis 1	E. W. Elwood not out	-
C. H. E. Lyster b Preece 3	L. H. Caird b Preece	0
R. A. Cohen b Harvey 17	Byes, etc	0
E. G. Welsh lbw, b Preece 0	Land Control of Control of the Contr	
D. E. Raine b Preece 0	6	34

STONYHURST COLLEGE v. GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.

Played at Stonyhurst, Saturday, June 6.—Stonyhurst gained an easy victory and, continuing their innings, led by 109 runs. T. Christie and F. De Caires batted splendidly when two wickets had fallen cheaply.

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL.			
J. Moore c H. De Caires b Hardy 0	J. T. Kenyon b McDowell 7		
J. W. W. Bather c King b Hardy 4	D. Jackson e H. De Caires b Harold 6		
J. M. J. Ashcroft lbw, b Hardy 3	D. E. Catton b Hardy 1		
C. E. Walker b Hardy 7	W. J. Clark not out 1		
R. Cartwright c McGarth b H. De	Byes, etc 3		
Caires 9			
J. A. Sidebottom lbw, b Harold 4	71		
A. B. Winney c King b Hardy 26			

STONYHURS	
T. Christie not out102	
J. M. Baker b Cartwright 9	H. S. De Caires
J. W. Walton b Cartwright 5	A. G. King
F. J. De Caires not out 55	N. McGarth did not bat
Byes, etc 9	D. P. Hickey
	A. McDowell
180	H. Harold

HARROW v. CHARTERHOUSE.
Played at HARROW, Saturday, June 6.—Drawn, batsmen holding the mastery throughout over moderate bowling. A. M. Crawley and C. Clover Brown shared in an opening partnership of 136 for Harrow.

HARROW.				
A. M. Crawley c Morgan b Coutts	3 -	C. M. Andreae	1	
Trotter	83	E. W. E. Mann	1	
C. Clover Brown c Deakin b Kemp	- 1	A. C. Raphael	} did not	bat
Welch	58	R. H. Palmer		
G. E. Pennefather c Frankland b		D. Wormald		
Morgan	37			
N. M. Ford not out	66			
G. F. Emanuel c Arrowsmith b				
Fawcett	0			
A. C. L. Wills not out			Bautin .	
Byes, etc				
	_			
*2	64			
* Temino	* Innings dealared alored			

* Innings declared closed.

	ARTERHOUSE.	
J. T. Morgan c Palmer b Wills 15 C. E. S. Gordon c and b Raphael 57 F. F. Fawcett not out 59	C. A. Winch R. L. Arrowsmith	did not bet
T. A. Eccles b Andreae	J. C. Connell D. I. Deakin	did not bat
*153		
* Innings of	leclared closed.	

'S COLLEGE SCHOOL WINDLEDON -- CM TOHNS S

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Saturday, June 6.—St. John's won by six wickets.

A. L. Bryan dismissed seven King's College School batsmen for 28 runs.

ST. JOHN'S SCHO	OL, I
P. R. K. Whitaker b A. J. Gale 33	L.
S.V.Lloyd-Rees c Brown b Blackman39	C. :
G. W. Pink c Hughes b Blackman 33	N.
R. U. Yonge st Hughes b Blackman 5	F
A. L. Bryan b Webb 9	E. I
J. H. C. Cowgill b G. W. Gale 1	
P. E. Jeffery c Hughes b Webb. 14	
Trus, concer o magnes o webb 11	

OL, LEATHERHEAD.	
L. M. Leakey b Webb	3
C. E. Neep not out	3
N. M. Aldous c G. W. Gale b	
Blackman	
E. R. Richardson lbw, b Blackman	0
Byes, etc	3
	152

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE. Played at WEST HORSHAM, Wednesday, June 10 .- A most exciting match went in favour of Eastbourne by two runs. E. M. Morres (six for 47) and R. D. Moxon (5 for 40) bowled well for their respective sides. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE A. A. Shaw c Jennings b Moxon.. 37 J. G. Gubbins c Jennings b Moxon 0 D. R. Skinner b Moxon 4 M. P. Lawson lbw, b Kettle..... 2 G. C. Morse c Jennings b Kettle. 2 E. M. Morres b Black...... 17 J. C. Corrie lbw, b Moxon 0 F. W. Binge c Kettle b Black 2 Byes, etc. 2 N. Beatson c Jennings b Moxon.. 11 J. A. H. Whitby st Jennings b Kettle 1 CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL. R. D. Moxon b Morres..... 1 P. S. Osborne b Morres..... 1 J. A. Hasler run out..... 0 C. G. Baker c Binge b Morres.... 9 E. G. Done c Morres b Morse . . . 6 H. G. Kettle c Skinner b Morse., 14 T. G. Jennings c Beatson b Morres 22 C. R. Black not out 11 A. V. Cann c Beatson b Morse 0 Byes, etc. 6 M. G. Philpott c Beatson b Morres 25 C. P. Organ b Morres..... 0 ST. BEES SCHOOL V. GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL. Played at St. Bees, Wednesday, June 10.—St. Bees won by 45 runs. ST. BEES SCHOOL. G. C. R. Marshall c Winney b I. H. G. Graham b Cartwright. . 8 J. C. Wade b Winney..... O. H. Gettins b Winney 3 C. G. S. Harden b Cartwright 3 G. H. Coombe c Catton b Side-C. F. Myerscough b Ashcroft.... 25 bottom R. H. Robinson c and b Cartwright 8 Byes, etc. 4 H. K. Robinson c and b Ashcroft 14 113 H. M. L. Leigh not out..... 0 GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL J. Moore c Marshall b Leigh.... 7 J. T. Kenvon lbw, b Graham.... 12 J. W. W. Bather b Graham 15 D. Jackson b Graham..... 0 A. B. Winney c Marshall b Gettins 0 D. E. Catton c Coombe b Gettins 5 C. E. Walker b Gettins..... 14 W. J. Clark not out..... 1 R. Cartwright run out..... 4 Byes, etc. 9 J. M. J. Ashcroft b Coombe 1 J. A. Sidebottom b Leigh..... 0 ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE. Played at CANTERBURY, Wednesday, June 10 .- St. Lawrence, for whom R. Perfect took seven wickets for 26 runs, won easily. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY. Perfect.... 6 | A. G. Collis c Carr b Burgess 7 C. H. Caswell c and b Perfect.... 6 E. B. Gillett c Carr b Pollock 38 A. W. Symons c Morton b Perfect 12 H. E. H. Elam lbw, b Perfect.... 0 K. I. Evans c Throndsen b Burgess 4 A. Kirk Connel c Carr b Perfect. 12 E. M. Phair not out 0 R. J. B. Goad c Carr b Perfect .. 5 Byes, etc. 3 H. G. Anthony c Rumsey b Perfect 6 W. E. Image c Carr b Perfect 13 106 ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE. J. D. Taylor-Marsh b Caswell 3 T. F. Alston lbw, b Kirk Connel 7 H. R. Hinge b Caswell 11 P. L. W. Morton b Phair..... 13 H. J. Linnell c Gillett b Phair.... 85 P. T. Pollock c Elam b Caswell .. 0 I. B. Throndsen not out..... 1 R. Perfect c Anthony b Caswell.. 0 T.A.Burgess c Kirk Connel b Phair 21 Byes, etc. 6 C. M. Carr b Phair..... 3

200

A. C. Rumsey b Caswell..... 50

HIGHGATE SCHOOL V. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Highgate, Wednesday, June 10.—Brilliant all-round play by R. W. V. Robins brought Highgate an easy victory. After taking seven Aldenham wickets for 54, Robins gave a stylish and forcing batting display, completely overshadowing his colleagues. For Aldenham, T. C. Longfield alone faced effective bowling with confidence. He carried his bat through the innings.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

T. C. Longfield not out. .112 E. H. Hawkins c Hopkinson b May 21 S. R. C. Walmsley lbw, b Morris. 10 S. R. C. Walmsley lbw, b Morris. 10 10 J. G. Potts hit wkt, b Robins. 3 J. B. Pearce b Robins. 6 6 R. A. Bird b Robins. 0	C. R. Walmsley Ibw, b Robins . 1 R. O. Webber run out . 1 A. B. P. Heaton b Robins . 0 C. H. S. Cobb b Robins . 2 Byes, etc 15		
HIGHGATE SCHOOL.			
R. W. V. Robins st Cobb b Heaton 206 J. C. Scott c Longfield b Heaton 1 J. A. Carter c and b Heaton 15 G. M. May b Longfield			

J. A. Carter c and b Heaton 15 R. L. Stuart c Longfield b Heaton 19	J. C. Scott c Longfield b Heaton 1 G. M. May b Longfield 0 J. W. Luck not out 10 J. T. Hopkinson not out 8 Byes; etc. 13

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Thursday, June 11.—Much stronger in batting Wellington won by five wickets.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

A. M. Shepley-Smith b James 8 M. G. Stratford b May 2 M. F. L. Haymes b Hamilton 11 L. Clare b Hamilton 9 J. A. Cook c Wakefield b Dudley-Hill 7 J. H. Robson b Hamilton 3 H. L. Jones b Hamilton 10	K. J. Gardiner b Hamilton 0 P. J. H. Dunn c Field b Berens 23 G. M. E. Paulson b Berens 16 D. R. F. Cambell not out 4 Byes, etc 11 104
--	---

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

R. F. A. David c Dunn b Cambell 25 E. A. G. Wakefield b Cambell	R. C. Dobson not out. 11 R. C. Hamilton not out. 26 Byes, etc. 9
H. B. Hone b Cook. 37 A. J. James lbw, b Cambell. 0 M. W. Field c Robson b Cook. 20 N. M. Peech c Sherley Smith b 20 Stratford 46	A. P. Dudley-Hill H. C. B. Berens did not bat

WINCHESTER v. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 11.—Batting consistently, Charterhouse were able to declare with seven wickets down, but only H. M. Coutts-Trotter, who sent down fourteen overs, seven of which were maidens, for 18 runs and two wickets, met with any success against strong defensive play by Winchester, who, with eight batsmen to be disposed of, finished up 84 behind.

CHARTERHOUSE.

J. T. Morgan c Bower b Inman Hon. R. N. Frankland c Holding b Tew F. F. Fawcett c Snell b Inman	40	A. H. Williams b Milligan 12 R. L. Arrowsmith not out 13 Byes, etc. 16
C. E. S. Gordon lbw, b Inman T. A. Eccles c Fleming b Milligan G. D. Kemp-Welch not out C. A. Winch c Snell b Holding	10 35 27	H. M. Coutts-Trotter did not bat

* Innings declared closed.

WINCHESTER

	11 4110	HECTER.		
A. W. Richardson b Coutts-Trotter I, D, K. Fleming c Huth b Coutts-Trotter E. Snell not out. A. D. Bonham-Carter not out Byes, etc.	22 24 1	C. A. L. Guise M. B. S. Bower E. P. King P. J. W. Milligan P. T. Inman A. M. Tew W. W. M. Holding	not	bat

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL V. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Thursday, June 11.—Downside won by 48 runs, their batting up to a point being most consistent.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

M. J. Turnbull c Dalgleish b Block 47 C. A. Maxwell-Lefroy c Walker b Dalgleish	P. J. Innes b Block 0 J. E. Hutton st Benson b Phillips 1 R. R. Shorto not out 6 A. MacMillan c Harman b Phillips 1 Byes, etc 5 252

Blundell's	SCHOOL.
H. G. Harman c M. J. Turnbull b Macmillan	L. G. Newton b Macmillan

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE V. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 12, 13.—Cheltenham never lost the advantage they gained on the first day, and won easily by seven wickets. W. K. Harbinson batted well in both innings for Marlborough.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

T. C. Worsley c and b Coates	1 — st Tyler b Coates 7
L. G. D. Wraith c and b Coates	2 — c Higson b Jackson 14
H. G. Knight c Jackson b Coates	0 — b Jackson 6
S. A. Block c Jackson b Coates	0 — b Jackson 0
W. K. Harbinson c Jackson b Jowett	41 — st Tyler b Lane 73
M. H. Thornton c Lane b Coates	0 — b Jackson 0
F. G. Philpott b Higson	31 — c and b Alston 41
A. P. W. Bowley b Jackson	14 — not out
N. D. G. Greene not out	
J. S. M. Paul b Jackson	0 — st Tyler b Lane 2
R. H. Neilson b Jackson	6 — c Higson b Lane 1
Byes, etc.	
	108
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

P. U. Coates c Neilson b Knight		
A. W. Tyler c Wraith b Bowley	10 - c Greene b Harbinson 31	
R. J. Woollatt c Block b Knight	84 — not out 1	
P. Higson b Neilson	7 — b Bowley 9	
W. F. Kempster run out	3 — not out 47	
H. Jowett c Bowley b Harbinson	52	
Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham c and b		
Thornton	14	
H. N. Alston c and b Thornton	1	
N. J. T. Hogg not out	9 — b Knight 1	
F. P. Lane c Philpott b Thornton	1	
J. G. Jackson c Bowley b Thornton	4	
Byes, etc		
	- 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	190	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Sherborne, Friday, Saturday, June 12, 13.—Sherborne gained a great victory by an innings and 16 runs, the splendid batting of Neve and Nunn being followed by steady bowling on the part of Rickman and Moberley.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

J. K. Hill b Green 44	J. P. Whitham c and b Cambridge 6
R. Eglington lbw, b Cambridge 1	R. V. Payne c Palmer b Preston 2
J. A. Nunn c Perceval b Metcalfe 81	S. R. Rickman not out 5
G.C.W. Neve c Adams b Cambridge 110	C. M. G.Moberley c Collinge b Green 6
F. H. Wright b Cambridge 19	Byes, etc 16
J. E. F. Rawlins b Green 9	
K. C. P. Horsford c Perceval b	319
Preston	

RADLEY COLLEGE.

A. L. Stampa b Rickman 6 — c Moberley b Rickman M. C. Perceval c Hill b Moberley 0 — b Neve G. C. A. Adams c Rickman b Moberley 0 — b Moberley L. D. Cambridge b Rickman 4 — lbw, b Rickman J. C. Palmer b Moberley 1 — b Neve J. F. Metcalfe not out 37 — c Moberley b Rickman H. C. F. Harwood b Rickman 8 — b Rickman J. M. Collinge b Moberley 16 — c Eglington b Moberley W. E. Preston run out 15 — c Rawlins b Payne	4 55 0 11 30 10 1 34
	30
	10
W. E. Cominge b Moderley 16 — C Eglington b Moderley	1
w. E. Preston run out 15 — c Rawlins b Payne	34
G. B. Teale c Rickman b Nunn 9 — not out	3
H. V. Green b Rickman 5 — b Neve	1
Byes, etc 2 Byes, etc	6
All a control of the second of	Name of Street
103	200
100	200

RUGBY SCHOOL v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at Rugby, Friday, Saturday, June, 12, 13.—A bad breakdown in the first innings following a good start lost Rugby the match by four wickets. Firth (three for 16) gave Clifton some trouble when they went in to knock off the 89 runs required for victory.

RUGBY SCHOOL.

	7 38 18 46 49 5 4 1 8 11	
CLIFTON COLLEGE.		
G. G. Aslett c and b Firth	5 8 37 13 0	
214	90	

TOOTION WITH SOUTH

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. OAKHAM SCHOOL.
Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 13.—Uneven batting lent excitement
to a closely contested match which Bedford Modern won by the narrow
margin of two runs.

margin of two runs.	
BEDFORD MOI	ERN SCHOOL.
C. H. Williams b Hill 28	C. H. J. Ward not out 29
F. Richardson b Bristowe 5	W. F. Russell c Hill b Allen 1
B. A. Babb b Hill	H. R. Wilcocks lbw, b Hill 21
	H. T. Babb b Johnson 18
H. R. Wratten b Allen 4	Byes, etc 7
R. D. Cotton c Fisher b Allen 2	Dyes, etc
J. E. Riddehough c Fisher b Hill 5	145
S. W. Hunter b Allen 9	
OAKHAM	SCHOOL,
M. H. Jones run out 4	W. J. B. Johnson b Cotton 0
R. G. Ryley b Riddehough 19	J. H. Fisher c Riddehough b Cotton 27
E. S. Etheridge c and b Williams 3	I. C. Hill b B. A. Babb 0
P. N. C. Sinker b B. A. Babb 51	D. G. Allen not out 1
H. C. Bristow Ibw, b Cotton 17	Byes, etc 8
C. H. Schwind b B. A. Babb 0	
C. H. Schwind b B. A. Dabb	143
A. W. Lawrence c Cotton b	The control of the second of t
Riddehough	

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL,

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, June 13.—Dismissing Whitgift for a moderate score King's College School went to victory for the loss of only two wickets, E. F. Hughes batting soundly.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.		
G. P. Goodwin b A. J. Gale 17	W. R. Diplock c Hughes b Webb 10	
E. Fielden b Webb	H. Crouch b Blackman 0	
L. F. Smith b Blackman 4	H. R. Hayes run out 1	
H. H. Peart c Webb b A. J. Gale 5	H. E. Ling not out 0	
	Byes, etc 21	
K. H. Virley b A. J. Gale 0	Dyes, etc.	
F. R. Ewing run out 34	103	
C. J. Gage b Webb9		
	CHOOL, WIMBLEDON.	
G. W. Gale lbw, b Ling 7	P. R. S. Downham	
E. F. Hughes not out 79	R. A. Hallam	
P. R. Wood c Fielden b Ling 6	L. Curry did not bat	
K. E. R. Webb c Virley b Hayes 17	A. J. Gale	
B. Boxall b Hayes 0	J. V. Blackman	
G. L. Brown not out 11	Company of the second of the s	
Byes, etc		
Djob, 000		
139		
100		

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, June 13.—A fine innings by G. Carnegie-Brown and effective bowling by J. R. S. Raper (five for 34) were the main factors in the victory of Leys by 99 runs.

LEYS SCHOOL.	
J. M. Smith b Taylor 5 H. Rocyn-Jones b Carris.	20
W S Green c Zilka b Lloyd Owen 16 J. R. S. Raper c Carris D Hu	me 4
E. R. Hargreaves b Taylor 17 A. H. Penman not out	
G Carnegie-Brown c Wolstenholme L. H. Birch b Carris	
b Hume	31
R. G. Field lbw, b Taylor 15	220
J. F. Ayre lbw, b Pool 12	220
I. Lindsay b Taylor 2	

MILL HILL SCHOOL

J. W. G. Hume c Birch b Raper. 5 A. P. Powell c Hargreaves b Field 34 J. C. Wolstenholme b Rocyn-Jones 1 H. H. Pool c Birch b Rocyn-Jones 1 E. A. Catesby b Raper 0 H. E. Carris c Rocyn-Jones b Raper 14 A. J. C. Air b Raper 15	H. Taylor c Carnegie-Brown b Penman 9 A. J. McLennan st Birch b Penman 20 B. Zilka c Penman b Raper 4 M. W. Lloyd Owen not out 4 Byes, etc. 14

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL v. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, June 13.—A keen match ended in victory by one run for Christ's Hospital, for whom K. M. Wilkins took five wickets for 38, and L. Bywater four for 39,

MERCHANT TAYLOR'S SCHOOL

L. Bywater b Black	A. G. Loader b Moxon 4 D. M. Parry b Kettle 4 P. E. M. Catchpole lbw, b Kettle 2 R. G. Pinney not out 1 Byes, etc. 6
Cuprem's Hoggram	IT Compan

CHRIST'S HOSPIT	AL SCHOOL.
A. Y. Cann b Bywater. 34 J. A. Hasler c and b Bywater. 1 H. G. Kettle c Catchpole b Greenup 19 P. S. Osborne c Greer b Wilkins. 17 T. G. Jennings c Turner b Wilkins 3 M. G. Philpott b Wilkins. 14 C. G. Baker c and b Wilkins. 5	T. D. Craig b Wilkins. 3 E. G. Done b Bywater. 3 R. D. Moxon b Bywater. 0 C. R. Black not out. 8 Byes, etc. 8

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. DOVER COLLEGE.

Played at CANTERBURY, Wednesday, June 17 -Much the stronger batting side Dover had an easy task, an advantage of 113 runs being gained with two wickets outstanding.

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL CANTERBURY

DI. HDMOND B LIC	HOOL, CANTERBURY.
W. E. Image lbw, b Harris	A. G. Collis c Jenney b Fuente. 18 A. W. Symons c Springman b Tennant. 73 R. G. Roots not out. 7 E. M. Phair c and b Harris. 17 Byes, etc. 6 163

DOVER COLLEGE

F. H. B. Ashby run out	G. R. F. Jenney M. R. H. Nethersole G. R. B. Meredith c Goad b Caswell 25 C. L. Price not out

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at CRANLEIGH, Wednesday, June 17.—Although Robins failed, Highgate proved altogether too strong for Cranleigh, who suffered defeat by 216 runs. May took five wickets for 24.

HIGHGATE	SCHOOL.
R. W. V. Robins c J. B. Bamford b	J. C. Scott b Flewitt 4
Morrison-Jones 6	J. W. Luck b Flewitt 55
J. A. Carter lbw, b Morrison-Jones 84	Byes, etc 30
R. L. Stuart run out	The second of th
C. A. Pritchard c J. B. Bamford b	302
Bellini 4	W. M. Webster did not bat
C. O. M. Morris c Mundy b	G. M. May
Morrison-Jones 14	sent he ou also sale, for our set questi
R. L. Clarke not out 46	ARREST A TORREST AND DESCRIPTION
R. Turnell-Smith b Flewitt 7	成在一次
CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL.
J. G. Mundy c Robins b Stuart 9	L. C. Flewitt c Morris b Robins. 13
I. H. Cameron lbw, b May 6	H. J. West b May 11
H. R. Heard b May 18	H. A. Bellini not out 10
P. G. Bamford c Pritchard b Stuart 4	J. B. Bamford c Carter b May 4
J. S. P. Poole b May 7	Byes, etc 2
D. H. Morrison-Jones run out 2	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
L. F. Lane c TSmith b Robins 0	86

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD. Played at West Horsham, Wednesday, June 17.—Effective bowling by H. G. Kettle (five for 23) assisted materially in Christ's Hospital success by 101 runs.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL	, LEATHERHEAD.
P. R. K. Whitaker run out 8	R. U. Yonge c Craig b Kettle 19
S. V. Lloyd-Rees c Baker b Moxon 0	C. E. Neep b Kettle 8
G. W. Pink c Moxon b Kettle 5	G. A. Dunbar lbw, b Kettle 0
L. M. Leakey run out 1	E. R. Richardson not out 1
A. L. Bryan Ibw, b Black 16	Byes, etc 9
R. A. S. Farnfield st Jennings b	Submitted St. Assert P. P. C. T.
Hasler 4	78
J. H. C. Cowgill lbw, b Kettle 7	The production of the group of the
CHRIST'S HOSP	ITAL SCHOOL.
	1 C D Pleak not out 17

J. H. C. COWGIII IDW, D Rectile	
CHRIST'S HOSP	ITAL SCHOOL.
A. Y. Cann b Richardson 0	C. R. Black not out 17
J. A. Hasler lbw, b Bryan 3	T. D. Craig c and b Richardson 4
H. G. Kettle c and b Richardson 13	E. G. Done b Bryan 1
P. S. Osborne b Bryan 85	R. D. Moxon b Bryan 0
Γ. G. Jennings b Cowgill 29	Byes, etc
M. G. Philpott c Richardson b	
Cowgill 1	. 179
C. G. Baker c Neep b Bryan 19	· 物理证明者为数据为 中的 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

HARROW V. WINCHESTER.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 18.—Although completely outplayed, Harrow just managed to avoid defeat, having arrears of 242 and only one wicket outstanding at the close of an exciting struggle. A. W. Richardson and E. Snell gave a superb batting display in a partnership of 296 for Winchester, for whom A. M. Tew took four wickets for 33.

WINC	HESTER.
P. G. T. Kingsley c Crawley b Palmer 3	C. A. L. Guise
A. W. Richardson c and b	M. B. S. Bower
Pennefather117	E. P. King
E. Snell b Pennefather163	C. E. Awdry did not bat
A. D. Bonham-Carter not out 11	P. J. W. Milligan
Byes, etc 25	P. T. Inman
	A. M. Tew
*319	* Innings declared closed

Public School Matche.	8.	
-----------------------	----	--

HARROW.
A. M. Crawley b Tew
E. W. E. Mann run out 2 B. Wormald not out
N. M. Ford b Awdry
A. C. L. Wills b Tew 3
C. M. Andreae c and b Tew 0
A. C. Raphael b Tew 11
DOGGATI COTTON OF DEPTH CONTON
ROSSALL SCHOOL v. ST. BEES' SCHOOL.
Played at Rossall, Friday, Saturday, June 19, 20.—St. Bees proved no match for Rossall, who won by an innings and 136 runs. For Rossall, N. B.
Smith (eight for 45) and J. A. Roncoroni (seven for 65) bowled finely.
ST. BEES' SCHOOL.
J. T. Crawford b Roncoroni 6 — run out
1. H. G. Graham b Smith 0 — b Smith
C. F. Myerscough run out 13 — b Roncoroni 0
H. M. L. Leigh b Roncoroni 0 — b Smith 1
R. H. Robinson c Smith b Roncoroni 0 — b Smith
F. E. C. Ratcliff b Roncoroni 0 — b Smith 4 H. K. Robinson c Formby b Philipson 23 — b Smith 7
H. K. Robinson c Formby b Philipson 23 — b Smith 7 C. G. S. Harden b Smith 56 — c Smith b Roncoroni 2
J. C. Wade c Morley b Smith 8 — b Lambert 10
O. H. Gettins c Smith b Roncoroni 13 — not out
G. H. Coombe not out 0 — h Lambert 0
Byes, etc 6
$\frac{129}{43}$
129 ROSSALL SCHOOL,
J. A. Roncoroni b Coombe 40 N. M. Raye rum out 0
P. H. Formby lbw, b Leigh 22 L. J. Adcock c H. K. Robinson b
R. L. Moorly lbw, b Graham 23 Wade
A. M. D. Whiteroft b Myerscough 38 J. C. Hammond not out 56
N. B. Smith b Leigh
K. D. Gibson st H. K. Robinson b Coombo
Coombe
500
BEDFORD SCHOOL v. WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.
Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 20.—A consistent batting display
Played at Bedford, Saturday, June 20.—A consistent batting display enabled Bedford to declare with eight wickets down, and, thanks to the
bowling of C. N. Reed (five for 60), they won by 90 runs.
BEDFORD SCHOOL.
R. Kellett c Hitchcock b Gamble 60 M. A. Tulloch lbw, b Gamble 0
R. D. Stewart c Gamble b Burgess 40 N. F. Reed b Gamble
C. A. B. McVittie b Gamble 25 E. F. Davison c Winter b Burgess 23
C. N. Beed c Burgess b Rawlins 46
H. K. G. Nash c. Casselton h. Gamble 54 G. A. C. Branson
W. A. Sime not out 26 J. S. Mackay did not bat
* Innings declared closed.
WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.
J. L. H. Winter lbw, b C. N. Reed 87 H. G. Casselton b C. N. Reed 0
G. G. Tebbitt lbw, b Mackay 1 R. Hitchcock not out 4 G. Ainsworth lbw, b Davison 19 J. A. Dorr run out 4
A. A. Burgess b Davison 10 J. B. Gamble b Mackay 7
F. Rawlins c McVittie b C. N. Reed 53 Byes, etc. 17
D. B. F. Burt st McVittie b C. N.
Reed 8 210
W. R. Page c Davison b C. N. Reed 0

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Saturday, June 20.—A keen game resulted in a win for University College School by one run.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

R. B. D. Wright b Peart 51 W. V. H. Robins lbw, b Ling 16 R. M. Wilson b Peart 3 D. M. Lloyd run out 13 N. H. Trace b Ling 42 D. J. L. Stevens b Ling 8 L. Abrahams c and b Peart 6	C. M. Colley run out. 1 D. A. C. Kelly b Ling . 5 W.B. Edwards c N. M. Ling b Peart 0 J. C. Morgan not out 1 Byes, etc. 7 153
---	--

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

C. J. Gage b Abrahams 6 G.	. M. Ling b Abrahams
----------------------------	----------------------

DOVER COLLEGE V KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at DOVER, Saturday, June 20.—Dover showed poor form against the bowling of J. H. Terry and J. S. Dewar and King's School won by 70 runs.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

V. C. de St. Croix c Johnson b Nethersole	Byes, etc
Nethersole 2 W. K. Clarke e Tchiradjian b Harris 0 J. A. D. Slater b Nethersole 20 R. S. Mount b Harris 56 E. G. Ince lbw, b Johnson 13	J. H. Terry b Harris 14 A. R. Blackledge b Harris 0 B. C. Snell run out 2 Byes, etc. 19

DOVER COLLEGE.

F. H. B. Ashby c St. Croix b Dewar 3 W. M. A. Harris c Andrews b Dewar 0 N. C. Fuente c Clarke b Dewar . 26 G. Tchiradjian c Terry b Dewar . 0 S. G. Tennant b Terry . 7 J. H. Johnson c Snell b Terry . 17 H. Springman b Terry . 8	G. R. F. Jenney c Blackledge b Terry
--	---------------------------------------

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL V. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at TIVERTON, Saturday, June 20 .- Thanks to good batting by Wright, Neve, and Horsford, Sherborne knocked off the runs required for victory for the loss of seven wickets, and subsequently gained a lead of 50.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

H. G. Harman c Nunn b Moberly 25 E. T. Benson c and b Moberly 27 T. H. Chalk b Moberly 0 N. C. Phillips c Hill b Moberly 3 A. R. Gabe-Jones run out 20 I. Scott-Dalgleish lbw, b Neve 34 L. G. Newton b Dayne 34	C. G. Stowell c Hill b Payne 0 J. W. Stephens b Neve. 10 S. R. Walker not out 7 R. H. Bickham lbw, b Neve 3 Byes, etc. 8
L. G. Newton b Payne 0	137

SHERBORNE	SCHOOL.
J. K. Hill c Dalgleish b Walker. 17 R. Eglington b Gabe-Jones. 1 J. A. Nunn c Newton b Gabe-Jones 1 J. E. F. Rawlins c Benson b Walker 12 F. H. Wright c Gabe-Jones b Walker	J. P. Whitham c Walker b Phillips 6 R. V. Payne c Chalk b Phillips 6 S. R. Rickman not out 6 C. M. G. Moberly c Harman b Gabe-Jones 9 Byes, etc 15

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at West Horsham, Saturday, June 20.—Christ's Hospital had all the best of this drawn game, Epsom finishing up 119 runs behind with only two batsmen to be dismissed.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

A. Y. Cann b Monro. 45 J. A. Hasler b J. Cotman . 4 H. G. Kettle c Gaffney b J. Cotman 6 P. S. Osborne b Ballard . 54 T. G. Jennings b Gaffney . 5 C. G. Baker c Leaning b Ballard . 35 M. G. Philpott c Lewis b Geer . 35	C. R. Black c Lewis b Ballard . 5 T. D. Craig not out
--	---

EPSOM COLLEGE

J. S. Cotman c Done b Moxon	A. K. Monro not out. 6 G. A. Harrison b Moxon 0 H. E. Geer not out. 0 Byes, etc. 10 J. H. Gaffney did not bat.
-----------------------------	--

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE V. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at EASTBOURNE, Tuesday, June 23 .- Though 92 behind when their sixth wicket fell, Lancing won in the end by three wickets.

EASTBOURNE	COLLEGE.
J. G. Gubbins b Cook 0	G. F. Evans c Brooke b Bewsey 7
A. A. Shaw run out 79	D. R. Skinner b Shaw 0
E. M. Morres e Shaw b Bewsey 24	J. C. Corrie b Bewsey 1
J. A. H. Whitby b Bewsey 2	G. C. Morse not out 0
F. W. Binge c Brooke b Bewsey 1	Byes, etc
N. Beatson c Brooke b Bewsey 19	
C. J. Heath lbw, b Fletcher 26	172
LANCING COLLEGE	
G. W. Shaw b Corrie 10	C. G. F. Bewsey b Morres 18
H. H. Philips run out 0	D. O'Neill not out 32
B. Holton b Beatson 7	Byes, etc
N. L. de Garston c Shaw b Binge 42	
B. Brooke c Shaw b Binge 18	183
J. R. Willis not out 40	M. V. Fletcher did not bat
A. Bradford b Morres 3	J. H. Cook

MALVERN COLLEGE V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at MALVERN, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 23, 24.—Malvern won easily by nine wickets, only A. M. Shepley-Smith and J. H. Robson, of the Westminster batsmen, accomplishing anything of note. For Malvern, R. S. Smeeth played a stylich innings

played a stylish innings. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL,	
L. Clare b Welch 17 — c Godfrey b Welch 1 A. M. Shepley-Smith not out 88 — b Bradshaw 5 M. F. L. Haymes b Mordaunt 13 — b Bradshaw 1 J. H. Robson b Battersby 29 — not out 5 J. A. Cook c Toppin b Battersby 1 — lbw, b Mordaunt 1	1 50 12 13 1 1 3 0 9
. 197	47
에 가장하는 사람들이 얼마나 가장 얼마나 이번 생각이 되는 그리고 있었다. 그리고 있었다. 그리고 그리고 그리고 그리고 그리고 있다는 것이다.	
MALVERN COLLEGE.	
J. L. Battersby lbw, b Cook. 34 D. F. Robb b Cook. 42 R. S. Smeeth lbw, b Stratford. 113 T. B. G. Welch run out. 44	
J. L. Battersby lbw, b Cook. 34 D. F. Robb b Cook. 42 R. S. Smeeth lbw, b Stratford 113 T. B. G. Welch run out. 44 C. G. Toppin c Sherley-Smith b Stratford 14 F. E. Blackstone b Stratford 0 — not out R. D. Godfrey b Stratford 3 — c Robson b Cook S. S. Fielden b Cook 14	
J. L. Battersby lbw, b Cook	10

ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at ETON, Friday, Saturday, June 26, 27.—Drawn very much in favour of Eton, who held an all-round superiority. Hill, the Eton fast bowler, made the ball get up awkwardly on the first day, frequently beating the batsmen without hitting the stumps. Although Kingsley played with superb confidence, scoring 60 of the first 90 runs, something of a collapse occurred after luncheon and five wickets were down for 142. However, the remaining men all reached double figures. The Eton batting showed more solidity. In the opening partnership, Percival and Cazalet made 103 and, though there was little in the position at the close on Friday, Hyde and Lomax scored with such ease on the following morning that Eton finished their innings 123 runs to the good. The game became very interesting when the fifth Winchester wicket fell with the side only two runs on, but, Bower and King making a timely stand, Eton's hope of forcing a win disappeared. In the match, Hill took eleven wickets for just under 10 runs each.

WINCHESTER.

P. G. T. Kingsley c Whittaker b Cobbold	60 — c Cobbold b Hill 10
A. W. Michardson C fivne D Hill	39 — c Lomay h Hill 90
E. Shen e nyde b Hill	23 c Lomax b Hill 55
A. D. Donnam-Carter D Hill	11 - St. Lomay h Whittaker 0
C. A. L. Guise C Percival b Hill	6 — h Whittaker
M. B. S. Bower c Lomax b Hill	8 — c Whittaker b Hill 39
E. P. King Ibw, b Cobbold	22 — c Hope b Whittaker 38
C. E. Awdry c Cobbold b Hill. P. J. W. Milligan c and b Hill. P. T. Inman, Cobbold b Hill.	29 — D Whittaker 0
P. T. Inman c Cobbold b Hill-Wood	13 — h Cobbold
W. W. M. Holding not out	13 — c Hyde h Cobbold
B 1, 1-b 9, w 1, n-b 2	13 B 4, 1-b 3, n-b 1 8
	240 209

ETON.

P. V. P. Cazalet b Awdry 41 L. R. Percival b Awdry 53 H. E. H. P. C. Hope c Holding b	D. Lomax not out
Inman	Inman
H. P. Hunloke b Milligan. 17 T. A. Pilkington c Snell b Inman 43	Milligan
	363

ETON BOWLING.

Hill O Hill-Wood Cobbold Whittaker Percival	vers 22 16 16 26 9	Mdns. 6 1 3 12 3	Runs 53 46 63 39 26 Hun	Wkts. 7 1 2 0 0 loke	8 12.2 32	Mdns. 6 2 4 13 1	Runs 56 16 44 70 4	Wkts 4 0 2 4 0 0 0
			AL CLAS		0	U	TT	U

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

Awdry	39	9	116	3
Holding	24	4	62	0
Inman	36	8	97	5
Milligan	22.4	10	50	2
Kingsley	8	1	21	0

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and J. Moss.

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1877	Eton won by inns, and 121 runs
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1830	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1832	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1833	Eton won by 14 runs	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1882	Winchester won by an inns. and
1835	Eton won by five wickets		20 runs
1836	Eton won by two wickets	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1838	Winchester won by an innings	1884	Eton won by five wickets
1000	and 34 runs	1885	Drawn
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1887	Eton won by nine wickets
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1888	Drawn
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1889	Winchester won by 114 runs
1843	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1890	Drawn
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1891	Winchester won by five wkts.
1845	A Tie	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1846	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1893	Eton won by five wickets
1847	Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1849	Eton won by inns. and 27 runs	1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1850	Eton won by five wickets	1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1898	Drawn
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1900	Drawn
1854	Winchester won by three wkts.	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1856	Eton won by inns. and 4 runs	1903	Eton won by 43 runs
1857	Eton won by inns. and 31 runs	1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1905	Drawn
1859	Winchester won by three wkts.	1906	Drawn
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1861	Eton won by nine wickets	1908	Eton won by inns. and 14 runs
1862	Eton won by one wicket	1909	Drawn
1863	Eton won by inns. and 194 runs	1910	Drawn
1864	Eton won by nine wickets	1911	Eton won by 58 runs
1865	Drawn	1912	Eton won by inns. and 46 runs
1866	Eton won by ten wickets	1913	Eton won by inns. and 47 runs
1867	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1914	Drawn
1868	Eton won by eight wickets	1919	Winchester won by 69 runs
1869	Eton won by inns. and 36 runs	1920	Winchester won by 131 runs
1870	Winchester won by one wicket	1921	Eton won by seven wkts.
1871	Winchester won by 8 runs	1922	Drawn
1872	Eton won by inns. and 125 runs	1923	Drawn
1873	Eton won by five wickets	1924	Eton won by an innings and 19
1874	Eton won by inns, and 202 runs	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	runs
1875	Eton won by five wickets	1925	Drawn
1876	Eton won by inns. and 99 runs		Charles of the contract of
1010	AND THE PARTY OF T	Alle bulle	

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 92 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26, one has ended in a tie and 14 have been drawn.

The one day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at Tonbridge, Friday, Saturday, by P. N. Miller was the main feature in the wickets.	June 26, 27.—An innings of 105 victory of Tonbridge by seven
---	--

wickets.
SHERBORNE SCHOOL.
R. Eglington b Mitchell. 0 — b Saunders 7 J. K. Hill b McDermid 19 — c Mitchell b Saunders 35 J. A. Nunn c Thompson b McDermid 58 — c Roberts b Holman 8 G. C. W. Neve c Roberts b Mitchell 8 — c Thompson b McDermid 20 J. E. F. Rawlins c Thompson b McDermid 23 — c McDermid b Saunders 0 F. H. Wright lbw, b Mitchell 5 — c Mitchell b McDermid 36 K. C. P. Horsford run out 5 — run out 1 J. P. Whitham c Pott b McDermid 19 — b McDermid 12 R. V. Payne b Mitchell 13 — run out 9 S. R. Rickman not out 4 — c Pott b McDermid 8 C. M. G. Moberly c Pott b McDermid 5 — not out 2 Byes, etc 10
$\overline{176}$ 148
TONBRIDGE SCHOOL,
P. N. Miller Ibw, b Neve 3 — c Whitham b Payne 105 R. G. Saunders b Moberly 2 C. C. Lockitt st Hill b Neve 39 — b Neve 8 B. A. Roberts run out 2 — not out 18 H. W. Dods b Moberly 1 — not out 13 T. F. Mitchell b Neve 4 — c Rickman b Payne 38 A. S. G. Thompson b Moberly 2 H. M. O. Holman b Rickman 15 J. McDermid not out 30 R. D. Weller bullet 30

SEDBERGH SCHOOL v. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Sedbergh, Friday Saturday, June 26, 27.—Durham had all the best of a drawn game. A good effort by R. C. Wakefield saved Sedbergh, in whose first innings Smeddle took six wickets for 55 runs.

142

Byes, etc. 3

185

DURHAM SCHOOL

C. L. Adamson c Beer b Mitchell-Innes 113 — c Mitchell b Bishop. J. G. Askew c Beer b Skene	54
Innes	12 17 3
*305	09

* Innings declared closed.

E. T. Wright, C. F. Ready, and C. B. Cardale did not bat.

R. D. Wallers b Moberly. 0
J. R. H. Pott c Nunn b Moberly 28
Byes, etc. 16

SEDBERGH	SCHOOL
W. F. Haley b Turnbull	
W. W. B. Stoddart c Turnbull b Smeddle	1 — not out 30
R. W. Skene c Anderson b Smeddle	0 — b Turnbull 3
M. A. Wynter-Blyth c J. A. Adamson b	
Smeddle	0 — b Turnbull 0
R. C. Wakefield c Ready b Turnbull	22 — not out 82
J. D. Worthington b C. L. Adamson.	8
M. E. Mitchell-Innes b Turnbull	13
C. W. Beer lbw, b Smeddle	27
J. H. Mitchell b Smeddle	9
W. Pearson c Wright b Smeddle	4
J. M. Bishop not out	12
Byes, etc	20 Byes, etc 3
	207

OAKHAM SCHOOL v. TRENT COLLEGE.

Played at Oakham, Saturday, June 27.—Trent won with comparative ease, despite uneven batting. B. L. Bowers, for the winners, claimed six wickets for 44 runs.

ОАКНАМ	School,
M. H. Jones b Keigwin	5 — c Lang b Billington 14
P. N. C. Sinker c Savill b Keigwin	O Doming b Dillington 14
P C Prilar b Powers	9 — c Dorning b Billington 26
R. G. Ryley b Bowers	8 — not out 3
I. C. Hill lbw, b Keigwin	8 — b Keigwin 10
H. C. Bristow c and b Bowers	3 — b Billington 2
A. W. Lawrence lbw, b Bowers	0 — st Webster b Dorning 24
J. H. Fisher lbw, b Bowers	11 — run out 5
R. E. Jackson b Keigwin	3
C. H. Schwind c and b Bowers	
C. 11. Schwing Cand b bowers	8 — not out 37
D. G. Allen not out	25 — b Billington 2
W. J. B. Johnson c Sidford b Bowers	
Byes, etc	7 Byes, etc
	The second secon
	97 136
	100
TRENT Co	
P. H. Keigwin c Schwind b Hill 20	S. Billington c Ryley b Hill 0
G. L. Cragg run out 2	S. W. Sidford run out 2
H. B. Brown c Bristow b Allen. 0	H. R. Dorning b Johnson 0
C. S. Lang c and b Hill 0	B. L. Bowers not out 0
G. H. Webster lbw, b Johnson 59	
M. B. Savill c Fisher b Allen 10	Byes, etc 0
J. S. Ball c Jackson b Johnson 30	123

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL V. CHIGWELL SCHOOL.

Played at West Hampstead, Saturday, June 27.—Much superior at all points, University College declared with nine wickets down and won by an innings and 91 runs.

UNIVERSITY COL	LEGE SCHOOL.
R. B. D. Wright b Dennant 27	C. M. Colley not out 53
W. V. H. Robins c Reid b Dennant 16	W. B. G. Edwards b Dennant 0
R. M. Wilson c Waddington b Clark 19	D. A. C. Kelly b Surridge 18
D. M. Lloyd c Goldie b Papineau. 12	A. C. Moran not out 2
N. H. Trace run out 11	Byes, -etc 25
D. J. L. Stevens lbw, b Dennant 55	
L. Abrahams c Carter b Dennant 8	*246
* Innings dec	lared closed.

CHIGWELL	
J. E. W. Waddington not out	40 — c Abrahams b Trace 1
F. E. Wheeler c Colley b Abrahams	4 — lbw, b Trace 3
C. A. Clark b Abrahams	9 — st Robins b Abrahams 5
C. A. Lyon b Trace	8 — run out
E. K. Goldie b Trace	0 — lbw, b Abrahams 2
G. W. Reid b Trace	0 - c Robins b Wright 10
G. C. Skeates lbw, b Abrahams	12 — c and b Stevens 5
F. E. Carter c Wright b Moran	1 — run out 2
O. C. Papineau c Abrahams b Trace	0 — not out 5
K. C. H. Surridge b Abrahams	5 — c Moran b Abrahams 5
G. E. K. Dennant b Trace	
	4 — st Robins b Stevens 4
Byes, etc.	19 Byes, etc 10
10	02 53
and the same of th	
DOWER COLLEGE CO.	
DOVER COLLEGE v. ST. LAWR	ENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE.
Played at Dover, Saturday, June	27.—An even game ended in victory
for Dover by 17 runs.	
Dover Co	OLLEGE
G. Tchiradjian b Pollock 7	H. Springman not out 49
F. H. B. Ashby c Linnell b Perfect 1	
N. C. Fuente b Perfect 25	G. R. F. Jenney c and b Burgess 16
C. I. Deign Ham I. Desc	M. R. H. Nethersole b Perfect 5
C. L. Price lbw, b Perfect 1	G. R. B. Meredith lbw, b Perfect. 0
S. G. Tennant c Perfect b Pollock. 14	Byes, etc 5
W. M. A. Harris b Perfect 0	
J. H. Johnson b Perfect 0	123
ST. LAWRENCE CO	OLLEGE, RAMSGATE.
J. D. Taylor-Marsh b Harris 0	T. A. Burgess c Tchiradjian b
H. R. Hinge c Fuente b Harris 4	Nethersole 16
J. F. Alston b Harris 2	P. L. W. Morton b Harris 0
R. Perfect lbw, b Nethersole 12	I. B. Throndsen c and b Jenney. 2
H. J. Linnell c Johnson b Fuente 18	D. D. Delleste and D Jenney 2
A C Purposer lby b Nothernole 00	B. T. Pollock not out 1
A. C. Rumsey lbw, b Nethersole 26	Byes, etc
C. M. Carr lbw, b Jenney 12	
	106
gramming constant and the second seco	
LEYS SCHOOL v. ST.	PAUL'S SCHOOL
Played at CAMPRIDGE Saturday To	THOUS SOMEOUL.
Williah was the main feature of a law, Ji	me 27.—A splendid innings by E. T
Killick was the main feature of a drawn g	ame.
LEYS SO	
J. M. Smith c Eyles b Nash 3	H. Rocyn-Jones c Cook b Nash 8
W. S. Green c Patterson b Limmer 9	A. H. Penman c Killick b Short 20
E. R. Hargreaves b Garland-Wells 13	J. R. S. Raper not out 25
G, Carnegie-Brown b Fisher 28	L. H. Birch lbw, b Garland-Wells 14
R. G. Field c Killick b Nash 13	Byes, etc 10
J. F. Ayre c and b Limmer 57	
I. Lindsay b Fisher 10	210
ST. PAUL'S S	CHOOL
P. G. E. Nash b Raper 8	D. H. Rooke
E. T. Killick not out 92	C. J. Patterson
C. B. Cook c Carnegie-Brown b	
Penman 8	J. S. Olliff C. H. Short did not bat
H. M. Garland-Wells c Penman b	E H. SHOPE
	E. H. Limmer
Ayre 18	J. G. Fisher
F. D. C. R. Eyles not out 2	
Byes, etc 10	The second of th
-	
138	

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at Leatherhead, Saturday, June 27.—St. John's, for whom Aldous took four wickets for 35 runs, won a close game by six runs.

CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL
J. H. Cameron c Rees b Aldous 14 J. G. Mundy c Pink b Aldous 62 H. R. Heard c Rees b Bryan 21 P. G. Bamford lbw, b Yonge 17 D. H. Morrison-Jones c Richardson b Aldous 6	J. S. P. Poole c Whitaker b Pink. 21 H. J. West c Bryan b Yonge 6 H. A. Bellini not out 155 J. B. Bamford b Aldous 0 Byes, etc. 17
L. C. Flewitt run out 0 H. H. L. Lambert b Bryan 4	183
St. John's School P. R. K. Whitaker c West b Bellini 59 S. V. Lloyd-Rees c J. Bamford b Flewitt 10 G. W. Pink b Bellini 50 J. H. C. Cowgill c West b Flewitt 4 A. L. Bryan c Flewitt b Bellini 0 L. M. Leakey b Morrison-Jones 4 P. E. Jeffery c Bellini b West 28	L. LEATHERHEAD. R. U. Yonge c Lambert b West. 10 C. E. Neep c Bamford b West. 6 N. M. Aldous c Heard b Bellini 7 E. R. Richardson not out. 7 Byes, etc. 12

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM V. DENSTONE COLLEGE.

Played at BIRMINGHAM, Saturday, June 27.—Denstone won easily by 93 runs, C. W. Timmis bowling especially well.

DENSTONE R. C. Garman b Caird 7 J. Preece c Smith b Caird 23 C. W. Timmis b Caird 0 P. Bluett c Sandbach b Caird 34 H. Place b Raine 7 L. Clay c Smith b Cohen 31	H. F. Harvey c Smith b Caird 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
R. Eyre c Howell b Cohen 22	157
W. E. Sandbach c Place b Preece. 1 J. C. Neill Ibw, b Timmis. 5 R. A. Cohen b Preece. 2 A. F. Goode c Turpin b Timmis. 11 B. H. Howell c Garman b Timmis 5 D. E. Raine c Timmis b Garman. 16 E. W. Elwood run out. 7	School, Birmingham. D. O. Hougham c and b Timmis. 2 C.H. E. Lyster c Hart b Timmis. 2 L. H. Caird c Garman b Timmis. 4 A. Smith not out. 3 Byes, etc. 64

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at Bruton, Monday, June 29.—The King's school batsmen failed badly and Downside won by 74 runs.

M. J. Turnbull Ibw, b Bennett	A. E. Whitty lbw, b Tremlett 17
-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

162

Julie Scho	ool Matches.
W. A. Sharvell lbw, b Weld	R. T. Simpson c M. J. Turnbull b Arbuthnot 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL Played at GODALMING, Thursday, James (five for 34) and a stylish innings way to victory for Wellington by six CHARTERHOUSE Hon. R. N. Frankland b James. 28 J. T. Morgan st Dobson b James. 6 F. F. Faweett c Taylor b James. 0 C. E. S. Gordon b James. 91 T. A. Eccles c Lecky b David. 14 G. D. Kemp-Welch st Dobson b David. 14 C. A. Winch c Dobson b May. 5 WELLINGTON M. W. Field run out. 11 R. F. A. David c Coutts-Trotter b Sewell	July 2.—Excellent bowling by A. J. of 105 by R. F. A. David paved the wickets. SE SCHOOL, A. H. Williams b May. O. H. Sewell c Macnamera b James 4 H. M. Coutts-Trotter b May. 19 P. Huth not out. 4 Byes, etc. 10 195
OT LATE WAS ONE OF STREET	William Committee and Committe

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at CRANLEIGH, Wednesday, July 1.—Epsom won by four wickets.

The batting of both sides was preven

The batting of both sides was uneven.					
CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL.				
I. H. Cameron b J. Cotman 1	H. H. L. Lamberte Monro b Ballard 34				
J. G. Mundy c Lewis b J. Cotman 45	U I Wood lbox b D Coderson 34				
H. R. Heard c Leaning b Ballard 13	H. J. West lbw, b D. Cotman 39				
D. C. Desertand D. Dallard 13	H. A. Bellini b D. Cotman 7				
P. G. Bamford c Nankwill b Monro 11	J. B. Bamford not out 1				
D. H. Morrison-Jones b Ballard 27	Byes, etc 4				
J. S. P. Poole lbw, b Ballard 0	-,,				
L. C. Flewitt c and b J. Cotman 0					
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	182				
EPSOM	COLLEGE.				
J. S. Cotman b Bellini 33	D. L. Rhys c Bellini b Mundy 0				
W. J. Leaning c West b Morrison	Byes, etc 33				
Jones 10	Dycs, coc				
R. S. Lewis b West. 50					
P. H. Torobreill - Comment 1 TT	191				
P. H. Lambwill c Cameron b Heard 7	The state of the s				
A. K. Monro not out 33	L. A. Ballard				
D. A. Cotman c West b Flewitt. 5	E. H. Protheroe-Smith did not bat				
D. B. Campbell b Heard 20	G. L. Thomas				
Train of Heard 20	G. L. Inomas				
	The state of the s				

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE, U.S.A.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Saturday, July 4.—Haverford proved no match for Cheltenham who won by 123 runs. N.J.T. Hogg took five wickets for 22 in Haverford's first innings. HAVERFORD COLLEGE.

J. A. Silver b Hogg J. H. Hoag c Hogg b Lane	3 — c Kempster b Lane	1
D. G. Baker lbw, b Hogg. P. C. Garrett b Hogg.	2 — not out	15
H. Comfort b Hogg	0 - st Prain b Lane	0
T. C. Garrett b Lane. T. M. Logan b Hogg.	- not out	11
U. C. Pitter c Edwards b Jowett.	24 — c Lane b Jowett	0
E. L. Gordy not out M. C. Haines c Higson b Jackson	1 - lbw h Lane	1
C. Robinson b Jackson	0 — b Jackson	0
Byes, etc.	6 Byes, etc	10
	59	69
CHELTENHAM	COLLEGE.	
R. P. Edwards b Pitter 1 H. Jowett c Baker b Pitter 20	Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham b	
W. F. Kempster c Silver b Gordy 18	F. P. Lane not out.	36
G. A. Hogg run out	J. G. Jackson not out	5
N. J. T. Hogg c Hoag b Pitter 35	Byes, etc.	11
P. Higson c Comfort b Haines 27	R. L. Prain did not bat. *1	182

^{*} Innings declared closed.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at GODALMING, Saturday, July 4.—Charterhouse had to work hard before they won by two wickets. L. Clare batted finely for Westminster,

while T. A. Eccles showed good form for the winners.					
WESTMINST A. M. Shepley-Smith c Kemp-Welch b Fawcett 10 M. F. L. Haymes c Gordon b Fawcett 1 J. H. Robson c Sewell b Fawcett 4 J. A. Cook c Huth b Sewell 30 M. G. Stratford c Sewell b Coutts- Trotter 6 A. W. D. Leishman lbw, b Coutts- Trotter 1	P. J. H. Dunn c Kemp-Welch b Fawcett				
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.					
J. T. Morgan c Shepley-Smith b Cambell	R. L. Arrowsmith b Cambell 1 C. H. Sewell not out 24 H. M. Coutts-Trotter not out 1 Byes, etc. 12				

P. Huth did not bat.

J. I. Morgan c Shepley-Smith b	
Cambell	0
Hon. R. N. Frankland c Shepley-	
Smith b Dunn	3
F. F. Fawcett st Robson b Dunn	2
C. E. S. Gordon lbw, b Cambell.	21
T. A. Eccles c L. Clare b Paulson	72
G. D. Kemp-Welch st Robson b	
Cambell	5
C. A. Winch b Cambell	21
- Comport	- L

T 4 011--- 1 TF

535

BEDFORD SCHOOL V. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL

Played at good bowling of	BEDFORD, J. S. Macka	Saturday, y and C. N.	July 4. Reed ga	—Consistent ve Bedford a	batting, victory by	and the
BEDFORD SCHOOL.						

BEDFORD	SCHOOL.
R. Kellett b Fisher 12	N. F. Reed not out 36
R. D. Stewart c Cook b Olliff 27	H. K. G. Nash c and b Short 17
C. A. B. McVittie run out 12	C. A. C. Branson c Eyles b Short 1
E. F. Davison c Patterson b Fisher 5	J. S. Mackay b Fisher 4
C. N. Reed c Patterson b Short 18	Byes, etc 31
W. A. Sime b Fisher 21	
A. Norris b Short 10	194
ST. PAUL'S	SCHOOL.
P. G. E. Nash lbw. b Mackay 16	J. S. Olliff c and b Reed 12
E. T. Killick lbw. b Mackay 8	C. H. Short c Mackay b Reed 13
C. B. Cook b Davison 7	E. H. Limmer b Mackay 1
F. D. C. R. Eyles c Sime b Mackay 0	J. G. Fisher not out 0
D. H. Rooke b Reed 6	Byes, etc 5
C. J. Patterson c Branson b Reed 63	
M. K. Johnston lbw, b Davison . 4	135

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at Leatherhead, Saturday, July 4.—Splendid bowling by J. Gaffney (six for 29) and L. Ballard (four for 16) gave Epsom an easy win.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL	L. LEATHERHEAD.
P. R. K. Whitaker c Leaning b	L. M. Leakey c and b Gaffney 3
Gaffney 0	C. E. Neep b Ballard 4
S. V. Lloyd-Rees c sub, b Gaffney 13	N. M. Aldous not out 0
J. B. Sandberg b Gaffney 6	E. R.Richardson c Monro b Ballard 4
A. L. Bryan c Monro b Ballard 0	Byes, etc 1
J. H. C. Cowgill b Gaffney 14	
P. E. Jeffery c Campbell b Gaffney 0	46
R. U. Yonge c D. Cotman b Ballard 1	
EPSOM C	OTTEGE
J. S. Cotman c Bryan b Yonge 17	N. E. Thomas lbw, b Yonge 7
W. J. Leaning c Yonge b	D. Rhys b Aldous
Richardson 3	L. Ballard b Bryan 5
R. S. Lewis st Neep b Yonge 10	J. H. Gaffney not out 0
P. H. Lambwill run out 0	Byes, etc 5
A. K. Monro c Neen h Bryan 0	

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL V. ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL.

D. B. Campbell c Rees b Bryan 21 D. A. Cotman c Neep b Yonge . . 19

Played at Monkton Combe, Saturday, July 4.—An easy win for Monkton Combe

Combc.	
	OMBE SCHOOL.
J. C. Watson c Pape b Goddard 2	J. C. Walkey st Jervis b Wood 19
J. M. MacAndrew c Salmon b Wood 60	
J. H. W. James c Ward b Goddard 12	
E. J. H. Hart b Wood 54	Byes, etc 1
C. G. Reeves b Wood 6	C R Lindhard of beams & F
M. K. Watson c Jervis b Goddard 19	*227
P. E. Rodway c Dolman b	C. W. W. Elwell did not bat
Prentice 0	
*Innings of	leclared closed.

					ALLHALLOWS	SCHOOL.
T	Blew	h T	C	Wateon	16	DEO

C. J. Blew b J. C. Watson	16	D. E. O. Hayter run out 1
P. F. Nicoll c Smith b Hart	20	L. R. W. Salmon b J. C. Watson
D. C. Dolman c Gurney b Smith	6	G. S. Ward not out
T. E. H. Jervis c and b Walkey	11	H. M. Prentice b J. C. Watson (
A. F. Pape b J. C. Watson	10	Byes, etc
S. F. M. Goddard lbw, b Gurney	7	A CONTRACT DECIMAL OF THE BOOK P. C.
L. J. Wood b Gurney	1	the second to see 89

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL V. HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE.

Played at Croydon, Saturday, July 4.—Hurstpierpoint, for whom V. J. Grinstead dismissed five batsmen for 19 runs, won by 118 runs.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

G. P. Goodwin Ibw, b Piggott 20 C. J. Gage b Garnett 5 L. F. Smith b Garnett 21 H. H. Peart c Allison b Campbell. 12 E. Fielden b Grinstead. 11 F. R. Ewing st Carr b Grinstead. 24 D. H. Stack c Rhoden b Grinstead	K. H. Virley c and b Grinstead . 4 N. M. Ling b Allison

HIPSTPIEPPOINT COLLEGE

LOW, LIMITON	T COLLEGE.
C. I. Carr c Gage b Ling. 12 C. W. Yates c and b Peart. 7 V. J. Grinsted rum out. 87 E. F. Mitchell b Peart. 34 H. K. A. Denyer b Peart. 7 G. L. D. Allison c Fielden b Colven 18 L. G. Garnett b Peart. 1	J. L. Kirk c and b Ling. 13 M. D. Rhoden not out. 37 D. G. Piggott c Smith b Ling 1 J. F. A. Campbell not out 0 Byes, etc. 13 230

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, July 4.—Much admirable batting was seen in a drawn game. CRANTETON SOUDOT

CHARLEIGH	BURUUL.
P. G. Bamford lbw, b Taylor 8 J. G. Mundy b Pool 37 H. R. Heard b Taylor 61 I. H. Cameron b Carris 8 D. H. Morrison-Jones c Pool b Carris 13	H. H. L. Lambert not out. 28 H. J. West c Carris b Taylor 0 H. A. Bellini c Carris b Taylor 17 J. E. Beynon not out. 3 Byes, etc. 33
J. S. P. Poole b Taylor	*229

* Innings declared closed.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

A. P. Powell c West b Bellini	A. J. McLennan H. E. Carris E. A. Catesby J. C. Wolstenholme R. Zilka

1 work Sch	ooi maiches.	
Played at HIGHGATE, Saturday, 104 between R. W. V. Robins and J victory by six wickets. Their total led by 93 runs.	G'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY. July 4.—A first-wicket partnership of A. Carter helped Highgate towards subsequently reached 235, so that they	
XING'S SCHOOL, J. S. Dewar b Stuart. 0 0 J. A. D. Slater b Robins. 33 R. S. Mount lbw, b May 2 2 V. C. de St. Croix b May 6 6 G. B. Ince b Pilcher. 15 L. B. Andrews c Robins b Stuart 14 A. L. Hill c May b Webster 34	CANTERBURY. R. A. W. Binnie c and b Stuart. 0 J. H. Terry b Robins	
HIGHGATI		
R. W. V. Robins c Ince b Black- ledge	J. W. Luck b Blackledge 4 K. B. Moore b Terry 0 A. B. Pilcher not out 31 G. M. May b Perry 4 Byes, etc. 22 235	
	The Address of the State of the	
LEYS SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL. Played at CAMBRIDGE, Tuesday, July 7.—Despite an excellent innings by D. J. L. Stevens, University College School were beaten by 15 runs. J. R. S. Raper (five for 27) bowled with deadly effect.		
LEYS LEYS	School.	
I. Lindsay c Moran b Trace 14 W. S. Green c Abrahams b Trace 13 E. R. Hargreaves run out 10 G. Carnegie-Brown run out 8 R. G. Field lbw, b Trace 13 J. F. Ayre st Robins b Wright 3 J. M. Smith c Colley b Wright 9	A. H. Penman c Edwards b Wright 22 H. Rocyn-Jones b Trace	
University Co		
W. V. H. Robins c Carnegie- Brown b Rocyn-Jones	C. M. Colley run out	
L. Abrahams c Raper b Rocyn-Jones 0	178	
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD v. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL. Played at LEATHERHEAD, Wednesday, July 8.—St. John's won by ten wickets, but their batsmen fared badly subsequently.		
WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.		
G. P. Goodwin Ibw, b Bryan 22 E. Fielden b Richardson 6 C. J. Gage c Whitaker b Richardson 2 H. H. Peart b Bryan 8 F. R. Ewing run out 4 D. H. Stack c Neep b Aldous 6	N. M. Ling b Bryan. 17 G. F. Colven b Yonge 4 H. R. Hayes not out 0 H. E. Ling b Bryan. 0 Byes, etc. 11	
K. H. Virley c Richardson b	81	

ST. JOHN'S	SCHOOL,	LEATHERHEAD.
b Ewing	84	R. U. Yonge lbw, b Peart

P. R. K. Whitaker b Ewing 84 S. V. Lloyd-Rees c Virley b Colven 50 G. W. Pink c Virley b H. Ling 4 J. B. Sandberg b Colven 0 A. L. Bryan c Fielden b Peart 2 J. H. C. Cowgill c Virley b Peart 2	R. U. Yonge lbw, b Peart. 24 C. E. Neep c and b Ewing. 6 N. M. Aldous b Hayes. 2 E. R. Richardson not out. 0 Byes, etc. 20
P. E. Jeffery c Slack b Goodwin 0	194

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE, U.S.A.

Played at Tonbridge, Thursday, July 9.—Haverford College met with another heavy defeat. E. L. Gordy took seven Tonbridge wickets for 56 runs

HAVERFORD COLLEGE, U.S.A. J. A. Silver run out..... 9 M. C. Haines c McDermid b

J. A. Silver Itin Out. 9 P. C. Garrett run out. 37 H. Comfort c Mitchell b Saunders 22 T. C. Garrett c Saunders b McDermid. 33 D. G. Baker Ibw, b McDermid. 4 O. C. Pitter b Mitchell. 6 J. H. Hoag b McDermid. 0	M. C. Haines c McDermid b Mitchell
TONBRIDG	E SCHOOL.
P. N. Miller lbw, b Gordy 59 T. F. Mitchell b Gordy 1 C. C. Lockitt b Pitter 23 B. A. Roberts b Comfort 40 A. S. G. Thompson c and b Gordy 25 H. M. O. Holman b Comfort 19 J. McDermid c Haines b Gordy 13	S. D. Wrangham lbw, b Gordy. 8 R. G. Saunders c Silver b Gordy. 0 J. F. Scott not out. 0 J. R. H. Pott c P. Garrett b Gordy 0 Byes, etc. 38

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.

Played at Wellington, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—Only J. A. Wood in the first innings and R. F. A. David in the second, batted at all successfully for Wellington, and Haileybury gained the easiest of victories by nine wickets.

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE

WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

F. R. Macnamera c Harvey b Wennink	18 0 23 4 15 7 2
108	5

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at CLIPTON, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.—The features of a drawn game were the splendid batting of G. G. Aslett, for Clifton, and a good innings by W. F. Kempster, for Cheltenham.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

G. F. L'Estrange c Tyler b N. Hogg H. J. H. Alpass c and b N. Hogg	11 — c G. Hogg b N. Hogg 8
H. L. Carr b Lane	30 — c Jowett b Jackson 16
W. C. Carr st Tyler b Lane N. E. White c Kempster b Coates	40 — b Lane 39
A. M. Tilly c Kempster b Coates F. M. S. Tegner c Hogg b Higson H. D. W. Crebbin st Tyler b Coates	30 4 — not out 6
J. Berry not out. F. W. E. Tives b Coates Byes, etc.	1
	331 *130

* Innings declared closed.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

H. Jowett c W. Carr b Alpass	1 — st Aslett b H. Carr 25
R. P. Edwards c Aslett b W. Carr	5
G. A. Hogg c Berry b Alpass	47
W. F. Kempster c and b Tilly	80 — c Aslett b Tilly 24
P. Higson Ibw. b Alpass	0
Sir C. K. Dick-Cunyngham lbw, b Alpass	40
A. W. Tyler c Tegner b Tilly	4 — not out
F. P. Lane c Crebbin b Tilly	0
N. J. T. Hogg c Alpass b Tilly	19
P. U. Coates st Aslett b Tilly	20
J. G. Jackson not out	33
Byes, etc.	
26	32 67

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL v. WEYMOUTH COLLEGE.

Played at Honiton, Saturday, July 11.—Splendid bowling by H. F. Hodge, whose record for the match was ten wickets for 67 runs, was mainly responsible for Weymouth's win by seven wickets.

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL.
C. J. Blew c H. S. Senior b Hodge. 13 — c H. S. Senior b Hodge 45 P. F. Nicoll c and b Marshall 0 — b Hodge 21 H. D. B. Laing b Hodge 1 — c Hodge b Marshall 5 D. C. Dolman c Phillips b Hodge 0 — c H. S. Senior b Marshall 8 T. E. H. Jervis c H. S. Senior b Hodge 8 — c Marshall b Hodge 0 A. F. Pape b Hodge 0 — not out 28 S. F. M. Goddard not out 11 L. J. Wood b Hodge 8 — not out 21 B. Densham b Hodge 9 G. S. Ward b H. S. Senior 13 H. M. Prentice b H. S. Senior 6
Byes etc
Byes, etc 5
61
WEYMOUTH COLLEGE.
H. S. Senior st. Jervis h Prontice
J. H. L. FIIII DS C Pape D Prentice 6
J. H. B. Woodd b Densham
H. F. Hodge c and b Densham
The Begge C Tape b Goddard 0
J. L. T. Rogers c Pane b Goddard 24 a Danaham t D
R. W. Ewbank b Nicoll
G. L. Cook c Blew b Goddard 2
D. A. Kealman not out
Byes, etc 15 Byes, etc 6
100
100
Market State of State

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, v. KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, July 11.—A close game ended in favour of King's School by three runs. W. K. Clarke (five for 49) bowled best for the winners.

one winners.	
ST. LAWRENCE COLL	EGE. RAMSGATE
J. D. Taylor-Marsh b Clarke 22	J. A. Burgess not out 19
H. K. Hinge b Terry 24	P. L. W. Morton b Terry7
R. Periect c and b Terry 42	J. F. Alston b Clarke 3
H. J. Linnell b Clarke 29	B. T. Pollock st Andrews b Terry. 1
C. M. Carr b Terry0	Byes, etc 20
A. C. Rumsey c Blackledge b Clarke 26	
I. B. Thronsden b Clarke 0	197
KING'S SCHOOL	CANTERBURY
W. K. Clarke c Alston b Perfect. 17	L. B. Andrews not out 73
J. A. D. Slater b Pollock 9	B. C. Snell c Thronsden b Taylor-
J. S. Dewar c Rumsey b Pollock 0	Marsh 3
V. C. de St. Croix h Perfect. 20	J. H. Terry c Carr b Pollock 6
G. B. Ince b Pollock 29	A. R. Blackledge c Morton b Perfect 21
A. L. Hill C Thronsden b Perfect. 0	Byes, etc 10
R. S. Mount b Taylor-Marsh 12	
	200

2 0000 200	out 11 thornes.
Played at Uppingham Saturday	SCHOOL.
C. H. Thornly c Leedham-Green b	R. I. F. McIntosh c Reeve b
Conneller	Connolly 5
Connolly 8	R. C. Paterson not out 31
E. S. Waring c G. Dawson b	Byes, etc 11
Leedham-Green	and a second
W. K. Beisiegel not out 126	*276
S. Enderby b Leedham-Green 3	B. K. C. Toulmin did not bat
D. S. Oscroft b Firth 26	M. F. Hurdle did not bat
L. M. T. Castle c Longrigg b Coghlan 0	
*Innings de	clared closed.
Rugby	SCHOOL,
E. F. Longrigg b Toulmin 18	J. F. Connolly
E. M. Dawson b Toulmin 17	P. B. Coghlan
J. M. Monkhouse not out 59	H. L. Leedham-Green did not bat
J. S. R. Reeve b Enderby 0	F. K. Roberts
G. V. F. Dawson b Enderby 48	O. J. Firth
J. R. Crofts not out 33	
Byes, etc	THE WAR OF FRENCH STREET OF THE STREET
186	
	The second secon
R. M. Bradley b Peart 27 E. A. Stand run out 19 R. A. Stand run out 33 A. C. Bryant c and b Virley 33 A. C. Bryant c and b Ling 33 J. T. Watt c and b Peart 1 J. H. Manwaring c and b Ling 22 G. F. Page lbw, b Virley 1 WHITGIFT GRAM G. P. Goodwin c and b Robertson 38	11.—Drawn. Whitgift came very near only one batsman to be disposed of COLLEGE. R. L. Moir b Ling
C. J. Gage c Johnson b Robertson 50	G. F. F. Colven not out 13
E. Fielden b Robertson 1	W. G. K. Diplock lbw, b Bradley 1
H. H. Peart lbw, b Robertson 0 L. F. Smith lbw, b Bradley 5	H. E. Ling not out 1
L. F. Smith Ibw, b Bradley 5	Byes, etc
F. R. Ewing b Bradlev 0	
D. H. Stach b Robertson 7	129
LEYS S J. M. Smith c Behrend b Tomlinson 4 1. Lindsay b Tomlinson 4 G. Carnegie-Brown Ibw, b Cameron 40	July 11.—A drawn game Felsted
W. S. Green c Behrend b	Byes, etc 7
Hodgkinson 39	
J. F. Ayre c Hodgkinson b Gaurain 3	*248
H. Rocyn-Jones c Tomlinson b	L. H. Birch did not bat
Hodgkinson 34	
R. G. Field c Hodgkinson b	
Tomlinson 60	
* Innings dec	clared closed.

FEISTED SCHOOL

1. 1210 1 121	School.
J. G. Tomlinson c Rocyn-Jones b Penman	R. de P. Gaurain not out 0 Byes, etc 6 D. H. S. Cameron S. W. Behrend F. J. Waters did not bat

RADLEY COLLEGE V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at RADLEY, Saturday, July 11.—Drawn. L. Clare batted well for Westminster.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL	L.
--------------------	----

L. Clare c Green b Preston. 74 A. M. Shepley-Smith b Reid. 39 M. F. L. Haymes b Green. 3 J. H. Robson c Perceval b Green. 0 J. A. Cook c Prestou b Green. 9 M. G. Stratford b Reid. 6 P. J. H. Dunn b Green. 16	G. M. E. Paulson run out. 5 A. Clare lbw, b Green . 4 A. W. D. Leishman not out. 1 D. B. F. Cambell b Preston . 3 Byes, etc. 11 171
RADLEY	COLLEGE.
A. L. Stampa b Dunn 24 M. C. Perceval b Paulson 14 G. C. A. Adams run out 36 L. D. Cambridge run out 1	R. R. H. Few c Haymes b Stratford. 14 H. C. F. Harwood not out. 5 J. F. Metcalfe not out. 1

Byes, etc. 17

125

MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL V. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

J. M. Collinge Ibw, b Dunn..... 10

W. E. Preston b Paulson..... 3

Played at Bellingham, Saturday, July 11.—Merchant Taylors showed to poor advantage both in batting and bowling, Bedford winning by 221 runs. C. A. B. McVittie batted stylishly.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

R. Kellett c Walker b Wilkin 9	
	A. Norris not out
R. D. Stewart b Bywater 29	Byes, etc 29
C. A. B. McVittie not out145	2500, 000
E. F. Davison c Walker b Bywater 0	*300
C. N. Reed c Loader b Bywater 52	H, K, G, Nash
W. A. Sime c Bywater b Dothie 14	C. A. C. Branson did not bat
N. F. Reed b Bywater 1	J. S. Mackay
* Innings ded	elared closed.
Manager arm Wester	ong Carron

DIERCHANT LAY	LORS SCHOOL.
L. Bywater c Mackay b Reed 0 L. W. Turner b Reed 0 A. G. Loader c McVittie b Reed 0 D. K. Walker c and b Mackay 5 A. C. Whitcher c Mackay b Reed 14 K. M. Wilkins b Mackay 1 E. M. Greer c Sime b Mackay 16	H. D. Sleck run out. 5 D. M. Parry run out. 1 P. E. M. Catchpole st McVittle b Branson 22 J. R. Dothie not out. 3 Byes, etc. 12
	79

542 Public School Matches.
ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE. Played at West Kensington, Saturday, July 11.— Drawn. At the ciose, Dulwich were 50 runs behind with four wickets to fall.
St. Paul's School.
DULWICH COLLEGE.
G. A. Rimbault b Nash
All I was a second of the seco
MILL HILL SCHOOL v. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL. Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 15.—Only D. K. Walker for Merchant Taylors faced the bowling of H. Taylor (seven for 32) with confidence, and Mill Hill won by ten wickets, later gaining a lead of 147 runs. MERCHANT TAYLORS SCHOOL. L. Bywater lbw, b Taylor. 1 E. M. Greer lbw, b Taylor. 2 B. W. Greenup b Taylor 0 F. E. M. Catchpole lbw, b Taylor 12 E. M. Wilkins b Taylor. 2 E. M. Greer lbw, b Taylor. 2 E. M. Wilkins b Taylor. 2 E. M. Catchpole lbw, b Taylor. 1 J. W. Catchpole lbw, b Taylor. 1 J. R. Dothie b Taylor. 0 Byes, etc. 13 MILL HILL SCHOOL. H. H. Pool A. J. C. Air H. H. Pool A. J. C. Air J. C. Wolstenholme A. J. McLennan b Loader 143 A. P. Powell c and b Parry 19 Byes, etc. 29 Byes, etc. 29 A. E. C. Foster
HARROW SCHOOL HAVERBOOD COLLEGE
HARROW SCHOOL v. HAVERFORD COLLEGE, U.S.A.
Played at HARROW, Thursday, July 16.—Haverford College showed great
and the property of the control of t
HAVERFORD COLLEGE, U.S.A. P. C. Garrett c Palmer b Raphael 18 H. Comfort c Raphael b Pennefather 27 D. Baker b Raphael
O.C. Pitter c Crawley b Pennefather 14

v School. A. C. Wills G. E. Pennefather C. Clover-Brown C. M. Andreae R. H. Palmer
v. BRADFIELD COLLEGE.
July 18.—Bradfield won an exciting batsman had been dismissed at 164.
COLLEGE.
R. C. Dobson b Blagden 22 A. J. James c and b Shaw 0 A. P. Dudley-Hill st Ramsden b Hayes 19 H. C. Berens not out 4 Byes, etc 32
COLLEGE. 207
V. E. J. Wells not out. 38 R. G. Benda b David 2 C. A. Ramsden b May. 3 J. G. R. Hayes not out. 21 Byes, etc. 19
v. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL. 18.—An easy win for Whitgift. DON SCHOOL.
W. E. Radcliffe b Ling 2
L. F. P. Weeks b Peart. 1 N. de L. Dodds b Peart. 4 J. H. Mallett b Peart. 0 Byes, etc. 11 153
MMAR SCHOOL,
N. M. Ling K. H. Virley G. F. Colven H. Whitehouse

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON V. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Wednesday, July 22.—An even game ended in a draw. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL WIMPLEDON

TIME S COLLEGE SCI	100L, WIMBLEDON.
G. W. Gale run out	R. A. M. Hallam run out. 3 L. Curry c Robins b Trace. 25 A. J. Gale c and b Trace. 11 J. V. Blackman not out. 7 Byes, etc. 19 175

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL

W. V. H. Robins c Curry b Webb 12 N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens not out					
R. B. D. Wright c Downham b A. J. Gale	D. J. L. Stevens not out. R. M. Wilson b Blackman R. B. D. Wright c Downham b A. J. Gale Byes, etc	39 L. Abrahams 15 D. M. Lloyd C. M. Colley 13 D. A. C. Kelly 6 A. C. Moran W. B. G. Edwards	die	l not	bat

SEDBERGH SCHOOL V. STONYHURST COLLEGE.

Played at Sedbergh, Monday, Tuesday, July 27, 28.—Stonyhurst played better on a slow, rain-soaked pitch and won by an innings and 98 runs.

STONYHURST COLLEGE.

^{*} Innings declared closed.

SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

D. M. Gamor b Christie c Smith. 40 b Hardy 1 W. W. B. Stoddart b Hardy. 2 run out 2 W. F. Haley lbw, b Hardy. 0 - b McDowell 3 R. C. Wakefield b McDowell. 11 - run out 1 R. W. Skene b McDowell. 2 - c McDowell b H, De Caires J. D. Worthington st Hickey b Smith 1 - c Harold b Christie S. G. March c McDowell b Smith 4 - st Hickey b McDowell 3 M. A. Wynter-Blyth not out 13 - b Hardy 1	206803
C. W. Beer b Hardy	4
J. M. Bishop b Smith	2
Byes, etc 5 Byes, etc	3
Annua des	_
105_	9

63

9

40	m.c.c. muches.					
		1st 2nd inns. inns.	Result.			
July 9, Windsor	M.C.C	124 —	Lost by 28 runs.			
July 9, Perry Hill	MCC	245 — } 284 — }	Lost by 39 runs.			
July 10, Byfleet	M.C.C. BYFLEET		Won by seven wkts. *Four wkts. down.			
July 10, Dartmouth	M.C.C	306 —	Drawn. *Seven wkts. down.			

MCC Matches

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 10, 11.-Harrow had all the best of the draw in which, for the fourth consecutive year, the Schools' match ended and, in achieving that much, were entitled to some congratulation, especially considering the big batting reputation with which Eton entered into the struggle. At the same time the conclusion could not be escaped that the Harrovians failed to rise to a great opportunity. No win against Eton having attended their efforts at Lord's since the summer of 1908, the chance of gaining a victory was worth almost any risk and, as it happened, there came two occasions during the game, when a course of action different from that adopted might have led to a win. On the first day, after Harrow had put together a total of 231. Eton to the general surprise were all dismissed for 112 and so could have been made to follow on. There existed, it is true, small likelihood of Harrow again disposing of their opponents so cheaply—perhaps a danger that Eton might score heavily and set Harrow a heavy task after a long outing. On the other hand the position was not such as if the Harrow bowlers, after their capital work, were to be called upon for a further effort on the same day. Eton's innings did not end until the close of play on Friday, so the follow-on, had it been decided upon, would not have taken place until the Harrow bowlers had enjoyed a night's rest. Whatever the risks which could have attached to the sending in of Eton on Saturday, and they were, no doubt, considerable, Harrow, in refraining from so doing, certainly threw away a great chance but, had they, on going in to bat themselves, pursued reasonably vigorous methods they must still have possessed excellent prospects of victory. Again, however, and in a more palpable degree Harrow's judgment was at fault. Following upon two early disasters, they allowed Whittaker with his slow bowling to control the situation so largely that four hours and a quarter were occupied in making a score of 235. Even then Eton in the end were only saved from defeat by a remarkable partnership after five wickets had fallen, but the narrow nature of Eton's escape did not excuse Harrow's lack of enterprise.

Harrow entering into the contest with three old choices—Ford, the captain, Crawley and Andreae—while Eton had four—Cobbold, the captain, Percival, Hyde and Hunloke—had to wait fifty minutes until they could begin their innings, rain delaying the start of the match until nearly twelve o'clock. They had 41 on the board before the first wicket fell but, with half the side out, the score was only 105. Mann, however, hit well in front of the wicket, Andreae sharing in a partnership of 43, while after nine men were out Carlisle and Wormald, hitting away in refreshing fashion, added 53. So far from heading Harrow's total, Eton batted in such disappointing fashion, that they were all out in about two hours and a quarter. Raphael, a right hand slow medium bowler, ably backed up by Wormald behind the stumps, carried all before him at first, five wickets falling to him for 19 runs, and half the side being out for 39. Cobbold, making a gallant effort to retrieve these disasters, received useful support from Boscawen, who had been brought into the eleven at the last moment in place of Pilkington, but a sorry show of batting was all over before play ceased for the day. Raphael, in taking seven wickets for 30, owed much to Wormald,

who brought off six catches,

Harrow next morning soon lost Crawley and Mann, and Whittaker keeping a wonderful length, Clover-Brown and Ford found runs hard to get. Still, at length the Harrovians found themselves nearly 201 ahead with eight wickets in hand. Then eforward they should certainly have pushed along, but there was no attempt to force the runs until Raphael arrived, and hit up 54 out of 69, and after he left the scoring slowed down again until Wormald came in.

Set the prectically impossible task of making 355 in three hours and a quarter, Eton, losing two wickets for a single run, made a truly disastrous start. Again Cobbold came to the rescue of his side, playing another masterly innings, but before six o'clock, half the wickets were down and with more than an hour and a half left for play, defeat stared Eton in the face. The desperate occasion produced a splendid display on the part of Hunloke and Boscawen who, so far from being overawed by the situation, withstood the Harrow attack for an hour and a quarter, and added 115 runs, their partnership saving the game. Following the dismissal of Hunloke, two other wickets fell, but after the splendid stand, there was never any possibility of Harrow winning. No one bowled quite so well as Whittaker, a slow left hander, who commanded a remarkable accuracy of pitch. On both sides a high standard of fielding was maintained.

HARROW.
Mr. A. M. Crawley c Lomay b Cobbold 25 a Cabbald b William
Whittaker 61 — run out 0
1 . It. III. Calliste D Williager 45 - b Whitteles
MI. D. WUIMAID HOLOID.
B 1, l-b 7, n-b 1 9 B 10, l-b 1, n-b 1 12
231 235
Mr. P. V.F. Cazalet c Wormald b Raphael 0 — b Pennefather
11. 11. 1 Cleival C Wolfman D Raphael R — c Wormad h Dalmor
Mr. H. E. H. P. C. Hope c Crawley b
Raphael 9 — b Pennefather 2
Mr. R. H. Cobbold c Wormald b Raphael 42 - c Palmer b Pannefathon 65
Lord Hyde C Andreae D Raphael
mi. H. F. Hulloke e Clover-Brown b
Raphael
Mr. J. P. T. Boscawen c Carlisle b Palmer 5 — b Raphael 66
Mr. D. Lomax c Wormald b Palmer 0 - lbw b Raphael
and the first work of the state
Rapnael 9 — not out
Mi. R. C. C. Whittaker c Wormald b
Palmer
Mr. E. V. Ll. Hill not out
B 23, 1-b 2 25 B 4, 1-b 1, n-b 4 9
112
ETON BOWLING
ETON BOWLING. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs white
Hill 18 1 58 2 Overs Mdns. Runs wkts.
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs wkts

45

Hunloke

Percival 14

Hill-Wood 15

Palmer	. 16 . 6 . 5	Mdns. 9 5 1 2	Runs 19 30 24 14 And Fore Cray	7	Overs 17 15.2 10 15 3 3 4	Runs 45 49 19 39 14 25 21	Wkts. 1 3 1 3 0 0 0
	Un	pires :	J. Moss	and H.	Young.		

THON AND TARROW MATCHES

	RESULTS OF ETON .	AND H.	ARROW MATCHES
1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1875	Drawn
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs
	Harrow won by 87 runs	1877	Drawn
1823	Eton won by inns. and 33 runs	1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1879	Drawn
	Eton won by seven wickets	1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1825	Eton won by six wickets	1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1882	Drawn
1828	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1883	Drawn
1832	Harrow won by eight wickets	1884	Drawn
1833	Harrow won by 13 rung	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1834	Harrow won by 13 runs	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1890	Drawn
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1893	Eton won by nine wickets
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1894	Drawn
1843	Harrow won by 20 runs	1895	Drawn
1844	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1896	Drawn
1845	Eton won by inns. and 174 runs	1897	Drawn
1846	Eton won by inns. and 135 runs	1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1847	Eton won by nine wickets	1899	Drawn
1848	Harrow won by 41 runs	1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1849	Harrow won by 77 runs	1901	Harrow won by ten wickets
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1903	Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1904	Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1905	Drawn
1854	Harrow won by 98 runs	1906	Eton won by four wickets
1855	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1909	Drawn
1860	Drawn	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1861	Drawn	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1912	Eton won by six wickets
1863	Drawn	1913	
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1914	
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1915	
1866	Harrow won by inns. and 136	The second second	during the war
1867	Drawn runs	1919	
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	1920	
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1920	
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1921	
1871	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1923	
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1924	
1873		1925	
1874	Eton won by five wickets	, 1040	

Ninety-six matches have been played, of which Eton have won thirty-nine, Harrow thirty-five, and twenty-two have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-three three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows :-183 D. C. Boles (in 1904), Eton 112*A. W. T. Daniel(in 1860), Harrow 173 G. Wilson (in 1913), Harrow 108 C. J. Ottaway (in 1869), Eton 159 E. W. Dawson (in 1923), Eton 108 R. B. Hoare (in 1888), Harrow 152 E. Bayley (in 1841), Eton 103 L. G. Crawley (in 1921), Harrow 142 T. G. O. Cole (in 1897), Harrow 102*P. H. Stewart-Brown 137 C. H. B. Blount(in 1912), Harrow (in 1923), Harrow 135 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Harrow 101 H.C.Pilkington (in 1896), Eton 131 M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 100 E. Crawley . (in 1885), Harrow 124 J. H. Stogden (in 1895), Harrow 100 E. N. S. Crank 120 B.J.T.Bosanquet(in 1896), Eton shaw (in 1903), Eton 117 A. W. Ridley (in 1871), Eton 100*M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 114 C. P. Foley (in 1886), Eton 100 R. H. Cobbold (in 1923), Eton 113 W. F. Forbes (in 1876), Eton

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. M. C. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match

* Signifies not out.

July 11, , {	M.C.C. ROYAL NAVAL COLLEG. (CADETS)	inns. 185*		Result. Drawn. *Two wkts. down. †Eight wkts. dn. Deld.
July 11, Northwood {	M.C.C	309 161	uman.	Won by 148 runs.
July 11, Bushey {	M.C.C. BUSHEY	349* 274†	=	Drawn. *Nine wkts. dn. Deld. †Two wkts. down.
July 13, Epsom {	M.C.C. EPSOM	176 290*	=	Lost by 114 runs. *Nine wkts. down.
July 13, Cranleigh (M.C.C. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL	255* 185†	=	Prawn. *Eight wkts. dn. Deld. †Six wkts. down.

ETON RAMBLERS v. HARROW WANDERERS.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, July 13, 14.—Eton Ramblers defeated Harrow Wanderers by 180 runs. They owed much to W. W. Hill-Wood and Dawson, who put on 145 for the first wicket in eighty minutes. The Wanderers fared so badly against Haig that at the drawing of stumps they found themselves 243 runs in arrear with only two wickets to fall. So brilliantly did Falcon bat next morning that the Harrovians got to within 98 of their opponents' total. Set 296 to win, however, the Wanderers again broke down in battingthis time before the bowling of Amory.

CLIFTON V. TONBRIDGE

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, July 27, 28.—For the third year succession rain prevented this match being brought to a definite issue, even if matters were not so bad as on the occasion of the encounter in 1924. when not a ball could be bowled. So wet was the ground on Monday that play could not begin until half-past twelve and it was stopped shortly after three o'clock. Still, thanks mainly to Mitchell, Tonbridge put on 95 runs for the loss of two wickets. Next day after the conclusion of the Tonbridge innings Clifton made 63 for their first wicket and yet were all out for 21 more runs, McDermid's fast bowling proving quite deadly. When Clifton followed on half the side were out before the arrears had been cleared off, but then Aslett and Tegner hit out brilliantly

TONE	RIDGE.
Mr. T. F. Mitchell c Crebbin b Tilly 70 Mr. P. N. Miller c Tilly b Alpass 14 Mr. C. C. Lockitt c Tegner b Ives 12 Mr. J. M. Bradshaw c Keates b Alpass5 Mr. B. A. Roberts c Tilly b Alpass 41 Mr. A. S. G. Thompson c Tegner b Tilly	Mr. H. M. O'M. Holman c and b Tilly . Mr. J. F. Scott b Alpass . 1. Mr. R. G. Saunders not out

15 0

16

Mr. G. F. L'Estrai Mr. H. L. Carr b Sc Mr. R. G. Keates Mr. H. J. H. Alpas Mr. F. M. S. Tegne Mr. N. E. White nc Mr. A. M. Tilly lbw Mr. H. D. W. Creb Mr. F. W. E. Ives	Mitchell b Scott nge b McDermid b McDermid b McDermid s lbw, b Scott r b McDermid to tott t, b Mitchell bin b McDermid b McDermid	34 — c Th 0 — c Th 1 — c Th 7 — b Mc 1 — b Mi 3 — not c 4 — b Mi 2	out	itchell cDermid . itchell	. 8 . 42 . 13 . 9 . 27 . 18
		84			217
	CLIETON	BOWLING.			
0	vers Mdns. Runs		vers Mdns.	Runs V	Wkts.
Alpass	30.1 3 118	6			
Tilly	20 10 31	3		*	
W. C. Carr	4 2 4	0			
Ives	13 1 54	$\frac{1}{0}$			
L'Estrange	5 2 4 Wayners	THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.			
2511 2 11	TONBRIDGI	E BOWLING.	22 3	82	4
Mitchell		6	15 2	55	2
McDermid	2210	3	10 1	31	0
Scott		man	2 0	12	0
		nders	5 0	26	0
	Umpires : A. J. A				
	Ompires . A. J. A	concid and th	· Dimoii.		
		1st 2nd			
		inns. inns.	Re	sults.	
(35.0.0	. 216* —		rawn.	
July 28,	M.C.C		*Eight wk		Deld.
East Molesey	EAST MOLESEY	. 04 -	†Nine	wkts. dn	
	Lancard Control of the Control of th				
July 28, 29,	M.C.C			rawn.	
Guernsey	GUERNSEY	95 4	* one	wkt. do	wn.
		-100	Task b	× 66 mm	ng.
July 29,	M.C.C			y 66 rui	
Camberley	STAFF COLLEGE	194* —) *Eight	wkts. do	wii.
	35 0 0	1	TATE STATE		
July 29,	M.C.C.	·/	Aband	loned.	
East Grinstead \	EAST GRINSTEAD		A STATE OF THE STA		
	MOO	900			
July 29, 30,	M.C.C VODES AND			rawn.	
Darlington	NORTH YORKS AND SOUTH DURHAM (* Five V	vkts. do	wn.
	DOULH DUMBAN (,. M. MOO TO!	/		

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 29, 30.—There was a fine finish to this annual encounter, Mariborough, after looking to be a well-beaten side, averting defeat in most creditable fashion. Set 210 to make, the Mariburians lost seven batsmen for 90, and although Bowley and Philpott made a stand and the latter afterwards found a useful partner in Paul, the ninth went down at 127, half an hour before time. Philpott, however, continued to bat admirably and was so ably backed up by Shaw that when the end came the pair had added 46 runs and were still together. Longrigg, the left-handed batsman, who in the previous year had gained a memorable victory for Rugby with a masterly not out innings of 77, played great cricket for his scores of 90 and

89, and Leedham-Green, another left-hander, not only took eleven wickets but hit up 53 runs in less than an hour. Marlborough on Wednesday, when rain caused some interference with the game, made, thanks to Worsley and Knight, a good start on going in against Rugby's total of 242, but the drawing of stumps found them with five men out for 125. Next day Knight continued to bat steadily and well and wanted only two for his hundred when he was caught off a full pitch. A useful stand for the last wicket enabled Marlborough to get within 42 of their opponents, but Longrigg's second great display placed 'Rugby in a almost unassailable position. Following Longrigg's departure the last seven wickets fell very cheaply. For a long time in Marlborough's second innings the bowling of Leedham-Green and Firth looked certain to win the match, but then came that stout resistance that enabled Marlborough to share the honours of an interesting struggle.

R.IIGRY	
RUGBY. RUGBY. RUGBY. Street S	
242	
MARLBOROUGH.	
Mr. T. O. Worsley c Roberts b Leedham-	
Green	
ham-Green 2 — b Leedham-Green 0	
Mr. T. G. Nelson c Longrigg b Leedham-	
Green	
Green 0 — c Coghlan b Leedham-Green 12	
Mr. A. P. W. Bowley st Roberts b	
Green 4 — c G. Dawson b E. Dawson 22	
Mr. F. G. Philpott b Firth 5 — not out 61	
Mr. J. S. M. Paul c G. Dawson b Leed-	
ham-Green 4 — c Connolly b Leedham-Green 7	
Mr. R. H. Neilson not out	
Mr. D. B. Shaw c Crofts b Coghlan 24 — not out	
B 1, l-b 3, w 1	
Barrier - 그 그 그는 그는 그는 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그	
200	
MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.	
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.	
200 2 1111111	
Thornton 8 2 18 0 6 2 8 1 Harbinson 17 6 40 0 21 10 31 3	
Harbinson 17 6 40 0 21 10 31 3 Bowley 7 3 1 35 1 3 0 17 0	
Bowley 7.3 1 35 1 3 0 17 0	

23

11

Philpott

Shaw

31

			RUGBY	Bowli	NG.				
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Firth	. 21	5	43	2		17	4	47	3
Connolly	6	3	9	0		- 3	1	7	0
Leedham-Green	22	2	83	6		23	1	82	5
Coghlan	15.3	2	34	2		7	2	14	0
Longrigg	4	0	14	0					
E. M. Dawson .		0	12	0		3	0	10	1
	Um	pires:	H. But	t and '	r. At	tewell.			

M.C.C. Matches.

	RESULTS OF RUGBY AND	MARL	BOROUGH MATCHES.
1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs
1000	Lord's	1888	Abandoned. No play.
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
2000	Lord's	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1891	Drawn
	83 runs, at Oval	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
1860	Rugby won by an innings and		and 78 runs
	50 runs, at Lord's	1893	Drawn
1862	Marlborough won by an innings	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
1000	and 17 runs, at Lord's	1895	82 runs Rugby won by 70 runs
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
1864	Rugby won by an innings and 83 runs, at Islington	1000	35 runs
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
1000	Lord's	1898	Drawn
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
200.	Oval	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
	133 runs, at Rugby	1903	Drawn
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
-	Lord's	1905	Drawn
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1906 1907	Rugby won by 227 runs Rugby won by 84 runs
1001	Marlborough	1907	Marlborough won by 200 runs
1871 1872	Marlborough won by 68 runs Marlborough won by nine wkts.	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1010	runs
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
20.0	35 runs	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
	24 runs	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
1880	Rugby won by an innings and 120 runs	1918	Marlborough won by an innings and 94 runs
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1882	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1920	Drawn
1883	Drawn	1921	Drawn
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1922	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1885	Drawn	1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1886	Rugby won by 37 runs	1924	Rugby won by three wickets
		1925	Drawn
	00 11 70 1 1 . 01	737	Il boom been been

Of the 68 matches Rugby have won 34 and Marlborough 22. Eleven have been unfinished and one abandoned

July 30, Witham {	M.C.C. WITHAM	1st 2nd inns, inns. 210* — Won by two wkts. 207 — Eight wkts. down.
July 30, East Acton {	M.C.C	310* — } Won by five wkts. 309 — } *Five wkts. down.
July 30, 31, Jersey	M.C.C. JERSEY	$ \begin{array}{c} 125 80* \\ 236\dagger \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \text{Drawn.} \\ *\text{One wkt. down.} \\ \dagger \text{Ten wkts. dn. Dcld.} \end{array} $
July 31, Leatherhead	M.C.C. OLD JOHNIANS	113* — Brawn. 151† — Six wkts. down. †Four wkts. dn. Deld.

CHELTENHAM V. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 31, August 1.—Yet another Schools' match not brought to a definite issue was that between Cheltenham and Haileybury. In this instance the weather destroyed any possibility of the game being played out, rain preventing a start until nearly four o'clock on of the game being played out, rain preventing a start until nearly four o'clock on Friday and on Saturday putting an end to cricket at half-past three. Under such conditions as prevailed on the first day, Haileybury enjoyed a considerable advantage in batting first, but they seemed rather lost on the dead pitch and, with two men smartly run out, they had eight wickets down for 92, despite the care exercised by F. M. Heywood. Hall-Thompson, however, hit out in spirited fashion and in company with Johnson added 73 for the last wicket. Cheltenham began badly against Hall-Thompson, but Kempster batted pluckily and Hogg made some fine foreing extracts made some fine forcing strokes.

HAILEYBURY.

Mr. A. H. Thompson b Jowett 7	— not out
Mr. C. F. Brack run out 8	
Mr. C. S. Catlow c and b Coates 15 -	— not out 17
Mr. F. M. Heywood b Higson 25	
Mr. P. J. A. Scott run out	
Mr. C. B. M. Heywood lbw, b Lane 6	
Mr. V. S. Venables b Lane	
Mr. G. B. Harvey c Coates b Lane 16	
Mr. B. G. Wennink b Coates 20	
Mr. K. Johnson not out 25	
Mr. A. P. Hall-Thompson st Tyler b	
Coates	
B 1, w 6, n-b 6 13	L-b 1
190	26

CHELTENHAM.

 Mr. H. Jowett c Wennink b Hall-Thompson 5 Mr. A. W. Tyler b Hall-Thompson 7 Mr. W.F. Kempster b F.M. Heywood 33 Mr. P. Higson lbw, b Hall-Thompson 7 Sir C.K. Dick Cunyngham b Venables 10 Mr. R. P. Edwards b Venables . 0	Mr. D. S. Yeomans b Wennink. 8 Mr. N. J. Hogg b Wennink 33 Mr. P. U. Coates b Wennink 5 Mr. F. P. Lane not out 16 Mr. J. G. Jackson b Wennink 7 B 13, 1-b 7, w 1, n-b 1 22

CHELTENHAM BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Jackson	. 20	6	42	0		3	5	0
Jowett		6 .		1	. 0.1	0	0	0
Coates		1	23	3	-	0	90	0
Lane		6	36	3	. 5	U	20	U
Hogg		3	20 28	1				
Higson	. 9	. 1	20	1				

HAILEYBURY BOWLING.

Hall-Thompson	12	3	31	3
Wennink	14.4	1	49	4
Harvey	6	0	15	0
Venables	9	2	22	2
F. M. Heywood	6	1	14	1

Umpires: W. Smith and A. J. Atfield.

RESULTS OF CHELTENHAM AND HAILEYBURY MATCHES.

1893	Drawn		Drawn
1894	Cheltenham won by 1 run		Drawn
	Drawn	1910	Cheltenham won by an innings
	Drawn		and 3 runs
	Haileybury won by 238 runs	1911	Haileybury won by six wickets
1808	Haileybury won by seven wkts.	1912	Haileybury won by 11 runs
18004	Haileybury won by an innings	1913	Haileybury won by an innings
1000	and 45 runs		and 217 runs
1000	Haileybury won by 116 runs	1914	Drawn
1001	Haileybury won by three wkts.	1919	Cheltenham won by eight wkts
1000	Cheltenham won by 186 runs		Drawn
1002	Cheltenhain won by 49 runs	1921	Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1004	Haileybury won by an inns. and		Drawn
1904	41 runs		Drawn
100=	Cheltenham won by eight wkts.		Cheltenham wen by eight wkts.
1905	Cheltenham won by dight water.		Drawn
1906	Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1020	Diawn
1907	Cheltenham won by an innings	200	
	and 51 runs		

† Played at the Crystal Palace.

August 1, High Wycombe	M.CC	1st 2nd inns. inns. 93* — 134 —	Results. Drawn. *Six wkts. down.
August 3, Shackheath	M.C.C. BLACKHEATH	75 — }	Lost by 121 runs. *Seven wkts. down.
August 3, Slough	M.C.C. SLOUGH	141 — }	Won by 11 runs.

LORD'S SCHOOLS V. THE REST.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, August 3, 4.—Outplayed to a large extent on the Monday when they left off 191 behind with six men out, the Rest yet gained a sensational victory by six wickets. Cobbold declaring with five wickets down, in the hope of foreing a win for the Lord's Schools, set its opponents 181 to win in an hour and forty minutes. The task was, of course, most improbable of achievement but so fiercely did the Rest hit out that the runs were actually obtained with twenty minutes to spare. Mitchell, Cobbold, Longrigg and Harbinson all batted well for the Lord's Schools on the opening day whereas The Rest had only 57 on the board when their sixth wicket fell. Killick and Morgan, however, stayed together for two hours and three-quarters, adding 133 runs meanwhile. Killick exhibited wonderful patience. Left with a lead of 80, the Lord's Schools hit out vigorously and although three wickets fell for six runs Aslett and Longrigg played well and with 100 on the board came Cobbold's declaration. Toppin set The Rest a fine example which was so well followed up that runs averaged more than two a minute. Rain fell heavily before the end was reached.

LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. T. F. Mitchell (Tonbridge) c and b	
Toppin	11
Mr. A. M. Crawley (Harrow) b Welch. 6 — c Turnbull b Tew	0
Mr. E. F. Longrigg (Rugby) lbw, b Robins 23 — b Robins	23
Mr. R. H. Cobbold (Eton) c Taylor b	
Toppin 54 — b Taylor	6
Lord Hyde (Eton) c Andrews b Tew 0 — c Killick b Toppin	3
Mr. G. G. Aslett (Clifton) c Robins b	
Taylor 7 — not out	50
Mr. W. K. Harbinson (Marlborough) not	
out	
Mr. A. C. Raphael (Harrow) b Toppin 0 — c Valentine b Tew	0
Mr. A. W. Tyler (Cheltenham) b Taylor 15	
Mr. H. J. H. Alpass (Clifton) b Tew. 6	
Mr. E. V. Hill (Eton) lbw, b Welch 5	
B 31, l-b 1, w 4 36 B 5, w 1, n-b 1	7
	-
274	100
* Innings declared closed.	

THE REST.

Mr. R. W. V. Robins (Highgate) c Long-		
rigg b Hill	10 — st Tyler b Alpass	40
Mr. M. J. Turnbull (Downside) b Hill	17 — not out	10
Mr. J. A. Nunn (Sherborne) b Mitchell	3 - c Aslett b Alpass	0
Mr T. B. G. Welch (Malvern) lbw, b		
Mitchell	0 — c Hyde b Alpass	21
Mr. E. T. Killick (St. Paul's) c and b	o o ligito o mpass	-
Cobbold	82	
Mr. C. G. Toppin (Malvern) b Harbinson	3 — c Tyler b Alpass	40
Mr. B. H. Valentine (Repton) st Tyler b	5 - C Tyler b Alpass	10
Harbinson	0	
Mr. I. T. Morgan (Charterhause) 11 1	2	
Mr. J. T. Morgan (Charterhouse) lbw, b		
Mitchell		
Mr. H. Taylor (Mill Hill) lbw, b Cobbold	0	
Mr. L. B. Andrews (King's Sch. Can'bury)		
e Longrigg b Cobbold	2	
Mr. A. M. Tew (Winchester) not out	0 — not out	27
B 9, 1-b 9, w 2, n-b 3	23 B	3
7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7		_
	194	21
	The same of the sa	od

THE REST BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Welch	. 16.4	1	39	2					
Taylor	00	5	46	2		9.2	2	28	1
Robins		1	49	1		6	0	25	1
Tew	Sales Constitution	3	59	2		9	2	23	2
Morgan		2	10	. 0					
Toppin		2	35	3		5	1	17	1
		Lor	D'S SCI	HOOLS I	Bowi	ING.			
Hill	. 13	1	25	2		1	0	7	0
Alpass		9	33	0		8	0	49	4
Raphael		3	14	0		~	0	24	0
Mitchell		8	26	3		10	1	49	0
Harbinson	0	2	19	2		1	0	1	0
Cobbold	100	2	30	3		7	0	48	. 0
Longrigg	7	0	24	0					

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and W. Smith.

August 4, { Cuckfield {		1st 2nd inns. inns. 139 — 142* —	Results. Lost by three wkts. *Seven wkts. down.
August 5, Merstham {	M.C.C	164 — 96 —	Won by 68 runs
August 5, Horley	M.C.C	221* — 138† —	Prawn. *Nine wkts. dn. Dcld. †Eight wkts. down.

THE ARMY v. PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, August 5, 6.—Cricket on the Thurday being delayed until half past three and brought to a close fifty minutes later, this match had perforce to be abandoned as a draw. After Turnbull and Robins had given the Schools a capital start Baines and Armitage bowled so effectively that there were seven wickets down for 135, but Longrigg and Harbinson came to the rescue of their side. The Army on Wednesday evening lost one wicket for 22, and during the brief spell of cricket next day Wilkinson and Tudor experienced no great difficulty on the wet pitch in adding 66 runs.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Mr. M. J. Turnbull (Downside) b	
Armitage	51
Mr. R. W. V. Robins (Highgate) c	
Wilkinson b Arnold	24
Mr. T. B. G. Welch (Malvern) c	
Armitage b Baines	33
Mr. J. A. Nunn (Sherborne) c	
Wilkinson b Baines	4
Mr. R. H. Cobbold (Eton) c and b	3
Armitage	10
Mr. T. F. Mitchell (Tonbridge) c	
Battersby b Armitage	4
Mr. E. T. Killick (St. Paul's) c	-
Roberts b Baines	1
71	

U	HOOLS.	
	Mr. E. F. Longrigg (Rugby) c	
	Armitage 57	
	Mr. W. K. Harbinson (Marl-	
	borough) run out 23	
	Mr. A. W. Tyler (Cheltenham) c	
	and b Baines 3	
	Mr. H. Taylor (Mill Hill) not	
	out 0	
	B 9, 1-b 2, n-b 3 14	
	224	
	224	

THE ARMY.

Capt, A. C. Wilkinson not out 50 Capt, T. E. M. Battersby b Mitchell 12 Maj. C. L. Tudor not out	Mr. R. E. H. Hudson Mr. G. J. Bryan Capt. P. S. Whitcombe Capt. E. L. Armitage Mr. H. R. Kirkwood Mr. O. L. Roberts Mr. M. F. T. Baines Mr. F. G. Arnold	

THE ARMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Baines	. 23	6	34	4
Arnold	. 18	4	49	1
Kirkwood	. 18	1 .	35	0
Armitage	. 30.1	9	79	4
Battersby	. 6	1	13	0

PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.

Mitchell	11	2	20	1
Welch	2	1	5	0
Longrigg	2	0	12	0
Cobbold	9-	3	24	0
Robins	4	1	14	0
Taylor	2	0	11	0

Umpires: W. Smith and A. J. Atfield.

	Young Amateurs Young Professionals M.C.C. Frinton	141	inns. 106 89* }	Results. Young Professionals won by nine wkts. *One wkt. down. Won by nine wkts. *One wkt. down.
August 7, 8, Instow	M.C.C	285 230	210*	Drawn. *Five wkts. dn. Dcld. †Six wkts. down.
August 8, Bushey Park	M.C.C. TEDDINGTON			Won by 120 runs. *Eight wkts. dn. Dcld.

M.C.C. v. GENTLEMEN OF IRELAND.

Played at Lord's, Monday, Tuesday, August 10, 11.—The side placed in the field by the M.C.C. proved no match for the Gentlemen of Ireland and suffered defeat by an innings and ten runs. Lambert, the visiting captain, and Heaslip bowled steadily and well and to their efforts the decisive nature of the Irishmen's victory was mainly due. Play on the first day was cut short at half-past three when the home team had completed their innings. Heaslip on Tuesday followed up his success in bowling with a capital piece of batting in company with Kelly. The M.C.C. at their second attempt lost their first five wickets for 33 and were all out in less than an hour and a half.

AVERAGES.

The name of the Captain is marked thus †

Innings marked * are not outs.

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ivariou a			
ALDENHAM	SCHOOL	BATTING.	100	
Innings	Runs I		Not outs	Average
tT. C. Longfield 9	467	112*	1	58.38
E. D. R. Shearer 12	325	$125 \dots$	0	27.08
R. O. Webber 8	145	42	2	24.17
J. G. Potts 12	272	69	0	22.67
D. M. Cornah 5	103	41	0	20.60
C. H. S. Cobb 8	123	81*	2	20.50
L. G. Gottschalk 6	55	26*	3	18.33
C. R. Walmsley 9	134	82*	1	16.75
J. B. Pearce 10	129	32 ↔	2	16.13
S. R. C. Walmsley . 12	174	64	0	14.50
K. C. Tours 11	115	43*	3	14.38
E. H. Hawkins 12	108	25	0	9.00
	000000	r DOTTIT TA	7.0	
ALDENHAM	SCHOO!			
Overs	I aidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. C. Longfield 171	34	398	43	9.26
C. R. Walmsley 147.3	22	462	37	12.49
J. G. Potts 64	10	256	12	21.33
ALLHALLOW	S SCHO	OL BATTI	NG.	
Innings		Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
†C. J. Blew 18	577	100*	2	36.06
S. F. M. Goddard . 12	128	30	6	21.33
T. E. H. Jervis 18	340	63	0	18.88
A. F. Pape 18	318	41	1	18.70
P. F. Nicoll 17	294	85	0	17.29
D. C. Dolman 17	193	33*	2	12.87
D. E. O. Hayter 6	62	29	1	12.40
L. J. Wood 10	78	21*	2	9.75
ALLHALLOW	S SCHO	OL BOWLI	NG.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
S. F. M. Goddard . 228	50	674	51	13.21
H. M. Prentice 296	41	922	58	15.89
L. J. Wood 45	2	234	12	19.50
B. Densham 65	9	218	11	19.81
			** * O	
AMPLEFORTE	I COLLI	EGE BATT	ING.	
Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
D. E. Walker 13	408	86	0	31.38
D. R. Morgan 13	313	94	0	24.07
†A. L. Ainscough 13	199	41	0	15.30
R. A. Rapp 4	42	20	1	14.00
A. J. MacDonald . 13	138	51*	2	12.54
W. H. Lawson 11	81	23	4	11.57
T. C. Knowles 13	127	- 33	0	9.76
A. A. J. Boyle 13	114	34	1	9.50
J. H. Nelson 12	100	32	0	8.33
				2.2

Public Sche	ool Averages.
-------------	---------------

AMPLEI	ORT	H COL	LEG	E BO	wi	ING.			
Over		Maidens		Runs	,,,,	Wickets	Arronnore		
A. J. MacDonald . 193		4		466		-	Average		
T. C. Knowles 143	100	24		493		23	19.41		
F. P. J. Harrison . 120		15		460		40	20		
1.1.0. Harrison . 120		10	-1-7	400	• • •	10	28.75		
ARDIN	NGLY	COLI	EGF	BAT	TI	VG.			
Innir		Runs		ghest in		Not outs	Average		
†R. A. Robertson 10	-0-	479	LLI	185		~	47.90		
R. M. Bradley 11		271		78*			27.10		
G. F. Page 4		68		66		6	17.00		
N. W. Lansdown 10		110		43			15.71		
E. A. Stand 10		115		29			14.37		
R. L. Moir 10		121	100	43*			13.45		
J. H. Manwaring . 8	1	105		27		- 20	13.12		
A. C. Bryant 11		131	2000	33		0	11.90		
H. W. Roberts 10		110	153	39		Ŏ.	. 11.00		
ADDIA	TOTAT	COLL	TOT	DON					
ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.									
Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average		
R. M. Bradley 149.		30		370			. 13.21		
R. A. Robertson 191		39		512			. 13.47		
R. L. Moir 160		17		543		26 .	. 20.88		
BANCI	OFT	es son	1001	BAT	MIL.	TO			
Innin							A COMMON TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PARTY OF TH		
D. G. Farrow 15	gs	Runs 233	200	ghest in		Not outs	Average		
H. G. Howe 14		206		60			. 19.42		
R. H. Edgley 10			. 12-1	45			. 14.71		
E. W. B. Barnes 11		73		21*			. 12.17		
E. W. B. Barnes 11 A. F. P. Parks 15		108		31			. 12.00		
D. G. F. Bunch 15		160 144		36		0	. 10.66		
R. W. Brookes 14		119		33			. 9.60		
C. R. Fyfe		54		27 23		$\frac{1}{1}$.	. 9.15		
E. D. Jarman 10		68		39*			. 9.00 . 8.50		
		00	•••	50	• •		. 8.50		
BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.									
Overs	,	Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average		
D. H. Curtis 53.	5	12		160		13 .	. 12.31		
L. S. H. Bayes 97		21		270		19 .	. 14.21		
H. G. Howe 61.:	2	8		251		17 .	. 14.77		
E. D. Jarman 39		3		149		10 .	. 14.90		
R. W. Brookes 169.	2	29		527		35 .	. 15.06		
BEAUM	TONT	COLL	FOE	BAT	MITN	TO			
Innin		Runs					A record mo		
L. P. Le Marchand 11	go	374		thest inn		Not outs	Average		
D. L. Russell 11		403		112		1 .			
J. P. Strange 9	17 7	141		108 41		$\frac{0}{3}$.			
†G. R. Finlow 11		248		77					
C. R. Russell 11	- 13.5°	248	••	48		0 .			
G. S. Hoghton 11		174		79*		1 :	. 20.00 . 17.40		
					-	- /=:	. 17.40		
BEAUM			EGE						
Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets	Average		
H. R. Baines 28.	2	6		90		11 .	. 8.18		
G. E. Ellison 151		38		349		42 .	. 8.30		
L. P. Le Marchand 123		30		344			. 9.05		
G. R. Finlow 72.	5	20		191		16 .	. 11.62		

	BED	FOF	D	SCHOO	DL :	BATTI	NG.			
1	11	nning	8	Runs	Н	ighest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
C. A. B. McVit		12		480	1	145*		2		48.00
†C. N. Reed		12		314	0.00	71*		ī		28.55
R. Kellett		12		314		96		0		26.17
R. D. Stewart		12		285		52		. 0		23.75
H. K. G. Nash		5		86		54		1		21.50
N. F. Reed		10		155		71		2		19.37
E. F. Davison		12		220		62		0		18.33
		9		100		26*		2		14.29
W. A. Sime .									••	
M. A. Tulloch		5	• •	57		29		0		11.40
A. Norris		10		76		21*		2		9.50
										1
	BE	DFC	RD	SCH	OOL	BOW.	LIN	G.		
	0	vers		Maidens	3	Runs		Wicket	ts	Average
J. S. Mackay		158.1		28		417	-0	28		14.89
C. N Reed		181.1		33		585		34		17.20
E. F. Davison		97	10000	14		283		14		20.21
R. Kellett		80.2		7		298		13		22.92
It. Refices		00.2		7.10	• • •	200		10	- 52	
	-		7.50	n *** **	~~	TOOT			~	
BE	DFO			DERN		HOOL		TTIN		
		nning	S	Runs	H	lighest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
†B. A. Babb .		12		414		139		0		34.50
C. H. J. Ward		12		193		43		2		19.30
C. H. Williams		12		216		52		0		18.00
R. D. Cotton		12		173		81*		1		15.72
S. W. Hunter		10		. 109		36		3		15.57
H. R. Wratter		12		142		54		0		11.83
F. Richardson		12		109		30		0		9.08
J. E. Riddeho	ugh .	10		89		26		0		8.90
					-9/17 (-1)		-			
TOTAL	DTOT		350	TATATA	aar	TOOT	DOT	TIT TAT		
BE	DFOI		MU.	DERN		TOOL	BO	WLIN		
		vers		Maidens	3	Runs		Wicker	ts	Average
B. A. Babb .		122		18		354		30		11.80
J. E. Riddeho				3		256		18		14.22
	ugh .	61.5		0		400		10		14.22
R. D. Cotton		$61.5 \\ 93.5$::	19		290		19		15.26
R. D. Cotton		93.5		19		290		19		15.26

BERI	CHA	MST	ED S	CHO	OL BA	TT.	ING.		
T	nnings	3	Runs	H	ighest inr	is.	Not out	ts	Average
E. A. Flint	12		525		140		2		52.50
†L. Wilson	12		361		107		0		30.08
A. G. Skeet	4		86		58*		1		28.66
R. S. Stanier	12		202		58		0		16.83
R. W. Obbard	8		117		50		0		14.62
G. F. Carter	10		126		73.		1		14.00
O. J. Vaughan-									
Jackson	7		69		30*		1		11.50
L. R. S. Cork	7		34		12		4		11.33
P. C. Howes	6		47		27		1		9.40

BER	KHAI	T CTN	ED 8	UHU	OF P	JVV L	ING.		
	Overs		Maider	ıs	Runs	1	Wicket	ts	Average
E. A. Flint	72.5		7		250		16		15.62
L. R. S. Cork	165.5		19		526	1	22		23.90
A. J. Spratt	128		19		398		16		24.87

				~	*****	
BLI	INDELL'					
	nnings	Runs	High		Not outs	Average
E. T. Benson	10	347			. 0	
A. R. Gabe-Jones .	10	229			. 0	
H. G. Harman	10	185		54 42	. 2	
S. R. Walker	8	105 155			: î ::	
L. G. Newton I. Scott-Dalgleish .	9	145			$\dot{0}$ $\dot{0}$	16.11
G. P. M. Blackmore	3	13			. 2	
J. W. Stephens	10	89			. 2	
N. C. Phillips	10	111	les e		. 0	
C. G. Stowell	8	72		38	. 1	10.28
	The same and					
				DOTTE	TATO	
	INDELL'			BOWI		
		Maidens		Runs	Wickets	Average
	36	6		115 232		9.58 12.21
N. C. Phillips	64.3	1 23				
S. R. Walker D. A. K. W. Block.	113.5 55	9			. 20	
A. R. Gabe-Jones .		22			23	
A. It. Gabe-Jones .	150.2	44		110		20110
					The place the	
BR	IGHTON	COLL	EGE	BATT		
I	nnings	Runs	High	nest inns.		Average
†L. W. Recordon	13	429			. 3	42.90
J. H. Green	12	385			. 1	
C. de B. Barnard .	12	384		78	. 1	
W. H. A. Evans	9	129		38*	3	21.50
C. A. R. Lawrence	12	193			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.54 15.33
A. J. May R. A. de C. Lyons .	11	138 154				41.00
R. A. de U. Lyons .	11 ··· 7 ···	82	::		$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40.00
P. C. Hordern J. W. Edwards	5	51			i i	40 88
W. H. Levett	7	21			. 5	
U. H. Huntington	10	72		20	. 2	
DD:	CATTERIOR	COTT	TOTA	DOTT	TATO	
BK.	IGHTON			BOWL		4, 7, 7
		Maidens		Runs	Wickets	Average
J. H. Green	222	59			39	
W. H. A. Evans		36			. 31	
A. J. May	116	22 10			-0	00 **
J. W. Edwards L. W. Recordon	122	11		722	. 20	23.25
L. W. Recordon	122	11		100	. 20	20.20
	A 10 1 1 1 1		-	DE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
CAMPBE	LL COLI	EGE,	BEL	FAST,	BATTING	
	nnings	Runs	High	nest inns.	Not outs	Average
W. G. R. Loughery	19	501		128*	. 3	
A .McB. C. Barnett	10	247			. 1	27.44
†C. B. Mitchell	20	274			. 2	
C. C. McCreight	18	242		48	. 2	
S. L. W. Erskine A. F. T. McCammon	18	201		40*	2	
A. F. T. McCammon	15	142				
C. M. Stewart	15	142 180		33 70	mer Er comp	
J. G. M. Erskine	18 11	94			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
H. M. Magrath G. M. Jevers	11	125	-:-		2	
G. M. OCYCIS	41	4	-		10/	

CAMPDET T	OLIFOR	BELFA	ST B	OWLING	1
CAMPBELL (The state of the s	, ,	Wickets	Average
Overs	Maiden 34			56	10.23
C. B. Mitchell 212.1	54	54		36	15.08
D. M. Kyle 210.4		43		20	21.50
H. M. Magrath 142	36	10		-	
CTT I DIMEN	TIOTICE	SCHOOL	DATE	TNC	
CHARTEI				Not outs	Average
Inning		Hignes		2	33.07
F. F. Fawcett 15	430	4.0	6*	2	27.71
†J. T. Morgan 16	388		1	ī	
C. E. S. Gordon 15	356	8		1	20120
Hon. R. N.	352	5	7	1	25.14
	266		2	2	
T. A. Eccles 13 C. H. Sewell 10	144			2	
C. A. Winch 12	189	7	6	0	
R. L. Arrowsmith . 8	76	2	4	1	10.86
G. D. Kemp-Welch 11	82	2		1	8.20
G. D. Kemp-Welen					
CHARTE	RHOUSE	SCHOOL	BOW	LING.	
Overs		ns Ru	ns	Wickets	Average
H. M. Coutts-					10.10
Trotter 221.4	4 47)9	31	
C. H. Sewell 119	29		55	19	
J. T. Morgan 179.3	3 63		22	21	22.20
F. F. Fawcett 166.3	33	63	24	23	27.13
		OF TROP	T) A MIT	ITATO	
CHELTE	NHAM CO	OLLEGE	BATT		A second day
Innin	gs Runs		st inns.	Not outs	Average
R. J. Woollatt 7	257		34	1	
R. J. Woollatt 7 W. F. Kempster 14	399		30	1	00 40
F. P. Lane 12	183		57	6	00 10
G. A. Hogg 7	169		1 7	1 .	. 40.10
Sir C. K. D	201		65	1 .	. 26.45
Cunyngham 12	291	- 10 To 20	95	3 .	00 40
N. J. T. Hogg 13	0 = 1		52	0 .	00 00
H. Jowett 12	0.4		33*	3 .	04 00
o. a. oachoon	190		71	1 .	1 5 00
	140		51	0 .	
	112		31	1 .	. 11.20
A. W. Lylox					
CHELTE	NHAM CO	OLLEGE	BOW.	LING.	
Overs		ns R	uns	Wickets	Average
J. G. Jackson 103	20		71	16 .	. 16.93
P. U. Coates 80	11	2	75		
F. P. Lane 206	62		05	26 .	
N. J. T. Hogg 151	28	4	55	23 .	. 19.78
				T.O.	
CHIG	WELL SC		BATTI		
Innir			est inns.	Not outs	Average
J.E.W.Waddington 15	368		78*		
E. K. Goldie 15	290		71		
F. E. Wheeler 15	271		63*	0	. 19.35
C. A. Clark 14	209		49 .		. 14.92
†R. B. Jackson 11	153		35 .		
C. A. Lyon 15			35*		
G. C. Skeates 12			33 .		11.00
O. C. Papineau 12	82		27 .	* .	. 10.40

Public School	Averages.
---------------	-----------

CHIGWELL SCHOOL BOWLING, Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Avera K. C. H. Surridge 53.2 11 203 16 12.68 G. C. Skeates 111.5 17 424 31 13.67 R. B. Jackson 132.5 25 523 21 24.90	H. J. H. Alpass . 335.3 74 882 68 12.97 A. M. Tilly 172.2 49 475 26 18.26
CHRIST'S HOSPIT'AL SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Avera	CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinus Not outs Average
CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Rums Wickets Average of the control	rinnings of 105, not out, and 81, not out. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average H. A. Bellini 191.1 28 631 35 18.02 H. J. West 105.5 14 399 18 22.16 L. C. Flewitt 173.1 24 613 26 23.57 D. H. Morrison- Jones 99.3 14 350 13 26.92
CITY OF LONDON Innings SCHOOL BATTING. L. O. Gilliam 15 247 †G. L. M. Tippet 16 185 42 3 14.23 D. A. Wakeford 15 196 35 1 14.00 J. H. N. Hobday 11 111 25 2 12.33 B. B. Cohen 13 130 43* 1 10.83 A. A. E. Moore 16 129 31 2 9.21 J. H. Trice 10 78 36* 1 8.66 L. F. P. Weeks 10 76 20 1 8.44	DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING
CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL Overs BOWLING. W. E. Radeliffe 220 35 643 50 12.86 J. H. N. Hobday 65 15 157 12 13.08 A. A. E. Moore 205 38 551 41 13.43 M. T. McKeand 81 22 223 16 13.93	DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average J. Preece 137.5 35 353 36 9.80 P. Bluett 42 12 127 12 10.58 C. W. Timmis 147 33 424 32 13.25 L. Clay 56 14 139 10 13.90
CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highest ims. Not outs Average G. G. Aslett 17 472 82* 2 31.46 G. F. L' Estrange 17 478 74 0 28.11 H. J. Alpass 16 374 54 1 24.93 W. C. Carr 17 415 54 0 24.41 H. L. Carr 17 348 80 1 21.75 N. E. White 15 228 60 2 17.53 A. M. Tilly 12 132 30 2 13.20 F. M. S. Tegner 17 171 37* 4 13.16 H. D. W. Crebbin 11 105 30 3 13.12	DOVER COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average N. C. Fuente. 12 407 87 0 33.91 H. Springman 11 266 57 2 28.88 G. Tchiradjian 11 288 106 0 26.18 W. M. A. Harris 12 265 84* 1 24.09 18.72 M. R. H. Nethersole 8 66 47* 3 13.20 J. H. Johnson 12 141 50 1 12.81 G. R. B. Meredith 10 81 25 3 11.57 C. L. Price 12 103 44* 3 11.44 F. H. B. Ashby 10 87 34 0 8.70

ublic School	Averages.
--------------	-----------

	1 work Berook Averages.	990
DOVER COLLEGE BOWLING. M. R. H. Nethersole 1528 Maidens Runs Wickets Average	DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BOWLING.	
M. R. H. Nethersole 150.3 23 548 38 14.42	Overs mardens runts witches	Average
W. H. A. Harris 194 32 647 29 22.31	T. A. J. Walker 90.1 15 284 27	10.51 12.62
TO A TATA TO MAKE THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	H. F. Good 120 30 333 26	12.80
DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Rups Highest inns Not and	Employane processor and the complete co	
M. J. Turnbull 16 1999 Average Average	DURHAM SCHOOL BATTING.	A PARK
R. C. Arbuthnot 15 585 115 94.50	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average 38.25
C. B. Turnbull 12 205 50* 5 29.28	F. Grayrigge 13 248 56* 6	35.42
H. F. Cronin 16 376 3 26.11	J. G. Askew 12 339 94 1	30.81
Lefroy 15 050	R. W. Smeddle 12 236 113* 4 J. G. Anderson 12 285 50 2	29.50 28.50
E. H. Weld 15 105 17.20	C. D. Aarvold 12 208 64* 3	23.11
A. E. Whitty 5	J. A. Adamson 13 259 66 0	19.92 15.00
J. E. Hutton 9 . 47 . 17 . 5 . 11.75	G. I. M. Turnbull . 7 90 44 1	15.00
38 15 2 9.50	DURHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.	
DOMINION		Average
DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING.	R. W. Smeddle 226.2 71 465 42	11.07
C. B. Turnbull 129 Wickets Average	G. I. M. Turnbull . 145.5 32 406 26 J. G. Askew 164 49 396 24	15.61 16.50
J. E. Hutton 171 37 484 32 15.19	J. U. ASACW 104 40 500 24	10.50
R. C. Arbuthnot 147 . 128 . 17 . 433 . 23 . 18.82	EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BATTING.	
12 571 28 20.39	Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs	Average
DIII WIGHT COLUMN	A. A. Shaw 12 651 142* 1	59.18 29.50
DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highest into Not and	†E. M. Morres 12 354 92 0	20.50
G. A. Rimbault 14 Registration Average	J. G. Gubbins 12 199 107 0	16.58
J. W. Perts 13 376 73* 37.60	J. A. H. Whitby 11 130 38 3	16.25 11.57
T. M. P. Hughman 8 145 25.72	N. Beatson 12 131 26 0	10.91
B. J. Hurren 9 138 38 2 24.16	F. W. Binge 12 109 32 0	9.08
H. D. Hill	EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BOWLING.	
E. A. Lawrence 10 171 34 3 17.80	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average
K. H. Davison 5 30 8* 2 17.10	G. C. Morse 136 20 384 19	20.21
And the state of t	N. Beatson 80 11 309 15 E. M. Morres 205 31 755 34	20.60 22.20
DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.	E. M. MOITES 200 01 100 04	22.20
F M Woodboys Vers Maidens Runs Wickets Average	EDINBURGH ACADEMY BATTING.	
B. J. Hurren 1411 99 20 19.92	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs	Average
A. H. Bruce-	B. R. Tod 16 872 144* 3 I. A. D. Aitchison 17 537 77 1	67.07 33.50
Mitford 120.2 2 626 27 23.18	I. A. D. Aitchison . 17 537 77 1	29.20
	S. Wilson 7 67 32* 4	22.33
DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BATTING.	G. A. G. Robertson 14 180 51* 2	15.00 14.64
ta I Butt Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average	G. S. Dunnett 5 69 42 0	13.80
H. F. Good 13 · 378 · 80* · 1 · 31.50	†I. G. Gunn 11 67 14* 4	9.57
H. F. Pigott 19	EDINDUDGII AGADDIGI BOTTI TAG	
R.F. P. Morris 13 . 193 . 66 . 0 . 14.84	EDINBURGH ACADEMY BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average
T. A. J. Walker 13 160 15.91	R. Burnett 76.1 23 193 22	8.77
L. H. Townsend . 13 . 131 . 24 . 1 . 13.33	B. R. Tod 166.3 13 651 50	13.02
10,02	I. G. Gunn 185.2 36 617 38	16.23

2 dove 12 do age 1	
EMMANUEL SCHOOL BATTING.	FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Avera	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
E. W. Gomm 12 247 70* 3 27.44	J. G. Tomlinson 10 319 155* 2 39.87
†J. R. Cole) L. A. FOWEII 4 10.22
C II II Chara to 200	A. W. Skelloll 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 18.16
N. W. Taylor 3 46 28 0 15.33	R. de P. Gaurain . 7 84 59 2 16.80
H. F. W. Wickert . 10 92 37* 3 13.14	tL, A, M. Parsons 7 99 35 0 14.14
J. E. Farmer 14 170 81* 1 13.07 L. M. Rawnsley 8 87 66 1 12.42	11 20
L. M. Rawnsley 8 87 66 1 12.42 A. J. Tinker 9 98 50* 1 12.25	D. Bowle
30 1 12,23	S. W. Behrend 7 63 29 1 10.50
EMMANUEL SCHOOL BOWLING.	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Avera	
E. W. Gomm 181 39 540 47 11.48	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
C. H. H. Shaw 69 14 256 . 21 . 12.19 H. F. W. Wickert . 101 20 295 23 12.82	
H. F. W. Wickert . 101 20 295 23 12.82	5. W. Delitella 122.1 1
EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.	J. G. Tomlinson 90.1 11 329 14 23.50
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Avera	OCT TECH DAMENIC
†J. S. Cotman 12 475 95 0 39.58	FETTES COLLEGE BAILING.
R. S. Lewis 11 196 50 1 19.60	Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average 1 M Scott 16 . 415 97* 5 37.72
W. J. Leaning 13 172 39 2 15.63 D. B. Campbell 12 144 32 1 13.09	J. M. Stott 100 0 28 00
D. B. Campbell 12 144 32 1 13.09 D. A. Cotman 12 157 34 0 13.08	G. B. Hodson 6 133 78 1 26.60
P. H. Lambwill 9 102 23 1 12.75	H. L. Robertson 16 343 82* 3 20.30
	J. I. Campben 10 601
EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.	L. N. Robertson
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Avera	rige J. D. Campbell 19 228 46 4 15.20
L. A. Ballard 111.3 14 369 25 14.76 J. H. Gaffney 167 24 528 27 19.15	J. E. C. Mitchell 13 116 28* 3 11.50
J. H. Gaffney 167 24 528 27 19.15	R. Campbell 13 115 26 3 11.50 A. I. Cumming 15 124 34 4 11.27
THON COLLINGE DAMPEN	A.I. Cumming 10
ETON COLLEGE BATTING.	THE POLITICE POWITING
Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Avera	
H. E. H. P. C. Hope 16 429	200 : 10 571 51 11 10
Iord Hyde 16 410 118 1 27.33	B. Campbell 154 26 464 31 14.96
D. Lomax 7 109 52* 3 27.25	J. T. Campbell 145 22 584 34 17.17
P. V. P. Cazelet 15 345 90* 2 26.53 J. P. T. Boscawen 7 154 65 1 25.66	V W L Stanion 150 12 459 20 17.05
H. P. Hunloke 13 177 45 2 16,09	
R. C. C. Whittaker 7 60 29 3 15.00	FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.
L. R. Percival 14 156 53 0 11.14 C. K. H. Hill-Wood 13 85 14 2 7.72	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
C. K. H. Hill-Wood 13 85 14 2 7.72 E. V. L. Hill 5 17 9 1 4.25	J. A. Seagram 9 173
Also batted:—	J. M. Dall 9 172 9
T. A. Pilkington 3 138 94 0 46.00	C. R. Elwis 9 167 33 0 18.55 M. F. Hignett 9 137 43 0 15.22
Annual Control of the	†N. D. Jekvil 5 67 34 0 13.40
ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.	R. C. C. Vine 9 112 60 0 12.44
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	
R. C. C. Whittaker 175	
E. V. L. Hill 145	
R. H. Cobbold 234	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
C. K. H. Hill-Wood 180 42 455 15 30.33	C. W. Rice 125 22 308 32 11.30
H. P. Hunloke 35 2 174 4 43.50	

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.									
The state of the s	Inning		Runs		ighest in				A MONO CO-
R. V. Howard	15	50	471		.114				Average 31.40
E. R. Milner-Moore			343		76*	::			24.50
†C. W. Soar	15		207		44		-		13.80
W. D. Snushall	5			1000	20*	• • •			13.75
V. G. Bromage	15				57		1		13.35
K. D. Paine	11		108		41	::			10.80
D. B. Ricks	10		86		20				9.55
D. A. Peace	9		64		20	::			9.14
		170	0.1		20		4		3,14
TATO A A	AT TAT	OTT	135 00	TTT	OF P				
FIVAL	Overs	GHA	AM CO Maidens	LLE	GE B	UW	Wicket		Average
K. D. Paine	122.3		23	0150	333		36		9.25
C. W. Soar	150				459		44		10.43
R. V. Howard	150		22		464		40	.:	11.60
					101		10		11.00
OTO	OT TO	~~~~	~~~						
			CK SC						
A R Winner	Inning		Runs	H	ighest inr		Not ou	555	Average
A. B. Winney †J. Moore	13		433		127		2		39.36
J. M. J. Ashcroft	13		379		102		2		34.45
R. Cartwright		• •	186		53		1		23.25
J. W. W. Bather	12		223		106		2		22.30
G S Simpson	12		230		47		0		19.16
J. W. W. Bather G. S. Simpson C. E. Walker	6		52		17		0 1		10.40
C. E. Walker	. 7		51		16*		1		8.50
O.T.	1000					-			
	ASG		ACAD						
	nnings	3	Runs		ghest inn	s.	Not out		Average
†J. W. R. Murray	nnings 12	3	Runs 168	Hi	ghest inn 37	s.	Not out		15.27
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie	innings 12 13		Runs 168 117	Hi	ghest inn 37 41*	s. 	Not out		15.27 11.70
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles	nnings 12 13		Runs 168 117	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28*	s. 	Not out	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15.27 11.70 10.00
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé	Innings 12 13 9 12		Runs 168 117 80 119	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43	s. 	Not out 1 3 1 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles	Innings 12 13 9 12		Runs 168 117	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28*	s. 	Not out	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15.27 11.70 10.00
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé	Innings 12 13 9 12 13	3 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22	s. 	Not out 1 3 1 0 3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO	3 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACAD	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW	s LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs	3 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs	s LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 3 WG.		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GLA K. I. Macronan	12 13 9 12 13 13 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2	3 OW	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20	Hi EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237	s LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé	12 13 9 12 13 13 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2	3 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI	Hi EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs	s LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 3 Wickets		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GL K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles	12 13 9 12 13 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3	3 .:. .:. oW .:.	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26	Hi EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248	s LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 VG. Wickets 33 33		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GL K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII	12 13 9 12 13 13 ASGO Overs 93,2 100,3	W :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI	Hi EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248	s LIN TT]	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 VG. Wickets 33 33	3	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GLA K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 LEYB nnings	W :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs	Hi CHY LEG	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 E BA ghest inn	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out	3	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GL K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 LEYB nnings	oW	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500	EMY LEG High	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 E BA	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2	3	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé GLz K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart	ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 LEYB mnings	ow ::: ::: ::: ::: :::	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244	Hi EMY LEG Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 EE BA ghest inn 115 97	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 3 VG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Hevwood	ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 LEYB mnings 7 13	oW	Runs 168 117 80 119 9 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369	Hi EMY LEG Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 ÆE BA ghest inn 115 97 75	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 0	 	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé GLa K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 EYB mnings 15 7 13	W 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305	EMY LEG Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 **E BA ghest inn 115 75 108*	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 2	3 	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé GLA K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables H. Thompson	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 	W BUR 	Runs 168 117 80 119 9 9 26	EMY LEG High	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 EE BA ghest inn 115 97 75* 108*	LIN TTT	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 0 2 1		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé C. J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables A. H. Thompson C. F. Brack	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 	W BUR	Runs 168 1117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305 309 293	EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 4E BA ghest inn 115 97 75 108* 77 555	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 2 1 0	33 ss	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75 24.41
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé GLa K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables A. H. Thompson C. F. Brack P. J. A. Scott	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 	W BUR	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305 309 293 216	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 E BA ghest inn 115 97 75 108* 77 555	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 0 2 1 0 1		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75 24.41 21.60
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables A. H. Thompson G. F. Brack P. J. A. Scott C. B. M. Revy	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 15 7 13 13 13 13	W BUR	Runs 168 1117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305 309 293	EMY	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 4E BA ghest inn 115 97 75 108* 77 555	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 2 1 0	33 ss	15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75 24.41
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé GLA K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables A. H. Thompson C. F. Brack P. J. A. Scott C. B. Harvey A. P. Hall-	Innings 12 13 9 12 13 13 13 12 11 6	W SUR 	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305 305 309 293 216 130	Hi EMY LEG Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 4E BA ghest inn 115 97 75 108* 77 55 67	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 0 2 1 0 0 1 0		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75 24.41 21.60 21.66
†J. W. R. Murray J. R. Fergie J. R. Peebles P. G. Solbé E. U. G. Solbé K. I. Macronan J. R. Peebles HAII C. S. Catlow F. W. Stewart C. B. M. Heywood V. S. Venables A. H. Thompson G. F. Brack P. J. A. Scott C. B. M. Revy	ASGO Overs 93.2 100.3 	W BUR	Runs 168 117 80 119 90 ACADI Maidens 20 26 Y COI Runs 500 244 369 305 309 293 216	Hi	ghest inn 37 41* 28* 43 22 BOW Runs 237 248 E BA ghest inn 115 97 75 108* 77 555	LIN	Not out 1 3 1 0 3 NG. Wickets 33 33 ING. Not out 2 0 0 2 1 0 1		15.27 11.70 10.00 9.91 9.00 Average 7.18 7.51 Average 38.46 34.85 28.38 27.72 25.75 24.41 21.60

HA	AILEYBUF	RY COI	LLE	E BC	WL	ING.		
	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs		Wicket	3	Average
C. F. Brack		9		96		11		8.72
B. G. Wennink		33		666		37		18.00
A. P. Hall-		The Day						
Thompson	103	23		290		16		18.12
V. S. Venables	177	32		574		31		18.51
C. B. Harvey	70	7		254	S. L.	12		21.16
C. B. Harvey				NAME OF THE PARTY				
	HARROW	V SCH	OT.	BATT	ING	1		
			100				Spirit in	1
	Innings	Runs	Н	ighest in		Not out		Average
A. M. Crawley		527		127		5		52.70 42.20
A. C. L. Wills		211		103*		-		
D. Wormald		83		28		110		34.90
C. Clover Brown		384		100				27.00
E. W. E. Mann.	8	216		80 66*				26.63
†N. M. Ford		293		35*	• •			18.85
C. M. Andreae		132				-		18.25
R. R. M. Carlisle	4			45			1:	18.22
G. E. Pennefathe		164		37 54		1		16.66
A. C. Raphael		150				0		2.00
R. H. Palmer	5	10		5	• •	U		2.00
	TADDON	COTTO	TO	BOWI	TMO	4		
	HARROW					x. Wicket		A TTOMO GO
	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs				Average
A. C. Raphael	204.4	43	• •	622		34		18.29
A. C. L. Wills		34		407		18		22.61
G. E. Pennefathe		11		314				28.36
C. M. Andreae		6		154		5		31.89
R. H. Palmer	194.1	41		606		19		31.89
	TEDIOT	S SCH	TOO	BATT	TNC	1		
	HERIOT'		OOT					A wromp go
	Innings	Runs	н	ighest in			S	Average 19.76
†C. G. Brand	13	257		89		0 3		16.53
D. C. Giffen	16	215	• •	41		2		10.93
F. S. Fiddes		164		57*	••	3		10.93
A. W. Millar	17	150		26		9		10.71
	100							
	TEDIOM	S SCH	TOC	BOW	TN	a		
	HERIOT'S						~	Average
	Overs	Maiden		Runs		Wicket 39		8.33
J. R. McDougall	124	19	• •	325 518		57		9.08
D. C. Giffen	147.4					18		10.50
C. G. Brand	79	10	• •	189		10		10.50
	TICHOAT	ID SUD	TOOT	BATT	TIN	G		
	HIGHGAT		1001				e	Average
	Innings	Runs	В	ighest in	ns.	Not ou		Average
†R. W. V. Robins	Innings 14	Runs 816	В	ighest in 206	ns.	Not ou		62.76
J. A. Carter	Innings 14 13	Runs 816 510	 	ighest in 206 109	ns.	Not ou	::	62.76 39.23
J. A. Carter R. L. Clarke	Innings 14	Runs 816 510 265	 	206 109 59*	ns.	Not ou		62.76 39.23 29.44
J. A. Carter R. L. Clarke R. L. Stuart	Innings 14 13	Runs 816 510 265 308	 	206 109 59* 104*	ns.	Not out 0 1 0 3 1	••	62.76 39.23 29.44 23.69
J. A. Carter R. L. Clarke R. L. Stuart C. O. M. Morris	Innings . 14	Runs 816 510 265 308 231	 	206 109 59* 104* 81	ns.	Not out 0 0 3 1 0		62.76 39.23 29.44 23.69 17.76
J. A. Carter R. L. Clarke R. L. Stuart C. O. M. Morris J. W. Luck	Innings	Runs 816 510 265 308 231 103	 	109 59* 104* 81 55	ns.	Not out 0 3 3 1 0 4		62.76 39.23 29.44 23.69 17.76 17.16
J. A. Carter R. L. Clarke R. L. Stuart C. O. M. Morris	Innings 14 13 12 14 13 10 11	Runs 816 510 265 308 231 103	 	206 109 59* 104* 81	ns.	Not out 0 0 3 1 0		62.76 39.23 29.44 23.69 17.76

whlic	School	Averages.

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.	KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average
R. W. V. Robins 258.5 38 911 60 15.18	W. A. Sharvell 16 469 113* 1 31.26
G. M. May	H. D. Burrough 16 372 105* 1 24.80
R. L. Stuart 130 25 400 17 23.52	C. A. Burrough
HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BATTING.	H. D. Beale 15 232 54 2 17.84 †E. B. M. Tremlett . 16 253 59 1 16.86
Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average	G. M. Bennett 15 218 39 0 15.57
V. J. Grinsted 11 389 87 0 35.36	D. A. Halahan 8 75 19 1 10.71
†G. L. D. Allison 9 .: 237 102* 2 33.85	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY DOLLAR TAKEN
N. M. Porter 6 167 91 0 27.83 M. D. Rhoden 6 96 37* . 2 . 24.00	KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.
C T Com	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. G. Napper 119.1 16 438 26 16.84
E. F. Mitchell 11 219 50 0 19.90 E. F. Mitchell 11 167 36 2 18.55	C. G. Napper 119.1 16 438 26 16.84 E. B. M. Tremlett . 219 45 682 37 18.43
C. W. Yates 10 162 66 0 16.20	T. E. Smyth 70.2 9 233 12 19.41
L. G. Garnett 9 115 27 1 14.37	F. L. Henzell 109 20 375 16 24.43
J. L. Kirk 8 71	G. M. Bennett 165.4 19 671 21 31.95
F. E. Woods 3 33 29 0 11.00 H. K. A. Denyer 10 76 30 1 8.44	TITIONS COTTO OF CANDED DIDLY DAMNING
	KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING. Innings Highestinus, Not outs Average
HURSTPIERPOINT COLLEGE BOWLING.	7 7 1 2 20 50
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	L. B. Andrews 16
V. J. Grinsted 84 12 310 26 11.92	G. B. Ince 16 309 39 0 19.31
G. L. D. Allison 62 12	J. A. D. Slater 16 298 48 0 18.62
D. G. Piggott 127 20 440 21 20.95	†V. C. de St. Croix 15 268 60 0 17.86 J. S. Dewar 15 192 38 0 12.80
	J. S. Dewar
KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BATTING.	A. L. Hill
Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average	R. S. Mount 15 163 56 0 19.86
E. W. Elwood 13 207 122* 5 25.87	B. C. Snell
A. F. Goode 8 176 109* 1 25.14 W. E. Sandbach 13 252 57 1 21,00	J. H. Terry 13 90 21 0 6.95
A. Smith 5 34 16* 3 17.00	KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.
D. R. Dudley 5 79 54 0 15.80	KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
H. F. Raybone 12 143 39 1 13.00	J. H. Terry 255.1 65 656 45 14.57
L. H. Caird	J. S. Dewar 171.3 37 549 36 15.25
R. A. Cohen 13 125 26 0 961	W. K. Clarke 124.4 21 375 20 18.75
J. C. Neill 7 55 27* 1 9.16	A. R. Blackledge . 130.3 24 409 20 20.45
D. E. Raine 14 117 34 1 9.00	TANGING COLLINGE DAMINING
KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BOWLING.	LANCING COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	Innings Runs Highest inns, Not outs Average N. L. de Garston 6 216 73 0 36.00
L. H. Caird 180 32 549 37 14,83	†B. Brooke 8 201 102* 1 28.71
R. A. Cohen 164,2 30 474 30 15,80	G. W. Shaw 10 220 44* 2 27.50
E. W. Elwood 58.2 16 162 10 16.20	J. R. Willis 8 156 40* 2 26.00 A. B. D'A Mount . 6 114 32 1 22.80
D. E. Raine 113.3 15 370 20 18.50	A. R. D'A. Mount . 6 114 32 1 22.80 D. O'Neill 6 107 32* 1 21.40
KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BATTING.	C. G. F. Bewsey 6 63 18* 2 15.75
Innings Runs Highestinns, Not outs Average	A. Bradford 9 124 29* 1 15.50
E. F. Hughes 16 538 82 3 41,38	H. H. Philips 10 101 34* 1 11.22
P. R. Wood 16 380 76* 3 29.23	A. N. Luxmore
G. W. Gale 16 370 126 0 23.12 B. Boxall 16 292 48 1 19.46	р. доцон
B. Boxall	LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.
KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BOWLING.	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	C. G. F. Bewsey . 139.1 24 433 25 17.32
K. E. R. Webb 241.3 56 728 56 13.00	J. H. Cook 58.3 10 202 11 18.36
†J. V. Blackman 238,2 63 603 42 14,35	G. W. Shaw 139.2 23 458 15 30.53

ublic	School	Averages.
MODER	201000	ZIVEI WYCO.

T	TYPE COTTO	T DATETAL	a	
	EYS SCHOOL			
	ings Runs			Average
†G. Carnegie-Brown 1	8 570	87	0 .	
	1 225	54*	3 .	
J. R. S. Raper 1		35	4 .	
I. Lindsay 1	7 363	101*	2 .	
	9 353	60	3 .	
H. Rocyn-Jones 1		49		. 21.83
	8 346	82	2 .	. 21.62
A. H. Penman 1	5 139	32	8 .	. 19.85
	. 187	51		. 15.58
J. M. Smith 1	7 211	70	0 .	. 12.41
Control of the Contro			The state of	
L	EYS SCHOOL			
Ove	ers Maider	s Runs	Wickets	Average
H. Rocyn-Jones 15		428	23 .	. 18.60
A. H. Penman 21	9.2 17	812	43 .	. 18.88
J. R. S. Raper 26	2.4 40	808	41 .	. 19.70
LOT	RETTO SCH	OOL BATT	ING	
	ings Runs		The state of the s	Average
1.73 CV FF 1	0 005			04 00
	0 000	= 0		40.00
H H Dobson	0 50	0.4*		41.00
	6 56			10.04
	5 180	52		. 13.84
D. I. Brown 1	6 215	44		. 13.43
K. L. Macfie 1	5 144	24		. 12.00
	5 165	40		. 11.78
G. H. C. Mackay	6 70	17		. 11.66
	6 70 15 128	17		9.14
W. J. McCulloch 1	15 128	33	1 ,	0 - 1
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF	RETTO SCH	OOL BOWL	1 ING.	9.14
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF	RETTO SCH ers Maider	OOL BOWL	ING. Wickets	. 9.14 Average
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF Ov. W. Nicholson 10	RETTO SCH ers Maider	OOL BOWL	1 ING.	9.14
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF Ov. W. Nicholson 10	RETTO SCH ers Maider	OOL BOWL Runs	ING. Wickets	. 9.14 Average
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor	RETTO SCH ers Maider 55.2 17 94.3 23 10.5 60	OOL BOWL Runs 293	ING. Wickets 30 18	. 9.14 Average 9.76
W. J. McCulloch . 1 LOF Ov. W. Nicholson . 10 E. G. Taylor 9	RETTO SCH ers Maider 55.2 17 94.3 23 10.5 60	OOL BOWL Runs 293 227	1	Average 9.76 12.61
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor	RETTO SCH ers Maider 55.2 17 94.3 23 10.5 60	OOL BOWL ns Runs 293 227 495	1	Average 9.76 . 12.61 . 13.75
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor 9 A. D. Baxter 20 T. D. Sanderson 13	XETTO SCH ers Maider 15,2 17 14,3 23 10,5 60 13,4 35	OOL BOWL as Runs 293 227 495 361	1	Average 9.76 . 12.61 . 13.75
W. J. McCulloch	RETTO SCH ers Maider 15,2 17 44.3 23 10.5 60 13.4 35 WERN COL	OOL BOWL as Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT	1	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF Ov. W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor	ETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 . 17 94.3 . 23 0.5 . 60 13.4 . 35 VERN COL ings Runs	OOL BOWL Is Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BATT Highest inn	1 Wickets 30 18 36 21 21 PING Not outs	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19
W. J. McCulloch 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor	RETTO SCH ers Maider 15.2 17 44.3 23 00.5 60 13.4 35 VERN COLL ings Runs 4 664	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BATT Highest inn 166*	1 Wickets 30 18 36 21 21 PINGs. Not outs 2	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33
W. J. McCulloch	ETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 17 4.3 23 0.5 60 3.4 35 WERN COL ings Runs 4 664 4 688	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104	1 Wickets 30 18 36 21 21 PING. s. Not outs 2 0	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14
W. J. McCulloch	ETTO SCH ers Maider 15.2 17 44.3 23 10.5 60 13.4 35 VERN COL ings Runs 4 664 14 688 9 360	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT' Highest inn 160* 104 113	1 1NG Wickets 30 18 36 21 PING. s. Not outs 2 0 0	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00
W. J. McCulloch	5	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104 113 52	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00
W. J. McCulloch	ETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 17 44.3 23 00.5 60 13.4 35 VVERN COL ings Runs 4 664 4 688 9 360 13 155 14 210	OOL BOWL as Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT' Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 PING. Not outs 2 0 0 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09
W. J. McCulloch	5	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 62	ING. Wickets 30 18 21 FING. S. Not outs 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 5 3 5 3 6 3 7 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35
W. J. McCulloch	ETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 17 4.3 23 0.5 60 3.4 35 WERN COL ings Runs 4 664 4 688 9 360 3 155 4 219 4 219 4 229 4 142	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BATT Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 62 42	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 2 0 0 8 3 0 1	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20
LOF	ETTO SCH ers Maider 15,2 17 14.3 23 10.5 60 13.4 35 WERN COL lings Runs 4 664 14 688 9 360 13 155 14 229 14 229 11 142	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT' Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 62 42 34	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. Not outs 2 0 8 3 0 1 0	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50
W. J. McCulloch 1	Table Tabl	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 42 42 42 44 78	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8 3 0 1 0 0	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20
LOF	Table Tabl	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 42 42 42 42 78 22	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8 3 0 1 0 0 2	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00
LOF	RETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 17 4.3 23 00.5 60 33.4 35 WERN COL ings Runs 4 664 4 664 4 688 9 360 3 155 4 210 4 220 11 142 12 162 12 162 12 112 WERN COL	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 104 113 52 42 62 42 34 78 22 LEGE BOW	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8 3 0 1 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 2 1	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00
W. J. McCulloch . 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor 9 A. D. Baxter 20 T. D. Sanderson 13 MAL †T. B. G. Welch Inn †C. G. Toppin 1 R. S. Smeeth W. H. Bradshaw 1 A. O. Mordaunt 1 R. D. Godfrey 1 J. L. Battersby 1 S. S. Fielden 1 F. E. Blackstone 1 MAL	Text Text	OOL BOWL Is Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT' Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 62 42 34 78 22 LEGE BOW Runs	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 PING. S. Not outs 2 0 0 8 3 1 1 1 0 0 1 LING. Wickets	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00 11.20 Average
W. J. McCulloch . 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor 9 A. D. Baxter 20 T. D. Sanderson 13 MAL †T. B. G. Welch 1 C. G. Toppin 1 R. S. Smeeth W. H. Bradshaw 1 A. O. Mordaunt 1 R. D. Godfrey 1 J. L. Battersby 1 S. S. Fielden 1 F. E. Blackstone 1 MAL Ov.	RETTO SCH ers Maider 5.2 17 4.3 23 00.5 60 33.4 35 WERN COL ings Runs 4 664 4 664 4 688 9 360 3 155 4 210 4 220 11 142 12 162 12 162 12 112 WERN COL	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 104 113 52 42 62 42 34 78 22 LEGE BOW	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8 3 0 1 0 2 1 LING. Wickets 14	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00 11.20 Average
W. J. McCulloch . 1 LOF Ov. W. Nicholson	Test	OOL BOWL Is Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT' Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 62 42 34 78 22 LEGE BOW Runs	### A Property of the control of the	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00 11.20 Average
W. J. McCulloch . 1 LOF W. Nicholson 10 E. G. Taylor 9 A. D. Baxter 20 T. D. Sanderson 13 MAL †T. B. G. Welch Inn †C. G. Toppin 1 R. S. Smeeth W. H. Bradshaw 1 A. O. Mordaunt 1 R. D. Godfrey 1 J. L. Battersby 1 S. S. Fielden 1 F. E. Blackstone 1 MAL	Test	OOL BOWL IS Runs 293 227 495 361 LEGE BAT: Highest inn 160* 104 113 52 42 42 42 42 24 24 22 42 34 78 22 LEGE BOW Runs	ING. Wickets 30 18 36 21 FING. s. Not outs 0 0 8 3 0 1 0 2 1 LING. Wickets 14	Average 9.76 12.61 13.75 17.19 Average 55.33 49.14 40.00 31.00 19.09 16.35 14.20 13.50 13.00 11.20 Average

MARLBOR	OUG	H CO	LL	EGE 4B	ATT	ring.		
Innings		Runs		lighest inn		Not outs		Average
F. G. Philpott 13		376		61*		1		31.33
tW. K. Harbinson . 15		399		86		1		28.50
S. A. Block 15		348		105		1		24.85
H. G. Knight 15		351		98		0		23.40
A. P. W. Bowley 14		250		61		3		22.72
D. B. Shaw 6		68		24*		3		22.66
R. H. Neilson 14		147		57		7		21.00
M. H. Thornton 13		271		100		0		20.84
T. C. Worsley 15 T. G. Nelson 6		254		46		0		16.93
T. G. Nelson 6		59		20		0		9.83
J. S. M. Paul 15		126		25*		1		9.00
1380L								
MARLBOR	OUG	H CO	LL	EGE B	ow	LING.		
Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
R. H. Neilson 172		56		453		26		17.42
H. G. Knight 151.1		28		482		26		18.53
W. K. Harbinson . 182.5		51		568		28		20.28
A. P. W. Bowley 110		23		470	3.	20		23.50
M. H. Thornton 152.1		33		399		16		24.93
A STATE OF THE STA			name Assessment of		-			
MERCHANI	TA	YLOR	SS	CHOOL	B.	ATTIN	G.	
Inning		Runs	F	lighest inn	ns.	Not out	3	Average
L. Bywater 13		307		69*	5 6	2		27.90
D. K. Walker 11		262		59		0		23.81
L. W. T. Turner 13 K. M. Wilkins 12		231		81		0		17.76
K. M. Wilkins 12		151		55		0		12.58
D. M. Parry 9		88		27*		2		12.57
A. C. Whitcher 12	Marin's	147		32		0		12.25
A. C. Whitcher 12 †A. G. Loader 13		144		67		1		12.00
B. W. Greenup 10		117		52		0		11.70
H, D, Sleck 4		27		15		1		9.00
E. M. Greer 12		96		23		1		8.72
P. E. M. Catchpole 11		77		22		2		8.55
MERCHANT	TA	YLORS	SC	CHOOL	BO	WLING	7.	
Overs		Maidens	1	Runs		Wickets	,	Average
K. M. Wilkins 203		56		519		40		12.97
L. Bywater 155		22		569		30		18.96
B. W. Greenup 81	1000	13		257		12		21.41
A. G. Loader 125		12		437		19		23.00
			- 0	/ 1-				
MERCHISTO			7 0	TOOTTO	-	A PERFECT A	TO	
MINIOTINI	N (CASTLI		CHOOL	B	ATTIN	G.	
						ATTIN Not out		Average
Inning		Runs 462		Highest in 89				Average 27.17
†W. L. Milligan 20		Runs		Highest in	ns.	Not out	8	
†W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19	(S	Runs 462	1	Highest in 89	ns.	Not out	8	27.17
Inning †W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20		Runs 462 406		Highest in 89 67	ns.	Not out	s 	27.17 27.06
†W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20 J. O. Grieve 19	gs 	Runs 462 406 361		Highest in 89 67 67	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00
Inning †W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20 J. O. Grieve 19 H. L. Duke 14	\$s	Runs 462 406 361 298		Highest in 89 67 67 151*	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55
†W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20 J. O. Grieve 19 H. L. Duke 14 W. R. Logan 8	(S	Runs 462 406 361 298 175		Highest in 89 67 67 151* 37	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 1 2 2 2	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58
†W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20 J. O. Grieve 19 H. L. Duke 14 W. R. Logan 8 J. W. Morton 5	\$s	Runs 462 406 361 298 175 67		Highest in 89 67 67 151* 37 36*	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2 2 0 0	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58 11.16
Inning	(s	Runs 462 406 361 298 175 67 46		Highest in: 89 67 67 151* 37 36* 25	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2 2 0 2 0 2	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58 11.16 9.20
†W. L. Milligan 20 H. J. Sinclair 19 P. Wilson 20 J. O. Grieve 19 H. L. Duke 14 W. R. Logan 8 J. W. Morton 5 H. B. Sym 16 M. R. S. Milne 10	(S	Runs 462 406 361 298 175 67 46 123		Highest in: 89 67 67 151* 37 36* 25 22 24 25	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2 2 0 2 0 2	s 	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58 11.16 9.20 8.78
No.	(S	Runs 462 406 361 298 175 67 46 123 85		Highest in: 89 67 67 151* 37 36* 25 22 24 25	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2 2 0 2 0 0	8	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58 11.16 9.20 8.78 8.50 8.42 8.37
No.	(S	Runs 462 406 361 298 175 67 46 123 85 59		Highest in 89 67 67 151* 37 36* 25 22 24	ns.	Not out 3 4 1 1 2 2 0 0 2 0 0 0	8	27.17 27.06 19.00 16.55 14.58 11.16 9.20 8.78 8.50 8.42

MER	CHI	STON	(CASTLE	SC	HOOL	BC	WLI	NG	
		Overs		Maidens		Runs	4	Wicket		Average /
W. F. Mackenz			KRE	74	100	644		53		12.15
W. L. Milligan				66		592		48	102	12.33
G. S. Young		64		8	+1	199		15	100	13.26
G. S. Young I. O. Connell .		57.5		7	1070	201	GL V	14		14.35
H. J. Sinclair .		92.5		20	103	277	-	16		17.31
			Line.		N. C.		9/1/	-	TOX C	
	MI	LL E	III	L SCH	OOL	BAT	TIN	G.		
	I	nnings		Runs		ghest in			ts	Average
†J. W. G. Hume		15		615		129*		2		47.30
H. Taylor		11		403		105*	SUE IN	2		44.77
A. P. Powell .		14		484		100*		2		40.33
I. M. Lowther		6		153		54		1		30.60
A. J. McLenna	n	10		177		43	1.05 Mg	3		25.28
H. H. Pool		10		128		53*		2		16.00
R. Zilka	N			63		25*	97		dill.	15.75
A. J. C. Air		13		159	PROJECT OF	37*	17 1		1 17	13.25
H. E. Carris		8		77	1.57	18		1	1	11.00
J. C. Wolstenho	olme	10		74		21*	in .	2		9.25
	MII		IL		OOL	BOW				
		vers		Maidens		Runs	-	Wicket	S	Average
H. Taylor				83		771		62		12.43
M. W. Lloyd O				12		302		20		15.10
H. E. Carris		112.4		32		313		20		15.65
			The same		1000		-			
MC		ron	CC		SCH			TING		
TOTAL NEW YORK	I	nnings		Runs	Hi	ghest in	is.	Not out		Average
E. J. H. Hart				561		61*		3		40.07
J. M. MacAndre				411		65*		2	0.30	27.40
J. H. W. James		17		419		114*		1	3	26.18
†J. C. Watson .				325	.0	50		0		20.31
J. C. Walkey .				151		33		2		13.72
M. K. Watson				170		26		1		11.33
M. Hobbs		6		42		19		2		10.50
J. F. G. Gurney				79		49*		3		9.87
C. G. Reeves .		14		130	- FC	31		0		9.28
***								NG AV		
MO	NKI		CO		CHC			LING		
C W W THE		vers		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
C. W. W. Elwel	1	31		10		72		9		8.00
H. J. Smith				16	113.2	164		16		10.25
J. C. Walkey .		204		47		435		42		10.35
M. K. Watson			• • •			510		28		18.21
J. C. Watson .		76.4		11		300	• •	15		20.00
	O.	AKHA	M	SCHO	OL	BATTI	NG.			
		nings		Runs		thest inn		Not out		Average
P. N. C. Sinker		7.1		262	1118	59	1	1		20.15
I. C. Hill		12 7 3		209		37	110	2	in the	17.41
J. H. Fisher				243	Zeli S	34		ō		17.36
tC. H. Schwind		3/2003		203		40		1		15.61
R. E. Jackson				69	44.	19		3		13.80
M. H. Jones	e tv			167		29		ő		11.92
A. W. Lawrence		000 min		129	20	33		1	n mana	11.72
A. W. Lawrence W. J. B. Johnson	n	-		114	760	55	7	1	i al	10.36
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	114	DIE Y						THE WAY		

			1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	OAKHAM	SCHOOL	BOWLIN		
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. J. B. Johnson		12	182	19	9.57
D. G. Allen ,	99.5	15	309	26	11.88
I. C. Hill		19	437	35	12.48
C. H. Schwind		21	385	20	$19.25 \\ 21.23$
H. C. Bristow	99.5	16	361	17	21.25
			T 4		
	OUNDLE	SCHOOL	BATTING		
	Innings		lighest inns.		Average
J. B. Newton	12	681	112		
†G. R. A. Johnson		291	63	0	
A. G. Briggs	12	227	43*	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.63 19.00
H. J. Hobbins	6	114	47	0	19.00
	OTTATA T	COTTOOT	DOME TAL	7	
	OUNDLE	SCHOOL	BOWLING		
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
T. D. Barker		22	340	22	15.45
J. B. Newton					
N. D. Lloyd	195	23	528	31	17.03
	RADLEY	COLLEGE	BATTIN	G	
	Innings		lighest inns.		Average
G. C. A. Adams .		448	73*		29.86
A. L. Stampa		401	83	0	25.06
L. D. Cambridge		390	105	0	22.94
J. F. Metcalfe		208	37*		18.91
	15	200	50*		16.66
tM. C. Perceval		. 203	49	0	13.53
R. R. H. Few		127	43		12.70
W. E. Preston	10	113	34	1	12.55
	RADLEY	COLLEGE	BOWLIN	IG.	
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
H. V. Green		11	484	24	20.16
	130	11	485		21.08
Of The Country of the	5/7/				
	REPTON	SCHOOL 1	BATTING.		
	Innings		lighest inns.	Not outs	Average
B. H. Valentine	14	688	119		49.14
R. H. C. Human		127	43*	3	42.33
†J. W. Buckland	15	492	118*	3	41.00
H. W. Austin	15	476	119*	. 1	34.00
H. W. Austin E. W. Rennick	11	281	72*	. 2	31.22
P. S. Horton	12	182	40	. 3	20.22
R. L. Latham .	15	296	101		19.73
S. H. Sharpley		162	45		14.72
C. J. Wilson	7	52	18*		13.00
D. S. Piper		56	18*	The state of the s	11.20 10.00
R. L. Sykes	13	120	35	. 1	10.00
	n range co	COTTOCT	DOMIT TO	a sample	
	REPTON	SCHOOL	BOWLING		
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
	114.4	27	317	. 27	
D. S. Piper		61	442		15.24 18.03
C. J. Wilson		26		20	21,00
S, H, Sharpley	161	46 ,	420	- -	21,00

word Stroot Averages.	Public	School	Averages.
-----------------------	--------	--------	-----------

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnin	gs	Runs	Highest inns.			Not outs		Average	
J. C. Hammond	13		287		78		4 .		31.88	
A. M. D. Whiteroft	12		269		84		0 .		22.41	
R. L. Moorly	13		268		123*		1 .		22.33	
†K. D. Gibson	12		260		42		0 .		21.66	
J. A. Roncoroni	12		255		64		0 .		21.25	
N. B. Smith	13		198		42		0 .		15.23	
A. S. Roncoroni	12		164		58		0 .		13.66	
N. M. Raye	13		146		65		1 .		12.16	
R. D. Lambert	10	4	99		24*		2 .		12.37	
P. J. Fuller	5		20		8*		3 .		10.00	
W. Philipson	6		40		23		1 .		8.00	

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maid	ens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
N. M. Raye	93 .	. 12		287	 17		16.88
N. B. Smith		. 22		558	 33		16.90
J. A. Roncoroni		. 24		666	 39		17.07
R. D. Lambert	77 .	. 9		328	 17	. :	19.29

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BATTING. †E. W. Wilson 15 380 101 1 27.14 F. Walkingshaw 17 256 38 1 16.00 J. R. Urquhart 17 188 27 1 8.62

16.00
8.62
8.41
8.23
7.84

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

) vers	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	3	Average
J. R. Urquhart			 467	 68		6.86
W. H. Mackay	142	58	 303	 41		7.39
W. D. Emslie	67	28	 121	 13		9.30

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	(S	Runs	H	ighest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
†E. F. Longrigg	. 17		840		159		1		52.50
E. M. Dawson	. 17		555		115*		1		34.68
H. L. Leedham-									
Green	. 11		199		78		5		33.16
A. S. Bradshaw			138		66*		2		27.60
J. R. Crofts	. 15		231		37		5		23.10
J. M. Monkhouse	. 16		321		59*		2		22.92
P. B. Coghlan			177	1	40*		1		16.01
G. V. F. Dawson .		7.	252		48		0		14.82
O. J. Firth			91		28*		3		13.00
J. F. Connolly			107		23*		2		9.72
F. K. Roberts			51		11		3		7.28
G, C, Todd	, 3		9		7		0		3,00

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
E. F. Longrigg	28.5		2		102		11		9.27
A. S. Bradshaw	111.5		20		252		24		10.50
O. J. Firth			41		663		38	5 (10)	17.44
P. B. Coghlan			19		343		19		18.05
J. F. Connolly	117.1		16		392		15		26.13
H. L. Leedham-									
Green			5		603		22		27.40
E. M. Dawson	52		2		204		5		40.80
				-				11 11 11	20.00

ST. ALBANS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings			Hi	ghest in	as.	Not out	ts	Average
†W. Hawkins			202		43		2		15.53
R. F. George	7		79		57*		1		13.16
J. R. Williamson	10		89		19		2		11.12
M. F. Bywaters			123		35		0		8.78
G. D. Wiggs	14		90		39*		1		6.92

ST. ALBANS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average	
J. Holt	. 141.2	 19	4.	450		38		11.84	
T. S. Hignett	. 82.5	 20		263		19		13.84	
J. S. Owen	. 16.1	 0		94		6		15.66	

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	nning	ZS .	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. 1	Not out	8	Average
W. E. Image	15		371		92*		2		28.53
†H. E. H. Elam .	15		311		49		1		22.21
C. H. Caswell	16		317		53		1		21.13
E. B. Gillett	15		285		67		0		19.00
R. J. B. Goad	14		145		33		1		11.15
A. G. Collis	9		78		19		2		11.14
A. Kirk Connel	14		137		24		0		9.78
A. W. Symons	14		104		25		3		9.45
E. M. Phair	 11		50		18		4		7.14

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

2027/30	Overs	Maidens	Runs	675	Wicket	ts	Average	
A. W. Symons	147.5	 19	 544		36		15.11	
E. M. Phair	138.1	 15	 495		32		15.46	
C. H. Caswell .	174.4	 33	 559		33		16.93	

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns. N	lot out	s	Average
H. J. Linnell			295	1	85		2		26.81
†R. Perfect			308		82		0		22,00
J. D. Taylor-Marsh			246		61*		1		20.50
H. R. Hinge			240		55	10 10	0		18.46
A. C. Rumsey	13		174		50	W	1		14.50

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING.	SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.
Overs Majdens Runs Wickets Average	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
R Perfect. 238 4 45	R. W. Skene 228 51 583 48 12.14
I.D. Taylor-Marsh 36.5 6 142 11 12.90	J. M. Bishop 126 25 317 22 14,40
B. T. Pollock 205 18 707 27 26.18	
THE PARTY OF THE P	SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.	
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average +P. R. K. Whitaker 12. 500 . 146* . 3 . 55,55	J. S. Windebank . 14 366 101* 2 30.50
1. It. K. Williaker. 12 000	R. H. Hitch 13 303 105 1 25.25
S. V. Lloyd-Rees . 12	C. S. Balhatchet 10 99 17 2 12.37
J. B. Sandberg 5 78 27 0 15.60	D. N. Hoddinott 13 137 24 0 10.53
P. E. Jeffery 9 119 40 1 14.87	†A. J. Combes 14 133 27 0 9.50 R. H. M. Stirling . 12 76 27* 4 9.50
R. U. Yonge, 9 85 24 1 10.60 A. L. Bryan 12 116 41 1 10.54	R. H. M. Stiring . 12 76 27* 4 9.50
A. L. Bryan	
J. H. C. Cowgiii II	SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.
ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	J. S. Windebank . 177.2 . 48 . 448 . 45 . 9.95 R. H. Hitch 102 26 . 236 . 18 . 13.11
R. U. Yonge 54 12 147 14 10.50	1 7 0 1 10.11
A. L. Bryan 81 44 405 33 12.27	A. J. Combes 64.4 11 238 16 14.86 R. B. Brown 43 7 164 10 16.40
E. R. Richardson. 127 17 318 20 15.90 N. M. Aldons 67 14 210 12 17.50	10.10
N. M. Aldous 67 14 210 12 17.50	
ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.	SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.
	Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average E. T. Killick 15 940 186* 6 104.44	R. Eglington 14 533 189* 2 . 44.42 †J. A. Nunn 14 460 81 0 32.85
H M Garland-Wells 12 354 60 2 35.40	G. C. W. Neve 14
†P. G. E. Nash 15 426 100* 1 30.42	F. H. Wright 13 302 49 0 23.23
C. D. COOK 13 201	J. E. F. Rawlins 13 299 92 0 23.00
0, 11, 511010 1	S. R. Rickman 10 108 41 5 21,60 K. C. P. Horsford 6 107 42 1 21,40
J. S. Olliff 11 169 50 0 15.36	K. C. P. Horsford 6 107 42 1 21.40 C. M. G. Moberly 10 84 33* 6 21.00
C. J. Patterson 9 130 63 0 14.44	J. P. Whitham 12 181 56 2 18.10
F. D. C. R. Eyles . 7 51 23 2 10.20	J. K. Hill 14 221 44 1 17.00
J. G. Fisher 5 44 19 0 8.80	R. V. Payne 11 92 35* 2 10.22
ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.	PR-PR-1 CONTROL AND REAL PROPERTY AND
7771 7 1	SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average J. G. Fisher 206.2 40 624 39 16.00	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average
H. M. Garland-	C. M. G. Moberly . 201.1 49 485 34 14.26
Wells 103.3 14 330 16 20.62	S. R. Rickman 133 13 461 32 14.40
E. H. Limmer 65 6 227 11 20.63 P. G. E. Nash 238	G. C. W. Neve 228.2 ., 35 625 37 16.89 R. V. Payne 166.1 30 457 27 16.92
P. G. E. Nash 238	R. V. Payne 166.1 30 457 27 16.92
0.11.51101	
SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING.	SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.
Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average	Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average
†R. C. Wakefield . 9 233 82* . 1 29.12 P. M. Gowen . 11	F. S. Watson 16 554 111 0 34.62 †P. S. Snow 15 445 88 2 34.23
D. M. Gamon 11 202 12 25 00	P. S. Snow
W F Holey 12 278 91 0 23.16	G. W. Pomeroy 16 435 54* 1 29.00
R. W. Skene 12	P. H. C. Staples 14 362 76* 1 27.84
J. D. Worthington. 10 95 22 4 . 15.83	J. F. Longrigg 14 343 79 0 24.50
W. W. B. Stoddart 13	L. N. Duke 13
C. W. Beer	J. Mutter 10 116 38* 4 19.33
J. H. Mitchell 10 82 18 1 9.11	M. Leach 11 170 46 0 15.45

568			P	uoir	School	Avi	eruyes.							
	SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.													
	-		vers		Maidens		Runs		Vickets	3	Average			
P	S. Snow				28		475		32		14.84			
G.	W. Pomeroy		107.5		5		447		18		24.83			
J	Mutter		139				536		20		26.80 30.60			
L.	N. Duke	5	263.1		35		918	1.0	30		30.00			
	a	TION.	37777	TDO	T COL	TEC	T R	TTI	NG					
	8		YHU		T COL		ghest in		ot out	ts	Average			
m	Citation Lie		nings 8		515	111	104*		3		103,00			
	Christie S. A. Hardy .		6		209		104*		2		52.25			
E.	J. De Caires.	• • •	6		234		115		1		46.80			
	M. Baker						89		1		45.80			
H.	S. De Caires		5		167		91		1		41.75			
	G. King		4		64		44		0		16.00 9.50			
D.	P. Hickey		4		38		18		U		3.30			
	ST	CON	YHU	RS.	COL	LEG	Е ВО	WLI	NG.					
		0	vers		Maidens		Runs	1	Vicket	s	Average			
H	S. De Caires	~			5		144		13		11.07			
A.	McDowell				13		249		20		12.45			
	M. Baker				9		164		10		16.40 18.41			
R.	S. A. Hardy		104		15		313	• • •	17		18.41			
				1			77.47	OCT TAT	a					
		TOI	NBR.	IDG	E SCH	[00]	BAI	CTIN						
		I	nnings	3	Runs	H	ighest in	ns. I	Vot on		Average			
	C. Lockitt		17		507		179*	ve ge	1		31.68			
P.	N. Miller F. Mitchell		16		448		105		0		28.00 22.75			
†T.	F. Mitchell		16		364	• •	70 59		1	::	21.09			
В.	A. Roberts		12		232 299		63		100		19.93			
	S. G. Thomp		16 16	••	296	::	49*				19.73			
	McDermid . F. Scott		14		128		39*		6		16.00			
	M. Bradshaw		7		85		33		0		12.14			
H	M. O. Holma	n.	15	•	162		31		1		11.57			
R.	G. Saunders		10		95	1.	26		1		10.55			
						and the same of the same								
		TOT	NBR.	TDG	E SCH	[00]	BOY	VLIN	IG.					
		-	vers		Maidens		Runs		Wicke	ts	Average			
m	F. Mitchell				38		999		60		16.65			
	McDermid .				41	1	702		34		20.64			
	F. Scott		170.5		21		663		25		26.52			
		Т	REN	TT	COLLE	GE	BATT	ING						
		-	nning	1	Runs		ighest in	nns.	Not or	its	Average			
C	H Wahatan		16	s 	384		103*				25.60			
D.	H. Webster H. Keigwin		16		368		71	1	0		23.00			
g.	W Sidford		16		160		39		3		12.30			
M.	W. Sidford. B. Savill		9		96		24		1		12.00			
J.	S. Ball		16		183		33		0		11.43			
	. R. Dorning		11		74		19*		3		9.25			

	TREN	T	COLLE	EGE	BOWL	TN	G.		
	Overs		Maiden	IS	Runs		Wicket	ta	Average
B. L. Bowers	248.3	3	45		683	-			10.19
P. H. Keigwin	265.1		67		701				12.98
S. Billington	94.1	١.,	18		308		19		
	-		****						
TRINIT	V COL	TE	OF OT	TO NT A	TMON	D .	D A mm	TATO	
TIMITATI	Innine	יהודוו	GE, GL	IDIN E	LLIMON.	υ,	BATT	LING	
K. R. Pearson	Inning	ss	Runs 272	ŀ	lighest in				Average
H. N. Price			360	H	68* 81*				24.72
H. R. Munro	17	::	284		38				24.00
A. J. Paterson	15		220		67	::			18.93 14.66
W. M. V. Ash	13		160		46*	::	-	::	14.54
†J. S. R. Cruicksha			206	1	96		. 0		13.73
G. G. Gulliver	11	.:	108	135	23		3		13.50
J. A. S. Glennie .	12	::	121		34	-111			
H. Cameron	15		177		46				
S. Cruickshank .	9		42		16				10.50
				1000					
TRINITY	COLI	FC	E OT	DINT A	TMONT		DOTTT	TAT	~
TIMITITI	Overs	- LIG	Maidens	LINA	LMONI	, .	BOWL		
S. Cruickshank .	222		Maidens 42		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
A. J. Paterson	154	1.7	25		592		52		11.38
R. Stevenson	98.4		29	• •	515 278		41 '		12.56
H. R. Munro	129	14	26	::	329		$\frac{21}{23}$		13.23
			20	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	348	• •	25		14.30
UNIVE	RSITY	C	OLLEG:	E S	CHOOL	T	ATTI	TO	
									A
†R. B. D. Wright.	Inning:	s	Runs	H	ighest inr	ıs.	Not out	s	Average
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens	Inning: 22 21			Н	ighest inr 109*	ıs.	Not out		28.05
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams	Inning: 22 21 17	s 	Runs 561	 	ighest inr 109* 101*	is.	Not out	s	$28.05 \\ 27.11$
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson	Innings 22 21 17	s 	Runs 561 461	 	ighest inr 109* 101* 40	ns. 	Not out 2 4 4	S	28.05 27.11 21.69
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins	Innings 22 21 17 22	s 	Runs 561 461 282	 	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82	ns. 	Not out	S	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins	Innings 22 21 17 22	s 	Runs 561 461 282 405	 	ighest inr 109* 101* 40	ns. 	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4	is	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins	Innings 22 21 17 22	S	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242	 	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48	ns	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4	is	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace	Innings 22 21 17 22 22 18 20 20	s 	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223	 	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53*	ns	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4	SS	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson	Innings 22 21 17 22 22 18 20 20	s 	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242	H	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45	ns	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4 2	is	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly	Inning: . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 20 . 12	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83	H	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18	ns.	Not out 2 4 4 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 2	SS	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace	Inning: . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 20 . 12 12	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 1 2 2 COWLII	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER	Innings . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 10 . 12 RSITY Overs	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 PLLEGE Maidens	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 2 1 2 Wickets	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright.	Innings . 22 . 21 . 17 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 12 . 20 . 12 18	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 PLLEGE Maidens 45	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 OWLII Wickets 48	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVEF R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams	Innings . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 20 . 12 . 28 . 21 . 20 . 12 . 20 . 12	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574 786	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVEF R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams	Innings . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 20 . 12 . 28 . 21 . 20 . 12 . 20 . 12	co	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574 786 641	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	x	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright.	Innings . 22 . 21 . 17 . 22 . 22 . 18 . 20 . 20 . 12 . 28 . 21 . 20 . 12 . 20 . 12	s	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574 786	B(Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens	Inning 22 21 17 21 17 22 22 22 28 18 20 12 RSITY Overs 188 261 172 161	co	Runs 561 461 482 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599	B6	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	x	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens	Inning 22 21 17 22 18 20 12 12 12 18 20 12 12 18 261 188 261 172 161 172 161	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGH Maidens 45 60 24 22 M SCH SCH MAIN SC	ESC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599	BO	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens	Inning:	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22 MR SCH Runs	ESC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599 BAT' ghest im	BO	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 1 2 1 2 OWLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 G. Not outs	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly	Innings 22 21 21 17 22 18 20 12 20 12 18 20 12 161 172 161 172 161 171 14	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGH Maidens 45 60 24 22 M SCH SCH MAIN SC	E SC	ighest inr 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 CHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599 BAT' ghest inn 126*	BO	Not out 2 4 1 1 1 2 1 2 OWLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER B. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly S. Enderby	Innings	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 M SCH Runs 539	ESC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599 BAT' ghest im 126* 114	BO	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 2 1 2 0 WLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly S. Enderby J. C. B. Perkins	Inning	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 FLLEGH Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 M SCH Runs 539 202	E SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599 BAT' ghest im 126* 114 71 68	BO	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 2 1 2 0 WLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly J. C. B. Perkins D. S. Seroft	Innings	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 MM SCH Runs 539 202 307 312 89	E SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 126* 114 68 37*	BO	Not out 2 4 4 1 1 4 2 1 2 0WLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0 1 0	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91 26.00
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly J. C. B. Perkins D. S. Seroft	Innings	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGH Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 M SCH Runs 539 2002 307 312 89 121	H SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 599 BAT' ghest im 126* 114 71 68	BO	Not out 4 4 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 0 Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0 1 0 2	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91 26.00 17.80
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly S. Enderby J. C. B. Perkins D. S. Oscroft R. I. F. McIntosh R. C. Paterson	Inning 122 121 127 129 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 121 121	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 M SCH Runs 539 202 307 312 89 121 158	H SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 114 116 88 37* 566* 31*	Be	Not out 4 4 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 0 WLII Wickets 48 63 21 IG. Not outs 2 0 1 0 2 3 1	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 111.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91 26.00 17.80 17.28
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams L. Abrahams C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER B. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly J. C. B. Perkins D. S. Oscroft R. I. F. MeIntosh R. C. Paterson E. S. Wright	Innings 22 21 21 27 22 22 20 20 20 20 12 28 ISITY Overs 188 261 172 161 Innings 11 4 12 12 12 10 11 11 12 12 10 11 11 11 12 10 11 11 11 12 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 10 11	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 244 22 22 M SCH Runs 539 202 307 312 89 121 158 163	H SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Rums 574 786 641 114 71 68 37* 31* 41	BO	Not out 4 4 1 1 4 2 1 2 2 0 WLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0 1 0 2 3 1 0	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91 26.00 17.28
†R. B. D. Wright. D. J. L. Stevens L. Abrahams R. M. Wilson W. V. H. Robins C. M. Colley D. M. Lloyd N. H. Trace D. A. C. Kelly UNIVER R. B. D. Wright L. Abrahams N. H. Trace D. J. L. Stevens U W. K. Beisiegel C. H. Thornly S. Enderby J. C. B. Perkins D. S. Oscroft R. I. F. McIntosh R. C. Paterson	Innings 22 21 21 27 22 22 20 20 20 20 12 28 ISITY Overs 188 261 172 161 Innings 11 4 12 12 12 10 11 11 12 12 10 11 11 11 12 10 11 11 11 12 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 10 11	CO	Runs 561 461 282 405 376 206 242 223 83 ELLEGE Maidens 45 60 24 22 22 M SCH Runs 539 202 307 312 89 121 158	E SC	ighest im 109* 101* 40 82 48 53* 45 42 18 EHOOL Runs 574 786 641 114 116 88 37* 566* 31*	Be	Not out 4 4 1 1 4 2 1 2 1 2 0 WLII Wickets 48 63 43 21 IG. Not outs 2 0 1 0 2 3 1 0	NG.	28.05 27.11 21.69 19.28 17.90 14.71 13.44 11.73 8.30 Average 11.95 12.47 14.90 28.52 Average 59.88 50.50 27.91 26.00 17.80 17.80 17.80 17.80 18.58

570	Pu	blic	School	Aver	rages.							
UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.												
			faidens		Runs		ickets		Average			
	vers		4		192		11		17.45			
S. Enderby	214.5			135	582		33		17.63			
R. I. F. McIntosh B. M. K. C.	214.0 .			W								
Toulmin	113 .		15.		371		20		18.55			
J. C. B. Perkins	102.1 .				417		20		20.85 22.40			
M. F. Hurdle	146 .		27		493		22	• •	22,40			
Section 1 Total Control of the Contr				4		-						
TIVINE T	TMOD	OD	TICH	SCH	LOOL	BAT	TINO	3.				
	INGB	One			hestinn		ot outs		Average			
	innings		Runs 442	пія	103				34.00			
G. G. Tebbitt			416		87		0		29.71			
J. L. H. Winter			274		53		0		19.57			
F. Rawlins †G. Ainsworth			238		66*				18.30			
D. B. F. Burt			224		33*				18.66			
W. R. Page			176		55*				16.00			
J. B. Gamble			74		28*		5		14.80 14.55			
H. G. Casselton			131		36*		3	• •	12.14			
H. G. Casselton A. A. Burgess			170		43		2		9.28			
R. Hitchcock	9		65		27*		2	7.5	0.20			
		-										
WEIT	INGB	OR	TIGH	SCF	HOOL	BO	WLIN	IG.				
	Overs	OIL	Maidens	1001	Runs	77.15	Wicket	S	Average			
			6		350				15.90			
A. A. Burgess J. B. Gamble			40	13.50	851		50		17.02			
D. B. F. Burt			13		513		28		18.32			
D; B, F. Bare	101.12											
A STATE OF THE STA		mo	T GOT	TIM	E BA	וחיווי	NG					
WE	LLING			TIPLE	TE DE	7111	Not ou	to	Average			
	Innings		Runs		ghest in				0.5.00			
†R. F. A. David	. 12		420		51		ő		21.66			
J. Q. Wood	. 3		65 144		47		0		20.57			
F. R. Macnamera.			220		49		1		20.00			
N. M. Peech		•	164		46	1154	2					
R. C. Dobson W. D. S. May			175	1.00	59		0					
M. W. Field			180		53		1					
A. P. Dudley-Hill			79		23		0		11.28			
A. I. Dualey IIII												
	DIX. C				TO TO	TITT	TNC					
WE	LLING	TO			E BO	JVVI	Wicke	to.	Average			
	Overs		Maiden	S	Runs		22		19.63			
A. J. James	. 102.3		8		432		13	- !:	20.61			
H. C. B. Berens	. 92.4		15		268 504		24		21.00			
W. D. S. May	. 108.2		24		904							
						-10701						
WIEG	TMINS	TE	R SCE	TOOL	BAT	CTIN	IG.					
WED.			Runs		lighest in			ats	Average			
A M Chapler Cm	Inning	5	563	13	88*		2		33.11			
A. M. Shepley-Smi			533	100	76		0		28.05			
†L. Clare P. J. H. Dunn	. 18	::	255		51*							
J. A. Cook			310		58							
J. H. Robson			253		50*							
M. F. L. Haymes	. 12		152		53				40 00			
A. Clare	. 10		96		24		0		0.00			
G. M. E. Paulson			139		32*		5	1.	4,00			

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
P. J. H. Dunn	123.1	 16	1	486		22		22.09
D. R. F. Cambell .	216.2	 31	9.14	818		34		24.05
M. G. Stratford	114.1	 10		478		19		25.15

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs Highest inns.				Not ou	Average	
†F. H. B. Woodd	15		614		119		3		51.16
H. F. Hodge	. 14		240		61*		4		24.00
H. S. Senior	. 12		217		49		1		19.72
J. H. L. Phillips .	. 13		219		54		1		18.25
B. Legge			198		52		1		18.00
R. J. Marshall	. 15		251		55		1		17.92
J. L. T. Rogers			169		37		1		14.08
R. W. Ewbank	. 11		123		36		1		12.30

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wicket	S	Average
H. F. Hodge	. 189.5	 35	 650		63		10.31
F. H. B. Woodd .		10	 383		31		12.35
R. J. Marshall	. 69.2	 18	 214		15		14.26
H. S. Senior	. 99.3	 19	 332		21		15.33

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Highest inns.			Not outs		Average
†G. P. Goodwin	. 16		540		93*		2		38.57
H. H. Peart	. 16		248		62		1		16.53
C. J. Gage	. 14		211		50		1		16.23
L. F. Smith		2/2 : 27	155		27		2		12.91
F. R. Ewing	. 15		164		34		1		11.71
N. M. Ling	. 7		68		17		1		11.33
E, Fielden	. 15		156	10.	42		0		10.40
H. E. Ling	. 11		48		11*		в		9.60
D. H. Stack	. 6		56		29		0		9.33

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens			Runs	Wickets			Average
H, E, Ling	176.1		31		578		37		15.62
H. H. Peart	249.2		45		777		46		16.89
K. H. Virley	79.3		13		279		14		19.92

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

.,,	-								
1	nnings		Runs	Highest inns.			Not ou	ts	Average
E. Snell	15		642		163		1		45.85
A. W. Richardson .	9		239		117	٠	0		26.55
C. A. L. Guise	12		252		93		1		22.90
E. P. King	11		170		38		3		21.25
P. G. T. Kingsley	13		275		60		0		21.15
A. D. Bonham-							1		
Carter	15		244		101		3		20.33
†C. E. Awdry	11		164		35*		2		18.22
A. M. Tew	5		33		17*		3		16.50
M. B. S. Bower	13		188		69		1		15.66
P. J. W. Milligan .	10		93		16		1		10.33
P. T. Inman	6		61		28		0		10.16

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	Runs	7	Wicket	8	Average
A. M. Tew 209	 70	 416		31		13.41
P. J. W. Milligan . 127.4	 36	 303		18		16.83
P. T. Inman 101	19	 296		17		17.41
C. E. Awdry 207	 56	 594		30		19.80
P. G. T. Kingsley. 45	 6	 128		5		25.60