PUBLIC SCHOOL CRICKET IN 1929.

By A. PODMORE.

Thanks are again due to many kind friends and correspondents for the trouble they have taken in supplying information, without which these notes could not have been written, and my chief regret is that want of space precludes a fuller review. C. B. Fry, in his admirable criticism in 1893, wrote "an exhaustive account of the cricket season at each of the more famous schools would be beyond the compass of this article." He dealt with twenty-three schools—there are now three times that number which with good reason claim the right to come under notice.

The strength of the schools must vary from season to season, but some dozen, whose names readily occur, annually keep up a high

standard and are in a class above the others.

With the conditions, as in 1928, generally quite favourable, some very good batting performances were recorded. Moore and Wilcox, captains for the second year of Shrewsbury and Dulwich respectively, each scored over a thousand runs for his school, while Cawston, of Lancing, reached four figures during the term, when playing for Sussex against Hampshire. Rogers, of Birkenhead, established a Public Schools record which is likely to last for many years. He took 100 wickets and scored 1,000 runs the second season in succession, a wonderful achievement though he played in many matches and the opposition was not always strong.

A batsman possessing strong defence and plenty of scoring strokes, and a medium paced bowler with a ball which swerves very late, Rogers captained the Liverpool Public Schools against the Manchester Public Schools in August, and, after getting a number of wickets cheaply he played a good innings of 64. He was invited to play for Cheshire, but, I understand, will probably throw in his lot with Lancashire. Moore was invited to play for Gloucestershire. Wilcox won his spurs for Essex, and Rothschild (Harrow) saw service

with Northants.

Among good players who did not appear in either of the trial games at Lord's, were Clarke (Repton), Nicholls (Sedbergh) and Surfleet (U.C.S.). Clarke took part in a few matches for Derbyshire. Nicholls, one of the best left-hand batsmen of recent years, fully realised as a forcing player the expectations of the previous summer, and Surfleet, who has at least one more year at school, combined sound defence with a nice variety of strokes, being especially strong on the on side. His methods are very similar to

those of Wilcox, and, in addition, he is a promising spin bowler, with a well-disguised googly. Jones scored over a thousand runs for Fettes, a most unusual feat in Scottish school cricket.

Batting, all round, showed no signs of falling off, and the fielding, as it should do, reached a high standard. Wicket-keepers enjoyed a particularly good year. Apart from those who played at Lord's, Maxwell (Brighton), Mackarel (Rossall), Maclean (St. Paul's), Oldfield (Repton) and Ship (Wrekin), all acquitted themselves with distinct credit.

The bowling was not so satisfactory. Early in the term the pitches told against the young bowler and, even at Lord's, when, as has frequently happened of late years, the conditions were unfavourable for the fortnight's cricket devoted to the schools, many of the boys did not know enough to take full advantage of the state of the wicket.

Of the fast bowlers, Nevinson (Eton) quite fulfilled his former promise and stood out alone, being almost as effective on raindamaged turf as when the ground was hard, and Benton (Wellingborough), though not possessing the physical advantages of reach and height, developed considerable pace and kept a length, with a sharp break from the off. This proved disconcerting to the Young Professionals at Lord's. There was no outstanding spin bowler of the type of R. D. F. Bland, the Shrewsbury left-hander of the previous year, but more should be heard of Ekin, the leg-break bowler of Cheltenham, and of Cameron, of Taunton, while Nelson, of Harpenden, Brims of Uppingham, and Gooch of Haberdashers, showed promise as left-handers. Williams (Repton), a forcing lefthand bat, bowled right-hand with a beautifully easy action. Turning the ball from the off, and keeping a length, he was never easy to score from. Ford (Harrow) must remain an unknown quantity. Should things go well with him, he may prove a great asset to Oxfords

Lord's v. The Rest was a great disappointment, play being possible only on the first day. Two very strong batting sides had been selected, and Hazlerigg and Nevinson bowling in good form the Lord's Schools did well to dismiss the Rest for 286. Wilcox played a beautiful innings and Dashwood, more sedate, did not give a chance. Cawston shaped confidently; Moore hit the ball with the full face of the bat, and Brown, who presents a delightfully straight bat and is a most determined player, seemed quite at home, but all three were out to poor strokes when apparently well set.

During the two hours and a quarter left for play, Crawford drove delightfully—quite in his style of the previous year—and Rothschild made some beautiful strokes on the off side Both were, perhaps, unlucky not to catch the eye of the selectors for the Army match. Bryce-Smith and Rome, the latter hitting with power, consolidated

the position, before Bompas made a very fine catch at the wicket that dismissed the left-hander. Apart from that of Nevinson and Hazlerigg,

the bowling during the day was not impressive.

Only fifty minutes play proved possible on the first day in the Army match against the Public Schools, and during that time Wilcox (who opened the innings with a beautiful stroke off his head) and Cawston put on 52 runs without being separated. The light was bad, but both batsmen, Cawston, in particular after being missed in the slips, showed confidence. On a wet, but by no means easy wicket, the next morning, Cawston, Rome and Dashwood made no attempt to force the pace, but Evans, the left-hander, scored freely off the leg breaks of Armitage.

The fielding of the Schools was very good indeed, Wilcox and Evans bringing off brilliant catches, and Nevinson bowled well on the dead wicket. Brown and Cawston, too, the latter finding himself able to make the ball come off quickly, did good work, but Hazlerigg

was not suited by the conditions. Rogers did not go on.

In the Eton and Harrow match which took place in intense heat, Rome played a great game for his side, on the first afternoon, and when Eton went in again the next day, they held the negligible lead of 29 runs. It is worth noting that every man on the Harrow side was bowled. Hazlerigg, usually a sedate batsman, hit up his 65 in an hour, but, with three of the best Eton wickets down for 102, anything might have happened. Two dropped catches, however, robbed Harrow of any chance of victory. Eton, owing to casualties, were obliged to delay the declaration, but Harrow requiring to bat two and a half hours in order to avoid defeat, started disastrously. For the second time in the match, however, Rome and Liddell took part in a valuable stand, and once again the match was drawn.

Eton's bowling was much the steadier and more accurate. For this reason alone, the batting honours went to Harrow, Rome saving the side, and Shuckburgh playing two delightful innings.

The Eton and Winchester match also had to be left drawn after two days of strenuous cricket, in which the Light Blues proved their undoubted superiority, but the Wykehamists fought a rear-guard action with excellent pluck and a good measure of credit. The winning of the toss in this game proved of no advantage, for there was dew on the wicket at eleven o'clock, and the Eton bowlers, especially Nevinson, occasionally made a length ball jump unpleasantly. In the circumstances a score of 265 represented some good batting. Against the rather innocuous, though commendably steady Winchester bowling, the Eton batsmen enjoyed themselves, but never so adventurously as to get ahead of the clock. With eight wickets down when 162 runs on, Eton declared, and Winchester, after a long and hot spell of fielding, might have collapsed. For the second time in the match, however, Walker the captain, and Scour-

field, played very determined cricket, and by tea time the danger of defeat was over.

A splendid game took place during the Schools first week at Lord's. Clifton, 106 runs on with nine wickets in hand, appeared in a winning position on the second day, but a great innings of 102 by Davies, assisted by 63 from Crawford, entirely altered the complexion of the game, and, after a very exciting finish, Tonbridge won by a wicket. With the last pair in and one run to tie, Keun, whose all round play had had much to do with Clifton's happy position, pitched a ball well up to Peal. It shot dead, beat the bat, missed the wicket by a hair's breadth, beat the wicket-keeper, went for 4 byes, and the match was over. The irony of this game was that the Clifton catching, so bright a feature of their other engagements, proved faulty more than once. This weakness had a big bearing on the result.

The Rugby and Marlborough match was completely spoilt by the weather, less than three hours play being possible on the first day and only forty minutes on the second.

Cheltenham beat Haileybury by 185 runs. The sounder batting side all through the winners showed more enterprise and hit the ball hard. Ekin had a great match. Going in when Cheltenham were in a bad way he played the best innings and later, with his legbreaks, had the Haileybury batsmen at his mercy, taking, in all, nine wickets for 5 runs apiece. His fielding at cover point, too, stood out as a feature of the game. Haileybury had an exceptional pair of opening batsmen in Sumner and Evans, on whom everything depended, but brilliant fielding and a smart return to the wicket by Graham-Smith dismissed Sumner when well set in the first innings and to a great extent this determined the issue.

When the Young Amateurs beat the Young Professionals in the concluding match of the fortnight, one of the features of the game was the wicket-keeping of Wilson for the losers, and Bompas (Westminster) for the winners. The all-round play of Moore (Shrewsbury) and Malcolm (Eastbourne), the batting of Parry (Merchant Taylors') and the fast bowling of Benton (Wellingborough), who made the ball rise awkwardly and turn quickly, had much to do with the success of the Amateurs. Mendl (Repton) fielded well and showed promising form with the bat. He hit the wicket, from cover point, on four occasions, with practically only one stump at which to aim.

On the fast wickets a great many runs were scored all through the "Oval Week." The first match, between the Young Amateurs of Surrey and those of Kent, was drawn, the second, with Essex, being won after a declaration by the visitors, and the third, against Sussex, drawn. In the game with Kent there were two declarations, the visitors closing their first innings at 461 for eight—Moss (Malvern) 136. Surrey replied with 309—Jarchow (Brighton) 123—and

following on, they declared at 299 for nine—Tilling (Tonbridge) 97 not out.

Kent had scored 48 for four when stumps were pulled up.

A sporting declaration by Wilcox (Dulwich) saved the Essex match from an uninteresting finish. He left Surrey an hour and three-quarters to make 193, and the task was accomplished four minutes from time, for the loss of seven batsmen.

A similar declaration was made by Sussex, and Surrey required 16 runs for victory when time arrived. Three centuries were scored in this match, Winlaw (Winchester) getting 128 not out in the second innings for Sussex, and Felton and Hadingham (both of St. Paul's) each scoring exactly 100 for Surrey, Hadingham being not out. Felton also took seven wickets for 53 runs in the first innings, and Greening (Charterhouse) dismissed six Surrey batsmen for 35 in the second.

Outstanding among some good performances accomplished by the Richmond Public Schools, who were again opposed to many of the leading London clubs, was the defeat of the Civil Service Crusaders by nine wickets. The Civil Service fielded almost the same side as that which had played against the Gentlemen of Ireland the previous week. A fine first wicket partnership by Surfleet (U.C.S.) and Shneerson (St. Paul's) in the second innings was the best as well as the highest in the series of matches. The two left-hand bowlers, Nelson (Harpenden) and Gooch (Haberdashers), who will both be available for their Schools next season, created a favourable impression,—the former slow, the latter, fast medium with a dangerous swinging ball. Maxwell, of Brighton, kept wicket in good style.

The Eton side, in my opinion, slightly above the average in batting, well above it in bowling, and perhaps rather below it in fielding, did not enjoy any outstanding victories. They began the season with a legacy of four bowlers from 1928, Nevinson, fast right hand, Lord Dalmeny—then the Hon. A. R. Primrose fast medium, Hazlerigg, slow off breaks, and Jelf, slow left hand; Franks, a right hand medium spinner, made a fifth. In normal years E. W. S. Ford with fastish in-swingers would have easily got a place, but though tried in several matches he had to be content as twelfth man. The batting had a solid foundation, Hazlerigg, the captain, Southby and Monroe-Hinds all being sound, and Dalmeny a dangerous hitter. Promising new batsmen were found in Hale, Atkinson-Clark and Allen, the last two only sixteen years of age. An adequate wicket-keeper and useful No. 9 appeared in Baerlein. The fielding improved, Southby, who made the wonderful catch in the deep field that dismissed Rome in the 1928 match at Lord's, and Atkinson-Clark often being brilliant. The two chief needs of the side by July were a leg spinner and another forcing batsman. Hale, previously the slowest scorer

on the side, filled the second gap with his inspired hundred at Lord's, following the lead of Hazlerigg, who made 70 at about twice his usual pace. Southby had a second unlucky "Lord's," but was really the best of the batsmen. Franks dislocated an ankle on the second day, and Dalmeny renewed an old strain in his back, or an earlier declaration might have been made. Hale will be captain this year, and Atkinson-Clark, Allen and Baerlein also remain.

Harrow started the season under the best auspices, and, though they suffered an unexpected set back at the hands of the Town. there was so much variety in the bowling and brilliance in the batting that a successful summer seemed assured. This expectation was realised, but Ford, on whom so much depended, though carefully nursed by Rome, could keep up his pace for only a few overs, while Rothschild, often enjoying much success with his spin bowling, proved disappointing at Lord's. Welch, a medium paced bowler with an off break, was handicapped by illness. The fielding, especially of Ramsay, in the outfield and at third man, and of Rothschild and Welch in the slips, reached, at times, a very high standard. Bull—seen at his best against the Town, and at Lord's was reliable behind the wicket. Rome became the mainstay of the batting; Rothschild and Rattigan, with beautiful off side strokes, made a good opening pair. Shuckburgh, a most attractive batsman, played two delightful innings at Lord's. Lawrence, Welch and Rattigan are available this year. Lawrence, who will captain the side, should get many wickets with his medium pace left hand bowling.

Of the school matches, drawn games were played with Eton and Winchester. Charterhouse, against whom Ford and Rome met with early successes, excellent catches being brought off in the slips, suffered defeat by 93 runs in a low scoring game. Marlborough, with B. B. Waddy bowling well, won at Harrow by

three wickets.

Winchester, a good batting side, had not the necessary bowling strength to be rated a really powerful school team, but were hard to beat, and, of their two defeats, one followed a too optimistic declaration against the Foresters, and the other was at the hands of the Greenjackets, after the Eton match had been played, and the full pressure of regular practice was somewhat relaxed. Of nine drawn games, five were decidedly in Winchester's favour. The two actually won were against rival schools, Charterhouse and Marlborough. Batting first, at Winchester, on a wicket always too wet to be difficult, Charterhouse took three hours and a half to make 125 runs. Winchester, with 100 minutes left for play, lost five wickets for 57, but ultimately got home by three wickets, three minutes from time. The Marlborough match, as usual with these games, underwent interesting vicissitudes, but the superior

quality of the Winchester batting left them with an appreciable advantage. After an even struggle in which, except for a brief period when Ford was fresh, the bat always dominated the ball. the Harrow match had to be left drawn. Much the soundest and most successful players Scourfield and Winlaw, were both determined, watchful batsmen, who came out with fine records. Winlaw, with a highest score of 73 and an average of over 40, should make many runs in his next two years at school. The bowling although supported by good fielding, proved mediocre, only Jenkins and Townsend having any real pretensions to a consistent length. There was little variety in the attack, and the team had no genuine spin bowler at all. Winlaw, a good field anywhere, captains the side this year, with five old "Lord's," (counting Tindall, the twelfth

man), to support him.

Owing chiefly to a shortage of bowlers Rugby cannot be said to have had a successful season. On several occasions the batting was very weak although individually, quite good, and it often happened that the tail contributed largely to the total. The most consistent scorers, Norman-unfortunately taken ill on the eve of the Marlborough match-and Oswald, both made centuries, and Rendel also did well. Of the bowlers, Robinson, Dove and Jackson were the best. Rugby opened the season satisfactory with a convincing win over Leamington, and the next two matches were drawn in their favour. There followed, however, a number of defeats, partly accounted for by the persistent way in which the toss was lost, the bowling not being strong enough to dismiss opponents quickly. In the match with Clifton the bowling of Harrison and Keun proved too much for the home side, who lost by an innings and 19 runs, and at Uppingham, the visitors were beaten by 51 runs. Towards the end of the season things took a turn for the better, convincing scores being hit up against Rugby Town and the Masters, but rain fell at Lord's, where Rugby held an advantage over Marlborough in what little play took place. Robinson will captain the side this year, with Fehr, Jackson and Renton, and possibly Leader, available.

Marlborough showed such promise in their earlier matches that defeat by both Wellington and Cheltenham came as a disappointment. The luck proved unkind at Cheltenham. Recovering their form they beat Harrow by three wickets, a victory due largely to the bowling of Waddy, who took six for 62. When playing Winchester, who won by four wickets, the later Marlborough batsmen were a little reckless, or the visitors would have had to go in against time. After a great innings by Davies-Scourfield, one wicket was thrown away by a foolish run out, and another by a wild stroke. Free Foresters won a very fine match on the stroke of time, after the school had declared their second innings with five wickets down, and Old Marlburians, though leading by 173 on the first innings, were beaten by 45 runs,

Waddy taking thirteen wickets for 137. The whole Marlborough eleven could bat, Wynne, No. 9, with 50 and 43, being top scorer in both innings, against Cheltenham; their fielding was good, and Comber had no superior in the Schools behind the wicket. He took the ball quietly and equally well on the off and leg side. In a good variety of bowling, Nelson had considerable control of flight and spin; and Waddy, fastish medium, kept an excellent length, could swing the ball now and again, and make it turn very sharply from the off. Bell, a natural fast bowler, unfortunately strained himself, but developing his batting, played a fine innings of 93 against M.C.C. Anderson and Outram became an excellent opening pair, and Hewan who played a beautiful innings in the second week of the holidays, for Old Marlburians against Old Cheltonians, became a much better bat than his school scores would imply. Comber, who will probably captain the side this year, is dashing rather than sound but has the makings of a fine bat. He will have the assistance of Bell and Nelson, two all round players.

Cheltenham had a very successful season. They beat Marlborough, Malvern and Haileybury and in a drawn game at Clifton, neither side could claim an advantage. The eleven proved well balanced. They could make runs down to No. 9; had plenty of variety in bowling, and fielded well above the average. Ekin a good leg break bowler, was especially deadly against other schools; Neate, quite fast for a few overs, usually dislodged some good bat, and Taite with a beautifully easy action bowled well at times, but did not improve to the extent anticipated. Bryce-Smith showed himself to be a first rate captain of a team that pulled together. He knew how to make the best use of his bowling, was a sound No. 1 batsman, and one of the best wicket-keepers in the schools. A left-hander, he specially distinguished himself at Lord's, where his work on the leg side was brilliant. Graham-Smith, a very stylish batsman, played some beautiful innings, and fielded brilliantly at mid-off, a position in which Cheltenham has always specialised. Ekin, an all-round cricketer and first class fielder in any position, was the match winner of the side. Higson, Meikle, Morton, Neate, Brettell,

In a disappointing season Haileybury had the worst of drawn games with Uppingham and Wellington, and lost heavily to Cheltenham at Lord's, where the batting broke down badly against the leg break bowling of Ekin. In Sumner, the captain, and Evans, a lefthander they possessed one of the best opening pair of batsmen in the schools. When a big stand seemed probable at Lord's wonderful fielding by Graham-Smith cost Sumner his wicket. This was quite in the nature of a disaster. Apart from these two, and Bullmore, a free attractive player when he got going, the batting was very moderate. Wills, a clever slow medium swinger, but without the

and Miller Logan remain for this year.

real left-hander's break back, stood out well above the rest of the bowlers, Evans, whose leg breaks and googlies had been so effective the previous year, losing his deadliness. E. J. Unwin, medium pace with a high action, should develop. Wolff, in his third year, kept wicket soundly, but the fielding did not quite come up to the usual high standard. More should be heard of Sumner at Woolwich. Evans, captain this year, will have with him Wolff, Bullmore, E. J.

Unwin, Gillman and Joscelvne.

Clifton had quite a good side, and, if able to drive home promising positions held against both Cheltenham and Tonbridge, their season would have been indeed memorable. Owen, the captain, with three others of the previous year's eleven to call upon, succeeded in building up a useful all round eleven, though he wanted either a genuine leg break or left-hand bowler. Of an excellent fielding team, Tovey, Nuttall and Owen were perhaps the pick; but by a cruel stroke of fortune the last-named, whose cricket had been consistently safe as well as brilliant during the whole term, experienced a bad day at Lord's. Knight's 120 not out had a good deal to do with the innings victory at Rugby, and on several occasions this batsman saved the side by his coolness and judgment. Owen and Nuttall also made a good many runs, but both were rather shakey starters, with straightness of bat not always above suspicion. Tovey, still young, hardly fulfilled his promise of the previous year, but his methods were sound, and when batting for Dorset he showed what he could do. Four other batsmen held out promise of playing well this year—Keun, Kinnersley, Allen (left-handed) and Darlington. The bowling somewhat lacked variety, and Harrison, slow to medium right handchosen for the Lord's Schools—was called upon to do rather too much work. His best performance was six for 34 in Rugby's second innings. Whitehead, fastish right, if often dangerous with the new ball, was *erratic, although always a trier. Keun, slow medium right, did a great deal of useful bowling, being particularly accurate in both innings of the Tonbridge match. This year, with seven old colours, including Nuttall, Keun, Tovey and Harrison available besides some promising young players, Clifton should have a good team.

While not as good as the two preceding elevens, Tonbridge were a better side than might be inferred, but they had no real opening pair of bowlers. Orton, slow left hand, with a big break both ways, and, on his wicket, very dangerous, lacked consistency; Musson was steady but "plain." Crawford and Peal, change bowlers, proved very useful except at Lord's. The batting deteriorated very definitely after the first five. Davies was out of form until Lord's, when he played a great innings, and the same remark applies, in a lesser degree, to Crawford. Leach deserves selection as probably the best batsman. Musson and Blakelock were sound, though unenterprising, the latter's style being rather stiff. The fielding suffered by

comparison with that of two brilliant years, though most of the catches were held. The victory at Lord's was a fine performance. largely due to Crawford and Davies. The few rainfalls of the season ruined the other inter-school matches. Davies (captain), Musson, Leach, Willcocks and Peal are available this year,

Repton were rather stronger in bowling than in normal years. A great deal of credit for this was due to Williams who, in a season mainly of batsmen's wickets, kept up an end, inexpensively. Oldfield, the captain, who maintained excellent form behind the wicket, had a variety of bowlers to chose from. In spite of the comparative failure of some of whom much had been hoped, the batting proved sound. Clarke, Mendl and Human stood out prominently and a satisfactory feature was the manner in which the run-getters attacked the ball. The Pilgrims match furnished a superb piece of bowling by W. T. Greswell, and a magnificent recovery for the school. The stand between Clarke and Human in the second innings yielded 253 runs, producing some of the best cricket seen on the ground since the War. Unfortunately, rain interfered with the Uppingham match and brought that against Shrewsbury to a premature conclusion with the visitors in a strong position, for on that wicket 120 might have been a winning score. For the second time in recent history there was no Malvern match, though illness and not the weather caused the cancellation of the fixture. As in the three previous years, Repton lost only one match and so have to set but four defeats against seventeen victories in the last four years.

Malvern lost the two school matches they played, that against Repton having to be scratched. They were handicapped by lack of effective bowlers, though Lister returned figures of nineteen wickets for under 12 runs apiece. His batting fell off, but there were some very good performances in this department notably against the Old Malvernians, when the school declared twice. Despite a fine innings of 128 by Pullan, the school were beaten five minutes from time, after leaving the Old Boys 200 to get in ninety minutes. Lister bowled very well in this match, taking ten wickets for 88 in the two innings. Against the Foresters. who were beaten by an innings and 15 runs, Walker (133) and Moss (125), who shaped so creditably in the holiday matches at the Oval in September, put up a big stand for the fourth wicket. Crabtree, too, did well with both bat and ball. The school made a good start against Cheltenham, four of the visitors' wickets being down for 15 runs, but R. A. Graham-Smith played a very good innings of 126, and Cheltenham won by 91 runs. At Shrewsbury, D. N. Moore hit up 193, and Malvern, against the bowling of Moore, Green and Headlam, were all out for 97. The fielding of the eleven, especially the catching, reached a high standard, and Bird was sound behind the wicket.

Shrewsbury enjoyed a very successful season, and after the first match against the Town went through unbeaten. Rossall were defeated by an innings and 216 runs after a declaration with six wickets down, Malvern, in a one-day match, by 206 runs, and drawn games were played with Uppingham and Repton. Uppingham required 103 runs, with six wickets in hand, to save the innings defeat, after Shrewsbury had declared at 276 for seven wickets. Bad weather ruined the game at Repton, the home side having lost eight wickets for 45 on a very difficult wicket when rain started. play being abandoned with the score 81 for eight. Moore was the outstanding batsman, making scores of 151 not out v. Rossall, 193 v. Malvern, and 122 v. Uppingham, with an average of 103.80. Moore had a bowling average of 12.26 for 35 wickets. He developed his pace from medium to fast medium as the term went on. G. A. R. Green, another very useful all-rounder, right hand medium, with break from the off, took thirty-eight wickets for 17 runs apiece, and had a batting average of 63. Snow is the new captain, and there remain Sullivan, a promising batsman who came into the side late.

Robinson, Barlow and Mill.

Under the guidance of E. B. Pope, Uppingham cricket flourished exceedingly. Of the ten matches played, only one-against the Crusaders—was lost, five being won and four drawn. The features of the cricket were the batting of Pope himself and, in a lesser degree, of Thompson, and the left hand bowling of Brims, who, keeping a good length, flighted the ball cleverly and made it swing late. Chalk winner of the fielding prize, bowled well on many occasions, particularly against Rugby. Fielding at cover he picked up smartly and threw accurately, but failed to find his form with the bat until the end of the term. Lupton was a useful partner to Pope in opening the innings, and fielded well at short leg. Only once in the five matches won did the team pass the 200 mark. The best performance was a one wicket victory over a powerful Foresters XI, who were dismissed for 181. The Haileybury match proved a great disappointment, for after the school had scored 316 by consistently good batting, rain completely spoiled all chance of a finish. The Repton match was also drawn, partly through the weather, but largely owing to the bowling of Chalk and Brims, Rugby were beaten by 51 runs. The batting, on a difficult wicket, collapsed in the first innings against Shrewsbury, but a steady innings by Pope saved the situation. Pope set an admirable example all through the term, hitting the ball hard and fielding untiringly, like one inspired. Lupton is this year's captain, and Thompson, Parkinson, Ibbotson and D. F. Walker should form the nucleus of another good side.

Of twelve matches played Rossall won two, lost eight, and drew drew two. On more than one occasion there was a close finish. The worst defeat came from the powerful Shrewsbury XI, when

Moore, in his best form, took seven Rossall wickets for 61 and scored 151 not out. Lavery played a captain's innings for Rossall. Lowe, the most orthodox cricketer in the side, played a beautiful innings of 107 against Craven Gentlemen, and, when set, Simpson showed excellent style. Lavery seemed too impatient to make runs and could not do himself justice. The great weakness of the team lay in the attack, this being the more regrettable as the feature of the season was the wicket-keeping of Mackarel, Wilson and Marsden, the latter a good all round cricketer, bowled well at times but seldom troubled first class batsmen. With eight of the young side available this year, Rossall can look forward to a more successful season.

Undoubtedly strong in batting and nearly always scoring freely on their own ground, Charterhouse, so far as their school matches were concerned, had a disappointing season. A low scoring match at Winchester resulted in defeat by three wickets, and at Harrow the eleven were all out for 84, the home side winning by 95 runs. Against Wellington, Jeavons and Eccles, a very useful opening pair, put on 122 for the first wicket, and, Middleton hitting well, the innings was declared at 242 for six wickets. The visitors, despite some good bowling by Dyson (six for 28) just managed to save the game, eight wickets being down for 102 when stumps were drawn. Drizzling rain fell during most of the day. Heavy showers also spoilt the Westminster match. Left with two hours and thirty-five minutes to score 222 Charterhouse looked, at one time, as though they might get the runs, but rain came on again and the match was drawn. Greening did several good bowling performances, notably six for 64 against Old Carthusians, and three for 42 against M.C.C., who were beaten by five wickets. Middleton, one of the most consistent batsmen, will captain the side this year.

Westminster were not a very successful side, winning only three matches—those with Butterflies, Household Brigade and Wellington —as against five lost and five drawn. The bowling proved weak and lacked variety, especially after an unfortunate accident to Cooper, who strained his side midway through the season. As he was the one bowler of any pace, his absence robbed the team of a much needed foil to the slow-medium bowlers. Symington, who has an eccentric run up, accomplished effective work with his slow flighted in-swingers. Graham met with success, being very accurate in length with an occasional off-break, but Johnson, a slow lefthander, failed to come on after a promising start. The fielding became good, the catching of Cooper in the slips, the brilliance of Graham at extra-cover, the clean gathering of Milne at cover, and the accurate returns of Evetts from the long field providing its best features. The batting, which gave chief strength to the side, showed all-round improvement. Bompas, a fine forcing player, made

his century against M.C.C. in about an hour and a half. Evetts, a beautiful stylist, was very consistent, and Symington was also an attractive bat, his century against Sherborne being a fine effort. Evetts and Graham established a school record for the third wicket partnership, when they put on 220 against Old Westminsters, and each made a century—another school record. Evetts will captain the side this year; Aitken, Wakely and Milne also remain. Bompas—seen at his best at Lord's—kept wicket

finely all through the season.

Wellington, with victories over Bradfield and Marlborough, and drawn matches with Charterhouse and Haileybury, the latter much in Wellington's favour, have no reason to feel dissatisfied with last season's results. It is true that Westminster proved successful at Vincent Square for the first time for five years, a deplorable batting collapse occurring when Wellington appeared to have victory in their grasp. Westminster scored 220, and after having 170 on the board for four wickets, Wellington were defeated by 25 runs. Once again the Haileybury match produced the best cricket of the season. After being 25 runs behind on the first innings, Wellington were set 192 to make in two hours and a half, but heavy rain, lasting over half an hour, deprived them of victory, 157 being on the board with eight wickets in hand when time came. In club matches the batting left a good deal to be desired, there being a weakness at Nos. 6, 7, 8, and 9, which became apparent when the earlier batsmen failed. Dashwood made runs very consistently, but he is a batsman whose attack is better than his defence. The bowling was moderate, Freeland a slow medium right hander who could turn the ball both ways, doing best. He accomplished two good performances -six for 42, v. Marlborough, and seven for 28, v. Bradfield. The in fielding sometimes reached a high standard, with Dashwood brilliant in the gully or the slips, and Tailyour good behind the stumps. Probably six old colours remain for this year. The captain will not be appointed until May.

With two matches won and seven lost out of thirteen, Bradfield's record does not read well, but all the other four games were drawn heavily in the school's favour. Mason was a sound, dependable opening batsman, but throughout the season the batting proved uneven and owing to this, the Radley, Stowe and Wellington matches were lost. The bowling contained variety, but was apt to be erratic, and the fielding was generally good, but the slip catching moderate. Castley showed promise behind the wicket toward the end of the term. In a very exciting match against M.C.C. Bradfield won by a wicket in the last over of the day, after the Club had declared at 218 for eight. Gray played a very good innings of 87 not out. Mason, Marshall, Bathurst, Tarry, Gray,

Wade and Mackwood should form the nucleus of a more successful

side this year.

Of thirteen matches played, Radley won four, lost four and drew five, the victories being gained at the expense of Bradfield, Berkshire Gentlemen, Free Foresters, and Cambridge Crusaders. The record hardly does them justice for they were quite a good side, with chief strength in the bowling. During the season only Stowe made more than 250 runs against them. La Touche a medium pace left arm bowler, could swing the ball and spin it. Had he relied more on spin he would probably have done better. Kershaw's good length brought him many wickets. Gibbs and Dinwiddy, both slow bowlers, did quite well. Dinwiddy certainly got more work on the ball, but was the less accurate. Blunt often kept an end going, without giving runs away. In a somewhat disappointing batting side, Van der Gucht, the captain, was very good. With a pleasing style he watches the ball right on to the bat, and puts power into his strokes. Vestey did well in some matches and, as soon as he masters back play, should make plenty of runs. His driving is excellent. Millar, the stylist of the side, played some delightful innings, while Dinwiddy and Williams both showed promising form. If a little slow on his feet the former watches the ball well. Considering the rough nature of the ground, due to lack of rain, the fielding was good. Van der Gucht, who proved himself a capable captain and wicket-keeper, will again captain the side, with Dinwiddy, Kershaw, Kitching, and Williams for his chief help.

Dulwich, with one of the best elevens to represent them for many years, did not lose a school match, the only defeat suffered being from M.C.C. when only five of the eleven were able to play. Because of the all round strength of the side, the performance of Wilcox, the captain, a very quick sighted batsman, stands out the more prominently. Wilcox set up a school record by scoring 1,025 runs, his average for sixteen completed innings being 64.06. His batting developed with cutting and hooking always good, but the real strength of his play became powerful driving. If somewhat costly, Wilcox took twenty-eight wickets with his slow bowling, and his splendid slip fielding helped the other bowlers, of whom King, quite fast, Simmons and Knight did best. King and Smith showed up well in batting—strong right down the list. King's average of 50.75 was helped by five not out innings. St. Paul's, Lancing and Mill Hill were beaten and drawn matches played with Brighton, Oundle and Bedford, two being in favour of Dulwich. From the first week of the season there existed an air of solidity and smartness about the team, that stamped them as first class. Frankford, who set a great example in the field at cover-point,

captains the side this year.

St. Paul's, on the whole, hardly came up to expectations, but the batting proved strong down to No. 5, and there was variety in the bowling, with the ground fielding really good and catching brilliant. Maclean, on the leg side, had few superiors in the schools behind the wicket. Hadingham and Shneerson scarcely showed themselves so consistent an opening pair as in the previous year, though the former, in particular, improved his scoring strokes. When Felton, who developed into a punishing batsman, and Maclean, whose strong defence was a feature of the batting, both failed, the young players who followed were seldom able to put things right. Of the school matches, that against Felsted was ruined by rain, and drawn games took place with Stowe and Bedford. The batting collapsed against the bowling of L. A. W. Simmons, of Dulwich, and a fine innings of 176 by D. R. Wilcox gave the visitors victory by 168 runs. A curious feature of the season was the similarity of the bowling figures, no fewer than four of the team taking between twenty and thirty wickets each at an average cost of between 19 and 20 runs apiece. Richards succeeds Felton as captain, and as seven other old colours remain, including Maclean, Hadingham and Shneerson, St. Paul's should have a better season.

Sherborne won five of their eleven matches, drawing three and losing three, and were, on the whole, a better side than in 1928. The fielding improved, the bowling contained more variety, and the batting was more consistent. Glover, fast right hand, and Hopcraft, slow medium right, bore the brunt of the attack, with Mermagen, fast medium right, Seddon, slow left hand, Eglington and Smith both medium pace right hand, as changes. Simmonds, who scored 165 v. Westminster, and 123 v. Old Shirburnians, easily topped the batting averages. Occasionally uncertain during the first few overs. he drove and hit to leg well when set. Eglington was much too apt to hit at everything, and, though very consistent in the early games failed later in the season. His 72 v. Radley was perhaps the best innings of the year for the side. Mermagen and Tallent batted consistently well, Ferguson, Brown and Smith being fairly sound. The tail was very strong, the last three wickets at Westminster adding 220, and the last at Tonbridge, 50. Carey, who has at least two more years in the eleven, kept wicket very well, and Eglington, Tallent, Mermagen and Ferguson were the pick of an improved fielding side. Mermagen again captains the side this year, with Carey, Brown and Smith available.

Lancing College began the season with only two oldcolours-Cawston, the captain, and Fletcher, the latter of whom had a poor season. With an entirely new team to be built up, the match results (won 5, lost 3 and drawn 7), were not unsatisfactory. For this, Cawston, who played twice for Sussex, not without distinction, was almost entirely responsible. He headed both the batting and bowling

averages. In 14 completed innings he made 966 runs, with a highest score of 204 not out and an average of 69. He also took 53 wickets for 16.3 runs apiece. His cricket, generally, was of a very high order. It is expected he will go to Cambridge. In bowling he received admirable support from Hall, a slow leg break bowler who can exploit the googly, and had the excellent figures of 59 wickets for 17.8 runs each. Except for the wicket-keeping of Harcourt-Williams, there was little else of note. Chrestien, who is captain, Spens, Hall

and Pearse are available this year.

Bedford who began well owed their early success to the all-round play of Cook the captain, He made most of the runs and also played a big part in dismissing good batting sides for low scores. A sound bat, who watched the ball well, and hit hard in front of the wicket, and a really dangerous bowler with the new ball, he was the mainstay of the team. It is significant that until Leys and St. Paul's were met no side scored over 200 against the school when Cook played. By this time Cook had tired himself out and he was still stale at Lord's where he played for the Rest against the Lord's Schools. Otherwise the attack was only change, though the medium spin bowling of Wise proved dangerous on a suitable wicket. Sinclair, fast medium, as a relief to Cook, and Rumboll (medium) and Forbes (slow) were useful, but Bedford badly wanted a left-hander. The strong batting broke down only twice-against the Crusaders and Leys. Cook made two centuries, and Hopkinson, Gulland, Wise, Moll and Sinclair all scored over fifty on at least one occasion. The fielding though not bad did not reach brilliance. ffinch came on as a wicket-keeper. Moll captains the eleven this year, and Wise, Suter, Forbes, and possibly finch are again available.

Very strong last year, Leys had an unbeaten record. Oundle, Bedford, Felstead, and U.C.S., in addition to the M.C.C. were defeated, and a close game with St. Paul's resulted in a draw. The batting proved so consistent that all ten wickets did not fall in an innings until the last match of the season. Brown, who represented the Schools against The Army at Lord's, again showed himself to be the mainstay of the side, his batting, though deteriorating late in the term, being much sounder than last year, while his fast medium bowling accounted for 60 wickets at just over 11 runs apiece. His progress at Cambridge will be watched with interest. Skinner, Bisseker, and Whiston made lots of runs in good style. Champness and Wales bowled steadily and Hockin, coming into the side late, displayed promising form. The fielding was always good and occasionally brilliant. Seven old colours remain for this year.

Oundle, who lost to Leys, but drew with Bedford and Dulwich, had a good level batting side, and could generally put together over 200 runs even after a bad start, but they were weak in bowling and suffered from faulty slip fielding, although otherwise the work was

quite good. In their best performance they secured a good win by 11 runs over the M.C.C., whom they dismissed for 189, Buckley taking five wickets for 56.

Cranleigh, left with five old colours, looked like having a good side, but a series of accidents and illness throughout the term, made the season very disappointing. Of the five school matches, those against Mill Hill, Epsom (at the Oval), and Christ's Hospital had to be scratched. A close game against Lancing, with two of the best old colours away, was lost by two wickets, and that against Highgate lost by eight wickets. Attwell, a slow left arm bowler and left hand bat, should do well in the future. Morrison-Jones and Goodall remain and with some fairly promising material coming on cricket at the

school ought to improve with extra coaching.

Downside, in spite of a bad start, had one of their most successful seasons for some years. All twelve matches were brought to a finish—a remarkable feature—six being won including all the school matches, and six lost. The fielding reached a very high standard and the bowling improved greatly as the season progressed, though it lacked variety, all the bowlers, except O'Connor (slow breaks) being medium pace. Day achieved most success, but Evans, Radcliffe, O'Connor, and Allan, the captain, all did well on occasions. The batting, though quite satisfactory, revealed a tendency to collapse when the first few men were out. O'Connor and Smythe-Pigott several times enjoyed invaluable first-wicket partnerships, their best being when they passed Blundell's score of 105 without beingseparated, O'Kelly's consistent soundness behind the wicket should be of great service again this year. Radcliffe is the new captain, and there remain O'Kelly, O'Connor, Smythe-Pigott, Butterfield and Hibbert.

Amplejorth did very well after their first three matches, all of which were lost, four of the next ten being won and five drawn. Bootham and St. Peter's, York, were beaten and the match with Durham spoilt by rain. The bowling contained variety, Bean, who also headed the batting averages, taking 51 wickets with his slow leg breaks—the "wrong 'un" and the straight one very cleverly disguised. Ruddin, fast right hand, and Smith, fast medium left hand, also were good. The batting remained sound right through, and the fielding good, King, the captain, setting an excellent example at cover point. Burge showed further improvement as wicket keeper, and in several matches displayed brilliant form. Bean and Burge and two promising bats in ffrench-Davies and Grieve will form the foundation of this year's eleven.

St. Peter's, York, were a better side than the results suggest, their victory over Durham, who had not been beaten for some time, being an excellent performance. Hutton, the captain, made runs consistently, as did Allport, a brilliant cover point. Daintry (medium fast) Williams (slow), and Perry (slow breaks) were the mainstay of

the bowling, and Draffan, an efficient wicket-keeper, improved greatly as the season progressed. Hutton celebrated his captaincy by playing several fine innings, including 87 against the M.C.C. and 73 against Durham. Allport will captain the side this year, and there

also remain Williams, Draffan and Avery.

Stowe, who lost only two matches, drawing six and winning six, were a strong batting side. Three players secured averages of over 50. Howland-Jackson, essentially a first wicket batsman, excelled with average over 70. He and Kemp put on 244 for the first wicket in the match with the Cryptics, against whom the school declared at 336 for one wicket, Howland-Jackson being not out 204. Ling, Carr, Bennett, Jackson and Kemp all showed promise. The bowling did not reach the same high level, Radley and Bradfield were well beaten; drawn matches played against St. Paul's (in Stowe's favour) and against Westminster (in favour of Westminster). Unfinished games also took place with Free Foresters, M.C.C. and Oxford Authentics. Cambridge Crusaders won after the school had declared at 254 for three and I.Z. were beaten by a wicket on the stroke of time. Kemp captains the eleven this year, but only two other old colours, Maude and Bennett, are available.

St. Lawrence, Ramsgate, who won seven matches and lost seven had a fairly good season. They lost to Dover when they appeared to have the match well in hand, but beat King's, Canterbury and St. Edmund's, Canterbury. Little fault could be found with the batting, but the bowling, with the marked exception of Myburgh, did not come up to the same standard. In the side there were three really good cricketers-Oswald (the captain), Myburgh and Slade. Oswald batted well and consistently for the first half of the season, but then lost confidence owing to a bad knock in the mouth from a fast bowler. He has the making of a very good bat. Myburgh, fastish right hand, bowled exceedingly well and got through a lot of work. Slade is a promising all-round cricketer. This year's eleven suggests strength, with eight old colours left, including Myburgh.

Slade, Hill and Hardie, who all showed to advantage

Illness interfered greatly with Epsom's season, most of the inter-school matches having to be scratched at a time when there was legitimate hope of recording the most successful year for a long period. Brighton were defeated in a low scoring match, and the remainder of the opposition had to be supplied by club sides. The fielding showed great improvement, hardly a catch being missed. In this respect Pettigrew set a fine example, and he was also an admirable No. 1 batsman. Taylor proved unusually consistent for a natural hitter, often making valuable runs at a critical point. Hodges did not find his form until halfway through the season : he should be very useful this year. G.S. Bentley, fast, and Younghusband. medium slow, contrasted nicely as the opening pair of bowlers, and

Holmes gave them good support. Hodges, captain this year, will have the services of Heasman, G. S. Bentley, Hunton, and R. H.

Bentley.

Highgate, who beat King's Canterbury, Cranleigh, and Aldenham, drew with Mill Hill for the third successive year, and lost by two runs to Felsted, were an enterprising side. They batted down to No. 10, and no matter what the state of the game, hit the half volley. Adlard, a most promising googly bowler, was the best man in attack, and the fielding was excellent, Webster at mid-off, Adlard and E. J. K. Chapman being particularly good. Walton and Webster became the principal run getters; with Adlard, Westerby and Lock all consistent. Westerby will captain the eleven this year, and Mackie, who shapes well behind the wicket, is the only other old colour.

Mill Hill did not maintain the standard of the preceding years. but beat U.C.S. rather unexpectedly at the beginning of the season. and showed up well against Merchant Taylors and Highgate, in both of which matches time robbed them of victory. Against Bedford Modern, Dulwich and Leys they fared badly, the lack of bowling being their great weakness. H. E. H. Beven, the captain, was by far the most reliable batsman on the side, and but for him they would have been in a sorry plight on more than one occasion. Of a very young side five old colours, Aish, Wotton, Wright, Morley and

Dence remain, and they should be stronger this year.

Merchant Taylors hardly came up to expectations, being weak in bowling but, time permitting the majority of their drawn games could probably have been turned into victories. Parry, the captain, who was strong on the on side, showed great improvement in his off play, and with Huskisson formed the backbone of the batting. Great keenness marked the fielding. Wildash, who captains the side this year, was the most successful bowler, and Crocker who, unfortunately, left midway through the term, also bowled well. Wildash expects to have the assistance of at least three old colours.

Both in batting and bowling Surfleet, one of the most promising batsmen of the year, and the captain, Rowney, were the best cricketers of University College School. În a satisfactory season eight matches were won, five lost (including Leys and Mill Hill) and three drawn. Surfleet and Rowney bore the brunt of the attack, though the brothers C. M. and G. M. Wilson, both left-handers, often bowled well. Only Leys and the Nondescripts made scores of over 200 against them. In batting Surfleet and Rowney were consistent: C. M. Wilson reliable; the remainder proved disappointing. Surfleet is captain this year, and five other old colours are available.

King's, Canterbury were unfortunate in having their wicket-keeper and most dependable batsman, Crouch-Main, and the best bowler. Wyatt, on the injured list for a long time, and consequently had a bad season, winning only three of the twelve matches played, and losing

eight. The young side, without these two players, both in their third year in the eleven, thus lacked experience, but showed improvement as the season went on. The fielding, however, never became consistent. The team should do much better this year with seven

old colours, including Paris, last year's captain.

Brighton, with a strong batting side, lost two matches, won six and drew five. Most of these ended in their favour. The defeats came from Epsom, when the batting collapsed, and Repton Pilgrims, for whom W. T. Greswell bowled well. Jarchow proved the most reliable run-getter Brighton have had for a number of years, being especially good on the leg side. He averaged over 70 for twelve innings, and found good support from Phillips, Maxwell, Cashford and Jones, In bowling, Levett, Green and Newth did the greater part of the work. Levett kept a good length and turned the ball quickly. Green was less reliable, possibly owing to his efforts to bowl fast leg breaks. improved steadily as the season went on and kept a length with a fair amount of spin. The fielding, on the whole, was excellent, Jarchow, Ronald, Jones, Levett and Phillips being consistently good, and Maxwell showing great promise behind the wicket. Jarchow is captain again this year. Probably Green, Jones, Maxwell and Ronald will be available.

Eastbourne, with two matches won and four lost, showed much better form than appears from their record, six of seven drawn games ending greatly in their favour. Huelin, in the slips, and Cooper, at cover point, fielded brilliantly, and of the batsmen. Bridgewater and Malcolm, with averages of 42 and 70, had splendid seasons. Bridgewater represented The Rest against the Lord's Schools, and Malcolm, the most promising bowler on the side, particularly successful in school matches, played a very good innings of 65 for the Young Amateurs against the Young Professionals at Lord's. Duncanson will captain the side this year, and there are six old colours left, including Malcolm, of whom more should be heard.

St. Edward's won both their inter-school matches-against Imperial Service College and Bromsgrove, the latter being beaten by eight wickets. In all, four matches were won, four lost and five drawn, many of them being marked by low scoring. The bowling reached a good standard, with Beal, Woodroffe, Sargeaunt and Veitch the best. Barnes, the captain, headed the batting averages. and second to him came Gibb, who also performed very creditably behind the stumps. Barnes will again captain the side, and he will have the assistance of Hoare, Veitch, Beal, Gibb, Woodroffe and Green.

Bromsgrove did not realise the hopes in which the inclusion of seven old colours and some promising material from the colts entitled them to indulge. The fielding, by the end of the season, became extremely smart and the attack, though without sting, was at all times steady, and handled with good judgment. The batting, however, proved disappointing as never more than three batsmen succeeded in any one match, and several drawn games could have been won but for the slowness of the opening players. Apart from the results of the first eleven matches, the outlook, for this season, is very bright, as the "22" Colts and "under 15," all showed great promise. Hemingway, who will captain the side,

Padfield, Barnes and Williams return this year.

Wellingborough had their strongest side since the war. Seven batsmen averaged over 20. The best, Veitch, the captain, scored one century and seven times exceeded fifty. Cox and Hill were good forcing players. Benton, fast right hand, proved the most successful of the bowlers. Strongly built, he maintained his pace well. Pike kept a good length and swung from leg but, though he constantly beat the bat, bowled without luck. The fielding was clean and active and the side suffered in the severity of the penalty that followed the few missed catches. Six matches were won, four drawn, and defeats sustained at the hands of Bedford, M.C.C. and

Beds, Club and Ground.

Sedbergh, though they won only three of the twelve matches played, had a satisfactory season, losing only two and drawing seven. They beat Stonyhurst by eight wickets in the one school game finished, the fixture with Durham being spoilt by heavy rain on the first day. The batting was quite sound throughout, Nicholls, the captain proving the most reliable, while L. Armstrong, a useful opening batsman, and Wilson and Somerville came on well towards the end of the season. The bowling had variety and accuracy without being very deadly. Moffat, fast medium right hand, Brookes, medium left hand, and Dick, slow left hand spinners, did well. Dick, though he could definitely turn the ball, was never really lively off the pitch. Hockin, right hand in-swingers, did not fulfil the promise shown the previous year. Hutton met with fair success as wicket-keeper.

Durham, a young, inexperienced side, had their matches with Sedbergh and Ampleforth spoilt by rain and that against St. Peter's, York, was lost. Taylor, the captain, the only consistent batsman, finished the season with an average of 32. Smeddle is captain this

year, and Lawyer also remains.

Rydal won six, lost seven and drew seven matches. Hartley, the captain, had a good season with the bat, and A. S. Wigfield, Rouston, Wooller and Bibby all played well on occasions, but the young side lacked experience. Kay, only fourteen years of age, showed distinct promise. Elson, slow left hand, the mainstay of a good bowling side, took 74 wickets for under 16 runs apiece. He flights the ball well, bowls well up, and is not afraid of being hit. A. S. Wigfield is the captain this year and there are eight other old colours.

Though losing to Downside and Taunton, Blundell's enjoyed a more successful season than for some years. In all, four matches were won, six drawn, and three lost. The consistent batting had no appreciable tail, and the bowling contained more variety than in the previous summer when the brunt of the attack rested on one player. Ireland was the most successful batsman, and Taylor, who returns to captain the eleven this year, headed the bowling averages. Four other old colours remain in Harvey, Sanders, Talbot, and Nayler.

Perse, whose success depended largely on the first four batsmen, Malt, Slade, Fredman and Waters, won five of the twelve matches played, losing three and drawing four. Malt, a slow but sound bat and a useful medium pace right hand bowler, headed both the averages. Baldwin is captain this year and has the services of Heal,

Fuller, Waters, Hughes and Parker.

Wrekin's young side did well in important matches, Bromsgrove being beaten by five wickets. Harrisson, the captain, hit well; Eaves, the best bat in the side, has two more years in the eleven. Ship was "the best boy wicket-keeper I have seen in six years," a correspondent tells me, and Griffith, right hand medium swing bowler, and P. Lamb, slow spinner, who will probably have three more years, took many wickets. Eight old colours remain for this year, viz., Elliott (captain), Griffith, Eaves, Lamb, Gill, Poole, Bower and MacGregor.

Whitgift did not meet with the success hoped for. The lack of experience in the young team told at critical moments. City of London were beaten and Hurstpierpoint narrowly escaped defeat. Of the other inter-school matches, one was drawn and two lost. Thompson, the captain, who is again available this year, with two other old colours—Hughes and Sykes—took over sixty wickets, and Merrett, who headed the batting averages, made runs consistently.

Trinity College, Glenalmond, though losing to Fettes, Watson's and Merchiston, had a fair season, Loretto and Edinburgh Academy being beaten. The bowling lacked sting, though the batting proved strong. Lorraine, a hard hitting bat, made most runs. G. R. C. Wotherspoon, very steady, played slow bowling well, and C. I. Wotherspoon displayed good style. MacRosty, the captain, always batted well and gave the side a good lead, but Dundas played only two good innings. Of the bowlers, Hogarth, fast medium with a slight off break, and MacRosty, who kept a good length and swung the ball either way, did best. Ross, slow leg breaks, became very expensive, but occasionally bowled the wrong 'un with effect, and Gregoire, kept a length and turned from the off, but lacked devil. The fielding was very good and Dunbar sound behind the wicket. There are seven old colours to form the nucleus of this year's eleven.

Fettes possessed a batting side well above their average, Jones, who completed his 1,000 runs in the last match of the season, being the outstanding player. His centuries included 149 v. Edinburgh Academy, 106 v. Loretto (for whom I. M. Waters contributed 75 and 116) and 137 not out v. George Watson's College. All the school matches were won except that against Loretto—left drawn in favour of Fettes. Five old colours are available this year, viz., Anson, Reid, Grant, Macpherson and Coupar.

The following are the destinations of some of the members of last year's school elevens:—

			OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Eton		٠.	A. R. C. Southby Lord Dalmeny J. H. Nevinson	A. G. Hazlerigg
Harrow			C. G. Ford	D. A. M. Rome C. P. Watson C. G. S. Shuckburgh N. M. V. Rothschild A. R. Ramsay R. H. Bull
Winchester			R. S. Walker J. L. T. Guise N. McCaskie D. Stephens E. A. P. Jenkins	I. Fenwick
Rugby			C. P. M. Hunting R. O. G. Norman A. M. Rendel J. E. Waterfield	
Marlborough			B. B. Waddy	T. A. D. Hewan W. M. Greener J. R. Outram W. W. Russell
Cheltenham		٠,	T. D. Mitchell R. A. Graham-Smith	S. M. Ekin
Haileybury			A. H. Newsom	
Clifton				R. W. Owen R. E. Bonham-Carter G. R. Whitehead
Tonbridge				T. A. Crawford T. H. Tilling
Westminster			D. E. Ryland	H. B. Graham K. H. L. Cooper
Charterhouse	•••	٠.	G. L. Hartley	J. W. R. Hunt R. L. Smithers
Repton	***	• •	P. C. Oldfield J. S. Williams M. B. Brain	
Malvern	••	• •	C. D. A. Pullan	G. L. Walker

Parh Is	ic Sch	1007 (Ymici	bot .	in 1	090
Luoi	W DU	iooi (11001	vei :	<i>UIU</i> 1	1040

	OXFORD.	CAMBRIDGE.
Uppingham	D. V. Brims	E. B. Pope F. G. H. Chalk
		H. R. Cox
in the second		W. T. Cooke
Shrewsbury	D. N. Moore J. S. O. Hazlewood	G. A. R. Green
	J. B. O. Hazlewood	J. S. Headlam E. L. Birch
		D. Harratt
Bradfield	R. C. Castley	B. R. Stephan
Radley	P. J. Gibbs	C. A. Preston
Lancing		E. W. Cawston
Wellington	T. J. R. Dashwood	B. W. Finnigan
Dulwich		D. R. Wilcox
		D. E. Smith G. G. V. Knight
Mill Hill	R. M. Cooper	J. A. B. Davidson
Sherborne	N. R. Seddon	A. W. Hopcraft
		J. A. Tallent
Sedbergh	J. E. Nicholls	F. A. Simmonds L. Armstrong
	J. R. K. Moffat	L. Armstrong
St. Edward's	J. A. H. Sargeaunt	
	A. G. P. Way T. S. Wetherall	
Merchant Taylors'	ar or modern	D. J. Parry
Oundle	E. W. Dixon	
Bedford	D. B. Sinclair	
Bromsgrove		R. L. Punnett
U.C.S.		E. D. Hoare
Stowe		T. H. Rowney G. E. G. Jackson
		A. G. Howland-Jackson
Epsom		J. D. W. H. Pettigrew
		C. S. Taylor D. H. Holmes
4.0		J. D. Pennington
Wrekin		J. L. Griffith
Rydal		G. E. Hartley
Perse	J. Fredman	H. S. Wigfield
2 0250	5. Fledman	W. F. Purcell A. W. Poole
St. Peter's, York		W. G. Hutton
Vighanta		R. G. Bullen
Highgate St. Lawrence, Ramsgate	D. G. Oswald	F. H. Webster
o. Lawrence, manisgate.	D. G. C. Symonds	L. C. Rumsey
Birkenhead	J. H. Rogers	
Glenalmond	G. R. C. Wotherspoon	I. K. MacRosty
Merchiston		D. M. Ross C. R. Morison
		C. II. MOUSOH

To. R.M.A.

Haileybury—W. A. R. Sumner. Wellington-W. P. Lawson and G. R. T. Gillett Blundell's-K. R. Farquhar

To R.M.C.

Eton-R. H. Jelf. Clifton-J. T. Knight. Tonbridge-C. T. Orton. Haileybury-P. J. Keen. Uppingham-C. J. Durrant. Downside-R. G. L. Molesworth. Wrekin-J. E. Harrisson and D. S. Ship. Wellington-P. M. Hughes, W. J. Tailyour, and B. T. V. Cowey. King's School, Canterbury-E. C. Bredin.

PUBLIC SCHOOL MATCHES IN 1929.

For Eton v. Harrow, Rugby v. Marlborough, Cheltenham v. Haileybury, and Clifton v. Tonbridge see M.C.C. Section.

Aldenham v. Forest	599	Lancing v. Tonbridge	597
Ampleforth v. Durham	599	Malvern v. Cheltenham	594
Bedford Modern v. King Ed-		Marlborough v. Wellington -	598
ward's, Birmingham	601	Marlborough v. Winchester	601
Bedford Modern v. Oakham	599	Mill Hill v. Highgate	617
Bediord Modern v. Oakham	614	Mill Hill v. Leys	617
Brighton v. Lancing	600	Oundle v. Leys	613
Charterhouse v. Wellington	614	Radley v. Westminster	604
Charterhouse v. Westminster	598	Rugby v. Clifton	597
Cheltenham v. Marlborough	618	St. Edward's, Oxford v. Broms-	
Christ's Hospital v. Eastbourne	593	grove	608
Christ's Hospital v. Lancing.	999	St. Paul's v. Dulwich	61
Christ's Hospital v. St. John's,	600	Sherborne v. Downside	61
Leatherhead	595	Sherborne v. Radley	609
City of London v. Whitgift		Shrewsbury v. Malvern	600
Clifton v. Cheltenham	616	Shrewsbury v. Rossall	610
Downside v. Blundell's	605	Shrewsbury v. hossan	60
Eton v. Winchester	611	Stonyhurst v. Sedbergh	602
Forest v. Chigwell	606	Stowe v. Westminster	60:
Glenalmond v. Fettes	605	Tonbridge v. Sherborne	004
Glenalmond v. Loretto	618	University College v. Berkham-	FO.
Haileybury v. Uppingham	596	sted	594
Harrow v. Charterhouse	593	Uppingham v. Repton	603
Harrow v. Marlborough	604	Uppingham v. Rugby	613
Harrow v. Winchester	607	Wellingborough v. Bedford	60
King's, Bruton v. Monkton	was a	Wellington v. Haileybury	613
Combe	596	Westminster v. Sherborne	61
King's College, Wimbledon v.	14	Westminster v. Wellington	61
Epsom	592	Winchester v. Charterhouse	59
Kingswood v. Monkton Combe	606		

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON V. EPSOM COLLEGE.

Played at WIMBLEDON, Wednesday, May 15.—King's College won by 67 runs, S. C. L. Burford and D. F. Walker each taking four wickets for 21 runs.

EPSOM COLLEGE.

A. F. Hunton c Cant b Burford. 10 L. Heasman lbw, b Burford 0 J. D. W. H. Pettigrew c Burford b Walker 12	J. G. Younghusband not out. 10 J. D. Pennington b Walker. 0 R. S. F. Schilling b Walker. 26 G. S. Bentley c Wilkinson b Burford 4
A. D. Hodges b Burford. 4 C. S. Taylor b Taylor 32 D. H. Holmes run out. 1 R. H. Bentley b Walker 1	Byes, etc. 9

KING'S COLLEGE SCHOOL, WIMBLEDON.

E. H. Taylor lbw, b Schilling 33 R. C. Mason c R. Bentley b Young- husband	H. Aitken not out 45 S. C. L. Burford b Schilling 8 J. A. Seward lbw, b Younghusband 1 G. H. Lang run out 14 Byes, etc. 14

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at West Horsham, Wednesday, May 22.—Fine all-round work by E. Cawston (three wickets for nine runs) and J. E. Hall (six for 21) enabled Lancing to win easily by 119 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL.

OHRIBI B HOSH	IMI DOMOGE.	
G. C. Done run out R. H. E. Emson b Cawston R. J. Gregory b Hall R. Kemp lbw, b Cawston P. E. Letts lbw, b Cawston J. M. Watson e Fletcher b Hall A. J. Webley b Hall D. C. Fleming-Williams b Hall W. G. H. Weeks not out W. Mansfield b Hall P. G. Wyatt b Hall Byes, etc.	1 — not out	
	34 142	
LANCING	COLLEGE.	
E. Cawston b Fleming-Williams. 39 J. E. Robins c Done b Letts	F. P. H. Pearse b Done 8 H. G. Leonard-Williams c Gregory 16 D. Done 16 D. Clarke b Fleming-Williams 1 M. R. Gardner not out 2 Byes, etc 11	

HARROW SCHOOL v. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at Harrow, Saturday, May 25.—C. G. Ford dismissing four Charterhouse batsmen for 11 runs, Harrow won by 94 runs.

HARROW SCHOOL

N. M. V. Rothschild c and b
Greening 19
R. D. Stewart-Brown c Eccles b Hunt 3
C. G. S. Shuckburgh c Hunt b
Greening 24
D. A. M. Rome b Stock 49
A. S. Lawrence c Hunt b Jeavons. 9
J. W. Stogdon lbw, b Greening 40
P. D. Cotes-Preedy b Stock 17

OHO O M.		
W. M. Welch not out	1	(
A. R. Ramsay c Hunt b Mide		1
C. G. Ford c Wreford-Brown 1		
dleton		(
R. H. Bull run out		(
Byes, etc		F
	_	
	17	7

153

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

J. W. R. Hunt c Rothschild b Ford	A. N. Buckmaster c Stewart-Brown b Rothschild

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL v. BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL.

Played at West Hampstead, May 25.—University College won by 42 runs.

Trayed at WEST HAMISIBAD, May	20. Oniversity confess wen by 1214111.
Berkhamste	D SCHOOL.
P. R. Stephenson run out 6	H. G. Maule b Rowney 1
H. K. Hagon b Surfleet 6	A. L. Wildig b Rowney 4
A. I. Cameron b Surfleet 27	I. E. C. Roberts c Winterbotham b
G. A. Edwards b G. M. Wilson 10	Rowney 0
F. A. S. Caldwell lbw, b Surfleet 3	A. S. Taylor b Surfleet 0
E. J. Giraud c Amlot b Surfleet 7	Byes, etc
E. C. Griffith not out 14	04
	91
UNIVERSITY COLL	EGE SCHOOL.
C. M. Wilson b Taylor 33	G. M. Winterbotham c Griffith b
G. M. Wilson c and b Roberts 17	Wildig 0
D. F. Surfleet c Edwards b Roberts 16	S. A. Whitteridge b Roberts 8
T. H. Rowney c Stephenson b	J. A. C. Robertson c and b Wildig 14
Wildig 2	B. G. M. Codd not out 0
R. W. R. Moore c Maule b Wildig 0	Byes, etc
R. A. Amlot e Edwards b Taylor 1	100
R. H. Henderson c Caldwell b	133

MALVERN COLLEGE v. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at MALVERN, Saturday, June 1.—Cheltenham won a game of uneven batting by 91 runs. R. A. Graham-Smith played skilfully.

CHELTENHAM	COLLEGE.
J. M. Bryce-Smith b Stainton 2	T. A. Higson lbw, b Phillips 17
J. S. W. Meikle b Pullan 8	I. N. Brettell c Stainton b Lister 50
D. A. C. Page b Pullan 2	R. H. Taite not out 65
R. A. Graham-Smith b Pullan 126	F. W. Neate lbw, b Crabtree 0
J. K. L. Morton c Crisp b Pullan 0	Byes, etc 19
T. D. Mitchelle Stainton b Crabtree 21	
S. M. Ekin c Crisp b Pullan 10	320
MALVERN (COLLEGE.
A. C. Bird c and b Ekin 15	J. V. Crisp not out 48
W. H. L. Lister c Neate b Ekin 2	G. E. Short b Taite 1
C. D. A. Pullan b Higson 23	G. T. Summersby c Graham-Smith
R. G. Stainton b Taite 65	b Morton 8
G. N. Crabtree c Mitchell b Morton 23	J. P. H. Dixon lbw, b Ekin 9
G. L. Walker b Morton 12	Byes, etc 16
R. P. Phillips c and b Ekin 7	
10. 1. 1 mmps o water a manner.	229

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL V. WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

Played at Grove Park, Saturday, June 1.—Declaring with nine men out, Whitgift gained an easy victory by an innings and four runs. In the City of London second innings, F. S. Thompson took five wickets for 8 runs and C. E. Neville five for 9.

CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL.

B. M. Goldsworthy c Dickenson b		
Thompson 0 — b Neville		6
J. P. Marriott b Thompson 7 — b Thompson		2
C. W. Hartley b Neville 2 — b Thompson		0
N. L. Walker not out 42 — lbw, b Neville		1
A. C. Austin st. Parnaby b Thompson 0 — b Neville		6
C. J. O'Brien b Dickenson 1 — b Neville		2
W. H. Ollis b Sleeman 2 — lbw, b Thompson		5
G. H. Courtney b Sleeman 0 — lbw, b Thompson		1
B. A. Crabb b Thompson 3 — b Thompson		0
J. H. G. Leask b Thompson 5 — not out	3	1
L. R. P. Landsberg run out 0 — b Neville	7	. 0
Byes, etc		8
		_
80		32

WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

V. R. Merrett c Crabb b Landsberg	g 12 G. S. Parnaby b Walker 0
W. L. F. Hughes c Ollis b O'Brien	30 B. E. Nicholson b Crabb 0
F. S. Thompson b O'Brien	36 E. W. Sleeman not out 2
H. W. Seear b Courtney	5 C. E. Neville not out 0
J. M. Sykes lbw, b Austin	11 Byes, etc 6
J. Porter b Courtney	7
B. B. Dickenson lbw, b Crabb	
	basels boroles basel

* Innings declared closed.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Saturday, June 1.—Effective bowling by I. H. Freeland (six wickets for 42 runs) being followed by splendid batting on the part of T. J. R. Dashwood, Wellington won by 102 runs.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.		
F. H. Anderson b Freeland. J. R. Outram b Hughes. T. A. D. Hewan st. Tailyour b Prichard. W. W. Russell c Hughes b Freeland J. T. H. Comber b Pritchard. W. M. Greener b Freeland. P. M. Nelson b Freeland.	0 25 26 29 26	P. H. Bell c Hughes b Freeland. 20 H. R. Wynne b Pritchard. 0 J. G. Starkey-Smith not out. 0 B. B. Waddy lbw, b Freeland. 0 Byes, etc. 4 171

WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
T. J. R. Dashwood c Russell b Bell 108 B. W. Finnigan c Comber b Bell. 47 D. M. C. Prichard c Nelson b Wynne 16 G. R. T. Gillett c Comber b Nelson 10 I. H. Freeland lbw, b Wynne. 7 R. B. R. Todd b Bell. 8 J. W. H. James b Nelson 34	W. J. Tallyour run out. 10 P. M. Hughes b Nelson 0 B. E. Luard c and b Wynne 9 B. T. V. Cowey not out 10 Byes, etc. 14
J. W. H. James D Reison 54	273

HATLEYBURY COLLEGE V. UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

Played at HAILEYBURY, Wednesday, Thursday, June 5, 6.—E. N. Evans batted courageously in both innings for Haileybury, who, compelled to follow-on, wound up 104 in front with one wicket to fall. D. B. Parkinson in the match took ten wickets for 153 runs.

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.

E. B. Pope c Wolff b E. J. Unwin 32 W. A. Lupton b Evans. 25 H. R. Cox C Wolff b Keen. 39 F. G. H. Chalk c Reese b Keen. 14 W.T. Cooke c Keen b Evans. 19 J. Southworth c Keen b Evans. 19 C. Thompson c Evans b Wills. 81	J. D. Ibbotson c Bullmore b Keen 18 D. V. Brims c and b Wills
HAILEYBURY	COLLEGE.
W. A. R. Sumner c and b Thompson E. N. Evans c Durrant b Brims R. W. Bullmore c Durrant b Thompson A. H. Newsom c Lupton b Parkinson	71 — c Lupton b Parkinson 77

A. H. Newsom C Lupton o Larkinson			
E H Hart c Thompson b Parkinson	4 -	- c Cooke b Parkinson	26
J A H Wolff c Thompson b Parkinson	11 -	- c Lupton b Parkinson	U
E. J. Unwin c Durrant b Brims	0 -	— c Lupton b Parkinson	5
A. P. S. Wills c and b Parkinson	31 -	— not out	15
F. St. G. Unwin c and b Parkinson	22 -	- b Ibbotson	15
P. J. Keen c Cooke b Brims	19 -	- c Durrant b Thompson	7
M. M. Reese not out	0 -	— not out	10
Byes, etc.	6	Byes, etc	9
	-	0	-

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON v. MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

215

Played at Bruton, Thursday, June 6.—A drawn match.

KING'S SCHOOL, BRUTON.

Michell	J. E. H. Gait c Ford b Michell 5 B. Robinson c Rice-Jones b Ford 0 V. S. Bailey lbw, b Perrett 11 K. R. Higgins c Ford b Michell 2 Byes, etc
---------	--

MONKTON COMBE SCHOOL.

			20
P. R. S. Brooke b Bennett	1	E. W. Michell not out	14
1. It. D. Dioone o Bennever.	_		
W. A. Pitt b Bennett	2	K. W. Ford not out	
m ar mr. / h D	1	Byes, etc	- ()
T. M. Watson b Bennett	1	Dycs, coc	
Tr. D. D h Dollary	20		-
H. B. Parry b Bailey	20		04
H. T. Perrett b Bailey	20	10	01
H. I. Perrett b Daney	20		
A. S. Rice-Jones b Highby	12	F. R. Pite J. P. Sloan did not bat.	
A. S. Rice Jones o Highly		did not bat.	
E A. Chalmers b Bailey	1	J. P. Sloan	
E A. Onarmore o Dancy	-		

WINCHESTER COLLEGE V. CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL.

Played at WINCHESTER, Thursday, June 6.—A close fight ended in victory by three wickets for Winchester.

CHARTERHOU	SE SCHOOL.
F. R. S. Jeavons b McCaskie 35	A. J. Wreford-Brown c Townsend
A. N. Buckmaster c Guise b	b Jenkins 13
Townsend 3	P. M. Dyson b Priestley 10
I. T. McGaw lbw, b Fenwick 8	J. S. Greening b Fenwick 5
C. Middleton b Townsend 1	A. G. Powell not out 0
G. B. Eccles lbw, b Townsend 5	Byes, etc 20
J. W. R. Hunt c Jenkins b Winlaw 12	
G. L. Hartley c White b Guise 13	125
WINCHESTER	COLLEGE.
J. L. T. Guise b Hunt 6	R. H. Priestley not out 10
D. G. Davies-Scourfield lbw, b	D. C. H. Townsend not out 6
Greening 21	Byes, etc
G. W. White c Hunt b Jeavons 10	
N. McCaskie st Powell b Greening 0	132
R. de W. K. Winlaw b Jeavons 19	E A D Toplring
R. S. Walker c Hunt b Greening 26	I. Fenwick did not bat.
D. Stephens lbw, b Dyson 31	
D. Diophons ion, o Dyson or	
	and the state of t

LANCING COLLEGE v. TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

Played at LANCING, Friday, June 7.—Lancing were unfortunate not to win, needed only a single with a wicket to fall.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.		
G. M. Leach c Pearse b Hall 33	R. J. M. Willcocks b Pearse 42	
W. Y. Sherwell b Hall 8	C. A. Peal b Leonard-Williams 7	
J. G. W. Davies c and b Hall 5	G. W. Orr c Clark b Hall 5	
T. A. Crawford b Cawston 2	C. T. Orton not out 5	
R. G. Musson lbw, b Cawston 0	Byes, etc 5	
T. H. Tilling c Robins b Hall 29		
A. G. S. Cobb c Chrestien b Cawston 7	148	
LANCING		
E. Cawston run out 85	J. E. Robins b Crawford 13	
F. P. H. Pearse b Peal 12	D. Clarke b Crawford 0	
F. H. Chrestien c Orr b Peal 7	H. Leonard-Williams b Crawford 0	
W. J. S. Fletcher st Willcocks b Peal 0	A. H. Williams not out 1	
J. E. Hall lbw, b Peal 0	Byes etc 12	
P. C. Kimmerling c Crawford b Peal 0		
G. W. Scarlett not out 18	148	

RUGBY SCHOOL v. CLIFTON COLLEGE.

Played at RJGBY, Friday, Saturday, June 7, 8.—Stronger in every respect, Clifton won in an innings with 19 runs to spare. J. G. Harrison followed up a splendid innings by J.T. Knight and G. C. Tovey by dismissing six men in the Rugby second innings for 34 runs.

CLIFTON	College.
J. M. Lings c Pearson b Martin 10	J. G. Harrison b Jackson 5
B. O. Allen c Rendel b Martin 0	P. H. Daly e Norman b Oswald 11
G. C. Tovev c Jackson b Pearson. 82	R. E. Bonham-Carter lbw, b Jackson7
J. T. Nuttall b Jackson 11	G. R. Whitehead b Jackson 2
R. W. Owen c Rendel b Oswald 35	Byes, etc 7
J. T. Knight not out	and the state of t
P. W. Keun b Jackson 14	304
1. W. Roull & Buckson	

RUGBY SCHOOL.

M. St. J. Oswald b Whitehead 6 — c Allen b Harrison	0
B. H. F. Fehr st Bonham-Carter b Whitehead 5 — c Keun b Harrison	8
R. O. G. Norman c Bonham-Carter b	00
Keun 39 — c and b Keun	26
W. G. Dove c Nuttall b Keun 10 — c Whitehead b Harrison	
P. S. Young c Allen b Daly 12 — b Keun	0
C. P. M. Hunting c Tovey b Whitehead 15 — c Allen b Harrison	6
R. T. Robinson lbw b Harrison 33 — lbw, b Nuttall	
A. M. Rendellbw b Whitehead 1 — not out	30
K. L. T. Jackson c Nuttall b Daly 21 — b Keun	0
J. V. C. Pearson not out 0 — c Allen b Harrison	2
M. H. A. Martin b Daly 1 — b Harrison	0
Byes, etc 12 Byes, etc	19
	-
155	130

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at CHELTENHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 7, 8.—Though P. M. Nelson bowled admirably, taking in the two innings eleven wickets for 159 runs, and H. R. Wynne batted with great pluck for Marlborough, Cheltenham won by 76 runs. J. K. L. Morton and D. A. C. Page showed good form in run-getting, and G. M. Ekin, besides playing a good innings, claimed ten Marlborough wickets for 78 runs.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. M. Bryce-Smith c Anderson b Nelson	1 — c Wynne b Waddy 1	
J. S. W. Meikle st Comber b Waddy	6 — b Nelson	,
	3 — st Comber b Nelson 65	
D. A. C. Page c Greener b Wynne		•
R.A. Graham-Smith c Greener b Starkey-		
Smith	32 — b Wynne 14	
J. K. L. Morton st Comber b Nelson	83 — c Wynne b Waddy)
T. D. Mitchell c Russell b Starkey-Smith	8 — b Nelson)
S. M. Ekin c Waddy b Nelson		3
T. A. Higson c Bell b Nelson	25 — c Russell b Nelson	
I. N. Brettell not out		Ŀ
R. H. Taite b Nelson		L
F. W. Neate c Comber b Nelson)
	12 Byes, etc 11	L
		_
	240	7
	210	

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

F. H. Anderson c Neate b Ekin	0 — c and b Ekin 4
J. R. Outram c Graham-Smith b Taite	32 — b Neate 4
T. A. D. Hewan c Taite b Ekin	13 — b Ekin 4
W. W. Russell b Taite	2 — b Ekin 14
J. T. H. Comber c Graham-Smith b Ekin	6 — run out 16
W. M. Greener c Bryce-Smith b Ekin	0 — b Taite 3
P. M. Nelson c Neate b Taite	18 — c and b Ekin 0
P. H. Bell c Graham-Smith b Ekin	1 — b Higson 12
H. R. Wynne b Higson	51 — c Graham-Smith b Taite 45
J. G. Starkey-Smith not out	15 — not out 5
B. B. Waddy c Graham-Smith b Taite	0 — st Bryce-Smith b Ekin 2
Byes, etc	6 Byes, etc 18
	144 127

ALDENHAM SCHOOL v. FOREST SCHOOL.

	8.—Aldenham won handsomely by
seven wickets.	
FOREST S	CHOOL.
E. W. Hudson c Wright b Miller 22	S. C. Blumson c Stubbs b Wright 9
B. H. Belle b Cocks 9	C. H. Kitson not out 35
J. N. Dennis c Stubbs b Wright 49	T. C. A. de Soysa run out 7
D. A. Hughes b Miller 2	H. G. le D. Tree b Cocks 7
H. C. J. Belle c Gwyther b Cocks 10	Byes, etc
C. B. Weniger c Kent b Cocks 0	
A. T. Burden c and b Miller 1	158
ALDENHAM S	SCHOOL,
L. R. Kent lbw, b de Soysa 44	C. P. R. Crane
J. Blackburn c Dennis b Tree 72	W. A. Leach-Lewis
H. J. L. Wright b Tree 41	T. R. W. B. Miller
R. W. Gwyther not out 4	W. V. Owen did not bat.
Byes, etc 3	M. Lampard
	J. P. Stubbs
164	J. A. Cocks

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE v. DURHAM SCHOOL.

Played at Ampleforth, Saturday, June 8.—Rain caused this game to be left drawn.

DURHAM	
J. Frazer Ibw, b Ruddin 0	H. Smeddle c King b Smith 8
C. H. A. Howe c Lind b Bean 51	A. Shawyer c Alcazar b ffrench-
P. H. Pawson b Bean 10	Davis
J. W. G. Taylor st Burge b King 40	G. C. Richardson lbw, b Ruddin 0
W.T. Anderson b Smith 43	I. H. McLaren not out 0
R. W. Paxton lbw, b Smith 0	Byes, etc 20
A. Bannister c ffrench-Davis b Bean 2	
	184
	101

C. F. Grieve not outB. Alcazar b MacLaren	16	College. P. C. ffrench-Davis J. R. Bean H. D. King M. C. Waddilove P. Ainscough J. M. Lind E. C. Ruddin	- did not bat
		F. E. Burge N. J. Smith)

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL V. OAKHAM SCHOOL.

Played at BEDFORD, Saturday, June 8.—A drawn match.

OAKHAM S R. D. Gillmore c Pryor b Davis. 39 J. S. R. Fisher b Bailey. 5 J. H. Whyte c Howard b Kingdom 37 G. Vaulkhard c Haynes b Bailey. 36 E. W. Cattell not out 44 J. S. Brown not out 27 Byes, etc. 14 *202	G. Chatterton R. K. Allen P. M. Sanderson W. D. Webster A. Shouler	did not bat.
* Innings dec	elared closed.	

| BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL. | | W. L. Davis | T. Markham b Sanderson | ... 25 | C. B. Bailey | H. A. Southgate not out | ... 36 | E. C. Howard | P. W. Scrivener not out | ... 26 | R. C. Haynes | Byes, etc. | ... 5 | N. T. Pryor | T. F. Cartwright | ... 104 | T. C. Shaw | ... |

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at GODALMING, Saturday, June 8.—Charterhouse, for whom P. M. Dyson claimed six wickets for 28 runs, had much the best of a drawn game.

CHARTERHOUS	SE SCHOOL.
F. R. S. Jeavons run out	P. M. Dyson not out. 18 Byes, etc. 5 J. S. Greening K. L. Stock A. G. Powell did not bat.
Million and their literal and which is the	Correct

WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
T. J. R. Dashwood b Dyson 9	W. J. Tailyour c Middleton b
B. W. Finnigan c Stock b Dyson. 29 D. M. C. Prichard b Stock 11	Greening
I. H. Freeland c McGaw b Dyson 6	P. M. Hughes not out 3
J. W. H. James b Dyson 8	Byes, etc 4
R. B. R. Todd c and b Dyson 16 W. P. L. Lawson c Hunt b Dyson 2	102
W. I. D. Dawson o Hand b Dyson 2	B. E. Luard did not bat.

CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD.

Played at West Horsham, Monday, June 10.—Declaring with seven wickets down, Christ's Hospital won by 151 runs, D. C. Fleming-Williams dismissing five Leatherhead batsmen for 21 runs.

CHRIST'S HOSPI	TAL SCHOOL.	
R. H. E. Emson c Henderson b	R. J. Gregory not	t out 3
Mackie 64	Byes, etc	
G. C. Done b Gulliford 67		-
R. Kemp c Keith b Gulliford 40	4.0	*227
P. E. Letts c and b Keith 5	K. N. Marshall	
A. J. Webley b Gulliford 6	D. C. Fleming-	did not bat.
W. Mansfield b Henderson 12	Williams	did not bat.
W. G. H. Weeks st Ryerson b	G. Richardson	
Gulliford11		-
* Innings de	clared closed.	

* Inning		red closed.	
		LEATHERHEAD.	1
P. Z. Henderson b Richardson D. H. Jones b Letts		M. W. Gulliford not out C. E. Ryerson b Fleming-	1
R. A. S. Farnfield b Letts		Williams	0
C. R. Lucas c Emson b Fleming-		J. H. Gainford b Richardson	4
Williams		K. G. Sharp b Fleming-Williams	1
T. C. H. Turuin b Fleming-Williams		Byes, etc	22
J. F. B. Keith b Fleming-Williams			_
J. H. Mackie b Richardson	0		76

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at Marlborough, Wednesday, June 12.—Thanks mainly to a big innings by D. G. Davies-Scourfield, Winchester gained a capital victory by four wickets.

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE

HOUSTON	COLLEGE.
F. H. Anderson c Scourfield b McCaskie	P. M. Nelson b Townsend 41 P. H. Bell run out 9 J.G.Starkey-Smith c Davies-Scour-
McCaskie 17 T. A. D. Hewan c Fenwick b White 35 W. W. Russell run out 27	field b Jenkins 14 B. B. Waddy not out 6 Byes, etc. 14
J. T. H. Comber c Walker b Jenkins 39 W. M. Greener b Fenwick 44 H. R. Wynne c Fenwick b Guise 3	252

WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

D. G. Davies-Scourfield c Bell b	D. Stephens not out
R. S. Walker b Waddy 8	Byes, etc
R. H. Priestley lbw, b Waddy 1 R. de K. Winlaw st Comber b	254
Starkey-Smith	D. C. H. Townsend
N. McCaskie b Waddy	E. A. P. Jenkins I. Fenwick

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL v. KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

Played at Bedford, Wednesday, June 12.—King Edward's went near to winning, finishing five runs behind with half their wickets in hand.

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL.

M. E. Kingdom e Bland b Bryan. 33 T. Markham b Bryan 1 H.A.Southgate e Hyde b Plowright 194 P. W. Scrivener Ibw, b Bryan. 0 W. L. Davis e Bland b Wyatt 26	N.T. Pryor b Wyatt. 2 R. C. Haynes run out 0 E. G. Warren not out 0 Byes, etc. 28
E. C. Howard c Eustace b Plowright 4 C. B. Bailey c Hyde b Wyatt 10	T. C. Shaw did not bat.

^{*} Innings declared closed.

KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM.

. Knight . Eustace . Smith . Mirams . Wood

STOWE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL. Played at Stowe, Wednesday, Thursday, June 12, 13.-J. A. Evetts batted well in both innings for Westminster who, though stronger at all points, could not force home their advantage. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL. H. B. Graham b Howland-Jackson 74 P. R. Aitken c Bennett b Howland-Jackson 6 W. H. D. Wakely lbw, b Maude 4 C. E. Londsale not out 48 K. H. L. Cooper st Carr b Howland-Jackson 0 D. E. Ryland b Howland-Jackson 15 A. C. Johnson run out. 2 Byes, etc. 6 *131 279 * Innings declared closed. STOWE SCHOOL. A. G. Howland-Jackson c Bompas b Cooper 9 — not out 10 H. V. Kemp b Cooper..... 2 A. J. P. Ling c Aitken b Jackson..... 47 — c Luard b Cooper...... 37 D. F. N. Rowlatt c Cooper b Lonsdale . . 8 T. R. Williams st Bompas b Aitken 29 C. Sheppard not out 4 J. W. Maude absent 0 Byes, etc. 3 187 UPPINGHAM SCHOOL v. REPTON SCHOOL. Played at UPPINGHAM, Friday, Saturday, June 14, 15.—Rain on the opening day made the wicket helpful to bowlers, but E. B. Pope prevented a second Uppingham breakdown and the game was drawn. D. V. Brims claimed eleven Repton wickets for 95 runs. REPTON SCHOOL. J. F. Mendl c Parkinson b Brims. 76 — c Durrant b Brims. 1 P. C. Oldfield c Lupton b Brims..... 1 A. M. Carroll c Durrant b Ibbotson... 6 — not out 10 P. S. K. Balfour not out..... 0 Byes, etc. 7 Byes, etc. 2

> 256 * Innings declared closed.

E. B. Pope c Brain b Sutcliffe	48 — not out	55
W. A. Lupton lbw, b Sutcliffe	26 — st Oldfield b Williams	25
H. R. Cox b Williams	8 — c Clarke b Williams	2
F. G. H. Chalk c Sutcliffe b Human	1 — st Oldfield b Williams	0
C. E. Pank run out	10 — not out	4
J. Southworth c Clarke b Human	3	
C. Thompson c Carroll b Balfour		
J. D. Ibbotson b Balfour		
D. V. Brims c Mendl b Sutcliffe	5.	

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at Tonbridge, Friday, Saturday, June 14, 15.—A drawn match produced some very good batting, T. A. Crawford showing specially fine form.

TONBRIDGE SCHOOL.

W. Y. Sherwell run out. J. G. W. Davies b Morgan T. A. Crawford b Glover. R. G. Musson st Carey b Hoperaft T. H. Tilling b Glover. R. J. M. Willcocks c Hoperaft b Glover. A. G. S. Cobb c Carey b Hoperaft C. A. Peal not out. G. W. Orr b Glover. C. T. Orbon c Carey b Hoperaft	52 — Ibw, b Hoperaft	7 2 3 5
Byes, etc.	Byes, etc.	5
	243 *14	7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

* Innings declared closed.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

K. F. Ferguson c Willcocks b Davies F. A. Simmonds st Willcocks b Peal J. A. Tallent b Davies	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
P. H. F. Mermagen c Willcocks b Davies A. A. E. Morgan c Musson b Davies	
R. J. Brown c and b Peal E. R. K. Glover c Orton b Orr	
A. W. Hopcraft b Cobb	0
N. R. Seddon not out	
9	105

HARROW SCHOOL v. MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

Played at Harrow, Saturday, June 15.—B. B. Waddy took six Harrow wickets for 62 runs, J. R. Outram and F. H. Anderson followed with a big opening partnership, and Marlborough gained a victory by three wickets.

HARROW SCHOOL.

b 7 . 15 . 0 . 2 . 9 . 9

MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE.

J. R. Outram c Stewart-Brown b Welch 53	P. M. Nelson not out
F. H. Anderson c Welch b Lawrence 50	Byes, etc 26
T. A. D. Hewan c Bull b Ford 16	_
W. W. Russell b Welch 2	197
J. T. H. Comber c Ford b Lawrence 18	J. G. Starkey-Smith did not bat.
W. M. Greener c Lawrence b Ford 10	B. B. Waddy
P. R. Collins c and b Ford 0	

RADLEY COLLEGE V. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

Played at RADLEY, Saturday, June 15.—P. I. Van der Gucht and W. H. Vestey, batting splendidly, added 183 for the fifth wicket for Radley, who had much the best of a drawn game. P. D. La Touche claimed half the Westminster wickets for 32 runs.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

Italian .	00000000
P. I. Van der Gucht c Graham b Milne	A. W. Kershaw lbw, b Cooper. 8 P. J. Gibbs b Cooper. 3 Byes, etc. 11
C. M. Blunt b Graham 39 G. L. Millar c Bompas b Graham 3 W. H. Vestey not out 123 E. R. Evans b Milne 0	R. M. E. Williams P. D. La Touche did not bat.

^{*} Innings declared closed.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL

WESTMINSTER COHOUR.		
J. A. Evetts b La Touche	K. H. L. Cooper b La Touche 0 D. E. Ryland b La Touche 12 I. I. Milne not out 0 Byes, etc. 1 A. C. Johnson did not bat.	
U. E. Lousdaie D La Louche	A. C. sommson and not bat.	

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) v. FETTES COLLEGE.

Played at GLENALMOND, Saturday, June 15.—Fettes won by seven wickets.

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE)

Hon. R. M. St. J. M. Dundas c Reid b Coupar 3 G. R. C. Wotherspoon lbw, b Coupar 2 C. I. Wotherspoon b Macpherson 17 H. D. B. Lorraine c Anson b Coupar 81 J. K. MacRosty c Williamson b Hogarth 37 D. M. Ross lbw, b Hogarth 9 A. B. Bell not out 4	S. F. Kennedy lbw, b Macpherson 0 D. A. Wyatt b Macpherson 0 E. J. Green st Reid b Macpherson 1 A. C. C. Hogarth c Reid b Macpherson 0 Byes, etc 5 159
FETTES (College.
	70 70 70

J. F. Jones not out. 6 G. Veno c C. I. Wotherspoon b Ross A. I. S. Macpherson c Hogarth b Lorraine 2 A. C. Hogarth b Green 6 P. J. F. Williamson not out Byes, etc. 166	4 J. J. P. Reid K. G. Anson 25 A. W. Haig 64 I. S. Coupar 0 P. G. Grant	bat.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL v. BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL.

Played at Downside, Saturday, June 15.—An overwhelming victory for Downside. A. P. O'Connor and B. P. Smyth-Pigott gained a complete mastery over the Blundell's School attack.

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

A.P.O'ConnoreHarvey bCourtenay 68 B. P. Smyth-Pigott not out. 100 R. J. Evans b Taylor . 7 F. A. Allan not out 27 Byes, etc. 6 208	R. G. Molesworth H. J. Radeliffe H. W. Hibbert J. A. Arnold C. H. Butterfield R. E. de P. O'Kelly F. W. Day	did not bat.

606	Public Schoo	l Matches.
Dlared of SNAPESP	ROOK, Saturday, a sythe (seven wick CHIGWELL 1 27 3 b Kitson 6 b Miller 53 b Weniger 4 0 0 FOREST Shillito 0 5 3 ngham 24 0 37 b Whitting-4	H. M. Leiper b Miller 0 F. M. Manning b Kitson 0 E. Whittingham b Kitson 0 E. A. Shillito c B. H. Belle b Kitson 10 Byes, etc. 14
Played at KINGSW eight wickets. A. K. Tatchell lbw, b J. R. Hodgson lbw, b P. G. R. B. Heap b Sloat J. C. Lawson lbw, b P. H. Tatchell c Parry E. T. Holstead b For L. W. K. Brown lbw, P. R. S. Brooke c J. G. Brown	Kingswood King	P. T. Lawson c Michell b Perrett 8 N. H. Cullen not out 4 J. C. Curry b Ford 1 F. W. Rushley b Ford 0 Byes, etc. 4 57
Brown T. M. Watson not ou H. B. Parry c P. H Brown Byes, etc.	it	E. W. Michell E. A. Chalmers J. P. Sloan F. R. Pite
SHREV Played at SHREW opponents, Shrewsbur innings. S. F. Mill b Pullan. J. F. Snow c Stainton D. N. Moore c Moss b G. A. R. Green c Pull E. L. Birch c Lister b D. Harratt c Crisp b I J. G. M. Headlam lby	SBURY, Saturday, y won by 206 run SHREWSBURY	OL v. MALVERN COLLEGE. June 15.—Completely outplaying their s. D. N. Moore played a magnificent of School. J. S. O. Haslewood not out 26 T. C. E. Hughes b Crabtree 5 A.G.N. Robinson c Moss b Crabtree23 Byes, etc

MALVERN COLLEGE.

A. C. Bird b Moore W. H. L. Lister c Headlam b Green C. D. A. Pullan b Headlam. E. H. Moss c Harratt b Moore R. G. Stainton b Thompson. G. N. Crabtree b Green. G. L. Walker c and b Headlam	4 R. P. Phillips c Mill b Green. 9 R. N. C. Hills b Headlam. 0 J. P. H. Dixon Ibw, b Green. 11 Byes, etc.	7 3 1
G. E. Walker Cand D Headiam	4	97

HARROW SCHOOL v. WINCHESTER COLLEGE.

Played at HARROW, Thursday, June 20.—The bat always beat the ball in a drawn game, with the honours evenly divided.

HARROW SCHOOL.

T. M. Rattigan b McCaskie 4 N. M. V. Rothschild c White b Townsend	C. G. Ford b McCaskie 0 Byes, etc. 6
C. G. S. Shuckburgh b McCaskie 12 D. A. M. Rome c Winlaw b Jenkins 90 A.S. Lawrence c Winlaw b McCaskie 52 J. W. Stogdon b Fenwick	J. M. Stow A. E. Lambert R. H. Bull *233

* Innings declared closed.

WINCHESTER COLLEGE,

TI TI OHED	THE COMMEGE.
D. G. Davies-Scourfield b Ford R. S. Walker c Rothschild b Ford 6 R. H. H. Priestley c Rothschild b Ford 1 R. de W. K. Winlaw not out 2 N. McCaskie b Stow 4 J. L. T. Guise not out Byes, etc. 1	3 G. W. White D. C. H. Townsend E. A. P. Jenkins I. Fenwick

STONYHURST COLLEGE v. SEDBERGH SCHOOL.

Played at Stonyhurst, Thursday, Friday, June 20, 21.—Sedbergh won by eight wickets.

8		-
SEDBERGH		
L. Armstrong lbw, b McGrath	85 - run out	1
John	0 — not out	28 13
D. M. Somerville c Cammiade b Moran I. H. D. Walker lbw, b Houghton J. B. Brookes c Magill b Houghton	1	
C. L. S. Hockin b Finch	33	
Byes, etc.	13	
2	289	56

WELLINGBOROUGH SCHOOL v. BEDFORD SCHOOL.

Played at Wellingborough, Saturday, June 22.—The fine all-round play of J. G. Cook had most to do with Bedford's victory by 92 runs.

Bedford School.

R. W. R. Hopkinson run out 43 J. Armitage b Benton 2 J. G. Cook c Hill b Benton 156 G. M. Mole c Cox b Hill 0	D. B. Sinclair not out
R. G. Wise b Benton	*261 H. D. N. ffinch did not bat. clared closed.
Wellingbord	GH SCHOOL• E. S. Holdaway c Cook b Wise. 5 A. F. Wait lbw, b Cook. 1 E. R. Hill not out. 15 G. Wharton c Cook b Wise. 0 Byes, etc. 13

ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD v. BROMSGROVE SCHOOL.

Played at Oxford, Saturday, June 22.—St. Edward's won by eight wickets.

Bromsgrove	SCHOOL.	
R. R. D. Hemingway b Beal	5 — b Woodroffe	4
H. D. Bailey b Veitch	19 — st Gibb b Veitch	18
J. A. Hollingworth b Beal	0 — st Gibb b Veitch	12
D. Goodrick-Clarke b Beal	2 — run out	Z
R. F. Barnes b Sargeaunt	14 — b Woodroffe	00
R. L. Punnett lbw, b Beal	1 — c Way b Veitch	38
C. F. Williams not out	27 — b Woodroffe	
W. D. R. Kay c Green b Sargeaunt	0 — b Beal	5
R. N. Padfield c Green b Sargeaunt	2 — run out	4
E. D. Hoare run out	2 — b Beal	0
J. B. Russell c Oxley b Sargeaunt	0 — not out	10
Byes, etc	25 Byes, etc	10
,	—	110
	97	110

ST. EDWARD'S	SCHOOL.	OXFORD.
--------------	---------	---------

K. J. Veitch c Barnes b Hollingworth P. A. Gibb b Russell. A. H. M. Hoare lbw, b Punnett. J. H. A. Barnes c and b Goodrick-Clarke C. M. C. Woodroffe st Hoare b Goodrick- Clarke.	41 a Hann b Tr III	3
T. S. Wetherall c Kay b Hollingworth R. G. H. Green run out D. H. Oxley b Hollingworth	2	4
A. G. P. Way b Punnett. J. A. H. Sargeaunt not out. G. M. Beal c Bailey b Punnett. Byes, etc.	5	2
1	81	37

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. RADLEY COLLEGE.

Played at Sherborne, Tuesday, Wednesday, June 25, 26.—Sherborne won a keen game by seven wickets, E. R. K. Glover, in the whole match, claiming ten Radley wickets for 66 runs.

RADLEY COLLEGE.

H. P. Dinwiddy b Glover P. N. Kitching b Hoperaft W. H. Vestey b Seddon C. M. Blunt b Seddon G. L. Miller b Glover A. W. Kershaw b Glover C. A. Preston b Glover C. A. Preston b Glover R. M. E. Williams run out H. B. V. Bruton b Seddon P. J. Gibbs b Glover P. D. La Touche not out Byes, etc.	9 9 1 1 1 19 9 23 0 8	- b Hoperaft 14 b Hoperaft 2 - b Glover 3 - b Glover 3 - b Glover 24 - b Glover 1 - b Glover 1 - b Hoperaft 15 - c and b Glover 13	
Dyes, etc	10	Byes, etc 11	
1	15	108	

	E SCHOOL.
R. C. Eglington lbw, b Gibbs K. F. Ferguson b La Touche A. A. E. Morgan b La Touche F. A. Simmonds c Bruton b La Touche J. A. Tallent b Blunt C. D. B. Smith b Blunt R. J. Brown c Blunt b Gibbs E. R. K. Glover run out A. W. Hoperaft c Gibbs b La Touche N. R. Seddon not out J. F. B. Carey b Blunt	0 — low, b Blunt 0 7 — not out 5 19 — c Kitching b La Touche 6 15 — not out 4 31 0 18 4
Byes, etc.	20 Byes, etc 3
1	89 38

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL v. ROSSALL SCHOOL.

Played at Shrewsbury, Friday, Saturday, June 28, 29.—Shrewsbury quite outclassed their opponents, winning in an innings with 114 runs to spare. J. F. Snow, D. Harratt and D. N. Moore all batted brilliantly.

ROSSALL SCHOOL.

R. E. Bury b Moore W. R. Hughes c Moore b Barlow E. L. Simpson c and b Headlam T. A. Marsden not out G. J. Mackarel lbw, b Moore	1 — c Robinson b Barlow 1 0 — b Barlow 1 62 — b Green 1 87 — c Haslewood b Green 3 11 — b Headlam 1 4 — c Haslewood b Moore 2 16 — b Headlam 1 11 — b Moore 1	100042
W. D. Jones c Green b Moore	21 0 2120020 11111111111111111111111111	6
J. L. Riley b Green		0 5
1	46 11	3
Carpanapapa	TOOTED	

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.

J. F. Snow b Simpson 85 D. Harratt b Simpson 148 D. N. Moore not out 151 G. A. R. Green st Mackarel b Wilson 26	J. S. O. Haslewood J. G. M. Headlam M. J. K. Sullivan E. A. Barlow	did not bat.
A. G. N. Robinson c Strachan b Marsden		
E. L. Birch e Strachan b Riley 11 J. E. Burrough not out 14		

Byes, etc. 7

D. H. Dumbell lbw, b Symington 0

* Innings declared closed.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. WELLINGTON COLLEGE.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Saturday, June 29.—Westminster won a close fight by 25 runs.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL

J. A. Evetts c Dashwood b Cowey 45 I. W. A. Symington c Prichard b Luard		
r. R. Aleken not out	D. A. Bompas c Hughes b Cowey. 22 J. A. Evetts e Dashwood b Cowey 45 I. W. A. Symington c Prichard b Luard	K. H. L. Cooper c and b Prichard 18 W. H. D. Wakely c and b Freeland 1
P R Aitken not out 67	J. K. Luard c James b Prichard 6	

WELLINGTON COLLEG

WELLINGTON	COLLEGE.
T. J. R. Dashwood not out 94 B. W. Finnigan c Lonsdale b Symington 2 W. J. Tailyour b Symington 0 I. H. Freeland c Luard b Wakely 51	P. M. Hughes b Symington (B. T. V. Cowey b Graham (B. E. Luard c Evetts b Symington D. M. C. Prichard run out Byes, etc.
J. W. H. James b Graham 24 R. R. R. Todd c Wakely b Milne 16	195

ETON v. WINCHESTER.

Played at ETON, Friday, Saturday, June 28, 29.—Although a fine batting display by Eton enabled Hazlerigg to declare with eight men out and a firstinnings lead of 162, they lacked the bowling strength to follow up this advantage, and for the fifth successive year the match ended in a draw. Winning the toss, Winchester scored slowly, but thanks to Winlaw and McCaskie, who hit seven 4's, their total reached 265. Hazlerigg and Monroe-Hinds replied with a fine opening stand of 132, and Eton wound up the day 119 behind with one wicket down. Attractive play on Saturday by the later batsmen, especially Lord Dalmeny, whose figures included seven 4's, led to a declaration at quarter to four. Roughly three hours then remained, but all possibility of a definite result quickly vanished. Davies-Scourfield settled down to a sound display, and Winchester finished 33 behind with seven wickets to fall.

THE CITY	IIII.	
R. S. Walker c Southby b Dalmeny	16 — c Hazlerigg b Franks	27
D. G. Davies-Scourfield b Franks	28 — not out	69
R. H. Priestley b Nevinson	12 — c Monroe-Hinds b Franks	1
N. McCaskie c Hazlerigg b Franks	44	
R. de W. K. Winlaw not out	73 — c Allen b Jelf	6
J. L. T. Guise c Nevinson b Dalmeny	28 — not out	15
D. Stephens c Hazlerigg b Dalmeny	1	
G. W. White b Franks	22	
D. C. H. Townsend b Nevinson	13	
E. A. P. Jenkins lbw, b Nevinson	0	
I. Fenwick c Franks b Dalmeny	1	
B 14, l-b 5, w 1, n-b 7	27 B 8, l-b 1, n-b 2	11

WINCHESTER

ON.
B. M. F. Franks c Guise b Jenkins 17 A. M. Baerlein not out

*Innings declared closed.

ETON BOWLING.

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Dalmeny	23	7	65	4	. 14	5	26	0
Nevinson	18	8	37	3	. 17	4	42	ŏ
Hazlerigg	25	9	53	0	9	4	19	Õ
Franks	22	9	65	3	. 8	3	11	2
Jelf	5	1	8	0		1	20	1
Atkinson-Clark .	1	0	10	0		-	20	-
			/ TE	170				

WINCHESTER BOWLING.

NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY						44 77	CHESTER	DOMPEN
McCaskie					8	1	48	1
Townsend					28	7	100	. 0
Fenwick .					25	4	71	2
Guise					6	2	14	0
Jenkins					34.3	7	120	4
Stephens .				ē	23	7	49	0

Umpires: A. T. Atfield and F. A. Burton.

18 19 0

171

RESULTS OF ETON AND WINCHESTER MATCHES.

			The second secon
1826	Winchester won by 53 runs	1878	Winchester won by six wickets
1829	Eton won by four wickets	1879	Eton won by 45 runs
1830	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1880	Eton won by 9 runs
1832	Eton won by inns. and 10 runs	1881	Eton won by six wickets
1833	Eton won by 14 runs	1882	Winchester won by an inns. and
1834	Eton won by 13 runs	1001	20 runs
1835	Eton won by five wickets	1883	Winchester won by 224 runs
1836	Eton won by two wickets	1884	Eton won by five wickets
1838	Winchester won by an innings	1885	Drawn
	and 34 runs	1886	Eton won by eight wickets
1839	Eton won by 108 runs	1887	Eton won by nine wickets
1840	Winchester won by 43 runs	1888	Drawn
1841	Winchester won by 109 runs	1889	Winchester won by 114 runs
1842	Eton won by seven wickets	1890	Drawn
1843	Winchester won by eight wkts.	1891	Winchester won by five wkts.
1844	Eton won by 27 runs	1892	Winchester won by 84 runs
1845	A Tie	1893	Eton won by five wickets
1846	Eton won by inns. and 55 runs	1894	Eton won by five wickets
1847	Eton won by inns. and 78 runs	1895	Eton won by inns. and 87 runs
1848	Eton won by 65 runs	1896	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1849	Eton won by inns. and 27 runs	1897	Winchester won by 51 runs
1850	Eton won by five wickets	1898	Drawn
1851	Winchester won by 26 runs	1899	Eton won by one wicket
1852	Winchester won by 30 runs	1900	Drawn
1853	Winchester won by 35 runs	1901	Eton won by two wickets
1854	Winchester won by three wkts.	1902	Eton won by four wickets
1855	Eton won by 46 runs	1903	Eton won by 43 runs
1856	Eton won by inns. and 4 runs	1904	Winchester won by eight wkts.
1857	Eton won by inns. and 31 runs	1905	Drawn
1858	Winchester won by one wicket	1906	Drawn
1859	Winchester won by three wkts.	1907	Winchester won by nine wkts.
1860	Eton won by 19 runs	1908	Eton won by inns, and 14 runs
1861	Eton won by nine wickets	1909	Drawn
1862	Eton won by one wicket	1910	Drawn
1863	Eton won by inns. and 194 runs	1911	Eton won by 58 runs
1864	Eton won by nine wickets	1912	Eton won by inns. and 46 runs
1865	Drawn	1913	Eton won by inns. and 47 runs
1866	Eton won by ten wickets	1914	Drawn
1867	Eton won by inns, and 10 runs	1919	Winchester won by 69 runs
1868	Eton won by eight wickets	1920	Winchester won by 131 runs
1869	Eton won by inns. and 36 runs	1921	Eton won by seven wkts.
1870	Winchester won by one wicket	1922	Drawn
1871	Winchester won by 8 runs	1923	Drawn
1872	Eton won by inns. and 125 runs	1924	Eton won by inns and 19 runs
1873	Eton won by five wickets	1925	Drawn
1874	Eton won by inns, and 202 runs	1926	Drawn
1875	Eton won by five wickets	1927	Drawn
1876	Eton won by inns. and 99 runs	1928	Drawn
1877	Eton won by inns. and 121 runs	1929	Drawn.
	1000 1- 1051 1- 1- 11		-1 -1 -1 T - 1: 1 -1 - 10rr

From 1826 to 1854 inclusive, the match was played at Lord's, but since 1855 (when the sides met at Eton) the games have taken place alternately on the Eton and Winchester grounds.

Of the 96 matches mentioned above, Eton have won 51 and Winchester 26, one has ended in a tie and 18 have been drawn.

The one day matches during the War were not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

OUNDLE SCHOOL. R. M. Beresford c Champness b C. H. Buckley not out...... 26 Brown R. W. Hogg b Brown....... 26 J. L. Cooke b Hockin 16 B. S. Westmacott st Whiston b C. E. Daniels Ibw, b Brown 12 L. H. Garrett e and b Brown 15 Champness M. T. Maw st Whiston b Hockin . . 7 J. M. Ingle lbw, b Brown...... 15 W. R. Falconer c Skinner b Brown 5 E. W. Dixon b Champness..... 0 F. R. Brown b Buckley 14 A. H. Walker not out..... 27 R. E. Whiston st Hogg b Maw....122 J. A. Champness b Buckley.....

R. H. Plant c and b Maw..... 34

J. B. H. Bisseker b Buckley 8

K. L. T. Jackson b Chalk 32 C. P. M. Hunting c Brims b Chalk 7

Played at OUNDLE, Tuesday, July 2.—Leys won by six wickets.

Played at UPPINGHAM, Tuesday, July 2.—Uppingham gained a very good victory by 51 runs.

R. M. Hockin not out..... 20

UPPINGHAM	SCHOOL.
E. B. Pope c Jackson b Dove 14	J. D. Ibbotson not out
W.A. Lupton c Hunting b Robinson 62	D. V. Brims e Hunting b Robinson
H. R. Cox b Dove 1	C. J. Durrant c Rendel b Parnell
F. G. H. Chalk c Martin b Parnell 5	D.B. Parkinson c Robinson b Martin
W. T. Cooke c Jackson b Dove 10	Byes, etc
C. Thompson c Dove b Robinson. 34	Dy cs, coc
D. F. Walker b Robinson 1	2
RUGRY S	
M. St. J. Oswald b Brims 15	A. M. Rendel c Thompson b Brims
R. T. Robinson b Ibbotson 17	R. Leader c Parkinson b Brims
R. O. G. Norman c Walker b Chalk 19	R. W. Parnell not out
W. G. Dove b Chalk 26	M. H. A. Martin lbw, b Brims
B. H. F. Fehr c Cox b Parkinson. 5	Ryos ato
W T T Toolsoon b Ch - H-	Byes, etc

WELLINGTON COLLEGE v. HAILEYBURY COLLEGE.
Played at Wellington, Friday, Saturday, July, 5, 6.—Keenly contested
all through, this match ended in a draw.
HAILEYBURY COLLEGE
W. A. R. Sumner b Hughes
E. N. Evans c Finnigan b Prichard 20 — c Tailyour b Hughes 23
R. W. Bullmore c Freeland b Prichard. 0 — st Tailyour b Prichard. 24
A. H. Newson c Freeland b Prichard. 36 — b Prichard. 8
F St. G. Unwin a Tailyoun b Covers
F. St. G. Unwin c Tailyour b Cowey 11 — c Finnigan b Freeland 2
C. D. Joscelyne lbw, b Hughes 17 — run out
Freeland
Freeland
1. 0. Mcch Cand D flighes 2 et Teilvour h Prichard 7
A. I. S. WIRS 1101 OUL
o. M. Ulliman C Finnigan D Freeland 6 — b Hughes
o. A. H. Wolli c Prichard b Cowey 11 — not out
Byes, etc
249 • 166

	a
WELLINGTON	
T. J. R. Dashwood c Evans b Gillman	54 — c Sumner b Keen 38
B. W. Finnigan b Gillman	0 — b Wills 10
J. H. Freeland b Keen	36 — not out
W. J. Tailyour c Keen b Gillman	
J. W. H. James c Wills b Keen	35
R. B. R. Todd st Wolff b Gillman	5
J. S. May c Wills b Gillman	11
P. J. M. Harries-Jones b Wills	
P. M. Hughes lbw, b Wills	
B. T. V. Cowey b Wills	
D. M. C. Prichard not out	
Byes, etc	
	229 157
OTTADMEDITATION COTTACT	WEGMMINGMED COHOOT
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL	v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL v. WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.
Played at GODALMING, Saturday, July 6.—Though declaring with half
their wickets intact, Westminster could not force home their advantage, and the
match had to be left drawn.
WESTMINSTER SCHOOL

WESTMINSTER		
D. A. Bompas b Greening 46	W. H. D. Wakely	
J.A. Evetts c Powell b Jeavons 59	D. E. Ryland	did not bat.
I. W. A. Symington b Dyson 43	J. D. Argyle	ara not but.
P. R. Aitken b Hunt 27	I. I. Milne	
H. B. Graham b Greening 3		
J. K. Luard not out 3		
C. E. Lonsdale not out 32		
Byes, etc 8		
_		
*001		

* Innings declared closed.

G. B. Eccles c Argyle b Wakely. 22 T. W. B. Coulson c and b Symington 2 I. T. McGaw c Lonsdale b Milne 37 C. Middleton not out	A. J. Wreford-Brown F. R. S. Jeavons J. W. R. Hunt P. M. Dyson J. S. Greening A. G. Powell	did not bat.
135		

BRIGHTON COLLEGE V. LANCING COLLEGE.

Played at the COUNTY GROUND, HOVE, Saturday, July 6.—Rain caused this game to be drawn.

LANCING (COLLEGE.			
E. Cawston c Harvey b Newth 4 W.J.S. Fletcher c Jarchow b Levett 15 F. H. Chrestien c Jarchow b Green 7 F. P. H. Pearse c Green b Harvey 19 J. A. Spens b Harvey 0 P. C. Kimmerling not out 9 J. E. Hall c Ronald b Newth 5 Byes, etc 9	D. C. McLagan G. W. Scarlett J. E. Robins A. H. Williams	d	lid not ba	ıt.

BRIGHTON COLLEGE.

F. C. Jarchow, R. J. B. Phillips, C. R. Maxwell, W. G. C. Cashford T. S. Levett, R. N. Jones, J. D. Ronald, V. P. Harvey, R. A. Cooper, A. Green, J. A. Newth.

SHERBORNE SCHOOL v. DOWNSIDE SCHOOL

Played at Sherborne, Saturday, July 6.—An admirable piece of bowling by A. P. O'Connor (six wickets for 30 runs) brought a narrow victory by eight runs to Sherborne.

DOWNSIDE SCHOOL.

A. P. O'Connor c Simmonds b Hoperaft 3 B. P. Smyth-Pigott c Seddon b Glover 44 F. A. Allan Ibw, b Seddon 13 R. J. Evans c Ferguson b Mermagen 7 C.H. Butterfield c Seddon b Glover 8 R. G. Molesworth b Mermagen 3	H.J.Radcliffe c Seddon b Hoperaft 9

SHERBORNE SCHOOL

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.			
R.C.Eglington c and b O'Connor. 21 K.F. Ferguson c O'Connor b Evans 20 F. A. Simmonds st O'Kelly b O'Connor	D. H. D. Alexander b O'Connor. 19 E. R. K. Glover c Evans b Day. 18 A. W. Hoperaft c Day b Evans. 15 N. R. Seddon not out		

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL v. DULWICH COLLEGE.

Played at West Kensington, Saturday, July 6.—D. R. Wilcox batted splendidly for Dulwich, who won handsomely. L. A. W. Simmons dismissed eight St. Paul's batsmen for three runs apiece.

ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL.

SI, TAULS SCHOOL.				
A. W. G. Hadingham c Knight b Simmons 18 G. G. Shneerson c Doulton b Simmons 7 J. B. Maclean b Simmons 4 G. C. Roosmale-Cocq lbw, b Simmons 0	H. S. C. Killick b Staniforth. 8 V. Oundjian b Simmons. 23 J. G. Richards b Simmons. 5 W. L. Sturton not out. 0 Byes, etc. 11 136			
R. Felton b Simmons 10 R. M. Jobson-Scott c Doulton b 13 Simmons 13 M. J. Layton b Wilcox 37				

DULWICH COLLEGE.

D. R. Wilcox c Jobson-Scott b Shneerson	S. B. Sellick c Jobson-Scott b Oundjian
Sturton	M. A. Staniforth c and b Sturton . 10 G. G. V. Knight not out . 21 J. Priest run out . 0 Byes, etc 16
H. T. Bartlett c Sturton b Oundjian 24	304

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

Played at VINCENT SQUARE, Wednesday, Thursday, July 10, 11.—Sherborne won by an innings and 53 runs. E. R. K. Glover in the match took eleven wickets for 116 runs, while chief batting honours fell to F. A. Simmonds and I. W. A. Symington.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

D. E. Ryland b Glover. 3 — c Brown b Glover. J. D. Argyle Ibw, b Glover. 0 — c Brown b Hoperaft. I. I. Milne not out. 5 — not out.	0 3 6 3 7 3 2 1
${245}$ 12	1

SHERBORNE SCHOOL.

R. C. Eglington b Graham 2 K.F. Ferguson c Luard b Symington 18 D. H. D. Alexander run out 0 F.A. Simmondsc Bompas b Graham 165 J. A. Tallent b Ryland 11 P. H. F. Mermagen b Wakely 49 R. J. Brown c Aitken b Symington 5	E. R. K. Glover b Symington 8 A. W. Hoperaft c Argyle b Graham 20 N. R. Seddon not out

CLIFTON COLLEGE V. CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

Played at CLIFTON, Friday, Saturday, July 12, 13.—J. M. Bryce-Smith and J. S. W. Meikle bore leading parts in a great recovery by Cheltenham, who easily saved the game after being 112 behind on the first innings.

CHELTENHAM COLLEGE.

J. M. Bryce-Smith b Whitehead 5 — c Harrison b Whitehead 115 J. S. W. Meikle c Keun b Harrison 50 — c Owen b Whitehead 67 D. A. C. Page lbw, b Whitehead 0 — lbw, b Harrison 15 R. A. Graham-Smith b Whitehead 27 — c Tovey b Harrison 12 J. K. L. Morton b Whitehead 0 — c Knight b Darlington 0 T. D. Mitchell c Owen b Harrison 2 — lbw, b Keun 18 S. M. Ekin c Bonham-Carter b Harrison 11 — b Whitehead 14 T. A. Higson c Keun b Harrison 0 — b Whitehead 16 I. N. Brettell c Bonham-Carter b Darlington 15 — lbw, b Harrison 0 B. H. Taite run out 11 — run out 4 F. W. Neate not out 0 — not out 1
Byes, etc
5 byes, etc
129 274

CLIFTON COLLEGE.

R. W. Owen b Neate 10 — b Neate	0
G. C. Tovey b Neate	36
P. W. Keun c and b Ekin 7	
J. T. Nuttall c Bryce-Smith b Higson 75 — c Taite b Higson	0
J. T. Knight c Page b Neate 48 — not out	23
G. S. Darlington c Morton b Neate 28 — not out	A
K. C. Kinnersley b Neate 0 — run out	19
J. G. Harrison b Ekin	
R. E. Bonham-Carter b Neate 4	
G. R. Whitehead not out 0	
Byes, etc 7 Byes, etc	1
241	79

MILL HILL SCHOOL V. LEYS SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Saturday, July 13.—Mill Hill breaking down badly, Leys won by 86 runs.

MILL HILL SCHOOL.

A. T. Jamieson b Brown	J. L. Joannides lbw, b Brown J. A. B. Davidson c Wales b Hockin G. B. Mattey b Hockin	0 0 0 4

	LEY	S	SCHOOL.
A. F. R. H F. R J. B. E. S.	Whiston c Cooper b Purchase Skinner c Davidson b Wright 5 Plant run out 1 Brown b Aish	7 9 6 4 3	J. S. O. Whitaker b Wright 21 J. A. Champness b Wright 5 R. M. Hockin not out 1 J. H. Wales not out 4 Byes, etc. 9
A. H	. Walker b Joannides	1	135

MILL HILL SCHOOL v. HIGHGATE SCHOOL.

Played at MILL HILL, Wednesday, July 17.—Mill Hill had the best of a drawn match

MILL HILL SCHOOL

A.T. Jamieson c K. P. Chapman b Adland	J. L. Joannides c Webster b Watford
H. J. Aish not out	G. B. Mattey W. J. Wright did not bat,

^{*} Innings declared closed.

618 Public School	Matches.
W. B. Walton c Jamieson b Aish 30 W. H. Webster b Joannides 33 G. H. Adland c Purchase b Aish 1 M. G. R. Keeling run out 0 P. W. Westerby c Purchase b	CHOOL.
GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLE	EGE) v. LORETTO SCHOOL.
Played at Glenalmond, Saturday, Jul	
Hon. R. M. St. J. M. Dundas b Waters 1	S. F. Kennedy run out
LORETTO Se	CHOOL
D. P. Davidson c and b Hogarth. 3 I. M. Waters b Hogarth. 59 M. N. Mitchell MacRosty. 13 P. M. S. Gedge b MacRosty. 1	G. W. Mackie c G. R. C. Wotherspoon b Hogarth . 8 J. P. Kyd b MacRosty . 18 J. T. Massey b Grégoire . 3 W. A. Burnet not out . 4 Byes, etc 3
-	
CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL v. Played at WEST HORSHAM, Saturday, E. Letts (six wickets for 54 runs) and D. bowled well, won by 66 runs. EASTBOURNE CO	July 27.—The School, for whom P. C. Fleming-Williams (four for 36)
Williams 1 P. F. J. Duncanson lbw, b Letts 0 R. B. Clough c Emson b Letts 0 J. D. Cooper c Emson b Letts 4 E. Huelin b Letts 2 B. W. Malcolm b Fleming-Williams 58 I. S. Hampton c Done b Fleming-Williams 5 CHRIST'S HOSPI R. H. E. Emson c and b Davis 7 G. C. Done run out 50 E. Kemp c Clough b Evans 25	D. H. Evans b Letts. 7 J. R. McLean b Fleming-Williams 10 E. I. Davis not out

ETON v. HARROW.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, July 12, 13.—In the hundredth match between Eton and Harrow there occurred a return to the draw which had been the result six times consecutively before Eton won by 28 runs in 1928. Failure to reach a definite issue did not denote lack of keenness in the endeavour of each side to prevail, or entail a dull ending. Runs indeed always came fast until Harrow were compelled to exercise caution to avoid defeat. The two days yielded an aggregate of 1,037 runs, thirty-one wickets falling and the game being prolonged until twenty-five minutes past seven in order that Eton, if possible, might force home their superiority. Declaring at a quarter to five Eton did not allow themselves long enough to accomplish this purpose, but they conveyed the impression of being a very good all round side.

Fortunate to bat first under entirely favourable conditions, Eton scored 347 runs in just over four hours. The early loss of Hazlerigg, the captain, did not upset his colleagues, free and attractive hitting giving distinction to the cricket throughout the innings. The hard turf invited the confident use of true Eton batting. Well directed forward strokes at the pitch of the ball brought runs readily despite steady bowling and smart fielding. Occasionally the ball went off the edge of the bat, but the small number of runs registered in this way emphasised the merit of going well out to meet "length" bowling. Rome, the Harrow captain, varied his attack in the manner likely to prevent his bowlers becoming tired. but unfortunately, Ford, when he looked like finishing off the innings for a moderate total, so exhausted himself in chasing a ball to the boundary, that he had to take a rest. As it was the last three wickets put on 136 runs, and Eton secured a position that left them free from anxiety. Dalmeny, hitting up 65 out of 116 in an hour, accounted mainly for this valuable addition. Forcing the game directly he went in, Dalmeny drove brilliantly, and with nearly as much power to the off as when hitting straight. Twelve 4's—several of them to leg testified to his freedom. Many fine strokes of his were stopped, and he fell to a catch in front of the tavern from a big square leg hit, Lawrence, a left-handed bowler with beautiful action, getting his only wicket in this way.

Monroe-Hinds began Eton's admirable display by hitting eight 4's whilst obtaining 61 runs out of 108 in seventy-five minutes. He placed the ball neatly to leg and drove in capital style. Atkinson-Clarke, with the same number of boundary strokes, showed, during a similar period, almost as much freedom, good cutting providing a noticeable feature of his excellent cricket. With partners capable of inflicting such punishment, Hale—at the wickets an hour and three-quarters—

exercised more restraint in a thoroughly sound innings. Baerlein and Nevinson both got their runs well during the period that Dalmeny was attracting most attention.

Ford, judiciously used by his captain, put his exceptional height—6 feet 3 inches—to fine purpose. He always made the ball gather pace from the pitch and undoubtedly was faster than he looked. In such a big score his analysis of five wickets at 10 runs apiece entitled him to high praise. Bull, standing back, and Rothschild, in the slips, helped Ford with good catches. Rothschild—the only other bowler to dismiss more than one batsman—proved very expensive in the effort to mix his pace and spin.

When Harrow went in Rothschild cut and hit to leg so well that he made 43 out of 68 before Hazlerigg dismissed him, and in an hour and a quarter the total reached 107 without further loss. Then, after a brief interval for refreshment brought out on the ground, Nevinson bowled Rattigan and 10 runs later he dismissed Shuckburgh and Lawrence with consecutive balls. A little later half the side were out for 133, Nevinson, after he resumed, having then sent down six overs for 13 runs and four wickets. Like Ford, from the pavilion end, Nevinson made the ball go quickly from the pitch but having beaten Welch, who erred in playing back, he found himself opposed by Rome and Liddell—both resolute players capable of sound defence. Scoring 58 runs in the last hour these two admirable batsmen materially improved Harrow's prospect of making a close fight.

Wanting 57 to avoid a follow-on, Harrow next morning had anxiety on that point removed before another wicket fell. Producing 61 more runs, the sixth partnership realised altogether 119 in two hours. Rome, batting two hours and a half, hit seven 4's. After this stand three men were dismissed for 27 more runs but the last wicket put on 39. In this way Harrow, having batted forty minutes longer than their opponents, got to within 29 of the Eton total. A remarkable feature of the innings was that every wicket fell without the help of a fieldsman. Nevinson, bowling fairly fast with steady length and varied spin, maintained his form splendidly, standing out by himself in an attack that, apart from contrasts in pace, lacked variety.

Hazlerigg forced the game with good effect when Eton batted a second time. Hitting twelve 4's, he made 71 out of 94 in eighty minutes, but Monroe-Hinds could not repeat his previous success. Hale afterwards, by dashing cricket, scored 109 in seventy-five minutes. Taking risks, he enjoyed some luck, being missed when 23, but the twenty-sixth century recorded in the history of these games came as the result of courageous cricket which roused a great crowd to much enthusiasm. Hale hit fifteen 4's, drives, cuts and leg hits giving variety to his fine display. Had Atkinson-Clarke fallen to a catch he offered directly after the third wicket went down at 102, Harrow might have kept the struggle even. The two blunders actually cost 154 runs in seventy minutes.

The severe hitting may have unnerved batsmen, who, after severe work on an extremely hot afternoon, were sent in at five minutes past five with 294 runs to get in two hours twenty-five minutes. At any rate all hopes entertained of Harrow accomplishing this task disappeared almost at once. bowling fast from the nursery end, beat Rothschild before a run had been scored and Rattigan left at six. Shuckburgh, however, batting with splendid spirit, considering the situation, hit eight 4's and had a two increased to six by an overthrow in scoring 53 out of 75 before he was fifth out. Rome, having gone in fourth wicket down, then received the requisite help from Liddell these batsmen, as in the first innings, saving the side from disaster. When at seven o'clock the extra half hour had been claimed by Eton, Liddell relaxed from his defensive game and hitting round at a slow from Franks, was bowled. Watson, however, did not attempt to make runs, and stayed with his captain until stumps were pulled up. Rome batted wonderfully well for an hour and a quarter, raising his aggregate of runs in the match to 101. Nearly 22,000 people were present on Friday, and the company on Saturday was estimated at 25,000, the scene each afternoon, in the glorious weather, being charming to a degree.

ETON.

Mr. A. G. Hazlerigg b Ford 4 — c Ford b Ramsay 71
Mr. L. Monroe-Hinds b Rothschild 61 — b Ramsay 13 Mr. A. R. C. Southby b Rome 17 — b Ford 4
Mr. K. F. H. Hale c Rattigan b Rothschild
Mr. J. C. Atkinson-Clarke c Rothschild b
Mr. A. W. Allen lbw, b Ford 4 — not out 5
Lord Dalmeny c Ramsay b Lawrence 65 — b Ramsay 0 Mr. B. M. F. Franks c Bull b Ford 0
Mr. A. M. Baerlein c Shuckburgh b Ford 34 Mr. J. H. Nevinson c Bull b Rothschild 28
Mr. R. H. Jelf not out
347 *264

* Innings declared closed.

HARROW.

Mr. C. G. S. Shuckburgh b Nevinson Mr. D. A. M. Rome b Nevinson Mr. A. S. Lawrence b Nevinson Mr. W. M. Welch b Nevinson Mr. C. H. Jiddell b Franks.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Mr. C. G. Ford b Nevinson	4 16

HARROW BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts	3.	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Ford	. 22	7	50	5		14	6	38	1
Lawrence	. 16	2	51	1		11	0	48	0
Rome		4	66	1		9	2	35	0
Welch		1	48	0		12	0	43	0
Rothschild		0	107	3		6	0	42	0
			Ran	nsay .		7	1	38	4
			Timost	DOWT	TXTO				

ETON BOWLING.

Nevinson	30	6	85	6	 18	7	31	1
Dalmeny		6	47	1	 11	5	21	1
Hazlerigg		3	58	1	 12	4	34	2
Franks		9	76	2	 11	6	10	2
Jelf		0	19	0	 2	0	4	0

Umpires: J. H. King and T. Oates.

RESULTS OF ETON AND HARROW MATCHES

		IIID II	ALUM MATCHES
1805	Eton won by an inns. and 2 runs	1877	Drawn
1818	Harrow won by 13 runs	1878	
1822	Harrow won by 87 runs	1879	
1823	Eton won by inns. and 33 runs	1880	
1824	Eton won by nine wickets	1881	Harrow won by 112 runs
1825	Eton won by seven wickets	1882	Drawn
1827	Eton won by six wickets	1883	
1828	Eton won by six wickets	1884	Drawn
1832	Eton won by inns. and 156 runs	1885	Harrow won by three wickets
1833	Harrow won by eight wickets	1886	Eton won by six wickets
1834	Harrow won by 13 runs	1887	Eton won by five wickets
1835	Eton won by 165 runs	1888	Harrow won by 156 runs
1836	Harrow won by nine wickets	1889	
1837	Eton won by eight wickets	1890	Harrow won by nine wickets Drawn
1838	Eton won by inns. and 30 runs	1891	
1839	Eton won by eight wickets	1892	Harrow won by seven wickets
1840	Eton won by 31 runs	1893	Harrow won by 64 runs
1841	Eton won by inns. and 175 runs	1894	Eton won by nine wickets Drawn
1842	Harrow won by 65 runs	1895	Drawn
1843	Harrow won by 20 runs	1896	Drawn
1844	Eton won by inns. and 69 runs	1897	Drawn
1845	Eton won by inns. and 174 runs	1898	
1846	Eton won by inns. and 135 runs	1899	Harrow won by nine wickets Drawn
1847	Eton won by nine wickets	1900	
1848	Harrow won by 41 runs	1901	Harrow won by one wicket
1849	Harrow won by 77 runs	1902	Harrow won by ten wickets
1850	Eton won by seven wickets	1903	Harrow won by eight wickets
1851	Harrow won by eight wickets	1904	Eton won by inns. and 154 runs
1852	Harrow won by 71 runs	1905	Eton won by inns. and 12 runs Drawn
1853	Harrow won by three wickets	1906	
1854	Harrow won by 98 runs	1907	Eton won by four wickets
1855	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1908	Harrow won by 79 runs
1858	Harrow won by inns. and 7 runs	1909	Harrow won by ten wickets Drawn
1859	Harrow won by inns. & 48 runs	1910	
1860	Drawn	1911	Eton won by nine runs
1861	Drawn	1912	Eton won by three wickets
1862	Eton won by 54 runs	1913	Eton won by six wickets
1863	Drawn	1914	Eton won by nine wickets
1864	Harrow won by inns. & 66 runs	1915	Eton won by four wickets
1865	Harrow won by inns. & 51 runs	1010	to 1918. No regular match during the war
1866	Harrow won by inns. and 136	1919	
1867	Drawn [runs	1920	Eton won by 202 runs
1868	Harrow won by seven wickets	1921	Eton won by nine wickets
1869	Eton won by inns. and 19 runs	1922	Eton won by seven wickets
1870	Eton won by 21 runs	1923	Drawn Drawn
1871	Eton won by inns. and 77 runs	1924	
1872	Eton won by six wickets	1924	Drawn
1873	Harrow won by five wickets	1926	Drawn
1874	Eton won by five wickets	1927	Drawn
1875	Drawn	1928	Drawn Eton won by 88 runs
1876	Eton won by inns. and 24 runs		Eton won by 28 runs
2010	Total by mas, and 24 runs	1929	Drawn

Of the 100 matches played, Eton have won 40, Harrow 35, and 25 have been drawn. This is the generally published record, but Harrow men object very strongly to the game in 1805 being treated as a regular contest between the two schools, contending that it is no more correct to count that than the fixture in 1857 which has been rejected.

The one day matches between the two schools during the War are not reckoned as belonging to the regular series.

Twenty-six three-figure innings have now been played in matches between the two schools, as follows:—

183 D. C. Boles (in 1904), Eton 112*A.W. T. Daniel (in 1860), Harrow 173 G. Wilson (in 1913), Harrow 109 K. F. H. Hale (in 1929), Eton 108 C. J. Ottaway (in 1869), Eton 159 E. W. Dawson (in 1923), Eton 158 I. Akers-Douglas (in 1928), Eton 108 R. B. Hoare (in 1888), Harrow 152 E. Bayley (in 1841), Eton 103 L. G. Crawley (in 1921), Harrow 142 T. G. O. Cole (in 1897), Harrow 102*P. H. Stewart-Brown 137 C. H. B. Blount (in 1912), Harrow (in 1923), Harrow 135 A. K. Watson (in 1885), Harrow 101 H.J.Pilkington (in 1896), Eton 131 M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 100 E. Crawley (in 1885), Harrow 124 J. H. Stogdon (in 1895), Harrow 100 E. N. S. Crankshaw 120 B.J.T. Bosanquet (in 1896), Eton (in 1903), Eton 117 A. W. Ridley (in 1871), Eton 100*M. C. Bird (in 1907), Harrow 114 C. P. Foley (in 1886), Eton 100 R. H. Cobbold (in 1923), Eton 113 W. F. Forbes (in 1876), Eton 100*P. V. F. Cazalet(in 1926). Eton

In making his 183 Boles set up a new record for the match, beating the 152 obtained in 1841 by Emilius Bayley, afterwards the Rev. Sir John Robert Laurie. M. C. Bird in 1907, by scoring 100 not out and 131, performed a feat without precedent in the match.

* Signifies not out.

CLIFTON v. TONBRIDGE.

Played at LORD'S, Monday, Tuesday, July 29, 30.—There was a great finish to this match, Tonbridge, although set 247 to make in their second innings, obtaining those runs for the loss of nine batsmen and so gaining a remarkable victory by one wicket. The success of the Tonbridge boys was the more remarkable as, on the opening day, Clifton secured a lead of 89 on the first innings and left off that evening 106 ahead with nine wickets to fall. Clifton fared badly to begin with, their score with five wickets down being only 77. Allen, a left-hander, then hit out so freely that in an hour he made 86, among his strokes being eleven 4's. Keun helped him to put on 118 for the sixth wicket. Tonbridge at their first attempt accomplished nothing of special note. On Tuesday morning, however, despite a creditable effort by Kinnersley, they finished off the Clifton innings for the addition of 140 runs. Tonbridge, on going in, lost two wickets for 53 but then Davies and Crawford made a glorious stand which went a long way towards deciding the issue. Davies, however, should have been out for 27. When Tonbridge with nine men out wanted 2 to win, Keun sent down a ball which shot, beat the batsman, just missed the stumps, beat the wicket-keeper, went for 4 byes, and so gave Tonbridge victory.

CLIPTON

Chit	
Mr. R. W. Owen b Orton	28 — b Musson
Mr. B. O. Allen c Sherwell b Orton Mr. P. W. Keun st Willcocks b Orton Mr. G. C. Darlington b Museum	oo — c and b Musson 0
Orton	0 — b Orton 12
D 10, 1-0 3, W 1,	20 B 5, 1-b 3 n-b 1
	The state of the s

258

TONBRIDGE.

Mr. J. G. W. Davies c Whitehead b									
Harrison 24 — c Knight b Harrison 102								102	
Mr. G. M. Leach b Keun									
Mr. R. G. Musson c Owen b Keun 38 — b Kinnersley 8									
Mr. T. A. Crawfor				38 -	- b E	onham-	-Carter 1	b Harri	son 63
Mr. T. H. Tilling									
Mr. W. Y. Sherw	ell c B	onham-	Carter b						
Whitehead				1 -	- run	out			5
Mr. R. C. B. Blake	lock lb	w. b Kir	nersley 2	24 -	- c K	Cenn b	Darling	ton	23
Mr. D. G. Richar	dson h	Darling	ton				1		
Mr. R. J. M. Willo									
b Darlington				0 -	- c B	onham	-Carter	h Harri	son 6
Mr. C. A. Peel no									
Mr. C. T. Orton b									
B 3, l-b 2,	w 1	800H		6			2,		
B 0, 1 b 2,			The Library Charles	_		11,10	-,		
			10	69					249
		Tox		Bowi	ING				
	Overs	Mdns.		Wkts		Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Musson		2	12	2			6	39	3
Orton		4	98	5			4	51	2
Crawford		6	47	3			5	33	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\1\end{array}$
Peel		Õ	40	0			1	17	1
Tilling		0	22	0			2	8	1
Davies		0	15	Ō			=		
Richardson		0	4	0					
20101101 01011 1111	~		LIFTON E	BOWL	ING.				
Whitehead	8	1	29	1		8	2	23	0
Harrison		1	76	1		35	13	67	4
Keun		$\tilde{4}$	43	4			9	45	$\frac{2}{1}$
Darlington		î	9	3			2	22	1
Kinnersley		2	6	1		4.0	2 3	$\frac{54}{54}$	î
	Marie Colo	wife he	Owen				ĭ	13	0
			Allen				ō	6	Ů.
Umpires: J. C. Hubble and F. A. Burton.									
	Chipi		o. Labore	- will		Duit	·		

RESULTS OF CLIFTON AND TONBRIDGE MATCHES.

TOTAL OF MILE CALLS
1921 Tonbridge won by 141 runs
1922 Clifton won by inns. and 13
runs
1923 Drawn
1924 Abandoned—rain
1925 Drawn

| 1916 | Drawn | 1925 | Drawn | 1917 | Drawn | 1926 | Drawn | 1926 | Drawn | 1918 | No Match | 1927 | Clifton

1918 No Match 1927 Clifton won by 11 runs 1919 Tonbridge won by nine wickets 1920 Tonbridge won by nine wickets 1929 Tonbridge won by one wicket

Played at Lord's in 1914 and from 1919.

Of the 17 matches Clifton have won 4 and Tonbridge 5. Seven have been drawn, and one abandoned.

RUGBY v. MARLBOROUGH.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, July 31, August 1.—The seventy-second match between Rugby and Marlborough was ruined by bad weather, rain delaying the start on Wednesday until two o'clock and bringing play to a close three-quarters of an hour after the tea interval, while on Thursday it restricted further progress with the game to thirty-five minutes. In these circumstances the result was, of course, a draw—for the third year in succession. Rugby on Wednesday, without achieving anything of special note, batted consistently, only three of the nine boys dismissed in putting together a total of 216 failing to reach double figures. Robinson and Fehr played well in a partnership of 41 and Oswald made some nice strokes while Jackson, Hunting and Leader rendered useful support, the last named driving in capital style. Hewan, the Marlborough captain, was apt to overpitch but still obtained four wickets with his slows and Nelson flighted the ball skilfully. Next day, Rugby declared at their overnight score. Despite the liberal use of sawdust, little could be done between the showers but Rugby disposed of three of their opponents for 25 runs before the game was abandoned.

	RUGBY	Y
Mr. R. T. Robinson c and b Nelson Mr. J. E. Waterfield c and b Nelson Mr. B. H. F. Fehr b Waddy Mr. M. St. J. Oswald st Comber b Hewan Mr. A. M. Rendel b Anderson Mr. K. L. T. Jackson c Greener b Nelson	29 9 19 23 14	Mr. R. Leader not out
Mr. C. P. M. Hunting hit wkt. b		

* Innings declared closed.

did not bat.

MARLBO	
Mr. F. H. Anderson c Oswald b Renton 5 Mr. J. R. Outram c Parnell b Jackson 0 Mr. T. A. D. Hewan b Renton 5 Mr. P. H. Bell not out 1	Mr. W. M. Greene Mr. J. T.H. Comber Mr. H. R. Grace Mr. P. M. Nelson Mr. B. B. Waddy
Mr. W. W. Russell not out 6 B 6, w 2	Mr. H. R. Wynne
20	

MARLBOROUGH BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.
Waddy	24	4	70	1
Nelson	16	3	48	3
Wynne	5	0	10	0
Hewan	14	0	55	4
Anderson		1	5	1
Grace	3	0	11	0
Ru	GBY B	OWLING.		
Robinson	3	2	4	0
Jackson	4	2	6	1
Renton	4	1	6	2
Waterfield	2.1	1	1	0
Umpires: W. S	Smith a	nd H. R	. Murre	11.

RESULTS OF RUGBY AND MARLBOROUGH MATCHES.

1855	Rugby won by ten wickets, at	1890	Marlborough won by 145 runs
	Lord's	1891	Drawn
1856	Rugby won by five wickets, at Lord's	1892	Marlborough won by an innings and 78 runs
185		1893	Drawn
100	83 runs, at Oval	1894	Rugby won by an innings and
1860			82 runs
100	50 runs at Lord's	1895	Rugby won by 70 runs
100		1896	Rugby won by an innings and
186	and 17 runs, at Lord's		35 runs
186		1897	Marlborough won by three wkts.
		1898	Drawn
186	83 runs, at Islington	1899	Marlborough won by 225 runs
186	5 Rugby won by nine wickets, at	1900	Rughy won by nine wickets
100	Lord's	1901	Marlborough won by five wkts.
186		1902	Rugby won by 29 runs
186		1903	Drawn
100	Oval	1904	Marlborough won by nine wkts.
186		1905	Drawn
100	133 runs, at Rugby	1906	Rugby won by 227 runs
186		1907	Rugby won by 84 runs
100	Lord's	1908	Marlborough won by 200 runs
187		1909	Marlborough won by six wkts.
101	Marlborough	1910	Rugby won by an innings and
187		BLESSES SAN	7 runs
187		1911	Rugby won by 193 runs
187		1912	Marlborough won by eight wkts.
187		1913	Rugby won by ten wickets
18		1914	Rugby won by five wickets
10	35 runs	1915	Rugby won by nine wickets
18		1916	Rugby won by eight runs
18		1917	Rugby won by four wickets
18		1918	Marlborough won by an innings
10	24 runs		and 94 runs
18	79 Rugby won by 97 runs	1919	
18		1920	
	120 runs	1921	Drawn
18	81 Rugby won by two wickets	1922	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
18		1923	Marlborough won by ten wkts.
18	83 Drawn	1924	
18		1925	Drawn
18	85 Drawn	1926	
18	86 Rugby won by 37 runs	1927	
18		1928	
	88 Abandoned. No play	1929) Drawn
	89 Marlborough won by six wkts.	1	
		J M.	wilhoward 99 Fourteen have been

Of the 72 matches Rugby have won 35 and Marlborough 22. Fourteen have been unfinished and one abandoned.

M.C.C. Matches.

CHELTENHAM v. HAILEYBURY.

Played at Lord's, Friday, Saturday, August 2, 3. - Cheltenham showed themselves an appreciably stronger side than Haileybury and won by 185 runs but such a stubborn fight did Haileybury make in trying to avert defeat that only five minutes remained for play when their last wicket went down, Cheltenham began by losing half their wickets for 96 and in accomplishing that much were lucky, seeing that Page off the first ball he received was given a life, Ekin and Taite, however, hit well on occasion and added 53. Haileybury, thanks mainly to Bullmore and Newson, had at one point 80 on the board with only two men out but the later batsmen cut so indifferent a figure that the whole innings produced only 50 more runs. Left with a lead of 84, Cheltenham scored 10 runs without loss and next morning, Brettell and Meikle brought the total to 70 before the first wicket fell, Brettell staying until the score reached 138. Afterwards the batsmen hit out freely and nine wickets had fallen for 242 when the innings was declared. Set 327 to win, Haileybury had five men out for 75 but some dogged work by Keen and Wills nearly saved the game. Cheltenham owed much to Ekin who, in addition to sharing in an invaluable stand, took nine wickets in the match at a cost of only 5 runs apiece.

CHELTENHAM.

Mr. J. M. Bryce-Smith b E. J. Unwin Mr. J. S. W. Meikle b Gillman Mr. D. A. C. Page c Keen b Wills. Mr. R. A. Graham-Smith b Gillman Mr. T. D. Mitchell c Keen b Wills Mr. S. M. Ekin b Keen Mr. T. A. Higson c Gillman b Wills Mr. R. H. Taite c Gillman b E. J. Unwin Mr. I. N. Brettell b Wills Mr. W. E. Miller-Logan not out Mr. F. W. Neate c Newsom b Wills B 7, 1-b 3.	24 — Iow, b E, J. Unwin 39 43 — Ibw, b Gillman 17 5 — run out 41 2 — b Wills 18 48 — run out 15 18 — b Wills 5 27 — run out 20 9 — st Wolff, b Gillman 59 5 5
	214 *242

* Innings declared closed.

HAILEYBURY.

Mr. W. A. R. Summer run out. 6 - b Taite 1 Mr. E. N. Evans b Neate 22 - b Miller-Logan 27 Mr. R. W. Bullmore c Graham-Smith b Ekin 43 - lbw, b Neate 0 Mr. A. H. Newsom c Ekin b Higson 22 - b Ekin 32 Mr. F. St. G. Unwin st Bryce-Smith b Higson 3 - b Ekin 5 Mr. C. D. Joscelyne lbw, b Taite 9 - c Taite b Ekin 5 Mr. E. J. Unwin b Taite 0 - c Taite b Ekin 28 Mr. E. J. Unwin b Taite 4 - c Graham-Smith b Ekin 11 Mr. A. P. S. Wills hit wkt. b Ekin 11 11 + hit wkt. b Ekin 11 Mr. J. R. Gillman c Meikle b Ekin 0 - not out 16 Mr. J. A. H. Wolff not out 1 - c Bryce-Smith b Miller-Logan 12 B 8, n-b 1 9 - B 8, l-b 3, w 1 12
20,100, 11
130 $14\overline{1}$

HAILEYBURY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	Ov	rers	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Wills	26.5	6	69	5.	I	18	5	40	2
Gillman	13	3	41	2 .]	18	1	58	$\frac{2}{2}$
E. J. Unwin	20	3	51	2 .		21	2	72	2
Evans	8	3	29	0 .		8	0	25	0
Keen		0	14	1 .		3	0	11	0
			F. S	t. G. U	nwin	4	1	15	0
		CHELT	TENHAM	Bowli	NG.				
Neate	7	0	29	1 .]	11	3	29	1
Taite	17	4	37	3 .	1	16	2	36	1
Miller-Logan	9	2	26	0 .		12.2	4	26	2
Higson	5	0	14	2		9	6	8	0
Ekin	8.5	1	15	3 .	5	23	11	30	6
		pires : `	W. Smi	th and	J. C.	Hubl	ole.		

RESULTS OF CHELTENHAM AND HAILEYBURY MATCHES.

1893 Drawn]
1894 Cheltenham won by 1 run	
1895 Drawn	1
1896 Drawn	1
1897 Haileybury won by 238 runs	1
1898 Haileybury won by seven wkts.	
1899†Haileybury won by an innings	1
and 45 runs	1
1900 Haileybury won by 116 runs	1 1 1 1 1 1
1901 Haileybury won by three wkts.	1
1902 Cheltenham won by 186 runs	1
1903 Cheltenham won by 49 runs	1
1904 Haileybury won by an inns. and	1
41 runs	1
1905 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.	1
1906 Cheltenham won by 167 runs	1
1907 Cheltenham won by an innings	
and 51 runs	1
1908 Drawn	1
1909 Drawn	
Of the 33 matches Cheltenham have we	on

	60 11 1
1910	Cheltenham won by an innings
	and 3 runs
1911	Haileybury won by six wickets
	Haileybury won by 11 runs
	Haileybury won by an innings
	and 217 runs
1914	Drawn
1919	Cheltenham won by eight wkts.
	Drawn
1921	Cheltenham won by 66 runs
1922	Drawn

1923 Drawn 1924 Cheltenham won by eight wkts.

1925 Drawn 1926 Drawn

1927 Haileybury won by an innings and 3 runs

1928 Drawn

1929 Cheltenham won by 185 runs
on 11 and Hailevbury 10, the other 12

being left drawn.

† Played at the Crystal Palace.

August 3, Bushey Park	M.C.C. TEDDINGTON	1st 2nd inns. inns. 248* — 78 —	Result. Won by 170 runs. *Seven wkts. dn. Deld.
$\begin{array}{c} \text{August 3,} \\ \text{High} \\ \text{Wycombe} \end{array}. \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right.$	M.C.C	289† — 124* —	Drawn. †Five wkts. dn. Dcld. *Six wkts. down.
August 5, Slough {	M.C.C. SLOUGH	134 — 117 —	Won by 17 runs.
August 5, Blackheath {	M.C.C	157 — 258 —	Lost by 101 runs.

LORD'S SCHOOLS V. THE REST.

Played at LORD's, Monday, Tuesday, August 5, 6.—Following upon a keen and fairly even day's cricket on Monday and every prospect of an interesting struggle, the weather proved so wet on Tuesday that not a ball could be bowled. the conditions being so hopeless that the game was abandoned early in the afternoon. The batting of Wilcox of Dulwich-afterwards tried for Essex-stood out as the feature of The Rest's innings, this young player making 78 out of 153 without a mistake. Particularly severe on the short pitched ball, he hit a 5 and eleven 4's. Dashwood of Wellington, watching the ball well and showing a strong defence, defied the Lord's Schools attack for more than two hours and carried out his bat. Hazlerigg of Eton varied his bowling with considerable skill and took five wickets for less than 12 runs apiece. Rothschild of Harrow and Crawford of Tonbridge batting brightly put on 61 for the Lord's Schools' second wicket. Rome of Harrow and Bryce-Smith of Cheltenham, despite the exercise of much caution, each gave a chance off McCaskie of Winchester, but their partnership, if rather tiresome to watch, was not broken until the score reached 146. There was little in the position at the drawing of stumps, Lord's Schools being 136 behind but having six wickets to fall.

THE REST.

Mr. D. R. Wilcox (Dulwich) c and b Hazlerigg	
	Mr. E. Cawston (Lancing) c Rothschild b Harrison 16 Mr. D. N. Moore (Shrewsbury) b 19 Nevinson 19 Mr. N. McCaskie (Winchester) b 4 Nevinson 4 Mr. F. R. Brown (Leys) c Evans b 11 Hazlerigg 15 B 23, w 5, n-b 12 40 Hr. J. Rogers (Birkenhead) c 286

LORD'S SCHOOLS.

Mr. N. M. V. Rothschild (Harrow)
c Moore b McCaskie 42
Mr. A. G. Hazlerigg (Eton) b
Glover 7
Mr. T. A. Crawford (Tonbridge) c
Cook b Cawston 34
Mr. J. M. Bryce-Smith (Cheltenham)
c Bompas b Cawston 30
Mr. D. A. M. Rome (Harrow) not
out
Mr. E. N. Evans (Haileybury) not
MI. E. N. Evans (Haneybury) not
out
Б 6
150
130

Mr. C. G. S. Shuckburg (Harrow), Mr. K. F. H. Hale (Eton). Mr. J. T. H. Comber (Marlborough), Mr. J. G. Harrison (Clifton) and Mr. J. H. Nevinson (Eton) did not bat.

LORD'S SCHOOLS BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.	
Nevinson	22	6	68	3	
Hazlerigg	18.2	5	57	5	
Harrison	16	5	47	1	
Crawford	6	1	27	0	
Rothschild	2	Ô	31	Õ	
Rome	13	5	16	1	
THE R	EST BO	WLING.			
Glover	13	4	23	1	
Brown	10	5	18	0	
Cook	4	0	28	0	
Cawston	12	3	33	2	
	3	1	11	0	
Moore	5	1	13	1	
McCaskie Rogers	5	î	16	0	
Umpires: A. J.	Atfield	and W.	Smith.		

		1st 2nd inns. inns.	Result.
August 7, Bishop's Stortford	M.C.C	$ \begin{array}{c} 91 & - \\ 159 & - \end{array} $	Lost by 68 runs.
August 7, Wokingham	M.C.C	94 - }	Lost by six wkts. *Four wkts. down.

THE ARMY V. THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

Played at Lord's, Wednesday, Thursday, August 7, 8. - Yet another game spoiled by rain was that between the Army and the Public Schools, only fifty minutes' cricket being possible on the opening day and the contest naturally having to be left drawn on Thursday. During the brief period the players were on the field after lunch on Wednesday, Cawston and Wilcox, despite the indifferent light, batted so well on the soft dead pitch that they scored 52 runs without being separated. Next day nothing could be done until a quarter to twelve when the Schools, on continuing their innings, proceeded in very deliberate fashion until Evans the Haileybury left-hander, came in and hit well all round the wicket. The innings was declared on the fall of the sixth wicket at 208. On the Army going in, Dynes and Rogers, against keen bowling and smart fielding, showed capital form in a second wicket partnership, but afterwards the boys met with marked success until Burrows offered a careful opposition to the attack. In the end the Army stood 48 behind with a wicket to fall. Nevinson of Eton disposed of five Army batsmen at a cost of only 31 runs.

THE PUBLIC	SCHOOLS.
Mr. D. R. Wilcox (Dulwich) b Burrows	Mr. F. R. Brown (Leys) not out. 12 B 16, l-b 1, w 3 20
Mr. E. Cawston (Lancing) c Carbutt b Burrows	*208
Mr. D. N. Moore (Shrewsbury) c and b Armitage	Mr. J. H. Rogers (Birkenhead) Mr. A. G. Hazlerigg (Eton)
Mr. D. A. M. Rome (Harrow) c Burrows b Armitage 23	Mr. J. T. H. Comber (Marlborough) Mr. J. H. Nevinson (Eton)
Mr. T. J. R. Dashwood (Wellington) b Dynes 27	did not bat.
Mr. E. N. Evans (Haileybury) c	

* Innings declared closed.

Carbutt b Dynes

THE ARMY.

	Inn A	LMI.
Lieut. E. D. Dynes lbw, b Nevinson Lieut. L. H. Bean c Evans b Nevinson	0	Capt. M. B. Burrows not out 26 Capt. R. T. Stanyforth b Brown. 2 Capt. N. J. O. Carbutt b Nevinson 4 Capt. A. Minnis not out 0
Cawston		B 13, l-b 8, w 1, n-b 8 30
Major E. L. Armitage c Wilcox b	6	160
Lieut. H. P. Miles b Nevinson		100
Lieut. G. S. Grimston c Dashwood		
b Nevinson	6	
apt. A. W. B. Becher c Comber b		

THE ARMY BOWLING.

	Overs	Mdns.	Runs	Wkts.
Burrows	20	4	47	2
Miles	6	1	9	0
Dynes	6	0	28	2
Minnis	12	3	20	0
Armitage	18	5	32	2
Grimston	11	. 3	18	0
Becher	7	4	7	0
Carbutt	8	2	27	0

PUBLIC SCHOOLS BOWLING.

Nevinson .	 13	5	31	5
Brown	 12	4	27	2
Hazlerigg .	9	2	39	0
0	 6	0	19	2
Rome	 4	0	14	0

Umpires: A. J. Atfield and W. Smith.

AVERAGES.

The name of the Captain is marked thus †
Innings marked * are not outs.

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	Hi	ghestin	nns.	Not	outs	Average
L. R. Kent	6		220		55		1		44.00
W. V. Owen	6		111		38*		3		37.00
J. Blackburn	9		269		72		0		29.88
R. W. Gwyther			189		65		3		23.62
H. J. L. Wright			256		64		0		23.27
C. P. R. Crane			120		37		3		20.00
M. Lampard			83		19*		1		11.85
J. A. Cocks			21		17*		2		10.50
†W.A.Leach-Lewis			62		34		0		8.85

ALDENHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	icket	3	Average
J. A. Cocks	160.2	 28	 441		19		23.21
T. R. W. B. Miller		 17	 336		12		28.00
C. P. R. Crane	47	 13	 117		4		29.25
H. J. L. Wright	159.1	 16	 624		19		32.84

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BATTING.

			THE PERSON NAMED IN						
	Inning	8	Runs	Hig	hestinn	s. No	t out	S	Average
†D. S. C. Dew	. 11		210		59		0		19.09
F. S. Wakeham	. 11		177		35		0		16.09
N. A. B. Warner			124		45		0		11.27
C. H. Roberts	. 10		106		24		0		10.60
J. Price			65		27		0		9.28
J. D. Turner	. 11		95		27		0		8.63
D. R. Scott	. 9		57		20		2		8.14
J. F. D. Shapland			88		26		0		8.00
E. G. D. Heard			30		9*		5		7.50

ALLHALLOWS SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens	Runs	W	icket	s	Average
C. H. Roberts	51		15	 117		10		11.70
J. F. D. Shapland .			36	 493		37		13.32
C. F. Wills	104		14	 374		25		14.96
N. A. B. Warner .	61		17	 187		11		17.00
E. G. D. Heard	104	٠.	21	 341		15		22.72

ublic	School	Averages.

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	I	lighest in	nns	Not o	nts	Average
J. R. Bean	. 14		393		103		1	avs	30.23
R. W. Barton	. 10		127		51*		5		25.40
C. F. Grieve	. 15		303		81		2		23.30
P. C. ffrench-Davis			281		59		õ		20.07
E. C. Ruddin	. 12		173		51		2	200	17.30
†H. D. King	. 14		235		52		0		16.78
P. Ainscough	. 9		103		26		ĭ		12.88
J. M. Lind	. 7		90		33		0		12.87
N. J. Smith	. 3		28	***	24		0		9.33

AMPLEFORTH COLLEGE BOWLING.

N. J. Smith	Overs 61	 Maidens 15	Runs 200	W	ickets	Average 13.33
J. R. Bean	194.2	 2.7	 683	• •	51	13.39
E. C. Ruddin	144.2	 31	428			 14.75

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BATTING,

TT D D D	Innings			Runs	Highest inns.		Not outs		Average	
H. P. Powell		11		313	 102*		1		31.30	
L. B. Baker		10		301	 77		0		30.10	
G. N. Mullins †D. H. Price		11		175	 41*		3		21.87	
E. R. Mason		11		204	 44		0		18.54	
P. C. Manwaring		11		204 136	 51		0		18.54	
A. R. Merchant .				73	 59		0		12.36	
11. 10. Morellane .		11		10	 28*		b		12.16	

ARDINGLY COLLEGE BOWLING.

Total	Overs		Maidens		Runs	W	ickets	Average
J. Jardine	160	٠.	51	٠.	440		19	 23.16
E. L. Mulkern H. P. Powell	60	٠.	3		345		13	 26.54
E. R. Mason	101	٠.	19	٠.	603		22	 27.41
13. IV. Mason	104	• •	14		397		12	 33.08

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

W G G	Innings	Runs	Hi	ghestin	ns N	ot out	s	Average
W. G. Spencer	. 16	 339		77		0		21.18
†T. C. Barber	. 15	 218		38		1		15.57
J. N. Hooker		 201		53		Ô		15.46
R. V. Wingham		 107		20		6	• •	15.28
R. E. Raby	. 14	 196		45		0	•	
E. Saunders	. 15	 148		49		1	٠.	14.00
F. E. Warner	. 14	 103		37		1		10.57
J. P. Saunders	. 12					Z		8.58
o. z. saunders	. 12	 91	•	18		1		8.27

BANCROFT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

R. Gutridge	Overs		Maidens	Runs	-	Wicke	$^{\mathrm{ts}}$	Average
			14	 227		15		15.13
E. Saunders	 194		38	 647		41		15.78
R. E. Raby	 204		34	 705		41	9	17.19
T. C. Barber	 129	• •	26	 482		21		22.95

BEAUMONT	COLLEGE	BATTING.
----------	---------	----------

	Innings		Runs	Highestinns.			Not outs		Average	
H. C. Hewett				284		72		2		21.84
†A. F. Finlow				255		94				21.25
O. R. Ransom				247		78		0		17.64
D. L. Leahy .				212		44		0		16.30
A. B. Burden				170		68		2		15.45
G. L. Burleigh		.13		136	٠.	62		4		15.11
W. Devas		10		82		32		3		11.71
S. R. John				89		15		1		11.12
M. Ferrers-Guy				151		32		0		10.06

BEAUMONT COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Overs		Maidens		Wicke	ts	Average	
H. C. Hewett	283.1		49		919	 68		13.51	
A. C. King			19		504	 33		15.27	
G. L. Burleigh			26		463	 27		17.14	
A. B. Burden			7		135	 7		19.28	

BEDFORD SCHOOL BATTING.

D11.		TOP								
Iı	nin	gs	Runs	tuns Highestinns. Nots outs						
†J. G. Cook	14		615		156		2		51.25	
D. B. Sinclair	10		284		62		3		40.57	
R. W. R. Hopkinson	15		550		91		0		36.66	
D. R. Gulland	8		246		81		1		35.14	
E. A. Rumboll	9		210		86		2	٠.	30.00	
R. G. Wise	16		337		73		2		24.07	
D. S. Gideon	.13		204		45		2		18.54	
G. M. Moll	14		233		96		0		16.64	
H. D. N. ffinch	6		39		23		3		13.00	
W. E. Suter	12		133		32		1	٠.	12.09	
G. J. H. Forbes	. 9		53		18		3		8.83	

BEDFORD SCHOOL BOWLING.

			Overs	Maidens	Runs	7	Wicket	S	Average
J.	G.	Cook	237	 38	 658		40		16.45
E.	A.	Rumboll	74	 7	 256		12		21.33
D.	B.	Sinclair	116	 20	 377		17		22.17
G.	J.	H. Forbes	84	 8	 377		16		23.56
R.	G.	Wise	210	 24	 700		26		26.92

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BATTING.

I	Innings					Runs Highestinns. Not out:					
H. A. Southgate	12		468		104		2		46.80		
P. W. Scrivener	12		182		39*		4		22.75		
M. E. Kingdom	11		205		69		0		18.63		
T. Markham			192		111		0		17.45		
C. B. Bailey	9		122		38		1		15.25		
†W. L. Davis	10		136		37		0		13.60		
N. T. Pryor	9		78		15*		3		13.00		

BEDFORD MODERN SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vicket	3 1	Average
C. B. Bailey	. 164	30	 499		31		16.09
P. W. Scrivener		34	 318		18		17.66
M. E. Kingdom		7	 192		7		27.42
W. L. Davis		6	 157		5		31.40

Public	School	Averages.

BELVEDERE COLLEGE, DUBLIN, BATTING.

	Innin		Runs	H	ighest ir	ns.	Not out	ts	Average	
E. Ingram	18		1008		187		3		67.20	
S. G. Murphy	17		603		178		1		37.68	
G. Morgan			461		73*	٠.	6		35.46	
J. Brophy	15		315		91		5		31.50	
†J. Boucher	17		380		122		0	٠.	22.35	
F. Byrne			47		27*				11.75	
D. Doran		• •	91		35		1	٠.	10.11	
D. O'Connor P. Mannion		• •	81		27		3	٠.		
r. maninon	0	٠.	56		16		1		8.00	

BELVEDERE COLLEGE, DUBLIN, BOWLING.

	-	Overs	Maidens		Runs	V	Vickets	A	verage
	Dunne		 24		F 77		22		3.50
	Boucher		 77		255		63		4.01
	Ingram		 63	٠.	384		85		4.51
P.	Mannion	61	 15		162		12		13.50

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs	Average
†G. A. Edwards14 391 75 2	32.58
P. R. Stephenson 12 380 77 0	31.66
I. E. C. Roberts 9 115 84 4	23,00
A. I. Cameron 12 274 62 0	22.83
F. A. S. Caldwell 14 204 45* 4	20.40
E. C. Griffith 12 196 65 2	19.60
E. J. Giraud 11 148 45 0	13.45
H. K. Hagon 14 176 38 0	12.57
H. G. Maule 11 79 18 2	8.77

BERKHAMSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
A. S. Taylor		28	 427		33	 12.93
I. E. C. Roberts		59	 882		48	 18.37
A. L. Wildig	234	 31	 863		37	 23.32

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	3	Runs	High	hestinns.	N	ot outs		Average
R. G. Ireland			500		89		0		35.71
G. P. V. Sanders			202		65		1		18.36
J. L. Taylor	13		201		50		2		18.27
K. G. Harvey			193		41		1		17.54
F. M. L. Parker			178		46*		2		14.83
K. R. Farquhar			130		60		0		13.00
C. E. Moore	12	٠.	132		50		0	٠.	11.00

BLUNDELL'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	W	ickets		Average
J. L. Taylor		 13	 573		33		17.36
R. G. Talbot	. 132	 24	 464		23		20.17
C. E. Moore	. 116	 20	 466		22	1.0	21.18
D. J. Nayler	. 86	 10	 345		15		23.00
D. H. D. Courtenay	101	 15	 322		10		32.20

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	zs	Runs	H	ighestin	S	Average		
F. C. Jarchow	. 12		575		116*		4		71.87
R. J. B. Phillips			337		102*		2		37.44
C. R. Maxwell			332		86		1		30.18
J. A. Newth			29		19*		5		29.00
W. G. C. Cashford			258		92		1		25.80
R. N. Jones			228		90		1		22.80
T. S. Levett			167		35		- 2		18.55
J. D. Ronald			94		28		1		10.44
A. Green			49		22		2		9.80
I. D. Macdonald			55		35	2.2	0		9.16
V. P. Harvey			55		34	• •	1		9.16

BRIGHTON COLLEGE BOWLING.

			Overs	Maidens	Runs	M	lickets	Average
T.	S.	Levett	231.4	 62	 610		39	 15.64
		reen		12	 586		37	 15.83
		Newth		36	 338		21	 16.09
		Macdonald		11	 286		10	28.60

BROWSGROVE SCHOOL BATTING.

	I	Innings		Runs	Highestinns. Not outs					Average
	J. B. Bussell	8		139		43		6		69.50
-	H. D. Bailey	13		288		107*		1		24.00
	R. L. Punnett			276		49*		2		23.00
	R. N. Padfield	9		91		32*		5		22.75
	C. F. Williams	7		135		33	·	1		22.50
	W. D. R. Kay	15		240		46		2		18.46
	R. F. Barnes	14		198		64		1		15.23
	R. R. D. Hemingway			241		63		. 0		14.17
	J. A. Hollingworth .			215		76		0		11.94
	D. Goodrick-Clarke .	18		163		26		0		9.05

BROMSGROVE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
R. N. Padfield	124		17		390		23	٠.	16.95
D. Goodrick-Clarke .	142		15		421		24	٠.	17.54
J. A. Hollingworth .			16		494		27	٠.	18.29
J. B. Russell		6	30		665		29	٠.	22.93
R. L. Punnett	104		17	1010	329	• •	13		25.30

CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	E	lighest in	ns. N	ot out	8	Average
G. W. McKeever	6		153		62*		3		51.00
tW. H. Barnett	14		383		67*		2		31.91
R. B. Rainsford	15		319		73		1		22.78
W. M. Stewart	5		57		23		2		19.00
S. R. Sinclair	14		263		58		0		18.77
W. C. Robinson	13		216		56		0		16.61
J. A. Robinson	8		78		33		2		13.00
D. B. McClure	5		51		25	4.14	1		12.75
K. G. Donald	7		63		25*		2		12.60
T. H. Downes	7		47		25*		3		11.75
S. A. Fetherston	9		104		43		0		11.55
H. Harkness	7		46		19*	• • •	2		9.20

Public	School	Averages.	
--------	--------	-----------	--

1 word School Hoerages.	Public School Averages. 625
CAMPBELL COLLEGE, BELFAST, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average H. Harkness . 177 . 55 . 451 . 42 . 10.73 T. H. Downes 91 . 21 . 268 . 23 . 11.21 J. A. Robinson . 100 . 21 . 303 . 25 . 12.12 W. C. Robinson . 112 . 33 . 333 . 27 . 12.33 CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average	CHIGWELL SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average 102.5 18 323 35 9.22 A. B. Lavers 92.4 22 247 26 9.50 H. Craig 30.4 3 119 10 11.90 H. M. Leiper 56.3 1 190 12 15.83 E. A. Shillito 109 17 358 18 19.88
G. B. Eccles . 12 539 108 1 49.00 A. J. Wreford-Brown 10 334 105* 3 47.71 C. Middleton . 12 392 99 1 35.63 F. R. S. Jeavons 11 325 100* 1 32.50 I. T. McGaw 13 342 63 1 28.50 G. L. Hartley 10 266 79 0 26.60 P. M. Dyson 4 76 47 1 25.33 †J. W. R. Hunt 10 145 53* 2 18.12	CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average
CHARTERHOUSE SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average	K. N. Marshall 7 53 30 3 13.25 †P. E. Letts 13 161 67 0 12.46
P. M. Dyson 135 29 393 23 17.08 J. S. Greening 190.3 22 644 34 18.94	CHRIST'S HOSPITAL SCHOOL BOWLING.
G. L. Hartley 52 7 146 6 24.33 J. W. R. Hunt 71.3 13 234 8 29.25 C. Middleton 114.3 24 352 11 32.00 F. R. S. Jeavons 113.5 10 409 10 40.90	Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average D.C.Fleming-Williams 106.5 24 327 24 13.62 G. C. Done 22 1 117 8 14.62 P. E. Letts 156.4 33 469 32 14.65 G. Richardson 96.3 13 364 24 15.16
CHELTENHAM COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average J. M. Bryce-Smith 13 363 115 0 27.92 I. N. Brettell 13 289 59 2 26.27 R. A. Graham-Smith 14 345 126 0 24.64 J. S. W. Meikle 13 311 67 0 23.92 D. A. C. Page 14 330 82 0 23.57 S. M. Ekin 13 268 65 1 22.33 R. H. Taite 13 204 65 3 20.40 J. K. L. Morton 9 167 83 0 18.55 T. D. Mitchell 11 140 57 1 14.00 T. A. Higson 14 146 49 1 11.23	G. Richardson 96.3 13 364 24 15.16 W. G. H. Weeks 77 11 268 10 26.80 A. J. Webley 90 19 407 12 33.91 CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average N. L. Walker 11 315 59 1 31.50 A. C. Austin 10 112 51* 1 12.44 †G. H. Courtney 10 110 30* 1 12.22 L. A. Cardy. 8 33 19* 5 11.00 W. H. Ollis 10 73 27 2 9.12
F. W. Neate	CITY OF LONDON SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average N. L. Walker 131.2 21 425 28 15.17 C. J. O'Brien 35.3 4 178 11 16.18 L. A. Cardy 28 1 152 8 19.00
S. M. Ekin . 160.3 30 504 34 14.82 F. W. Neate 139 22 492 23 21.39 T. A. Higson 139 19 432 20 21.60 R. H. Taite 194.4 21 652 28 23.28 J. K. L. Morton 76 10 286 8 35.75	G. H. Courtney 158.1 32 407 21 19.38 CLIFTON COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average J. T. Knight 13 397 120* 3 39.70
CHIGWELL SCHOOL BATTING. T. H. Addison Innings 15 363 82 1 25.92 A. B. Lavers 15 219 53 1 15.64 G. C. Brown 11 119 37* 3 14.87 H. M. Leiper 7 64 29 0 91.4 J. C. Olley 14 117 36 0 8.35 D. J. Emery 12 84 30 0 7.00 †H. R. Smythe 15 82 16 1 5.85	P. W. Keun 14 288 58* 5 32.00 J. T. Nuttall 17 402 85 3 28.71 K. C. Kinnersley 5 100 44 1 25.00 †R. W. Owen 16 374 79* 1 24.92 B. O. Allen 14 308 86 1 23.69 G. C. Tovey 16 306 82 1 20.40 G. S. Darlington 9 126 34 2 18.00 J. G. Harrison 11 116 24 2 12.88 G. R. Whitehead 7 55 38 2 11.00 R. E. Bonham-Carter 9 58 25* 1 7.25

626 Public School Averages.	
CLIFTON COLLEGE BOWLING.	
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets	Average
G. S. Darlington 61.1 11 214 14	15.28
J. G. Harrison 330.3 111 773 46 G. R. Whitehead 205.1 45 623 35	16.80 17.80
G. R. Whitehead 205.1 45 623 35 P. W. Keun 261.5 61 633 34	18.61
1. W. Modil 2010 01 02	10.01
OD ANT EIGH COHOOT DAMPING	
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BATTING.	Annual Control Control
	Average
W. J. Hacking 6 230 126 0 R. H. Attwell 9 223 67 0	
R. J. G. Durrant 10 244 59 0	
R. A. Crook 7 134 50 0	
tJ. E. Francis 10 188 40 0	18.80
A. J. Goodall 10 152 23 1 J. S. Morrison-Jones 8 95 43 0	16.88
J. S. Morrison-Jones 8 95 43 0	
H. N. Poole 10 102 24 0 J. W. Lee 11 70 14 1	$\frac{10.20}{7.00}$
J. W. Lee 11 70 14 1	7.00
AD AND THESE CONTROLS DOWN THE	
CRANLEIGH SCHOOL BOWLING.	
W. J. Hacking 37 7 144 11	Average
7 77 77 1 700	13.09 20.63
J. E. Francis 133 24 454 22 R. H. Attwell 151.1 24 449 20	22.45
J. W. Lee 76 13 252 11	22.90
J. W. Lee 76 13 252 11 A. J. Goodall 123.3 17 433 12	36.08
DENSTONE COLLEGE BATTING.	
Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs	Average
J. S. Standerwick 13 354 93 2	
H. K. Mills 14 287 51 3	26.09
P. Fernie 12 155 40 2	15.50
J. G. Garman 15 190 46* 2	
A. Carter 10 88 34* 3 B. S. Smith 16 128 19* 3	12.57 9.84
D. H. Watkins 14 103 20* 2	8.58
	0.00
DENSTONE COLLEGE BOWLING.	
	Average
B. S. Smith 90.5 19 230 18 H. K. Mills 117 24 376 22	
H. K. Mills 117 24 376 22	
A. Carter 144 25 464 21	22.09
A. Carter 144 25 464 21 D. S. Richardson 41 1 158 7	22.09 22.57
A. Carter 144 25 464 21	22.09
A. Carter	22.09 22.57
A. Carter	22.09 22.57 24.22
A. Carter	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average
A. Carter	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58
A. Carter	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20
A. Carter 144 25 464 21 D. S. Richardson 41 1 158 7 A. Temple 37 4 218 9 DOVER COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs W. G. Low 13 439 89 1 S. J. Moore 17 483 103* 2 R. Sneddon 11 131 35* 4	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20 18.71
A. Carter	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20 18.71
A. Carter 144 25 464 21 D. S. Richardson 41 1 158 7 A. Temple 37 4 218 9 DOVER COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20 18.71 18.18 18.14 15.20
A. Carter 144 25 464 21 D. S. Richardson 41 1 158 7 A. Temple 37 4 218 9 DOVER COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs W. G. Low 13 439 89 1 S. J. Moore 17 483 103* 2 R. Sneddon 11 131 35* 4 J. C. Fry 18 291 76* 2 R. A. Spear 15 254 47 1 R. H. L. Drew 13 152 64 3 C. A. H. Collier 11 119 36 3	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20 18.71 18.18 18.14 15.20 14.87
A. Carter 144 25 464 21 D. S. Richardson 41 1 158 7 A. Temple 37 4 218 9 DOVER COLLEGE BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs W. G. Low 13 439 89 1 S. J. Moore 17 483 103* 2 R. Sneddon 11 131 35* 4 J. C. Fry 18 291 76* 2 R. A. Spear 15 254 47 1 R. H. L. Drew 13 152 64 3	22.09 22.57 24.22 Average 36.58 32.20 18.71 18.18 18.14 15.20

	100	DOVE	R C	OLLEG	E I	BOWLI	NG.			
		Over		Maiden		Runs		Wicke	ets	Average
C. A	. H. Collier	172.8		38		522		44		00
	neddon			21		262	120	18		
K. I	. Napper	230.3		46		700		46		
R. I	I. L. Drew	43.1		9		168		7		
W. (4. Low	62.2		7		254		9		
	T	OWNS	TDE	SCHO	TOC	BATT	TNC			
	L	Inning	TIVI:	Runs		Highesti			4	A
B. P	. Smyth-Pigot	14		331		100*	шь. 1	3		Average 30.09
	. Allan			398	- ::	71	• • •	1		
A. P	. O'Connor	17		370		83*		î		
H. J	. Radcliffe	16		238		52*		3		18.30
R. J	. Evans	14		179		72*		4		17.90
E. D	. O'Connor	8		86		38		1		12.28
	. Butterfield .			109		48		1		
H. V	7. Hibbert	13		122		29		2		11.09
R. G	. Molesworth.	10		81		20		1		9.00
	De	OWNS	IDE	SCHO	OL	BOWL	ING			
		Overs		Maiden		Runs		Vicket	a	Average
F. W	. Day			37		519	'	31		
H. J	. Radcliffe	. 114		19		353	- ::	20		17.65
A. P	O'Connor	59		7		230		12		
R. J.	Evans	132		18		465		24		19.37
	440						-			
	D	ULWI	CH	COLLE	GE	BATT	ING.			
		Inning	S	Runs	I	lighest in	nns. N	ot ou	ts	Average
	. Wilcox			1025		187		0		64.06
F. K	ing	. 13		406		107		5		50.75
D. E	Smith	. 15		469		91		0		31.26
G. R	. M. Reynolds	. 12	• •	195		46*		4		24.37
р. н	. Frankford	. 15		328	٠.	84		1	٠.	23.42
S. B.	Sellick	. 9		151		36		2		21.57
	F. Doulton .			168		61		3		18.66
п. Т	Bartlett	. 11		157	٠.	28		2		17.44
T A	W. Simmons	. 10	• •	134		61		2	٠.	16.75
П. А.	w. Simmons	. 9	٠.	71	٠.	19*		1	٠,	8.87
	DU	JLWIC	H	COLLE	GE	BOWL	ING.			
		Overs		Maidens	3	Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
F. Ki	ing	. 196.2		39		481		31		15.51
L. A.	W. Simmons V. Knight	. 214.4		42		626		29		21.58
G. G.	V. Knight	. 178.2	* *	23		521		24		
D. K	. Wilcox	. 201.3	• •	18		776		28		27.71
	DI	INSTA	BLE	SCHO	OOL	BATT	ING			
		Inning	S	Runs		Highest			its	Average
†G. S.	Walker	. 12		523		121*		3		
	Pratt	. 12		147		55*		2		14.70
R. S.	Clark	. 9		65		27		3		10.83
N. L.	Butt	. 12		127		30		Ö		10.58
	77.77	NTO(III 1 3	DT T	CONTRA	O.T.	70.0				
	DU	NSTAL	RLE	SCHO	OL	BOWL				
0 0	XXX 11	Overs		Maidens	}	Runs	1	Vicket	8 .	Average
G. S.	Walker	. 144.1		44		265		47		5.63
D G	Hipperson	. 138.1		39	• •	268		36		7.44
It. S.	Clark	. 80	• •	8	• •	287		20	• •	14.35

	Public	School	Averages.
--	--------	--------	-----------

	1 acres senos	0			
DIID	TTAM COTTO	OT DAMMI	NT.CI		
	HAM SCHO				
	ings Run	0			Average
	1 359	77	0		32.63
	9 250	65	(27.77
W. T. Anderson 1	1 238	63	(21.63
R. W. Paxton 1	1 164 1 146 9 118	50*	8		20.50
C. H. A. Howe 1	1 146	51	1		14.60
W. H. Rawlings	9 118	32			13.11
	9 90	36*	2		12.85
	0 109	32			10.90
A. Bannister	9 54	17*		3	9.00
DURI	HAM SCHOO	DL BOWLI	NG.		
. 07	vers Maiden	s Runs	Wick	ets	Average
A. Shawyer 8	6 10	333	17		19.58
R. W. Paxton 10	2.1 25	315	16		19.68
	6 15	302	18		20.13
	4 11	264	(29.33
		201		• • •	20.00
TARTE	OTIDNE GO	TECH DA	TOTAL		
	OURNE CO				
	ings Run		nns. Not		Average
B. W. Malcolm 1	2 590	440	12.500	٠.	73.75
	1 444	116]		44.40
	1 198	68*	2		22.00
J. D. Cooper 1	1 210	47	1		21.00
	4 239	109*	1		18.38
J. R. McLean	1 13	15			18.25
E. I. Davis	7 51	20	4		17.00
E. Huelin 1	4 218	72			
E. Huelin 1					16.76 8.64
E. Huelin 1	4 218	72	1		16.76
E. Huelin	4 218 4 121	72 34	(16.76
E. Huelin	URNE COL	.: 72 34 LEGE BOW	LING.	· ::	16.76 8.64
E. Huelin	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI	72 34 LEGE BOW	LING.	kets	16.76 8.64 Average
E. Huelin	URNE COLI	72 34 LEGE BOWns Runs 330	LING. Wic.	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484	LING. Wie	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI vers Maide 3 10 77 22 8 23	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681	LING. Wie	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO OV D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7	URNE COLI vers Maide 3 . 10 77 . 22 8 . 23 16 . 6	72 34 LEGE BOWns Runs 330 484 681 318	TLING. Wice 21 . 26 . 31	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO OV D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI vers Maide 3 10 77 22 8 23	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681	LING. Wie	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL vers Maide 3 10 77 22 88 23 6 6 5 5	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362	Vic. 21 26 31 11 10	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT	LING. Wice 21 26 31 11 10 TTING.	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB	4	72 34 LEGE BOWns Runs 30 484 681 318 362 DEMY BA7	Vic. 20 20 31 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Inn P. J. Oliphant 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL rers Maide 3 10 77 22 8 23 8 23 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Rum 8 648	72 34 LEGE BOWns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT 123*	TLING. Wice 20 31 10 TTING. nns. Not ce	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO OV D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1n †K. W. Marshall 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI errs Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Runs 8 648 8 585	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs . 330 . 484 . 681 . 318 . 362 DEMY BAT I L23** . 104	VLING. Wice 27 26 31 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB EDINB Inn P. J. Oliphant 1 K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL vers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA lings Run 8 648 8 585 6 437	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 318 362 DEMY BA7 Highesti 104 101	TLING. Wice 21 26 31 11 10 TTING. nns. Not of	kets	16.76 8.64 Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO OV D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI ers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Rum 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT 5 Highesti 123* 104 101 91	TLING. Wice 21 26 31 11 10 TTING. nns. Not of	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 23.23
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO OV D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI ers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Rum 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT 6 Highesti 104 101 91 107*	TLING. Wice 21: 26: 31: 11: 10: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 1	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 28.11 36.56 29.13 19.28
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 C. Russell 1 D. B. Black 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAD 5 Highesti 123* 104 101 91 107*	/LING. Wice 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1 † K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT 6 Highesti 104 101 91 107*	TLING. Wice 21: 26: 31: 11: 10: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 1	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 28.11 36.56 29.13 19.28
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 †C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1 R. W. Stevenson 1	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT Highesti 104 101 107* 31 32*	LING. Wice 21 22 33 31 11 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Inn P. J. Oliphant 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1 R. W. Stevenson	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 318 362 DEMY BAT 104 101 91 107* 31 32* DEMY BOW	TING. Wice 21 22 33 31 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Inn P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1 R. W. Stevenson EDINBU EDINBU	4 218 4 121 URNE COLI rers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Rum 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302 5 270 6 213 7 80 URGH ACAI Ings Rum 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAD 68 Highesti 104 101 107 31 32* DEMY BOV ns Runs	VLING. Victorial Control Cont	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38 16.00
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Inn P. J. Oliphant 1 †K. W. Marshall 1 C. S. Russell 1 F. Ranken 1 D. B. Black 1 W. M. Clunie 1 R. W. Stevenson EDINBU EDINBU	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL rers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA lings Rum 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302 5 270 6 213 7 80 URGH ACAI rers Maide	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 318 362 DEMY BAT 104 101 91 107* 31 32* DEMY BOW	/LING. Wice 21 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 26.56 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38 16.00
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 99 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Long 1 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL rers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Runn 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302 5 270 6 213 7 80 URGH ACAI rers Maide 1.2 17 7.4 9	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAD 6 Highesti 101 91 107* 31 32* DEMY BOV ns Runs	VLING. Victorial Control Cont	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 29.13 23.23 19.28 16.38 16.00
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 99 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Long 1 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL rers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Runn 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302 5 270 6 213 7 80 URGH ACAI rers Maide 1.2 17 7.4 9	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 318 362 DEMY BAD 5 Highesti 101 91 107* 31 32* DEMY BOV ns Runs 332 571	CING. Wice 21 23 33 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 29.13 23.23 16.38 16.00 Average 19.52
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov. D. H. Evans 99 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 P. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB Long 1 1	4 218 4 121 URNE COLL rers Maide 3 10 7 22 8 23 6 6 5 5 URGH ACA ings Runn 8 648 8 585 6 437 4 302 5 270 6 213 7 80 URGH ACAI rers Maide 1.2 17 7.4 9	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 362 DEMY BAT 101 101 107* 31 318 32* DEMY BOV ns Runs 32*	TING. Wice 21 22 33 111 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	Average 18.63 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 19.28 16.38 16.00 Average 19.52 20.14 20.96
E. Huelin 1 R. B. Clough 1 EASTBO Ov D. H. Evans 9 B. W. Malcolm 15 E. I. Davis 18 F. F. J. Duncanson 7 E. Huelin 8 EDINB P. J. Oliphant 17 K. W. Marshall 17 C. S. Russell 17 F. Ranken 11 D. B. Black 11 W. M. Clunie 17 R. W. Stevenson 11 R. W. Stevenson 11 C. F. Ranken 9 F. Ranken 9	4	72 34 LEGE BOW ns Runs 330 484 681 318 318 362 DEMY BAD 5 Highesti 101 91 107* 31 32* DEMY BOV ns Runs 332 571	CING. Wice 21 23 33 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	kets	Average 15.71 18.61 21.96 28.90 36.20 Average 38.11 36.56 29.13 19.28 16.38 16.00 Average 19.52 20.14

EM	MANT	IEI.	SCHO	OT.	BATT	ING			
	Inning		Runs		Highest			~	Avronoma
ts. W. Stephens	. 15	,,,	280		64		0	S	Average 18.66
†S. W. Stephens E. N. Pinkham	. 15		246		81	11	0		
T. Patten	. 14		209		48		ĭ		
F. L. Abbott	. 15		229		99		0		22.22
K. R. Needham	. 13		146		25		2		13.27
L. Shaffi	. 12		88		24		0		
-		111111	-	-		_			
EM	MAN	UEL	SCHO	OL	BOW	LING	₹.		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
J. W. King	195		40		615		48		12.81
L. Shaffi	57		11		185		14		13.21
F. H. Capron			15		252		19		13.26
M. Campbell	166	٠.	32	٠.	534		36	٠.	14.83
	Daos		X 7 77 0						
			LLEG.						
†J. D. W. H.	Inning	S	Runs	ŀ	lighesti	nns. N	vot out	ts	Average
Pettigrew	. 17		803	2.0	186*		2		53.53
C. S. Taylor	. 17		565		108		3		10 0 0
A. D. Hodges	. 15		398		76		0		
J. G. Younghusbane	1 15		308		48*		3		
R. S. F. Schilling.	. 10		145		30		4		
A. F. Hunton	. 16		359		52		Ô		
D. H. Holmes			196		43*		1		17.81
G. S. Bentley	7		89		45*				
J. D. Pennington .	. 10		90		31		1		10.00
	DOOT		T T T 0/2						
E			LLEGI		OWLI				
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Vickets		Average
D. H. Holmes	Overs 67		Maidens 12		Runs 232		19		12.21
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley	Overs 67 232		Maidens 12 25		Runs 232 686	V	19 46		12.21 14.69
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling	Overs 67 232 133	::	Maidens 12 25 19		Runs 232 686 345	V	19 46 22	::	12.21 14.69 15.68
D. H. Holmes	Overs 67 232 133 1208		Maidens 12 25 19 39		Runs 232 686 345 571	V	19 46 22 36	::	12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusband	Overs 67 232 133	::	Maidens 12 25 19		Runs 232 686 345	V	19 46 22	::	12.21 14.69 15.68
D. H. Holmes	Overs 67 232 133 208 173	::	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23		Runs 232 686 345 571 523	 	19 46 22 36	::	12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusbanc R. H. Bentley	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETO	N C	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG	 E E	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI	V NG.	19 46 22 36 25	::	12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling . J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI	N C	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs	:: :: E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 BATTI	NG.	19 46 22 36 25		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusbane R. H. Bentley	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI	N C	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411	:: :: E	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 BATTI Iighestin 86	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 ot outs		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusbanc R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Innings 12 11	N C	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 BATTII Iighestin 86 88	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 ot outs 4 1		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley B. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning 12 11	N Cos	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 BATTII (ighest in 86 88 67	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley B. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning 12 11	N Co	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400	E F B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI (ighest in 86 88 67 109	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling, J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny,	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETO Inning 12 11 7 12 9	N Cos	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI Iighestii 86 88 67 109 65	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 7 ot outs 4 1 1 1 0		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning 12 11 7 12 9 13	N Ca	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII lighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1		12,21 14,69 15,68 15,86 20,92 Average 51,37 40,60 37,33 36,36 33,22 31,16
A. R. C. Southby A. R. C. Southby A. R. Hazlerig J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny A. W. Allen A. M. Baselein	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning: 12 11 7 12 9 13 6 5	N Cos	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI lighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86 67	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 7 ot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 38.22 31.16 26.40
A. R. C. Southby A. R. C. Southby A. R. Hazlerig J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny A. W. Allen A. M. Baselein	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning: 12 11 7 12 9 13 6 5	N Ca	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374	E H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII lighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 38.22 31.16 26.40 24.33
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley B. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 232 208 173 ETOI Inning 12 11 7 12 9 13 6 5 8	N Cos	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132 73 83	E I B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI Iighestii 86 88 67 109 65 67 34 21	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1 1 2		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley B. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 232 133 208 173 ETOI Inning 12 11 7 13 6 5 8	N C:	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 112 73 83	E I B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 BATTII Iighestii 86 87 109 65 86 67 34 21	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1 1 2		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 38.22 31.16 26.40 24.33
A. R. C. Southby A. R. G. Hazlerig J. C. Vatinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOJ Inning 12 11 7 7 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs	N C:	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132 73 83 LLEGE Maidens	E I B	Runs 232 686 686 571 523 3ATTI (ighest in 86 67 109 65 86 67 109 421 0WLIN Runs	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 0 1 1 2		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 133 208 173 ETOJ Innings 12 11 7 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs 230	N Co	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 OLLEG Runs 411 406 406 406 409 374 132 73 83 CLEGE Maidens 48	E I B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTI (ighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86 67 34 21 0WLIN Runs 593	NG.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 2 2 2 Vickets 44		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 38.22 31.16 26.40 24.33
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 232 133 1 208 173 173 17 17 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs 230 183	N Co	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132 73 83 LLEGE Maidens 48 54	E H H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII tighest in 86 88 67 109 65 86 67 34 21 0WLIN Runs 593 462	NG. ans. N	19 46 22 36 25 7 ot outs 4 1 1 1 2 2 2		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby †A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks	Overs 67 232 232 133 1 208 173 173 17 17 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs 230 183	N CO	Maidens 12 25 19 23 23 23 24 112 406 224 400 299 874 132 73 88 54 66	E H H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII Gighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86 67 34 21 34 62 180 86 86 87 34 86 86 87 34 86 86 87 34 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	NG. nns. N	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 0 1 1 2 2 7ickets 44 25 7		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83 Average 13.47 18.48 25.71
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks J. H. Nevinson B. M. F. Franks E. W. S. Ford R. H. Jelf	Overs 67 232 232 133 1 208 273 12 208 173 12 11 7 12 9 13 6 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	N Co	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132 73 83 LLEGE Maidens 48 54 6 21	E F B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII lighestin 86 87 109 65 86 67 109 65 86 67 21 0WLIN Runs 593 462 189 292	VV.	19 46 22 36 25 of outs 4 1 1 0 1 1 2 2 Vickets 44 25 7 14		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83 Average 13.47 18.48 25.71 28.00
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks J. H. Nevinson B. M. F. Franks E. W. S. Ford R. H. Jelf Lord Dalmeny	Overs 67 232 133 1208 173 ETOJ Inning 12 11 1 7 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs 230 183 46 119 179	COL	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 400 299 374 132 73 83 LLEGE Maidens 48 54 6 21 42	E F H	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII (ighestin 86 88 67 109 65 86 67 34 21 0WLIN Runs 593 462 180 392 520	NG. Nns. N	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 0 1 1 2 2 Vickets 44 25 7 14 38		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83 Average 13.47 18.48 25.71 28.00
D. H. Holmes G. S. Bentley R. S. F. Schilling. J. G. Younghusband R. H. Bentley A. R. C. Southby A. G. Hazlerigg J. C. Atkinson-Clark K. F. H. Hale Lord Dalmeny L. Monroe-Hinds A. W. Allen A. M. Baerlein B. M. F. Franks J. H. Nevinson B. M. F. Franks E. W. S. Ford R. H. Jelf	Overs 67 232 133 1208 173 ETOJ Inning 12 11 1 7 12 9 13 6 5 8 ETON Overs 230 183 46 119 179	N Co	Maidens 12 25 19 39 23 DLLEG Runs 411 406 224 400 299 374 132 73 83 LLEGE Maidens 48 54 6 21	E F B	Runs 232 686 345 571 523 3ATTII lighestin 86 87 109 65 86 67 109 65 86 67 21 0WLIN Runs 593 462 189 292	VV.	19 46 22 36 25 Tot outs 4 1 1 1 2 2 Tickets 44 25 7 14 38		12.21 14.69 15.68 15.86 20.92 Average 51.37 40.60 37.33 36.36 33.22 31.16 26.40 24.33 13.83 Average 13.47 18.48 25.71 28.00

Public School Av	erages.	
------------------	---------	--

FELSTED SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hestin	ns. No	ot outs	Average
†A. I. Phelps			427		90			35.58
S. J. Webber			360		58		0	30.00
G. F. Upjohn			242		55		2	24.20
W. J. D. Cooper			127		26		2	21.16
P. H. Skelton			117		33*		1	19.50
A. T. Harrison	. 10		170		37		1	18.88
R. A. Brabner			207		46		0	17.25
C. E. N. Wyatt	. 7		97		46		0	13.85
R. E. C. Powell	. 12		152		95		1	13.81

FELSTED SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens		Runs	W	ickets		Average
P. H. Skelton	136.5	29		423		24		17.62
L. M. Mason	101.1	17		350		16		21.87
C. E. N. Wyatt	62.3	8		289		13		22.23
A. I. Phelps				504		20		25.20
R. A. Brabner	107.2	19	٠.	332		12	٠.	27.66

FETTES COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Hig	hestinn	s.	Not ou	ts	Average
J. F. Jones	18		1000		149		6		83.33
P. J. F. Williamson	16		288		74*		4		24.00
G. Veno	18		399		43	-	0		22.16
	14		237		49		3	٠.	21.54
A. W. Haig			154		52		3		19.25
P. G. Grant			125		39*		3		17.85
†D. M. Marr			207		101		0		17.25
K. G. Anson	10		114		34		3		16.28
A. C. Hogarth			189		64		1		14.53
J. L. P. Reid	10		91		27		2		11.37

FETTES COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets		Average
A. I. S. Macpherson	187	 28	 618	 64		9.65
I. S. Coupar	206	 53	 560	 37		15.13
A. C. Hogarth	68	 17	 194	 9		21.55
D. M. Marr	182	 31	 528	 22	٠.	24.00
J. F. Jones	125	 20	 366	 13		28.15

FOREST SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	B	lighestin	ns. N	ot out	S	Average
J. N. Dennis	. 14		424		80		0		30.28
H. C. J. Belle			368		106		0		26.28
E. W. Hudson	. 12		171		67		1		15.54
A. T. Burden	. 14		183		40*		2		15.25
B. H. Belle	. 13		173		32		1		14.41
T. C. A. de Soysa			107		32		2		13.37
H. G. le D. Tree .	. 12		81		31*		5		11.57
D. C. Miller	. 7		44		30*		3		11.00
D. A. Hughes	. 13		117		27		0		9.00
†C. B. Weniger	. 12		95		30		0		7.91

FOREST	SCHOOL	BOWLING.
LOUDDI	DUILLUNIA	DUWILING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. H. Kitson		15	 321	 25	12.84
A. T. Burden		7	 269	 17	15.82
D. C. Miller		10	 276	 15	18.40
C. B. Weniger		27	 597	 30	19.90
S. C. Blumson	53	 16	 141	 7	20.14
T. C. A. de Soysa	62	 5	 216	 9	24.00

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BATTING.

	Innin	gs	Runs	B	lighestir	ns. N	ot out	S	Average
†J. C. B. Bryson			316		102*		1	٠.	39.50
F. G. Jerrey	. 12		172		40*		2		17.20
A. B. Clarke			113		51		0		16.14
J. M. Tomlinson			147		57*		1		13.36
R. C. Dawson			154		44		0		12.83
J. C. Sheldrake			110		34		0	٠.	11.00
E. L. Hardy	. 12		121		74		0		10.83
G. R. Vale	9		79		29		0	٠.	8.77
J. E. A. Belcher	. 11		78	• •	21		0		7.09

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE BOWLING.

-	Overs	Maiden	8	Runs	N	lickets		Average
J. E. A. Belcher		48		435		38		11.44
G. R. Vale	77.2	15		249		18		13.83
A. T. F. Ford		17		348		23		15.13
C. F. Sneath		11		206		13		15.84
G. F. Jerrey	43.4	7		163	• •	10	٠.	16.30

GEORGE HERIOT'S SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innin	Runs	B	lighestin	ns. N	ot out	S	Average
J. M. Kerr		462		103*		3		35.53
†I. S. Melvin		 371		50*		1		24.73
W. J. Kean		 175		35		1		15.90
K. Holland	7	 97		57		0		13.85

GEORGE HERIOT'S SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	M	Caidens	Runs	W	ickets	Average
			63	 340		52	 6.53
R. Stirling			23	 234		26	 9.00
K. Holland			15	 182		16	 11.37
J. M. Kerr			6	 154		13	 11.84
R. B. Rodger	56 .		7	 212		15	 14.13

GIGGLESWICK SCHOOL BATTING.

	nnings	Runs	H	ighestin	ns. No	t outs	Average
†W. A. Law	10	 389		108		1	43.22
M. A. Baird		 243		72*		3	30.37
G. A. C. Jones	13	 241		60*		1	20.08
D. P. Barker	10	 110		52		4	18.33
G. W. Booth	13	 199		56		2	18.09
	11	 160		53	7.9	1	16.00
E. Moore	14	 199		52		1	15.30
	11	 142		34		1	14.20
G. T. Robinson	14	 169		42		1	13.00

ublic	School	Averages.	

GIGGI	ESWICK	SCHOOL	BOWLING

H. W. Fell. E. Moore R. C. Tattersall W. G. R. Turner	$\frac{72}{140}$	•••	Maidens 18 11 23	 Runs 308 177 403	 Wickets 24 13 28 17	Average 12.83 13.61 14.39
w. G. 15. Turner	110		34	 342	 17	20.11

GLASGOW ACADEMY BATTING.

M C C	Inning	S	Runs	$_{\rm H}$	ighest in	ns. N	ot outs	Average
T. Crawford	. 15		342		62		0	 22.80
†E. U. G. Solbé F. Grossman	. 16		256		52		1	 17.06
W. E. C. Taylor	. 10		60		34*		6	 15.00
J. S. Thomson	. 10		183		67		1	 12.20
v. c. rhomson	. 10		103		24		5	 9.36

GLASGOW ACADEMY BOWLING.

T. Crawford	140 0		Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets		Average
G. L. MacDougall	110.2	٠.	51	 268		59		4.54
W. E. C. Taylor	24	٠,	35	 252	٠.	34	٠.	7.41
J. R. Stephen	24		13	 53		6	٠.	8.83
o. ze. scophen	40.0		2	 82		9	٠.	9.11

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BATTING.

T-			~	7000		/	TATE TATE	VI.	
	ning	S	Runs	Hi	ghest in	ns.	Not outs		Average
H. D. B. Lorraine .	17		553		117				
G. R. C. Wotherspoon	17	-					0		32.52
AT IZ ME D			466		106*		2		31.06
†I. K. MacRosty	17		395		80*				
S. F. Kennedy	15						4		26.33
D M D			209		70		2		16.07
D. M. Ross	16		214		37				
C. I. Wotherspoon	16		217				_		14.26
Hon D M Ct T M	10		411		47		0		13.56
Hon. R. M. St. J. M.								-	-0,00
Dundas	17		220		40				4.2
A. B. Bell					42		0		12.94
A. D. Dell	17		171		26*				12.21
P. A. Grégoire	8		70		44*	-			
A. H. Piper							2		11.66
A. II. riper	10		78		19		3		11.14
							0		11.14

GLENALMOND (TRINITY COLLEGE) BOWLING.

A. C. C. Hogarth	Overs	Maidens		Runs	,	Wickets	Average
D. T. D. Hogartin	179.5	 47		487		- 33	14.75
D. M. Ross	130	 13		453		28	16.17
P. A. Grégoire	103	 21		314		17	18.47
I. K. MacRosty	155	 39	2000	447		24	
		00	• •	TTI		44	18.62

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BATTING.

IN IN TO-	Inning	S	Runs	I	lighest in	nns. N	ot outs	Average	
E. N. Evans	17		729		133		0	42.88	
†W. A. R. Sumner	14		513		92*		1	39.46	
J. R. Gillman	8		86		21		<u> </u>	28.66	
A. H. Newsom	15		375		72		1	26.78	
R. W. Bullmore	17		357		123		1		
C. D. Joscelyne	9		163		35		1	22.31	
P. J. Keen	15		255		48	٠.	1	20.37	
A. P. S. Wills	14		159		35*		0	17.00	
F. St. G. Unwin	13		149		39	٠.	ð	14.45	
E. J. Unwin	10	• •	85	• •			0	11.46	
J. A. H. Wolff	14	• •		٠.	43		1	9.44	
· II OIII	7.4		118		36		1 .,	9.07	

HAILEYBURY COLLEGE BOWLING.

A. P. S. Wills	Overs 283.2	 Maidens 61	 Runs 893	W	ickets	Average 21.78
P. J. Keen	44	 9	 282		10	 28.20
J. R. Gillman		13	 310		10	 31.00
E. N. Evans		 17	 494		14	35.28
E. J. Unwin	160	 15	 649		17	 38.11

HARROW SCHOOL BATTING.

	nning	S	Runs	B	lighest i	nns.	Not o	uts	Average
†D. A. M. Rome	12		554		133*		4		
C. P. Watson	5		104		53*		3		52.00
C. G. S. Shuckburgh	14		512		94		1		39.38
N. M. V. Rothschild	13		511		106		0		39.30
C. H. Liddell	6		170		60		1		34.00
A. R. Ramsay	7		165		45*		2		33.00
T. M. Rattigan	12		345		100		0		28.75
A. S. Lawrence			195		52		1		17.72
R. H. Bull	6		33		16*		4		16.50
W. M. Welch			106		62		2		15.14
C. G. Ford	8		33		12*		1		4.71

HARROW SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
C. G. Ford	159.3	 36	 402			13.86
A. R. Ramsay	33	 5	 133		7	 19.00
W. M. Welch	140.2	 38	 388		16	 24.25
A. S. Lawrence	108.2	 25	 331		13	 25.46
N. M. V. Rothschild		15	 514		20	 25.70
D. A. M. Rome	136.5	 24	 466		12	 38.83

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	Runs	H	ghest in:	ns. N	ot out	s	Average
G. M. Line		 119		40*		4		59.50
W. B. Walton		 443		124		1		34.07
W. H. Webster		 434		123		0		31.00
G. H. Adland		 342		63		2		28.50
P. W. Westerby		 261		64		1		21.75
E. J. K. Chapman		 144		43		4		20.57
N. Lock		 254		48		0		18.14
J. D. Watford		 161		33		4		17.88
K. P. Chapman	9	 102		32		2		14.57

HIGHGATE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
E. J. K. Chapman		45	 422	 33	12.78
G. H. Adland	203.1	 22	 692	 39	17.74
W. H. Webster	222.1	 59	 568	 27	21.03
J. D. Watford	89.2	 17	 289	 13	22.23

P_i	iblic Scho	ol Avere	iges.			
KING EDWARD'S	SCHOOL.	BIRM	INGHAI	M RA	TTING	
Inning	s Run	o Die	booting	M, DA		
F. N. Bryan 15 W. K. Wyatt 15 †N. A. M. Plowright 15 F. L. Bland 15 G. Hyde 11 C. Rainbow 6 R. A. Lyttleton 11 A. W. Eustace 10	611	L	$\frac{\text{hest inns.}}{125}$			erage
W. K. Wyatt 15	407	7	05	- 1	40	0.44
†N. A. M. Plowright 15	418	3	84	. 1	29.	59
F. L. Bland 15	348	3	114	2	26	76
G. Hyde 11	174	Į	43*	$\bar{4}$	24	85
C. Rainbow 6	51		27*	2	12	75
A. Lyttleton 11	123		26	. 1	12.	30
A. W. Eustace 10	66		84 114 43* 27* 26 17*	3	9.	42
-						
KING EDWARD'S	TOOTEDS	DIDM	TATOTT AN	f DO:	TTT TTT 0	
KING EDWARD'S S	OH, OOL,	DIKM	NGHAN	1, BO	WLING	ł.
			tuns	Wicket	s Ave	erage
W. K. Wyatt	19	• •	694	59	11.	
N. A. M. Plowright 260 W. K. Wyatt 96 F. N. Bryan 187	14		273 499	22	12.	
	11		499	39	12.	79
				-		
KING'S COLLEGE	SCHOOL	WIMI	REDON	TRAT	PITTING	
		s H	ghest inns	Not o	LILIVO.	2007200
J A Seward 10	105		63	6	34.	rage
E. H. Taylor 14 R. F. Philpot-Brookes 14	361		102	0	25	78
R. F. Philpot-Brookes 14	296		79	0	21	14
P. C. Marca	283		76	0	20.	21
M A Wilkingon	213		43	1	17.	75
S C I. Burford 11	245		48	0	17.	50
D. F. Walker	158		47	2	17.	55
E. H. Taylor 10 R. F. Philpot-Brookes 14 K. A. T. Gant 14 R. G. Mason 13 M. A. Wilkinson 14 S. C. L. Burford 11 D. F. Walker 8 H. Aitken 13	167		35	0	17.0	00
10	107	• •	40	1	13.9	91
KING'S COLLEGE S	CHOOL	WIMB	LEDON	BOM	TIMO	
			une	Wielrote	LILIVO.	
S. C. L. Burford 185	41	4	195	40	Ave: 12.8	rage
E. H. Taylor 189	52	8	24	33	15.8	
S. C. L. Burford . 185 E. H. Taylor 189 G. H. Lang 72	1	8	195 524 802	15	20.1	
WINDS SORT	COOT DI	TTELOTE	-			
KING'S SCH	OUL, BE	RUTON	, BATTI	NG.		
			nestinns.	Not ou	ts Aver	age
P. H. P. Weaver 13 †N. J. Simmonds 15 A. W. Marsden-Levy 15 W. J. Thompson 14	530	1	55*		53.0	0
A. W. Marsden-Levy 15	418					
W. J. Thompson 14	106		50	1	21.7	1
D. Bennett 14	163	*5.*5	45	U	14.0	0
J. B. Frampton 11	137		69* 50 45 41 19 27*	1	12.5	3
J. D. Carter 7	70		19	1	11 6	8
J. E. H. Gait 10	80		27*	3	11.0	0
B Pohingen	56		31	2	8.0	Õ
D. RODINSON 9	00					
A. W. Marsden-Levy 15 W. J. Thompson 14 D. Bennett 14 J. B. Frampton 11 J. D. Carter 7 J. E. H. Gait 10 B. Robinson 9	50					~
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON.	BOWLI	NG.		
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON,	BOWLI			
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON,	BOWLI			ge
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON,	BOWLI		Avera 17.56	ge 0 8
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON,	BOWLI		Avera . 17.56 . 19.66 . 20.9	ge 0 8 6
KING'S SCH	OOL, BR	UTON,	BOWLI		Avera 17.56	ge 0 8 6

77777777	erroo:	Y*:	O A ATTITUTE	TOTO	TIDIT	DAT	DOTAT	7	
KING'S S	CHOO.	L,							
	Innings		Runs	H	ighestin		Notou		Average
R. O. Crouch	9 .	•	209	• •	53 76*		0 3		23.22 20.50
A. H. P. Cardew	40		$\frac{164}{241}$		54		0	11	20.09
A. A. Egerton-Jones	12		192		79		0		16.00
L. S. Jeffery			103		52*		ĭ		12.87
G. R. S. Smith	7		89		43		0		12.71
D. L. Haines	11		113		26		1		11.30
J. P. Wyatt	6 .		43		18*		2		10.75
F. A. P. Wetherall .	9		43		22*		4		8.60
P. C. Williams	11	٠.	78		18		1		7.80
A. E. C. Bredin	11		78		24		0	• •	7.09
	-					-			
KING'S S	CHOO	L.	CANTE	RB	URY.	BOY	WLIN	G.	
	Overs	,	Maidens		Runs		Wicket		Average
A. A. Egerton-Jones			10		216		11		19.63
C. S. Booty			22		480		24		20.00
C. G. A. Paris	142.4		17		597		28		
G. R. S. Smith	55		9		187		8		
D. L. Haines	45		3		189		8		23.62
_									
IZIN	מים מר	YTT	OOT F	T 77	DAT	TIN	C		
		jД,	OOL, E						
	Innings		Runs		ighest in		Not out		Average
†J. G. F. Scarr	16	٠.	430	• •	65		3 2		
J. R. E. Droogleever	15 15	•	277 245		62 45		0		16.33
E. W. Franklin R. Genders			149		250		1		13.54
J. H. Craddock			209		29		0		13.06
H. Bradley-Watson .	9				27		0		10.22
C. Maeers			40		. 21		1	٩	10.00
				4					
TTTAT	ara aa	mr.	OOT TO	T 37	DOW	TTAT	a		
KIN	G'S SO	H,	OOL, E	LY,	ROM				
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wicket	S	Average
F. B. Alderdice			20		474		47	٠.	
E. T. Camps		٠.	17		326		30	٠.	10.86
D. Duke		٠.	35 4		557 191				16.87 19.10
E. W. Franklin	48	٠.	4		191		10		19.10
		-							
KINGSW	OOD 8	SC	HOOL.	BA	TH, B	ATT	ING.		
	Innings		Runs		Highesti			nte	Average
G. R. B. Heap			257		50*	uns.			
A K Tatchell	12		207		50*		3		
A. K. Tatchell P. H. Tatchell	9		137		33		1		17.12
J. C. Currey	9		93		31		0		10.33
J. R. Hodgson	14	٠.	112		30*		3		10.18
J. C. Lawson	12		109		33		1		9.90
KINGGO	TOOD	an	TOOT	TO A	THE	OW	TING		
KINGSV		DC					Wicket		A Tropo co
N H Cullon	Overs 93		Maidens 19		Runs 226		28	5	Average 8.07
N. H. Cullen J. C. Lawson			18		236		26		9.07
J. R. Hodgson	119.4		22		376		25		15.04
o. Iv. Houghon	-+0+1				0.0	200			

2 00000 800000	21 verages.
LANCING COLLE	OF DATEING
Innings Runs	TT: 1
†E. Cawston 16 966	Highestinns. Not outs Average 204* 2 69.00
J. A. Spens 13 200	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J. E. Hall 14 235	83 1 18.07
F. H. Chrestien 16 267	50 1 17.80
P. C. Kimmerling 16 195	32* 5 17.72
F. P. H. Pearse 14 158 J. E. Robins 11 95	\cdots 24 \cdots 0 \cdots 11.28
W. J. S. Fletcher 12 95	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
71. 0. 5. Flotener 12 92	25 0 7.66
TANGING GOTTEG	NE DOTHERS
LANCING COLLEC	
E Corretan	Trenets Hitches
J. E. Hall	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
F. P. H. Pearse 81.4 5	
	489 21 23.28
LEYS SCHOOL	PATTING
Innings Runs	
TF. R. Brown 11 654	Highestinns. Not outs Average 117 1 65,40
A. F. Skinner 11 449	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J B. H. Bisseker 10 322	61* 3 46.00
R.E. Whiston 11 448	138 0 40.79
R. H. Plant	99 1 28.80
E. S. Morris	37* 2 18.16
A. H. Walker 5 72	33 1 18.00
I DIIG COTTOOT	
LEYS SCHOOL	BOWLING.
F. R. Brown	Runs Wickets Average
P M Haalrin coo or	675 60 11.25
	123 8 15.37 467 22 21.22
I H Woles con	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	200 11 20.00
LORETTO SCHOOL	RATTINO
Innings Runs	
†I. M. Waters 18 809	
P. M. S. Gedge 17 406	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
W. S. Waterhouse . 17 345	55 2 23.00
J. M. Luxmoore 17 266	28 2 17.73
M. N. Mitchell	64 0 16.18
	66* 4 15.57
D. P. Davidson 20 248 W. A. Burnet 8 52	41 1 13.05
T T Magazza	16 4 13.00
J. P. KVd 9	33* 3 12.14 18* 4 10.00
J. K. Anderson 10 84	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
01	0.40
LORETTO SCHOOL	L BOWLING
Overs Maidens	Runs Wickets Average
J. T. Massey 127.3 25	359 34 10.55
I. M. Waters 174.4 37	469 33 14.21
M. N. Mitchell 58.2 7	
T M Tarastro	187 12 15.58
J. M. Luxmoore53	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J. M. Luxmoore53 3 P. M. S. Gedge 150.3 23 W. S. Waterbouce	187 12 15.58

				U				
MAL	VERN	COLLEG	GE	BATTI	NG.			
	nings	Runs		ghestinns		t outs	5	Average
G. L. Walker	10	477		133		2		59.62
J. V. Crisp	8	221		60		3		44.20
C. D. A. Pullan	10	360		128		0	٠.	
G. N. Crabtree	10	283		64		2		
R. G. Stainton	10	276		65		0	٠.	
†E. H. Moss	9	$\frac{228}{124}$		125 54*	• •	0		
W. H. L. Lister	10	118	٠.	32		0	• •	14.75
A. C. Bird	8	110		02		U	• •	11110
MAT	MEDN	COLLEC	יקרצ	ROWI I	NG			
	Overs	Maidens		Runs		ickets		Average
W. H. L. Lister	68.1	9		220	44.	19		44 FM
G. N. Crabtree	93.2	7		403		20		20.15
	132.2	19		445		19		23.42
				VIII TO 1 II	-	. ~		
		GH COL				G.		
	nings	Runs		ighestinn		ot ou		Average
J. R. Outram	16	455 233	• •	71 47*		0 2		
P. M. Nelson J. T. H. Comber	11 14	317	• •	46		í		01.00
F. H. Anderson	14 15	334		65		i		00 05
H R. Wynne	11	187		51		3		00 00
H. R. Wynne W. M. Greener	11	249		50		0		22.63
P. H. Bell	12	186		93		3		
H. R. Grace	5	97		63		0		
W. W. Russell	15	263		75		1		
†T. A. D. Hewan	14			43 32*	* *	0 5	٠.	
B. B. Waddy	11	103	•	32*		Ð		17.10
MARLB	OROH	GH COL	LEC	E BOY	VLIN	JG.		
	Overs	Maidens		Runs		Vicket	S	Average
	127	25		422		22		19.18
B. B. Waddy				946		45		21.02
F. H. Anderson	35			139		5		
P. M. Nelson						31		
T. A. D. Hewan	57			291		8		
P. H. Bell	43	. 2	8.6	195	• •	4	٠.	48.75
MERCHA	NT TA	VLORS	SC	HOOL	RAT	TINO	Ţ	
	nnings	Runs		ighestinn				Average
†D. M. Parry	$\frac{11111198}{12}$	496		101	19. 14	2	۵	10 00
J. Huskisson	12			83*	9.0	1		30.00
J. T. Wildash	12	242		48		1		22.00
C. W. Writer A. G. Billing	9	124		46		2		17.71
A. G. Billing	7			29		1		
K. G. Williamson	12 .			74		0		
D. G. Spurling R. P. Wilkins	12	. 96		28*		2		
D. P. WHKIHS		80						0.00
_	9	. 62	••	25*		Δ		
MERCHAN	9				-			
MER O HAN	9 NT TA	YLORS'	SCI	HOOL I	30W		3.	
	9 NT TAN		SCI	HOOL I	30W	LING	3.	Average
J. T. Wildash W. M. J. G. Crocker	9 NT TAN	YLORS' Maiden:	SCI	HOOL I	30W	LING lickets 21 16	Э.	Average 14.71 19.06
J. T. Wildash W. M. J. G. Crocker E. C. Hanson	9 NT TAN Overs 114 93.1 56	YLORS' Maiden: . 36 . 14 . 8	SCI	HOOL I Runs 309 305 203	30W	LING Tickets 21 16 9	Э. з	Average 14.71 19.06 22.55
J. T. Wildash W. M. J. G. Crocker E. C. Hanson K. G. Williamson	9 NT TAN Overs 114 93.1 56 40.3	YLORS' Maidens . 36 . 14 . 8 . 4	SCI	HOOL I Runs 309 305 203 168	BOW	LING Tickets 21 16 9 7	G.	Average 14.71 19.06 22.55 24.00
J. T. Wildash W. M. J. G. Crocker E. C. Hanson	9 NT TAN Overs 114 93.1 56 40.3	YLORS' Maiden: . 36 . 14 . 8 . 4 . 30	SCI	HOOL I Runs 309 305 203	BOW ::	LING Tickets 21 16 9	3. 3	Average 14.71 19.06 22.55 24.00 28.21

038	Public S	chool 2	Averages.			
MEDAILIC	DAD TEORE	TT TO	OTTOOT :	D A TUTTE	TO	
	STON CAS					
C. R. Morison	innings 19		Highestinn:	s. Not o		Average 48.66
†W. R. Logan	18	412	84	0		22.88
J. S. Crerar	11	186	42	2		20.66
D. R. S. Milne	19		44	0		16.52
W. J. Low	18		74	4	7,123	16.35
H. B. Burgess	18 16	7 1 1	28	0	6.161	$10.94 \\ 10.35$
I. M. Robertson G. B. Duncan	14	4 4 5	52	2		10.35
J. R. Sloan	12		38	0		9.91
A. D. Robertson	7	49	10	2		9.80
MERCHIS			CHOOL I			
T W E Tooks - do	Overs M	aidens	Runs	Wic		Average
J. W. F. Lumsden W. J. Low		0.0	715 431	57		12.54
R. H. Ross	72.4		431	24		17.95 18.90
A. D. Robertson	94.5	0	350	17		20.58
D. R. S. Milne	56		184	8		23.00
3.633	T TOTAL C	OTTO	T T A PPP			
	LL HILL S					
	4 8	Runs 377	Highestin			Average
H. E. H. Beven H. J. Aish	16		·· 73 ·· 75*	5		37.70 21.76
A. T. Jamieson	16		57	1		21.46
A. T. Jamieson E. A. Wotton	14	166	52*	1		12.76
R. M. Cooper	16		54	1		11.86
J. L. Joannides W. J. Wright	14	152 37	43	1	-	11.69
J. A. B. Davidson .	8 12	Acre dur	29*	4	1000	9.25 8.33
G. T. Purchase	15	110	20	1		8.07
The state of the s						0.01
MII	L HILL S	CHOO	L BOWL	ING.		
	Overs M	aidens	Runs	Wiel		Average
J. L. Joannides		44	740	40		18.50
G. R. Dence G. T. Purchase	80.3 235.1		276	12	100000	$23.00 \\ 24.62$
W. J. Wright	111	of the	400	29		28.57
H. J. Aish		00	480	15		32.00
MONTE	BOXT GOZET	TI CC	TOOT T	mm tar o		
	CON COMI					
T M Watson		Runs	Highestin	The state of the s		Average
T. M. Watson	$\begin{array}{ccc} 14 & \dots \\ 9 & \dots \end{array}$		93 56*	4		48.20 24.85
K. W. Ford W. A. Pitt	14	000	70	2		21.91
H. B. Parry	14	000	65	1		20.46
C. S. Thompson	4		29	1		19.33
E. W. Michell	10	1 = 0	45	3		16.71
A. S. Rice-Jones P. R. S. Brooke	11 14	444	33	2		16.66 7.92
†H. T. Perrett	10		27	0		7.20
-						
MONKI	ON COME		TOOL BO	WLING		
77 AV 77		laidens	Runs	Wick		Average
	160.2		201	23		8.73
H. T. Perrett E. W. Michell	164.2 120.5		381 342	42		$9.07 \\ 9.77$
J. P. Sloan	115	~~	302	23		13.13
	restanció de la constitución de	200			35000	

	NEW	TOL	1 G	DLLEG	ЕВ	ATTI	IG.			
	T	nnings	2	Runs	His	ghestin	ns.	Not ou	ts	Average
tv. L. M. Wain		18		776		122*		2		48.50
TV. L. M. Walli	Wilgin	17		391		69		3		27.92
N. A. Bracken	oury.	19		445		53				24.72
D. C. Coulton		18		364		61		1		01 41
H. S. Balls			٠.	294		62*		2		17.28
S. F. Thomas		19	•	151		50*		2 3		10.78
T. G. King		17		86		15*		3		8.60
H. D. Martyn		13	• •	80		19.	• •	o		0.00
V. L. M. Wain E. G. Coleman D. C. Coulton	wright	Overs 244 256		OLLE Maidens 33 50 9		Runs 966 799 175		Wicke 72 51 8	ts 	Average 13.41 15.66 21.87
	O.A	KH.	AM	SCHOO				- 1		,
	T	nning	S	Runs	Hi	ighestin	ns.		ts	Average
J. S. Brown		12		298		84		3		
		12 7	• •	130	• •	53*		3		32.50
J. S. Brown C. S. Elphinsto G. Vaulkhard.	ne									32.50 29.41

	Inning	ZS	Runs	Hi	ghestini	as. No	ot out	3	Average	
J. S. Brown			298		84		3		33.11	
C. S. Elphinstone			130		53*		3		32.50	
G. Vaulkhard			353		122		0		29.41	
G. Chatterton			268		57		0		24.36	
J. H. Whyte			199		71		0		22.11	
E. W. Cattell			88		44*		1		17.60	
E. J. Lace		9.2	81		39		2		16.20	
R. D. Gillmore			189		41		0		15.75	
P. M. Sanderson		- 11	139		51*		1		15.44	
W. D. Webster			100		36		2		14.28	

O.	TTTTTTTT	4 001					
	Overs	Maid	lens	Runs	V	Vickets	Average
R. K. Allen	. 169 .	. 2	9			31	 16.29
J. S. Brown			5	716		36	 19.88
P. M. Sanderson	. 114.1	1	15	365		16	 22.81

OAKHAM SCHOOL BOWLING.

JO	JND:	LE S	SCHOO	L B.	ATTIN	G.				
	nning		Runs		ighestin		Not o	uts	Average	
L. H. Garrett	15		496		88*		2		38.15	
R. M. Beresford			464		89		1		35.69	
W. R. Falconer			243		58		0		24.30	
C. E. Daniels			294		83		0		22.61	
tE. W. Dixon	11		207		57*		1		20.70	
J. L. Cooke			234		82		0		16.71	
B. S. Westmacott	9		58		15		4		11.60	
M. T. Maw	11		62		16*		5		10.33	
A W Hogg	8		72		31		1		10.28	

(DUNDL	E	SCH, OO	L B	OWLL				A
	Overs		Maidens		Runs	.V	Vicket	8	Average
M. T. Maw	. 136.5		23		449		21		21.38
C. H. Buckley			15		358		15		23.86
B. S. Westmacott			28		552		19		29.05
E. W. Dixon			14		593		19		31.21

Public	School	Averages.

	3 100 Managara			
RADLI	EY COLLE	CE BATT	TNO	
Innin	ngs Runs			
P. I. Van der Gucht 13	475	Highest in		outs Average
W. H. Vestev 14	361	123*	(
C. M. Blunt 14	438	124		00.10
G. L. Millar 15	427	81		
C. A. Preston 7	118	62		
H. P. Dinwiddy 15	241	55	(
P. N. Kitching 8	102	28		12.75
R. M. E. Williams . 10	114	34		12.65
R. M. E. Williams . 10 A. W. Kershaw 14 P. D. La Touche 9	148	24	9	
P. D. La Touche 9	69	27	8	11.50
			-	
RADIJE	Y COLLE	TE POWI	TNO	
Overs	Maidens			
A. W. Kershaw 187	39		Wic	
P. D. La Touche 175.5	99	476	34	
P. J. Gibbs 132 H. P. Dinwiddy 105.5	24	544	32 21	
H. P. Dinwiddy 105.5	11	464		
C. M. Blunt 163.1	38	543	22	====
		010	41	25.85
REPTO	ON SCHOO	L BATTI	NG.	
Inning	gs Runs	Highesti	nns. Not o	uts Average
C. C. Clarke	532	152	2	48.36
J. F. Mendl 13	476	124	0	36.61
	363	136	1	
J. D. Gilbert 12	337	117	0	
M P Proin 19	119	55	4	
A. M. Carroll. 9 M. B. Brain. 12 J. S. Williams 12 G. S. Sutcliffe 10	162	61		20.25
G S Sutcliffe 10	217	59 41	0	
†P. C. Oldfield 7	27		1	
Tar or orange	41	12	1	4.50
			_	
REPTO	N SCHOO	L BOWLT	NG.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wick	ets Average
J. S. Williams 213 J. H. Human 87.5	48	544	32	17.00
J. H. Human 87.5	12	300	17	17.64
G. S. Sutcliffe 121.2	20	337	18	18.72
P. S. K. Balfour 77.1	11	258	12	21.50
Maria de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania della compania del	777			-51× -51
ROSSA	LL SCHOO	L BATTI	NG	
Inning	s Runs	Highesti		outa A
E. L. Simpson 14	313	59		outs. Average
R. E. Bury 9	182	37*	1	24.07
T A Maradan 19	919	92	. 1	
G. T. Lavery. 15 B. J. A. Lowe 15 R. H. Strachan 15 G. J. Mackarel 9 W. R. Hughes 15	·· 212 ·· 239	62	2	18.37
B. J. A. Lowe 15	270	107	0	18.00
R. H. Strachan 15	270 232 87	50*	1	16.57
G. J. Mackarel 9	87		2	12.42
W. R. Hughes 15	156	51*	2	12.00
			-	
ROSSAT	LL SCHOO	I. BOWIT	NC	
Overs				.1.
P. E. D. Wilson 124	Maidens 30	Runs	Wick	
T. A. Marsden 160		475	26	18.26
E. L. Simpson 100			$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & 19 \\ \dots & 12 \end{array}$	31.00
2. 2. Dimpson 100	6	370	12	30.83

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	\mathbf{H}	ighestin	nns. N	ot out	S	Average
E. L. Thomson			79		40*		4		19.75
T. S. Brotherstone .		100	206		61*		3		18.72
F. M. Trotter			295		74*		2		18.43
T. W. L. Bell			107		52		2		17.83
†A. G. R. Lowdon			272		54		0		17.00
G. R. Gunn	13		192		34		0		14.76
G. A. Smith	16	5.7	197		44		2		14.07
E. Walkingshaw			165		58		2		13.75
J. B. Michie	16		133		36		2		9.50

ROYAL HIGH SCHOOL, EDINBURGH, BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wicke	ts	Average
T. S. Brotherstone	93.1		30		155	 31		5.00
A. G. R. Lowdon		9.9	19	٠.	269	 35		7.68
G. R. Gunn	89		17		234	 23		10.13
J. B. Michie	112		22		303	 28	90000	10.82

RUGBY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	gs	Runs	E	lighest i	ts	Average		
R. O. G. Norman .	. 13		455		141*		1	7	37.91
M. St. J. Oswald	. 15		402	1000	102*		1	100.00	28.71
A. M. Rendel			279		80		3	• • •	27.90
R. Leader	7		136		41		2		27.20
B. H. F. Fehr	14	-	260		49		0		18.57
R. T. Robinson	13		220		45		ň		16.92
R. W. Parnell	6		67		21	22	2	E 36	16.75
K. L. T. Jackson	12		155		34		0		12.91
†C. P. M. Hunting	14		157		30		0		11.21
W. G. Dove	14		135		26		0		9.64

RUGBY SCHOOL BOWLING.

W C D	(Overs	Maidens		Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
W. G. Dove		32.4	 0		242		13		18.61
R. T. Robinson			 33		423	1.00	22		19.22
K. L. T. Jackson	• •	92.3	 18		378		14		27.00
M. St. J. Oswald		26	 1	٠.	178		5		35.60
R. W. Parnell		21	 3		88		2		44.00

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BATTING.

D. T. T. I.	nning	gs	Runs	E	ts	Average		
B. J. Walford	11		321		102	 1		32.10
J. R. Hawthorn	10		206		90	 ō		20.60
R. A. V. Todd	13		229		68	 Õ	1000	17.61
J. Rashleigh	13		184		70	 2	100	16.72
G. F. Rivaz	13		177		50	 1	-	14.75
†A. F. Smith	5		62		32	 ō		12.40
B. W. Thompson	9		84	1000	34	 2	٠.	12.00
C. G. Long	7		56		20	 2		11.20
E. J. Hayman	12		120		44	 ī		10.90
R. H. Symonds	13		108		33	2		9.81
E. T. Stephens	12		109		17	 õ		9.08

Public	School	Averages.
--------	--------	-----------

ST. EDMUND'S SCHOOL, CANTERBURY, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average A. F. Smith. 87 15 292 28 10.42 B. J. Walford 48 7 164 13 12.61 J. Rashleigh 41 9 169 10 16.90 R. A. V. Todd 57 8 277 14 19.78 G. F. Rivaz 183 25 437 21 20.80 B. W. Thompson 137 22 434 19 22.84	ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. de F. Myburgh 298 65 828 59 14.03 J. E. Slade 188 34 600 26 23.07 K. N. S. Smith 91 11 307 11 27.90 M. D. Messent 87 7 395 13 30.38
ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average J. H. A. Barnes 14 434 113* 3 39.45 P. A. Gibb 12 236 62* 1 21.45 T. S. Wetherall 12 229 54 1 20.81 K. J. Veitch 13 202 37 0 15.53 R. G. H. Green 10 122 36 2 15.25 C. M. C. Woodroffe 12 140 69* 2 14.00	ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average
ST. EDWARD'S SCHOOL, OXFORD, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. M. C. Woodroffe 51.4 13 126 12 10.50 G. M. Beal	H. S. C. Killick 13 164 36 2 14.90 J. G. Richards 11 115 41 1 11.50 ST. PAUL'S SCHOOL BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average G. G. Shneerson 205 44 609 31 19.64 R. Felton 213 44 556 28 19.85 J. G. Richards 104 14 418 21 19.90 W. L. Sturton 159 23 539 27 19.96 R. M. Jobson-Scott 176 29 558 23 24.26
J. K. B. Bennett 8 56 23* 5 18.66 C. E. Ryerson 13 167 60 3 16.70 TR. A. S. Farnfeld 13 193 47 0 14.84 P. Z. Henderson 12 164 56 0 13.66 C. R. Lucas 12 157 35 0 13.08 J. H. Mackie 11 126 33 0 11.45 K. G. Sharp 13 123 30 0 9.46 J. F. B. Keith 11 77 18 0 7.00 ST. JOHN'S SCHOOL, LEATHERHEAD, BOWLING.	ST. PETER'S SCHOOL, YORK, BATTING. Innings Runs Highestinns. Not outs Average
Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average J. H. Mackie 68.5 8 238 14 17.00 R. A. S. Farnfield 186.4 36 611 34 17.97 P. Z. Henderson 65.1 9 297 14 20.21 J. K. B. Bennett 72 8 268 13 20.61	ST. PETER'S SCHOOL, YORK, BOWLING. Overs Maidens Runs Wickets Average C. O. Daintry 75 18 199 19 10.47 E. F. Williams 97 10 339 21 16.14 P. M. Perry 137 12 586 30 19.53 P. N. Avery 183 30 701 33 21.24
ST. LAWRENCE COLLEGE, RAMSGATE, BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average †D. G. Oswald 14 397 95 1 30.54 J. E. Slade 15 369 106 0 24.60 A. G. W. Hill 15 336 67* 1 24.00 J. W. S. Hardie 15 253 56* 4 23.00 D. G. C. Symonds 15 326 50 0 21.73 L. C. Rumsey 15 240 41 0 16.00 C. H. C. Ferguson 14 213 52 0 15.21 K. N. S. Smith 11 134 52 2 14.88 D. L. Ross 9 98 49* 2 14.00 C. de F. Myburgh 14 131 21* 1 10.07	SEDBERGH SCHOOL BATTING. Innings Runs Highest inns. Not outs Average

SEDBERGH SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	ļ.	Average
J. R. K. Moffat	180	 28	 563		33		17.06
J. K. Dick		 11	 214		11		19.45
G. B. Brookes		 16	 365		17		21.47
I. H. D. Walker.		 18	 317		11		28.81
C. L. S. Hockin		 26	 500		16		31.25

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BATTING

0120	The second second second second						
	Runs	Hig		. N	Not outs		Average
	331		108*		2 .		30.09
	295		58		2 .		26.81
	152		27		4 .		19.00
	119		32*		4 .		14.87
	121		37		1 .		11.00
	47		19		1 .		9.40
		331 295 152 119 121	331 295 152 119 121	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. 331 . 108* . 2

SHAFTESBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	M	lickets	Average
D. H. Tovev	136.5	 19	 450		55	 8.18
G. C. Mitchell		55	 440			15.17
D. R. Young		34	 383			15.95
F. C. Imber		9	 186		10	 18.60

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	S	Runs	Highestinns. Not outs					Average
F. A. Simmonds .			502		165		0		45.64
R. C. Eglington			337		72		0		28.08
P. H. F. Mermager			222		60		0		27.75
J. A. Tallent			205		78		2		
E. R. K. Glover			173		52		1		21.63
R. J. Brown			151		49		1		18.88
K. F. Ferguson	12		196		46		1		17.82
A. W. Hopcraft	8		88		21		1		12.57

SHERBORNE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs		Wicket	S	Average
E. R. K. Glover		 47	 611		43		14.21
A. W. Hoperaft		47	 591		33		17.91
P. H. F. Mermagen		 20	 334	1411	10		20.87 29.92
N. R. Seddon	116	 27	 359		12		29.94

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BATTING.

	Innings		Runs	mignesormus.			Tion outer	22.02.00	
†D. N. Moore			1038		193			103.80	
G. A. R. Green			443		125			63.28	
D. Harratt			269		148			33.62	
A. G. N. Robinson .			181		59			22.62	
J. F. Snow			260		85		2	 21.66	
S. F. Mill			184		59			16.72	
E. L. Birch			135		67		0	 15.00	
J. S. O. Haslewood			86		30*		4	 14.33	
	10		73		21		1	 10.42	
J. G. M. Headlam	0		10		deri de		-		

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL BOWLING.

0	vers	Maidens	Runs	V	Vickets	- 23	Average
D. N. Moore 1	53.5	33	 429		35		12.25
E. A. Barlow	84.1	14	 237		17		13.94
G. A. R. Green 2	18.4	43	 674		38		17.77
J. G. M. Headlam . 1	41.3	23	 463		24		19.29

STOWE SCHOOL BATTING.

Iı	nning	S	Runs	Hi	ghestinn	s. N	ot outs		Average
A. G. Howland-Jackson	14		709		204*		4		70.90
†A. J. P. Ling	14		616		114*		4		61.60
R. H. G. Carr	12		453		112*		4		56.62
N. H. Bennett	9		232		77*		3		38.66
G. E. G. Jackson	13		385		69		0		29.61
H. V. Kemp	12		291		90		0		24.25
T. R. Williams	5		91		29		1		22.75
D. F. N. Rowlatt	8		113		61*		1		16.14
P. P. L. Dillon	5		58		17		1		14.50
E. J. Spurrier	5		42		22		1	٠.	10.50

STOWE SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wicke	ts	Average	
A. G. Howland-							
Jackson	. 181	 30	 584	 34		17.17	
P. J. de Havilland	. 52	 14	 182	 7		26.00	
E. J. Spurrier	. 56	 12	 218	 8		27.25	
N. H. Bennett	. 164.4	 9	 813	 29		28.03	
J. W. Maude	. 160	 24	 507	 14		36.21	
H. V. Kemp	. 113	 15	 493	 13		37.92	

TAUNTON SCHOOL BATTING.

	Inning	s	Runs	I	Average			
R. A. Gerrard	. 18		576		130	 3		38.40
G. B. Edge	. 19		431		82	 4		28.73
†F. H. Stevens	. 18		359		68*	 5		27.61
W. M. Row	. 17		419		132	 0		24.64
R. F. Locke	. 18		404		89	 0		22.44
G. H. Jan	. 16		239		58*	 3		18.38
W. G. Carter	. 15		169		51	 4		15.36
C. J. Woodberry	. 7		77		27	 1		12.83

TAUNTON SCHOOL BOWLING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
J. H. Cameron	310.3	 51	 871	 108	 8.06
W. G. Carter	147.3	 29	 349	 27	 12.92
D. F. Robinson	86.4	 15	 212	 11	 19.27
R. A. Gerrard	49.5	 6	 158	 7	 22.57

2 00000	201000 13	iverages.		
MONDDIDGE	COTTO	T TO A COUNTRY	~	
TONBRIDGE				
Innings	Runs	Highest inns.	Not outs	Average
R. C. B. Blakelock . 11		. 137*	2	43.55
†T. A. Crawford 18		. 82	1	33.76
G. M. Leach 18		. 127	0	30.22
R. G. Musson 16		90	2	29.21
J. G. W. Davies 18		. 102		28.55
T. H. Tilling 16		. 57	10000	28.13
D. G. Richardson 7		. 45*		
W. Y. Sherwell 18		. 89		
C. A. Peal 10 R. J. M. Willcocks . 15		. 15*	5	
R. J. M. Willcocks . 15	169 .	. 54	0	11.26
MONTO TO COM				
TONBRIDGE	SCHOO	T BOMTIN	G.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
C. A. Peal 122.1	15 .	. 530	28	18.92
T. A. Crawford 212.3		. 711	32	22.21
C. T. Orton 233.4		. 851	36	23.63
J. G. W. Davies 105		. 409	16	25.56
R. G. Musson 101.3	16 .	. 349	8	43.62
TRENT CO	LLEGE	BATTING.		
Innings	Runs	Highestinns.	Not out	Average
tJ. L. Barker 13		. 141	2	28.54
C. F. A. Jonklaas 12	000	57*	2	28.30
W. H. Walker 11		. 65	ī	22.40
J. A. Atkinson 11	100	. 82	0	17.54
E. H. Bowen 11		. 43	ŏ	12.00
W. E. R. Kemp 10	98 .	0.0	1	10.88
T E L Fetton 8	70 .	1000	î	10.00
J. B. Ensor 7		. 26*	2	8.00
G. L. L. Parker 11	0.4	. 34	3	8.00
-				
TRENT CO	LLEGE	ROWLING		
	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Avionomo
W. H. Walker 122.5				Average
T. E. J. Fetton 217.3	35 . 60 .		32 61	8.40 8.86
J. L. Barker 50.3		. 178	11	16.18
G. L. L. Parker 83	20 .		15	16.20
G. M. H. Hillion 09	20 .	. 210	10	10.20
TIMINED CITY OOT	TEGE	CITOOT DA	PTINO	
UNIVERSITY COL				
Innings	Runs	Highestinns.		Average
D. F. Surfleet 16		. 105*		47.84
†T. H. Rowney 16		. 122	4	43.00
C. M. Wilson 15 R. R. Whyte 7	336 .	0.86	0	22.40
R. R. Whyte 7	150 .		0	21.42
R. A. Amlot 14 G. M. Wilson 13	166 . 136 .	0.14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.09
				12.36
R. H. Henderson 14			$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots \end{array}$	10.37 9.69
G. M. Winterbotham 10	126 . 73 .	4.0		9.69
o. M. Willott Dollain 10	10 .	. 19	2	0.12
TIMINED CIMIN COL	TOT CO	TITOOT DOT	UT TAYO	
UNIVERSITY COL				
	Maidens		Wickets	Average
G. M. Wilson 88.4	15 .	. 240	20	12.00
D. F. Surfleet 209.5			47	12.87
T. H. Rowney 212.3	39 .		40	16.65
C. M. Wilson 102.4	16 .	. 371	18 ,.	20,61

TIT	DING	TT A B	r garr	O.T.	T) A FEITH		01		
UF					BATT				
E. B. Pope	Inning . 13	s	Runs 378		ighest inn				Average
C. Thompson	. 10		303	٠.	60 81				34.36
H. R. Cox	. 13		264		59*		200		33.66 22.00
W. A. Lupton			209		62		77	• •	19.00
J. D. Ibbotson	. 11		149		64*				18.62
D. B. Parkinson	. 8		34		10*				17.00
F. G. H. Chalk			172		39				13.23
W. T. Cooke			112		31				11.20
C. J. Durrant D. V. Brims	. 10		94		21				9.40
D. V. Brims	. 11	• •	94	• •	33*	٠.	. 1	• •	9.40
IIP	PINGI	HAN	SCHO	TO	BOWL	TN	TC		
01	Overs	LEZZIV	Maidens	JUL	Runs	TTI	Wickets		Average
D. V. Brims	. 246.2		56		623	٠			
F. G. H. Chalk	. 138.5		30		359				
D. B. Parkinson .	. 189.4		40		579				25.17
J. D. Ibbotson	. 140	٠.	21		439		17		25.82
TELET T	INCDO	DO	TTOTE	OITT	207 7	_			
WELL	INGBU	RO			OOL BA				
D. H. M. Veitch	Inning . 13		Runs 647		ighestinn 121				Average
G. N. Adams	. 13	::	239		90*	٠.		٠.	49.76 29.87
G. K. Benton	. 13		357		79			• •	27.46
E. R. Hill	. 9		188		63				26.85
W. P. Pike	. 12		242						
C. L. F. Cox	. 13		310		54				23.84
P. A. Little		• •	250		64				20.36
B. L. Higgs	. 12		163	• • •	39		1		14.81
WELLI	NGRO	ROI	TOH S	CHC	OI PO	XX7	TIMO		
WELL	Overs		Maidens		Runs	VV			
G. K. Benton			46		638		Wicket 45		Average 14.17
A F Wait.	119.5		7			::		::	
W P Pile	170 9		44		513				
E. R. Hill	. 73		10		237		9		26.33
117171	TINO	mo.	TOOTT	TO	D. D. I PRI	_			
WEI	LING	TOP			E BAT				
T. J. R. Dashwood	Inning:	8	Runs 627		ghestinn 108				Average
J. W. H. James	. 13		339		42	::		• •	52.25 28.25
J. W. H. James I. H. Freeland	. 13		294		62*				24.50
B. T. V. Cowey B. W. Finnigan W. J. Tailyour	. 8		85		18			::	21.25
B. W. Finnigan	. 11		218		78				19.81
W. J. Tailyour	. 12		236		87				19.66
J. S. May	. 4		77		53	٠.			19.25
D. M. C. Prichard	. 9	• •	133		31	٠.			16.62
P. M. Hughes	. 10	• •	$\frac{71}{127}$		30*	٠.		• •	14.20
B. E. Luard	. 7		56		25	٠.	1 1	• •	12.70 9.33
							17714	• •	9.55
WEL	LING.	FON	COLL	EGI	E BOW	LI	NG.		
	Overs		Maidens		Runs		Wickets		Average
P. M. Hughes	. 194		42		526				16.43
D. M. C. Prichard I. H. Freeland	. 130		11		499	٠.	27		18.48
1. II. Freeland	. 156		49		410		20		20.50
B F Inord	70		11		004		10 -0		
B. E. Luard	. 79		11	٠.	284	٠.		٠.	25.81
B. E. Luard B. T. V. Cowey	. 79 . 74	••	11 9		284 265			• •	

active School Atelaces.	ublic	School	Averages.
-------------------------	-------	--------	-----------

					-	0				
12953	WEST	DIVITATO	TTV	TD COT	roo'	TDAT	TATION	a		
			TI	ER SCE						
-		nnings		Runs		lighesti				Average
	A. Evetts	14	٠.	557		117*		1		42.84
1.	W. A. Symington	12		433		124*		1		39.36
†D	. A. Bompas	15		530		131*		1		37.85
H	. B. Graham	14		395		108*		2		32.91
Ρ.	R. Aitken			208		67*		4		29.71
C.	E. Lonsdale	11		213		48*		3		26.62
	K. Luard			253		58*		2	٠.	19.46
K	. H. L. Cooper			90		34*		2		15.00
W	. H. D. Wakely	9		99		33		1		13.75
	_						-			
	TTTTOP	13 FT3 TO	m	T 00T			** **	. ~		
	WEST	MINS	TE	R SCH	100	r BOA				
		Overs		Maidens	3	Runs		Wicke	ts	Average
K	. H. L. Cooper	129.3		33		346		22		15.52
	W. A. Symington			34		585		32		18.28
	. B. Graham			24		485		20		24.25
			6.6	(T-1)	100,000					
	WEY	MOU.	$_{ m IH}$	COLL	$_{\mathrm{EGF}}$	BAT	Γ INO	χ.		
		nnings		Runs		Highesti			S	Average
A	. E. Whitaker	9		86		25*		6		28.66
+C	R, Ormiston	12		301		96		ŏ		
	L. Norcock	13		259		104*		1		21.58
	H. S. Henderson	13		193		52		ō		14.84
	E. W. McCall	12		248		68	-	ŏ		20.66
	M. Camplin	12		179		59*		1		16.27
E	R. C. Deacon	10	::	153		37		0		
T	F. Young	12	::	279		86		1		
XX	B. Shorten	12	• •	223		72*		2		22.30
	. B. Shorten	14	• •	220	•	14	• •	4	•	. 22.00
		-					_			
	WEV	MOTIT	T	COLL	EGE	ROW	LIN	J		
	11111								t a	Avronomo
т	L. Norcock	Overs 89		Maidens	5	Runs 390		Wicke 16		Average 24.37
P.	D. Hadeane	147	٠.	23		464				
A	P. Updegraff	117		24			• •	20		23.20
В	E. W. McCall	101	٠.			396		10	٠.	39.60
W	B. Shorten	101	• •			351	• •	20		17.55
J.	F. Young	84		8	٠.	312		17		18.35
. A	. M. Makand	107	• •	21		328		21		15.61
	M						To lo			
	WHITGI	ET GE	RAT	MMAR.	SCF	TOOL	BAT	TING	1	
		innings	01.11	Runs		lighesti				ATIOTOGO
т		22		558		79		2		Average 27.90
	R. Merrett		• •					2		
TE	S. Thompson	22		346		39*	• 1•		٠.	17.30
W	L. F. Hughes	22		376		60		0		17.09
H	. W. Seear	18	٠.	271		42		0		15.05
G	K. Bellamy	12	• •	146		43*		1		13.27
J.	M. Sykes		• •	226		39*	•0.•0	3 .		12.55
	E. Nicholson	20	٠.	180		28*		4		11.25
J.	Porter	18	٠.	130		20*		3		8.60
	_		-							

130 WHITGIFT GRAMMAR SCHOOL BOWLING.

Maidens

45

25 9

Overs

F. S. Thompson 289.4 C. E. Neville 165.3 E. W. Sleeman 92.2 G. K. Bellamy 65

Runs

1013

616

326

Wickets

11

.. 62 .. 31 .. 17

Average

.. 16.33 .. 19.87 .. 21.70 .. 29.63

	nning	s	Runs	H	lighestin	ns. N	ot out	S	Average
R. de W. K. Winlaw	13		409		73*		4		45.44
D.G.Davies-Scourfield	14		541		127		1		41.61
G. W. White	9		228		64*		3		38.00
D. Stephens	10		280		81		2		35.00
†R. S. Walker	13		323		100*		2		29.36
J. L. T. Guise	15		300		83*		4		27.27
R. G. Tindall	5		125		67		0		25.00
N. McCaskie	14		320		95*		1		24.61
R. H. Priestley	12		235		88		2		23.50
D. C. H. Townsend	8		100		24		3		20.00
E. A. P. Jenkins	7		60		17*		4		20.00
WINCE	ĮES	TER	COLL	EGI	E BOW	LIN	G.		

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	M	rickets		Average
N. McCaskie			11		243		13		18.69
D. C. H. Townsend			50		444		20		22.20
J. L. T. Guise			15		227		10		22.70
E. A. P. Jenkins			36		538		21		25.61
I. Fenwick	117	٠.	19	٠.	378		11	٠.	34.36

WREKIN COLLEGE BATTING.

	Inning	Runs	H	ighestin	ns. N	ot out	S	Average
†J. E. Harrisson	. 16	 502		88*		3		38.61
H. Poole	. 16	 201		34		5		18.27
C. H. Eaves	. 17	 310		61		0		18.23
J. R. Macgregor				30		2		15.09
D. S. Ship	. 14	 188		78*		1		14.46
J. L. Griffith	. 14	 172		47		2		14.33
J. E. R. Rowley		113		23*		3		14.12
R. Elliott	. 17	 225		41*		1		14.06
R. M. Halliday	. 16	168	-07:05	34				10.50

WREKIN COLLEGE BOWLING.

	Overs		Maidens		Runs	Wickets			Average
J. E. Harrisson			9		269		17		15.82
J. L. Griffith	319		79		853		42		20.30
P. A. Lamb	288		27		1143		56	٠.	20.41
J. E. R. Rowley	65		10		250		8		31.25