PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1928.

By A. PODMORE.

The field of Public Schools' cricket is so wide that the normally hard task of giving an adequate review of the season's events and personalities assumes formidable proportions, when the space considerations of Wisden's-as is the case this year-requires further condensation. From the nature of the undertaking, I, like others in past years, have had to rely to a considerable extent on information furnished by the schools themselves and, although in some instances replies arrived too late for use, for the general readiness in supplying me with facts, I have to make hearty acknowledgment. With a very large proportion of the school sides and with most of the prominent players, I was able to make myself familiar, not only during term time and the Lord's fortnight in August, but in the extremely interesting series of holiday matches at the Oval and in those played by the Richmond Public Schools side, which extended to mid-September. Such knowledge, reinforced by the views of acquaintances who have played against the schools, encourages me to hope that I may be able to do something like justice to the subject of cricket at the schools.

The season of 1928 came as a welcome relief after the deplorable weather experienced in the latter portion of the previous summer and, as boys are seen at their best on fast wickets, the cricket, too, was better than that of 1927. There was little cause for complaint in the batting and the bowling showed decided improvement all round, not only in the bigger schools but in those less highly favoured. For this, there is little doubt, much is due to the "classes" at Lord's presided over by Mr. Walter Bearley.

Unhappily the fortnight devoted to the schools at Lord's experienced its usual fate and, though the Clifton-Tonbridge match was duly played out, that was the only one of the six in which a definite decision could be reached. Rain robbed Marlborough of almost certain victory over Rugby, which has not come their way since 1923, and no play was possible in the Cheltenham-Haileybury match after the first day.

The play in Lord's Schools v. The Rest, reached a very high standard, the fielding, especially of Adams, of Radley, at cover point, being as good as anything seen in these matches at headquarters. Baiss, of Tonbridge, was no whit behind him in a similar position next day, the anticipation, gathering and returning to the wicket of both fieldsmen being quite an object lesson. The teams had, as usual, been most carefully chosen and each player justified his selection. Carr, however, who had kept wicket brilliantly at Stowe, all through the term, was obviously nervous and never adapted himself to the unusual conditions ; he would have been well advised to stand back for the first few overs, until he had accustomed himself to the pace of the wicket. Akers-Douglas, of Eton, played a beautiful innings. His quickness between wickets, unerring judgment and footwork, combined with the wrist and eye of the racquets player, make him the schoolboy batsman of the year and already, a first-class player. On a pitch, in which there was little life, he made his hundred in just two hours and that against bowlers who, if receiving little assistance from the wicket, kept a length, which compared favourably with that of some previous years. Bland, whose record at Shrewsbury speaks for itself, bowled hardly a bad ball all through the match. A left-hand bowler, below rather than above medium pace, he swings in late from the off with the new ball and can also turn it, after pitching. He has, of course, the left-hander's real ball, which pitches on the leg stump to hit the middle and off.

There was little life in the pitch to help the bowlers when Lindsay (Harrow) went in with Baiss to open the Lord's innings against Bland and Brett (Winchester), the latter of whom can make the new ball swing in quite a lot. Both bowlers maintained an accurate length, but Akers-Douglas reached his hundred in two hours and, after that, realising that his side stood in a safe position and that there was plenty of batting to follow, hit wildly at almost everything. Dawson (Rugby) scored at a great pace before the tea interval and Rome, next season's Harrow captain, hit magnificently. The total reached 394.

Batting in none too good a light against the bowling of Nevinson (Eton) and Dawson (both well above medium pace), with Rutter as a change, Brett and Benn (Wellington) went some way towards saving the game, by staying together until the drawing of stumps. Nevinson and Rutter, the latter of whom coming, as he did, very fast from the pitch, appeared to trouble the batsmen more than any of the other bowlers; both swung away dangerously, and Dawson, the fastest of the three, turned the ball sharply from the off. Wickets fell fast the next morning and the innings was all over for 161—a poor score in the circumstances. The bowling honours went to Nevinson and Dawson; the fielding of Baiss at cover was a feature of the innings.

Following on 230 in arrears, The Rest lost two wickets quickly

but Brett and Moore made a stand and then the former and Human (Repton), a cricketer seen at his best when things are going wrong, added 86 runs. Human made his 54 in an hour and Brett played fine cricket. Brett's innings of 81 had saved the side, but though Collins again played well, seven wickets were down for 211 and there still existed the chance of a finish. Skinner, however, faced the situation manfully and, when the game was left drawn, The Rest stood 14 runs on and had two wickets in hand.

It is doubtful whether the Schools have ever been represented by a stronger eleven at Lord's than that which, most carefully selected, took the field against the Army on the two following daysnot even in 1918, when A. P. F. Chapman, N. E. Partridge, L. P. Hedges, G. T. S. Stevens and C. H. Gibson were included. The batting was sound and even brilliant down to No. 9, every variety of bowling was included and the fielding promised to be the feature of the game. Yet the first day's play proved disappointing to a degree. The wicket it is true was far from easy and B. Howlett bowled at a considerable pace and E. D. Dynes and F. G. Arnold mixed their deliveries well, but Baiss, Akers-Douglas, Sheepshanks (Eton) and Human all got out when well set. Nine wickets indeed fell for 112 before Rome and Townsend added 41 by plucky cricket.

Lindsay had much variety of bowling and used it judiciously and, but for a badly missed catch in the slips, which permitted F. G. Rogers to continue his innings after making 30, the Army might have been out for under 200. As it was, they were 23 runs on, with five wickets in hand, at the close of play. Three men fell quickly the next morning, Townsend bowling his leg-breaks very well, yet in the end the Army gained a lead of 131 runs.

There was a different tale to be told when Lindsay and Baiss went in a second time. Both played sterling cricket, Baiss looking set for a hundred when caught low down in the "gully," off a hit with which no fault could be found. Akers-Douglas played another beautiful innings and with Human jumping out to Howlett and hitting fiercely, Lindsay was able to declare, leaving the Army seventyone minutes in which to make 131. So far from that task being accomplished three of the most dangerous batsmen were out for 10 runs. So Captain C. E. Dixon and Howlett merely played to keep their ends up and, with no hope of a finish, stumps were pulled up.

Prominent among those who did not appear in either of the trial games at Lord's, were Hazlerigg and Primrose (Eton), Ford (Harrow), Armstrong and Anderson (Marlborough), Sime (Bedford), Sumner (Haileybury), Ratcliffe (Rydal), Brown (Leys), McCaskie (Winchester), Chalk (Uppingham), and Mayhew, the Eton wicketkeeper. A very strong all-round side with plenty of bowling could be selected from these twelve, with reserves in Pearce (Charterhouse), Rogers (Birkenhead), Elliott (St. Peter's, York), Cawston (Lancing), Webster (Highgate), Graham-Smith (Cheltenham), Winlaw (Winchester), Nattle (Clifton), Burt (St. Paul's), and a choice of wicketkeepers in Raikes (Shrewsbury), and Bryce-Smith (Cheltenham). There are many others with almost equal claims.

The Rugby and Marlborough match produced some of the best cricket of the fortnight and was drawn, greatly in Marlborough's favour. Rugby were all out for the moderate total of 179, but, when Marlborough went in for an hour's batting, Dawson, who was bowling very fast, dismissed three batsmen without a run being scored off him. Meanwhile, however, Armstrong had been playing with the utmost confidence and, when joined by Anderson, the pair weathered the storm. In all they added 104 before Armstrong next morning also fell to Dawson, but Anderson was still undefeated with 183, when Page declared. Anderson's innings was one of the features of the fortnight's cricket and the fact that he was missed twice, detracts little from its value. Furthermore, had Armstrong succumbed over-night, when three wickets were down for 11, Rugby might well have established a winning advantage.

Clifton were dismissed by Tonbridge for 77 and, though they made a brave effort in the second innings, Nattle playing very well for a hundred, they were beaten by ten wickets. The features of the match were the bowling of Rutter and the batting of Armour and Crawford for Tonbridge. The Cheltenham and Haileybury match, on a very slow and easy wicket, produced uninteresting cricket on the Friday and no play was possible on the Saturday. Neither side could claim an advantage.

The Young Amateurs side against the Young Professionals, which concluded the fortnight, included ten players who were accustomed to open the innings at their respective schools. Every one of them had scored at least one century during the past term and some of them three or four, so it was a difficult task for the captain to write out the order. Crawford (Tonbridge), played a beautiful innings of 109 and Stokes, the Wellingborough stumper, shaped well for 65, while De Caires (Stonyhurst), who went in tenth, hit with great enterprise for 70. An exciting match was left drawn. The Colts match was notable for a fine innings of 113 by Hadingham (who should have three more years in the St. Paul's XI), a stylish 83 by Allen of Eton and the promising fast bowling of Las Casas, of Harrow.

The Young Amateurs week at the Oval produced some very interesting cricket, Surrey losing the first match to Kent by eight wickets, beating Essex by eight wickets, and drawing with Sussex. Essex declared on the second day and left Surrey 50 minutes to get 110 runs, which were obtained in forty minutes, thanks to some fierce hitting by Milligan (Winchester) and Heard (Cranleigh) andit must be admitted—to three dropped catches. The Surrey bowling was weak in all three matches, but promising form was shown with the bat by Hadingham, whose back-play and timing on the leg-side are, at present, the feature of his cricket. Kent were strong all through, with Hale (Charterhouse), the most promising wicketkeeper of the week and Benton (Wellingborough), a fast medium bowler with a puzzling delivery, the outstanding figures. Essex included a good all-round player in Stuart-King (Felsted), who was able to bowl only a few overs and two sound batsmen in Waddington (Chigwell) and Wilcox (Dulwich). Norman (Rugby) played a good second innings. The Young Amateurs of Sussex, on their first appearance at the Oval, made a distinctly favourable impression. Cawston (Lancing), a fine all-round cricketer, is worthy of his place in a representative eleven and the side is well equipped at all points. There was a curious declaration in this match. At the luncheon interval on the second day, Surrey were 49 runs on, with two good wickets to fall and Milligan, who had hit freely, 144 not out. To the general surprise, the innings was then declared. Sussex in their turn declared at the fall of the sixth wicket, leaving the home side an hour and thirty-five minutes in which to get 180. After the fall of the seventh wicket-it was a 12-aside match-at 133, no attempt was made to get the runs and the match ended in a tame draw.

The Richmond Public Schools' XI, who played many of the leading London clubs, produced some promising players new to London cricket, notably Ratcliffe (Rydal), Sime, the Bedford captain, a slow left-hand bowler and sound batsman, who scored two centuries in one week for Bedford County, Pettit, an all-rounder and captain of Downside, Webster, a left-hand bowler, promising batsman and brilliant field, who will captain Highgate this year, Whitty (Clifton), who has the making of a really fast bowler, and Evans, a slow spin bowler and left-hand bat, who will have two more years in the Haileybury XI. Crawford (Tonbridge), Dashwood (Wellington), and Wilcox (Dulwich), and several others, who played in these matches, will be in their school elevens again this year.

The Eton and Harrow match will go down in history as one of the finest played between the schools. Interest and excitement were kept up from the first ball bowled to the last. All through two days of fierce heat there was a stern determination by both sides to bring the match to a definite conclusion. Ford's fast bowling, of which much had been expected, aided by Robinson's "wrong 'uns," brought about the dismissal of Eton for the poor score of 126, to which Harrow replied with 234 and Eton in their second innings lost two good wickets for 7 runs. Then Sheepshanks and Akers-Douglas began a stand which—37 being put on overnight—ultimately realised 149 runs in well under two hours. Akers-Douglas played a magnificent innings of 158 and Sheepshanks was able to declare at the fall of the eighth wicket, leaving Harrow 308 runs to win. Eton had scored 415 in four and a half hours and Harrow needed to make runs at the rate of more than 90 an hour. Lindsay and Raphael entered upon the task with great spirit and, even when the latter was run out, there was no thought of playing for a draw. The batsmen continued to take risks and, when a few minutes before seven o'clock, only 50 runs were required, there appeared something more than a chance that Harrow's fortune was going to turn at long last. It was not to be, however, and though fighting to the last, Harrow lost by 29 runs a match which they could certainly have drawn, had they not preferred to go out for a victory which has been denied them for twenty years.

The Eton and Winchester match was an astonishing game. The wicket on the first day was plumb and a high wind made bowling decidedly difficult. Akers-Douglas and Southby played splendidly and scored fast, putting on 175 before the second wicket fell, but. after that, Brett was always likely to get wickets, and an innings which had promised to produce over 400 ended for 304. Brett took six for 92. Winchester, with more than 100 for two wickets. were almost on terms when McCaskie was bowled and then rain came. Next morning, wickets fell fast and, with six down for 139, a follow-on looked a certainty but the "tail," especially Fellowes, playing with real pluck and soundness, the Winchester score reached 242. An astonishing hour followed after lunch, Eton losing seven wickets for 29. Brett bowling magnificently, with a fine length and swinging either way at the last moment, took five of these and in all six for 23. Then came more rain and easier conditions. The last two wickets put on 24, the visitors batting one short through Mayhew being injured. Winchester had 116 to win and had it not been for further rain, which ultimately left only an hour's batting, Winchester would have obtained the runs quite comfortably. As it was, they lost five wickets in scoring 58 by some desperate batting which had never a real chance of producing the runs in the time. The change in the situation between 11.45 a.m. and 3.15 p.m. was one of the most sensational ever seen in a school game. There was nothing wrong with the wicket when Eton collapsed; it was just fine bowling and the run of the luck in favour of the fielding side. Winchester showed more consistent form than any other school, and may fairly be described as the best side of the year. A meeting between them and Shrewsbury would have been instructive. Tonbridge were also very strong all round and Marlborough and Lancing both had powerful batting sides.

Eton, who had been undefeated for two seasons, lost their unbeaten record in the third match of the half, against the Butterflies, who dismissed them for 89. So well, however, did Primrose and Nevinson bowl that 5 runs were required for victory when Captain J. Cobbold, the last man in, joined P. W. Cobbold. The match was very satisfactory from one point of view. Sheepshanks had none of the previous season's bowlers available, of whom R. C. C. Whittaker and M. de S. C. Ward, the left-handers, had been so effective, and Primrose and Nevinson, who were destined to do the lion's share of the bowling, gave a foretaste of what was to come. Nevinson is fast right-hand. He was inclined to over-pitch but had a very good yorker. Primrose, right-hand fast medium, made the ball come back and sometimes swing away, usually keeping a good length. Jelf, who came into the side against Liverpool, was slow left with a good flight and Hazlerigg, discovered late as a bowler--slow right with an off-break--proved his value at Lord's.

The fielding was good throughout, Akers-Douglas and Sheepshanks being brilliant on the off-side; Mayhew, a worthy successor to the many fine wicket-keepers produced at Eton, was quiet and effective and had no superior in the schools.

So far as the batting was concerned, there was a distinct tail, beginning at No. 7, where Eton are generally so strong. Akers-Douglas, to whom reference has already been made, stood out by himself but Sheepshanks, who had saved the match against Winchester the previous year, was also a "class" player, with admirable footwork. Hazlerigg and Southby were a sound and reliable pair to open the innings and Gosling was a typical Eton batsman, with beautiful strokes in front of the covers. Lyle was seen at his best at Lord's. Particularly good performances were accomplished against the M.C.C. and Free Foresters, both very strong sides. In these matches, Nevinson, Primrose and Jelf distinguished themselves in bowling and Hazlerigg and Lyle with the bat. G.S. Kevill-Davies. whose lobs had been so successful in junior cricket the previous year, was tried in the earlier matches but failed to gain his colours. Eton have not lost a school match since Winchester beat them in 1920. Harrow beat them by 7 runs in 1918 but the match, confined to one day, does not count in the series. Hazlerigg will captain the side this year, and Southby, Nevinson, Primrose, Jelf and Munroe-Hinds -the last named of whom played a really good innings of 88 against I.Z.— will form the nucleus of this year's side, which thus includes the four bowlers.

Harrow, after the defeat of the Quidnuncs, who included many of the Cambridge team, and the away victory over Marlborough, looked as if they had a side at least the equal of that of 1921—fast scoring, with a varied attack of eight bowlers and holding their catches well. Unfortunately, in the earlier games, the middle batsmen had never been called on to do more than press home an advantage already gained. Brett in the Winchester match, bowled three of their first batsmen out at once and a virtual collapse ensued, only Riddell coming to the rescue, and the visitors won confortably.

After that, the side never looked so confident but, in spite of this, they played well on the first day at Lord's. Their fielding was very patchy. They were keen and usually held their catches but on the ground they were erratic-a fault shown up badly on the second day at Lord's on an outfield strangely different from their own at Harrow. Lindsay is a fine determined cricketer-not a stylist, but a devastating leg hitter, and he could score effectively all round the wicket. A badly bruised hand handicapped his wicket-keeping. Rome, a very hard hitter, Shuckburgh, who has beautiful strokes in front of the wicket. Lawrence, a really stylish left-hander with an upright stance and a perfect swing, who should go very far as a batsman, Raphael and Welch, were the mainstays of the batting, which was underiably strong. Of the bowlers, C. G. Ford, who has real pace, might well be a match winner if he keeps fit and avoids strains. Raphael was not so good as he promised to be when 16. Rome, medium paced. did not get enough bowling, while Lawrence with an easy left-hand action, was a little disappointing. Deas was very useful in the earlier matches with slow breaks, Robinson bowled his googlies well at Lord's and against the M.C.C. and Ramsay, a very fine outfield. and Welch can also bowl. Rome will captain the side this year and other old "flannels" available are Shuckburgh, Lawrence, Welch, Ford and Ramsay. The annual "Goose" match, which Harrow won by a wicket for the first time for many years, revealed the fact that Rome has some good material from which to fill the vacancies, including a very promising wicket-keeper in R. H. Bull.

Winchester were bound to feel the loss of such an opening pair of batsmen as P. G. T. Kingsley and I. D. K. Fleming, but they again went through the season unbeaten, and, for the fourth year in succession, were undefeated in their inter-school matches. Of these, they won only one, being successful over Harrow away, when the home side possessed an uninterrupted sequence of wins. The matches with Charterhouse and Marlborough were both drawn much in Winchester's favour. At Godalming, on a very difficult wicket, Winchester had six men out for 30, but Scott, playing extremely well, and Guise added 97 and a total of 170 was, in the circumstances, creditable. Charterhouse never looked like winning, but their later batsmen did well to save the game on an improving wicket. Marlborough made 119 on a muddy wicket, Winchester, 53 for one and then came rain.

Against Harrow, Brett, bowling at his best for the first time in the season, virtually won the match in the first half hour. The Winchester batting was slow but sound and there was nothing to be gained by hurrying. McCaskie, a left-hander, made delightful strokes all round the wicket.

Taken as a whole, Winchester was a school side second to none and well above the average. They had two match winning bowlers

in Brett and Townsend, the latter of whom did well all through the half and Brett in the two big games in June. Mason and Jenkyns were just steady changes. The fielding was well up to the Winchester standard and first class against Eton : the batting was solid and there was plenty of it. Brett, with a watchful defence and very good on the on-side. Walker, hard to bowl out and a sound wicketkeeper. Winlaw, a stiff player with a strong defence, Milligan, a dangerous and very powerful driver, as was proved at the Oval in the Young Amateurs of Surrey matches-deserve special mention, and all the others could bat better than a bit. Scott, a first-rate captain, improved immensely and should do well at Oxford. In general, Winchester were a hard side to beat. They did not have enough "class" batting of an attacking order to be really dangerous but when Brett and Townsend were both in form, they were good enough to get rid of any school side so quickly that this defect did not matter. Walker captains the side this summer and Guise, Winlaw, McCaskie and Jenkyns are left, in addition to Stephens, the twelfth man.

Rugby had a fairly successful season. The first three matches were won, including that against the Authentics, who had a good side, but they were beaten later by the Free Foresters, B.N.C., Old Rugbeians and Clifton. Clifton was the chief failure, as, after gaining a lead on the first innings, the Rugby batting collapsed unaccountably on a slow, dead wicket against the bowling of Tilly and Warren, and Clifton won by five wickets. Towards the end of the season, the side improved and-mainly owing to Dawson who took seven for 33-Uppingham were beaten and later, M.C.C. and the Town. Apart from the fielding, the bowling of Dawson and the batting of Davies, Rugby were not seen at their best at Lord's, where the absence of Hunting, the wicket-keeper, weakened the team. Dawson was the mainstay of the eleven and his average of 11.9 for fifty-three wickets by no means flatters him. He was not suited by slow wickets but was always dangerous when the going was firm. Bowling at considerable pace, he was able occasionally to bring the ball back sharply and knew when to take himself off. He was an enterprising but uncertain bat. The batting of the side was not consistent, though Davies, who scored 73 and 61 against Marlborough, Hunting, Knox and Williams all made runs on occasion. The highest score of the side was 224 against the Town. Hunting will captain the school this year and Norman, a very promising batsman and two others are also available.

With nine old colours available, including all their bowlers, great things were expected of *Marlborough* and the opening match against the Authentics, which was won by four wickets, after the visitors had declared at 290, strengthened these hopes. All the school matches, however, were drawn, the batting breaking down at Winchester, where rain robbed the home side of victory, while Wellington, required only 34 runs to win, with six wickets in hand. when time saved Marlborough. On the other hand, Cheltenham followed on 223 runs behind and only just managed to save the game, and the season concluded with a moral triumph at Lord's, where only time deprived Marlborough of a decisive and long-delayed victory over Rugby. Anderson and Armstrong, whose partnership at Lord's will never be forgotten by those who saw it, Morton, Hewan and Greener, were the backbone of a strong batting side. Morton played two great innings of 140 against the M.C.C. (beaten by 135 runs after leading by 38 on the first innings) and 171 against Cheltenham. The bowling did not realise expectations, Morton's slow left-hand deliveries and Armstrong's medium pace breaks meeting with little success, though the latter came off at Lord's, as did Page with his leg-breaks in the second innings, when Rugby had their backs to the wall. Marley, the faster left-hander, improved considerably on his previous year's record, among his best performances being 10 for 96 in the two innings v. M.C.C., and ten for 150 v. Cheltenham. The fielding was smart and keen and Comber kept wicket in most promising style, very quietly and effectively. Hewan remains to captain the side this year and Comber and Greener are also available.

Although they won only two school matches-against Clifton and Malvern-Cheltenham were not beaten, the other seven engagements being drawn. With six old colours, they had batting above the average, bowling which depended too much on two men, and fielding which, after an uncertain start, improved steadily as the season advanced. Woollatt, the captain, was an attractive bat, who never failed to punish the loose ball. Graham-Smith had strokes all round the wicket and an irreproachable style, and Bryce-Smith, a wicket keeper of quiet methods and great skill, though not scoring many runs, showed signs of developing into a good left-hand "No. 1." A remarkable innings was that of Ekin, in the Marlborough match, when he went in on the fall of the sixth wicket and hit up 104. On the same occasion, Burton stayed in nearly three hours for 36 and these two players, between them, saved the game. Taite was easily the best bowler-medium pace with a nice flight and an easy action. He can spin the ball and occasionally sends one down that is faster and goes with the arm. Ekin though he lacks sufficient spin, bowled slow leg-breaks with success, and was never afraid to encourage batsmen to try and hit him. The change bowling was mediocre. Bryce-Smith (captain), Graham-Smith, Ekin, Taite, Page, Higson and, possibly, one other old colour, are available this year.

Haileybury failed to win a school match, rain completely spoiling the Uppingham and Cheltenham fixtures, and some very fine bowling by Garthwaite, the leg-breaker, giving Wellington—at one time in an apparently hopeless position-victory by 33 runs, but they were nevertheless a strong batting side. The second innings against Wellington was the only occasion during the term, except against the "Googlies," when A. G. Doggart took five for 53, on which the batting broke down. There were four left-hand batsmen on the side and, of these, Evans and Starnes showed especially promising form while all, down to No. 10, could make runs. Sumner, who was away from some of the matches and hardly at his best, played a good innings of 104 against Wellington and quite recovered his form in the holidays when assisting Dorset. Sergeant played a fine innings of 119 not out, when the school declared and lost to the Cryptics and an equally good effort of 89 by Evans failed to save the game against the Incogniti. Evans, who bowls right-hand spinners and can turn the ball either way, ought to become very dangerous, as he should have at least two more years in the eleven and Wills, a slow left-hander who can keep a length, is a useful foil. Whitcombe provided the faster left-hand element, with Hardy and Addinsell, who came into the side at Lord's, as changes. The fielding, which, in the absence of the captain, was not seen at its best at Lord's, was safe and generally brilliant, and Wolff, when he has gained more confidence, should develop into a sound "keeper." Sumner will again captain the side and will have the assistance of Wolff, Evans, Wills and Newsom.

As is frequently the case, Clifton were relatively a better side on slow wickets and their four wins all took place during June. Their victims included Rugby, who were beaten by five wickets. the winning stroke being made off what must in any case have been the last ball of the match. Tilly, with eleven wickets for 88 and 38 not out, in the first innings and Nattle, with 52 not out, in the second, had a big hand in the issue. Tilly, in his second year of captaincy, although not fulfilling his early promise took forty-seven wickets for just over 17 runs apiece with his slow medium bowling. The side was handicapped by the lack of a left-handed bowler and also by the complete loss of form of Cornes, who had headed the averages the previous year but now dropped out of the team. Whitty, a fast medium bowler with a useful knack of gaining early successes, failed at Lord's and at Cheltenham to adapt himself to the sloping pitches. The Cheltenham match was lost, Nattle and Kettlewell alone doing themselves justice with the bat. Nattle, who in contradistinction to some of the others, plays with a very straight bat, was a sound opening batsman and, of the others, Tilly averaged over 30 and Tovey, Nuttall and Owen all showed promise. The last three are available this season, in addition to Bonham-Carter, the wicket-keeper. A bright feature of the season at Clifton was the exceptionally good catching of the side.

The Tonbridge XI must rank high among the schools of the year,

all the schools' matches having been won in convincing fashion. Sherborne were beaten on their own ground by an innings and 93. Lancing at Tonbridge, by an innings and 42 and, finally, Clifton, at Lord's, by ten wickets. Tonbridge in this encounter avenging the narrow defeat of last year. The batting was seen at its best not only on the wonderful Tonbridge wicket, on which Crawford scored 144 against the M.C.C. and 141 against I.Z., but elsewhere. Both he and Armour hit centuries at Lord's, where Baiss also played fine innings against The Rest and The Army. These three made nearly 2,000 runs between them and were the mainstays of an undeniably strong batting side, though Davies hardly fulfilled the promise of the previous year. The value of Baiss to the team, not only as captain, cannot be over-estimated. A sound first-wicket batsman, who restrained his natural impulse to hit, he was also a very useful leg-break bowler-he took six for 28 against Sherborne-and a brilliant cover with a deadly return to the wicket. There was variety in the bowling, but here Rutter stood out by himself. Fast medium, with a high action, he made the ball rise quickly and swing away from the off. Among his performances, were five for 29 against Lancing and five for 34 against I.Z. With Baiss setting a fine example, the fielding, on occasions, reached a very high standard. Crawford, who gained his colours in 1926, is captain this year. An upstanding batsman, with great driving power and strokes all round the wicket, he is a useful right-hand medium pace bowler. The other old colours are Davies and Musson.

Although winning no matches, Malvern as a whole, were rather better than the sides of the last two or three seasons. The batting was decidedly strong, but the bowling was weak. The team is a young one, seven being available for this season, and three or four of them, in all probability, for the following year. The fielding left something to be desired. The outstanding batsmen were Pullan and Moss, but Lister, Crabtree, Walker, Gilford and Bird could all make runs and need, chiefly, experience. Bird who is also a very fair wicket-keeper, though he did not "keep" for the side, should make further improvement as a batsman. The bowling was lacking in variety. Nunneley did a large amount of work and showed himself an enthusiastic and keen captain. Pellew, a left-hander, came on well but, though his length is steady, he has not the ability to spin the ball sufficiently to make himself a "difficult" bowler. Crabtree spins the ball from the off and should be very useful when he has discovered his best pace through the air. Gilford, too, bowled steadily; he makes the ball swing and gets a little turn either way from the pitch. Horrocks kept wicket consistently well.

Though weak in bowling variety, *Repton* were, on the whole, a well balanced side, the batting being particularly strong. Mendl, R. H. C. Human, Williams, Clarke and Joyce all averaged 30 or more. The Shrewsbury match was abandoned without a ball being bowled and the game against Uppingham was drawn. Against Malvern, Repton put together 442, Joyce making 108 and R. H. C. Human 107, but Malvern found little to fear in the bowling, hitting up 244 and 323 for three wickets. The fielding was of a really high standard, the members of the side being of a type which causes a captain the minimum of anxiety in setting the field suitably to each man's ability. Mendl, who with seven other old colours, is available again, though awkward in style, is a safe opening batsman and Clarke when he has overcome a certain recklessness, should be good for heaps of runs. If Repton can discover a fast bowler, also a lefthand bowler, they should prove a dangerous side this year, especially if J. H. Human fulfils his promise.

Without in any way being a one-man side. Shrewsbury undoubtedly owed much of their success to the bowling of Bland, who was suited by the wet wickets, rare elsewhere, but frequent at Shrewsbury last season. His bowling figures-seventy-six wickets at an average of 8.77-speak for themselves and his specific performances in school matches-six for 25 and four for 32 against Uppingham, seven for 13 and seven for 28 against Rossall-are even more emphatic. He had a useful foil in Craven, fast medium right-hand, who took five for 22 in Uppingham's second innings. Although Moore and Snow between them made more than a third of the runs scored, the batting strength lay more in general consistency than in individual excellence, nine players topping the half century at least once. Moore, who captains, Snow, a left-hander with good strokes all round the wicket, Green, who bowls slow medium right-hand, swinging from leg and breaking in from the off, Birch and Headlam, both promising bats, are left as a formidable nucleus for this year. Raikes, who has gone up to Cambridge, was one of the best wicket-keepers in the schools and the ground-fielding and catching were good throughout the side. Shrewsbury, who won eight of their thirteen matches, losing two, drawing one-a couple were abandoned-beat Rossall by an innings and 56, and Uppingham by 279 runs, the Repton match not being played owing to rain.

Uppingham were not so strong as their average side of recent years, inconsistency being shown both in batting and in fielding. They had two prolific scoring bats in Chalk and Sutor, the latter getting a century in each innings in the drawn match against Repton and a third in Christopherson, who was, however, handicapped by ill-health. Chandler was the "battering-ram" of the attack, which badly needed really good length spin bowling. The Haileybury match, in which Sutor played well for 81, was ruined by rain but Rugby and Shrewsbury both won handsomely, the Uppingham batting collapsing badly in the Shrewsbury match, against the bowling of Bland. Several members of the side had a tendency to hook the straight ball with fatal results and the team, as a whole, were lacking in anticipation in the field. Chalk, a fine cover point, useful change bowler and free scoring batsman has been made captain for the coming year.

Rossalt were a poor team and lost their two school matches against Shrewsbury and Stonyhurst. The excellent Shrewsbury bowling disposed of them for 33 and 53 and, if the collapse was not so bad against Stonyhurst, the total did not prove large enough to avert a six wickets defeat. Only two old colours being left, the side was necessarily a very young one which may be expected to do much better another season.

much better another season. Winning two, drawing two and losing one of their school matches, Westminster had a good season. The strength of the side lay in its excellent fielding, Gardiner, at cover, Luard, at mid-off and Wakeley, in the slips, all distinguishing themselves, but the batting had the

merit of consistency and the bowling was varied in character. Bompas the wicket-keeper, made more runs than anybody else, by methods which had style and force, and Gardiner, the captain also scored freely, though he was more brilliant than reliable. J. W. M. Aitken, a left-hander, Symington and Lonsdale-the last an unpolished hitter-all made runs when required, Aitken getting an excellent century against Radley. The only real batting failure was against Sherborne. In bowling, Wakeley, fast for a boy, was capable of long spells with the ball and Gardiner, fast medium, Jones, possibly the best of the four, and Symington, slow in-swingers, all took wickets. Jones, who varied his pace and flight with subtlety, sent the ball high in the air and also had a slight off-break. P. R. Aitken, discovered late in the season, kept a good length and showed promise. Bompas (captain), Luard, Lonsdale, Colt-Williams, P. Aitken, Symington and Graham should form the nucleus of a strong side this summer. J. A. Evetts, a promising all-round player, who gained his "Pink" the previous year should, with encouragement, go far.

Consistent batting characterised *Charterhouse* cricket, eight of the side making 50 and over in an innings, but the true, hard wicket at Godalming once more proved a handicap when the school had to play, as at Winchester, on a soft pitch. Although nearly every member of the side, including Hale, the wicket-keeper, bowled at one time or another, there was little danger in the attack. Hunt, slow left-hand, and Bruce-Jones, right-hand leg breaks, were the most promising, but the school wickets did not favour their type of bowling. Welby-Everard was fast but erratic, Carlile was steady and Pearce, who usually "slings them down," distinguished himself in the Winchester match by bowling googlies, his analysis reading four for 28 ! Pearce, one of the best bats Charterhouse have had for some time, scored 72 against Wellington, who were beaten by 75 runs, and 50 not out, against Harrow. In the latter match, which was drawn, Hartley put together a good 58. Charterhouse narrowly escaped defeat from Winchester, who made 170 and then got nine of their opponents out for 122. The match against Westminster was lost by 33 runs.

Bradfield, with four wins, three draws and six losses, had a reasonably successful season. They lost to Wellington and the Radley match, in which Scott made 53, ended in a draw. They had a hard-hitting No. 1. batsman in Gilbert, who captains the side this year, with six old colours remaining. These include Evans, a promising right-hand fast bowler, Castley, a good wicket-keeper, Marshall, slow leg-breaks, Gray, medium right and Mason, a stylish bat. The fielding of the side was of the "curate's egg" variety, but the batting in which Scott, Groves and Stephens, a hitter, stood out prominently, was on a higher plane and the bowling was useful.

Garthwaite, the Wellington captain, had to rely very largely on his own bowling, the remainder of the attack being on the weak side, but there was some strong batting by Benn and Dashwood, while the fielding and catching were first rate. Wellington started poorly, but improved and, with Dashwood and six others available this season, should prove a strong side if they can find the bowling. In the school fixtures, they had the best of a drawn game with Marlborough, Dashwood making 86 and Freeland 53, not out, drew with Westminster and in spite of a good 55 by Benn, were beaten by Charterhouse. Bradfield proved easy victims, Dashwood contributing 73 of his side's 300 and Munro (four for 50) playing an important part in Bradfield's dismissal for 177. The best win of the season, however, was in the Haileybury match. Wellington batted first and put together 266. Benn making 95 and Lawson 68 and, after Haileybury had replied with 344, Dashwood (65) and Munro (50 not out) helped towards a total of 237. Haileybury were a strong batting side and with only 160 runs needed, things looked black for Wellington, but Garthwaite's leg-breaks came to the rescue and Haileybury were all out for 126. Garthwaite taking seven for 51. Dashwood, a fine field in "the gully," is a natural forcing batsman who is learning restraint, while Benn has all the qualities necessary for opening an innings.

In Adams, their captain, *Radley* had one of the outstanding school players of the year. He is a punishing bat, who had the useful knack of coming off in school games. When Radley went under to Westminster, he made 54 out of 156; he hit 53 against Sherborne, who were beaten by nine wickets, and 101 against Stowe, when rain curtailed play. The match against Bradfield was left drawn. Apart from his forceful batting, Adams is a first-rate cover-point, with a quick and accurate return and a real facility for moving with the stroke. Van der Gucht, the wicket-keeper, Rogers, Miller and Borgnis, also made runs, but the bowling was rather limited. Blunt, medium paced, shouldering a lot of responsibility. Dinwiddy bowled his slows, on occasions, very well. He can turn the ball either way, and also bowl the "wrong 'un " and this, with a certain idea of length, makes him a real asset to the side.

St. Paul's beat Leys and Bedford, drew with Dulwich and Stowe, and had a good season. Felton, the captain, a fast medium bowler and a more than useful bat, was fortunate in possessing an opening pair in Hadingham and Shneerson, who seldom failed in their task. Hadingham, has an admirable defence and though lacking, at present, in power in front of the wicket, times the ball well on the leg-side. He is also an excellent out-field and can keep wicket if necessary. Shneerson, not yet sixteen, is already able to drive and is a most promising bowler. He and Hadingham broke the school record by making 253 for the first wicket against Old Paulines. Burt, a sound and stylish batsman, brilliant cover-point, and a change bowler, Maclean, a forcing bat and wicket-keeper, Joseph, who took four for 8 with leg-breaks against Leys and Jobson-Scott a left-hand bowler and first rate field, all contributed a big share towards the school's success.

Dulwich, though beaten by Brighton, had an easy win over Mill Hill and played drawn games with St. Paul's and Bedford. Wilcox, the captain, who has played for Essex, made over 600 runs, averaging 47.84, but he failed in the school matches except against Brighton, when he scored 46. Smith, Knowland, King and Reynolds were the other principal run-getters and the bowling honours were shared by Wilcox (slow), Simmons, a good length bowler, and King, fast medium. Wilcox, Simmons and King all remain at the school. King should be really fast and do well, as he has the right physique. The fielding was splendidly keen, Knowland and Frankford being particularly efficient in this important branch of the game.

Six men averaging over 20 and five bowlers, taking eighteen or more wickets at a cost of 22 runs or less, *Sherborne* were a useful side. Hodgkinson, the captain, kept wicket and going in first with Gladden, could also make runs. Kreyer, fast medium righthand, was the all-rounder of the team and Mermagen, a fast righthand bowler, showed signs of batting ability. Westminster sustained their only defeat at the hands of Sherborne, who beat Downside easily, but failed badly against Tonbridge and Radley, the latter result being all the more surprising as Westminster had trounced Radley.

Beaten by Tonbridge, *Lancing* defeated Brighton, Eastbourne and Christ's Hospital and might fairly claim to be regarded as a strong side, though they had no left-hander, either in batting or bowling. Collins and Cawston, both of whom played for Sussex, were the outstanding batsmen. Collins, who averaged 71, hit four centuries and, of these, 149 v. Blue Mantles, 105 not out v. Sussex Martlets and 212 v. Lancing Old Boys, were scored within a short period of each other. He made all the strokes, almost his only defect being an occasional failure in patience. Cawston, who hit three centuries and is this year's captain, drives and cuts well, but there were moments when he failed to watch the ball. He was also the best bowler, coming off the pitch very quickly. Roffey, a forcing bat, Church, Taylor, Wheeler and Beale, all played good innings at one time or another. Forsyth and Pears both fast medium, supported Cawston well in bowling, though Roffey was proved rather innocuous. The fielding as a whole was good, particularly in the cases of Luxmoore, Taylor and Roffey.

Winning seven matches, losing two and drawing four, *Bedford* had a good season. The two losses were against St. Paul's and Oundle, but Bedford beat Wellingborough in a match in which Brown, a slow spin bowler, had the remarkable analysis of four for 5. Cook, this year's captain, who has splendid physique, averaged just under 45 for batting and, right-hand fast medium, took second place in the bowling averages. Sime, the captain, disappointed in batting but his slow left-hand bowling put him on top of the averages with thirty-nine wickets for just over 15 runs apiece. Carlyle-Gall, a forcing bat with good eye and wrists, averaged 50, but the strength of the side lay in its general batting soundness. There was a useful variety in the bowling as, in addition to those mentioned, there were Smith, left-hand medium, Wise, slow medium right-hand and Gideon, slow right. The fielding of the side, except in the St. Paul's match, was always good and, occasionally, brilliant.

Though beaten by St. Paul's and Felsted and having the worst of a drawn game with Bedford, Leys had to their credit, victories over U.C.S., Oundle and Mill Hill. Brown, the captain, lacked patience but was successful at times with the bat and his mediumpaced right-hand bowling quick off the pitch, again and again gave his side the upper hand. Against U.C.S., he took five for 33, against Felsted, six for 60, and in the Oundle match, eight for 21. Bate, who made 109 against Mill Hill, Turner and Plant were other run-getters and Champness and Hutchings proved useful in the attack All the school matches won took place at home. With six old colours, including Brown, there is every prospect of a good season.

It was unfortunate for *Felsted* that their captain, Stuart-King, who has played for Essex, should have been able to bowl only for limited periods. His fast deliveries, which contrasted admirably with those of Barson, a slow left-hander, had a big bearing on the match against Leys, his analysis being four for 12 and Barson's, five for 21. Phelps, an all-rounder, did well both in the drawn game against Mill Hill and against Highgate—a new fixture, which was lost. Parker showed promise as a batsman and Brabner, a medium right-hand bowler, was often of service. Stuart-King was a dashing batsman, the use of his feet in playing slow bowling being especially good. Phelps improved his batting enormously, as did Powell. The former should make quite a good spin bowler. Mostly very young, the side should have time to improve. Harrison, it is hoped, will realise the promise of his youth as a left-hander —batsman and bowler.

With good wins to their credit over Cranleigh, Aldenham, King's (Canterbury), and Felsted, *Highgate* must be regarded as a strong side, though they could have done with a fast bowler. Still not until early July were they beaten and then by a strong M.C.C. side. Fabian, the captain, Webster, Walton and Reeves, were the principal scorers. Webster had a very fine match against the Common Room, when he scored 143 not out, and took five for 46. Fabian, a slow medium spin bowler, who turns the ball both ways, Webster, medium left-hand, who will be captain this year and Cunningham, slow medium right, were the mainspring of the attack.

Cranleigh showed themselves a difficult side to beat. The captain, Heard, who twice topped the hundred, had good backing from Francis, Suter and Hatton. Of these, Hatton was the most consistent and, undoubtedly, his batting, half-way down the side, hélpéd the school to more than one victory. The bowling had variety, with two fast medium right-handers in Francis and Mackay, Attwell, slow left-hand, and Suter, right-hand medium. Poole, a most promising wicket-keeper, should have at least, one more vear at school.

Dournside, who were heavily defeated by Sherborne, had a moderate season. Ratcliffe, Glass and Haddon-Cave, all scored over 300 runs. Ratcliffe and Haddon-Cave each hit up a hundred. Péttit, a useful all-rounder, bowled fast medium right-hand, with a peculiar jerky run to the wicket, turning the ball appreciably and coming quickly from the pitch. Mention should be made of a fine forcing innings by Haddon-Cave against Clifton Club, when he hit up 112 not out, in forty-five minutes. Four old colours, of whom O'Connor and Allan have shown much promise, are left.

Though they won only three out of 15 matches, losing four, and drawing seven, with one resulting in a tie, *Ampleforth*, a particularly young side, had no occasion to be disheartened. St. Peter's, York, and Durham both beat them and the Bootham match was drawn. Carroll, an aggregate beat, was the most consistent scorer, obtaining an aggregate of 453, with an average of 41, and he also headed the bowling figures with twenty-four wickets for 18.83 apiece. They had a promising fast medium bowler in Ruddin, and Bean, an all-rounder who bowls slow leg breaks and Grieve, who should develop into a good opening batsman, are others from whom much is hoped. In the Emeriti match, Rabnett, the captain, did the hat-trick, his analysis at one time reading four wickets for 5 runs and he followed this up with 113 not out.

While they could make runs, *Sedbergh* found a difficulty in getting rid of their opponents. Nicholls, the captain, a left-hander with good defence, and plenty of scoring shots, headed the averages and the side were lucky to have a reliable opening pair in Armstrong and Watherston. Brookes, a medium paced left-hand in-swinger, took most wickets, but of the others, Doran, medium right, was erratic and Moffatt, who is fast off the pitch, suffered from ill-luck. Hockin, discovered late in the season, showed promise. Sedbergh, who were once beaten and won three matches, all the rest being drawn, had a narrow escape against Stonyhurst, Brookes—who batted one and a half hours for 5 runs—helping to save the match. Dahl, a sound batsman, showed ability behind the wicket.

H. S. de Caires, of *Stonyhurst*, celebrated his captaincy by heading both the batting and bowling averages with figures of 61.91 and 13.2 respectively, scoring 681 runs and capturing thirty-seven wickets. Against the Craven Gentlemen, he hit up 80 out of 87 in forty-five minutes having previously taken seven wickets for 51. De Caires, who was to go abroad, is succeeded in the captaincy by C. J. Williams, a really fast bowler, who stands 6ft. 3ins.

Elliott, captain of St. Peter's, York, broke two school records, held by Frank Mitchell and R. E. F. Tendall, by his aggregate of 745 and his average of 53. The strength of the school was shown by the ease of their victories against Ampleforth (who were out for 89, after St. Peter's had declared at 265 for five), Giggleswick and Worksop. Avery, who should have two or three more seasons at the school, is a promising left-hand bowler, his twenty-seven wickets costing under 11 runs each.

With six of the side averaging 24 or over, *Stowe* had fair batting strength but the bowling depended too much on Low and Keith. The fielding was good and Carr's wicket-keeping above the average. The Westminster match was lost, in spite of a great second innings effort of 106 by Howland-Jackson. Rain ruined the Radley game and the St. Paul's contest was left drawn, St. Paul's scoring 176 for four after Stowe had declared at 261 for eight (Brind 70, Carr 51).

Beaumont, who lost to Oratory, K.C.S. and Reading, beating Douai and Epsom, had a disappointing season. The batting was inconsistent and sometime unrestrained and the fielding weak as a whole. The bowling, however, had variety and showed signs of improvement. With C. A. Russell back to captain the side once more and six other old choices, Beaumont should better their record this season. Rowe, who hit an excellent 74 not out, against Epsom, displayed decided promise.

My Epsom correspondent writes :-- " Epsom had a curious

season—played sixteen, won four, lost four, drew eight. They lost one or two matches which they should have won, and nearly all the drawn games were in their favour. They had eleven batsmen and one bowler. Two or three people—not wicket-keepers stood behind the stumps on different occasions, missing the opportunity of dismissing nearly 100 batsmen."

The only bowler, D. L. Rhys the captain, took sixty wickets for 13.50 runs apiece. He has gone to Sandhurst and should make his mark in Army cricket. Of the batsmen, Pettigrew and Hunton both hit a couple of centuries and will be available this season. The Brighton match was lost and victory over Christ's Hospital was just missed owing to Epsom's declaration at 258 for three wickets being made too late.

Exciting finishes were the feature of Whitgift's season. The team started off with a tie in the Wanderers match, beat City of London School by two runs, defeated the M.C.C., against the clock, by two wickets and failed by only 19 runs to get 170 in an hour and a half against Old Whitgiftians. The bowling resources were quite adequate, Peart, fast medium, keeping a good length and Stutchbury, Dubois, Thompson and Ewing all coming off in one or more matches. The batting, liable to break down on occasion, was not so satisfactory, but the fielding proved sound. Ling worked well behind the stumps.

Mill Hill were not strong, losing to Dulwich and Leys and drawing with Felsted, after that school had declared. Howard, a first wicket batsman, made runs, but their well contrasted opening bowlers, Lawther, fast right, and Carter, slow left, did not get rid of batsmen as had been expected.

Expectations were not fulfilled at Brighton, the batting, of which much had been hoped, turning out only moderate. The bowling, however, in the hands of Green, Macdonald, Levett and Bartlett, proved to be not so weak as had been feared and the side had a wicket-keeper well above the average in Edwards. Close and exciting finishes were seen in the School matches, Lancing winning by one wicket and Christ's Hospital by one run. Although Brighton beat Dulwich comfortably on runs, the margin of time was very small and the Epsom match, which Brighton eventually won, might well, up to a certain stage, have gone either way. Brighton expect to have nine old colours this season. These include Levett, a left-hand bat with good footwork, Green, a promising young bowler, who can send down leg-break or googlie, varying his flight well, Edwards, the wicket-keeper and Jarchow. The last named, who will captain the side, has an ugly style but is a reliable batsman and possesses the keenness in the field proper to the leader of a team.

The *Eastbourne* eleven, nearly always a young side, was better all round than usual. Of the three school matches, only that against Lancing was lost. Christ's Hospital and King's, Canterbury, were beaten easily. The bowlers proved above the average, Bowyer and Brook being the best. Bowyer, right-hand medium, set a great example as captain and something ought to be heard of him in Hampshire in the future. A word of praise should also be given to Brook, the best fast bowler the school has had since 1918, when N. McLeod was so successful. The batting turned out even all the way down, Bridgewater, Huelin, Duncanson and Bowyer being the best, and the fielding was excellent. Brook, absent from the Lancing match, accomplished a very good performance in taking six wickets for 80 against a strong side of Foresters and Duncanson saved the match with a determined 86 not out.

Beaten by Lancing and Eastbourne, *Christ's Hospital* could claim wins against Brighton and Merchant Taylors'. Letts, one of two old colours, taking four for 47, brought off a one run victory, against Brighton, and hit 144 against Merchant Taylors'. This latter match and that against Cranleigh were both drawn, the Cranleigh game being saved largely through a well-played 74 by Emson, the wicket-keeper.

Dover, who were badly beaten by King's, and St. Edmund's (Canterbury) and by St. Lawrence (Ramsgate), came into prominence in the Merchant Taylors' match, when Fox, their captain, a left-handed free-hitting batsman, made 208 in three and a half hours. Fleury and Fry in batting, and Napper as a bowler, were the other most prominent members of the side.

St. Lawrence, Ramsgate, beat Dover by five wickets and had all the best of a drawn game with King's, Canterbury. Much of the success of the side was due to Linnell, who for the second year in succession averaged 50. Linnell is an aggressive bat with a pretty style, but liable to get out through impatience. He also had to do a lot of bowling, there being a weakness in this department. The six old colours left include Oswald, a good bat, Hardie, an allrounder of promise, and Hill, a very young wicket-keeper above the average.

The loss, early in the season, of Brooke, a prolific run-getter, and opening bowler, and of Veitch, a new colour who was showing much promise, undoubtedly affected the fortunes of *St. Educard's*, *Oxford.* Bader, a forcing batsman and fast bowler, was the captain and bore the brunt of the bowling. Hoare, a fast scorer, and O'Hagan made runs and Sargeaunt (fast), Way (medium-paced swing) and Derme (slow leg-breaks), took wickets for the school who beat the I.S.C. and drew with Bromsgrove. Six old colours remain for 1929.

The disappointing nature of *Bromsgrove's* results came about mainly through inconsistent batting, caused at first by over-confidence and later on by lack of confidence, and to poor fielding and catching. The bowling was more satisfactory, Russell, Swayne, Goodrick, Clarke and Hollingworth proving a formidable quintette. Hollingworth, one of the few players to find his batting form, is a left-handed bowler, who varies his speed and flight cleverly. Six old colours remain for this season.

Equipped with a good opening pair, Stokes and Rawlins, a nicely contrasted pair of bowlers in Covey, slow left-hand, and Benton, fast right, and a class wicket-keeper, *Wellingborough* won six of their fourteen matches and lost five, including the Bedford match. The wicket-keeper was Stokes, who, besides scoring over 600 runs, kept in splendid style, his stumpings on the leg-side off the the faster bowlers being specially smart. The fielding was safe, though not brilliant and Rawlins and Dearmaly, the change bowlers, got wickets when wanted, but there was a weakness in the middle batsmen which often penalised the side.

A two-wicket victory over Bedford was a smart performance by *Oundle*, who owed a great deal in this match to Westmacott (six for 46) and Dixon (51 not out). The latter, a slow right-hand bowler, took four wickets for 27 against Leys, but the Oundle batting had broken down badly and his efforts proved unavailing.

In Ratcliffe, *Rydal* had an exceptionally good cricketer. He hit up two centuries towards the end of the season, which he followed up with 71 for Wales against the West Indies—an innings which coming as it did when four wickets had fallen cheaply had a lot to do with the defeat of the touring side. Ratcliffe is also an excellent field, especially at cover point and more should be heard of him at Cambridge.

Unfortunately, it was not possible for any definite steps to be taken in the scheme for an M.C.C. tour of the *Scotlish Schools*. Possibly this season, some public-spirited member of the club may see his way to take up a side whose visit would do immense good to cricket in the schools beyond the Tweed.

Little has reached my ears as to their doings in the past season but *Fettes* appear to have been a strong all-round side, as their record—played fifteen, won seven, drawn four, lost four—proves. In inter-school matches, their proportion of success is even better, as they had three wins to set against one loss.

Merchiston could not equal this, two of their school matches being lost, one drawn and one won, but they were a young side and the draw against Edinburgh Academy was in their favour. Logan, who will captain the team this year for the third time, is a class wicket-keeper and a dangerous batsman. The batting depended too much on the first four or five men, of whom Connel and Morison were most prominent. There was no fast bowler, but Peterkin, medium right, flighting the ball cleverly and turning both ways, met with considerable success. Lumsden, slow left, should develop this year, when he will be one of the eight old colours under Logan's command.

Out of the fifteen matches played *Loretto* won seven, lost five, and drew three, much the best game, apart from the fact that they won it, being the match with Merchiston. The strength of the side would appear to have lain in the fielding, the catching and returns to the wicket being very good, and in H. L. Henderson, the best wicket-keeper the school has had for years. The Fettes match was lost by eight wickets after Loretto had led by 2 runs on the first innings, and the batting was disappointing after a fair start against Glenalmond, who won by 42 runs.

Winning as many matches as they lost, viz : seven, and drawing two, *Glenalmond* were also "all square" on their school matches, beating Merchiston and Loretto and losing to Fettes and Edinburgh Academy. The team was evenly balanced but greatly handicapped by being without the services of Wotherspoon, the best bat, until late in the season. Stevenson, the captain, whose fastish bowling was unsuited to the heavy wickets encountered, kept an excellent length and improved his batting considerably. MacRosty was a, leg-swing bowler and though a shaky starter in batting, had a big range of shots. Dundas, a steady bat, and Lorraine, more forceful, are both young and should come on well, while Ross, another punishing player, might also become a good spin bowler. Mention should also be made of the steady bowling of Kington, left-hand round-thewicket medium-pace, with a good break back.

Belvedere College, Dublin, who, coached by Albert Knight in the Easter holidays, have had a most successful season, won the Leinster Senior Schools' Cup, beating St. Andrew's College, in the final, in an innings. They went through the season unbeaten, winning eighteen of their twenty-one matches, drawing two and tieing one. E. A. Ingram has established a fine record in Irish schools' cricket, in making more than a thousand runs for his side. Five of the team were selected to represent the Province of Leinster against the Province of Ulster in school cricket. Ingram scored 70 in the first innings and 54 in the second and took eight wickets in the match. He made many runs for the Leinster Club and had the distinction of playing for the Gentlement of Ireland against the M.C.C., 70 an unusual honour for a schoolboy. The following performances for his school speak for themselves :---19 innings (twice not out), a highest score of 149, an aggregate of 1,011 runs and an average of 59.47. 163 overs, 68 maidens, 239 runs, 52 wickets-average 4.59. D. Mulcahy, the captain, was a promising wicket-keeper and useful bat.

Public School Cricket in 1928.

The following are the destinations of some members of last year's school elevens :---

the second states of the second s	Oxford.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton	R. C. Gosling	E. R. Sheepshanks
	I. Akers Douglas	
	J. F. N. Mayhew	
Harrow	W. O'B. Lindsay	G. L. Raphael
stat si Mili si	J. F. Robinson	W. J. Riddell
		W. M. Dear
Winchester	R. S. G. Scott	
	P. J. Brett	
70 J	P. N. Townsend	
Rugby	G. V. F. Dawson	
	L. E. C. Davies	34 T TT 34 -
Marlborough	C. J. Fletcher (1927)	M. J. H. Morton
		J. G. Chappell
Cheltenham	E. P. Arrowsmith	J. F. Anderson
		BID
Haileybury	G. R. Whitcombe	B. L. Deed
Clifton		C. H. D. Bartley
Clifton		R. W. Kettlewell C. H. Adams
		J. H. Walters
Tonbridge	J. A. Baiss	J. C. Brooks
Westminster	L. T. D. Wakely	Hon. J. W. M. Aitken
Charterhouse		
	C. E. Welby-Everard	N. E. W. Carlile
Repton		R. H. C. Human
Uppingham	J. P. Hallett	J. C. Christopherson
		J. A. Sutor
01 1	D W	G. M. Chandler
Shrewsbury	R. Waye	D. C. Raikes
Bradfield	O. S. Scott	
10.11	A. L. N. Stephens	
Radley		G. C. A. Adams
Lancing	P. L. N. Pears	G. Vassar-Smith
Durham	J. A. Adamson	
Sedbergh	R. H. Dahl	
Sherborne	D. A. Hodgkinson	
Rydal		A. Ratcliffe
Highgate		A. H. Fabian
St. Lawrence	TT T T I	A T (0)
(Ramsgate)	H. J. Linnell	A. L. Toop
Bedford	W. A. Sime	F. H. Masina
Leys		r. n. masma

Public School Cricket in 1928.

To R.M.C.:

Rugby—W. Zambra. Wellington—A. A. Benn. Clifton—A. M. Tilly and J. H. Whitty. Tonbridge—K. G. Soloman. Lancing—L. A. T. Roffey. Downside—J. B. E. Radcliffe. Epsom—D. L. Rhys and J. A. R. Robertson.

To R.M.A. :

Charterhouse—P. G. Hatch. Marlborough—R. K. Page. Haileybury—T. H. Hardy. Downside—T. M. Bostock.

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1928.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury, and Tonbridge v. Clifton see M.C.C. Section.

		INDI	EX	
Bedford v. Leys		597 1	Loretto v. Glenalmond	602
Bedford v. Oundle		582	Malvern v. Repton	595
Bedford Modern v. Mill Hill		577	Marlborough v. Cheltenham	581
Berkhamsted v. University			Marlborough v. Harrow	587
College		575	Merchant Taylors' v. Forest	577
Bromsgrove v. St. Edward's,			Mill Hill v. Aldenham	579
		589	Radley v. Sherborne	585
		576	Repton v. Uppingham	585
Charterhouse v. Winchester .		580	Rossall v. Shrewsbury	591
Cheltenham v. Clifton .	. ~_	599	Rugby v. Uppingham	595
Cheltenham v. Malvern .		586	St. John's, Leatherhead v.	
Clifton v. Rugby		580	Christ's Hospital	601
Denstone v. King Edward's,			St. Lawrence, Ramsgate v.	
Birmingham		577	Dover	583
Denstone v. Trent		584	Sherborne v. Blundell's School	588
Downside v. King's, Bruton		589	Sherborne v. Downside	578
Dulwich v. St. Paul's		597	Sherborne v. Tonbridge	582
Epsom v. Beaumont		598	Sherborne v. Westminster	596
Epsom v. Cranleigh		586	Shrewsbury v. Uppingham	596
Epsom v. St. John's, Leathe	r-		Stonyhurst v. Rossall	600
head		599	Stowe v. St. Paul's	590
		587	University College v. King's	
		600	College, Wimbledon	601
		588	Wellingborough v. Bedford	590
Highgate v. Cranleigh		583	Wellington v. Charterhouse	583
King's, Canterbury v. St.		A second	Wellington v. Marlborough	578
		584	Westminster v. Charterhouse	598
King Edward's, Birmingham	v.		Westminster v. Radley	579
Wrekin		576	Weymouth v. Monkton Combe	602
Lancing v. Eastbourne		591	Whitgift v. City of London	579
		592	Winchester v. Eton	593

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL v. UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL. Played at BERKHAMSTED, Saturday, May 26.—T. H. Rowney (five wickets for 22) bowled and batted splendidly for University College, who won by 139 runs.

DERKHAN	ASTED SCHOOL.	
H. F. Smallpiece b Surfleet 6	6 J.C. Evans c F.S.L. Moore b King 3	
S. R. Pope c F. S. L. Moore b Surfleet		
T. C. Wall c Amlot b Rowney	1 King 4	-
R. O. Felton b Rowney	4 A. I. Cameron not out 25	
G. A. Edwards c F. S. L. Moore b	F. A. S. Caldwell c F. S. L. Moore b	
Rowney	1 Rowney 16	,
E. C. Griffith c Surfleet b Rowney (0 Byes, etc 6	
H. G. Maule b King 10	0	-
	- 85	,

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

R. W. R. Moore b Felton 34	R. A. Amlot b Perry
----------------------------	---------------------

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. HARROW SCHOOL.

Played at GODALMING, Saturday, May 26.—The bat beat the ball all through this drawn game. For Harrow, W. O'B. Lindsay showed specially fine form.

HARROW SCHOOL.

W. O'B. Linsday lbw, b Carlile118 T. M. Rattigan run out	G. L. Raphael not out
C. G. Shuckburgh st Hale b Bruce-Jones 11 D. A. M. Rome b McGaw 0 A. S. Lawrence c Pearce b Hartley 54 W. J. Riddell c Hale b Pearce 36 W. M. Wich act out 41	A. R. Ramsay A. E. Lambert W. M. Deas clared closed.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

J. W. R. Hunt c Deas b Ramsay 41 J. Bruce-Jones c Lambert b Deas 46 T. A. Pearce not out	W. A. Anderson I. T. McGaw N. E. W. Carlile C. Middleton J. P. R. Hale P. G. Hatch C. E. Welby-Everard	did not bat.	
--	--	-----------------	--

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM V. WREKIN COLLEGE.

Played at BIRMINGHAM, Saturday, May 26 .- King Edward's won easily by 106 runs.

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

 F. N. Bryan Ibw, b Elliott	E. R. Lapwood st Ship b Elliott. 7 R. A. Lyttleton b Elliott. 7 G. Hyde b Elliott. 11 C. S. Whitehouse not out. 1 Byes, etc. 10 201
W Wyott e Griffith b Bullivant ou	

WREKIN COLLEGE.

VY REAL	00 million i
D. S. Ship b Elwood	J. E. Rowley b Hyde 0 A. G. Gill b Wyatt

Public School Matches.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. MILL HILL SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 2 .- Drawn.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

W. L. Davis Ibw, b Wills. 2 J. Markhaw b Carter. 10 F. W. Manning, b Lawther. 57 H. A. Southcate b Carter. 36 M. E. Kingdon Ibw, b Lawther 0 H. L. Flint b Lawther. 1	N. T. Pryor hit wkt. b Carter0 R. C. Haynes b Wills0 G. H. Wheeler lbw, b Lawther42 J. R. Clarke not out16 Byes, etc	
E. C. Howard b Wills 10	205	
MILL HILL SCHOOL.		

H J. Aish not out	M. R. Das A. O. Wills N. P. L. Chappell G. T. Pinchase J. A. D. Davidson A. T. Jamieson A. R. N. Carter
-------------------	---

DENSTONE COLLEGE V. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL BIRMINGHAM

Played at DENSTONE, Saturday, June 2.- Effective bowling by P. F. B. Bluett enabled Denstone to win by 10 runs.

DENSTONE COLLEGE.

H. N. Place c Wyatt b Plowright		C. Thacker c Plowright b White-
J. G. Garman b Plowright	16	house 0
R. W. Eyre c Eustace b Hyde	75	A. Carter c Eustace b Hyde 2
P. F. B. Bluett b Plowright	0	J. B. Place st Eustace b Wyatt 41
T. B. Carey b Wyatt	21	W. A. Davis not out 3
J.S.Standerwick c Eustace b Wyatt	20	Byes, etc 14
P. Fernie c Lyttleton b Whitehouse		-,
	-	203
KING EDWAR	D'S SC	CHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

D. D. Hand C. I. D. H. C. C. D. D. T. M. L.

D. K. Dudley c Garman b Shiett. 3 F. N. Bryan Ibw, b Bluett. 15 W. K. Wyatt run out. 64 E. W. Elwood c Eyre b Carter. 19 F. L. Bland st J. B. Place b Bluett 21 N. A. M. Plowright c Davis b Eyre 14 A. W. Eustace b Bluett. 0	E. R. Lapwood lbw, b Bluett
A. W. Eustace D Diuett 0	193

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

Played at BELLIVGHAM, Saturday, June 2.—Merchant Taylors won easily by ten wickets, D. M. Parry and E. R. Poulton thoroughly mastering the Forest bowling.

FOREST SCHOOL.

C. H. Tanner b Parry. J. N. Dennis run out. J. T. H. Hodgson b Parry C. B. Weniger b Wildash. G. M. Farwell run out. B. T. Guy b Spurling. J. Coeshall b Crocker.	21 25 0 35 0	R. V. Bray b Parry A. T. Burden b Wildash T. C. de Soysa run out W. H. Caulfeild not out Byes, etc.	0 9 0 14
. coeshan b crocker	14	1	.34

Ŧ Л

MERCHANT TAY	LORS' SCHOOL.	
MERCHANT TAX D. M. Parry not out	K. G. Williamson D. G. Spurling B. C. R. Stevens J. Huskisson C. D. Eden J. T. Wildash did not bat.	
	L. T. Wilkins, W. M. Crocker F. W. English	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Saturday, June 2.—After a fair start, Downside collapsed against the bowling of P. H. F. Mermagen and A. W. Hoperaft, Sherborne winning by seven wickets.

DOWNSIDE J.B. E. Radcliffe Ibw, b Mermagen 8 A.P. O'Connor Ibw, b Hopcraft 8 P. H. Glass b Mermagen 37 F. A. Allan c Ashmore b Seddon 26 H. J. Radcliffe b Eglington 4 J. A. Arnold b Eglington 0 T.M. Bostock b Hopcraft 0	SCHOOL. 7 G. J. Pettit b Hoperaft
SHERBORN	
R. H. Gladden b Day. 74 J. C. D. Gould b Pettit 5 D. A. Hodgkinson lbw, b Monair. 8 P. H. F. Mermagen b Arnold. 16 G. C. Ashmore c Bostock b Day. 16 K. E. Boome not out. 42 E. Knight not out. 35 Byes, etc 15	R. C. Eglington A. W. Hopcraft E. R. K. Glover N. R. Seddon

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at WELLINGTON, Saturday, June 2.--Much admirable batting was shown by both sides in this drawn game.

M	ARLBOROUGH	COLLEGE.

211

MARLBOROUGH	COLLEGE.
R. K. Page c and b Garthwaite 54	R. J. Marley b Hughes 4
T. A. D. Hewan b Hughes 27	C. J. Fletcher c Benn b Munro 18
W. M. Greener c Munro b Garth-	J. G. Chappell not out 40
	J. T. H. Comber c Tailyour, b
waite 1	
J. F. Anderson b Garthwaite 23	Hughes 24
M. J. H. Morton b Garthwaite 53	Byes, etc 10
D. L. Marley b Cowie 4	
R. D. Armstrong b Garthwaite 19	277
WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
A. A. Benn c Page b R. Marley 19	W. G. Tailyour
T. J. R. Dashwood c Morton b Page 86	P. M. Hughes
T. D. T. Jashwood Chiorbon b Lago Co	P. F. Garthwaite - did not bat.
W.P.L. Lawson c Comber b Hewan 26	B. J. V. Cowie
I. H. Freeland not out 53	
K. A. Munro lbw, b Page 16	J. Stewart
J. W. H. James not out 36	and the second
236	
200	

Public School Matches.

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL V. CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

Played at CROYDON, Saturday, June 2.—An exciting win for Whitgift by 2 runs.

CITY OF	LONI	DON SCHOOL.
H. J. M. Darville lbw, b Thompson	5	C. R. Macbeth b Stutchbury 13
F. G. Rattey b Stutchbury	10	M. J. Hart c Ling b Stutchbury 4
M. J. Blake run out	8	J. Y. H. Bowden not out
C. J. Chidgey lbw, b Ewing	23	G. N. L. Harris b Ewing 0
S. G. Cogswell lbw, b Peart	1	Byes, etc 18
G. H. Dean c Diplock b Stutchbury	16	_
R. W. Busby Ibw, b Ewing	0	104
WHITGIFT	GRAI	MMAR SCHOOL.
H. W. Secar c Dean b Blake	14	F. C. Dubois b Blake 0
J. R. Merrett lbw, b Blake	22	W. J. Stutchbury h Bowden 16
N. M. Ling c Bowden b Rattey	13	K, H, DIDlock b Harris 74
H. H. Peart lbw, b Bowden	0	C. E. Neville not out
F. S. Thompson c Bowden b Blake	7	Byes, etc 15
F. R. Ewing c Darville b Blake	3	
D. W. Bottle b Rattey	2	106

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. ALDENHAM SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, June 6.—Besides batting well, H. J. L. Wright dismissed six Mill Hill men for 43 runs, Aldenham gaining a narrow victory by 10 runs.

ALDENHAM	SCHOOL.
G. P. Longfield b Lawther 10 C. R. Walmsley c Beven b Lawther 49 R. P. Stoner c Aish b Carter 29 H. J. L. Wright b Carter 35 R. W. Gwyther c Aish b Lawther 14 L. R. Kent b Carter 12 N. H. Kemp-Welch b Wills 1	R. A. Bird c Jones b Wills 15 C. P. R. Crane b Carter 0 W. A. Leach-Lewis not outs 3 W. V. Owen, c Howard b Carter 6 Byes, etc
MILL HILL P. D. Howard run out. 61 H. J. Aish c Leach-Lewis b Wright 14 T. H. B. Lawther c Leach-Lewis b Longfiel 4 T. Jones st Leach-Lewis b Wright 27 S. H. Palmer Ibw, b Wright. 5 H. E. Beven b Longfield 28 M. R. Das c Stoner b Wright. 5	: SCHOOL. A. O. Wills lbw, b Longfield 12 J. A. D. Davidson b Wright 4 A. T. Jamieson not out 0 A. R. N. Carter c Longfield b Wright 1 Byes, etc 4 165

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Wednesday, June 6.—Declaring with eight men out, Westminster won by 179 runs. I. W. A. Symington took half the Radley wickets for less than 6 runs each.

MESTMINSTER D. A. Bompas c Blunt b Adams 60 H. B. Graham b Blunt	SCHOOL. J. W. M. Aitken, Ibw, b Adams. 106 R. H. L. Cooper not out. 14 L. J. D. Wakely not out. 11 Byes, etc. 14
Adams 1 I. W. A. Symington c Cragg b Blunt 19 H. L. Jones b Gibbs 12 J. K. Luard b Blunt 57	J. A. Evetts did not bat.
* Innings dool	Lozofa Lozofa

* Innings declared closed.

578

RADLEY COLLEGE.

P. I. Van der Gucht, c Bompas b Symington	W. J. K. Cragg c Alter b Symington P. J. Gibbs not out Byes, etc.
--	--

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at GODALMING, Thursday, June 7.-A drawn game, Charterhouse finishing 47 behind with one wicket standing.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

vnsend c Welby-Everard ley

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

J. W. R. Hunt c Guise b Jenkins 17 J. Bruce-Jones run out	C. Middleton not out
--	----------------------

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. RUGBY SCHOOL.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, June 8, 9.—Clifton won by five wickets. In the two innings of Rugby, A. M. Tilly had the remarkable record of eleven wickets for 88 runs.

RUGBY SCHOOL.

L. E. C. Davies c Cornes b Warren 21 - c Kettlewell b Tilly	20
T. Knox c Nattle b Warren 41 - b Whitty	26
P. G. G. Norman c. Owen b. Whitty	0
G. V. F. Dawson c Owen b Tilly 8 — c Bonham-Carter b Warren P. H. Williams c Adams b Tilly 3 — c Warren b Tilly	1
W. G. Dove c Nuttall b Tilly 1 - b Warren	6
W. R. Evers c Owen b Tilly 4 — c Adams b Warren C. P. M. Hunting not out 1 — c Warren b Tilly	1
R. C. B. Barbor c Cornes b Tilly 0 — c and b Tilly	3
A. G. Blunt lbw, b Warren 0 — not out Byes, etc	6
	67

116

Public School Matches.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

J. F. Cornes c Dove b Blunt	15	- b Blunt	0
K. W. Nattle lbw, b Evers	4	— not out	52
J. T. Nuttall b Evers	1		
C. H. Adams b Blunt	17	— not out	10
A. M. Tilly not out	38	- Dawson b Blunt	4
R. W. Kettlewell lbw, b Evers	1	- c and b Blunt	3
R. W. Owen b Evers	13	— lbw, b Blunt	1
G. C. Tovey c Knox b Barbor	0		
J. H. Whitty b Barbor	0	- c Williams b Barbor	3
R. H. Warren lbw, b Evers	3		
R. E. Bonham-Carter lbw, b Evers	0		
Byes, etc	11	Byes, etc	8
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
	103		81

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at MARLBOROUGH, Friday, Saturday, June 8, 9.-Thanks to a plucky innings by S. M. Ekin, Cheltenham, after following on 123 behind, saved the match. M. J. H. Morton batted specially well for Marlborough.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

R. K. Page b Seddon	20 1	J. G. Chappell st Bryce-Smith b
T. A. D. Hewan c Graham-Smith b		Woollatt 8
Taite		C. J. Fletcher c and b Woollatt 6
W. M. Greener b Taite		J. T. H. Comber c Ekin b Taite 8
J. F. Anderson b Taite	71	R. J. Marley not out 11
M. J. H. Morton st Bryce-Smith b	and and	Byes, etc 10
Taite1		
D. L. Marley c and b Taite		395
R. D. Armstrong run out	47	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. M. Bryce-Smith c and b R.J. Marley	19 — c Fletcher b Page 41
J. S. W. Meikle c Comber b R.J. Marley	31 - b R. J. Marley 5
D. A. C. Page lbw, b Morton	29 — c Page b Morton 53
G. C. Burton b R. J. Marley	0 - c Greener h R I Marlow 26
D T Weellett - Menter L D T Menter	o o concenter o it. o. mariley ou
R. J. Woollatt c Morton b R. J. Marley	3 — lbw, b R. J. Marley 1
R. A. G. Graham-Smith b Page	23 — c Page b R. J. Marley 12
E. P. Arrowsmith run out	4 - lbw, b R, J, Marley 1
S. M. Ekin run out	9 - c Greener b R. J. Marley 104
R. R. Seddon b Page	1 — lbw, b R. J. Marley 0
R. H. Taite not out	29 — c Morton b Armstrong 0
F. C. Roope b Armstrong	$9 - not out \dots 0$
Byes etc	15 Byes, etc 23
Dycs, coc	10 Dycs, coc 20
	172 276
	210

SHERBORNE SCHOOL V. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Friday, Saturday, June 8, 9.—Superior in every respect, Tonbridge won easily in an innings with 93 runs to spare. J. A. Baiss took six wickets for 28 runs in the Sherborne first innings.

CUTED DODINE COLOOT	5000
D A Hodgkinson c Musson b Baiss 26 — c Rutter b Cox	2329
	3
B. H. Gladden b Davies 46 — c Baiss b Cox	7
P.H. F. Mermagen c G. H. Astell b Baiss 2 — b Crawford	2
K. E. Boome c C. Astell b Crawford 6 — b Baiss	8
F. A. Simmonds b Baiss 13 — c Musson b Davies	19
R. C. Eglington c Cox b Davies 0 - b Crawford	0
E. Knight lbw, b Rutter 2 - c Musson b Brooks	8
J. C. D. Gould st Plews b Baiss 23 - b Crawford	0
A. W. Hoperaft b Baiss 1 - not out	7
E. R. K. Glover not out 9 — lbw, b Davies	8
N. B. Seddon c and b Baiss 0 — c Armour b Davies	0
Byes, etc 13 Byes, etc	16

141

78

6 3 18

2 15

5 -153

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

J. A. Baiss c Hopcraft b Seddon 9	G. H. Astell c Gould b Eglinton. 21
J. G. W. Davies c Gladden b Seddon 45	R.H.Rutter c Hodgkinson b Seddon 3
R. F. Cox b Mermagen	R. G. Musson lbw, b Glover
C. W. Astell c Gladden b Hopcraft 48 L. L. Armour b Glover	312

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. OUNDLE SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 9 .- Oundle won by two wickets.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

W. A. Sime c Wilkinson b	R. G. Wise b Curl
Westmacott	A. L. Brown run out
E. F. C. Smith run out 57	D. S. Gideon c and b Westmacott
J. G. Cook b Westmacott 15	W. E. Suter not out
G. M. Moll b Westmacott 14	T. Brown c Lloyd b Westmacott
R. T. D. Hopkinson lbw, b West-	Byes, etc
macott 5	
C. M. Carlyle-Gall c Skinner b Curl 6	

OUNDLE SCHOOL.

N. C. Marr lbw, b Wise 11 A. G. Skinner b Smith 6	A. W. Hogg not out 12 J. S. Wilkinson b Cook 15
J. L. Cooke b Sime	Byes, etc 15
C. E. Daniels c Suter b Sime 20	157
D. Ll. Lloyd c Moll b Smith 20	157
H. G. Curl c Wise b Sime 1 E. W. Dixon not out 51	C. E. Powell B. S. Westmacott } did not bat.

Public School Matches.

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE v. DOVER COLLEGE. Played at RAMSGATE, Saturday, June 9.-Showing the better all-round form, St. Lawrence won by five wickets.

DOVER	COLLEGE.
A. C. Fleury b Attenborough 31	S. J. Moore c Rumsey b Scott 8
W. G. Low b Attenborough 14	F. W. Goodbody c Linnell 16
D. E. Fox b Scott 7	C. A. H. Collier not out 8
J. C. Fry c Linnell b Scott 73	K. D. Napper c Watts b Scott 5
J. D. Bradford b Myburgh 18	Byes, etc 15
R. R. Briggs b Myburgh 1	-
R. A. Spear run out 4	200
St. LAWRENCE H. J. Linnell b Bradford	COLLEGE, RAMSGATE. H. H. Scott S. A. Watts C. Myburgh P. G. Attenborough
201	

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGTON, Saturday, June 9 .- Only A. A. Benn showed skill against the bowling of Charterhouse, who won by 75 runs.

CHARTERHOUSH	SCHOOL.		
J. W. R. Hunt run out 14	N. E. W. Carlile c Lawson b Hughes 70		
J. Bruce-Jones c Tailyour b Munro 11	G. L. Hartley c Benn b Cowie 5		
T. A. Pearce c Hughes b Freeland 72	P. G. Hatch not out 14		
W. A. Anderson c Munro b	C. E. Welby-Everard lbw, b		
Garthwaite 0	Garthwaite 0		
J. P. R. Hale c Tailyour b Munro 3	Byes, etc 19		
I. T. McGaw c Tailyour b Hughes 6			
C. Middleton c Benn b Garthwaite 10	224		
WELLINGTON COLLEGE.			
A. A. Benn b Carlile 55	W. G. Tailyour run out 0		
T. J. R. Dashwood lbw, b Hunt. 5	B. J. V. Cowie b Welby-Everard. 1		
W. P. L. Lawson c Hale b Carlile. 15	G. H. Stockley b Carlile 0		
I. H. Freeland c and b McGaw 21	P. M. Hughes b Welby-Everard 9		
K. A. Munro b Welby-Everard 1	Byes, etc 16		
J. W. H. James c Hatch b Carlile. 5			
P. F. Garthwaite not out 21	149		

HIGHGATE SCHOOL v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL. Played at HIGHGATE, Wednesday, June 13.—Highgate won by eight wickets and at the drawing of stumps, with five men out, led by 121 runs. M. G. R. Keeling inflicted severe punishment on the Cranleigh bowlers.

CRANLEIGH	I SCHOOL.
H. R. Heard c Reeves b Fabian. 1	R. L. Brewis not out 7
J. H. C. Hicks b Fabian 0	H. N. Poole lbw, b Webster 14
C. W. Suter b Russell 1	R. H. Attwell lbw, b Russell 4
R. J. G. Durrant run out 5	H. A. Richardson b Russell 1
J. E. Francis c Walton b Fabian. 12	Byes, etc 3
R. G. Mackay b Fabian 8	
R. H. S. Hatton c Parsons b	126
Russell	120

HIGHGATE SCHOOL. A. H. Fabian lbw, b Mackay..... 73 E. W. W. Cunningham did not W. B. Walton run out..... 5 G. W. Russell W. H. Webster st Poole b Mackay 1 P. W. Westerby bat. M. G. R. Keeling b Mackay 143 F. K. Reeves J. N. Parsons st Poole b Brewis.... 7 E. J. K. Chapman not out..... 7 G. H. Adlard not out..... 4 7 Byes, etc. 247

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY V. ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTER-BURY.

Played at CANTERBURY, Wednesday, June 13.—A. A. Egerton-Jones taking slx wickets for 40, King's won by 106 runs. For St. Edmund's, A. F. S. Smith claimed eight victims at a cost of less than 11 runs each.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

R. O. Crouch c Walford b Smith. 62	D. R. Collyer st Anthony b Smith 8 J. P. Wyatt c Hawthorn b Smith 0
A. G. C. Bennett b Smith	H. S. C. Fish c Anthony b Smith 2
C. G. A. Paris b Smith	J. E. F. Lane not out
A. E. C. Bredin run out 20	Byes, etc 11
R. A. W. Binny c Stow b Smith 31	
A.A. Egerton-Jones c Stevens b Smith 0	231
On Energy in Car	CAN DEPENDENCE

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY.

A. W. B. Symonds lbw, b	A. F. S. Smith c Binny b Paris 4
Egerton-Jones 54	B. J. Walford run out 5
J. Rashleigh c and b Paris 3	B. G. Stow b Egerton-Jones 8
H. G. B. Anthony c and b Paris 16	B. W. Thompson not out 4
E. T. Stephens b Egerton-Jones 6	Byes, etc 9
J. R. Hawthorn b Egerton-Jones 10	
C. E. A. Mason lbw, b Egerton-Jones 6	125
J. Orpwood c Fish b Egerton-Jones 0	

DENSTONE COLLEGE v. TRENT COLLEGE. Played at DENSTONE, Thursday, June 14.—T. B. Carey batted splendidly for Denstone, who took the honours of a drawn match.

DENSTONE COLLEGE.

DENSTONE	COLLEGE.
T. B. Carey not out	P. Fernie
J. G. Garman b Buck 34	W. A. Davis
R. W. Eyre c Burton b Buck 10	J. S. Standerwick did not bat.
P. F. B. Bluett b Heathcote 36	A. Carter
H. N. Place b Burton 2	D. S. Richardson
J. B. Place not out	
Byes, etc 6	
Dy 00, 000111111111111	and the second set with the product of the
*226	
	clared closed.
TRENT	COLLEGE.
J. A. Atkinson c Carey b Eyre 15	G. L. Parker not out 7
J. L. Baker b Carter 22	E. J. L. Parritt b Carter 0
C. G. Buck lbw, b Bluett 4	W. Burton not out 1
W. H. Walker c and b Eyre 1	Byes, etc 3
J. L. Barker c Carey b Bluett 30	
C. C. Barker lbw, b Carter	116
U. U. Dalku INW, D Carbon	The second secon

R. A. Ainslie b Carter..... 1 R. G. Heathcote did not bat.

Public School Matches.

REPTON SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Repton, Friday, Saturdday, June 15, 16.—A quiet draw. J. A. Sutor, scoring a hundred in each Uppingham innings, played magnificently.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

J. A. Sutor c Oldfield b Williams111 — c Blyth b R. H. C Human 114 T. P. Lawrence lbw, b Balfour 2 — c Williams b R. H. C. Human 19 J. C. Christopherson b R. H. C. Human 8 — c Balfour b R. H. C. Human 2 F. G. H. Chalk c Oldfield b R. H. C.
Human
G. M. Chandler c Mendl b Williams 1 C. J. Durrant b Williams 4 Byes, etc. 7 Byes, etc. 14
218 *250

* Innings declared closed.

REPTON SCHOOL.

J. D. H. Gilbert b Chandler J. F. Mendl c Hallett b Chandler G. O. Joyce c Haslam b Chandler	13 - st Durrant h Lawronce	5 34
 B. H. C. Human c and b Hurdle C. C. Clarke st Durrant b Lawrence J. S. Williams st Durrant b Lawrence M. B. Brain c Thompson b Chandler 	51 — c Hurdle b Chandler 56 — b Christopherson 11 — not out	00
H. E. Blyth lbw, b Chandler P. C. Oldfield c and b Lawrence. P. S. K. Balfour not out. J. H. Human absent ill.	1 7 4 — not out	7
Byes, etc.	22 Byes, etc	8
	204	98

RADLEY COLLEGE v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at Radley, Friday, Saturday, June 15, 16.—Though Sherborne made a good recovery after a first innings failure, Radley won by nine wickets.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

D. A. Hodgkinson c Blunt b Cragg R. H. Gladden st Van der Gucht b Din-	8 — c Cragg b Dinwiddy 6
 widdy K. E. Boome c Blunt b Cragg. P. H. F. Mermagen b Cragg. J. C. D. Gould c Millar b Blunt. E. Knight b Dinwiddy. F. A. Simmonds b Blunt. A. W. Hopcraft not out. E. R. Glover b Blunt. N. R. Seddon c Adams b Dinwiddy. R. G. Kreyer b Blunt. Byes, etc. 	3 — lbw, b Adams 19 3 — c Dinwiddy b Adams 10 4 — b Jacobs 46 5 — b Dinwiddy 28 7 — c Evans b Adams 28
	89 241

586

Public School Matches.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

P. I. Van der Gucht c Seddon b Hopcraft	53	-	b K	reyer		 	16	
H. P. Dinwiddy c Boome b Kreyer	11		not	out		 	8	
G. C. A. Adams b Kreyer	53		not	out		 	14	
W. K. Rogers c Gladden b Mermagen	75							
C. M. Blunt b Hopcraft	0							
D. C. HCBorgnis c Knight b Seddon	34							
G. L. Millar c Seddon b Kreyer	2							
W. H. Vestey not out								
E. R. Evans b Mermagen								
L. E. Jacobs b Glover	*6							
W. J. R. Cragg b Glover								
Byes, etc.	20		B	Syes, (etc.	 	2	
Dyes, etc	_							
	292						41	

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. MALVERN COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Saturday, June 16.-R. H. Taite (six wickets for 47 runs) and S. M. Ekin (four for 34) bowled admirably for and Taite's brisk batting brought victory by two wickets.

MALVERN COLLEGE.

A. C. Bird b Taite	G. L. Walker c and b Ekin 2 L. C. Nunneley c and b Taite 3 G. B. H. Horrocks c Seddon b Ekin 11 R. P. Phillips not out 5 Byes, etc
W.H.L.Lister c Bryce-Smith b Taite 12	107

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. M. Bryce-Smith b Nunneley J. S. W. Meikle b Pellew D. A. C. Page c Moss b Nunneley R. J. Woollatt b Nunneley R. A. Graham-Smith lbw, b Pellew G. C. Burton b Nunneley	$\begin{array}{c}1\\11\\8\\6\end{array}$	T. D. Mitchell b Crabtree
G. C. Bulton & Runneloy	1	141

E. H. Thompson did not bat.

EPSOM COLLEGE v. CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

Played at KENNINGTON OVAL, Saturday, June 16 .- Drawn.

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL.

H. R. Heard c Robertson b Bentley 6	R. L. Brewis c Pettigrew b Rhys 15
R. J. G. Durrant b Pennington. 0	R. G. Mackay b Rhys 0
C.W.Suter c Younghusband b Rhys 88	H. N. Poole not out 25
G.Hart c Taylor b Pennington 1	H. A. Richardson c Pettigrew b
J. E. Francis c Taylor b Bentley 66	Pennington
R. H. Attwell lbw, b Rhys 13	Byes, etc 12
R. H. S. Hatton c Taylor b Rhys 12	
	261

Public School Matches.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

	R. H. M. Anning J. D. Pennington G. S. Bentley A. D. Worten
--	--

FETTES COLLEGE v. GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).

Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, June 16.—Fettes won a game of many batting failures by 21 runs.

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).

I. K. MacRosty lbw, b Marr Hon, R. M. St. J. M. Dundas b Marr H. D. B. Lorraine b Marr R. Stevenson b Marr	27 4 0	D. M. Ross b Marr W. B. N. Kington c Niven b Marr A. N. Mache c Jones b Veno G. K. Marshall not out	11 14 10
C. I. Wotherspoon b Marr		Byes, etc	8
A. W. Macdonald b Marr			
S. F. Kennedy lbw b Marr	7	1	28

FETTES COLLEGE.

J. F. Jones c Marshall b Kennedy 55	K. G. Anson b Kington 4
G. Veno c Macfie b Marshall 50	A. M. Berkley c Ross b Kennedy 1
P. G. Cumming c Kington b	P. G. Grant lbw, b Kington 0
Marshall 0	H. R. Niven not out 0
	Byes, etc 7
A. C. Hogarth lbw, b Kington 7	
D. M. Marr c Macdonald b Kennedy 5	147
P. J. F. Williamson b Kington 11	
R. T. West c Lorraine b Kington 7 A. C. Hogarth Ibw, b Kington 7 D. M. Marr c Macdonald b Kennedy 5 P. J. F. Williamson b Kington 11	Byes, etc

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. HARROW SCHOOL.

Played at MARLBOROUGH, Saturday, June 16.-W. O'B. Lindsay and D. A. M. Rome shared in a third wicket stand of 157 for Harrow, who, declaring with six men out, succeeded by 195 runs.

HARROW SCHOOL.

W. O'B. Lindsay c Anderson b Hewan	W. M. Welch not out
J. W. Stogdon c Comber b R. J.	*372
Marley	A. R. Ramsay C. G. Ford W. M. Deas

* Innings declared closed.

Ŧ

Public School Matches.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

6

R. K. Page b Ford 0	D. L. Marley b Raphael 6
T. A. D. Hewan c Riddell b Ford 11	J. T. H. Comber c Ford b Raphael 13
M. J. H. Morton c Lawrence b	J. G. Chappell not out 13
Raphael 18	R. J. Marley b Lawrence 20
W. M. Greener b Raphael 1	Byes, etc 14
J. F. Anderson b Welch 55	
C. J. Fletcher c Raphael b Deas. 23	177
R.D.Armstrong c Lawrence b Welch 3	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Wednesday, June 20.—Showing better form in batting, Sherborne proved victorious by 103 runs.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

R. J. Barkley b Mermagen 26 R. G. Ireland lbw, b Kreyer 12 S. A. Armstrong c Seddon b Hoocraft	J. W. W. Lane not out
C. H. Buchanan lbw, b Hopcraft 6	Byes, etc 12
D. N. Haig st Hodgkinson b	
Hopcraft 34	145
D. L. Woods b Glover 8	
E. B. H. Fitch b Glover	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

R. H. Gladden c Lane b Talbot 0 K. E. Boome st Bull b Taylor 33 P. H. F. Mermagen b Taylor 0 J. C. D. Gould e Bull b Talbot 23 E. Knight not out	E. R. K. Glover c Buchanan b Taylor 4 A. W. Hopcraft c Taylor b Barkley 3 N. R. Seddon run out 0 R. G. Kreyer run out 99 Byes, etc. 10 248
--	--

HARROW V. WINCHESTER.

Played at HARROW, Thursday, June 21.—The stronger Winchester eleven won by six wickets, P. J. Brett (four wickets for 21) displaying capital all-round form. For Harrow, W. J. Riddell batted very well.

HARROW.

W. O'B. Lindsay b Brett 17 J. W. Stogdon b Brett 0 C. G. Stuckburgh b Brett 0 D. A. M. Rome c Brett b Jenkins 3 A. S. Lawrence run out 22 W. J. Riddell b Brett	A. R. Ramsay b Townsend 7 C. G. Ford b Townsend 9 W. M. Deas not out 2 Byes, etc
G. L. Raphael c Guise b Townsend	

Public School Matches.

WINCHESTER.

P. J. Brett st Lindsay b Deas 21 B. S. Waiker c Lindsay b Ford 31 B. S. Waiker c Lindsay b Ford 31 R. de W. K. Winlaw b Welch 4 N. McCaskie c Stogdon b Deas 27 R. S. G. Scott not out 17 P. W. Milligan not out 31 Byes, etc 21	J. L. T. Guise A. E. Fellowes P. N. Townsend E. A. P. Jenkins P. E. Mason	}	did not bat.
--	---	---	--------------

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL v. ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD.

Played at BROMSGROVE, Saturday, June 23.—A narrow escape for Broms-grove, who wound-up 142 behind with nine men out.

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD.

L. H. A. Barnes c Punnett b	A. G. P. Way c Goolrick-Clarke b
Goodrick-Clarke	Punnett
P.A.Gibb c Punnett b Hollingworth 26 J. S. Wetherall b Hollingworth 8	*278

* Innings declared closed.

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL.

J. A. Hollingworth c Sargeaunt b	W. D. R. Kay c Gibb b Grove 18
Grove 51	D. Goodrick Clarke not out 7
R. R. D'O. Hemingway b Bader 3	J. B. Russell c Denne b O'Hagan 6
R. E. J. Daubeny b Sargeaunt 5	D. H. Swayne not out 0
A. W. V. Roberts Ibw, b Sargeaunt 0	Byes, etc 17
R. L. Punnett b Way 17	
N. W. H. Wyllys b Way 6	136
W. J. Mathews b Sargeaunt 6	

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

Played at DOWNSIDE, Saturday, June 23 .- King's succeeded in an exciting struggle by 13 runs.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

590

Public School Matches.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

J. B. E. Radcliffe c and b Weaver : A. P. O'Connor b Weaver P. H. Glass not out F. A. Allan b G. M. Bennett H. J. Radcliffe b Weaver T. M. Bostock b Weaver G. J. Pettit b G. M. Bennett	27 Bennett. 1 56 H. Hadden-Cave b G. M. Bennett 1 3 F. W. Day b G. M. Bennett. 0 6 R. E. O'Kelly lbw, b G. M. 4 Bennett. 1 1
	163

STOWE SCHOOL V. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at STOWE, Saturday, June 23 .- A drawn match.

STOWE SCHOOL.

B. C. Gadney b Felton H. V. Kemp c Shneerson b Felton J G. E. G. Jackson c Burt b Shneerson	4 D. A. G. Keith b Burt 28 Byes. etc
A. J. P. Ling lbw. b Joseph A. G. Howland-Jackson c Maclean b Burnaby R. H. G. Carr b Burt B. Brind c Killick b Burt	0 [E. H. D. Low] did not bat. 34 [E. Sheppard] did not bat.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

R. Felton b Kemp. G. Shneerson run out. N. C. Burt b Keith. A. W. G. Hadingham st Carr b Low Y. Oundjian not out. J. G. Richards not out. Byes, etc.	14 41 53 15 13	H. S. C. Killick R. M. Jobson-Scott J. B. Maclean J. T. Burnaby E. A. Joseph	did not bat.
--	----------------------------	--	--------------

176

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at WELLINGBOROUGH, Saturday, June 23.-J. G. Cook (nine wickets for 57) was too much for the Wellingborough batsmen, Bedford winning by ten wickets.

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL.

D. W. Stokes c and b Cook 15 - b Cook	1
C. Rawlins c and b Cook 3 - c Suter b Cook	0
D. H. M. Veitch b Cook 8 - c Hopkinson b Sime	33
C. L. F. Cox c Hopkinson b Sime 7 — b Cook	7
G. K. Benton run out 6 — c T. Brown b Cook	15
J. G. Coney b Cook $0 - c$ and b Sime	8
E. S. Holdaway b A. L. Brown 5 — c Cook b Sime	1
A. Barrett c Wise b A. L. Brown 16 — c Carlyle-Gall b Sime	3
P. A. Little c and b A. L. Brown 5 — b Sime	6
A. F. Wait st T. Brown b A. L. Brown. 0 — b Cook	
T. E. Dearnaly not out	1
Byes, etc 1 Byes etc	
69	82

Public School Matches.

BEDFORD	SCHOOL

W. E. Suter c Holdaway b Coney	1				
R. T. D. Hopkinson c Cox b Benton					
J. G. Cook b Benton					
W. A. Sime b Coney	2				
C. M. Carlyle-Gall run out		- not	out		6
R. G. Wise st Stokes b Dearnaly					
A. L. Brown c Barrett b Coney		- not	out		1
D. S. Gideon c Little b Coney					
G. M. Moll b Dearnaly					
E. A. Rumboll not out					
T. Brown st Stokes b Coney					
Byes, etc	7	I	Syes,	etc	4
- 1	.42				11

LANCING COLLEGE v. EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

Played at LANCING, Tuesday, June 26.—An easy win for Lancing, who wound up 94 ahead with three wickets to fall.

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE.

B. G. W. Bowyer b Forsyth 19	J. A. Griffiths c Luxmoore b
P. A. C. Bridgewater c Taylor b	Cawston 17
Forsyth 5	P. F. J. Duncanson lbw, b Pears 8
J. D. Cooper, lbw b Forsyth 3	W. A. Carey c Beale b Cawston 9
E. Huelin b Cawston 20	N. B. Hunter not out 2
R. E. R. England b Cawston 15	Byes, etc 8
J. R. Kingdon b Cawston 27	Laurent Laurent
R. B. Clough c Cawston b Pears. 10	143

LANCING COLLEGE.

G. A. K. Collins c Griffiths b England		P. N. L. Pears not out Byes, etc.	
J. P. Wheeler b England		and the second	
G. R. Taylor lbw, b Clough			237
E. Cawston b Bowyer	9	M. B. Beale	
M. V. Fletcher st Griffiths b Carey	34	A. N. Luxmoore did not bat.	
G. A. Church b Duncanson		H. W. Forsyth	
L. A. J. Roffey b Clough			

ROSSALL SCHOOL v. SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

Played at ROSSALL, Tuesday, June 26.—A dismal experience for Rossall, who, following on 111 behind, lost by an innings and 58 runs. R. D. F. Bland, in the two innings, claimed fourteen wickets for less than three runs each.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

D. N. Moore b Marsden 0 G. D. C. G. Raikes c Strachan b Buck 0 R	H. Harratt b Hathorn 0 A. R. Green b Clements 5 Waye c Acworth b Wilson 10 H. Craven not out 10 Byes, etc. 11 144
---	---

592

Public School Matches.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

 B. J. A. Lowe run out	0 — c Sever b Bland 1 — run out 3 — b Bland 3 — b Bland 0 — c Waye b Bland 1 — b Green 6 — b Bland 1 — b Green 0 — not out	12 0 0 2 12 12 12 4 1 0
		0
	-	
	33	53

LANCING COLLEGE v. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at LANCING, Friday, Saturday, June 29, 30 .- Lancing could not atone for a deplorable first-innings failure. Tonbridge winning by an innings and 42 runs.

LANCING COLLEGE.

 E. Cawston b Cox. W. J. S. Fletcher b Rutter. G. A. K. Collins b Cox. G. R. Taylor b Rutter. M. V. Fletcher c Solonon b Baiss. J. P. Wheeler c Armour b Baiss. L. A. J. Roffey c Astell b Rutter. M. B. Beale c Cox b Baiss. P. N. L. Pears not out. H. W. Forsyth c Astell b Rutter. 	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37 60 27 0 22 4 11 8 4 11
Byes, etc	9 Byes, etc 1	15
	92 20)7

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

J. A. Baiss b Forsyth 75	R. F. Cox b Roffey 18
L. L. Armour b Forsyth 5	R. H. Rutter b Roffey 4
J. G. W. Davies Ibw, b Luxmoore 19	C. A. Peal lbw, b Cawston 32
T. A. Crawford c Beale b Roffey 74	H. H. Plews not out 0
J. C. Brooks c Fletcher b Cawston 20	Byes, etc 36
C. W. Astell c Forsyth b Roffey 7	
K. G. Solomon c Cawston b Taylor 51	341

Public School Matches.

WINCHESTER v. ETON.

Played at WINCHESTER, Friday, Saturday, June 29, 30.—After being 62 behind on the first innings, Winchester, in the fourth successive drawn match, again took chief honours. Eton started well, Southby and Akers-Douglas sharing in a second wicket stand of 150 in ninety minutes. Southby, who reached 50 in seventy-five minutes, hit eight 4's and Akers-Douglas sent the ball nine times to the boundary during a stay of an hour and three-quarters. Against the bowing of Brett, however, the last six wickets fell after lunch for 83. Brett followed with spirited batting and Winchester, by the drawing of stumps on Friday, stood 191 in arrear with only three men out. Wickets fell rapidly next day, Primrose causing a collapse, while Eton, at the second attempt, failed dismally. Winchester, set to get 116 for victory, hit off 58 of the runs for the loss of half their wickets. Brett bouled wonderfully well, taking twelve wickets in the match for less than 10 runs apiece.

ET	JN.	
A. G. Hazlerigg lbw, b Mason	8 — c Jenkins b Brett	14
A. R. C. Southby c Guise b Brett	87 — b Brett	3
I. Akers-Douglas st Walker b Brett	92 — b Brett	0
E. R. Sheepshanks c Guise b Brett		4
C. H. Gosling c Mason b Brett		1
I. A. de H. Lyle c Guise b Brett	$13 - not out \dots$	3
L. Munro-Hinds lbw, b Townsend	0 - c Winlaw b Brett	7
Hon. A. R. Primrose lbw, b Brett		
J. F. N. Mayhew run out		
J. H. Nevinson not out		
R. H. Jelf b Townsend		
B 7, 1-b 2, w 3	12 B 1, l-b 2, w 1	4
		-

304

....

WINCHESTER.									
P. J. Brett run out			55 -	- cai	nd b No	evinson.		0	
R. S. Walker c Munro-H						imrose			
R. de W. K. Winlaw b P	rimrose.		0 -	- c su	b b Ne	evinson.		1	
N. McCaskie b Primros	B		29 -	- c G	osling	b Nevir	son	5	
R. S. G. Scott c Gosling h	Primros	e	3 -						
P. W. Milligan b Jelf.			29 -	- c M	unro-E	Iinds b	Nevins	on 30	
J. L. T. Guise b Nevins	son		13 -	- not	out			3	
A. E. Fellowes not ou									
P. N. Townsend b Nev	inson		17						
E. A. P. Jenkins c Gos			7						
P. E. Mason c Akers-Do									
B 11, w 1, n-b 1			13	L	-b 1, r	1-b 2		3	
			242					58	
	WI	NCHEST	ER BO	WLIN	G.				
Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	3.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	
Brett 30	8	92	6		25.1	13	23	6	
Mason 9	2	26	1		8	1	6	1	
Jenkins 10	0	44	0						
Townsend 25.3	3	91	2		17	2	20	2	
Guise 9	0	20	0						
Winlaw 5	0	19	0						
		Emon	BOWL	INC					
Nevinson 22	3	81	2		9	1	38	4	
Primrose 27.5		63	6		9	1	17	1	
Akers-Donglas 6	0	22	ŏ					-	

de H. Lyle 21 Umpires : A. J. Atfield and G. Beet.

0

0

3

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

1826 Winchester won by 53 runs 1829 Eton won by four wickets 1830 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1832 Eton won by inns, and 10 runs 1833 Eton won by 14 runs 1834 Eton won by 13 runs 1835 Eton won by five wickets 1836 Eton won by two wickets 1838 Winchester won by an innings and 34 runs 1839 Eton won by 108 runs 1840 Winchester won by 43 runs 1841 Winchester won by 109 runs 1842 Eton won by seven wickets 1843 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1844 Eton won by 27 runs A Tie 1845 1846 Eton won by inns, and 55 runs 1847 Eton won by inns, and 78 runs Eton won by 65 runs 1848 Eton won by inns, and 27 runs 1849 1850 Eton won by five wickets Winchester won by 26 runs 1851 Winchester won by 30 runs 1852 1853 Winchester won by 35 runs 1854 Winchester won by three wkts. 1855 Eton won by 46 runs 1856 Eton won by inns, and 4 runs Eton won by inns, and 31 runs 1857 Winchester won by one wicket Winchester won by three wkts. 1858 1859 Eton won by 19 runs Eton won by nine wickets 1860 1861 1862 Eton won by one wicket 1863 Eton won by inns, and 194 runs 1864 Eton won by nine wickets 1865 Drawn 1866 Eton won by ten wickets 1867 Eton won by inns, and 10 runs Eton won by eight wickets 1868 1869 Eton won by inns, and 36 runs Winchester won by one wicket 1870 1871 Winchester won by 8 runs 1872 Eton won by inns. and 125 runs Eton won by five wickets 1873 1874 Eton won by inns, and 202 runs 1875 Eton won by five wickets Eton won by inns. and 99 runs 1876 1877 Eton won by inns, and 121 runs

1878 Winchester won by six wickets 1879 Eton won by 45 runs 1880 Eton won by 9 runs 1881 Eton won by six wickets 1882 Winchester won by an inns, and 20 runs 1883 Winchester won by 224 runs 1884 Eton won by five wickets 1885 Drawn 1888 Eton won by eight wickets 1887 Eton won by nine wickets Drawn 1888 Winchester won by 114 runs 1889 1890 Drawn 1891 Winchester won by five wkts. Winchester won by 84 runs 1892 1893 Eton won by five wickets 1894 Eton won by five wickets Eton won by inns. and 87 runs 1895 1896 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1897 Winchester won by 51 runs 1898 Drawn 1899 Eton won by one wicket 1900 Drawn 1901 Eton won by two wickets 1902 Eton won by four wickets Eton won by 43 runs 1903 1904 Winchester won by eight wkts. 1905 Drawn 1906 Drawn 1907 Winchester won by nine wkts. 1908 Eton won by inns. and 14 runs 1909 Drawn 1910 Drawn 1911 Eton won by 58 runs Eton won by inns, and 46 runs 1912 Eton won by inns. and 47 runs 1913 1914 Drawn 1919 Winchester won by 69 runs 1920 Winchester won by 131 runs 1921 Eton won by seven wkts. 1922 Drawn 1923 Drawn 1924 Eton won by an innings and 19 runs 1925 Drawn 1926 Drawn 1927 Drawn 1928 Drawn

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 95 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26 one has ended in a tie and 17 have been drawn.

The one day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Public School Matches.

BUGBY SCHOOL V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at RUGBY, Saturday, June 30 .- The chief feature of this matchwon by Rugby by 77 runs-was the excellent bowling of G. M. Chandler (five wickets for 42) and G. V. F. Dawson (eight for 33).

RUGBY SCHOOL.

J.

L. E. C. Davies c Haslam b Chandler	W. R. Evers b Hurdle
P. H. Williams c Haslam b Chandler 11 J. P. Hollick c Durrant b Chandler	164

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL

J. A. Sutor b Dawson 5 T. P. Lawrence b Zambra 9 J. C. Christopherson c Williams b	C. Thompson c Norman b Dawson M. F. Hurdle c Knox b Dawson. G. M. Chandler run out
Dawson	C. J. Durrant lbw, b Dawson 1 Byes, etc
J. P. Hallett not out	87
Dawson 0 J. D. Haslam b Dawson 4	

MALVERN COLLEGE v. REPTON SCHOOL.

Played at MALVERN, Tuesday, Wednesday, July 3, 4.-Much good batting was seen in this game, Malvern, after following-on 198 behind, winding up with a lead of 125 and seven wickets to fall.

REPTON SCHOOL

LUDFION	SCHOOL.
J. F. Mendl b Lister	J. Barker st Horrocks b Crabtree 37 M. B. Brain run out
MALVERN	COLLEGE.
 A. C. Bird c Williams b J. H. Human F. H. Pellew b R. H. C. Human C. D. A. Pullan c Brain b R. H. C. Human E. H. Moss c Brain b Williams G. N. Crabtree c and b Blyth. W. H. L. Lister c Blyth b Williams R. H. Bradshaw b J. H. Human G. B. H. Horrocks c Blyth b Williams L. C. Nunneley c Gilbert b R. H. C. 	22 — c Clarke b Williams
Human M. Gilford not out. Byes, etc.	
2	323

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at SHERBORNE, Wednesday, Thursday, July 4, 5.—A low scoring game ended in success for Sherborne by six wickets.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

D. A. Bompas b Kreyer	0 - c Gladden b Kreyer	5
L. J. Wakely c Hopcraft	6 — b Kreyer	
I. W. A. Symington b Mermagen	20 — b Mermagen	6
J. K. Luard st Hodgkinson b Seddon	4 — b Seddon	19
H. L. Jones c Mermagen b Seddon	5 — b Glover	0
K. J. Gardiner c Hodgkinson b Seddon	7 — b Glover	18
J.W. M. Aitken c Hodgkinson b Seddon	12 — b Glover	6
H. B. Graham st Hodgkinson b Seddon	8 — not out	15
J. A. Evetts lbw, b Mermagen	7 — c Hopcraft b Glover	
C. E. Londsdale c Boome b Mermagen	0 - c Kreyer b Mermagen	
P. Aitken not out	0 - c Hodgkinson b Kreyer	
Byes, etc	4 Byes, etc	15
		-
	73	134

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

R. H. Gladden b Wakely 7	- b Symington 7
K. E. Boome lbw, b Wakely 10	
E. Knight b Symington 1	
J. C. D. Gould b Gardiner 10	- c Luard b Symington 29
R. C. Eglington b Jones 5	
D. A. Hodgkinson c Gardiner b	
Symington 31	— not out 15
P. H. F. Mermagen Ibw, b P. Aitken. 12	
A. W. Hopcraft c Jones b P. Aitken 2	
E. R. K. Glover c Jones b P. Aitken 0	
R. G. Kreyer not out 10	
N. R. Seddon c and b Symington 0	
Byes, etc 13	Byes, etc 11
-	
101	107

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at SHREWSBURY, Friday, Saturday, July 6, 7.—Deadly bowling by **R**. D. F. Bland (ten wickets for 57 runs) and J. H. Craven (eight for 49) contributed largely to the easy victory of Shrewsbury by 279 runs.

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

J. F. Snow c Chandler b Hurdle	$1 - run out \dots 44$	
R. Waye b Chandler	12 — b Lawrence 12	
J. G. M. Headlam c Lawrence b Chandler		
D. C. G. Raikes b Hurdle		
D. N. Moore c and b Chandler		
H. S. Sever c Lawrence b Chandler	22 — c Christopherson b Thompson 33	
E. L. J. F. Birch not out	23 — b Hurdle 12	
R. D. F. Bland c Lawrence b Hurdle	1 — c Christopherson b Chalk., 42	
D. H. Harratt c Thompson b Chandler		
G. A. R. Green b Chandler		
J. H. Craven c Chalk b Hurdle		
Byes, etc	9 Byes, etc 18	

288

Public School Matches.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

J. A. Sutor b Bland	12 — b Bland	4
T. P. Lawrence c Raikes b Bland	15 — b Bland	6
J. C. Christopherson b Bland	0 - b Green	12
F. G. H. Chalk b Craven	3 — c Moore b Bland	
H. R. Cox b Bland	1 — b Craven 2	
J. E. D. Watson b Craven	17 — b Craven	
J. D. Haslam b Bland	0 — b Craven	0
C. Thompson b Craven	0 — b Bland	1
M. F. Hurdle run out	4 — b Craven	0
G. M. Chandler c Craven b Bland	0 c Raikes b Craven	3
C. J. Durrant not out	$0 - \text{not out} \dots$	1
ci ci puitule los succitores en esta	Byes, etc	8
	52 10	06

BEDFORD SCHOOL v. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, July 7 .- Drawn.

BEDFORD SCHOOL.

E. F. C. Smith lbw, b Brown 28 R. T. D. Hopkinson st Masina b Turner	A. L. Brown not out
--	---------------------

LEYS SCHOOL.

F. R. Brown st T. Brown b Sime. 27 E. J. Hutchings c Wise b Cook. 2 R. M. Bate b Smith	H. F. H. Benson c T. Brown b Wise
G. W. Turnbull run out 0 D. R. Macpherson c A. L. Brown b Sime	F. H. Masina J. A. Champness did not bat

DULWICH COLLEGE v. ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

Played at DULWICH, Saturday, July 7 .- Drawn.

DULWICH COLLEGE.

D. R. Wilcox lbw, b Felton	9	G. G. V. Knight c Shneerson b
G. R. Reynolds c Richards b Isaac	26	Jobson-Scott 21
M. C. Chard c Maclean b Joseph	17	D. H. Frankford lbw, b Shneerson 7
P. R. Roper b Burt		J. E. Pettifer not out 36
A. G. W. Knowland b Burt	1	J. P. Halpin not out 8
F. King c Burt b Joseph		Byes, etc 6
L. A. W. Simmons c Joseph b Isaac	36	
D. H. H. Diminolis Courpers	-	*268

* Innings declared closed.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

R. Felton lbw, b Simmons 4 G. Shneerson c King b Knight 66 N. C. Burt c Knight b Halpin 25	Byes, etc 21
V. Oundjian b King 10	198
A. W. G. Hadingham b Simmons 13 B. R. Isaac b Halpin	H. S. C. Killick B. M. Jobson-Scott did not bet

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at VINGENT SQUARE, Saturday, July 7.—Charterhouse just saved the game, needing 34 more runs to win with one wicket to fall.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

 D. A. Bompas c Hartley b Carlile K. J. Gardiner b Welby-Everard I. W. A. Symington c Pearce b Bruce-Jones R. G. A. Colt-Williams lbw, b Bruce-Jones J. K. Luard c Hartley b Carlile J. K. Lones c Middleton b Carlile C. E. Lonsdale c Anderson b Carlile 	5 36 0 7	L. J. D. Wakely H. B. Graham r	run out b Bruce-Jones ot out out	29 24
CHARTE	RHOUSE	SCHOOL.		

ARTERHOUSE SCHOOL

J. W. R. Hunt c Graham b Jones 19 J. Bruce-Jones Ibw, b Wakely 20	G. L. Hartley c Graham b Jones 6 F. R. S. Jeavons c J. Aitken b
T. A. Pearce c Gardiner b Jones. 3 W. A. Anderson c Lonsdale b Wakely	P. Aitken 13 C. Middleton not out 13 C. E. Welby-Everard not out 3
N. E. W. Carlile c Wakely b Jones 7 J. P. R. Hale b P. Aitken	Byes, etc 12 172

EPSOM COLLEGE v. BEAUMONT COLLEGE.

Played at EPSOM, Wednesday, July 11.—A. C. King taking six wickets for 45 runs, Beaumont won by 32 runs. D. L. Rhys, for Epsom (seven for 53), also bowled effectively.

BEAUMONT COLLEGE.

J. B. Rowe not out	H. C. Hewett b Rhys. 5 J. J. Drummond b Schilling. 13 P. D. Spillane Ibw, b Schilling. 4 A. C. King b Rhys. 26 Byes, etc. 15
EPSOM	COLLEGE.
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew b King 30 A. F. Hunton b Clifford 33 J. A. R. Robertson b Hewett 6 F. G. Casswell c Russell b Clifford 15 H. R. M. Bowerman c Russell b	D. H. Holmes c Finlow b King. 15 G. S. Bentley not out. 18 R. S. F. Schilling b King. 4 A. D. Worton lbw, b King. 0 Byes, etc. 8

Clifford 21 C. S. Taylor b King..... 15

D. L. Rhys b King..... 1

Public School Matches.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, July 13, 14 .-- R. A. Graham-Smith batted wonderfully well for Cheltenham, who, declaring their second innings closed with half their wickets in hand, gained a victory by 167 runs.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. M. Bryce-Smith b Whitty G. C. Burton b Whitty	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & - & c & Owen & b & Whitty \dots & 1 \\ 8 & - & c & Adams & b & Warren \dots & 36 \\ 22 & - & b & Warren & \dots & 78 \end{array}$
	1 - c Tovey b Warren 0
D. A. C. Page c Kettlewell b Tilly	1 - C 10Vey D Wallen
R. A. Graham-Smith c and b Tilly	70 — c Tovey b Tilly113
T. D. Mitchell c Nattle b Whitty	34
I Theren a Nuttall b Tilly	2
E. P. Arrowsmith not out	49 — not out 15
S. M. Ekin b Tilly	22
D II Taite a Warron h Tilly	18
D D Goddon a Tofay h Whitty	10 - not out
R. R. Seddon c roley b windey	11 Brres etc. 14
Byes, etc	11 Byes, etc 14
	*287

* Innings declared closed.

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

G. C. H. Adams run out G. C. Tovey b Taite R. W. Kettlewell c Seddon b Taite	$17 \\ 13 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 22 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3$	c Taite b Seddon c Bryce-Smith b Seddon 1 b Higson	1456847142
	151	21	6

EPSOM COLLEGE v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at EPSOM, Saturday, July 14 .- The College won handsomely, putting together a lead of 213 runs for the loss of eight wickets.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL LEATHERHEAD.

P. Z. Henderson c Rhys b Pennington 44	K. G. Sharp b Rhys 12 H. F. Marriott c Hodges b Rhys 0
J. A. L. Wareham b Rhys 2	J. F. B. Keith not out 6
R. A. S. Farnfield lbw, b Younghusband	B. H. Williams c Casswell b Rhys 11 Byes, etc 11
G. A. C. Dunbar b Younghusband 0	116
J. Collinson b Holmes 14 G. L. Bamford c Taylor b Rhys 8	110
C. R. Lucas c Taylor b	
Younghusband 1	

600

Public School Matches.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

J. D. W. H. Pettigrew b Henderson 2 A. F. Hunton c Dunbar b Henderson	D. L. Rhys lbw, b Bamford 16 J. G. Younghusband not out 58 D. H. Holmes not out 50 Byes, etc
Bamford	J. D. Pennington did not bat.

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) v. MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL.

Played at GLENALMOND, Saturday, July 14 .- Declaring with five men out, Glenalmond won by 122 runs.

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).

Hon. R. M. St. J. M. Dundas b R. M. Connell	C. I. Wotherspoon D. M. Ross S. F. Kennedy A. N. Macfie
*204	land should
* Innings dec	clared closed.

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL.

J. Connel c G. R. C. Wotherspoon b	R. N. McKern c and b Stevenson 11
Stevenson 0	H. B. Burgess c Macdonald b
R. M. Connell lbw, b Stevenson 0	MacRosty 6
W. R. Logan c Macfie b Ross 1	W. R. Hornett Ibw, b MacRosty 0
C. R. Morison b MacRosty 13	J. W. F. Lumsden not out 0
W. C. A Peterkin b MacRosty. 19	Byes, etc 12
W. J. Low lbw, b Kington 1	
D. R. S. Milne c Kennedy b	82
Stevenson 19	

STONYHURST COLLEGE v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at STONYHURST, Saturday, July 14 .- Stonyhurst won by seven wickets, finishing with a lead of 29 runs.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

B. J. A. Lowe lbw, b John 20	J. S. Buck c Williams b Mcgrath 6
R. H. Strachan b John 26	J. B. Davies b Williams 17
G. T. Lavery c Morgan b De Caires 36	J. H. Fielden b Williams 1
S. R. C. Young b Mcgrath 0	J. W. Hathorn not out 0
T. A. Marsden c Sayers b Mcgrath 4	Byes, etc 21
P. E. D. Wilson c and b Mcgrath 0	
S. J. B. Clements b Mcgrath 0	131

Public School Matches.

STONYHURST COLLEGE.

H. S. De Caires b Wilson	I. E. John P. E. Meagher A. J. Horton C. J. Williams G. McGrath	did not bat.
--------------------------	---	--------------

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD V. CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

Played at LEATHERHEAD, Wednesday, July 18.—Despite steady bowling by H. E. Glassborow (five wickets for 55 runs), St. John's succeeded by 38 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

P. E. Letts c Farnfield b Bamford 15	D. Roberts c Wareham b Bennett 11
R. J. Gregory c and b Farnfield 0	W. G. Weeks c Collinson b
P. E. H. Andrews b Farnfield 1	Farnfield 8
H. E. Glassborow c Henderson b	G. C. Done not out 0
Bamford 28	G. Richardson c Bamford b
K. C. Hunt b Collinson 8	Farnfield 0
R.H.E.Emson c Collinson b Bennett 29	Byes, etc 22
M.E. Disney run out 4	
	126

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

G. A. C. Dunbar c Richardson b 54 Glassborow 54 R. A. S. Farnfield c Glassborow b 9 J. Collinson b Glassborow 4 P. Z. Henderson c Emson b 16 J. A. L. Wareham b Richardson 0 16 G. L. Bamford c Roberts b Glassborow 24	C. Lucas c Emson bG lassborow. 8 J. F. B. Keith c Hunt b Letts . 0 R. C. O. Goodchild lbw, b Glass- borow 0 B. H. Williams not out 28 J. Bennett b Richardson
---	--

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

Played at WEST HAMPSTEAD, Wednesday, July 18 .-- A drawn game.

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

C. P. Bunnag lbw, b Whitteridge 14 R. Coe run out	B. E. L. Odhams c F. S. L. Moore b 0 C. M. Wilson
Rowney	185

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL.

C. M. Wilson b Odhams	S. A. Whitteridge G. M. Winterbotham N. T. Ringdahl F. S. L. Moore G. M. Wilson W. V .Maugham
-----------------------	--

LORETTO SCHOOL v. GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).

Played at EDINBURGH, Saturday, July 21.-Uneven batting marked this game, which resulted in victory for Glenalmond by 42 runs.

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE).

R. Stevenson c Luxmore b Gedge Hon, R. M. St. J. M. Dundas c Comrie b Gedge	3	D. M. Ross b Comrie 0 S. F. Kennedy run out 19 W. B. N. Kington not out 0
G. R. C. Wotherspoon st Henderson		A. N. Macfie lbw, b Baxter 1
b Gedge		Byes, etc 19
C. I. Wotherspoon b Baxter	0	
I. K. MacRosty Ibw, b Waters.	31	180
H. D. B. Lorraine b M. N. Mitchel	1 31	the state of the state of the state of the
A. W. Macdonald c and b Comrid	e 0	
Lo	RETTO	SCHOOL.
J. M. Luxmoorelbw, b Kington	11	A. D. Baxter c Stevenson b
C. H. Comrie c Kennedy b Kington	1 24	Kington 40
J. A. H. Mitchell b Stevenson	4	M. N. Mitchell b Stevenson 3
W. N. Mitchell b Kennedy	22	J. K. Sinclair b Stevenson 6
D. I. Brown b Kington	13	H. L. Henderson not out 2
I. M. Waters b Kennedy	1	Byes, etc 7

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE v. MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

138

Played at WEYMOUTH, Saturday, July 28.-A win for Monkton Combe by 57 runs.

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

5

P. S. M. Gedge b Stevenson

R. H. Carpenter b Phillips G. O. P. Eaton c Camplin b Norcock W. Watson b McCall W. D. G. Mould b Norcock H. B. Parry b Phillips T. B. L. Bryan b Leonard	E. 6 8 M. 16 H. 18 5 44	3. Rice-Jones run out	
T. R. R. Eve c McCall b Leonard		ATTAL PARENCE STREET	
VV EX M	OUTH COLL	GER.	

G. R. Ormiston b Perrett 1	G. R. Leonard c Eaton b Perry. 16
J. H. L. Phillips b Fortt 10	H. M. Mizen lbw, b Perrett 6
B. E. W. McCall b Perrett 1	A. M. Camplin b Perry 27
P. L. Norcock b Perrett 0	D. W. T. Smithies not out 1
C. T. P. Holroyd b Perrett 24	Byes, etc 14
A. H. S. Henderson c Eve b Perry 21	
A. D. S. Nicholls-Clemens c Fortt b	132
Perry 11	

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, July 13, 14. — For the first time in seven years the Eton and Harrow match was brought to a definite issue, a truly glorious struggle ending at a quarter past seven on Saturday in a victory for the Etonians by 28 runs. Not only did much of the cricket reach a distinctly high standard, but on Saturday the play proved of absorbing interest. Eton, by a splendid exhibition of batcing, extricated themselves from a very anxious position, and put on runs at such a pace that they were able to declare while Harrow—set a decidedly formidable task—fought so manfully that they came desperately near gaining what would have been their first victory over Eton for more than twenty years. Above all the match will always be remembered for the refreshing spirit in which it was contested, each side going out for a win regardless of the risks so entailed.

Eton entered into the encounter possessed of a fine batting reputation, but in their first innings failed so completely to do themselves justice, that they were all out in two hours for 126. To begin with, Ford, a fast right-handed bowler, met with such striking success that three wickets fell for 30 runs. Akers-Douglas and Gosling, the former hitting with much vigour, added 57 in twentyfive minutes, but following the departure of Akers-Douglas—stumped off the wicket-keeper's pads—the last six wickets went down in little more than an hour for the addition of only 39 runs. Welch, a slow right-handed bowler, started the collapse, but the sensational performance was that of Robinson, a pronounced googly bowler, who, put on for the first time at 111, proceeded to dismiss four batsmen in five overs and a ball for 13 runs. Harrow, as was only to be expected in such encouraging circumstances, fielded very well. Thurston acted as substitute for Rome who was slightly indiposed.

On Harrow going in, Lindsay made 22 out of the first 23 runs, and after he left Raphael and Shuckburgh batted with much skill against some steady bowling on the part of Nevinson and Primrose who were admirably supported in the field. The score rose to 53 before Jelf, a slow left-hander with an accurate length, broke up a promising partnership, Mayhew at the wicket bringing off the first of several fine pieces of work. The game afterwards went so strongly in favour of Eton that there were six of the Harrovians out for 100 and, had a difficult chance, offered by Welch when 8, been accepted, the seventh wicket would have fallen at 107. As it was, Welch and Deas, adding 35, placed their side in front. Ramsay, driving hard, the eighth wicket produced 50 runs in half an hour, and 30 runs were added for the tenth, Harrow securing a lead of 108. Welch—through a misunderstanding between mid-on and mid-off had a second life given him when 50 but in withstanding the Eton attack for nearly two hours and a half he rendered Harrow invaluable service. Nevinson, of whom much had been expected as a bowler, met with little success, chief honours falling to Jelf. Mayhew brought off four catches at the wicket.

Eton commenced their second innings on Friday, and again fared disastrously against Ford's fast bowling, the first two wickets falling for 7 runs. Akers-Douglas and Sheepshanks raised the score to 44 before the drawing of stumps, but still Eton entered upon Saturday's play in a position of considerable anxiety as, with two men out, they were 64 runs in arrear. The two young batsmen faced the situation in splendid fashion, playing themselves in steadily, and then proceeding to hit out with great freedom. They were fortunate in finding Ford lacking both the pace and length that bowler had possessed at the start of the match, but they accomplished a great performance in putting on 149 runs in a hundred minutes. Sheepshanks' share in pulling the game round was particularly creditable as he laboured under the handicap of an injured Following upon the third wicket stand, the Eton batsmen always held hand. Gosling, although he gave a chance of stumping when 21, shaped command. extremely well, and helped Akers-Douglas to add 89 in three quarters of an hour, and, with Lyle in, 52 more runs were obtained before a catch at the wicket brought Akers-Douglas' splendid innings to a close. Fifth out at 297, after batting for three hours and a quarter. Akers-Douglas, in putting together the fourth highest score recorded in the Eton and Harrow match, gave no palpable chance, and hit twenty-six 4's. He made runs all round the wicket, but the great feature of his innings was hard, clean driving-straight and to the off. The seventh wicket produced 62, and on the fall of the eighth just at half past three, with the total at 415, Sheepshanks declared. Altogether on Saturday Eton hit up 371 runs for the loss of six wickets in three hours and forty minutes, and so averaged more than 100 an hour.

Harrow were set 308 to make in three hours and a half—a task which demanded runs at the rate of 88 an hour. In view of the tremendous pace at which Eton had travelled, there seemed some slight risk in the action taken by Sheepshanks. Probably the Eton captain considered his accurate bowlers and smart fielders would keep the scoring within more ordinary limits. In any event, to possess a real chance of victory, he had to offer Harrow an inducement to go for the runs. Lindsay taking Raphael in with him, the first wicket produced 42 in half an hour, and Welch being let off when 15, a fine stand for the second wicket yielded 97 runs in rather more than an hour. Unfortunately for Harrows chances, Lindsay, after playing fine cricket, was leg before at 137, and with his departure disappeared all likelihood of the runs being obtained. Indeed, when the fifth wicket went down 114 runs were wanted in seventy minutes. At this point, however, Lawrence and Riddell came together and, despite a shaky start, hif up 47 with the result that just before they were separated, Harrow had five wickets in hand, wanted no more than 67 runs, and had forty minutes in which to score that number. For the moment a Harrow victory had become quite a possibility, but Nevinson broke up the partnership, and the batsmen continuing to try and force the game, the last four wickets fell for 38 runs, Harrow after a gallant effort being all out for 279. Hazlerigg disposing of five batsmen had a big share in Eton's victory. Mayhew, in addition to catching four batsmen in the first innings, stumped three in the second. Naturally there was a scene of great enthusiasm at the finish, several thousands gathering in front of the pavilion and cheering wildly for some time. Delightful weather favoured the game each day, and more than 34,000 people paid for admission, so the total attendance at the match was probably well over 40,000.

E	ron.
Mr. A. G. Hazlerigg c Lindsay b Ford	8 - c Riddell b Ford 0
Mr. A. R. C. Southby c Welch b Ford.	1 b Ford 2
Mr. I Akers-Douglas st Lindsay b Rome	42 - c Lindsay b Ford 159
MIT. E. K. Sneepsnanks b Ford	13 c Robinson b Doss 60
MI. C. H. Gosling c and b Welch	22 - e Rome h Ford 41
MI. I. A. de H. Lyle D Welch	9 - h Ramgaw 67
MIT. L. MOHTOE-HINds C SUD D Robinson	5 - 1bw, b Robinson 6
MIT. J. F. N. Maynew C Deas D Robinson	7 - not out 25
Hon. A. R. Primrose c Raphael b Robin-	
son	4 — c Riddell b Robinson 19
Mr. R. H. Jelf c sub b Robinson	
Mr. J. H. Nevinson not out	1
B 7, l-b 1, w 5	13 B 24, l-b 3, n-b 1 28

126

* Innings declared closed.

HARROW.

	Mr. G. L. Raphael b Jelf.	17	-	rup out. 12
	bill. W. O D. Lindsav D Primrose	- 2.2	-	lbw b Jelf
	Jelf	22		b Hazlerigg
	mi. D. A. M. Rome & Southby D Hazie-			
	rigg	4		c Sheepshanks b Hazlerigg 21
	Mr. A. S. Lawrence c Mavnew b Primrose	12	-	lbw b Nevinson 46
	MI. W. M. Welch not out.	71	-	st Mayhew h Hazlerigg 26
	MI. W. J. RIGGELC Maynew D Nevinson	11		st Mayhew h Haylorigg 20
	Mr. W. M. Deas c Mayhew b Jelf	10		st Mayhow b Haglerigg 52
	Mr. A. R. Ramsay lbw, b Jelf	91		b Novincon
	Mr. J. F. Robinson b Hazlerigg	01	and and	
5	Mr. C. G. Ford b Primrose	11		not out 1
2	Mr. C. G. Ford b Primrose	11		^D Nevinson 11
	B 2, l-b 2, w 2, n-b 1	1		в 33, I-b 2, n-b 1 36

234

			HARROY	V BOWLIN	G.			
	vers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Ford	10	4	28	3	17	2	58	4
Raphael	3	0	12	0	13	2	44	Ô
Lawrence	3	0	11	0	5	10	42	0
Deas	7	2	27	0	-	0	24	1
Rome	4	0	19	1		- 1	25	ō ·
Welch	5	4 .	3	2		Ō	77	0
Robinson	5.1	1	13	4		3	108	2
			Rams	say		0	9	1
				BOWLING.			v	
Nevinson	24	e	56		0.0		1	-
	18	e .		0	23	5	58	3
	23	0	56	3		1	31	0
	17	3	48	. 4		4	58	1
Hamerigg	11	ð	67	2		0	73	5
			Lyle.			0	18	0
			Akers	-Douglas	2 .	0	5	0
And the second second	Um	pires :	A. Mort	on and J.	H Ki	nor		
and the second second second second	1000	* availar	1. See				a second	The state

M.C.C. Matches.

*415

279

RESULTS OF ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1 1877	Drawn
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1878	Harrow won by 20 runs
1822	Harrow won by 87 runs	1879	Drawn
1823		1880	Harrow won by 95 runs
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1825	Eton won by seven wickets	1882	Drawn
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1883	Drawn
1828		1884	Drawn
1832	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1833	Harrow won by eight wickets	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1834	Harrow won by 13 runs	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1889	Harrow won by nine wickets
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1890	Drawn
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1891	Harrow won by seven wickets
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1892	Harrow won by 64 runs
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1893	Eton won by nine wickets
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1894	Drawn
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1895	Drawn
1843	Harrow won by 20 runs	1896	Drawn
1844	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1897	Drawn
1845	Eton won by inns. and 174 runs	1898	Harrow won by nine wickets
1846	Eton won by inns, and 135 runs	1899	Drawn
1847	Eton won by nine wickets	1900	Harrow won by one wicket
1848	Harrow won by 41 runs	1901	Harrow won by ten wickets
1849	Harrow won by 77 runs	1902	Harrow won by eight wickets
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1903	
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1904	Eton won by inns. and 12 runs
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1905	Drawn
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1906	Eton won by four wickets
1854	Harrow won by 98 runs	1907	Harrow won by 79 runs
1855	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1908	Harrow won by ten wickets
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1909	Drawn
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1910	Eton won by nine runs
1860	Drawn	1911	Eton won by three wickets
1861	Drawn	1912	Eton won by six wickets
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1913	Eton won by nine wickets
1863	Drawn	1914	Eton won by four wickets
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1915	to 1918. No regular match
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs		during the war
1866	Harrow won by inns. and 136	1919	Eton won by 202 runs
1867	Drawn [runs	1920	Eton won by nine wickets
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	1921	Eton won by seven wickets
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1922	Drawn
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1923	Drawn
$1871 \\ 1872$	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1924	Drawn
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1925	Drawn
1873	Harrow won by five wickets	1926	Drawn
1874	Eton won by five wickets	1927	Drawn
1876	Drawn Eton won by inng and 94 mag	1928	Eton won by 28 runs
1910	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs		

Of the 99 matches played, Eton have won 40, Harrow 35, and 24 have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-five three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows :---

 183
 D. C. Boles
 (in 1904), Eton

 173
 G. Wilson
 (in 1913), Harrow

 159
 E. W. Dawson (in 1923) Eton

 158
 I. Akers-Douglas (in 1928), Eton

 152
 E. Bayley
 (in 1841), Eton

 152
 E. Bayley
 (in 1841), Eton

 152
 E. Bayley
 (in 1847), Harrow

 137
 C. Cole (in 1897), Harrow
 135

 135
 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Harrow
 131

 136
 C. Bird
 (in 1907), Harrow

 131
 M. C. Bird
 (in 1895), Harrow

 132
 H. Stogdon
 (in 1895), Eton

 147
 T. Rosanquet(in 1846), Eton

 117
 A. W. Ridley
 (in 1871), Eton

 114
 C. P. Foley
 (in 1886), Eton

112*A. W. T. Daniel(in 1860), Harrow 108 C. J. Ottaway (in 1860), Eton 108 R. B. Hoare (in 1888), Harrow 103 L. G. Crawley (in 1921), Harrow 102*P, H. Stewart-Brown (in 1923), Harrow 101 H.C. Pilkington (in 1896), Eton 100 E. Crawley (in 1885), Harrow 100 E. Crawley (in 1885), Harrow 100 E. N. S. Crank shaw (in 1903), Eton 100*M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 100 R. H. Cobbold (in 1923), Eton 100*P, V. F. Cazalet (in 1926), Eton

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. M. C. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match

* Signifies not out.

CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, July 30, 31.—Failing so completely in their first innings that they were dismissed in eighty minutes for a total of 77, Clifton did something at their second attempt to redeem the reputation of the side, but nevertheless had to admit defeat by ten wickets. Bad batting alone accounted for the collapse of Clifton on Monday for the ground was in excellent condition. The stumps were hit nine times. Tonbridge lost two wickets for 28, but Armour and Crawford obtained such a mastery over the Clifton attack that they added 104 in seventy minutes. Crawford hit finely in front of the wicket while Armour, batting for three hours and a half without giving a chance, scored all round the wicket, and had sixteen 4's in his 108. The pitch on Tuesday morning, when Clifton stood 214 behind with all their wickets in hand, played by no means so easily as it had done in the opening stage of the contest. In the circumstances, Clifton accomplished an excellent performance in averting the innings defeat. This achievement, however, was essentially the work of one member of the team-Nattle-who enjoying some good fortune early on, batted for nearly three hours, showed nice variety of stroke and succeeded in putting together a three-figure score. Owen helped Nattle to add 74 for the sixth wicket. Until this match Tonbridge had not beaten Clifton since 1921.

CLIFTON.

Mr. C. H. Adams b Rutter	0 — c Musson b Rutter 2
Mr. K. W. Nattle b Rutter	
Mr. G. C. Tovey b Cox	2 - c Armour b Rutter 24
Mr. J. H. Walters b Baiss	14 — b Crawford 17
Mr. A. M. Tilly b Crawford	16 — b Baiss 14
Mr. J. T. Nuttall b Baiss	1 - c and b Baiss 1
Mr. R. W. Owen c Crawford b Rutter	16 — c Baiss b Rutter 33
Mr. R. W. Kettlewell b Crawford	$0 - \text{not out} \dots 7$
Mr. R. H. Warren not out	10 — b Rutter 2
	0 — b Rutter 6
Mr. R. E. Bonham-Carter b Davies	0 — c Brooks b Cox 8
B 5, l-b 3, w 1,	9 B 15, l-b 4 19

233

TONBRIDGE. Mr. J. A. Baiss e Bonham-Carter b Tilly 2 — not out Mr. L. L. Armour c Toyey b Tilly	9
Mr. J. C. Brooks c B -Carter b Whitty. 10	
Mr. T. A. Crawford b Whitty 64	4
Mr. J. G. W. Davies c Kettlewell b Tilly 3 — not out	4
Mr. K. G. Solomon c Tilly b Warren 43 Mr. C. W. Astell b Tilly 18	
Mr. R. G. Musson c Bonham-Carter b	
Tilly	
Mr. R. F. Cox not out 19	
Mr. R. H. Rutter c Tovey b Warren. 12	
Mr. H. H. Plews c Nattle b Kettlewell 2	
B 6, 1-b 2, w 2 10	
298	13

		TOI	NBRIDGE	BOWLIN	NG.				and the second
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Rutter	9	0	21	4.		26	9	61	5
Cox		1	17	1 .		19.2	7	24	2
Baiss		0	22	2.		17	3	61	2
Crawford		1	5	2 .		15	2	41	1
Davies		ō	3	1 .		11	3	23	0
			Broc	ks		3	0	4	0
CLIFTON BOWLING.									
Whitty	. 26	27	83	2 .		1.1	0	8	0
Tilly	32	7	95	5.		1	0	5	0
Warren	. 11	Ó	60	2					
Kettlewell		2	34	1					
Nattle		1	16	0					
		mnireg .	W Sm	ith and	G	Reet			

RESULTS OF CLIFTON AND TONBRIDGE MATCHES.

1899 Clifton won by eight wickets	1921 Tonbridge won by 141 runs 1922 Clifton won by inns. and 13
1901-13 No Matches -	runs
1914 Clifton won by nine wickets.	1923 Drawn
1915 Drawn	1924 Abandoned—rain
1916 Drawn	1925 Drawn
1917 Drawn	1926 Drawn
1918 No Match	1927 Clifton won by 11 runs
1919 Tonbridge won by nine wickets	1928 Tonbridge won by ten wickets
1920 Tonbridge won by nine wickets	and the second of the second

Played at Lord's in 1914 and from 1919.

Of the 16 matches Clifton have won 4 and Tonbridge 4. Seven have been drawn, and one abandoned.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at LORD's, Wednesday, Thursday, August 1, 2.—Thanks to a splendid innings by Anderson and the all round cricket of Armstrong, Marlborough came very near gaining a handsome victory over Rugby, but they could not quite force a win, Rugby when the match had to be abandoned as a draw being still 20 runs in arrear, but having four wickets to fall. On Wednesday when rain delayed the start until two o'clock, Davies batted in fine form for Rugby, making 73 in a hundred minutes, and having two 6's among a number of well varied strokes. The last five w. kets, however, fell for 28 runs. Marlborough began disastrously, losing for 11 runs, three batsmen, none of whom scored, to Dawson, the Rugby fast bowler. Armstrong and Anderson stayed forty minutes, and apart from a difficult chance when 74, made no mistake. Late cutting and admirably timed strokes to leg brough him most of his runs among which were a 5 and twenty-one 4's. His score is the third highest in the long series of games between Rugby and Marlborough, being only beaten by the 211 of P. R. Creed for Rugby in 1892, and the 198 of R. H. Spooner for Marlborough in 1899. Despite the Marlborough total of 386 for nine wickets, Dawson disposed of seven batsmen at a cost of 13 runs aplece. When Rugby, 207 runs in arrear, entered upon their second innings, Davies again played fine cricket.

RU	GB	Y	

KUGBY.	
Mr. J. Knox c and b R. J. Marley 25 — st Chappel b Page 21	
Mr. E. L. C. Davies c Comber b	
Armstrong	
Mr. R. O. G. Norman c Morton b D. L.	
Marley	
Mr. P. H. Williams c Page b R. J. Marley 25 - c Greener b Page 30	
Mr. G. V. F. Dawson c Comber b Morton 5 - b Page 16	
Mr. M. St. J. Oswald c Morton b	
Armstrong	
Mr W. R. Evers c Morton b Armstrong 0 — not out 8	
Mr. H. D. Bradshaw c Anderson b	
Armstrong	
Mr. E. Sprawson c and b Armstrong 8	
Mr. J. P. H. Hollick b R. J. Marley 0	
Mr. W. Zambra not out 6	
B 4, l-b 1, w 1, n b 2 8 B 14, l-b 3, w 4, n-b 2 23	
179 187	
MARLBOROUGH.	
Mr. R. D. Armstrong b Dawson 67 Mr. D. I. Marley c Evers b Dawson 23	
Mr. T. A. D. Hewan b Dawson 0 Mr. J. T. H. Comber c Knox b	
Mr. R. J. Marley b Dawson 0 Dawson 20	
Mr. M. J. H. Morton b Dawson 0 Mr. J. G. Chappel c Williams b	
Mr. J. F. Anderson not out 183 Davies 22	
Mr. W. M. Greener b Hollick 19 B 25, 1-b 5, w 7, n-b 2 39	
Mr. R. K. Page b Dawson 13 -	
*386	
Mr. J. C. Pank did not bat.	
* Innings declared closed.	
MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.	
Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts. Overs Mdns. Runs Wkts.	
R. J. Marley 24 4 49 3 13 6 17 0	
Pank	
Armstrong 19.1 8 27 5 9 6 12 0	
Morton 12 5 23 1 14 5 26 0	

M.C.C. Matches.

		- 1	REGBY	BOWLIN	а.
	Overs	Mins.	Runs	Wkts.	
Dawson	36	12	91	7	
Zambra		5	60	0	
Evers	19	0	62	0	
Hollick		0	63	1	
Davies		4	45	1	
Oswald	4	0	26	0	
	Um	pires : V	V. Smit	h and J	. Murrell.

RESULTS OF RUGBY AND MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1889	Marlborough won by six wkts.
	Lord s	1890	Mariborough won by 145 runs
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1891	Drawn
	Lord's	1892	Marlborough won by an innings
1857	Rugby won by an innings and	1000	and 78 runs
	83 runs, at Oval	1893	Drawn
1860	Rugby won by an innings and	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
	50 runs, at Lord's		82 runs
1862	Marlborough won by an innings	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
	and 17 runs, at Lord's	1896	Rugby won by an innings and
1863	Rugby won by 83 runs, at Oval		35 runs
1864	Rugby won by an innings and	1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
	83 runs, at Islington	1898	Drawn
1865	Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
	Lord's	1900	Rugby won by nine wickets
1866	Drawn, at Lord's	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
1867	Rugby won by six wickets, at	1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
	Oval	1903	Drawn
1868	Rugby won by an innings and	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
	133 runs, at Rugby	1905	Drawn
1869	Rugby won by 179 runs, at	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
	Lord's	1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
1870	Rugby won by five wickets, at	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
	Marlborough	1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
1871	Marlborough won by 68 runs	1910	Rugby won by an innings and 7
1872	Marlborough won by nine wkts.		runs
1873	Rugby won by eight wickets	1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
1874	Rugby won by five wickets	1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts
1875	Rugby won by an innings and	1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
	35 runs	1914	Rugby won by five wickets
1876	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
1877	Marlborough won by 196 runs	1916	Rugby won by eight runs
1878	Rugby won by an innings and	1917	Rugby won by four wickets
	24 runs	1918	Marlborough won by an innings
1879	Rugby won by 97 runs	Los In Alexandra	and 94 runs
1880	Rugby won by an innings and	1919	Marlborough won by six wkts.
	120 runs	1920	Drawn
1881	Rugby won by two wickets	1921	Drawn
1882	Marlborough won by five wkts.	1922	Mariborough won by ten wkts.
1883	Drawn	1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
1884	Marlborough won by eight wkts.	1924	Rugby won by three wickets
1885	Drawn	1925	Drawn
1886	Rugby won by 37 runs	1926	Rugby won by 112 runs
1887	Marlborough won by 217 runs	1927	Drawn
1888	Abandoned. No play.	1928	Drawn
04 44	e 71 matches Rugby have won 35	and Mar	lborough 22 Thirteen have been
OI M	e it matches trugby have won 55	and han	in of ongly was a said book not to book

unfinished and one abandoned

D. L. Marley

Page

3

6

0

Ô

15

29

0

Hewan

....

11 0

66 5

18


0

5

22.5

8

8



CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at LORD'S, Friday, Saturday, August 3, 4.-Ruined by the weather was the contest between Cheltenham and Haileybury, rain causing two interruptions on Friday, and falling so heavily that night and next morning, that no chance existed of any cricket on Saturday. Batting first, Cheltenham went to work in very careful fashion, considering the easy pace of the wicket but they found their powers of defence rather severely tested by the two fast left-handed bowlers, Wills and Whitcombe, the latter of whom came out with truly remarkable figures. Bryce-Smith and Woollatt showed good form and put on 81 for the third wicket but there was no other stand of much note. Deed and Bartley opened Haileybury's innings by raising the score to 42, yet there were three wickets down for 73 when the day's play-and, as it proved, the match-came to an end.

CHELTE	NHAM.
Mr. J. M. Bryce-Smith c Addinsell b Hardy	Mr. S. M. Ekin lbw, b Hardy 12 Mr. E. E. T. Taylor b Whitcombe 26
Mr. G. C. Burton b Wills 13	Mr. R. H. Taite c Addinsell b
Mr. D. A. C. Page st Wolff b Wills. 0	Evans 6
Mr. R. J. Woollatt b Addinsell 43	Mr. R. R. Seddon c Addinsell b
Mr. R. A. Graham-Smith b Wills. 16	Whitcombe
Mr. T. A. Higson not out 21	B 8, l-b 5, w 2, n-b 1 16
Mr. E. P. Arrowsmith b Whitcombe 11	$D 0, 1 0 0, 1 0 0, 1 2, 1 0 1 \dots 10$
	210
HAILEY	
Mr. B. L. Deed c Bryce-Smith b	Mr. J. Serjeant
Higson	Mr. E. N. Evans
Mr. C. H. D. Bartley b Taite 16	
Mr. P. Addinsell not out 15	
Mr. J. A. G. Starnes c Woollatt b	Mr. J. A. H. Wolff bat.
	Mr. T. H. Hardy
Higson	Mr. A. H. Newsom
Mr. A. P. S. Wills not out 0	
B 2, n-b 1 3	
73	
HAILEYBURY	BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts
Wills	17	4	53	3
Whitcombe	15.1	7	13	3
Serjeant	10	0	58	0
Evans	10	1	28	1
Addinsell	10	2	26	1
Hardy	8	1	16	2
CHELTEN	HAM B	WLING.		
Seddon	10	3	19	0
Taite	16	5	27	1
Taylor	11	4	13	0
Higson	8	4	10	2
Woollatt	3	2	1	0
Umpires : J. Mu	rrell an	d F. A.	Burton	

M.C.C. Matches.

RESULTS OF CHELTENHAM AND HAILEYBURY MATCHES.

1893 Drawn	1909 Drawn
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	1910 Cheltenham won by an innings
1895 Drawn	and 3 runs
1896 Drawn	1911 Haileybury won by six wickets
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1912 Haileybury won by 11 runs
1898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	1913 Haileybury won by an innings
1899 [†] Haileybury won by an innings	and 217 runs
and 45 runs	1914 Drawn
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1919 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	1920 Drawn
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1921 Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1922 Drawn
1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and	1923 Drawn
41 runs	1924 Cheltenham wen by eight wkts.
1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1925 Drawn
1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1926 Drawn
1907 Cheltenham won by an innings	1927 Haileybury won by an innings
and 51 runs	and 3 runs
1908 Drawn	1928 Drawn
1500 Diawn	TOBO DIGINA

Of the 32 matches Cheltenham have won 10 and Haileybury 10, the other 12 being left drawn.

† Played at the Crystal Palace.

August 3, 4, Instow	M.C.C North Devon	1st 2nd inns. inns. 153 102* 123 129	Result. Won by three wkts. *Seven wkts. down.
August 3, 4, { Milford-on-Sea {	M.C.C	$\frac{116^*}{126} = $	Drawn. *One wkt. down.
August 6, Blackheath	M.C.C Blackheath	155 - 162* -	Lost by four wkts. *Six wkts. down.
August 6, Slough {	M.C.C	$\begin{array}{c} 90\\90\end{array} = \end{array}$	Tie.

LORD'S SCHOOLS V. THE REST.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, August 6, 7.—Lord's Schools narrowly missed a handsome victory, The Rest, who had followed on 230 behind, being only 14 runs on with two wickets to fall when the game was left drawn. Batting first, Lord's Schools kept their opponents in the field for nearly the whole of Monday, and put together the big total of 394. Towards this score the chief contributor was Akers-Douglas of Eton who followed up his 158 against Harrow on the same ground a few weeks earlier with a delightful innings of 111. Hitting with fine judgment in front of the wicket and cutting in splendid style, this young batsman gave no chance during a stay of two hours and a quarter. Baiss, extremely quiet at first, helped Akers-Douglas to add 136 in an hour and a half for the second wicket, and late in the innings, Rome and Tilly hit up 80 in fifty minutes. The Rest made 24 without loss overnight, and next morning gave a strangely uninteresting display which, extending over three hours in all, realized 164 runs. In the follow-on there came some much brighter cricket in which Brett and Human bore the chief part. These two batsmen added 86 in an hour. Human hit nine 4's and Brett a 6 and eleven 4's.

M.C.C. Matches.

LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. W. O'B. Lindsay (Harrow) c	
	82
Mr. J. A. Baiss (Tonbridge) c Moore	
	70
Mr. I. Akers-Douglas (Eton) lbw, b	
Brett1	11
Mr. E. R. Sheepshanks (Eton) lbw,	20
	23
Mr. G. V. F. Dawson (Rugby) b	41
Bland Mr. M. J. H. Morton (Marlborough)	*1
b Brett	3
Mr. R. J. Woollatt (Cheltenham) b	U
	11
Diana	~~~

Mr. D. A. M. Rome (Harrow) b	
Brett	52
Mr. A. M. Tilly (Clifton) b Skinner	32
Mr. R. H. Rutter (Tonbridge) b	
Skinner	0
Mr. J. H. Nevinson (Eton) not out	0
B 14, l-b 4, n-b 1	19
	394

THE REST.

Mr. P. J. Brett (Winchester) c Dawson b Rutter Mr. A. A. Benn (Wellington) c Lindsay b	22 — c Baiss b Morton	81
Nevinson		
Mr. G. C. A. Adams (Radley) b Nevinson	6 — b Rutter	5
Mr. D. N. Moore (Shrewsbury) lbw, b Morton	9 c Dawson b Morton	20
Mr. R. H. C. Human (Repton) c Tilly b Rome	10 — st Lindsay b Morton	54
Mr. G. A. K. Collins (Lancing) c Lindsay b Nevinson		21
Mr. A. G. Skinner (Oundle) b Woollatt.		0.0
Mr. R. D. Wilcox (Dulwich) run out.	10 — c Tilly b Dawson	0
Mr. R. H. G. Carr (Stowe) not out	4 — b Dawson	7
Mr. D. F. P. Bland (Shrewsbury) b		4
Dawson	$16 - not out \dots$	4
Mr. P. N. Townsend (Winchester) b Dawson	8	
B 14, l-b 3, n-b 5		22
, , ,		
	164	244

THE REST BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	
Brett	27.3	2	99	3					
Bland	28	6	88	2					
Human	21	6	46	1					
Townsend		3	70	1					
Skinner		3	41	3					
Wilcox		0	15	0					
Adams		0	16	0					
		LORD'S	SCPOOL	s Bow	LING.				
Nevinson	16	9	23	3	9	2	28	0	
Dawson		0	35	2	11	0	37	4	
Tilly		6	30	0	13	1	40	0	
Rutter		8	12	1	16	5	46	1	
Rome	7	2	15	1	7	3	22	0	
Morton		4	9	1	12	3	46	3	
Woollatt	. 3	0	4	1	1	0	3	0	
Baiss		0	14	0					

Umpires : W. Smith and A. J. Atfield.

M.C.C. Matches.

/		1st 2nd inns. inns.	Result.
August 7, Crouch End	M.C.C North London	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 289^{*} - \\ 220^{\dagger} - \end{array} \right\}$	Drawn. *Seven wkts. dn. Deld. †Eight wkts. down.
August 8, Horley {	M.C.C HORLEY	264* — }	Won by 161 runs. *Five wkts. dn. Dcld.
August 8, Bishop's Stortford	M.C.C. BISHOP'S STORTFORD	$ \begin{array}{c} 207 \\ 132 \\ - \end{array} $	Won by 75 runs.

THE ARMY V. PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Played at LORD'S, Wednesday, Thursday, August 8, 9.—Outplayed on the first day when the Army left off 23 ahead with five wickets in hand, the Public Schools in their second innings showed such marked improvement that they were able to declare, and in the end effected a highly creditable draw. So well did Arnold, Howlett and Dynes lowl, and so keenly were they backed up in the field, that the Schools on Wednesday found runs very hard to get, taking three hours to make 153, of which 41 resulted from a vigorous last wick et partnership. The Army began none too well, but Dynes and Rogers, coming together when the third wicket went down, hit up 108 in an hour, and at the drawing of stumps the score for the loss of five batsmen had reached 176. Next day when, at the conclusion of the Army innings, the Schools went in 131 in arrear, Lindsay and Baiss gave the side a capital start by raising the total to 82. Later on came some vigorous work by Human, the innings being declared on the fall of the seventh wicket. The Army were set 139 to get in eighty minutes and in making the attempt, they lost three batsmen for 10 runs. Thereupon all idea of forcing the game was abandoned, and the match ended quietly.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Mr. W. O'B. Lindsay (Harrow) b Howlett	43
Mr. J. A. Baiss (Tonbridge) c Pedder b Arnold 15 — c Rogers b Howlett	65
Mr. I. Akers-Douglas (Eton) lbw, b Dynes	51
Mr. P. J. Brett (Winchester) c and b Dynes 1 — b Howlett	
Mr. E. R. Sheepshanks (Eton) b Arnold 18 — c Kirkwood b Wallold Mr. R. H. C. Human (Repton) b Howlett 23 — not out	
Mr. D. A. M. Rome (Harrow) c Howard b Kirkwood	5
Mr. G. V. F. Dawson (Rugby) c Rogers b Howlett 1 — c Howard b Howlett	4
Mr. D. F. P. Bland (Shrewsbury) b Arnold 0	
Mr. R. H. Rutter (Tonbridge) b Dynes. 5 Mr. P. N. Townsend (Winchester) not out 21 B 7 Lb 1 nb 6 B 13, l-b 2, w 1, n-b 1.	17
	*269
153	200

* Innings declared closed.

90

M.C.C. Matches.

THE ARMY.

Capt. E. S. B. Will Lieut. E. D. Dynes Lieut. C. E. Dixon Lieut. R. E. H. H. Lieut. F. G. Roger Capt. R. Howard st Lieut. J. E. S. Wal Capt. G. R. Pedder Lieut. H. R. Kirk Lieut. B. Howlett	b Rom c Lind udson s b Ru t Linds ford lb c Bla wood 1 not ou	le lsay b R lbw, b J tter say b To w, b Da nd b Da lbw, b J it	wnsend wson wson Rome	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 3 & \text{ not} \\ 15 & \text{ lbw} \\ 71 & \text{ b } \\ 25 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 27 \end{array}$	out , b Da Brett	wson		$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 10 \\ \dots & 4 \\ \dots & 4 \\ \dots & 4 \end{array}$
Lieut. F. G. Arnold	l c Ba	iss b Ro	me	0				
B 15, l-b 7,	w 2		<mark>.</mark>	24 E	3 8		<mark></mark>	8
				284				37
		-						51
		THI	E ARMY	BOWLING.				
	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Howlett	17	5	35	3		1	69	3
Walford	5	1	12		18	3	75	2
Arnold	27	16	27		18	8	28	0.
Dynes	15	3	41	3	19	0	74	2
Kirkwood	2.3	0	24	1				
			How	ard	4	1	6	0.
		PUBLIC	SCHOOL	S BOWLING	i.			
Dawson	20	5	52			3	12	2
Brett	14	6	27			0	8	1
DICUU	14	0	21	0	9	0	0	1

Sheepshanks Umpires : W. Smith and A. J. Atfield.

ō

Rutter

Bland

Townsend

Human.....

Rome

AVERAGES.

The name of the Captain is marked thus † Innings marked * are not outs.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	t outs	Average
R. P. Stoner	. 16		518		117*		2	37.00
+C. R. Walmsley			341	· · · ·	102*		1	26.23
G. P. Longfield			361		72		1 5	24.06
H. J. L. Wright			333		89		2	23.78
L. R. Kent			253		42		2	19.46
W. A. Leach-Lewis			127		38		4	18.14
N. H. Kemp-Welch			172		49		0	15.63
R. W. Gwyther			227		54		0 6	15.12
R. A. Bird			185		66		0	14.23
A. H. B. Gross		••	53		29*		1	13.25

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

Ov	ers Ma	aidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. R. W. B. Miller .	37	4	 115		13	 8.84
C. R. Walmsley 1	15	19	 295		25	 11.80
G. P. Longfield 2	14	40	 604		37	 16.32
R. P. Stoner 20	05	27	 585		32	 18.28
H. J. L. Wright	39	0	 251	-	12	 20.91

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	3	Runs	High	est inns.	Not	outs		Average		
G. C.Bird			391		67		0		27.99		
J. D. Blew			340		57		0		22.66		
D. S. C. Dew			316		46		1		21.06		
C. H. G. Roberts .	. 7		86		31*		2		17.20		
†H. T. Bigge	. 16		273		46		0		17.06		
W. J. Beviss	. 16		219		87		0		13.68		
D. M. Mence	. 16		179		39		0		11.18		
E. F. Tyler	. 15		116		24		3		9.66		
F. S. Wakeham			118		55		0		8.42		
C. F. Wills	. 16		96		17		4		8.00		
J. D. Turner	. 16		116		24		1		7.73		

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BOWLING.

(Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Vicket	s	Average
G. C. Bird	57	3	 233		12		19.41
C. F. Wills		12	 414		19		21.78
J. D. Blew		25	 1036		42		24.66
D. M. Mence	267	38	 868		34		25.52

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BATTING.

Innings		s	Runs	Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
B. B. Carroll			453		85*		3	 41.18	
†J. Rabnett			376		113*		1	 28.92	
J. R. Bean	. 10		140		55*		3	 20.00	
C. F. Grieve	. 10		151		70*		1	 16.77	
P. C. ffrench-Davis	5		49		14*		1	 12.25	
E. C. Ruddin			61		17		5	 12.20	
P. Ainscough			116		32		2	 11.60	
P. P. Larios			77		16*		1	 11.00	
M. W. Blackmore .			88		36		0	 9.77	
H. D. King	. 14		120		30*		1	 9.23	
A. C. Russell	. 9	•••	81		26	••	0	 9.00	

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs		Maidens	Runs	Wicke	Average	
B. B. Carroll *	146.3	19	 452	 24		18.83
E. C. Ruddin	215.4	33	 643	 34		18.91
J. Rabnett	127.5	21	 474	 23		20.60
J. R. Bean	165.3	15	 609	 28		21.75

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	8	Runs	High	est inns.	No	t outs	Average		
H. J. Dickenson	10		161		59 .		2		20.12
W. G. Spencer	12		209		69		1		19.00
A. G. Waterman	11		167		37		1		16.70
†H. T. Bone	12		161		63		1		14.63
A. A. Wright	12		125		27*		1		11.36
A. C. Arnold	10		113		33		0		11.30
R. E. Raby	9		63		22*		3		10.50
R. E. Warne			88	• •	46		0		8.00

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

01	vers	Maidens	Runs	Wicket	s	Average
A. G. Waterman 1	71.4	44	 488	 42		11.61
T. C. Barber 1	11	21	 355	 17		20.82

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BATTING.

I	nning	8	Runs	Highest inns.		Ne	ot outs		Average
†C. A. Russell	14		293		55		1		22.53
J. B. Rowe			176		74*		2	• •	19.55
E. G. Leahy	14		264		71		0		18.85
A. F. Finlow			220		48*		1		16.92
J. J. Drummond	13		188		75		0		14.46
D. F. Leahy	10		124		26	• •	0		12.40
P. D. Spillane	7		65		30		1		10.83
A. C. King	5		32		26		2	• •	10.66

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
A. C. King	104	 22	 269		21	 12.80
H. C. Hewett	182	 43	 535		34	 15.73
F. W. Gilbert	66	 11	 202		12	 16.83
C. A. Russell		 26	 424		19	 22.31

Public School Averages.

BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings Ru						ot outs	Average	
C. M. Carlyle-Gall .	13		404		121		5		50.50
J. G. Cook	13		538		115		1		44.83
†W. A. Sime	13		388		111*		3	••	38.80
R. T. D. Hopkinson	10		268		62*		2		33.50
G. M. Moll	8		186		67		2		31.00
E. F. C. Smith	9		213		57		0		23.66
R. G. Wise	8		110		43		1		15.71
A. L. Brown			108		28*		4		15.42
T. Brown	8		89		41		2		14.83
D. S. Gideon			133		59		0		13.30
W. E. Suter			31	•••	27	••	1	• •	10.33

BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	1	Vicket	S	Average
W. A. Sime	. 240.1		50		598		39		15.33
J. G. Cook					415		26	••	15.96
R. G. Wise	. 74.4		7	• •	224		13	••	17.23
A. L. Brown				• •	177	• •	10	• •	17.70
E. F. C. Smith	. 198	• •	44	••	497	• •	26	• •	19.11

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

I	Innings			High	nest inns.	No	ot outs		Average	
H. A. Southgate	12		298		70*		2		29.80	
+W. L. Davis	12		289		52		0	• •	24.08	
F. W. Manning	10		188		57		2		23.50	
E. C. Howard	10		110		39		3	• •	15.71	
P. W. Scrivener	6		62		32		1		12.40	
C. B. Bailey	5	••	21		8 *	• •	3	••	10.50	

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	01	ers	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets		Average
G. H. Wheeler		84	 10	 344		16		21.50
F. W. Manning	1	48	 27	 435		20	••	21.75

BELVEDERE COLLEGE DUBLIN, BATTING.

	211.11	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs		Average
1	E. A. Ingram	19		1011		149*		2		59.47
	. Morgan			413		35		0	••	21.73
	Brophy			320		52*		1		18.82
	. Murphy			300		72		1		15.78
	D. Mulcahy			182		23*		5		14.76
	. Boucher			276		73		0		14.52
	D. Doran			255		32		2		14.16
	N. Fox			61	••	15	••	4	• •	8.71

BELVEDERE COLLEGE DUBLIN, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
E. A. Ingram	163.3	68		239		52		4.59
T. Dunne		28		111		23	• •	4.82
J. Boucher		88		345		60	• •	5.75
N. Fox		36		110		19		5.79
P. Mannion		31	•••	317		30	• •	10.56

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL BATTING.

I	Innings			Runs Highest inns.							
D. Goodrick-Clarke	13		247		116		5		30.87		
R. E. J. Daubeny .	13		275		99		1		22.91		
J. A. Hollingworth .	16		282		51		3		21.69		
W. D. R. Kay	14		180		33	· · ·	2		15.00		
†A. W. V. Roberts	15		222		56		0		14.80		
R. L. Punnett	15		167		42		1		11.92		
N. W. H. Wyllys	13		142		31*		1		11.83		
R. R. D'O.											
Hemingway	16		168		31		1		11.20		
D. H. Swayne	10		71		23		3		10.14		
J. B. Russell	12		49		12		6		8.16		
T. H. N. Mayson	11		88		29		0		8.00		

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. L. Punnett	. 101.2	 12	 317		21	 15.09
D. H. Swayne	. 177.5	 37	 500		28	 17.85
J. A. Hollingworth	. 110	 9	 431		21	 20.52
J. B. Russell	. 144.3	 22	 479		19	 25.21
D. Goodrick-Clarke	161	 30	 471		18	 26.16

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING.

I	nning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
W. H. Barnett	13		323		- 115		1	 26.91
†F. R. Ievers	12		261		58		1	 23.72
R. B. Rainsford	12		232		58		1	 21.09
G. E. Drought	13		205		61*		3	 20.50
H. E. Hardy	12		198		48		0	 16.50
S. R. Sinclair	8		96		24	.	0	 12.00
W. C. A. Robinson .	8		82		18		1	 11.71
G. G. Roome	8		69		13		1	 9.85
J. A. Robinson	5		24		15*		2	 8.00

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. Robinson	112	 28	 326		27	 12.07
A. T. Loughery	. 51	 1	 268		17	 15.76
G. G. Roome	. 104.1	 21	 343		21	 16.33
W. C. A. Robinson	. 89.2	 15	 274		16	 17.12

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
T. A. Pearce	. 12		471		103*		2	 47.10
I. T. McGaw	. 10		294		122*		3	 42.00
N. E. W. Carlile	. 9		275		72		1	 34.37
tW. A. Anderson	. 10		300		85		1	 33.33
J. W. R. Hunt	. 12		332		79		0	 27.66
J. P. R. Hale	. 9		161		75*		2	 23.00
C. Middleton	. 10		153		30		3	 21.85
J. Bruce-Jones	. 10		145		52		• 1	 16.11
G. L. Hartley	. 10		125		58		1	 13.88
P. G. Hatch			69		37		1	 13.80

Public School Averages.

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	rs	Runs	Hi	ghest in:	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
H. F. Smallpeice	15		442		101		0		29.46
J. C. F. Stone	6		113		47		1		22.60
F. A. S. Caldwell	8		66		21*		5		22.00
†R. O. Felton	14		203		25		1		15.61
T. C. Wall			130		47		1		13.00
A. I. Cameron	13		141		39		1		11.75
S. R. Pope			150		32		2		11.55
E. C. Griffith			120		30		0		\$ 9.33
H. G. Maule	. 14		127		47		0		9.07

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	3	Average
S. R. Pope	51	 5	 236		13	Fa	18.15
R. O. Felton	219.2	 38	 757		38	Se	19.92
B. R. Perry	237	 62	 834		37		22.54
T. C. Wall	57	 2	 280		10		28.00

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Highest inns.			lot ou	Average	
R. J. Barkley	. 12		313		111		0		26.08
†S. A. Armstrong	. 12		255		61		0		21.25
D. L. Woods	. 12		192	· · ·	37	S	2		19.20
D. N. Haig	. 12		184		34	55	2		18.40
R. G. Ireland			186		43		0		15.50
E. B. H. Fitch	. 7		87		40		1		14.50
J. W. W. Lane	. 10		121		29		1		13.44
R. H. M. Bull	. 7		34		13	à	3		8.50

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. L. Taylor	211	 18	 729		51	14.29
R. T. Talbot	117	 28	 445		14	 31.78

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

DITUTOILLE										
	I	nning	s	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
F. C. Jarchow		15		384		96		1		27.42
R. J. B. Phillips		15		403		62		0		26.86
J. A. P. Bartlett		13		279		109*		2		25.36
A. R. M. Edward	s .	14		225		57		3		20.45
T. S. Levett		15		245		58*		2		18.84
I. D. Macdonald		11		73		58*		7		18.25
J. D. Ronald		14		233		38		0		16.64
R. N. Jones		14		225		56		0		16.06
R. A. Cooper		6		79		26		0		13.16
A. Green		11		82		41		3		
E. W. Gater		14		126	••	37		0	• •	9.00

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
I. D. Macdonald 181.5		34	 619		38	 16.28
A. Green 171.4	·	12	 629		35	 17.97
T. S. Levett 116		32	 332		18	 18.44
J. A. P. Bartlett 178.4		27	 574		19	 30.21

Public School Averages.

CHARTERHOUS	E SCH	OOL BC	WLIN	IG.		
Overs Ma	idens	Runs	W1C	Kets		verage
T. A. Pearce 130.5	28 .	. 447		20		2.35
N. E. W. Carlile 129.2	21 .	. 452		20 .		2.60
J. Bruce-Jones 88.8	8.	. 376		15 .		5.06
C. E. Welby-Everard 136	22 .	. 454		13 .	. 3	4.92
				a		
CHELTENHAM	COLL	EGE BA	TTIN	Ge		
Innings	Runs I	Highest inn	s. Not	outs		verage
S. M. Ekin 11		. 104	••	2.		6.22
†R. J. Woollatt 9		. 90	• •	0.3.		33.55 33.28
E. P. Arrowsmith 10	0.41.88	. 49	• •	3.		30.63
R. A. Graham-Smith 11		. 113	••	2 .		24.57
R. H. Taite 9		01		1 .		23.36
J. M. Bryce-Smith . 12		01		ō .		21.00
G. C. Burton 11 D. A. C. Page 12	000	50		1 .	6	20.54
D. H. C. Lugertter		. 50		ō.	. 1	18.57
		62		1.		17.37
J. S. W. Meikle 9 T. Higson 6	ma	21				15.20
E. E. T. Taylor 2		26				13.50
R. R. Seddon 9		30	••	4.	. 1	13.00
and have been seen				D		
CHELTENHAM	COLL	EGE BC)WLIP	Ga		
Overs M	aidens	Runs	Wi	ckets		verage
R. H. Taite 234.5		752				17.48
S. M. Ekin 121.2	15	420				$22.10 \\ 24.45$
R. J. Woollatt 89	14	269		11 .	•	24.40
OTT OTTTTTTTTT			I'I NICL			
CHIGWELL	SCHOO	OL BAT	FING.	+ onte		verage
Innings	Runs	Highest in:	ns. No	t outs		Average
J. E. W. Waddington 18	Runs 512	Highest in: 92	ns. No	1		Average 30.11 21.63
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19	Runs 512 411	Highest in: 	ns. No 	10		30.11
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie J. H. Leiper	Runs 512 411 352	Highest in: 	ns. No	1 0 0		30.11 21.63
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper F. E. L. Carter	Runs 512 411 352 280	Highest in: 92 	ns. No 	1 0 0 3		30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154	Highest in: 	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0		30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper 19 F. E. L. Carter 19 O. C. Papineau 18	Runs 512 411 352 280	Highest in: 92 79 105 69 25*	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0 6	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177	Highest in: 	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0 6	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110	Highest in: 92 79 105 69 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO	Highest im $\cdot \cdot $		1 0 3 3 0 6 3	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110	Highest in: 	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper 19 F. E. L. Carter 18 O. C. Papineau 18 O. C. Papineau 19 A. B. Lavers 17 T. H. H. Addison 17 CHIGWELLL Overs M	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43	Highest in: 	ns. No	1 0 0 3 3 0 6 3 	 	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43 33	Highest in: 92 79 105 69 25* 23 OL BOW Run 533 480	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 * ickets 68 53	··· ··· ··· ··· ···	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83 9.05
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43 33 10	Highest in: 	VLING	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 0 6 5 3 24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83 9.05 12.25
Innings †J. E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43 33	Highest im. 92 79 105 69 25* 46 21 23 OL BOW Run 533 480	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 * ickets 68 53	··· ··· ··· ···	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83 9.05
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43 33 10 21	Highest in: 	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83 9.05 12.25
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO aidens 43 33 10 21 AL <sc< td=""></sc<>	Highest im. 	ns. No /LING ns W 	1 0 3 3 0 6 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 7.85 7.83 9.05 12.25 12.55
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper 19 F. E. L. Carter 19 N. Giles 18 O. C. Papineau 19 A. B. Lavers 17 T. H. H. Addison 17 CHIGWELL Overs M O. C. Papineau 232.5 F. E. L. Carter 170.5 J. E.W. Waddington 83.3 J. D. Oliver 59.3 CHRIST'S HOSPIT Innings	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO (aidens 43 33 10 21 AL <sc< td=""> Runs</sc<>	Highest in: 	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average 7.83 9.05 12.25
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper 19 F. E. L. Carter 19 N. Giles 18 O. C. Papineau 19 A. B. Lavers 17 T. H. H. Addison 17 CHIGWELL Overs M O. C. Papineau 232.5 F. E. L. Carter 170.5 J. E.W. Waddington 83.3 J. D. Oliver 59.3 CHRIST'S HOSPIT Innings R. H. E. Emson 14	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 157 94 110 SCHOO (aidens 43 33 10 21 AL 250	Highest im 92 79 105 69 25* 46 21 23 OL BOW Run 533 480 294 138 480 294 138 480 294 138 480 294 138 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 275 	ns. No VLING Ds W 3ATTI Is. Not	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 2 4 11 NG. 9 0 0 4		30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 Average Average Average
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 E. K. Goldie 19 J. H. Leiper 19 N. Giles 18 O. C. Papineau 17 CHIGWELL Overs M O. C. Papineau 232.5 F. E. L. Carter 17 J. E. W. Waddington 83.3 CHRIST'S HOSPIT Innings R. H. E. Emson 14 15 15 14 15 15 15 14 15	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO aiddens 43 33 10 21 AL <sc< td=""> Runs 250</sc<>	Highest im. 	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 5 5 24 11 NG. 4 0 4		30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 Average 25.00 21.66 20.50
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 18 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO aidens 43 33 10 21 AL 250 325 82	Highest in: $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ns. No	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 5 3 24 11 NG. 5 0 0 4	··· ··· ··· ··· ···	30.11 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 12.55 12.55 12.55 12.55
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 512 411 352 280 154 154 177 94 110 SCHOI Schol 33 10 21 AL SC 8 325 325 269	Highest im. 	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 5 5 3 2 4 11 NG. 6 0 4 1 1	······································	30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 12.55 12.55 12.66 20.50 19.21 88.50
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO aidens 43 33 10 21 AL 250 325 82	Highest im. 	ns. No 	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 3 0 6 3 3 2 4 11 NG. 9 0 0 4 1 1 0	··· ··· ··· ··· ···	30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 Average 25.00 21.66 20.50 19.21 18.50
Innings †J. E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 110 SCHO aidens 43 33 10 21 AL SC Runs 250 325 82 269 37	Highest in: $\begin{array}{c} & 92 \\ & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 105 \\ & 69 \\ & 25^* \\ & 46 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 0L BOW \\ & Run \\ & 533 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 114 \\ & 28^* \\ & 480 \\ & 114 \\ & 28^* \\ & 48 \\ & 36^* \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 30 \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 30 \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 30 \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 30 \\ & 114 \\ & 28^* \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & $	ns. No VLING ns W BATTI Is. Not	1 0 3 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 0 6 3 2 4 11 NG. 0 4 1 1 0 0	······································	30.11 21.63 21.63 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 9.05 9.05 12.25 9.05 12.25 21.66 20.50 19.21 18.50 19.21 18.50
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 280 154 94 107 21 AL 250 325 822 269 37 236	Highest in: $\begin{array}{c} & 92 \\ & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 105 \\ & 69 \\ & 253 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 33 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 38 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 368 \\ & 39 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 5 \\ & 25 \\ & 5 \\ & 25 \\ & 5 \\ $	ns. No VLING ns W BATTI Is. Not	1 0 3 3 0 6 5 3 2 4 1 1 NG. 4 0 4 1 1 0 0 2	······································	30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 Average 25.00 21.66 20.50 19.21 18.50 19.21 18.50 19.73 10.92
Innings †J. E. W. Waddington 18 19 E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 100 SCHOO Schens 43 33 10 21 AL 250 325 82 269 37 236 142 109 115	Highest im. $\begin{array}{c} & 92 \\ & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 105 \\ & 69 \\ & 25^* \\ & 46 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 480 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 138 \\ & 36^* \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 25 \\ & 28$	And	1 0 3 3 6 6 3 5 3 5 3 24 11 NG. 0 4 1 1 0 0 2 1	······································	30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.25 12.25 12.25 12.55 4.verage 25.00 21.66 20.50 19.21 18.50 19.21 18.50 9.08 8.84
Innings †J. E. K. Goldie	Runs 512 512 411 352 280 154 177 94 10 SCHO aidens 43 33 10 21 AL SC 82 269 37 236 142 109	Highest in: $\begin{array}{c} & 92 \\ & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 105 \\ & 69 \\ & 25^* \\ & 46 \\ & 21 \\ & 23 \\ & 0L BOW \\ & Run \\ & 533 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 118 \\ & HOOL I \\ & 118 \\ & 138 \\ & 138 \\ & 138 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 294 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 480 \\ & 65 \\ & 39 \\ & 36^* \\ & 55 \\ & 36^* \\ & 55 \\ & 36^* \\ & 55 \\ & $	ns. No VLING 18 W 3ATTI 18. Not	1 0 3 3 0 6 5 3 2 4 1 1 NG. 4 0 4 1 1 0 0 2	······································	30.11 21.63 21.63 18.52 17.25 10.26 9.31 8.55 7.85 9.05 12.25 12.55 Average 25.00 21.66 20.50 19.21 18.50 19.73 10.92

Public School Averages.

CHRIST'S	HOSP	ITAL	SCH	OOL	BOW	LING	ŀ.	
Ov		laidens		Runs		ckets		Average
H. E. Glassborow 16		40		411		32		12.84
		11		219		15		14.60
P. E. Letts 17		30		536		28		19.14
W. E. Dent 18		21		467		20		23.35
the second se								
CITY OF	LONDO	ON SC	HOO	L BA	TTIN	G.		
Int	nings	Runs	Highe	est inns.	Not	outs		Average
G. N. L. Harris	9	103		36		4		20.60
	2	235	- · · ·	94		0		19.58
	1	150		40*		1		15.00
H. J. M. Darville 1		157		61*		1		14.27
M. J. Hart	8	80		32		2		13.33
S. G. Cogswell	7	82		30		0	••	11.71
J. Y. H. Bowden	7	54		19*		2		10.80
T. N. Lawrence		41		14		.0	••	8.20
G. H. Dean	8	48		16		2		8.00
armit of	TONT	ONT O	OTTO	OT D				
	F LOND							
. Ov		laidens		Runs		ckets		Average
	39	12		231		21	• •	11.00
	97.2	25		268		21	• •	12.76
	59.3	40	•••	416		30		13.86
G. N. L. Harris 9	90.2	21		243	• •	17	• •	14.29
CI TET	ON CO	TTEC	F D	TTTN	IC			
K. W. Nattle	nings	Runs		nest inns		t outs		Average
	17	$657 \\ 335$	••	127* 63*	••	2	••	43.80
	1.10.10	337	• •	87	••	5 3	••	33.50
		282	• •	53	•••	32	•••	30.63
		359	••	91		1	•••	25.63 22.43
		339	• •	91	••	1		22.43

U. II. Audillis	11		009	 91		1	 22.40	
R. W. Kettlewell	15		250	 60		2	 19.23	
J. H. Walters	7		102	 39		1	 17.00	
G. C. Tovey	15		228	 33*		1	 16.28	
R. H. Warren	10	10.1	60	 26*	E	. 4	 10.00	
J. H. Whitty	11		76	 36		1.	 7.60	

CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. H. Warren	165.3	 32	 498		31	 16.06
A. M. Tilly			 .813		.47	 17.27
J. H. Whitty			 777		43	 18.06
R. W. Kettlewell	106.2	 22	 304		12	 25.33

CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average	
†H. R. Heard	. 13		478		129*	. .	1		39.83
J. E. Francis	. 12		383		69		1		33.63
R. H. S. Hatton			356		70		0		29.66
C. W. Suter	. 12		258		85		0		21.50
R. H. Attwell	. 11	· · · ·	191		47*		2		21.22
R. L. Brewis			93		32		2		18.60
R. J. G. Durrant			182		49		0		15.16
W. J. Hacking	4		56		25		0		14.00
H. N. Poole	9		101		25*		1		12.62
R. G. MacKay			142		35		0		11.83
H. A. Richardson	11		94		23		8		11.75

609

Public School Averages.

CRANLEIGH	SCHOOL	BOWLING.
-----------	--------	----------

					001					
D. C. M. IV.		Overs	1	Iaidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
R. G. MacKay	'	90.3		15		276		18		15.33
J. E. Francis		163	• •	29		531		23		23.08
C. W. Suter		102		15		347		13		26.69
R. H. Attwell.		207.1		30		688		23		29.91
H. A. Richardso	n	39		5		184		5		36.80
					-					
	DE	NSTO	NE	COLL	EGI	E BATI	TN	÷.		
		Innings		Runs		hest inns.		ot outs		Average
R. W. Eyre		13		467		83		0		
P. F. B. Bluett		12		268		83	••	2	•••	35.92
T. B. Carey		13		253		100*	• •	$\frac{1}{2}$	•••	26.80
J. S. Standerwic	12	11	••	159	• •	42*	• •	3	• •	23.00
W. A. Davis		8	• •	109	• •	63*	• •		••	19.87
†H. N. Place		13	• •	235	•••		• •	2	••	18.16
J. G. Garman .		12	• •	193	• •	39 34	••	0	••	18.07
D Formio			••		• •		•••	1	• •	17.55
P. Fernie		10	• •	120		53*	• •	2		15.00
J. B. Place		10		110	• •	41		2	• •	13.75
- 1 and 1	DT	NOM		COLT	DOT	DOTT		~		
		NSTC		COLL	EGI	E BOWI	LIN	G.		
		Overs]	Maidens		Runs	M	ickets		Average
A. Carter		58		8		132		11		12.00
P. F. B. Bluett		184		48		507		32		15.84
R. W. Eyre		188		29		588		37		15.89
	I	DOVE	RC	OLLE	GE	BATTIN	VG.			
		Inning		Runs		thest inns.		ot outs		Average
†D. E. Fox		13		577		208		1		48.08
A. C. Fleury		15		256		60		ī	•••	18.28
J. C. Fry		15		243		73		0		16.20
S. J. Moore		14		161		39*	• •	4	••	16.10
R. S. Davies	••••	5	• •	53		36	••	0	• •	10.60
		14	•••	147	•••	40	•••	0	• •	
K D Napper		12	• •	72	• •	24*	••	5		10.50
K. D. Napper. J. D. Bradford		15	• •	125	• •	23	• •	0	••	10.28
C. A. H. Collier		12	• •		• •	20*	• •		••	8.33
R. Sneddon			••	72		20.		3		8.00
		12				01				
R. A. Spear	S 21 20121			72	• •	21	•••	3		8.00
		12	• •	$\frac{72}{74}$		$\frac{21}{30}$		$\frac{3}{0}$		8.00 6.16
				74	•••	30	<u></u>			
	D	OVE	R C	74 OLLE(•••	30 BOWLI	NG.	Ō		6.16
	D	OVE Overs	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens	•••	30 BOWLI Runs	NG.	0 Vickets		6.16 Average
J. D. Bradford	D	OVE Overs 199.4	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49	•••	30 BOWLI Runs 549	NG.	0 Vickets 44		6.16 Average 12.47
A. C. Fleury	D	OVE Overs 199.4 29.3	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3	GE :	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128	NG.	0 Vickets 44 10		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper	D	OVE Overs 199.4 29.3 211.4	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 38	 JE :	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45	•••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low	D	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 88 4	 7E :	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197	NG.	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon	D	OVE 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 8 4 10	 JE : 	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low	D	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 88 4	ЭЕ : 	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon	D	OVE 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14	÷	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327	 NG. W	0 7ickets 44 10 45 13 6 15		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon	D	OVE 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14	÷	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117	 NG. W	0 7ickets 44 10 45 13 6 15		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon	D 	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN		74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14	3E 1	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 2 BATT	NG. W	0 7ickets 44 10 45 13 6 15		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier	D D(OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN Inning	R C	74 OLLEO Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14 E SCH Runs	÷÷	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 L BATT ghest inns	 NG. IN(0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radelff	D D(OVE 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 OWN Inning 10		74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 38 4 10 14 E SCH	÷÷	30 BOWLLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 2 BATT ghest inns 127*	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radcliff P. H. Glass	D D(fe	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN Inning 10 9	R C	74 OLLEO Maidens 49 3 38 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 858 324	÷÷	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 L BATT ghest inns 127* 61	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 7. ot outs 2 1		6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radcliff P. H. Glass H. Hadden-Cav	D D fe e	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN Inning 10 9 9	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 3 38 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 358 324 308	GE :	30 BOWLII Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 L BATT ghest inns 127* 61 112*	NG. W	0 7ickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 7. ot outs 2 1 1	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50 38.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radchi P. H. Glass H. Hadden-Cav A. P. O'Connor	D D D đ đe	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN 10 9 9 7	R C	74 OLLEC Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 358 324 308 222	GE :	30 BOWLII Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 L BATT ghest inns 127* 61 112* 98		0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 7	•••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50 38.50 37.00
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radchi P. H. Glass H. Hadden-Cav A. P. O'Connor †G. J. Pettit	D(100 fe	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN Inning 10 9 9 7 7	R C	74 OLLEC Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 358 324 308 222 22 165	3E OOI Hig	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 J BATT 5 BATT 12* 61 112* 98 49*	NG. NG. NG.	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 7	•••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50 38.50 37.00 27.50
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radclif P. H. Glass H. Hadden-Cav A. P. O'Connor 'G. J. Pettit F. A. Allan	D D f e	OVE Overs 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 OWN Inning 10 9 9 7 10	R C	74 OLLE(Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 358 324 308 222 165	··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 BATT thest inns 127* 61 112* 98 49* 67*	NG. W	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 X. ot outs 2 1 1 1 1 2	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50 38.50 37.00 27.50 19.25
A. C. Fleury K. D. Napper W. G. Low R. Sneddon C. A. H. Collier J. B. E. Radchi P. H. Glass H. Hadden-Cav A. P. O'Connor †G. J. Pettit	D D D T e	OVE 0vers 199.4 29.3 211.4 56 38.4 92 0WN Inning 10 9 9 7 7	R C	74 OLLEC Maidens 49 3 8 4 10 14 E SCH Runs 358 324 308 222 22 165	3E OOI Hig	30 BOWLI Runs 549 128 638 197 117 327 J BATT 5 BATT 12* 61 112* 98 49*	NG. NG. NG.	0 Vickets 44 10 45 13 6 15 7	•••	6.16 Average 12.47 12.80 14.17 15.15 19.50 21.80 Average 44.75 40.50 38.50 37.00 27.50

Public School Averages.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	icket	8	Average
H. J. Radcliffe			12		212		14		15.14
H. Hadden-Cave	75.1		13		248		15		19.86
G. J. Pettit			14		465		21		22.14
F. W. Day	72	• •	15	• •	202		7		28.85

DULWICH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Runs Highest inns.			ot outs	Average		
†D. R. Wilcox	15		622		127		2		47.84	
D. E. Smith			282		72		2		40.28	
A. G. W. Knowland			389		91*		2		35.36	
F. King	14		341		73*		4		34.10	
G. R. Reynolds			150		69		1		25.00	
L. A. W. Simmons			163		36		4		23.28	
P. R. Roper			274		53		1		22.83	
G. G. V. Knight			144		33		1		18.00	
J. E. Pettifer			53		36*		2		7.57	
D. H. Frankford	. 9		59		18*		-1		7.37	

DULWICH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. P. Halpin		 3		216		11	 19.63
D. R. Wilcox	94	 3		468		22	 21.27
L. A. W. Sim		 47		921		39	 23.61
F. King		 46		585		23	 25.43
G. G. V. Knig	ht 132	 31	• •	427		15	 28.46

DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BATTING.

1	nning	zs	Runs	Highest inns. Not outs					Average	
†G. S. Walker	11		411		98		0		37.36	
H. J. Allen	11		128		29		3		16.00	
J. S. Adams	11		115		41*		2		12.77	
L. F. Brown	11		101		24		0		9.18	
A. R. Pratt	11	•••	75	••	25*		1		7.50	

DUNSTABLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

and the second second	Overs	Maidens	Runs	 Wickets	Average
G. S. Walker		 	 372	 42	 8.85
F. A. Cassidy		 	 264	 23	 11.47

DURHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

2 m 1 2 2 2	Innin	gs	Runs Highest inns.			No	t outs	Average	
†J. A. Adamson	12		493		142		0	41.08	
G. B. Wood	6		108		41*		2	27.00	
J. D. Claxton	. 12		229		68*		3	25.44	
T. E. Elliot			130	1.2	63*		2	18.57	
E. J. Jordan			174		61		ō	15.81	
M. G. Stewert		12.	170	-	38		0	17.00	
W. T. Anderson			89		27		2	11.12	
R. W. Paxton			77		38	•••	ō	11.00	
J. W. G. Taylor	. 12	122	105	×	26		1	9.54	
R. C. Griffin	. 8	1. 11 1.	52		16		2	8.66	
P. H. Pawson	. 6	1.1	22		15		3	7.38	

Public School Averages.

DURHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. E. Elliot	. 135.5	 22	 447		30	 14.90
J. C. Griffin		 19	 206		11	 18.72
J. A. Adamson	. 174.2	 29	 514		27	 19.03

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BATTING.

II			Runs	Highest inns.		Not	outs	Average
J. A. Griffiths	4		75		36*		1	 25.00
B. G. W. Bowyer	13		219		82		2	 19.90
P. A. C. Bridgewater	13		209		53		1	 17.41
	11		174		86*		1	 17.40
E. Huelin	12		205		32	12 S	0	 17.08
J. D. Cooper	11		150		68*		2	 16.66
G. S. Brook			135		39		1	 16.87
J. R. Kingdon	11		152		28		1	 15.20
R. E. R. England	12		151		76*		2	 15.10
R. B. Clough	8		119		60		0	 14.87
	.11		51		18		5	 8.50

EASTBOURNE COLLEGE BOWLING.

0.	vers	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets		Average
B. G. W. Bowyer 1	42		 429		32		13.40
G. S. Brook 1	34.5		 404		28		14.42
R. E. R. England 1	10.1		 338	2 	17	• •	19.88

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs Highest inns.				ot outs	Average	
K. W. Marshall	18		697		127		1		41.00
†W. A. H. Druitt			460		146		0		25.55
J. H. B. Munro			166		41*		3	• •	23.71
D. B. Black	14		215		40		3		19.54
P. Tait	16		264		37		1		17.60
C. S. Russell	14		178		41		3	• •	16.18

EDINBURGH ACADEMY BOWLING.

Over	s	Maiden	Runs	T	Average			
W. A. H. Druitt 236	.5	68		590		66		8.93
R. J. Henderson 108	.3	29		292		20		14.60
P. Tait 189		45		507		31	• •	16.35
D. B. Black 165		27		513		30		17.10
F. Ranken 58	.1	10		262		12		21.83

EMMANUEL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not	outs	Average
L. Shaffi	. 7		92		33		1	15.33
F. L. Abbott	. 14		192	· · ·	28		0	 13.71
E. N. Pinkham	. 15	100	196		39		0	 13.06
W. R. Haining	. 12		69		29		7	 13.80
K. R. Needham		1.	123		25		0 .	 12.30
†C. N. Stephens	. 15		163		39		1	 11.64
F. E. Usher			87		18		4	 10.87
H. B. Deeks			140		29		0	 10.00
I. W. King		C	100		34*		3	 10.00
S. W. Stephens	. 14		129		32		0	 9.21

Public School Averages.

EMMANUEL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	IS	Runs	1	Wicket	Average	
I. W. King	141.4	15		453		37		12.24
L. Shaffi		16		213		16		13.31
F. E. Usher		19		375		26		14.42
K. R. Needham		8		275		16		17.18
W. Haining	88.3	10		364		14		26.00

EPSOM COLLEGE BATTING.

	ning	3	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	ľ	Not outs	Average
A. F. Hunton	13		550		110		1	 45.83
†D. L. Rhys	13		342		69*		4	 38.00
E. R. M. Bowerman	16		490		74		1	 32.66
J. D. W. H. Pettigrew	17		510		123		1	 31.87
A. D. Hodges	13		337		79		2	 30.63
J. D. Pennington	5		75		29*		2	 25.00
J. G. Younghusband	4		72	• •	58*		1	 24.00
C. S. Taylor	13		262		69		2	 23.81
F. G. Casswell	6		119		55		1	 23.80
D. H. Holmes	6	1.	89		50*		2	 22.50
J. A. R. Robertson .	14		278		42		1	 21.37
G. S. Bentley	8		82		31		3	 16.40

EPSOM COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	Wicket	Average	
D. L. Rhys	271.4	 64		810	 60		13.50
J. G. Younghusband	92.3	 18		293	 21		13.95
G. S. Bentley	170.5	 24		625	 25		25.00
J. D. Pennington	153.5	 23		460	 17		27.05

ETON COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Ne	ot outs	Average
I. Akers-Douglas	14		677		158		1	 52.07
C. H. Gosling	14		464		79		1	 35.69
I. A. de H. Lyle	12		266		67		4	 33.25
A. G. Hazlerigg	10		236		92*		2	 29.50
A. R. C. Southby	14		378		87		1	 29.07
[†] E. R. Sheepshanks .	12		265		69		0	 22.08
L. Munro-Hinds	12		181		88*		1	 16.45
J. F. N. Mayhew	7		72		25*		2	 14.40
Hon. A. R. Primrose	9		103		22		1	 12.87
J. H. Nevinson	8		56		35		2	 9.33
R. H. Jelf	6		29		16		2	 7.25

ETON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	8	Runs	W	ickets	A	verage
J. H. Nevinson	210	 42		566		40 .	. 14	4.15
A. G. Hazlerigg	49.3	 7		168		10 .	. 10	6.80
		 41		406		24 .	. 10	6.91
Hon. A. R. Primrose	176	 40		495		26 .	. 19	9.03
I. A. de H. Lyle	41	 1		160		7 .	. 2	2.85

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average	
R. J. Stuart-King .	. 12		482		132		1	 43.81	
A. I. Phelps	. 14		452		105*		2	 37.66	
R. E. C. Powell			365		96		1	 28.07	
J. O. Lintott			311		90		0	 23.92	
R. C. Parker			301		55		0	 23.15	
A. T. Harrison			180		65*		2	 20.00	
S. J. Webber			94		23		3	 18.80	
R. A. Brabner	. 8		59		16*		4	 14.75	
R. H. Barson	. 9		52		13		3	 8.66	

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. J. Stuart-King .	108.3	 20	 323		32	 10.09
A. I. Phelps	192.5	 20	 744		42	 17.71
R. H. Barson	120.5	 18	 469		22	 21.31

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average
J. F. Jones			662		113		4	 55.16
A. C. Hogarth			422		75*		3	 35.16
P. G. Cumming			351		91		1	 27.00
P. J. F. Williamson			279		73*		2	 23.25
K. G. Anson			91		56*		5	 22.75
R. T. West			186		45*		4	 20.66
G. Veno	. 14		230		50		2	 19.16
†D. M. Marr			144		35		0	 12.00
H. R. Niven	. 6	• •	37		29		1	 7.40

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	IS	Runs	W	ickets	Average
D. M. Marr				728		52	 14.00
H. R. Niven	200	 36		638		36	 17.72
A. C. Hogarth	94	 17		303		14	 21.64

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	High	nest inns.	Not outs			Average
C. H. Tanner	. 10		300		97		0		30.00
J. N. Dennis	. 10		194		46		0		19.40
G. M. Farwell	. 8		107	· · ·	43*		2		17.83
C. B. Weniger			108		51		. 0		13.50
J. Coeshall			82		35*		2		11.71
R. V. Bray			91		42		0		11.37
J. T. H. Hodgson .	. 10		94		34		0		9.40
A. Rivers	. 2		16		8		0		8.00
†B. T. Guy	. 10		56		17		0		5.60

FOREST SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. V. Bray	170.3	 26		521		34	 15.32
C. H. Tanner				284		15	 18.93
A. T. Burden	47	 1	1.00	271		18	 20.85

Public School Averages.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

Innings			Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No	ot outs	Average	
J. F. Newson	15		359		133		2		27.61
R. B. Harland	15		249		52		2		19.15
E. L. Hardy	11		210		58		0		19.09
G. F. Jerry	6		94		34		1		18.80
J. W. Leggatt	3		48		22		0		16.00
[†] J. C. B. Bryson	12		157		29		1	'	14.27
N. A. Wright	13		155		42		2		14.09
E. N. Ratcliffe	12		107		19		2		10.70
J. E. Bridger	14		133		27*		1		10.23
J. E. A. Belcher	12		88		30*		2		8.80
W. S. H. Betts	8		37		18		3		7.40
R. C. Dawson	13		91		31		0		7.00

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

Ov		Maiden	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
W. S. H. Betts 1	54	29		481		41	 11.73
J. F. Newson 20	07.2	32		603		51	 11.82
J. E. A. Belcher	53.3	6		256		15	 16.66

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nning	(S	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
†W. A. Law	10		277		76		1	 30.77
A. J. Lassmans	9		103		27		2	 14.71
F. J. Merckel	11		130		36		1	 13.00
E. Moore	12		147		33		0	 12.25
G. A. C. Jones	12		145		42		0	 12.08

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
W. J. R. Turner	81.2	17	 239	 22	 10.86
D. S. Blackwell	164.1	41	 410	 30	 13.66
W. A. Law	49.5	5	 173	 12	 14.41
E. Moore	182	48	 446	 27	 16.51

GLASGOW ACADEMY BATTING.

	nings	Runs	High	nest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
†E. V. G. Solbé	14	 162		39		0	 11.57
R. Stevenson	14	 111		26		3	 10.09
J. F. Clark	14	 124		32*		1	 9.53
W. E. C. Taylor	13	 80		31		3	 8.00
A. N. L. Warnock	14	 109		38		0	 7.78

GLASGOW ACADEMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. Crawford	. 184.1	 53	 379		62	 6.11
A. W. Waddell	. 52	 11	 136		18	 10.46
J. L. McDougall	. 134	 30	 324		30	 10.80

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BATTING.

II	Innings		Runs Highest inns.			Not	outs		Average	
G. R. C. Wotherspoon	4		126		57		0		31.50	
I. K. MacRosty	16		342		77		1		22.80	
†R. Stevenson	16		308		74		2		22.00	
D. M. Ross	12		200		44		2		20.00	
H. D. B. Lorraine .	15		277		67		1		19.77	
Hon. R. M. St. J							_	00.0		
M. Dundas	14		255		62		0		18.21	
W. B. N. Kington	13		119		22*		2		10.81	
A. W. Macdonald	15		137		24		2		10.53	
A. N. Macfie	11		73		21*		4		10.42	
C. I. Wotherspoon	11		106		25		0		9.63	
S. F. Kennedy	13		113		22*		1		9.41	

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. Stevenson	218.3	 52	 604		58	 10.41
I. K. MacRosty	76.4	 15	 197		17	 11.56
W. B. N. Kington .	139.4	 22	 410		31	 13.22
D. M. Ross	56.1	 8	 222		14	 15.85
S. F. Kennedy	57.3	 11	 224		13	 17.23

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

and the party was	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No	ot outs	Average
†W. A. R. Sumner	13		417		104		2	 37.90
J. A. H. Wolff			243		37		5	 34.71
J. Serjeant	14		360		114*		1	 27.69
G. R. Whitcombe	15		357		64*		2	 27.46
E. N. Evans			359		89		1	 23.93
C. H. D. Bartley	16		380		64		0	 23.75
T. H. Hardy	12		186		71		2	18.60
J. A. G. Starnes			285		50		0	 17.81
A. P. S. Wills	10		53		12		5	 10.60

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maid	ens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. H. Hardy	59.4 .	. 3		 243		11	 22.09
E. N. Evans		. 9		 674		29	 23.27
A. P. S. Wills	227.3 .	. 40		 775		29	 26.72
G. R. Whitcombe	154.4 .	. 24		 601		19	 31.63

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

there a place serves	1	nning	zs	Runs	Hig	hest inn	s. Not	; out:	s	Average
W. O'B. Lindsay		13		715		143		0		55.00
G. L. Raphael		9		243	-	104*		4		48.60
†D. A. M. Rome		10		436		92		1		48.44
A. S. Lawrence		12		483		89		2		48.30
W. M. Welch		10		241		70*		4		40.16
C. G. Shuckburgh		12		333		125*		1		80.27
W. J. Riddell		11		201	1	50		2		22.33
J. W. Stogdon		6		130		69	-	ō		21.66
W. M. Deas		6		76		35		2		19.00
A. R. Ramsay		8		81		31		2		13.50
[C. G. Ford		6	·	44		11*		ī		8.80

Public School Averages.

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

		Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J.	F. Robinson	41.1	4		168		12	 14.00
	. G. Ford		20		332		20	16.60
	7. M. Deas		21		513		25	 20.52
G	. L. Raphael	164.4	32		502		18	 27.88
	. S. Lawrence		19		321		10	 32.10
N	7. M. Welch	80.5	17		272		7	 38.85

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nning	3	Runs	High	hest inns.	No	t outs		Average
†A. H. Fabian	14		444		83		2		37.00
W. H. Webster	14		350		143*		2		29.16
M. G. R. Keeling	12		314		143		1		28.54
J. N. Parsons	11		270		106		0	. :	24.54
W. B. Walton	14		318		80		0		22.71
J. H. D. Smith	6		108		36		0		18.00
F. K. Reeves	9		104		44		2		14.85
G. W. Russell	10		110		28*		2		13.75
P. W. Westerby	9		67		20*		4		13.40
E. J. K. Chapman .	6		35		14*		3		11.66
E. W. W. Cunninghar	n 10		64		28*		2		8.00

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

Overs		Maiden	IS	Runs	W	ickets	5	Average
A. H. Fabian 293.4		82		813		52		15.63
G. W. Russell 132.2		22		395		20		19.75
W. H. Webster 187.5		39		547		27		20.25
E.W.W. Cunningham 119.	5	24		349		13		26.84

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BATTING.

	nings	Runs	High	est inns.	Not	outs	Average
	15 .	 439		128		1	 31.35
D. F. Walker	10 .	245		101		2	 30.62
†A. J. Gale	13	 284		20		2	25.81
K. A. T. Cant	12	265		20		ī	 24.09
M. A. Wilkinson	12	 237		56*		2	 23.70
B. E. L. Odhams	11 .	 208		43		2	 23.22
N. L. Norris	8	 108		29		3	 21.60
A. G. Cranch		 88		34		1	 14.66
R.F.H. Philpot-Brookes		 179		46		ĩ	 13.76
R. L. Smart	14 .	177		27		1	13.61
R. Coe	10	 152		27*		1	 12.66

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON, BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	-	Runs	W	ickets	Average
E. H. Taylor 55	 19		122		11	 11.09
D. F. Walker69	 25		178		15	 11.86
B. E. L. Odhams 228.1	 73	• •	501		39	 12.84
A. J. Gale 180.5	 39		525		34	 15.44

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BATTING.

	Innings	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	t outs		Average
W. K. Wyatt		 639		121		3		49.15
tE. W. Elwood		 449		69*		3		37.41
N. A. M. Plowright		 321		69		0		22.92
D. R. Dudley		 238		46		1		18.30
F. N. Bryan	. 15	 241		49		1		17.21
G. E. Arblaster		 139		50		1		15.44
F. L. Bland		 194		48		2		13.85
R. A. Lyttleton	. 11	 116		38		1		11.60
A. W. Eustace		 75		24*		2		9.37
E. R. Lapwood	. 11	 93		29		1		9.30
G. Hyde	. 10	 73		14		0		7.30
C. S. Whitehouse .	. 8	 7	÷.,	4^{*}		7	••	7.00

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
F. N. Bryan	80.1	 20	 193		20	 9.65
N. A. M. Plowright .	190.3	 28	 496		45	 11.02
G. Hvde	71.1	 17	 257		20	 12.85
W. K. Wvatt	66.2	 7	 246		19	 12.94
E. W. Elwood	133	 35	 375		28	 13.39

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BATTING.

	Inning	zs	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	. Not outs			Average
P. H. P. Weaver	14		612		168*		2		51.00
G. M. Bennett	14		565		93		0		40.35
K. Cottam	14		396		110*		1		30.46
N. J. Simmonds	13		313		120	• •	1		26.08
W. J. Thompson	13		170		45		4		18.88
R. J. Dickinson	12		168		53		1		15.27
A. W. M. Levy	13		140		30		. 3		14.00
J. D. Carter	11		83		17		1		8.30

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
G. M. Bennett	263.1	 38	 787		58	 13.56
P. H. P. Weaver	125.6	 19	 385		23	 16.73
D. Bennett	70	 6	 246		9	 27.33
J. D. Carter	99.7	 13	 334		11	 30.36

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

]	Inning	zs	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	lot outs	Average
R. O. Crouch	11		350		76		0 .	 31.81
C. G. A. Paris	12		290		53		2	 29.00
R. A. W. Binny	10		243		104		0	 24.30
A. E. C. Bredin	9		155		45*		1	 19.37
A. A. Egerton-Jones	10		153		57		2	 19.12
A. C. Goold	11		171		68		0	 15.55
H. S. C. Fish	11		153		35		1	 15.30
J. A. G. Scott	6		57		27*		1	 11.40
A. G. C. Bennett	12		133		27		0	 11.08

Public School Averages.

KING'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	8	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. P. Wyatt	107.5	 24		321		18	 17.83
C. G. A. Paris		 32		562		31	 18.12
A. A. Egerton-Jones	61	 11		210		9	 23.33
J. E. F. Lane	116	 14		355	• •	14	 25.35

KING'S SCHOOL, ELY, BATTING.

	Inning	(S	Runs	High	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average
J. A. P. Fitzpatrick	4		132		36		0	 33.00
†C. S. Taylor	13	· · ·	274		61*		2	 24.90
J. G. F. Scarr	. 14		210		47*		2	 17.50
S. J. Simons	. 14		224		59		0	 16.00
H. W. Jackson	. 11		160		33		1	 16.00
J. R. E. Droogleever	: 13		150		51		2	 13.63
J. H. Craddock	. 6		56		22		1	 11.20
R. J. G. Henderson	11		87		34*	• •	2	 9.66

KING'S SCHOOL, ELY, BOWLING.

	Overs			IS	Runs	W	lickets	Average	
R. J. G. Henderson	74		11		239		33		7.24
E. T. Camps	73.4		15		214		17		12.58
D. Duke	56		16		176		12		14.66

KINGSWOOD SCHOOL, BATH, BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	Hi	ghest inns	. N	ot out	s	Average
P. M. Kedward	16		353		82		1		23.53
H. G. Mattinson	12		70		21*		8		17.50
G. Ll. Jones	14		179		56*		2		14.91
E. K. Woodford	16		151		34		4		12.58

KINGSWOOD SCHOOL, BATH, BOWLING.

Overs	Maidens	Runs	1	Wicket	s	Average
H. G. Mattinson 101.3	20	 327		30		10.90
P. M. Kedward 160.5	 38	 420		33		12.72
†R. K. Brown 147	 35	 435		31		14.03
E. K. Woodford 47	 9	 166		10		16.60

LANCING COLLEGE BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	t outs	Average
†G. A. K. Collins	15		863		212		3	 71.91
E. Cawston	16		637		138		0	 39.81
L. A. J. Roffey	14		342		70		4	 34.20
G. A. Church	6		184		71		0	 30.66
J. P. Wheeler	12		235		57		3	 26.11
G. R. Taylor	15		362		93		1	 25.85
M. B. Beale	9		125		49		3	 20.83
P. N. L. Pears	8		75		20*		4	 18.75
W. J. S. Fletcher	15		271		79		0	 18.06
A. N. Luxmoore	6		48		19*		2	 12.00
M. V. Fletcher	14		159		42		0	 11.35
H. W. Forsyth	6		37		13		1	 7.40

LANCING COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
E. Cawston	190.1	32	 576		38	 15.15
P. N. L. Pears	137	24	 452		28	 16.14
H. W. Forsyth	167	29	 571		32	 17.84
L. A. J. Roffey	146.4	22	 620		24	 25.83
A. N. Luxmoore	82	1	 443		17	 26.05

LEYS SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	zs	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
B. J. Robson			82		39*		2	 41.00
F. R. Brown	15		481		122		1	 34.35
H. Turner	6		91		40		3	 30.33
R. H. Plant	13		262		74		2	 23.81
R. M. Bate	14		288		109		0	 20.57
D. R. Macpherson .	11		142		31*		1	 14.20
A. F. Skinner	10		118		58		0	 11.80
F. H. Masina	10		91		22		2	 11.37
H. F. H. Benson	13		116		23		1	 9.66
E. J. Hutchings	15		107		40		1	 7.64

LEYS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	8	Runs	W	ickets	Average
F. R. Brown	263.3		53		.753		59	 12.76
H. Turner			9		180		14	 12.85
H. F. H. Benson			12		221		11	 20.09
E. J. Hutchings			10		411		18	 22.83
J. A. Champness	126.1	• • .	21	• •	389	• •	17	 22.88

LLANDOVERY COLLEGE BATTING.

•]	Innings		Runs	H	ighest in	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
†V. G. J. Jenkins	13		377		76*		2		34.27
K. M. Thomas	12		191		82*		2		19.10
D. E. A. Roberts	13		182		60*		1		15.16
O. R. Saunders	8		82		32		2		13.66
W. D. G. Jones	12		144		53		0		12.00

LLANDOVERY COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
D. F. Hirst		 13	·	181		15	 12.06
T. J. Williams		 20		149		12	 12.41
G. H. Fletcher	75.2	 16		239		19	 12.57
D. E. A. Roberts	127.2	 33		361		25	 14.44

LORETTO SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	High	nest inns.	N	ot outs		Average
†D. I. Brown			396		81		1		30.46
A. D. Baxter	. 15		312		42		4		28.36
W. N. Mitchell			429		56		2		26.81
C. H. Comrie	. 3		51		24		1		25.50
M. N. Mitchell	. 6		104		60*		1		20.80
I. M. Waters	. 16		250		42		0		15.62
P. S. M. Gedge	16		206		46		2		14.71
J. A. H. Mitchell			250		53		0		14.70
J. M. Luxmoore	. 14		178		35		0		12.71
J. K. Sinclair	. 11		84		16		2		9.33
H. L. Henderson .	11		37		11*	••	7	••	9.25

Public School Averages.

LORETTO SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
P. S. M. Gedge	129.4	39		304	1.1.5	30		10.13
A. D. Baxter		79		519		47		11.04
D. I. Brown		11		203		16	• •	12.68
W. N. Mitchell	65	6		190		14	• •	13.57
I. M. Waters	95.1	23	• •	260	••	15	••	17.33

MALVERN COLLEGE BATTING.

1	nning	28	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average	
C. D. A. Pullan	12		616		147*		2		61.60
E. H. Moss	12		504		167		2		50.40
W. H. L. Lister	9		300		100		1		37.50
G. N. Crabtree	9		248		76		1		31.00
M. Gilford	6		117		45		2		29.25
G. L. Walker	9		203		88		0	• •	22.55
A. C. Bird	9		199		58		. 0	• •	22.11
F. H. Pellew	8		119		54	• •	2 .		19.83
+L. C. Nunneley	7		91		72*		2	•••	18.20
G. B. H. Horrocks .	8		123		36		0		15.37
R. H. Bradshaw	9		78		29	••	1	• •	9.75

MALVERN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	IS	Runs	W	lickets	3	Average
M. Gilford		 22		415	· · ·	21		19.76
G. N. Crabtree		 6		258		13	÷	19.85
L. C. Nunneley		 43		707				
F. H. Pellew		15	• •	434	• •	14	••	31.00

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BATTING.

	I	nnin	gs	Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No		Average	
J. F. Anderson		13		558		183*		2	••	50.72
M. J. H. Morton.		13		543		171		2		49.36
R. D. Armstrong		13	00110	451		108		1		37.58
T. A. D. Hewan .		13	1.1	387		83		1		32.25
R. J. Marley		13		217		54*		5		27.12
		11		297		95		. 0		27.00
W. M. Greener		10		157		40*		2		19.63
J. G. Chappell		100	••	172		48		ĩ		17.20
J. T. H. Comber.		11	• •			54		0	•••	16.27
†R. K. Page		11		179	• •			0	• •	15.30
D. L. Marley	2.2	10	·	153		43		0	• •	19.50

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maider	18	Runs	N	ickets		Average
R. J. Marley	. 345	 94		901		46		19.58
R. D. Armstrong .		 52		552	4	22		25.09
R. K. Page		 6		400		14		28.57
M. J. H. Morton		 56		577		20		28.85
J. C. Pank		 5	• • •	131	••	4	•••	32.75

MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL BATTING.

			Runs	ns Highest inns.		Not outs			Average	
†D. M. Parry			690		184*		4		98.57	
J. Huskisson			122		48*		3		61.00	
E. R. Poulton			316		79		0	÷.	28.72	
K. G. Williamson .			216		92		ĩ		24.00	
C. D. Eden			78		33*		2		15.60	
E. T. Goulding			123		44		1		15.37	
B. C. R. Stevens	. 8		96		44		1		13.71	
W. M. Crocker	. 6		50		15		0		8.33	
D. G. Spurling ,	. 8	• •	60	• •	27		0		7.50	

MERCHANT' TAYLORS' SCHOOL BOWLING.

DIN	Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
D. M. Parry		• •	11	 347		14	 24.78
W. M. Crocker			11	 457		16	 28.56
E. T. Goulding	124.1	• •	21	 472		13	 36.30

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings			Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs			Average
†W. R. Logan			438		70		1		29.20
J. Connel			447		89*		1		27.93
C. R. Morison			330		56		3		27.50
R. M. Connell			213		40		0		16.38
H. B. Burgess			64		23*		2		16.00
D. R. S. Milne	. 12	• •	111		20*		1		10.09

MERCHISTON CASTLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
W. C. A. Peterkin 256		64	 642		49	 13.10
J. W. F. Lumsden . 114.5		18	 303		20	 15.15
R. M. Connell 114.5		29	 368		23	 16.00
D. R. S. Milne 60.5		8	 224		12	 18.66
R. N. McKern 94.4	• •	13	 315		14	 22.50

MILL HILL SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings		Runs	Highest inns.		Not outs			Average	
†T. H. B. Lawther	17		508		84*		2		33.86
P. D. Howard	17		536		96		1		33.50
H. J. Aish	17		358		65		5		29.83
H. E. Beven	12		232		54		1		21.09
A. O. Wills	13		197		61		3		19.70
A. T. Jamieson	8		68		26*		4		17.00
S. H. Palmer	10		134		24		1		14.88
T. Jones	16	••	189	• •	49		3	• •	14.54
A. R. N. Carter	10	• •	61	• •	26*		4		10.16
M. R. Das	14		113	••	29	••	0	• •	8.07

MILL HILL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
T. H. B. Lawther			55	 931		51	 18.25
A. O. Wills		• •	28	 415		19	 21.81
A. R. N. Carter	. 381.3	• •	60	 1174		50	 23.48

Public School Averages.

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BATTING.

1	nning	18	Runs	High	hest inns.	N	lot outs	Average
G. O. P. Eaton	19	3-11 A	354		78*		1	 19.66
M. E. Fortt	19		215		37*		5	 15.35
R. H. Carpenter			234		44		0	 14.62
T. B. L. Bryan	19		268				0	 14.10
T. M. Watson	11		147		34		0	 13.36
H. B. Parry	15		156		33		2	 12.00
†E. G. Perry			213		55*			 11.21
T. R. R. Eve			161		51*			 8.47
W. D. G. Mould	19		115		18		3	 7.18

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	lickets	Average
H. T. Perrett .	193.3	49		481			
M. E. Fortt				408		39	
E. G. Perry	221.1	44	••	580		46	12.60

NEWTON COLLEGE BATTING.

Innings			Runs Highest inns. Not outs						Average
†C. E. H. Poynder	10		709		158		3		101.28
V. L. M. Wainright	11		393		87		1		39.30
D. C. Coulton	7		83		33		1		13.83
N. G. Hough	9	-	95		30		2		13.57
H. S. Balls	9		121		47		0		13.55
J. F. Peakins	12		129		33		1		11.72
E. W. Barker			110		27		0		10.00

NEWTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

Ove	rs	Maider	ıs	Runs	N	lickets	Average
E. W. Barker 14			• • •			31	
V. L. M. Wainright 15	3	14		687		32	 21.46

OAKHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

States 1	Inning	s	Runs	High	nest inns.	N	ot outs		Average
P. Vaulkard	12		263		58		1	• •	23.90
J. S. Brown	11		244		77		0		22.18
T. S. R. Fisher	10		140		40		2		17.50
G. Chatterton	11		168		31		1		16.80
G Vaulkard	13		217		-58		0		16.69
B. D. Gillmore	13		178		35		0		13.69
W. Chatterton	7		62		16		2		12.40
G. R. Hawkes	10		89		33		2		11.12
H. K. Eady			76		29		1		10.85
R. K. Allen			18		12*		5		9.00
†A. C. Martin	12		62		11	••	0	••	5.16

OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	W	ickets		Average
A. C. Martin	. 78	 8	- ÷ •	271	••	18	••	15.05
R. K. Allen		 . 9	• •	490	••	31	••	15.80 21.00
J. S. Brown	. 192	 26	2.4.4	672		32		21.00

OUNDLE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
A. G. Skinner			475		135*		2	 47.50
†B. R. W. Johnson .			265		100		1	37.85
R. M. Beresford	. 8		201		75		0	 25.12
E. W. Dixon	. 10		135		51*		4	 22.50
D. Ll. Lloyd	. 7	• •	127		59		1	 21.18
N. C. Marr	. 13		199		34*		1	 16.58
C. E. Daniels	. 10	••	124		40		1	 13.77
J. S. Wilkinson	. 8	••	50		15		3	 10.00

OUNDLE SCHOOL BOWLING.

B. S. Westmacott	Overs		Maidens 19		Runs 213	W	ickets 20		Average
E. W. Dixon	114 9	••		••		• •		••	10.65
A G Skinnen	114.0	•••	12		486	• •	24		20.25
A. G. Skinner	170	• •	38		516		23		22.43
J. S. Wilkinson	76.5		9		362		10		36.20

RADLEY COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	Hig	hest inn	s. No	ot outs	Average
†G. C. A. Adams	16		699		109*		3	 53.76
W. K. Rogers	16		410		109*		2	29.28
D. C. HCBorgnis			367		51		2	 28.23
P. I. Van der Gucht			378		62		1	 23.62
P. N. Kitching	9		181		82		1	 22.62
C. M. Blunt	15		298		54		0	 19.86
G. L. Millar	10		142		55*		2	 17.75
H. P. Dinwiddy	15		231		37		2	 17.69
W. H. Vestey	5	• •	61		32*		1	 15.25
L. E. Jacobs			47		14*		4	 9.40
W. J. R. Cragg	10	• •	63		24		1	 7.00

RADLEY COLLEGE BOWLING.

Overs .		Maidens	1 20	Runs	W	ickets		Average
G. C. A. Adams 151		18		640		31		20.64
H. P. Dinwiddy 60		5		275		12		22.91
L. E. Jacobs 126 W. J. R. Cragg 104	• •	18		400		17		23.52
	• •	17	• •	341		11	• •	31.00
C. M. Blunt 225	• •	34	• •	879		24		36.20

REPTON SCHOOL BATTING.

and the state of the state of the	Innings	Runs	High	lest inns.	Not	onts		Average	
J. F. Mendl	. 13	533		173		0		41.00	
C. C. Clarke	. 11	362	1000	0.0		2		40.22	
†R. H. C. Human	. 13	410		107		2		37.27	
J. S. Williams	. 10	253		117		3		36.14	
G. O. Joyce	. 10	231	- 83	108	1.1	3		33.00	
J. D. H. Gilbert	. 13	351	1.1	123		1		29.25	
J. Barker	. 5	112		56*		1		28.00	
M. B. Brain	8	136		53		ñ	<u>.</u>	17.00	
J. H. Human	5	48		22*		2		16.00	
P. S. K. Balfour	. 52	27		15*	1	3		13.50	

Public School Averages.

REPTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

T TT TT	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. H. Human	78	 11	 293		15	 19.53
R. H. C. Human	216	 48	 628		32	 19.62
J. S. Williams	154	 28	 504		24	 21.00
P. S. K. Balfour		 18	 317		12	 26.41
H. E. Blyth	131	 34	 393	•••	13	 30.23

ROSSALL SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs Highest inns.			Not outs			Average	
S. R. C. Young		14		180		73*		1		13.84
R. H. Strachan		13		173	· · ·	39		0		13.30
O. R. Acworth		10		79		24		4		13.16
†J. S. Buck		14		169		32*		1		13.00
G. T. Lavery		14		129		36		1		9.92
S. J. B. Clement	s	14	• •	118				1		9.07
B. J. A. Lowe .			••	78	• •	20		1		8.66
J. H. Fielden .		14		84	• •	32		3		7.63

ROSSALL SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	5	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
J. W. Hathorn		 15		195		16	 12.18
T. A. Marsden		 12		236		19	 12.42
P. E. D. Wilson		 22		257		17	 15.11
J. S. Buck	185	 30		656		28	 23.43
J. H. Fielden	117.2	 19		440		17	 25.88

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs		Average
G. R. Gunn			257		50*	1.1	3		21.41
†D. Murray	17		276		42		õ		16.23
T. S. Brotherstone .			144		38*		3		13.09
G. A. Smith	. 12		108				9		10.80
W. H. Mackay	10		90		23		ĩ		10.00
F. M. Trotter	8		78		19	•••	ō	•••	9.75
D. D. G. Wright	13		92		29*		3	•••	9.20
P. J. Hawkins	13		81		21*		0	••	9.20
A. G. R. Lowdon	15		120		0.0	• •	1	••	
J. J. Wright	15		120	•••	29	• •	1	••	8.57
	10	• •	120	••	49	••	U		8.00

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
W. H. Mackay 90.5	••	32		179		24	 74.5
G. A. Smith 33.1		6		. 79		10	 7.90
G. R. Gunn 145.2 J. B. Michie 58.3		40		343		- 41	 8.36
J. B. Michie 58.3 A. G. R. Lowdon 135.2		13	• •	135		15	 9.00
A. U. IV. LUWUOII 135.2		21		367		37	 9.91

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs Highest inns.			No	ot outs		Average	
C. P. M. Hunting	. 11		212		55*		4		30.28	
G. V. F. Dawson	. 16		369		89*		1		24.60	
L. E. C. Davies	. 16		369		73		1		24.60	
J. Knox	. 16		344		50		1		22.92	
P. H. Williams	. 12		216		84*		1		19.63	
W. R. Evers			213		50		3		19.36	
M. St. J. Oswald	. 15		230		32		0		15.33	
J. P. Hollick	. 5		57		33		1		14.25	
R. O. G. Norman .	. 15		200		37		0		13.33	
W. Zambra	. 10	••	67	••	15*	• •	4	• •	11.16	

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

Overs			Maiden	Runs	Wickets			Average	
G. V. F. Dawson	211.3		53		630		53		11.88
W. Zambra	116.4		28		364		15		24.26
J. P. Hollick	54		5		180		7		25.71
W. R. Evers	81.4		4		337		13		25.92
L. E. C. Davies	47.5		9		181		4		45.25

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	High	nest inns.	. Not outs			Average
A. W. B. Symonds	15		357		99		0		23.80
J. R. Hawthorn	11		200		55		1		20.00
J. Rashleigh	14		263		99		0		18.78
B. W. Thompson	11		41		15*		8		13.66
H. G. B. Anthony	14		155		38		1	*	11.92
A. F. S. Smith	14		148		33*		1		11.38
J. Orpwood	10		112		39		0		11.20
C. E. A. Mason	13		120		23		2		10.90
E. T. Stephens	13		127	••	37	••	0	• •	9.76

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING.

	ers	Maiden	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
A. W. B. Symonds.	57.2	10		208		20	 10.40
B. W. Thompson 1	39	42		455		33	 13.78
A. F. S. Smith 1	94	49		580		39	 14.87
J. Rashleigh	37.3	2		174		10	 17.40

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BATTING.

	Innings			Runs Highest inns.			ot outs	Average	
R. H. J. Brooke	4		276		111		2		138.00
A. H. M. Hoare	9		438		102		0		48.66
†D. R. S. Bader	12		432		104		0		36.00
F.S.P.O'Hagan	11		308		71		2		34.22
K. J. Veitch	8		226		87	•••	1	• •	32.28

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
D. R. S. Bader	163	44	 540		30	 18.00
A. G. P. Way		28	 338		18	 18.77
J. A. H. Sargeaunt .	75	13	 249		12	 20.75
V. R. A. Denne	102	4	 441		18	 24.50

Public School Averages.

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BATTING.

	Innings			Hig	hest inns.	. Not outs			Average
R. A. S. Farnfield	11		331		85		1		33.10
P. Z. Henderson	11		136		44		0		12.36
G. L. Bamford	9		67		24		3		11.16
†G. A. C. Dunbar	11		111		54		0		11.09
B. H. Williams	9		66		28*		2		9.42
J. A. L. Wareham .	11		80		18		1		8.00
C. R. Lucas	7		45		15*		1		7.50
R. C. O. Goodchild .	9	• •	58		21		1		7.25

ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.

Overs	1	Maidens	5	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. A. S. Farnfield				460		32	 14.37
G. L. Bamford				369		22	 16.77
P. Z. Henderson				362		19	 19.05
J. Collinson –		******		445		13	 34.23

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING.

Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs			Average	
†H. J. Linnell	16		782		147*		1		52.13
F. F. Taylor	16		474		115		0		29.62
H. L. Salmon	15		364		57		2		28.00
D. G. Oswald	16		322		56		3		24.76
A. G. W. Hill	4		38		24*		2		19.00
A. L. Toop	14		223		32		2		18.58
C. Myburgh	10		108		26		3		15.42
L. C. Rumsey	13		158		42		2		14.36
J. W. S. Hardie	15		198		46		1		14.14
H. H. Scott	6		41		26		2		10.25
M. D. Messent	3		10		7		2		10.00
P. G. Attenborough	7	••	35		17		2		7.00

ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	N	ickets	Average
C. Myburgh	201		45	 592		30	 19.73
P. G. Attenborough			24	 652		33	19.75
M. D. Messent		• •	18	 438		20	 21.90
H. J. Linnell		• •	36	 615		28	 21.96
H. H. Scott	. 100.1		8	 379		16	 23.68

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings			Runs	uns Highest inns.			ot outs	Average	
A. W. G. Hadingham	14		491		160		1		37.76
G. Shneerson	14		450		137		2		37.50
J. B. Maclean	7		172		59		ĩ		28.66
†R. Felton	15		374		91		1		26.71
N. C. Burt	15		313		50		1		22.35
H. S. C. Killick	11		133		42*		3		16.62
J. G. Richards	13		141		36		4		15.66
V. Oundjian	7		137		41		2		27.40
B. R. Isaac	6		68		30		3		22.66

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	3	Runs	W	ickets	Average
B. R. Isaac	134.2	 28		429		20	 21.45
G. Shneerson	70.4	 11		241		11	 21.90
R. M. Jobson-Scott	97	 7		361		15	 24.06
J. G. Richards	93	 9		392		14	 28.00
E. A. Joseph	96	 12		348		12	 29.00
N. C. Burt		 33		528		18	 29.33
R. Felton	243.3	 55		712		19	 37.47

ST. PETER'S SCHOOL, YORK, BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs			Average	
†W. Elliott	14		745		138		0		53.21	
J. D. Mac Ildowie	13		236		50*		4		26.22	
W. G. Hutton	15		370		104		0		25.66	
C. L. Troop			353		102		0		25.21	
H. Haigh-Lumby	14		231		47		3		21.00	
E. R. Pickersgill	13		230		64*		1		19.16	
R. G. Bullen	13		164		46		3		16.40	
F. H. Douglas	13		172		46		2		15.63	
P. N. Avery	6		67		18*		1		13.40	
P. M. Perry	7		52		19		2		10.40	

ST. PETER'S SCHOOL, YORK, BOWLING.

Ov	ers	Maider	IS	Runs	W	ickets	Average
E. R. Pickersgill 7	0.2	18		187		20	 9.35
P. N. Avery 10		33		293		27	 10.85
H. Haigh-Lumby 20	7.5	54		501		37	 13.54
R. G. Bullen 8		18		256		14	 18.28
J. D. Mac Ildowie 11	2.2	27		421		22	 19.13

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	est inns. Not outs			
†J. E. Nicholls	11		626		132*		1		62.60
R. H. Dahl	12		340		74		1		30.90
L. Armstrong	12		282		65		0		23.50
G. B. Brookes	10		145		49		3		20.71
J. G. Watherston	12		244		50		0		20.33
D. M. Somerville	10		180		45*		1		20.00
J. R. K. Moffatt	8		135		30		1		19.28
J. F. A. Turner	12		197		39		1		17.90
M. H. Watkins	5		41		20*		2		13.66
M. A. Doran	8		27		8*		5	• •	9.00

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
M. A. Doran	87	 6	• •	350		18	 19.44
G. B. Brookes	171	 22		592		29	 20.41
L. Armstrong	104	 16		332		15	 22.13
J. R. K. Moffatt	177	 29		613		13	 47.15

Public School Averages.

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
†D. H. Tovey	15		295		79		0	 19.66	
C. G. F. Hazell			159		38*		3	 13.25	
D. J. Hoddinott			77		43		2	 11.00	
J. Fancott			153		45		1	 10.92	
F. D. Hollick			141		30		1	 10.07	
W. J. R. Dean	12		100	••	27	••	0	 8.33	

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

Overs		Maiden	IS	Runs	W	licket	Average
H. J. Shepard 31.5		11		93		11	 8.45
W. H. F. T. Gauntlett 157		36		434		39	 11.12
	••	30	• •	190	• •		
D. H. Tovey 65	••	07	• •		••	16	 11.87
J. A. Wakefield 126.5	• •	37		280		22	 12.72

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

In	ning	S	Runs	High	est inns.	Not	outs	Average	
E. Knight	14		335		138*		4	 33.50	
R. H. Gladden	12		361		74		0	 30.08	
J. C. D. Gould	14		388		138*	• •	1	 29.84	
K. E. Boome	14		288		50		2	 24.00	
D. A. Hodgkinson .	14		309		88		1	 23.76	
R. G. Kreyer	7		104		39		2	 20.80	
P. H. F. Mermagen .	13		156		50*		2	 14.18	
A. W. Hopcraft	10		112		51		2	 14.00	
E. R. K. Glover	9		94		38		2	 13.42	
R. C. Eglington	10		126		47	••	0	 12.60	

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	_	Maiden	S	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. G. Kreyer	113		33		274		25	 10.96
E. R. K. Glover			31		236		20	 11.80
P. H. F. Mermagen	143		35		362		26	 13.92
N. R. Seddon	88		13		270		18	 15.00
A. W. Hopcraft	190		51		490		22	 22.27

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	8	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	N	ot outs	Average
†D. N. Moore	. 12		523		102		1	 47.54
J. F. Snow			453		127		1	 37.75
R. D. F. Bland	. 11		258		58*		- 3	 32.25
H. S. Sever			361		95		0	 27.76
J. G. M. Headlam .	. 6		138		45		1	 27.60
G. A. R. Green			162		57*		5	 27.00
E. L. J. F. Birch			238		87		1	 19.83
D. C. G. Raikes	. 13		234		54		0	 18.00
R. Waye			124		64		1	 17.71
D. H. Harratt	. 10		118		56		0	 11.80
C. I. Heneker	. 2		15		14	• •	0	 7.50

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING

	Overs	Maiden	8	Runs	W	lckets	Average
R. D. F. Bland	. 251.2	 52		667	· · ·	76	 8.77
J. H. Craven		 40		407		80	 13.56
G. A. R. Green	. 130.1	 24		448		24	 18.66

STONYHURST COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Hig	hest inns.	Not outs	Average
H. S. de Caires	. 11		660		113	2	 73.33
J. B. Morgan	. 10		314		96	. 2	 39.25
R. P. Moran	. 9	• •	145		37		24.16
H. J. Sayers	. 8		158		31		 22.57
D. E. Feeny	. 7		92		50	. 1	 15.33
P. E. Meagher		• •	- 29		18	. 1	 14.50
T. P. Wood	. 11		139		31	. 1	 13.90

STONYHURST COLLEGE BOWLING.

TT (1)	Overs	Maidens	5	Runs	W	ickets	Average
H. S. de Caires .		 33		485		34	 14.26
I. E. John		 22		317		18	 17.61
G. McGrath		 4		232		12	 19.33
C. J. Williams	171	 18		338		14	24.14

STOWE SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nning	78	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs		Averag e
R. H. G. Carr			269		63*		2 .	1	38.42
G. E. G. Jackson	10		251	·	43		3 .	100	35.85
A. G. Howland-									00100
Jackson	6		190	·	106		0.		31.66
B. Brind	7		167		70		1 .		27.83
B. C. Gadney	12		275		79		1.		25.00
†A. J. P. Ling	11		245	• •	68		1.		24.50
H. V. Kemp	10	· · ·	138		39		1 .		15.33
D. A. G. Keith	7		93		58		0.		13.28
E. H. D. Low	7		33		13*		4.		11.00
T. R. Williams	5		37	••	27	• •	1.		9.25

STOWE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	ers	Maiden	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
E. H. D. Low 2		34		792		32	 24.75
D. A. G. Keith 1-		20		528		21	 25.14
E. Sheppard	85.3	11		351		12	 29.25

TAUNTON SCHOOL BATTING.

I	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average
R. A. Gerrard	18		385		69		1	 22.64
†K. S. Lucking	18		383		56		1	 22.52
G. B. Edge	14		175		64		6	21.87
F. H. Stevens	18		332		55		2	20.75
G. H. Weston	8		99		28*		3	19.80
H. O. Bramble	12		204		61		0	17.00
W. R. Topping	10		151		43		0	 15.10
A. W. Wheeler	14		163		60*		3	 14.81
N. J. Hughes	12		84	<u> </u>	37*		2	 8.40
W. G. Carter	12		75		19*		2	 7.50
H. C. Fox	16		93		25*		3	 7.15

TAUNTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

* **		Overs	Maidens	Runs	·W	ickets	Average
J. H. Cameron	· · · · ·	233.2	 23	 758		56	 13.53
A. W. Wheeler		206	 43	 515		34	 15.14

Public School Averages.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings			Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average	
T. A. Crawford	16		731		144		2		52.21
J. A. Baiss	17		706		123		1		44.12
L. L. Armour	16		441		108		3		33.92
R. G. Musson	9		185		68*		3		30.83
K. G. Solomon	8		236		100		0		29.50
C. W. Astell	15		363		48		2		27.92
J. G. W. Davies	17		365		87*		2		24.33
J. C. Brooks	11		249		64		0		22.63
R. F. Cox	11		195		56		2		21.66
R. H. Rutter	11		123		52*		3		15.37

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. H. Rutter	258.3	 51		698		50	 13.96
R. F. Cox	176.4	 30		511		28	 18.25
J. A. Baiss	127.1	 16		486		25	 19.44
T. A. Crawford		 46		659		23	 28.65
J. G. W. Davies	.106.3	 22		341		11	 31.00

TRENT COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	Highest inns.		N	ot outs	Average
J. A. Atkinson	9		226		55*		1	 28.25
J. L. Baker			267		69		0	 20.53
C. G. Buck	14		241		47		1	 18.53
[†] C. C. Barker	13		201		43*		1	 16.75
W. E. Kemp	8		67		23*		4	 16.75
W. H. Walker	14		195		51		2	 16.25
R. A. Ainslie	11		113		37		2	 12.55
J. L.Barker	13		150		54		1	 12.50
C. F. Jonklaas	8		51		12		1	 7.28

TRENT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
R. G. Heathcote		12	 230		16	 14.44
C. C. Barker		41	 616		43	 14.32
W. H. Walker		17	 323		22	 14.62
C. G. Buck	. 129.3	 9	 480		30	 16.00

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BATTING.

	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No	ot outs	Average	
T. H. Rowney	17		615		102		3		43.92
D. F. Surfleet	17		441		128		0		25.94
R. H. Henderson	8		136		36*		2		22.66
C. M. Wilson	17		264		54		0		15.52
G. M. Winterbotham	7		72		17		2		14.40
S. A. Whitteridge	12		150		50	× •	0		12.50
R. W. R. Moore	17		184		38		0		10.82
F.S.L. Moore	16		130		52*		1		8.66
N. T. Ringdahl	14		84		17		4		8.40

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maiden	IS	Runs	W	ickets		Average
A. L. King	. 90.3		7		254		23		11.04
S. A. Whitteridge .			15		171		11		15.54
C. M. Wilson		·	32		530		34	· · ·	15.58
D. F. Surfleet			45		523	÷	29		18.03
T. H. Rowney	. 197.3		44	· · ·	716		37		19.35

Public School Averages.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	nning	S	Runs	Hig	hest inn	s. No	ot out	Average	
F. G. H. Chalk	12		484		127		1		44.00
J. A. Sutor	11		441		114		0		40.09
J. C. Christopherson	11		275		126		0		25.00
T. P. Lawrence	12		249		. 75		1		22.63
J. P. Hallett	9		162		62		1		20.25
J. E. D. Watson	5		78		47		1	10	19.50
H. R. Cox	7		106		43		0		15.14
G. M. Chandler	8		62		27		2		10.33
C. J. Durrant	8		37		18*		4		9.25
J. D. Haslam	8		53		19		2		8.83

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	W	lickets	6	Average
T. P. Lawrence	 56	 3		249		15		16.60
G. M. Chandler	 219	 18		603		35		17.22
†M. F. Hurdle	 186	 39		561	100110	23		24 39

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs Highest inns.			Not outs			Average	
†D. W. Stokes			604		126		3		50.33	
C. Rawlins	. 14		450		82		1		34.61	
A. Barrett	. 13		249		81		4		27.66	
T. E. Dearnaly	. 6		26		14*		5		26.00	
D. H. M. Veitch			317		76		0		22.64	
C. L. F. Cox			271		66		1		22.58	
E. S. Holdaway	. 8		142		49		1		20.28	
G. K. Benton	14		212		62*		2		17.66	
P. A. Little			129		50		2		12.90	
W. P. Pike	6		37		15*		1		7.40	

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
C. Rawlins	96.3	 23	 325		22	 14.77
T. E. Dearnaly	. 86	 17	 282		17	 16.58
G. K. Benton	200	 42	 621		36	 17.25
J. G. Coney	218.5	 31	 791		45	 17.57

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BATTING.

I	nning	s	Runs	is Highest inns.			ot outs	Average	
T. J. R. Dashwood.	12		556		152		1	 50.54	
A. A. Benn	12		422		95		1	 38.36	
W. P. L. Lawson	11		299		73		0	 27.18	
K. A. Munro	9		186		50*		2	 26.57	
I. H. Freeland	9		206		53*		1	 25.75	
G. R. T. Gillett	5		77		32*		2	 25.65	
J. W. H. James	10		169		46		. 2	 21.12	
W. G. Tailyour	8		73		30*		4	 18.25	
P. M. Hughes	6		79		23		1	 15.80	
†P. F. Garthwaite	9		123		39		1	 15.33	
G. C. Grimshaw	6		50		95		1	10.00	

WELLINGTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maiden	S	Runs	W	lickets	Average
P. F. Garthwaite	185.1	 6		801		39	 20.53
P. M. Hughes	144.5	 21		542		19	 28.52
K. A. Munro	129	 23		514		17	 30.23

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings			Runs Highest inns.				lot outs	Average	
D. A. Bompas	13		463		85		0	 35.61	
C. E. Lonsdale	5		120		52		0	 24.00	
L. J. D. Wakely	11		219		74		1	 21.90	
I. W. A. Symington.	11		231		53		0	 21.00	
J. W. M. Aitken	10		204		106		0	 20.40	
J. K. Luard	13		199		52		2	 18.09	
†K. J. Gardiner	13		204		33		0	 15.69	
H. B. Graham	12		128		35		3	 14.22	
H. L. Jones	13		168		48*		1	 14.00	
P. Aitken	7		42		22*		4 *	 14.00	
J. A. Evetts	8	1.1	84		28*		2	 14.00	
R. H. L. Cooper	6		43		14*		2	 10.75	
R. G. A. Colt-									
Williams	10		92		22	• •	0	 9.20	

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
I. W. A. Symington		 37	 409		27	 15.14
L. J. D. Wakely		 59	 400		25	 16.00
K. J. Gardiner		 34	 461		24	 19.20
H. L. Jones	105	 24	 408		20	 20.40

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	(S	Runs	s Highest inns.			ot outs	Average	
†J. H. L. Phillips			406		173		2		36.90
B. E. W. McCall			339		85		1		26.07
G. R. Ormiston			292		52		0		19.46
E. R. Coutts-Deaco			123		27*		3		15.37
A. H. S. Henderson			140		27*		1		14.00
C. T. P. Holroyd			134		29		0		11.16
A. D. S. Nicholls									
Clemens			149		47		0		10.63
A. M. Camplin			112		27		2		9.33
G. R. Leonard			130		34		0		9.28
H. M. Mizen	. 9		45		13		4		9.00

WEYMOUTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. H. L. Phillips			13		221		27	 8.16
G. R. Leonard			45		630		63	 10.00
B. E. W. McCall			8	• •	252		16	 15.75
P. L. Norcock	154	• •	20		484	• •	30	 16.13

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings							nest inns.	No	t outs	5	Average	
F. R. Ewing			430		91		0		22.63				
H. H. Peart	. 17		330		78		2		22.00				
H. W. Seear			255		52		5		21.25				
J. R. Merrett			308		46*		3		20.53				
F. S. Thompson			367		78		1		20.38				
F. C. Dubois			222		53		1		15.85				
†W. J. Stutchbury	. 20		249		41		3		14.64				
N. M. Ling	. 20		237		42		0		11.85				
J. M. Sykes	12		94		24*		4		11.74				

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

Overs		Maiden	s	Runs	W	ickets	Average
F. R. Ewing 195.1		16		782		53	 14.75
W. J. Stutchbury 221		39		685		38	 18.02
F. C. Dubois 139.2		23		401		21	 19.09
H. H. Peart 168		28		513		27	 19.00
F. S. Thompson 158	• •	31		464		21	 22.09

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BATTING.

1	nning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns.	No	ot outs	Average
P. W. Milligan	9		333		113		2	47.57
A. E. Fellowes	6		138		36*		3	46.00
R. S. G. Scott	10		238		57*		4	39.66
J. L. T. Guise	12		227		56		6	37.83
P. J. Brett	14		516		155		0	36.85
R. de W. K. Winlaw	12		317		82		3	35.22
R. S. Walker	15		386		90*		2	29.69
N. McCaskie	11		266		58*		1	26.60
D. Stephens	8		118		44*		1	16.85
P. N. Townsend	5		58		30*		1	14.50
P. E. Mason	4		48		26		0	12.00
E. A. P. Jenkins	4	• •	11	•••	7		1	3.66

WINCHESTER COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	5	Runs	W	ickets	Average
P. N. Townsend		 43		762		45	 16.93
R. de W. K. Winlaw		 5		155		9	 17.22
P. J. Brett		 60		526		30	 17.53
E. A. P. Jenkins		 33		479		20	 23.95
P. E. Mason	129	 30		388		13	 29.84

WREKIN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	Hig	hest inns	. No	ot outs	Average
J. E. Harrisson			633		97		3 .	. 57.54
†J. W. Elliott	. 14		375		112		0 .	. 26.78
F. Bullivant	. 13		267		88		2 .	. 24.27
C. H. Eaves	. 13		222		66		0.	. 17.07
O. H. MSmith	. 11		186		38		0.	. 16.90
D. S. Ship	. 12		193		42		0.	. 16.08
C. T. Ingram	. 11		132		32		2.	. 14.66
J. Ll. Griffith			50		28		3.	. 12.50
R. Elliott			139		48		1.	. 10.69
R. T. Chadwick	. 8		50	• •	12		2 .	. 8.33

WREKIN COLLEGE BOWLING.

Ove		Maidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
A. G. Gill 11		18	 405		20	 20.25
J. W. Elliott 30		57	 739		32	 23.09
J. Ll, Griffith 13		29	 418	100 - 1	18	 23.22
F. Bullivant 16	1.5	22	 544		17	 32.00